

# Sylvester's Double Sums: the general case

Carlos D'Andrea

*Department d'Àlgebra i Geometria, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 585; 08007 Spain.*

Hoon Hong

*Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC 27695, USA.*

Teresa Krick

*Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina and CONICET, Argentina.*

Agnes Szanto

*Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC 27695, USA.*

---

## Abstract

In 1853 Sylvester introduced a *family* of double sum expressions for two finite sets of indeterminates and showed that some members of the family are essentially the polynomial subresultants of the monic polynomials associated with these sets. A question naturally arises: What are the *other* members of the family? This paper provides a complete answer to this question. The technique that we developed to answer the question turns out to be general enough to characterise *all* members of the family, providing a uniform method.

*Key words:* Subresultants, double sums, Vandermonde determinants.

---



---

\* D'Andrea's Research supported by the Research Project MTM2007-67493 of the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain; Hong's Research supported by NSF CCR-0097976; Krick's research supported by Argentine grants ANPCyT PICT 33671/2005 and UBACYT X-112; Szanto's research supported by NSF grants CCR-0306406 and CCR-0347506

*Email addresses:* [cdandrea@ub.edu](mailto:cdandrea@ub.edu) (Carlos D'Andrea), [hong@math.ncsu.edu](mailto:hong@math.ncsu.edu) (Hoon Hong), [krick@dm.uba.ar](mailto:krick@dm.uba.ar) (Teresa Krick), [aszanto@ncsu.edu](mailto:aszanto@ncsu.edu) (Agnes Szanto).

*URLs:* <http://carlos.dandrea.name> (Carlos D'Andrea), <http://www4.ncsu.edu/~hong> (Hoon Hong), <http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~krick> (Teresa Krick), <http://www4.ncsu.edu/~aszanto> (Agnes Szanto).

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite lists (ordered sets) of distinct indeterminates. In Sylvester (1853), Sylvester introduced for each  $0 \leq p \leq |A|, 0 \leq q \leq |B|$  the following *double-sum* expression in  $A$  and  $B$ :

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) := \sum_{\substack{A' \subset A, B' \subset B \\ |A'| = p, |B'| = q}} R(x, A') R(x, B') \frac{R(A', B') R(A \setminus A', B \setminus B')}{R(A', A \setminus A') R(B', B \setminus B')}$$

where

$$R(Y, Z) := \prod_{y \in Y, z \in Z} (y - z), \quad R(y, Z) := \prod_{z \in Z} (y - Z).$$

Let now  $f, g$  be univariate polynomials such that

$$\begin{aligned} f &:= \prod_{\alpha \in A} (x - \alpha) = x^m + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \dots + a_0 \\ g &:= \prod_{\beta \in B} (x - \beta) = x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + b_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m := |A|$  and  $n := |B|$ .

Since the double sum expressions are polynomials in  $x$  and symmetric in the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's, they can be expressed as polynomials in  $x$  whose coefficients are rational functions in the  $a_i$ 's and the  $b_j$ 's. In Sylvester (1853), the rational expression for  $\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x)$  is determined for the following values of  $(p, q)$  (see also Lascoux et al. (2003)):

- (1) If  $0 \leq d := p + q < \min\{m, n\}$ , then

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = (-1)^{p(m-d)} \binom{d}{p} \text{Sres}_d(f, g),$$

where  $\text{Sres}_d(f, g)$  is the  $d$ -th subresultant of the polynomials  $f$  and  $g$ , whose definition is recalled in Formula (3) next section (cf. (Sylvester, 1853, Art. 21) and also (Lascoux et al., 2003, Theorem 0.1)).

- (2) If  $p + q = m < n$ , then

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = \binom{m}{p} f(x)$$

(cf. (Sylvester, 1853, Art. 21) and also (Lascoux et al., 2003, Proposition 2.9 (i))). In fact, the  $d$ -th subresultant is also well defined for  $d = m < n$  as  $\text{Sres}_m(f, g) = f$ . This implies that Case (2) can be seen as a special case of Case (1).

- (3) If  $p + q = m = n$ , then

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = \binom{m-1}{q} f(x) + \binom{m-1}{p} g(x)$$

(cf. (Sylvester, 1853, Art. 22) and also (Lascoux et al., 2003, Proposition 2.9 (ii))).

- (4) If  $m < p + q < n - 1$ , then  $\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = 0$  (cf. (Sylvester, 1853, Arts. 23 & 24)).  
(5) If  $m < p + q = n - 1$ , then  $\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x)$  is a “numerical multiplier” of  $f(x)$  (cf. (Sylvester, 1853, Art. 25)), but the ratio is not established.

This note provides two contributions to this subject:

- Note that the above cases do not completely cover all possible values of  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $0 \leq p \leq m$  and  $0 \leq q \leq n$ . In this note we provide expressions for *all* the possible values of  $p$  and  $q$ , finally completing the previous efforts (See Theorem 10).
- Sylvester (1853) and Lascoux et al. (2003) used several different proving techniques for each of the above listed cases. In this note, we provide a *uniform* technique that can be applied to *all* the possible cases. We obtained the uniform technique by generalizing the matrix formulation, used in D’Andrea et al. (2007) for dealing with the cases (1) and (2), into a “global” matrix formulation.

## 2. The global matrix formulation

As in D’Andrea et al. (2007), we define for a polynomial  $h(t)$ , a finite list  $\Gamma := (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_u)$  of scalars and a non-negative integer  $v$  the (non necessarily square) matrix of size  $v \times u$ :

$$\langle h(t), \Gamma \rangle_v := \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & \begin{array}{c} u \end{array} & \\ & \begin{array}{ccc} h(\gamma_1) & \dots & h(\gamma_u) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \gamma_1^{v-1} h(\gamma_1) & \dots & \gamma_u^{v-1} h(\gamma_u) \end{array} & \\ & \begin{array}{c} v \end{array} & \end{array} \end{array}.$$

For instance, under this notation,

$$\langle x - t, \Gamma \rangle_v = (\gamma_j^{i-1} x - \gamma_j^i)_{1 \leq i \leq v, 1 \leq j \leq u},$$

and for  $v = u$  we have the following equality for the Vandermonde determinant  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$  associated to  $\Gamma$ :

$$\mathcal{V}(\Gamma) := |(\gamma_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq u}| = |\langle 1, \Gamma \rangle_u|.$$

For the rest of the paper,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq d \leq m + n$  and  $d' := m + n - d$ . We take a new variable  $T$  and we denote by  $U_d(x, T)$  the following square matrix of size  $m + n = d' + d$ :

$$U_d(x, T) := \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{c} n \qquad m \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{cc} \langle 1, B \rangle_{d'} & \langle T, A \rangle_{d'} \\ \langle x - t, B \rangle_d & \langle x - t, A \rangle_d \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{c} d' \\ d \end{array} \end{array} \end{array},$$

where  $\langle T, A \rangle_{d'} = (T \alpha^j)_{\alpha \in A, 0 \leq j \leq d'-1}$ . Finally we denote by  $u_d(x, T)$  its determinant, that we develop in the powers of  $T$ :

$$u_d(x, T) := |U_d(x, T)| = u_{d,0}(x)T^m + \dots + u_{d,m-1}(x)T + u_{d,m}(x). \quad (1)$$

We are now ready to state our first result, that relates  $\text{Sylv}^{p,d-p}(A, B; x)$  to the coefficient  $u_{d,p}(x)$ :

**Theorem 1.** Let  $0 \leq d \leq m + n$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq m$  and define  $q := d - p$ . Following Notation (1), we have that if  $0 \leq q \leq n$  then

$$u_{d,p}(x) = (-1)^{q(m-p)} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x)$$

while otherwise  $u_{d,p}(x) = 0$ .

**Proof.** We perform a Laplace expansion of the determinant of the matrix  $U_d(x, T)$  on the last  $d$  rows and we get the following expression:

$$u_d(x, T) = \sum_{\substack{A' \subset A, B' \subset B \\ |A'| + |B'| = d}} \sigma(B \setminus B' \cup A \setminus A', B \cup A) T^{m-|A'|} \mathcal{V}(B \setminus B' \cup A \setminus A') R(x, B') R(x, A') \mathcal{V}(B' \cup A'),$$

where, as in D'Andrea et al. (2007), “ $\cup$ ” stands for list concatenation, “ $\setminus$ ” means list subtraction and, for  $S \subseteq T$  finite lists,  $\sigma(S, T) := (-1)^j$ ,  $j$  being the number of transpositions needed to take  $T$  to  $S \cup (T \setminus S)$ .

We write  $u_d(x, T)$  in powers of  $T$ , with  $0 \leq p \leq m$  and  $0 \leq q := d - p \leq n$  implying  $\max\{0, d - n\} \leq p \leq \min\{d, m\}$ :

$$u_d(x, T) = \sum_{p=\max\{0, d-n\}}^{\min\{d, m\}} \left( \sum_{\substack{A' \subset A, B' \subset B \\ |A'| = p, |B'| = q}} \sigma(B \setminus B' \cup A \setminus A', B \cup A) R(x, B') R(x, A') \mathcal{V}(B' \cup A') \mathcal{V}(B \setminus B' \cup A \setminus A') \right) T^{m-p}.$$

We recall the elementary fact that transposing a block of  $j$  columns with an adjacent block of  $i$  columns produces in the determinant a change of sign of order  $(-1)^{ij}$ . Hence, for  $|A'| = p$  and  $|B'| = q$ ,

$$\sigma(B \setminus B' \cup A \setminus A', B \cup A) = \sigma(A \setminus A', A) \sigma(B \setminus B', B) (-1)^{q(m-p)},$$

and we have for  $\max\{0, d - n\} \leq p \leq \min\{d, m\}$ :

$$u_{d,p}(x) = (-1)^{q(m-p)} \sum_{\substack{A' \subset A, B' \subset B \\ |A'| = p, |B'| = q}} \sigma(A \setminus A', A) \sigma(B \setminus B', B) R(x, A') R(x, B') \mathcal{V}(B' \cup A') \mathcal{V}(B \setminus B' \cup A \setminus A').$$

Now we apply repeatedly the elementary fact that

$$\mathcal{V}(X \cup Y) = \mathcal{V}(X) \mathcal{V}(Y) R(Y, X)$$

for any pair of finite lists  $X, Y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(B' \cup A') \mathcal{V}(B \setminus B' \cup A \setminus A') &= \mathcal{V}(A') \mathcal{V}(B') R(A', B') \mathcal{V}(A \setminus A') \mathcal{V}(B \setminus B') R(A \setminus A', B \setminus B') \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{V}(A \setminus A' \cup A')}{R(A', A \setminus A')} \frac{\mathcal{V}(B \setminus B' \cup B')}{R(B', B \setminus B')} R(A', B') R(A \setminus A', B \setminus B') \\ &= \sigma(A \setminus A', A) \sigma(B \setminus B', B) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \frac{R(A', B') R(A \setminus A', B \setminus B')}{R(A', A \setminus A') R(B', B \setminus B')}. \end{aligned}$$

We finally obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{d,p}(x) &= (-1)^{q(m-p)} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \sum_{\substack{A' \subset A, B' \subset B \\ |A'| = p, |B'| = q}} R(x, A') R(x, B') \frac{R(A', B') R(A \setminus A', B \setminus B')}{R(A', A \setminus A') R(B', B \setminus B')} \\
&= (-1)^{q(m-p)} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

In view of Theorem 1, in order to produce a rational expression for  $\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x)$  it is enough to give a rational expression for  $u_{d,p}(x)$ . To this aim we first observe the following straightforward factorization formula for  $U_d(x, T)$  as a product of two rectangular matrices of sizes  $(m+n) \times (m+n+1)$  and  $(m+n+1) \times (m+n)$  respectively.

**Lemma 2.** 
$$U_d(x, T) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} d' \\ \mathbb{I}_{d'} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} d \\ \mathbf{0} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} d' & d+1 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{0} \\ x \ -1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ x \ -1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \\ \quad \quad \quad \ddots \ \ddots \\ \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \ddots \ \ddots \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ x \ -1 \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} n & m \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \langle 1, B \rangle_{d'} \ \langle T, A \rangle_{d'} \\ \langle 1, B \rangle_{d+1} \ \langle 1, A \rangle_{d+1} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} d' \\ d+1 \end{array}. \quad (2)$$

For the rest of the paper, we assume without loss of generality that  $m \leq n$ . The previous factorization of  $U_d(x, T)$  immediately yields

**Proposition 3** (Arts. 23 & 24 Sylvester (1853)). *Let  $m \leq n$ . If  $m < d < n - 1$ , then  $u_d(x, T) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** The assumption implies  $\max\{d', d+1\} < n$ . Then the first  $n$  columns of the matrix at the right of (2) have deficient rank since all  $n \times n$  minors vanish. A Binet-Cauchy expansion of  $u_d(x, T)$  therefore implies that  $u_d(x, T)$  vanishes as well. □

Our goal now is to provide a factorization like in (2), but with square matrices, that allows to recover  $u_d(x, T)$ . To this aim we recall that for  $0 \leq k \leq m < n$  or  $0 \leq k < m = n$ , the  $k$ -th subresultant of the polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  with indeterminate coefficients is a well defined polynomial

of degree  $k$ :

$$\text{Sres}_k(f, g) := \det \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} & & & m+n-2k \\ a_m & \cdots & \cdots & a_{k+1-(n-k-1)} & x^{n-k-1} f(x) \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & a_m & \cdots & a_{k+1} & f(x) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccc} b_n & \cdots & \cdots & b_{k+1-(m-k-1)} & x^{m-k-1} g(x) \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & b_n & \cdots & b_{k+1} & g(x) \end{array} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} n-k \\ m-k \end{array} \quad (3)$$

with  $a_\ell = b_\ell = 0$  for  $\ell < 0$ .

Expanding the determinant by the last column gives an expression

$$\text{Sres}_k(f, g) = F_k(x)f(x) + G_k(x)g(x) \quad (4)$$

with  $\deg F_k \leq n - k - 1$  and  $\deg G_k \leq m - k - 1$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $m \leq n$ . If  $0 \leq d \leq m$  or  $n - 1 \leq d \leq m + n$ , then there exist polynomials  $P(x) := P_0 + \cdots + P_d x^d$  and  $Q(x) := Q_0 + \cdots + Q_{d'-1} x^{d'-1}$  with  $P \neq 0$ ,  $k := \deg P \leq d$  and  $\deg Q \leq d' - 1$  if  $d' \neq 0$  such that we have the following matrix identity:*

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} & d' & & d+1 \\ d' & \mathbb{I}_{d'} & & \mathbf{0} \\ & & x-1 & 0 \\ d & \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & 0 & \cdots & x-1 \\ 1 & Q_0 \cdots Q_{d'-1} & & P_0 & \cdots & P_d \end{array} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} n & m & 1 \\ \langle 1, B \rangle_{d'} & \langle T, A \rangle_{d'} & \mathbf{0} \\ \langle 1, B \rangle_{d+1} & \langle 1, A \rangle_{d+1} & \mathbf{e}_k \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} d' \\ d+1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} m+n & 1 \\ U_d(x, T) & * \\ \mathbf{0} & P_k \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} m+n \\ 1 \end{array},$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_k$  is defined as the vertical vector of size  $d + 1$  with a single non-zero entry 1 in position  $k + 1$  and  $P_k$  is the leading coefficient of  $P$ .

Moreover,  $P(x)$  can be defined as

$$P(x) = \begin{cases} \text{Sres}_d(f, g) & \text{for } 0 \leq d < m \text{ or } d = m < n \\ f & \text{for } m < d = n - 1 \\ F_{d'-1}f + TG_{d'-1}g & \text{for } n \leq d < m + n \\ fg & \text{for } d = m + n, \end{cases}$$

where  $F_{d'-1}, G_{d'-1}$  are as in Identity (4) for  $k = d' - 1$ .

**Remark 5.** We note that  $P(x) = F_{d'-1}f + TG_{d'-1}g$  is the determinant of a matrix similar to the matrix (3) that defines  $\text{Sres}_{d'}(f, g)$ : we simply need to replace  $g(x)$  by  $Tg(x)$  in the last column of the matrix (3).

**Proof.** To get the factorization stated in Theorem 4, we only need to look at the product of the last row of the first matrix by the second matrix in the right side of the equality. These are

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_0 + \dots + Q_{d'-1}\beta^{d'-1}) + (P_0 + \dots + P_d\beta^d) &= 0 \\ (TQ_0 + \dots + TQ_{d'-1}\alpha^{d'-1}) + (P_0 + \dots + P_d\alpha^d) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\beta \in B$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . Equivalently, it is enough to produce polynomials  $P(x) := P_0 + \dots + P_dx^d$  and  $Q(x) := Q_0 + \dots + Q_{d'-1}x^{d'-1}$  with  $P \neq 0$ ,  $\deg P \leq d$  and  $\deg Q \leq d' - 1$  if  $d' \neq 0$  such that the following  $m + n$  equations are satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} Q(\beta) + P(\beta) = 0, & \forall \beta \in B \\ TQ(\alpha) + P(\alpha) = 0, & \forall \alpha \in A. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

For  $0 \leq d \leq m$  if  $m < n$  and  $0 \leq d < m$  if  $m = n$ , we define

$$\begin{cases} P(x) := \text{Sres}_d(f, g) = F_d(x)f(x) + G_d(x)g(x) \\ Q(x) := -F_d(x)f(x) - \frac{1}{T}G_d(x)g(x) \end{cases}$$

where  $F_d, G_d$  are as in Identity (4) for  $k := d$ . Thus  $\deg P = \deg \text{Sres}_d(f, g) = d$  and  $\deg_x Q \leq \max\{\deg(F_d f), \deg(G_d g)\} \leq d' - 1$ . We look at Condition (5):

$$\begin{cases} Q(\beta) + P(\beta) = (1 - \frac{1}{T})G_d(\beta)g(\beta) = 0, & \forall \beta \in B \\ TQ(\alpha) + P(\alpha) = (1 - T)F_d(\alpha)f(\alpha) = 0, & \forall \alpha \in A. \end{cases}$$

For  $m < d = n - 1$ , we define

$$P(x) := f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(x) := -f(x).$$

We have  $\deg P = m < d$  and  $\deg Q = m = m + n - d - 1 = d' - 1$  in this case. Condition (5) is trivially satisfied.

For  $n \leq d < m + n$ , we observe that  $0 \leq d' - 1 \leq m - 1$ . Thus  $\text{Sres}_{d'-1}(f, g)$  is well defined and we define

$$\begin{cases} Q(x) := -\text{Sres}_{d'-1}(f, g) = -F_{d'-1}(x)f(x) - G_{d'-1}(x)g(x) \\ P(x) := F_{d'-1}(x)f(x) + TG_{d'-1}(x)g(x) \end{cases}$$

where  $F_{d'-1}, G_{d'-1}$  are as in Identity (4) for  $k := d' - 1$ . Thus  $\deg Q = \deg \text{Sres}_{d'-1}(f, g) = d' - 1$  and  $\deg_x P \leq \max\{\deg(F_{d'-1}f), \deg(G_{d'-1}g)\} \leq \max\{m + n - (d' - 1) - 1, n + m - (d' - 1) - 1\} = d$ . Also  $P \neq 0$  since the leading terms can not cancel each other. We look again at Condition (5):

$$\begin{cases} Q(\beta) + P(\beta) = (T - 1)G_{d'-1}(\beta)g(\beta) = 0, & \forall \beta \in B \\ TQ(\alpha) + P(\alpha) = (1 - T)F_{d'-1}(\alpha)f(\alpha) = 0, & \forall \alpha \in A. \end{cases}$$

For  $d = m + n$ , since  $d' = 0$  in this case, we define  $P(x) = f(x)g(x)$ , which is of degree  $d$ , to satisfy Condition (5).  $\square$

Theorem 4 immediately implies that  $u_d(x, T)$  can be computed as the determinant of two square matrices for the values of  $d \leq m$  and  $n - 1 \leq d$ . Our next goal is to compute  $P_k$  in each case, as well as the determinants of these square matrices.

To this aim, for  $0 \leq d \leq m < n$  or  $0 \leq d < m = n$ , we set  $\Delta_k(f, g)$  for the leading coefficient of  $\text{Sres}_k(f, g)$ , i.e.  $\Delta_k(f, g)$  is the  $k$ -th scalar subresultant of  $f, g$ .

For  $k = m = n$ , we define for the coherence of the next results

$$\Delta_m(f, g) := 1.$$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $m \leq n$ . Following the notations of Theorem 4, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \deg P = d \text{ and } P_k = \Delta_d(f, g) & \text{for } 0 \leq d \leq m < n \text{ or } d < m = n \\ \deg P = m \text{ and } P_k = 1 & \text{for } m < d = n - 1 \\ \deg P = d \text{ and } P_k = (-1)^{d-n} \Delta_{d'}(f, g)(T - 1) & \text{for } m \leq n \leq d < m + n \\ \deg P = d \text{ and } P_k = 1 & \text{for } d = m + n. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** The first two cases and the last case are straightforward from the definition of  $P_k$ .

For  $m \leq n \leq d < m + n$ , we have that  $P(x) = F_{d'-1}(x)f(x) + TG_{d'-1}(x)g(x)$ . Thus  $\deg_x P = \max\{\deg_x(F_{d'-1}f), \deg_x(G_{d'-1}g)\}$  since the leading terms can not cancel each other. A direct computation on the matrix in (3) that defines  $\text{Sres}_k(f, g)$  shows that —since for  $k := d' - 1 < m$ ,  $n - k > 1$  and  $m - k > 1$  hold— then  $\deg_x F_k = n - k - 1 = d - m$  and  $\deg_x G_k = m - k - 1 = d - n$ . Therefore  $\deg_x P = \max\{m + n - (d' - 1) - 1, n + m - (d' - 1) - 1\} = d$ .

Finally, since  $f$  and  $g$  are monic, the leading coefficient of  $F_k(x)$  equals

$$(-1)^{m+n-2k+1}(-1)^{n-k+1}\Delta_{k+1}(f, g) = (-1)^{d-n+1}\Delta_{d'}(f, g)$$

and the leading coefficient of  $G_k(x)$  equals

$$(-1)^{m+n-2k+n-k+1}\Delta_{k+1}(f, g) = (-1)^{d-n}\Delta_{d'}(f, g).$$

Therefore  $P_d = (-1)^{d-n}\Delta_{d'}(f, g)(T - 1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.**

$$\det \begin{array}{c|c|c} & \begin{array}{c} d' \\ d+1 \end{array} & \\ \hline & \mathbb{I}_{d'} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline & \mathbf{0} & \begin{array}{cc} x & -1 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \dots & x & -1 \end{array} \\ \hline Q_0 \dots Q_{d'-1} & P_0 \dots \dots P_d & \end{array} \begin{array}{l} d' \\ d \\ 1 \end{array} = P_0 + \dots + P_d x^d =: P(x).$$

**Proof.** Because of the block triangular structure, this determinant equals

$$\det \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} x & -1 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & x & -1 \end{array} \\ \hline P_0 \dots \dots P_d \end{array} \begin{array}{c} d+1 \\ d \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array}.$$

We can permute the first  $d$ -block with the last row and expand the determinant by this new first row. We get

$$(-1)^d (P_0(-1)^d - P_1x(-1)^d + \dots + (-1)^d P_d x^d).$$

□

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $m \leq n$ . Then*

$$\det \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} \langle 1, B \rangle_{d'} & \langle T, A \rangle_{d'} & \mathbf{0} \\ \langle 1, B \rangle_{d+1} & \langle 1, A \rangle_{d+1} & \mathbf{e}_d \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \\ 1 \\ d' \\ d+1 \\ d+1 \end{array} \end{array} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{dm} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \Delta_d(f, g) T^{m-d} (T-1)^d & \text{for } 0 \leq d \leq m \\ (-1)^{m(d-1)+d} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) (T-1)^m & \text{for } m < d = n-1 \\ (-1)^{d'n} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \Delta_{d'}(f, g) (T-1)^{d'} & \text{for } n \leq d < m+n \\ \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Res}(f, g) & \text{for } d = m+n. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** First, let us recall (D'Andrea et al., 2007, Lemma 2):

$$\text{Sres}_k(f, g) \mathcal{V}(A) = \det \begin{array}{c} \langle x-t, A \rangle_k \\ \hline \langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-k} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} m \\ k \\ m-k \end{array},$$

which implies that its leading coefficient satisfies

$$\Delta_k(f, g)\mathcal{V}(A) = \det \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\langle 1, A \rangle_k}^k \\ \boxed{\langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-k}}^{m-k} \end{array}. \quad (6)$$

To simplify the notation of the proof, we will denote the matrix on the left side of the claim of the Lemma by  $M_d$ .

In case  $0 \leq d \leq m$  or  $n \leq d < m+n$ ,  $\deg P(x) = d$  by Lemma 6 and  $\mathbf{e}_d := (0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$ . Therefore

$$|M_d| = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\langle 1, B \rangle_{d'}}^{n} \quad \boxed{\langle T, A \rangle_{d'}}^{m} \\ \boxed{\langle 1, B \rangle_d} \quad \boxed{\langle 1, A \rangle_d} \end{array}^{d'}.$$

For  $d \leq m$ , we have that  $d' \geq n \geq m \geq d$  holds and therefore row operations yield

$$\begin{aligned} |M_d| &= \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\langle 1, B \rangle_{d'}}^{n} \quad \boxed{\langle T, A \rangle_{d'}}^{m} \\ \mathbf{0} \quad \boxed{\langle 1 - T, A \rangle_d} \end{array}^{d'} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\langle 1, B \rangle_n}^{n} \quad \boxed{\langle T, A \rangle_n}^{m} \\ \mathbf{0} \quad \boxed{\langle Tg(t), A \rangle_{m-d}}^{m-d} \\ \mathbf{0} \quad \boxed{\langle 1 - T, A \rangle_d} \end{array}^d \quad \text{since } \forall \beta \in B, g(\beta) = 0 \\ &= \mathcal{V}(B)T^{m-d}(1-T)^d \det \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\langle g(t), A \rangle_{m-d}}^{m-d} \\ \boxed{\langle 1, A \rangle_d} \end{array}^d \\ &= \mathcal{V}(B)T^{m-d}(1-T)^d(-1)^{d(m-d)}\mathcal{V}(A)\Delta_d(f, g) \quad \text{by (6)} \\ &= (-1)^{d(m-d+1)}\mathcal{V}(A)\mathcal{V}(B)\Delta_d(f, g)T^{m-d}(T-1)^d \\ &= (-1)^{dm}\mathcal{V}(A)\mathcal{V}(B)\Delta_d(f, g)T^{m-d}(T-1)^d. \end{aligned}$$

In case  $d \geq n$ , we have that  $d' \leq m \leq d$  holds and therefore row operations yield

$$|M_d| := \begin{array}{c|c|c} & n & m \\ \hline & \mathbf{0} & \langle T-1, A \rangle_{d'} \\ \hline & \langle 1, B \rangle_d & \langle 1, A \rangle_d \\ \hline & & d \end{array} d'$$

$$= \begin{array}{c|c|c} & n & m \\ \hline & \mathbf{0} & \langle T-1, A \rangle_{d'} \\ \hline & \langle 1, B \rangle_n & \langle 1, A \rangle_n \\ \hline & \mathbf{0} & \langle g(t), A \rangle_{d-n} \\ \hline & & d-n \end{array} n$$

$$= (-1)^{d'n} \mathcal{V}(B) (T-1)^{d'} \det \begin{array}{c|c} & n \\ \hline & \langle 1, A \rangle_{d'} \\ \hline & \langle g(t), A \rangle_{d-n} \\ \hline & d-n \end{array} d'$$

$$= (-1)^{d'n} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \Delta_{d'}(f, g) (T-1)^{d'}.$$

In case  $m < d = n - 1$ ,  $\deg P = m$  and  $\mathbf{e}_d$  is the vertical vector with a single non-zero entry 1 in position  $m + 1$ . Since  $d + 1 = n$ ,  $d' = m + 1$  and  $n \geq m + 1$ ,

$$|M_d| = \det \begin{array}{c|c|c} & n & m & 1 \\ \hline & \langle 1, B \rangle_{m+1} & \langle T, A \rangle_{m+1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline & \langle 1, B \rangle_n & \langle 1, A \rangle_n & \mathbf{e}_d \\ \hline & & & n \end{array} m+1$$

$$= \det \begin{array}{c|c|c} & n & m & 1 \\ \hline & \mathbf{0} & \langle T-1, A \rangle_{m+1} & -\mathbf{e}_d \\ \hline & \langle 1, B \rangle_n & \langle 1, A \rangle_n & \mathbf{e}_d \\ \hline & & & n \end{array} m+1$$

$$= (-1)^{(m+1)n} \det \langle 1, B \rangle_n \det \begin{array}{c|c} & m & 1 \\ \hline & \langle T-1, A \rangle_{m+1} & -\mathbf{e}_d \\ \hline & & m+1 \end{array} m+1$$

$$= -(-1)^{(m+1)n} \det \langle 1, B \rangle_n \det \langle 1, A \rangle_m (T-1)^m$$

$$= (-1)^{m(d-1)+d} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) (T-1)^m.$$

Finally the case  $d = m + n$  is straightforward since

$$|M_d| = \mathcal{V}(B \cup A) = \mathcal{V}(A)\mathcal{V}(B)\text{Res}(f, g).$$

□

We are ready now to compute  $u_d(x, T)$  for all values of  $d$ ,  $0 \leq d \leq m + n$ , and to deduce  $\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x)$  for all possible values of  $p$  and  $q$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $m \leq n$ . Then*

$$u_d(x, T) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{dm} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Sres}_d(f, g) T^{m-d} (T-1)^d & \text{for } 0 \leq d < m \text{ or } d = m < n \\ 0 & \text{for } m < d < n-1 \\ (-1)^\sigma \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) f(x) (T-1)^m & \text{for } m < d = n-1 \\ (-1)^\sigma \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) (F_{d'-1}(x)f(x) + TG_{d'-1}(x)g(x)) (T-1)^{d'-1} & \text{for } n \leq d < m+n \\ \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Res}(f, g) f(x) g(x) & \text{for } d = m+n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma = (d' - 1)n + d$ , and  $F_{d'-1}, G_{d'-1}$  are defined as in Identity (4) for  $k := d' - 1$ .

**Proof.** If  $m < d < n - 1$  then by Proposition 3 we have that  $u_d(x, T) = 0$ . For the other cases of  $0 \leq d \leq m + n$ , we apply Theorem 4 and Lemma 7. We get

$$u_d(x, T) \cdot P_k = P(x) \cdot \det \begin{array}{c|cc} & n & m & 1 \\ \hline \langle 1, B \rangle_{d'} & \langle T, A \rangle_{d'} & \mathbf{0} & d' \\ \hline \langle 1, B \rangle_{d+1} & \langle 1, A \rangle_{d+1} & \mathbf{e}_d & d+1 \end{array}.$$

Now for each of the following cases we also apply Lemmas 6 and 8:

For  $0 \leq d < m$  or  $d = m$  if  $m < n$ ,  $P(x) = \text{Sres}_d(f, g)$  and  $P_k = \Delta_d(f, g)$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} u_d(x, T) &= \frac{1}{\Delta_d(f, g)} (\text{Sres}_d(f, g) (-1)^{dm} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \Delta_d(f, g) T^{m-d} (T-1)^d) \\ &= (-1)^{dm} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Sres}_d(f, g) T^{m-d} (T-1)^d. \end{aligned}$$

For  $m < d = n - 1$  we have that  $P(x) = f(x)$  and  $P_k = 1$ , then

$$u_d(x, T) = f(x) (-1)^{m(d-1)+d} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) (T-1)^m,$$

and to get the sign  $(-1)^\sigma$  as in the claim, we note that in this case  $m = d' - 1$  and thus  $m(d-1) + d \equiv (d' - 1)n + d \pmod{2}$ .

For  $n \leq d < m + n$  we have that  $P(x) = F_{d'-1}(x)f(x) + TG_{d'-1}(x)g(x)$  and  $P_k = (-1)^{d-n} \Delta_{d'}(f, g) (T-1)$ . We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} u_d(x, t) &= \frac{(F_{d'-1}(x)f(x) + TG_{d'-1}(x)g(x)) (-1)^{nd'} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \Delta_{d'}(f, g) (T-1)^{d'}}{(-1)^{d-n} (T-1) \Delta_{d'}(f, g)} \\ &= (-1)^{n(d'-1)+d} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) (F_{d'-1}(x)f(x) + TG_{d'-1}(x)g(x)) (T-1)^{d'-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The last case,  $d = m + n$ , is straightforward.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $m \leq n, 0 \leq p \leq m, 0 \leq q \leq n$  and  $d := p + q$ . Then*

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{p(m-d)} \binom{d}{p} \text{Sres}_d(f, g) & \text{for } 0 \leq d < m \text{ or } d = m < n \\ 0 & \text{for } m < d < n - 1 \\ (-1)^{(m+q)(p+1)} \binom{m}{p} f(x) & \text{for } m < d = n - 1 \\ (-1)^\sigma \left( \binom{d'-1}{m-p} F_{d'-1}(x) f(x) - \binom{d'-1}{n-q} G_{d'-1}(x) g(x) \right) & \text{for } n \leq d \leq m + n - 1 \\ \text{Res}(f, g) f(x) g(x) & \text{for } d = m + n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma := q(m-p) + n(d-m) + d + n - q - 1$ , and  $F_{d'-1}$  and  $G_{d'-1}$  are defined as in Identity (4) for  $k := d' - 1$ .

**Proof.** We apply Theorems 1 and 9. By Theorem 1 we have that

$$u_d(x, T) = (-1)^{q(m-p)} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x). \quad (7)$$

For  $0 \leq d := p + q \leq m < n$  or for  $0 \leq d < m = n$ , we have by Theorem 9

$$u_d(x, T) = \sum_{p=0}^d u_{d,p}(x) T^{m-p} = (-1)^{dm} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Sres}_d(f, g) T^{m-d} (T-1)^d,$$

which implies that

$$u_{d,p}(x) = (-1)^{dm} (-1)^p \binom{d}{d-p} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Sres}_d(f, g).$$

Therefore, using (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) &= (-1)^{dm+p-q(m-p)} \binom{d}{p} \text{Sres}_d(f, g) \\ &= (-1)^{p(m-d)} \binom{d}{p} \text{Sres}_d(f, g) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$dm + p - q(m-p) = pm + p + qp = p(m-d) + p(d+1+q) \equiv p(m-d) + p(p+1) \pmod{2}.$$

For  $m < d < n - 1$ ,  $\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = 0$  since  $u_d(x, T) = 0$ .

For  $m < d := p + q = n - 1$ ,

$$u_d(x, T) = \sum_{p=0}^m u_{d,p}(x) T^{m-p} = (-1)^{(d'-1)n+d} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) (T-1)^m f(x)$$

which implies that

$$u_{d,p}(x, T) = (-1)^{(d'-1)n+q} \binom{m}{p} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) f(x).$$

Therefore, using (7), we get

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = (-1)^{(m+q)(p+1)} \binom{m}{p} f(x),$$

since

$$(d' - 1)n + q - q(m - p) = m(p + q - 1) + q - qm + qp \equiv (m + q)(p + 1) \pmod{2}.$$

For  $m \leq n \leq d := p + q < m + n$ ,

$$u_d(x, T) = \sum_{p=d-n}^m u_{d,p}(x) T^{m-p} = (-1)^{n(d-m)+d} \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) (F_{d'-1}(x) f(x) + T G_{d'-1}(x) g(x)) (T-1)^{d'-1},$$

which implies that for  $d - n > p$ , i.e.  $d > p + n$ , we have  $u_{d,p}(x) = 0$ , while for  $d - n \leq p < m$  or  $d - n < p \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{d,p}(x) &= (-1)^{n(d-m)+d} \left( (-1)^{n-q-1} \binom{d'-1}{m-p} F_{d'-1}(x) f(x) + (-1)^{n-q} \binom{d'-1}{m-p-1} G_{d'-1}(x) g(x) \right) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \\ &= (-1)^{n(d-m)+d+n-q-1} \left( \binom{d'-1}{m-p} F_{d'-1}(x) f(x) - \binom{d'-1}{m-p-1} G_{d'-1}(x) g(x) \right) \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (7), for  $n \leq d \leq m + n - 1$  we have

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = (-1)^{q(m-p)+n(d-m)+d+n-q-1} \left( \binom{d'-1}{m-p} F_{d'-1}(x) f(x) - \binom{d'-1}{n-q} G_{d'-1}(x) g(x) \right),$$

since

$$\binom{d'-1}{m-p-1} = \binom{d'-1}{d'-m+p} = \binom{d'-1}{n-q}.$$

Finally for  $d = m + n$ , i.e.  $p = m, q = n$  we have

$$u_d(x, T) = \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Sylv}^{m,n}(A, B; x) = \mathcal{V}(A) \mathcal{V}(B) \text{Res}(f, g) f(x) g(x)$$

which implies the claim.  $\square$

Finally we show how Theorem 10 implies Case (4) of the introduction:

**Corollary 11** (Art. 22 Sylvester (1853) and Proposition 2.9(ii) Lascoux et al. (2003)). *For  $d = m = n$  we have*

$$\text{Sylv}^{p,q}(A, B; x) = \binom{m-1}{q} f(x) + \binom{m-1}{p} g(x).$$

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 10 for  $d = m = n$ . In this case

$$\text{Sres}_{d'-1}(f, g) = \text{Sres}_{m-1}(f, g) = g(x) - f(x).$$

Therefore  $F_{m-1} = -1$  and  $G_{m-1} = 1$ . We also have  $2m - d - 1 = m - 1$  and  $m - p = d - p = q$  while  $n - q = p$ . Finally  $p(m - q + 1) + 1 = p(p + 1) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

$\square$

## Acknowledgements

T. Krick would like to thank the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications at Minneapolis and the Department of Mathematics of North Carolina State University where she was a guest during the preparation of this note.

## References

- C. D'Andrea; H. Hong; T. Krick; A. Szanto. *A Simple Proof of Sylvester's Double Sums for Subresultants*. Journal of Symbolic Computation, 42 (2007) 290–297.
- Lascoux, Alain; Pragacz, Piotr. *Double Sylvester sums for subresultants and multi-Schur functions*. J. Symbolic Comput. 35 (2003), no. 6, 689–710.
- Sylvester, J.J. *On a theory of syzygetic relations of two rational integral functions, comprising an application to the theory of Sturm's function and that of the greatest algebraical common measure*. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Part III (1853), 407–548.