

# AMBIENT METRIC CONSTRUCTION OF CR INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

KENGO HIRACHI

*Dedicated to the memory of Tom Branson*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

These notes are based on my lectures at IMA, in which I tried to explain basic ideas of the ambient metric construction by studying the Szegő kernel of the sphere. The ambient metric was introduced in Fefferman [F] in his program of describing the boundary asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel of strictly pseudoconvex domain. This can be seen as an analogy of the description of the heat kernel asymptotic in terms of local Riemannian invariants. The counterpart of the Riemannian invariants for the Bergman kernel is invariants of the CR structure of the boundary. Thus the program consists of two parts:

- (1) Construct local invariants of CR structures;
- (2) Prove that (1) gives all invariants by using the invariant theory.

In the case of the Szegő kernel, (1) is replaced by the construction of local invariants of the Levi form that are invariant under scaling by CR pluriharmonic functions. We formulate the class of invariants in Sections 2 and 3. To simplify the presentation, we confine ourself to the case of the sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It is the model case of the ambient metric construction and the basic tools already appears in this setting. We construct invariants (formulated as CR invariant differential operators) by using the ambient space in Section 4 and then explain, in Section 5, how to prove that we have got all.

The CR invariant operators studied in these notes look similar to the invariants of CR densities studied in [EG1] and [GG]. However, there is a crucial difference. We here deal with operators acting on a quotient of the space of CR densities. They naturally arise in the description of the jets of geometric structures. For example, the jets of CR structures are described by Moser's normal form, which is defined as a slice of the quotient space of CR densities. In section 6, we explain that the quotient space can be realized as cohomology of a subcomplex of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) complex. In particular, Moser's normal form corresponds to the cohomology of the deformation complex, a subcomplex of the BGG complex for the adjoint representation. The space we use for the Szegő kernel comes from the Rumin complex, the BGG complex for the trivial representation.

General theory of the ambient metric construction in CR case has been developed in [F], [BEG] and [H2]; see [H3] for a survey and more comprehensive references. The proofs of the results in these notes (without citations) are given in my forthcoming paper, which will also deal with the general strictly pseudoconvex manifolds. See also [H1] for the case of 3-dimensions.

---

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914 JAPAN.

## 2. TRANSFORMATION RULE OF THE SZEGÖ KERNEL

To motivate the problem, we first recall the definition of the Szegö kernel and its transformation rule under scaling of the volume form. (One can skip this section and jump to the formulation of the problem in the next section.)

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  be the space of holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$ . We fix a volume form  $d\sigma$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and define a pre-Hilbert space  $CH(\Omega) = C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$  with inner product  $(f_1, f_2) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f_1 \overline{f_2} d\sigma$ . The completion of  $CH(\Omega)$  is called the Hardy space and denoted by  $H^2(\Omega, d\sigma)$ . As a set,  $H^2(\Omega, d\sigma)$  is independent of the choice of  $d\sigma$  but the inner product does depend on the choice. Each element of  $H^2(\Omega, d\sigma)$  is realized as a holomorphic function on  $\Omega$  that has  $L^2$  boundary value; thus we can identify  $H^2(\Omega, d\sigma)$  with a subspace of  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ .

Choose a complete orthonormal system  $\{\varphi_j(z)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $H^2(\Omega, d\sigma)$  and make a series

$$K_{d\sigma}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_j(z)|^2.$$

It converges for  $z \in \Omega$  and define a smooth function on  $\Omega$ , which is independent of the choice of  $\{\varphi_j(z)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . The function  $K_{d\sigma}(z)$  is called the Szegö kernel of  $H^2(\Omega, d\sigma)$ .

For the unit ball  $\Omega_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2 < 1\}$  with the standard ( $U(n)$ -invariant) volume form  $d\sigma_0$  on the boundary  $S^m$ ,  $m = 2n - 1$ , we can compute the Szegö kernel by choosing the monomials of  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  as a complete orthogonal system. Summing up  $|z^\alpha|^2$  after normalization gives

$$K_{d\sigma_0}(z) = c_n(1 - |z|^2)^{-n},$$

where  $c_n$  is the inverse of the volume of the sphere  $S^m$ . This computation utilizes the symmetry of  $S^m$  and can be applied only to very limited cases. Even if one just perturbs the volume form on  $S^m$ , it becomes very hard to compute the Szegö kernel.

For small perturbation of the ball and the volume form, we can give the form of the boundary asymptotics of the Szegö kernel.

**Theorem 1** (Fefferman, Boutet de Monvel–Sjöstrand). *If  $\Omega$  is strictly pseudoconvex domain with a smooth defining function  $\rho$ ,  $\Omega = \{\rho > 0\}$ , and  $d\sigma$  be a smooth volume form on  $\partial\Omega$ , then*

$$K_{d\sigma}(z) = \varphi(z)\rho(z)^{-n} + \psi(z) \log \rho(z), \quad \varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}).$$

The proof is based on the theory of singular integral operators or Fourier integral operators, and it is not practical to use these calculus to compute the expansion explicitly. We here try to apply the invariant theory to write down the coefficients  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in terms of the ‘‘curvature’’ of  $(\partial\Omega, d\sigma)$ . For this purpose, we derive the transformation rule of the Szegö kernel under biholomorphic maps and the scaling of the volume form.

Let  $F: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  be a biholomorphic map between strictly pseudoconvex domains with smooth boundaries. Then  $F$  can be extended smoothly to the boundary by Fefferman’s theorem. Suppose that we are given volume forms  $d\sigma$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $d\sigma'$  on  $\partial\Omega'$  such that  $F^*(d\sigma') = e^f d\sigma$  for a CR-pluriharmonic function  $f$  (i.e.,  $f$  can

be extended to a pluriharmonic function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $\Omega$ ). If  $K_{d\sigma}$  and  $K_{d\sigma'}$  are respectively the Szegő kernels of  $(\Omega, d\sigma)$  and  $(\Omega', d\sigma')$ , then

$$K_{d\sigma'}(F(z)) = e^{-\tilde{f}(z)} K_{d\sigma}(z), \quad z \in \Omega.$$

In particular, the coefficients of the logarithmic terms  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  of  $K_{d\sigma}$  and  $K_{d\sigma'}$  satisfy

$$\psi'(F(z)) = e^{-\tilde{f}(z)} \psi(z) \pmod{O(\rho^\infty)}.$$

On the boundary, we may multiply each side by the corresponding volume form and eliminate the scaling factor:

$$(1) \quad F^*(\psi' d\sigma') = \psi d\sigma \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Our aim here is to classify the differential forms that satisfy this transformation rule. (We also want to study higher order term in  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ , but it requires more geometric tools; see [H2].)

### 3. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

We now restrict the domain to the ball  $\Omega_0$  and express the transformation rule (1) in terms of the automorphism group of  $\Omega_0$ .

The automorphisms of  $\Omega_0$  are given by the action of  $G = SU(1, n)$  as rational maps. The action is defined by using the embedding of  $\Omega_0$  into the projective space

$$\Omega_0 \ni z \mapsto [1 : z] = [\zeta_0 : \zeta_1 : \cdots : \zeta_n] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n.$$

The ball is then defined by

$$L(\zeta) = |\zeta_0|^2 - |\zeta_1|^2 - \cdots - |\zeta_n|^2 > 0$$

and the complex linear transformations that preserve the hermitian form  $L$  give automorphisms of  $\Omega_0$ . Let  $\tilde{e}_0 = {}^t(1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and  $P = \{h \in G : h\tilde{e}_0 = \lambda\tilde{e}_0\}$ . Then the action of  $P$  fixes  $e_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^m$  and we may write  $S^m = G/P$ . Note that  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  and the representation theory of  $P$  plays an essential role in the characterization of invariant differential operators. For  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $\sigma_{p,q}$  the (complex) one-dimensional representation of  $P$ , where  $h \in P$  is represented by  $\lambda^{-p}\bar{\lambda}^{-q}$ . In case  $p = q$ , we regard  $\sigma_{p,p}$  as a real representation.

The action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  can be also seen as isometries of the Lorentz-hermitian metric

$$\tilde{g} = d\zeta_0 d\bar{\zeta}_0 - d\zeta_1 d\bar{\zeta}_1 - \cdots - d\zeta_n d\bar{\zeta}_n.$$

The Lorentz-hermitian manifold  $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \tilde{g})$  is called *the ambient space* of  $S^m$ . The ambient space admits  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action  $\zeta \mapsto \lambda\zeta$  and we may define homogeneous functions on it: we say that  $\tilde{f}(\zeta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C})$  is homogeneous of degree  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  if  $\tilde{f}(\lambda\zeta) = \lambda^p \bar{\lambda}^q$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . We can also define homogeneous functions on the real hypersurface  $\mathcal{N} = \{L(\zeta) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{E}(p) = \{f(\zeta) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{R}) : f(\lambda\zeta) = |\lambda|^{2p} f(\zeta), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\},$$

which is a  $G$ -submodule of  $C^\infty(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle over  $S^m = G/P$ , we can identify  $\mathcal{E}(p)$  with the space of the sections of the real line bundle induced from the representation  $\sigma_{p,p}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{E}(0)$  can be identified with  $C^\infty(S^m, \mathbb{R})$ ,

and  $\mathcal{E}(-n)$  is identified with the space of volume forms  $C^\infty(S^m, \wedge^m S^m)$ . The correspondence of the latter is given by

$$f(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \mapsto f(1, z)d\sigma_0.$$

To simplify the notation we write  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(0)$ . Since the space of CR pluriharmonic functions is preserved by the  $G$ -action, we may define the submodule

$$\mathcal{P} = \{f \in \mathcal{E} : f \text{ is CR pluriharmonic}\}.$$

When we study the jets of  $\mathcal{E}(p)$  at  $e_0$ , it is useful to have coordinates centred at  $e_0$ . Let

$$\xi_0 = \zeta_0 + \zeta_n, \quad \xi_1 = \sqrt{2}\zeta_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1} = \sqrt{2}\zeta_{n-1}, \quad \xi_n = \zeta_0 - \zeta_n.$$

Then in the coordinates  $(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)$ , we have  $\tilde{e}_0 = {}^t(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  and

$$2L(\xi) = \xi_0 \bar{\xi}_n + \xi_n \bar{\xi}_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\xi_j|^2.$$

Hence setting  $w_j = \xi_j/\xi_0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we may realize  $\Omega_0$  as the Siegel domain  $2 \operatorname{Re} w_n > \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |w_j|^2$  with  $e_0 = 0$ .

Now we return to the Szegő kernel. On the sphere, each volume form is written as  $d\sigma = e^f d\sigma_0$  for  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ . Hence the Szegő kernel can be seen as a functional of  $f$ . Taking the defining function  $1 - |z|^2$ , we write

$$K_{d\sigma} = \varphi_f(1 - z^2)^{-n} + \psi_f \log(1 - |z|^2).$$

Here we put the subscript  $f$  on  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  to emphasis that these coefficients are determined by  $f$ . In particular, we can define a map

$$\Psi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-n), \quad \Psi(f) = \psi_f d\sigma.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 also implies that  $\Psi$  is a differential operator, which polynomially depends on the jets of  $f$ . The transformation rule (1) can be now reformulated as follows:

- (i)  $\Psi$  is  $G$ -equivariant;
- (ii)  $\Psi(f + h) = \Psi(f)$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The property (i) follows from the fact that any automorphism  $F$  satisfies  $F^*(d\sigma_0) = e^f d\sigma_0$  for an  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ ; (ii) is the special case of (1) in which  $F$  is the identity map. Since the sphere is the model of CR manifolds, we will use the terminology ‘‘CR invariant’’ instead of  $G$ -equivariant. Then our problem can be stated as follows.

**PROBLEM 1.** Write down all CR invariant differential operators from  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{E}(-n)$  that are invariant under the additions of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

To be more precise, we should assume that the operator polynomially depends on the jets of  $f$ , that is, the value of the operator at  $e_0$  is given by a polynomial in the Taylor coefficients of  $f$  at  $w = 0$ . We will always assume this property in the rest of these notes.

## 4. CONSTRUCTION OF CR INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

We first study linear CR invariant differential operators. Such operators define homomorphisms of  $G$ -modules and we may apply the results of the representation theory. Taking the jets at  $e_0$ , we may reduce the study of invariant differential operators to the one for the homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules. These homomorphisms can be classified by using the affine action of the Weyl group on the highest weight. In particular, we obtain the following

**Theorem 2.** *There is a unique, up to a constant multiple, linear CR invariant differential operator  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-n)$ .*

This theorem ensures that we have a unique linear CR invariant differential operator, but does not provide its explicit formula. We construct the linear operator by using the Laplacian for the ambient metric

$$\Delta = \partial_{\zeta_0} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_0} - \partial_{\zeta_1} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_1} - \cdots - \partial_{\zeta_n} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_n}.$$

This is an analogy of the construction of conformally invariant differential operators in [GJMS].

**Theorem 3.** *For  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ , take an  $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})$  homogeneous of degree  $(0, 0)$  such that  $\tilde{f}|_{\mathcal{N}} = f$ . Then*

$$(\Delta^n \tilde{f})|_{\mathcal{N}}$$

*depends only on  $f$  and defines a differential operator  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-n)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta^n$  is linear, it suffices to show that  $\tilde{f}|_{\mathcal{N}} = 0$  implies  $(\Delta^n \tilde{f})|_{\mathcal{N}} = 0$ . Write  $\tilde{f} = Lh$  with a function  $h$  of homogeneous degree  $(-1, -1)$ . Then we have, for a positive integer  $k$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^k(Lh) &= [\Delta^k, L]h + L\Delta^k h \\ &= k(Z + \bar{Z} + n + k)\Delta^{k-1}h + O(L) \\ &= k(n - k)\Delta^{k-1}h + O(L). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $Z = \sum_{j=0}^n \zeta_j \partial_{\zeta_j}$  and we have used the fact that  $\Delta^{k-1}h$  is homogeneous of degree  $(-k, -k)$ . In particular, substituting  $k = n$ , we get  $\Delta^n(Lh) = O(L)$ .  $\square$

We denote the linear CR invariant differential operator defined above by  $Q(f)$ , as it agrees with the CR  $Q$ -curvature of the volume form  $d\sigma = e^f d\sigma_0$  or the contact form  $\theta$  satisfying  $d\sigma = \theta \wedge (d\theta)^{n-1}$ . See [FH] for more discussion about the ambient metric construction of the  $Q$ -curvature. Since  $h \in \mathcal{P}$  can be extended to a pluriharmonic function on the ambient space, we have  $Q(h) = 0$  for  $h \in \mathcal{P}$ ; thus  $Q$  satisfies the condition of Problem 1.

We can also use the ambient Laplacian  $\Delta$  to construct non-linear CR invariant differential operators. In this case, we need to specify the ambient extension  $\tilde{f}$  more precisely.

**Lemma 1.** *Each  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  can be extended to a smooth homogeneous function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying*

$$(2) \quad \Delta \tilde{f} = O(L^{n-1}).$$

*Such an  $\tilde{f}$  is unique modulo  $O(L^n)$ .*

*Proof.* We construct  $\tilde{f}$  by induction. Suppose that we have a function  $\tilde{f}_k$  homogeneous of degree  $(0, 0)$  such that  $\tilde{f}_k = f + O(L)$  and  $\Delta \tilde{f}_k = L^{k-1}h$  for an  $h$  homogeneous of degree  $(-k, -k)$ . (The second condition is vacuous if  $k = 1$  and we have  $\tilde{f}_1$ .) We set  $\tilde{f}_{k+1} = \tilde{f}_k + L^k g$  for  $g$  homogeneous of degree  $(-k, -k)$  and try to achieve  $\Delta \tilde{f}_{k+1} = O(L^k)$ . Since

$$[\Delta, L^k] = k L^{k-1}(Z + \bar{Z} + n + k),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \tilde{f}_{k+1} &= \Delta \tilde{f}_k + [\Delta, L^k]g + O(L^k) \\ &= L^{k-1}h + k(n-k)L^{k-1}g + O(L^k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as long as  $k \neq n$ , we may set  $g = -h/(k(n-k))$  and get  $\tilde{f}_{k+1}$ . The inductive step starting with  $\tilde{f}_1$  gives  $\tilde{f}_n$ . The uniqueness of  $\tilde{f}$  is also clear from the construction.  $\square$

From this proof, one can also observe that  $Q(f)$  is the only obstruction to the existence of an exact formal solution to  $\Delta \tilde{f} = 0$ . In fact, applying  $\Delta^{n-1}$  to  $\Delta \tilde{f} = L^{n-1}h$ , one gets  $\Delta^n \tilde{f} = \text{const. } h + O(L)$ . Thus  $Q(f) = 0$  implies  $h = O(L)$  and so  $\Delta \tilde{f} = O(L^n)$ . We can then apply the inductive step to get  $\tilde{f}_k$  for any  $k > n$ .

The metric  $\tilde{g}$  defines a flat hermitian connection  $\tilde{\nabla} = \tilde{\nabla}^{1,0} + \tilde{\nabla}^{0,1}$ . For iterated derivatives  $\tilde{\nabla}^{p+q}$ , we denote by  $\tilde{\nabla}^{p,q}$  the part of type  $(p, q)$ . Then we can define scalar valued nonlinear differential operators for  $\tilde{f}$  by making complete contractions:

$$(3) \quad W(\tilde{f}) = \text{contr}(\tilde{\nabla}^{p_1, q_1} \tilde{f} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\nabla}^{p_r, q_r} \tilde{f})|_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Here  $r \geq 2$ ,  $p_j, q_j \geq 1$ ,  $p_1 + \cdots + p_r = q_1 + \cdots + q_r = n$  and the contraction is taken with respect to  $\tilde{g}$  for some pairing of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic indices. In view of the ambiguity of  $\tilde{f}$ , we can show that  $W(\tilde{f})$  depends only on  $f$  and define a CR invariant differential operator  $W: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-n)$ . Since  $\tilde{\nabla}^{1,1}$  kills pluriharmonic functions, we also see that  $W(f+h) = W(f)$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{P}$ . We now give a solution to Problem 1.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $S: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-n)$  be a CR invariant differential operator that satisfies  $S(f+h) = S(f)$  for  $h \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $S$  is a linear combination of  $Q(f)$  and complete contractions of the form (3).*

In case  $n = 2$ , the theorem implies

$$\Psi(f) = c_2 Q(f) + c'_2 \|\tilde{\nabla}^{1,1} \tilde{f}\|^2,$$

where  $\|\tilde{\nabla}^{p,q} \tilde{f}\|^2 = \text{contr}(\tilde{\nabla}^{p,q} \tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{\nabla}^{q,p} \tilde{f})$ . However, we will see in the next section that  $\|\tilde{\nabla}^{1,1} \tilde{f}\|^2 = 0$  by using the fact that the rank of  $T^{1,0}S^m$  is one. Hence  $\Psi(f) = c_2 Q(f)$ . The constant  $c_2 = 1/(24\pi^2)$  is identified in [H1]; see also [FH].

In case  $n = 3$ , we have

$$\Psi(f) = c_3 Q(f) + c'_3 \|\tilde{\nabla}^{2,1} \tilde{f}\|^2 + c''_3 \operatorname{contr}(\tilde{\nabla}^{1,1} \tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{\nabla}^{1,1} \tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{\nabla}^{1,1} \tilde{f}),$$

where each term is nontrivial. We know  $c_3 \neq 0$  but haven't computed other constants.

## 5. JET ISOMORPHISM THEOREM AND INVARIANT THEORY

We explain how we reduce Theorem 4 to a purely algebraic theorem of representation theory. Let  $J\mathcal{E}$  denote the space of  $\infty$ -jet of smooth functions at  $e_0 \in S^m$ . If one fixes coordinates of  $S^m$  around  $e_0$ ,  $J\mathcal{E}$  is identified with the space of formal power series centered at  $e_0$ . We denote by  $J\mathcal{P}$  the subspace of  $J\mathcal{E}$  consisting of jets of CR-pluriharmonic functions in a neighborhood of  $e_0$ .

It is clear that a CR invariant (or  $G$ -equivariant) differential operator defines a  $P$ -equivariant map

$$I: J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \sigma_{-n,-n}.$$

Conversely, by Frobenius reciprocity, we can extend  $I$  uniquely to a  $(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -equivariant map  $\tilde{I}: J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}(-n)$  and it extends to a  $G$ -equivariant differential operator on  $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-n)$ . Thus Problem 1 is reduced to

**PROBLEM 2.** *Write down all  $P$ -equivariant map  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \sigma_{-n,-n}$ .*

Let us call “ $P$ -equivariant map” simply “CR invariant.” When we study the CR invariants of the quotient module  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P}$ , it is useful to have a slice of the coset. We here follow Moser's argument [CM] of making normal form for real hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

In the coordinates  $(w', w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ , the sphere  $S^m$  is given by  $2 \operatorname{Re} w_n = |w'|^2$  and  $(w', v)$ ,  $v = \operatorname{Im} w_n$ , give local coordinates of  $S^m$  with  $e_0 = 0$ . Thus we may identify  $J\mathcal{E}$  with the space of real formal power series of  $(w', \bar{w}', v)$ . Each element of  $J\mathcal{P}$  is given by the real part of a formal power series of  $\xi$  at  $\tilde{e}_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  homogeneous of degree 0. Hence substituting  $\xi_0 = 1, \xi'_l = w'_l, \xi_n = |w'|^2/2 + iv$ , we see that  $J\mathcal{P}$  consists of formal power series of the form

$$\operatorname{Re} \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0, l \geq 0} A_\alpha^l w'^\alpha (|w'|^2 + 2iv)^l, \quad \text{where } A_\alpha^l \in \mathbb{C}.$$

To define a complementary space of  $J\mathcal{P}$ , we write  $f \in J\mathcal{E}$  as

$$(4) \quad f(w', \bar{w}', v) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} A_{p,q}(v),$$

where

$$A_{p,q}(v) = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| = p, |\beta| = q \\ l \geq 0}} A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l w'^\alpha \bar{w}'^\beta v^l.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}_0$  be the subspace (not a submodule) of  $\mathcal{E}$  defined by the equations

$$A_{p,q} = 0 \text{ if } \min(p, q) = 0, \quad \Delta' A_{1,1} = 0,$$

where  $\Delta' = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \partial_{w_j} \partial_{\bar{w}_j}$ . Then we have

**Lemma 2.** *As a vector space  $J\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus J\mathcal{P}$ .*

We have  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{N}_0$  as vector spaces and may define  $P$ -action on  $\mathcal{N}_0$  via this isomorphism. We identify  $\mathcal{N}_0$  with the space of lists  $(A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l)$ ; so  $P$  acts on the list  $(A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l)$ . Then CR invariants of weight  $(-n, -n)$  are  $P$ -equivariant polynomial of  $(A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l)$  that takes value in  $\sigma_{-n, -n}$ . We define the weight of  $A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l$  to be  $|\alpha| + |\beta| + 2l$  and extend the weight to the monomials of  $(A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l)$  by summing up the weight of each variable. It then turns out that a CR invariant of weight  $(-n, -n)$  is a polynomial of  $(A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l)$  homogeneous of weight  $2n$  (examine the action of dilation  $(w', w_n) \mapsto (\lambda w', |\lambda|^2 w_n)$ ). Since each nontrivial  $A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l$  has weight  $\geq 2$ , we see that a nonlinear monomial of homogeneous weight  $2n$  depend only on the variables  $(A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l)$  of weight  $\leq 2n - 2$ . Thus we may restrict our attention to the polynomials on

$$\mathcal{N}_0^{[2n]} = \{(A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l)_{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2l < 2n} : (A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l) \in \mathcal{N}_0\}.$$

Note that the kernel of the projection  $\mathcal{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_0^{[2n]}$  is a  $P$ -submodule and so  $\mathcal{N}_0^{[2n]}$  has a structure of quotient  $P$ -module. As we already know the linear CR invariants, we may reduce Problem 2 to

PROBLEM 3. *Write down all CR invariant  $\mathcal{N}_0^{[2n]} \rightarrow \sigma_{-n, -n}$ .*

In case  $n = 2$ , we can determine CR invariants of weight  $(-n, -n)$  just by counting the weight of the variables  $(A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l)$ . Observe that the condition  $\Delta' A_{1,1} = 0$  implies  $A_{1,1} = 0$ . Thus  $f \in \mathcal{N}_0$  is of the form

$$f = A_{2,1} + A_{1,2} + \sum_{p+q \geq 4, p, q \geq 1} A_{p,q}.$$

It follows that each nontrivial  $A_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^l$  has weight  $\geq 3$ . Thus nonlinear monomial should have weight  $\geq 6$  and it cannot appear in an invariant of weight  $(-2, -2)$ . Since  $A_{2,2}(0)$  is the only term of weight 4, we see that a CR invariant of weight  $(-2, -2)$  must be a multiple of  $A_{2,2}(0)$ . (We know the existence of a CR invariant of weight  $(-2, -2)$ ; so  $A_{2,2}(0)$  must be a CR invariant.)

We next examine the dependence of  $\tilde{\nabla}^{1,1} \tilde{f}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_0$ . We use the coordinates  $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n$  to define the components of

$$\tilde{\nabla}^{p,q} \tilde{f}(\tilde{e}_0) = (T_{I_1 \dots I_p \bar{J}_1 \dots \bar{J}_q}(\tilde{f})).$$

The action of  $P$  on  $\tilde{f}$  induces an action on the tensors given by

$$\mathbb{T}_0^{p,q} = \bigotimes_{\odot}^p V^* \otimes \bigotimes_{\odot}^q \bar{V}^* \otimes \sigma_{-p, -q},$$

where  $V = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  on which  $P$  acts by right multiplication on column vectors. We next introduce a weight on each component of  $\mathbb{T}_0^{p,q}$ . Set  $\|0\| = 0$ ,  $\|j\| = 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ,  $\|n\| = 2$  and extend it to the list of indices by

$$\|I_1 \dots I_p \bar{J}_1 \dots \bar{J}_q\| = \|I_1\| + \dots + \|I_p\| + \|J_1\| + \dots + \|J_q\|.$$

Since  $\tilde{f}$  is unique modulo  $O(L^n)$ , we have the following estimate of the ambiguity.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\|\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}\| < 2n$ , then  $T_{\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}}(\tilde{f})$  depends only on  $f \in J\mathcal{E}$  modulo  $J\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{I} = I_1 \dots I_p$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \bar{J}_1 \dots \bar{J}_q$  with  $p, q \geq 1$ .*

Let  $\mathbb{T}_0 = \prod_{p,q \geq 1} \mathbb{T}_0^{p,q}$  and set

$$\mathbb{T}_0^{[2n]} = \{(T_{\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}})_{\|\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}\| < 2n} : (T_{\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}}) \in \mathbb{T}_0\}.$$

Since the kernel of the projection  $\mathbb{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_0^{[2n]}$  is shown to be a submodule, we may define  $P$  action on  $\mathbb{T}_0^{[2n]}$  as the quotient. The lemma above ensures that the map

$$T: \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{J}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_0^{[2n]}, \quad T(f) = (T_{\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}}(f))_{\|\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}\| < 2n},$$

is a well-defined  $P$ -equivariant map. It is easy to verify that the image of  $T$  satisfies the equations

$$(5) \quad \overline{T_{\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}}} = T_{\mathcal{J}\bar{\mathcal{I}}}, \quad \tilde{g}^{K\bar{L}} T_{\mathcal{I}K\bar{L}\bar{\mathcal{J}}} = 0, \quad T_{\mathcal{I}0\bar{\mathcal{J}}} = -|\mathcal{I}| T_{\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}},$$

where  $|I_1 \cdots I_p| = p$  and we also consider the case  $p = 0$ . These respectively follow from the facts that  $\tilde{f}$  is real,  $\Delta$ -harmonic and homogenous of degree  $(0,0)$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{H}_0$  the submodule of  $\mathbb{T}_0$  defined by these equations and define  $\mathcal{H}_0^{[2n]}$  to be its projection to  $\mathbb{T}_0^{[2n]}$ . It is clear that  $T(f)$  depends only on finite jets of  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{J}\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{N}_0$ . More precisely, we can show that  $T_{\mathcal{I}\bar{\mathcal{J}}}(f)$  of weight  $w$  is a homogeneous polynomial of  $(A^l_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$  of weight  $w$ . It follows that  $T: \mathcal{N}_0^{[2n]} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^{[2n]}$  is well-defined.

**Theorem 5** (Jet Isomorphism Theorem). *The map  $T: \mathcal{N}_0^{[2n]} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^{[2n]}$  is an isomorphism of  $P$ -modules.*

The isomorphism  $T$  reduces the problem of determining invariants on  $\mathcal{N}_0^{[2n]}$  to the one on  $\mathcal{H}_0^{[2n]}$ . It is clear that an invariant polynomial on  $\mathcal{H}_0^{[2n]}$  can be also seen as an invariant polynomial on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . The converse is also true because an invariant taking values in  $\sigma_{-n,-n}$  depends only on the components in  $\mathcal{H}_0^{[2n]}$ . Hence Problem 3 is reduced to

PROBLEM 4. *Write down all CR invariant  $\mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \sigma_{-n,-n}$ .*

Fortunately, this problem has been completely solved in more general setting.

**Theorem 6** ([BEG]). *Let  $I: \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \sigma_{q,q}$  be a CR invariant for some integer  $q$ . Then  $I$  is a linear combination of complete contractions of the form*

$$\text{contr}(T^{p_1, q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T^{p_k, q_k}), \quad T^{p,q} \in \mathbb{T}_0^{p,q}.$$

Note that  $T^{p,q}$  is trace-free and there is no linear CR invariant defined on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . On the other hand, the linear CR invariant operator  $Q(f)$  is the complete contraction of  $T^{n,n}(\tilde{f})$ , which is not covered by the jet isomorphism theorem. Thus there is no hope to generalize Theorem 5 to  $T: \mathcal{N}_0^{[w]} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^{[w]}$ ,  $w > 2n$ . To get some relation between  $\mathcal{N}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  for higher jet, one needs to introduce additional parameter to resolve the ambiguity of the harmonic extension. This approach is discussed in [H2].

## 6. BERNSTEIN-GELFAND-GELFAND RESOLUTION

We explain why it is natural to realize the quotient space  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P}$  as tensor space in  $\mathbb{T}_0$  from the point of view of the BGG resolution. We here only consider some explicit examples of (the dual of) BGG resolutions; more complete treatment of BGG should be contained in other articles in this volume. We first assume that  $n > 2$ . The case  $n = 2$  will be discussed at the end of this section.

Recall that the complexified tangent space of  $S^m$  admits a natural subbundle  $T_b^{1,0} = \mathbb{C}TS^m \cap T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n$  and its conjugate  $T_b^{0,1}$ . Hence the restrictions of the exterior derivative of a function give  $\partial_b f = df|_{T^{1,0}}$  and  $\bar{\partial}_b f = df|_{T^{0,1}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}^{1,0}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{0,1}$  denote the space of the sections of  $(T_b^{1,0})^*$  and  $(T_b^{0,1})^*$  respectively. For  $p+q \leq n-1$ , we also define  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}$  to be the space of the sections of  $\bigwedge^p (T_b^{1,0})^* \otimes_{\circ} \bigwedge^q (T_b^{0,1})^*$ , where  $\otimes_{\circ}$  means the trace-free part of the bundle with respect to the Levi form. Note that these bundles are induced from irreducible representations of  $P$ . We fix a subbundle  $N$  such that

$$\mathbb{C}TS^m = N \oplus T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}$$

and identify  $f \in \mathcal{E}^{p,q}$  with a  $(p+q)$ -form. If  $p+q < n$ , we can define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \partial_b & \mathcal{E}^{p+1,q} \\ \mathcal{E}^{p,q} & \nearrow & \\ & \bar{\partial}_b & \mathcal{E}^{p,q+1} \end{array}$$

by applying exterior derivative to the  $(p+q)$ -form and projecting to appropriate bundles; these maps are independent of the choice of  $N$ .

The decompositions of the exterior derivatives on functions and one-forms give a complex of  $G$ -modules ( $\mathbb{C}$  is regarded as the trivial representation)

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}} \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \\ \searrow \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \mathcal{E}^{0,2} \\ \searrow \mathcal{E}^{1,1} \\ \searrow \mathcal{E}^{2,0} \end{array}$$

Here  $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is the space of complex valued smooth functions. By composition, we can also define a  $G$ -equivariant map

$$R: \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{1,1}, \quad R(f) = \text{trace-free part of } i\bar{\partial}_b \partial_b f.$$

Restricting  $R$  to  $\mathcal{E}$  and then taking the jets at  $e_0$ , we obtain a homomorphism of  $P$ -modules

$$R: J\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{1,1}.$$

The kernel is given by  $J\mathcal{P}$  (see e.g. [L]) and we get an injection

$$J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{1,1}.$$

The map  $T: J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_0^{[n]}$  is given by taking the jet at  $\tilde{e}_0$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}^{1,1}\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}^{1,1}$  can be seen as the lift of  $R$  to the ambient space. In terms of the slice  $\mathcal{N}_0$ , the value of  $R(f)$  at  $e_0$  is given by  $A_{1,1}(0)$ , the leading term of the normal form. Since  $\Delta' A_{1,1} = 0$ , it defines a trace-free tensor.

We next realize  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P}$  as a cohomology of a subcomplex of (6). Since  $J\mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,2}$  is exact (see e.g. [B]), it gives no local invariants. However, by

replacing  $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}$  by  $\mathcal{E}$ , we obtain a complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,2}$$

which has nontrivial first cohomology

$$H^1 = \frac{\ker(\bar{\partial}_b : J\mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,2})}{\text{image}(\bar{\partial}_b : J\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,1})}.$$

We will show that this is isomorphic to  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The map  $J\mathcal{E} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,1}$  induces an isomorphism  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \cong H^1$ .*

*Proof.* By the exactness of  $J\mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,2}$ , we may find for each  $f \in \ker(\bar{\partial}_b : J\mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{0,2})$  a jet of function  $u + iv \in J\mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $f = \bar{\partial}_b(u + iv) \equiv i\bar{\partial}_b v \pmod{\bar{\partial}_b(J\mathcal{E})}$ . Thus  $i\bar{\partial}_b : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow H^1$  is surjective. It suffices to compute the kernel. If  $i\bar{\partial}_b v \in \bar{\partial}_b J\mathcal{E}$ , we may take  $u \in J\mathcal{E}$  so that  $i\bar{\partial}_b v = \bar{\partial}_b u$ , or equivalently,  $\bar{\partial}_b(u - iv) = 0$ . Thus  $v \in J\mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

We next apply the construction of the quotient module to the BGG resolution of the adjoint representation  $\mathfrak{su}(n, 1)$ . We first complexify the representation and give the BGG for  $\mathfrak{sl}(n + 1, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(n + 1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}(1) \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(1) \\ \searrow \overline{\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(1)} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \mathcal{E}^{(2,1)}(1) \\ \searrow \mathcal{E}^{(1,1)(1,1)}(1) \\ \nearrow \overline{\mathcal{E}^{(2,1)}(1)} \\ \searrow \end{array}$$

Here  $\mathcal{E}^{(p,q)}(1)$  denotes the space of the sections of  $\wedge^p(T^{0,1})^* \otimes \wedge^q(T^{0,1})^* \otimes \sigma_{1,1}$  satisfying  $f_{[\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \beta_1] \beta_2 \dots \beta_q} = 0$ , namely,  $f_{\alpha_1 \dots \beta_q}$  has the symmetry corresponds to the Young diagram with two columns of height  $p$  and  $q$ .  $\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)(1,1)}(1)$  is the space of the sections of  $\odot^2(T^{1,0})^* \otimes_{\circ} \odot^2(T^{0,1})^* \otimes \sigma_{1,1}$ .

Composing the homomorphisms in the complex, we obtain a map

$$R: \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(1,1)(1,1)}(1).$$

It has order 4 and is given by the projection to  $\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)(1,1)}(1)$  of  $\nabla^4 f$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Tanaka-Webster connection. The kernel of the map

$$R: J\mathcal{E}(1) \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)(1,1)},$$

denoted by  $J\mathcal{P}_1$ , is given by the jets of the form

$$\text{Re} \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{\xi}_j f_j(\xi),$$

where  $f_j$  is a formal power series of  $\xi$  at  $\tilde{e}_0$  of homogeneous degree 1. In this setting, we can say that the formal theory of Moser's normal form gives a slice of the coset of  $J\mathcal{E}(1)/J\mathcal{P}_1$ . Moser's normal form is a slice of equivalence classes of the real hypersurfaces of the form

$$2 \text{Re } w_n = |w'|^2 + f(w', \bar{w}', v)$$

modulo holomorphic coordinates changes that fix 0.  $J\mathcal{P}_1$  describes the linear part of the effect by coordinates changes. By setting  $\xi_0 = 1$ , we identify  $J\mathcal{E}(1)$  with the

space of real formal power series  $f(w', \bar{w}', v)$  of the form (4). Then the space of Moser's normal form  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is given by the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{p,q} &= 0 & \text{if } \min(p, q) \leq 1, \\ (\Delta')^{j+k+1} A_{2+j, 2+k} &= 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq j, k \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2** ([CM]). *As a vector space  $J\mathcal{E}(1) = \mathcal{N}_1 \oplus J\mathcal{P}_1$ .*

If we identify  $J\mathcal{E}(1)/J\mathcal{P}_1 \cong \mathcal{N}_1$ , the value of  $R(f)$  at  $e_0$  is given by  $A_{2,2}(0)$ , which can be identified with a trace-free tensor because of the normalization condition  $\Delta' A_{2,2}(0) = 0$ .

We next realize  $J\mathcal{E}(1)/J\mathcal{P}_1$  as a cohomology. If we start with the adjoint representation, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(1, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(1) \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \mathcal{E}^{(2,1)}(1) \\ \searrow H\mathcal{E}_\circ^{(1,1)(1,1)}(1) \end{array}$$

where  $H\mathcal{E}_\circ^{(1,1)(1,1)}(1)$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_\circ^{(1,1)(1,1)}(1)$  consisting of tensors with hermitian symmetry. The subcomplex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(1) \xrightarrow{D_0} \mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(1) \xrightarrow{D_1} \mathcal{E}^{(2,1)}(1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(n-1,1)}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

is called the deformation complex (see[C]):  $\ker D_1$  parametrizes infinitesimal integrable deformation of CR structure of  $S^m$ , and image  $D_0$  corresponds to trivial deformations. In analogy with Proposition 1 we have

$$J\mathcal{E}(1)/J\mathcal{P}_1 \cong \frac{\ker(D_1 : J\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(1) \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{(2,1)}(1))}{\text{image}(D_0 : J\mathcal{E}(1) \rightarrow J\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(1))}.$$

We finally consider the case  $n = 2$ . For the trivial representation, we have the following complex of CR invariant differential operators

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E}^{0,1}(-1) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{E}^{1,0}(-1) \end{array} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}(-2) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is called the Rumin complex. The operator in the middle has order 2 and the composition

$$R: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0,1}(-1)$$

has order 3.  $R(f) = 0$  characterizes CR pluriharmonic functions and  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P}$  can be embedded into  $\mathcal{E}^{0,1}(-1)$ . Under the identification  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{N}_0$ , the value of  $R(f)$  at  $e_0$  is given by  $A_{1,2}(0)$ , which is the third order term of  $f$ . It seems rather strange that the one form valued operator  $R$  lifted to the ambient space as a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor valued operator. This type of change also appears in the ambient metric construction of 3-dimensional conformal structures, where Cotton tensor lifted to the ambient curvature tensor.

For the adjoint representation, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}(1) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(1)}{\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(1)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(-1)}{\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{C}}(-3) \rightarrow 0.$$

The operator in the middle has order 4 and the composition  $\mathcal{E}(1) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}(-1)}$  has order 6. Under the identification  $J\mathcal{E}(1)/J\mathcal{P}_1 \cong \mathcal{N}_1$ , the value of  $R(f)$  at  $e_0$  is given

by  $A_{2,4}(0)$ . This is the leading term of Moser's normal form and has order 6. The ambient lift of  $R$  is  $\widetilde{\nabla}^{2,2}$ ; it again change the type of the tensor.

## 7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The arguments in section 5 can be applied to CR invariants  $J\mathcal{E}/J\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \sigma_{-p,-p}$  for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . These invariants are given by complete contractions on the ambient space. To study the case  $p > n$ , we need to generalize the jet isomorphism theorem. One way of doing this is to allow logarithmic term in the solution to  $\Delta \tilde{f} = 0$ . Since the solution is not unique, we need to introduce a parameter space to formulate the jet isomorphism theorem. This causes a new difficulty in the construction of CR invariants and the classification problem in this case is still open [H2].

To deal with the general strictly pseudoconvex real hypersurfaces in a complex manifold  $X$ , we need to start with the construction of the ambient space. The ambient metric is defined on the canonical bundle of  $X$  (with the zero section removed) and is constructed by solving a complex Monge-Ampère equation. The ambient metric construction in Section 4 also holds for this curved ambient metric. The details will be given in my forthcoming paper.

## REFERENCES

- [BEG] T.N. Bailey, M.G. Eastwood and C.R. Graham, *Invariant theory for conformal and CR geometry*, Ann. of Math. **139** (1994), 491–552.
- [B] A. Boggess, *CR manifolds and the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex*. Studies in Advanced Math., CRC Press, 1991.
- [C] A. Čap, *Infinitesimal Automorphisms and Deformations of Parabolic Geometries*, arXiv:math.DG/0508535
- [CM] S.S. Chern and J.K. Moser, *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds*, Acta Math. **133**(1974), 219–271.
- [EG1] M.G. Eastwood and C.R. Graham, *Invariants of CR densities*, in “Several complex variables and complex geometry”, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **52**, pp 117–133, A.M.S., 1991.
- [EG2] M.G. Eastwood and C.R. Graham, *Invariants of conformal densities*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 633–671.
- [F] C. Fefferman, *Parabolic invariant theory in complex analysis*, Adv. in Math. **31** (1979), 131–262.
- [FH] C. Fefferman and K. Hirachi, *Ambient metric construction of Q-curvature in conformal and CR geometries*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), 819–832.
- [GG] A.R. Gover and C.R. Graham, *CR invariant powers of the sub-Laplacian*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **583** (2005), 1–27.
- [GJMS] C.R. Graham, R. Jenne, L.J. Mason, and G.A.J. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian. I, Existence*, J. London Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 557–565.
- [H1] K. Hirachi, *Scalar pseudo-hermitian invariants and the Szegő kernel on three-dimensional CR manifolds*, in “Complex Geometry,” Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **143**, pp 67–76, Dekker, 1992.
- [H2] K. Hirachi, *Construction of boundary invariants and the logarithmic singularity of the Bergman kernel*, Ann. of Math. **151** (2000) 151–191.
- [H3] K. Hirachi, *Invariant theory of the Bergman kernel of strictly pseudoconvex domains*, Sugaku Expositions **17** (2004), 151–169.
- [L] J.M. Lee, *Pseudo-Einstein structures on CR manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), 157–178.