

Parametric Decomposition of Powers of Parameter Ideals and Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay Modules

Nguyen Tu Cuong ^{*} and Hoang Le Truong [†]
Institute of Mathematics
18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, 10307 Hanoi, Viet Nam

Abstract

Let M be a finitely generated module of dimension d over a Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and \mathfrak{q} the parameter ideal generated by a system of parameters $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of M . For each positive integer n , set

$$\Lambda_{d,n} = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \alpha_i \geq 1, \forall 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = d + n - 1\}$$

and $\mathfrak{q}(\alpha) = (x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_d^{\alpha_d})$. Then we prove in this note that M is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module if and only if there exists a certain system of parameters \underline{x} such that the equality $\mathfrak{q}^n M = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha)M$ holds true

for all n . As an application of this result, we can compute the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module with respect to certain parameter ideals.

Key words: Parametric decomposition, sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module, dimension filtration, good system of parameters.

AMS Classification: 13H99, 13H10.

1 Introduction

Throughout this note we denote R a commutative Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and M is a finitely generated R -module with $\dim M = d$. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters of module M and $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ the parameter ideal of M generated by \underline{x} . For each integers $n \geq 1$, we set

$$\Lambda_{d,n} = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \alpha_i \geq 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = d + n - 1\}.$$

^{*}Email: ntcuong@math.ac.vn

[†]Email: hltruong@math.ac.vn

Let $\mathfrak{q}(\alpha) = (x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_d^{\alpha_d})$ for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \Lambda_{d,n}$. We say that the system of parameters \underline{x} has the *property of parametric decomposition*, if the equality $\mathfrak{q}^n M = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha)M$ holds true for all $n \geq 1$. The main purpose

of this note is to study the question of when a given system of parameters of M has the property of parametric decomposition. Note that Heinzer, Ratliff and Shah [HRS, Theorem 2.4] proved that an R -regular sequence always has the property of parametric decomposition. Later, Goto and Shimoda [GS1, Theorem 1.1] showed that the converse is also true when each element of the sequence is a non-zerodivisor in R . Moreover, they gave in [GS2, Theorem 1.1] a characterization of R with $\dim R \geq 2$, in which every system of parameters of R has the property of parametric decomposition. In order to generalize this result of Goto and Shimoda, let us recall some notions which were defined in [CC]. A filtration $\mathcal{D} : H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) = D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \dots \subset D_t = M$ of submodules of M is said to be a *dimension filtration*, if D_{i-1} is the largest submodule of D_i with $\dim D_{i-1} < \dim D_i$ for all $i = t, t-1, \dots, 1$. If D_i/D_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay for all $i = 1, \dots, t$, M is called a *sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module*. A system of parameters $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ of M is called a *good system of parameters* of M if $D_i \cap (x_{d_i+1}, \dots, x_d)M = 0$ for all $i = 0, \dots, t-1$ where $d_i = \dim D_i$. Now, restrict our interest in the above question to the set of all good systems of parameters of M . It turns out that the property of parametric decomposition of a good system of parameters can be characterized by the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of the module. The following theorem is the main result of the note.

Theorem 1.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module.
- (ii) Every good system of parameters of M has the property of parametric decomposition.
- (iii) There exists a good system of parameters of M having the property of parametric decomposition.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we obtain a module version for the main result of Goto-Shimoda [GS2, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\dim M \geq 2$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)$ the 0^{th} local cohomology module of M with respect to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $M/H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module and $\mathfrak{m}H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) = 0$.
- (ii) Every system of parameters of M has the property of parametric decomposition.

Before giving proofs for Theorem 1.1 and its corollary in Section 3, we need some basic facts on good systems of parameters and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules, which will be summarized in Section 2. In Section 4 we shall show that the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module M with respect to a good parameter ideal (Theorem 4.3) can be effectively computed by using Theorem 1.1 and the dimension filtration \mathcal{D} of M .

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, R is a Noetherian local commutative ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and M is a finitely generated R -module with $\dim M = d$. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters of module M and we denote by \mathfrak{q} the ideal generated by x_1, \dots, x_d . For positive integers n, s , we set

$$\Lambda_{s,n} = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \alpha_i \geq 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i = s + n - 1\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{q}(\alpha) = (x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_d^{\alpha_d})$ for each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \Lambda_{d,n}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}^n \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha)M$, and if the equality $\mathfrak{q}^n = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha)M$ holds true for a system of parameters \underline{x} of M , we say that \underline{x} has the *property of parametric decomposition*. Recall that a filtration $\mathcal{D} : H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) = D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \dots \subset D_t = M$ of submodules of M is said to be a *dimension filtration*, if D_{i-1} is the largest submodule of D_i with $\dim D_{i-1} < \dim D_i$ for all $i = t, t-1, \dots, 1$, and system of parameters $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ of M is called a *good system of parameters of M* if $D_i \cap (x_{d_i+1}, \dots, x_d)M = 0$ for all $i = 0, \dots, t-1$ where $d_i = \dim D_i$.

Now, let us briefly give some facts on the dimension filtration and good systems of parameters (see [CC], [CN]). Because of the Noetherian property of M , the dimension filtration of M exists uniquely. Therefore, in the sequel we always denote by

$$\mathcal{D} : H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) = D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \dots \subset D_t = M$$

with $\dim D_i = d_i$ the dimension filtration of M . In this case, we also say that the dimension filtration \mathcal{D} of M has the length t . Moreover, let $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass} M} N(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ be a reduced primary decomposition of 0 of M , then $D_i = \bigcap_{\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq d_{i+1}} N(\mathfrak{p})$. Put $N_i = \bigcap_{\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq d_i} N(\mathfrak{p})$. Therefore $D_i \cap N_i = 0$ and $\dim(M/N_i) = d_i$. By the Prime Avoidance there exists a system of parameters $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ such that $x_{d_i+1}, \dots, x_d \in \text{Ann}(M/N_i)$. It follows that $D_i \cap (x_{d_i+1}, \dots, x_d)M \subseteq N_i \cap D_i = 0$ for all $i = 0, \dots, t-1$. Thus $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ is a good system of parameters of M , and therefore the set of good systems of parameters of M is non-empty. Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a good system of parameters of M . It easy to see that x_1, \dots, x_{d_i} is a good system of parameters of D_i and $x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_d^{n_d}$ is a good system of parameters of M for any d -tuple of positive integers n_1, \dots, n_d .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a good system of parameters of M . Then $D_i = 0 :_M x_j$ for all $j = d_i + 1, \dots, d_{i+1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$, and therefore $0 :_M x_1 \subseteq 0 :_M x_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq 0 :_M x_d$.*

Proof. Since $D_i \cap (x_{d_i+1}, \dots, x_d)M = 0$, we have $D_i \subseteq 0 :_M x_j$ for all $j \geq d_i + 1$. Thus it suffices to prove that $0 :_M x_j \subseteq D_j$ for any $d_i < j \leq d_{i+1}$. Assume that $0 :_M x_j \not\subseteq D_i$. Let s be the largest integer such that $0 :_M x_j \not\subseteq D_{s-1}$. Then $t \geq s > i$ and $0 :_M x_j = 0 :_{D_s} x_j$. Since $d_s \geq d_{i+1} \geq j$, x_j is a parameters element of D_s and therefore $\dim 0 :_M x_j < d_s$. Hence $0 :_M x_j \subseteq D_{s-1}$ by the maximality of D_{s-1} . This contradicts to the choose of s . Therefore $0 :_M x_j = D_i$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let N be a submodule of M such that $\dim N < \dim M$ and M/N a Cohen-Macaulay module. Let x_1, \dots, x_i be a part of a system of parameters of M . Then*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_i)M \cap N = (x_1, \dots, x_i)N.$$

Proof. We argue by the induction on i . The case $i = 1$ is trivial. Assume that $i > 1$. Let $a \in (x_1, \dots, x_i)M \cap N$. We write $a = x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_i a_i$, where $a_j \in M, j = 1, \dots, i$. Since $a \in N, a_i \in (N + (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M) : x_i$. On the other hand, since the sequence x_1, \dots, x_i is M/N -regular, $(N + (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M) :_M x_i = N + (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$, and we get $a_i \in N + (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$. Write $a_i = x_1 b_1 + \dots + x_{i-1} b_{i-1} + c$, where $b_j \in M, j = 1, \dots, i-1$ and $c \in N$. Then $a - x_i c \in (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M \cap N = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})N$ by the inductive hypothesis. Hence $a \in (x_1, \dots, x_i)N$. \square

Recall that M is said to be a *sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module*, if each quotient D_i/D_{i-1} in the dimension filtration of M is Cohen-Macaulay. Note that the notion of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules was introduced first by Stanley in [St] for the graded case, and was studied for the local case in [Sch], [CN]. The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.2 and the definition of a good system of parameters.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a good system of parameters of a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay M . Then $(x_1, \dots, x_d)M \cap D_i = (x_1, \dots, x_d)D_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t-1$.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1 we need some auxiliary lemmata. The following result is due to Heinzer-Ratliff-Shah [HRS, Theorem 2.4]. But we give here the module version of this result proved by Goto-Shimoda [GS2, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 3.1. *Let s be a positive integer and y_1, \dots, y_s an M -regular sequence in \mathfrak{m} . Then*

$$(y_1, \dots, y_s)^n M = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{s,n}} (y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_s^{\alpha_s})M$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

With the same methods that used in [GS1], we can prove the following results which are module versions of Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 2.1 of [GS1].

Lemma 3.2. *Let s be a positive integer and y_1, \dots, y_s a sequence of elements in \mathfrak{m} such that $(y_1, \dots, y_s)^n M = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{s,n}} (y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_s^{\alpha_s})M$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$(i) (y_1, \dots, y_i)^n M = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{i,n}} (y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_i^{\alpha_i})M \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } i < s.$$

$$(ii) y_{i+1}^k M \cap (y_1, \dots, y_i)^m M \subseteq (y_1, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})^{k+m} M \text{ for all } k, m \geq 1 \text{ and } i < s.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let s be a positive integer and y_1, \dots, y_s a sequence of elements in \mathfrak{m} such that $(y_1, \dots, y_s)^n M = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{s,n}} (y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_s^{\alpha_s})M$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$y_{i+1}^k M \cap (y_1, \dots, y_i)^m M \subseteq y_{i+1}^k (y_1, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})M + (y_1, \dots, y_i)^{m+1} M$$

for all $k, m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i < s$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 it is enough to show that

$$(y_1, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})^{k+m} M \subseteq y_{i+1}^k (y_1, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})M + (y_1, \dots, y_i)^{m+1} M$$

for all $k, m \geq 1$. Indeed, let a be an element of M and $(n_1, \dots, n_i, n_{i+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{i+1}$ such that $n_1 + \dots + n_{i+1} = k + m$. If $n_{i+1} \geq k$, then $n_1 + \dots + n_i + (n_{i+1} - k) = m \geq 1$. Thus

$$y_1^{n_1} \dots y_i^{n_i} y_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} a = y_{i+1}^k (y_1^{n_1} \dots y_i^{n_i} y_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}-k}) a \in y_{i+1}^k (y_1, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})M.$$

If $n_{i+1} \leq k-1$, then $n_1 + \dots + n_i = k + m - n_{i+1} \geq m+1$. Thus $y_1^{n_1} \dots y_i^{n_i} y_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} a \in (y_1, \dots, y_i)^{m+1} M$. Therefore

$$y_1^{n_1} \dots y_i^{n_i} y_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} a \in y_{i+1}^k (y_1, \dots, y_i, y_{i+1})M + (y_1, \dots, y_i)^{m+1} M,$$

and the inclusion follows. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters of M having the property of parametric decomposition. Then for all $1 \leq i < j \leq d$, there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^n = \mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_j^k$ for all $n \geq k$.*

Proof. First, we claim that $x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i M \subseteq x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M$ for all $n \geq 1$. Assume the contrary. Then, by Krull's Intersection Theorem there is an integer $m \geq 1$ so that $x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i M \subseteq x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M + \mathfrak{q}_i^m M$ but $x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i M \not\subseteq x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M + \mathfrak{q}_i^{m+1} M$. Therefore

$$x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i M \subseteq x_j^n M \cap [x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M + \mathfrak{q}_i^m M] = x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M + x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i^m M.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3 and the hypothesis, we get $x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i^m M \subseteq x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M + \mathfrak{q}_i^{m+1} M$. It follows that $x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i M \subseteq x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M + \mathfrak{q}_i^{m+1} M$, which is impossible. Hence $x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i M \subseteq x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M$ and the claim is proved. Thus

$$x_j^n (\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^n) \subseteq x_j^n M \cap \mathfrak{q}_i M \subseteq x_j^n (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^n \subseteq (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M + 0 :_M x_j^n$. Take $k \gg 0$ so that $\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^k = \mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^{k+1}$ and $0 :_M x_j^k = 0 :_M x_j^{k+1}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^n \subseteq (x_j, \mathfrak{q}_i)M + 0 :_M x_j^k$ for all $n \geq k$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^n$, we write $a = x_j b + x_1 b_1 + \dots + x_i b_i + c$, where $c \in 0 :_M x_j^k$. Since $x_j^n a \in \mathfrak{q}_i M$ and $n \geq k$, $b \in \mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^{n+1}$. Thus $a \in x_j (\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^{n+1}) + \mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_j^k$. It follows that

$$\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^n = x_j (\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^{n+1}) + \mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_j^k = x_j (\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^n) + \mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_j^k$$

for all $n \geq k$. Hence $\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_j^n = \mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_j^k$ by Nakayama Lemma. \square

Now we are able to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a good system of parameters of M . We prove by the induction on the length t of the dimension filtration \mathcal{D} of M that \underline{x} has the property of parametric decomposition. The case $t = 0$ is trivial. Set $\overline{M} = M/D_{t-1}$. Since \overline{M} is a Cohen-Macaulay module, the sequence x_1, \dots, x_d is \overline{M} -regular. Then $\mathfrak{q}^n \overline{M} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha) \overline{M}$, therefore $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha) M \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^n M + D_{t-1}$. Since $x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_d^{\alpha_d}$ is a good system of parameters of M for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}$, it follows by Corollary 2.2 that $\mathfrak{q}(\alpha) M \cap D_{t-1} = (x_1^{\alpha_1}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{d_{t-1}}^{\alpha_{d_{t-1}}}) D_{t-1}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha) M &= \left[\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha) M \right] \cap [\mathfrak{q}^n M + D_{t-1}] \\ &= \mathfrak{q}^n M + \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} [\mathfrak{q}(\alpha) M \cap D_{t-1}] \\ &= \mathfrak{q}^n M + \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} (x_1^{\alpha_1}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{d_{t-1}}^{\alpha_{d_{t-1}}}) D_{t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d_{t-1}}, 1, \dots, 1) \in \Lambda_{d,n}$ for any $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d_{t-1}}) \in \Lambda_{d_{t-1},n}$ and the length of the dimension filtration of the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module D_{t-1} is $t - 1$. Therefore, by the inductive hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \Lambda_{d,n}} (x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{d_{t-1}}^{\alpha_{d_{t-1}}}) D_{t-1} &\subseteq \bigcap_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d_{t-1}}) \in \Lambda_{d_{t-1},n}} (x_1^{\beta_1}, \dots, x_{d_{t-1}}^{\beta_{d_{t-1}}}) D_{t-1} \\ &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d_{t-1}})^n D_{t-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^n M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha) M = \mathfrak{q}^n M$$

as required.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a good system of parameters of M having the property of parametric decomposition. We show first that $(\mathfrak{q}_i M + D_s) : x_{i+1} = \mathfrak{q}_i M + D_s$ for all $i < d_{s+1}$ and $s = 0, \dots, t - 1$. Indeed, there exists by Lemma 3.4 a positive integer k such that $\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_{i+1}^k = \mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_{i+1}^k$ and $\mathfrak{q}_i M : x_{d_{s+1}}^{k+1} = \mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_{d_{s+1}}^k$. Observe by Lemma 2.1 that $0 :_M x_{i+1}^k \subseteq 0 :_M x_{d_{s+1}}^k$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_{d_{s+1}}) : x_{i+1}^k &\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_i M : x_{d_{s+1}} x_{i+1}^k \\ &= (\mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_{i+1}^k) : x_{d_{s+1}} \\ &\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_i M : x_{d_{s+1}}^{k+1} = \mathfrak{q}_i M + 0 :_M x_{d_{s+1}}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Note by Lemma 2.1 that $D_s = 0 :_M x_{d_{s+1}}^k$, so we get

$$(\mathfrak{q}_i M + D_s) : x_{i+1}^k \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_i M + D_s \subseteq (\mathfrak{q}_i M + D_s) : x_{i+1}$$

for all $i < d_{s+1}$, and the conclusion follows. This implies that $\text{depth} M/D_s \geq d_{s+1}$ for $s = 0, \dots, t-1$. Now, from the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow D_s/D_{s-1} \rightarrow M/D_{s-1} \rightarrow M/D_s \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that D_s/D_{s-1} is Cohen-Macaulay for all $s = 1, \dots, t$, and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.2. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). It is easy to see from the hypothesis that M is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module with the dimension filtration $\mathcal{D} : H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) \subset M$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d)M \cap H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) = (x_1, \dots, x_d)H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M) = 0$$

for any system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d of M . This means that every system of parameters of M is good, therefore it has the property of parametric decomposition by Theorem 1.1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). First, it follows by Theorem 1.1 that M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Remember that the definition of the dimension filtration of M that $D_0 = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(M)$ and $\dim D_i > 0$ for all $i > 0$. Therefore the implication is proved, if we can show that $\mathfrak{m}D_{t-1} = 0$. Suppose the contrary. Then there is an element $x_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$ so that $x_1 D_{t-1} \neq 0$ and $\dim M/x_1 M = d-1$. Since $d \geq 2$, we can choose $x_2 \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $x_2 D_{t-1} = 0$ and $\dim M/(x_1, x_2)M = d-2$. We observe that the sequence x_1, x_2 and $x_1, x_1 + x_2$ are part of systems of parameters of M . Therefore, by the hypothesis and Lemma 3.2, (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^2, x_1 + x_2)M \cap (x_1, (x_1 + x_2)^2)M &= (x_1, x_1 + x_2)^2 M \\ &= (x_1, x_2)^2 M = (x_1^2, x_2)M \cap (x_1, x_2^2)M. \end{aligned}$$

Since M/D_{t-1} is Cohen-Macaulay, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 D_{t-1} &= (x_1^2, x_1 + x_2)D_{t-1} \cap (x_1, (x_1 + x_2)^2)D_{t-1} \\ &= (x_1^2, x_2)D_{t-1} \cap (x_1, x_2^2)D_{t-1} = x_1^2 D_{t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x_1 D_{t-1} = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma, which is impossible. Hence $\mathfrak{m}D_{t-1} = 0$. \square

4 Hilbert-Samuel polynomials

A parameter ideal \mathfrak{q} is called a *good parameter ideal* if it is generated by a good system of parameters. Then, in this section we shall show that for a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module M the Hilbert-Samuel function $H_{\mathfrak{q}, M}(n) = \ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M)$ has a special expression with non-negative coefficients, which can

be computed by the dimension filtration, and this function coincides with the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial $P_{\mathfrak{q},M}(n)$ for any good parameter ideal \mathfrak{q} of M and all $n \geq 1$. Moreover, the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of M can be characterized by this expression of the Hilbert-Samuel function. First, we begin with the following lemma which is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{q} be a good parameter ideal of a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module M . Then*

$$\mathfrak{q}^n M \cap D_i = \mathfrak{q}^n D_i,$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $i = 0, \dots, t$.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{q} is a good parameter ideal of M , there is a good system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d of M such that $\mathfrak{q}M = (x_1, \dots, x_d)M$. Then by Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}^n M \cap D_i &= \left[\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} \mathfrak{q}(\alpha)M \right] \cap D_i \\ &= \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} (\mathfrak{q}(\alpha)M \cap D_i) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} (x_1^{\alpha_1}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{d_i}^{\alpha_{d_i}})D_i. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d_i}, 1, \dots, 1) \in \Lambda_{d,n}$ for all $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d_i}) \in \Lambda_{d_i,n}$. Therefore, we obtain by Theorem 1.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{d,n}} (x_1^{\alpha_1}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{d_i}^{\alpha_{d_i}})D_i &\subseteq \bigcap_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d_i}) \in \Lambda_{d_i,n}} (x_1^{\beta_1}, x_2^{\beta_2}, \dots, x_{d_i}^{\beta_{d_i}})D_i \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_{d_i})^n D_i. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathfrak{q}^n M \cap D_i \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_{d_i})^n D_i \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^n D_i$ and the conclusion follows. \square

The following result seems to be well-known. But, as we can not find a reference to it, we give a brief proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathfrak{q} be a parameter ideal of module M . Then*

$$\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) \leq \binom{n+d}{d} \ell(M/\mathfrak{q}M).$$

Moreover, this inequality becomes an equality if and only if M is a Cohen-Macaulay module.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a parameter ideal of M . We set $N = (M/\mathfrak{q}M)[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ and $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{q}}(M) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{q}^i M/\mathfrak{q}^{i+1}M$. Then one has the natural surjection $\varphi : N \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{q}}(M)$ defined by $\varphi(X_i) = \bar{x}_i \in \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{q}^2$. Therefore

$$\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) \leq \ell(N/(X_1, \dots, X_d)^{n+1}N) = \binom{n+d}{d} \ell(M/\mathfrak{q}M).$$

Moreover, the last inequality becomes an equality if and only if φ is an isomorphism, and this condition is clear equivalent to the Cohen-Macaulayness of M . \square

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathcal{D} : D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \dots \subset D_t = M$ be the dimension filtration of M and set $\mathcal{D}_i = D_i/D_{i-1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t$, $\mathcal{D}_0 = D_0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) M is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module.
- (ii) For any good parameter ideal \mathfrak{q} of M , it holds

$$\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) = \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{n+d_i}{d_i} \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{D}_i)$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

- (ii) There exists a good parameter ideal \mathfrak{q} of M such that

$$\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) = \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{n+d_i}{d_i} \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{D}_i)$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). We argue by the induction on the length t of the dimension filtration \mathcal{D} of M . The case $t = 0$ is obvious. Assume that $t > 0$. By virtue of Lemma 4.1, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D_{t-1}/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}D_{t-1} \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M + D_{t-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, we have $\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) = \ell(D_{t-1}/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}D_{t-1}) + \ell(\mathcal{D}_t/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}\mathcal{D}_t)$. Since D_{t-1} is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module and its dimension filtration is of the length $t - 1$, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that

$$\ell(D_{t-1}/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}D_{t-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \binom{n+d_i}{d_i} \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{D}_i).$$

Note that \mathcal{D}_t is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d = d_t$, we have

$$\ell(\mathcal{D}_t/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}\mathcal{D}_t) = \binom{n+d}{d} \ell(\mathcal{D}_t/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{D}_t).$$

Hence

$$\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) = \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{n+d_i}{d_i} \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{D}_i),$$

for all $n \geq 0$ as required.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Since the following sequence is exact

$$D_{t-1}/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}D_{t-1} \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M + D_{t-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

we get $\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) \leq \ell(D_{t-1}/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}D_{t-1}) + \ell(\mathcal{D}_t/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}\mathcal{D}_t)$. Therefore, by induction on the length of the dimension filtration we can show that

$$\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) \leq \sum_{i=0}^t \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}\mathcal{D}_i).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}\mathcal{D}_i) \leq \binom{n+d_i}{d_i} \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{D}_i)$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, t$ by Lemma 4.2,

$$\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}M) \leq \sum_{i=0}^t \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}\mathcal{D}_i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{n+d_i}{d_i} \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{D}_i).$$

It follows from the hypothesis of (iii) that $\ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}\mathcal{D}_i) = \binom{n+d_i}{d_i} \ell(\mathcal{D}_i/\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{D}_i)$ for all $i = 0, \dots, t$. Thus \mathcal{D}_i is Cohen-Macaulay for all $i = 0, \dots, t$ by Lemma 4.2 again, and this completes the proof. \square

References

- [CC] N. T. Cuong and D. T. Cuong, *On sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules*, preprint.
- [CN] N. T. Cuong and L. T. Nhan, *Pseudo Cohen-Macaulay and pseudo generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules*, J. Algebra **267** (2003), 156-177.
- [GS1] S. Goto and Y. Shimoda, *Parametric decomposition of powers of ideals versus regularity of sequences*, Proc. AMS, Vol. **132**, No. **1** (2003), 229-233.
- [GS2] S. Goto and Y. Shimoda, *On the parametric decomposition of powers of parameter ideals in a Noetherian local ring*, Tokyo J. Math., Vol. **27**, No. **1** (2004), 125-134.
- [HRS] W. Heinzer, L. J. Ratliff and K. Shah, *Parametric decomposition of monomial ideals I*, Houston J. Math, **21** (1995) 29-52.
- [Sch] P. Schenzel, *On the dimension filtration and Cohen-Macaulay filtered modules*, In. Proc. of the Ferrara meeting in honour of Mario Fiorentini, University of Antwerp Wilrijk, Belgium, (1998), 245-264.
- [St] R. P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, Second edition, Birkhäuser Boston, 1996.