

# Association schemes, classical RCFT's, and graphic Fourier transforms

Brian Day

September 27, 2019

## Abstract

Here we describe three straightforward examples of what was called a graphic Fourier transformation in [3]. Two of these examples may be viewed simply as monoidal comonads on suitable closed functor categories, but the main point here is that the Cayley-functor example is generally *not* comonadic – this is seen as a justification for writing the present article in the “Fourier” terminology. For the first two examples (i.e., association schemes and RCFT's), a more elaborate “procategory” set-up was envisaged in an earlier version of this note, but many readers missed the main point so it is simplified (hopefully) below.

## 1 Introduction

A functor category such as  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}]$  or  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Set}]$  behaves naively like a function algebra, both types of structure being familiar manifestations of “form” and “function”.

Upon setting  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{Vect}$  (or  $\mathbf{Set}$ ) and taking  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  to be two (small) promonoidal  $\mathcal{V}$ -categories, we let  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$  and  $[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}]$  denote the corresponding convolution functor categories into  $\mathcal{V}$  (as in [1]). A  $\mathcal{V}$ -functor

$$\hat{K} : [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}]$$

is then called a “graphic” Fourier transformation [3] if

1.  $\hat{K}$  is multiplicative (i.e.,  $\hat{K}$  preserves the tensor product and tensor unit of  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$  up to natural isomorphism),
2.  $\hat{K}$  is conservative (i.e.,  $\hat{K}$  reflects isomorphisms),
3.  $\hat{K}$  is cocontinuous (i.e.,  $\hat{K}$  has a right  $\mathcal{V}$ -adjoint, denoted here by  $\check{K}$ ).

Sometimes the convolutions  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$  and  $[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}]$  both have (contravariant) involutions on them and we ask in addition that  $\hat{K}$  should preserve this.

Below we mention two elementary examples in which (in the first instance) the category  $\mathcal{A}$  is discrete. We also describe a third type of example based on the “centres” of certain monoidal closed functor categories into  $\mathcal{V}$ .

For the general theory, the “image” of  $\hat{K}$  – here called the Wiener category (cf. [3] §1.3) and denoted by  $\mathbf{Wien}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V})$  – was discussed briefly in [3]. Roughly

speaking, its objects are the functors of the form  $\hat{K}(f)$  for some  $f \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$ , and its maps are precisely those natural transformations

$$\alpha : \hat{K}(f) \rightarrow \hat{K}(g)$$

in  $[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}]$  for which

$$\hat{K}\check{K}(\alpha)\hat{K}(\eta) = \hat{K}(\eta)\alpha,$$

where  $\eta$  denotes the (monomorphic) unit of the basic adjunction  $\hat{K} \dashv \check{K}$ . These so-called “regular” morphisms  $\alpha \in [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}]$  have been further characterized in many special examples in the literature.

Moreover, the property that  $\hat{K}$  should be *multiplicative* amounts, under the left Kan extension process, to the existence of two natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \int^{y,z \in \mathcal{X}} K(a, y) \otimes K(b, z) \otimes p(y, z, x) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \int^{c \in \mathcal{A}} K(c, x) \otimes p(a, b, c) \\ j(x) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \int^{c \in \mathcal{A}} K(c, x) \otimes j(c) \end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathcal{V}$ , where the functor

$$K : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

denotes the tensor-hom transpose in  $\mathcal{V}\text{-Set}$  of the composite

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{Yoneda}} [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \xrightarrow{\hat{K}} [\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}],$$

and was called the (multiplicative) *kernel* of  $\hat{K}$  in [3].

Thus we obtain the monoidal equivalence of categories

$$\hat{K} : [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \rightarrow \text{Wien}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}),$$

where the inverse transformation to this  $\hat{K}$  is of course given by

$$\check{K}(F)(a) = \int_x [K(a, x), F(x)].$$

## 2 Terminology

A **Set**-promonoidal category  $(\mathcal{A}, n, i)$  with an antipode  $S : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  ( $S^2 \cong 1$ ) is called *(S-)precompact* if both

$$\begin{aligned} n(a, b, c) &\cong n(Sb, Sa, Sc) \text{ and} \\ i(c) &\cong i(Sc) \end{aligned}$$

dinaturally in  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then, if  $n$  and  $i$  are finite, we have both

$$\begin{aligned} k[n](a, b, c)^* &\cong k[n](Sb, Sa, Sc) \text{ and} \\ k[i](c)^* &\cong k[i](Sc) \end{aligned}$$

naturally in  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $k$  is any field. Thus, we call any finite **Vect** <sub>$k$</sub> -promonoidal category  $(\mathcal{A}, p, j)$ , with a  $k$ -linear antipode  $S$  ( $S^2 \cong 1$ ), *precompact* if both

$$\begin{aligned} p(a, b, c)^* &\cong p(Sb, Sa, Sc) \text{ and} \\ j(c)^* &\cong j(Sc) \end{aligned}$$

naturally in  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Note that, when the above data is available we usually have the “structure” maps

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \otimes g^* &\rightarrow (g \otimes f)^* \\ I &\rightarrow I^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f^*$  is defined by  $f^*(a) = f(Sa)^*$ , giving  $(-)^*$  the structure of a contravariant monoidal functor on  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}]$ . This is so, for example, when  $\mathcal{A}$  is “Frobenius” (see [4] — there is a family of maps

$$\delta : \mathcal{A}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(c, b) \otimes \mathcal{A}(a, c)$$

in  $\mathcal{V}$  which is natural in  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ). In this case there is a “comparison of integrals”

$$\int_a^a h(a, a) \rightarrow \int_b^b h(b, b)$$

for each  $k$ -linear functor  $h : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_k$  which is derived directly from the composite natural transformation

$$h(a, a) \xrightarrow{\text{“}\delta\text{”}} \mathcal{A}(a, b) \otimes h(b, a) \xrightarrow{\text{“}\mu\text{”}} h(b, b).$$

Thus one has the composite:

$$\begin{aligned} (f^* \otimes g^*)(c) &:= \int^{a,b} f(Sa)^* \otimes g(Sb)^* \otimes p(a, b, c) && \text{(by definition of } f^* \otimes g^*) \\ &\cong \int^{a,b} f(a)^* \otimes g(b)^* \otimes p(Sa, Sb, c) && (S^2 \cong 1) \\ &\rightarrow \int_{a,b} g(b)^* \otimes f(a)^* \otimes p(Sa, Sb, c) && \text{(by “comparison of integrals”)} \\ &\cong \left( \int^{a,b} g(b) \otimes f(a) \otimes p(b, a, Sc) \right)^* && \text{(when } \mathcal{A} \text{ is precompact)} \\ &= (g \otimes f)(Sc)^* && \text{(by definition of } g \otimes f) \\ &= (g \otimes f)^*(c). \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Discrete association schemes

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $S \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$  be a fixed partition of  $X \times X$  such that  $\Delta \in S$  and  $s^* \in S$  when  $s \in S$ , where  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  is the diagonal set, and  $s^*$  is the reverse relation to  $s$ . The relevant kernel

$$K : S \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is given here by

$$K(s, x, y) = s(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The resulting transformation

$$\hat{K} : [S, \mathbf{Set}] \rightarrow [X \times X, \mathbf{Set}]$$

then maps  $f$  to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}(f)(x, y) &= \sum_s K(s, x, y) \times f(s) \\ &= \sum_{(x, y) \in s} f(s). \end{aligned}$$

A (discrete) *association scheme* on  $X$  (after [8], for example) consists of the given partition  $S$  and a map

$$N : S \times S \times S \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

together with a bijection

$$\sum_r N(s, t, r) \times r \xrightarrow{\cong} s \circ t$$

for each  $(s, t) \in S \times S$ , where  $s \circ t$  denotes the composite

$$s \circ t(x, y) = \sum_{z \in X} s(x, z) \times t(z, y).$$

It follows readily from the associativity of this composition that there is a proassociativity bijection

$$\alpha : \sum_x N(s, t, x) \times N(x, r, u) \xrightarrow{\cong} \sum_x N(s, x, u) \times N(t, r, x)$$

for all  $s, t, r, u \in S$ . Together with the diagonal “object”  $\Delta$ , this data represents a discrete promonoidal structure called  $(S, N, J)$  on the “category”  $S$  (where the prounit  $J : S \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is given by

$$J(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = \Delta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we may suppose (see [8]) that

$$N(s, t, r) = N(t^*, s^*, r^*)$$

so that  $(S, N, J)$  is what we have called precompact in §2. Additionally, if also  $X$  is finite and

$$N(s, t, r^*) = N(t, r, s^*),$$

then the monoidal closed convolution structure on  $[S, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}]$  is in fact *compact*, as shown in the arXiv note [2].

Now it is easily seen that the transformation

$$\hat{K} : [S, \mathbf{Set}] \rightarrow [X \times X, \mathbf{Set}]$$

is multiplicative (where  $[S, \mathbf{Set}]$  has the convolution structure [1] from  $(S, N, J)$ ), conservative, and cocontinuous. Also, if we set  $f^*(s) = f(s^*)$  then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{K}(f^*)(x, y) &= \sum_{(x, y) \in s} f^*(s) \\
&= \sum_{(x, y) \in s} f(s^*) \\
&= \sum_{(y, x) \in s^*} f(s^*) \\
&= \sum_{(y, x) \in t} f(t) \\
&= \hat{K}(f)^*(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\hat{K}$  becomes a graphic Fourier transformation on  $[S, \mathbf{Set}]$ , in the sense of [3].

In the case where the set  $X$  is *finite*, we can further view each element  $s \in S$  as a “ $k$ -bimodule”  $M_s$ , for the given field  $k$ , and write

$$M_s \circ M_t \cong \bigoplus_r N(s, t, r) \cdot M_r$$

where “ $\circ$ ” denotes matrix composition, and “ $\cdot$ ” denotes copowers in  $[X \times X, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}]$ . The functor

$$\hat{K} : [S, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}] \rightarrow [X \times X, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}]$$

given by

$$\hat{K}(f)(x, y) = \bigoplus_{(x, y) \in s} f(s)$$

then becomes a  $k$ -linear graphic Fourier transformation on  $[S, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}]$ . Here we can actually set

$$f^*(s) := f(s^*)^*$$

and obtain

$$\hat{K}(f^*)(x, y) \cong \hat{K}(f)^*(x, y)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ; i.e.,

$$\hat{K}(f^*) \cong \hat{K}(f)^*.$$

## 4 Rational conformal field theories

A discrete RCFT consists of a finite (discrete) set  $S$ , containing a distinguished element  $0$ , and a set of finite sets  $N(x, y, z)$  indexed by elements  $x, y, z$  of  $S$ . We suppose also that there are proassociativity and prounit maps (bijections)

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha : \sum_u N(x, y, u) \times N(u, z, v) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \sum_u N(x, u, v) \times N(y, z, u) \\
\lambda : N(0, y, z) &\xrightarrow{\cong} S(y, z) \\
\rho : N(x, 0, z) &\xrightarrow{\cong} S(x, z),
\end{aligned}$$

which satisfy some standard coherence conditions. There is usually assumed to be an involution  $(-)^*$  on  $S$  satisfying the cyclic relation

$$N(x, y, z^*) \cong N(y, z, x^*),$$

together with a “braiding”

$$N(x, y, z) \cong N(y, x, z).$$

(See Moore and Sieberg [7] for details.)

Thus we have a  $k$ -linear functor

$$p : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}},$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes the discrete  $\mathbf{Vect}$ -category on  $S$ , by considering the free  $k$ -vector spaces on the relevant finite sets, that is

$$p(x, y, z) = k[N](x, y, z).$$

Together with the corresponding induced proassociativity and prounit isomorphisms, we obtain a braided (finite)  $*$ -autonomous promonoidal  $\mathbf{Vect}$ -category  $(\mathcal{A}, p, j)$ . We could clearly generalize this situation considerably (in theory at least). For example, the arXiv note [2] contains further details of compact convolution categories  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}]$  in the case when the domain category  $\mathcal{A}$  is more than just discrete or finite.

Always the representation (or “Cayley”) functor

$$\hat{K} : [\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}],$$

which maps  $f$  to

$$\hat{K}(f)(y, z) = \int^x f(x) \otimes p(x, y, z),$$

can be seen to be multiplicative, conservative, and cocontinuous (as is generally the case), thus providing examples of  $k$ -linear graphic Fourier transforms on certain compact convolutions of the form  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}]$ . Indeed, if we suppose further that  $(\mathcal{A}, p, j)$  is any closed category equipped with a suitable involution

$$(-)^* : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

(that is,  $p(x, y, z) \cong \mathcal{A}(x, [y, z])$  with  $[x, y]^* \cong [y, x]$ ), then we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}(f^*)(x, y) &= \int^z f^*(z) \otimes \mathcal{A}(z, [x, y]) \\ &\cong f^*[x, y] \\ &\cong f[y, x]^* \\ &\cong \hat{K}(f)(y, x)^* \\ &= \hat{K}(f)^*(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

thus completing the list of properties for a graphic transformation listed in the introduction.

## 5 Centres of some monoidal functor categories

If  $(\mathcal{A}, p, j)$  is any small promonoidal  $\mathcal{V}$ -category, where  $\mathcal{V}$  is either **Vect** or **Set**, then the centre  $\mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$  of the monoidal convolution  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$  has the property that the underlying-object functor

$$U : \mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$$

is multiplicative, conservative, and cocontinuous. Consequently, if we can show that a particular centre  $\mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$  is monoidally equivalent to a complete convolution functor category of the form  $[\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{V}]$ , then the composite functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{V}] & \xrightarrow{\hat{K}} & [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \\ & \searrow & \nearrow U \\ & \mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] & \end{array}$$

becomes a graphic Fourier transformation.

We note that some attempts to find a suitable representing category  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$  were made in [5] and [6], however it was not mentioned explicitly there that, if the  $\mathcal{V}$ -functor

$$\Psi : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \subset \mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$$

(defined in [6] §2) is dense, then the resulting full embedding

$$\mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \subset [\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{V}]$$

is actually an equivalence of monoidal categories (where  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the “centre” of the given promonoidal structure  $(\mathcal{A}, p, j)$ , as described in [6] §2). This added feature follows from [5] §4, where the functor denoted by  $\Theta$  is clearly cocontinuous so that the monoidal full embedding

$$\mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}] \subset [\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{V}]$$

is cocontinuous, hence an equivalence by the Yoneda lemma.

Finally, we note that, if epimorphisms split in  $[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}]$ , then we obtain a monoidal equivalence

$$\mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}] \simeq [\mathcal{Z}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}), \mathbf{Vect}],$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  denotes the Karoubi envelope of  $\mathcal{A}$ ; this follows by combining the standard natural equivalence

$$[\bar{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{Vect}] \simeq [\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}]$$

with

$$\mathcal{Z}[\bar{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{Vect}] \simeq [\mathcal{Z}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}), \mathbf{Vect}],$$

the latter coming from the density of the embedding

$$\Psi : \mathcal{Z}(\bar{\mathcal{A}})^{\text{op}} \subset \mathcal{Z}[\bar{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{Vect}],$$

when epimorphisms split in  $[\bar{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{Vect}] \simeq [\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}]$ .

Thus we can take  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{Z}(\bar{\mathcal{A}})$  in this case and obtain the composite functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{Z}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}), \mathbf{Vect}] & \xrightarrow{\hat{K}} & [\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}] \\ & \searrow & \nearrow U \\ & \mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Vect}] & \end{array}$$

as an instance of a  $k$ -linear graphic transformation.

The duality and precompactness conditions mentioned in §2 can also be considered in this context.

*Remark.* We have considered just the *centres* of monoidal functor categories here. In fact, the results used above (from [5] and [6]) were originally established in terms of “lax” centres, but there is no significant difference in dealing with the centres.

## References

- [1] Brian Day. On closed categories of functors, Lecture Notes in Mathematics (Springer) 137 (1970) 1–38.
- [2] Brian Day. Compact convolution, arXiv:math/0605463v1 [math.CT], May 2006.
- [3] Brian Day. Monoidal functor categories and graphic Fourier transforms, arXiv:math/0612496v1 [math.QA], Dec. 2006.
- [4] Brian Day. When is existensial quantification conservative?, arXiv:0906.4594v1 [math.CT] Jan. 2009.
- [5] B. Day, E. Padchadcharam, and R. Street. Lax braidings and the lax centre, Contemporary Mathematics 431 (2007) 187–202.
- [6] B. Day and R. Street. Centres of monoidal categories of functors, Contemporary Mathematics 441 (2007) 1–17.
- [7] G. Moore and N. Sieberg. Classical and quantum conformal field theory, Communications in Mathematical Physics 123 (1989) 177–254.
- [8] P.-H. Zieschang. An algebraic approach to association schemes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics (Springer) 1628, 1996.

Department of Mathematics  
 Macquarie University  
 NSW, 2109, Australia