PARAMETER TEST IDEALS OF COHEN MACAULAY RINGS

MORDECHAIKATZMAN

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to provide a description of parameter test ideals of local Cohen-Macaulay rings of prime characteristic p. The nature of this description will be such that it will allow us to give an algorithm for producing these ideals.

These descriptions will follow from the study of a certain Frobenius map on the injective hull of residue elds. In the complete case, which is the main case, Matlis duality will enable us to translate the Frobenius map above to a study of maps of quotients of regular rings to their images under the Frobenius functor.

2. Frobenius maps

Let S be a commutative ring of prime characteristic p and let M be an S-module. A Frobenius map on M is a Z-linear map : M ! M with the property that $(sm) = s^p$ (m) for all s 2 S and m 2 M . The fundamental example of a Frobenius map is the Frobenius map is the Frobenius map f : S ! S given by $f(s) = s^p$. The Frobenius map allows us to endow S with a structure of an S-bim odule: as a left S-module it has the usual S-module structure whereas S acts on itself on the right via the Frobenius map. We shall denote this bim odule $F_s(s)$ and so for all a 2 F (s) and s 2 S, s a = sa while a s = p, where denotes the action of S. We can extend this construction to obtain the Frobenius functor F sending any S-module M to $F_s(m) = F_s(s)$ M where S acts on $F_s(m)$ via its left-action on $F_s(s)$, so for s m 2 $F_s(m)$ and t 2 S we have t (s m) = ts m and (s tm) = s t m = p t m. We shall repeatedly (and tacitly) use the fact that the functor $F_s(s)$ is exact whenever S is regular (Theorem 2.1 in $F_s(s)$).

Iterations $e = \frac{z}{|z|}$ of Frobenius maps : M ! M result in maps e : M ! M

which satisfy (sm) = s^{p^e} (m) for all s 2 S and m 2 M . M ore generally, we will consider the set F^e (M) of all Z-linearm aps : M! M which satisfy (sm) = s^{p^e} (m) for all s 2 S and m 2 M . We can give F^e (M) the structure of an S-module: for any 2 F^e (M) and a 2 S we simply let a the map sending m 2 M to a (m). Furthermore, we can de near product in F (M) := F^e (M) to be composition of Z-linearm aps and thus endow F (M) with the structure of an S-algebra.

The iteration of the Frobenius map on R leads one to the iterated Frobenius functors F_R^i () which are de ned for all i 1 recursively by F_R^1 () = F_R () and F_R^{i+1} () = F_R F F_R^i () for all i 1. These higher Frobenius functors are also exact whenever S is regular.

1

¹⁹⁹¹ M athem atics Subject C lassi cation. Primary 13A 35, 13D 45, 13P 99.

In this paper we will be interested in studying Frobenius maps on injective hulls of residue elds and top local cohom ology modules. An example of the latter when S is local and ddimensional can be obtained as follows. The top local cohom ology module H_m^d (S) can be computed as the direct limit of

$$\frac{S}{(x_1; \dots; x_d)S} \xrightarrow{x_1 \dots y^x} \frac{S}{(x_1^2; \dots; x_d^2)S} \xrightarrow{x_1 \dots y^x} \dots$$

and we can de neafrobenius map $2 \, F^e \, H_m^d$ (S) on this direct limit by mapping the coset a+ $(x_1^n; \dots; x_d^n)$ S in the n-th component of the direct limit to the coset $a^{p^e} + (x_1^{np^e}; \dots; x_d^{np^e})$ S in the npe-th component of the direct limit. It is not hard to verify that this is indeed a well de ned map from H_m^d (S) to H_m^d (S) and that it is a Frobenius map. An important observation used in this paper is the fact that when S is Cohen-Macaulay, the Frobenius map $2 \, F^1 \, (H_m^d \, (S))$ described above generates the S-algebra $F \, (H_m^d \, (S))$ (cf. Example 3.7 in [LS]).

A di erent and fruitful way of thinking about Frobenius maps on M and their iterations is as left-module structures over certain skew-commutative rings. Given any commutative ring S we can construct a skew commutative ring S [T;fe] as follows: as an S-module it will be the free module $^1_{i=0}$ ST i and we extend the rule Ts = speT for alls 2 S to a (non-commutative!) multiplicative structure on S [T;fe]. Given a Frobenius map 2 Fe (M) on an S-module M, we can then turn it into a left S [T;fe]-module by extending the rule Tm = (m) for all m 2 M. The fact that this gives M the structure of a left S [T;fe]-module is simply because for all s 2 S and m 2 M,

$$T (sm) = (sm) = s^{p^e} (m) = s^{p^e} Tm = (Ts)m$$
:

This approach has been taken in many previous papers, the most relevant to us being [S].

3. A duality

In this section we set up the main tool, based on Matlis Duality, which will enable us to explore given R [T;f]-module structures of certain Artinian modules.

Henceforth in this paper (R;m) will denote a complete local regular ring of characteristic p. We shall denote the injective hull of R = m with E and ()— shall denote the functor $H \circ m_R$ (;E).

Let M be any R-m odule and for all m 2 M , let e_m 2 M -- be de ned by e_m (g) = g(m) for all g 2 M - . M at list duality states that for all R-m odules M which are either N oetherian or Artinian, the map M ! M -- which sends m 2 M to e_m is an isomorphism of R-m odules. If now M is an R [T; f^e]-m odule, this map endows M -- with a structure of an R [T; f^e]-m odule de ned by T e_m = e_{Tm} for all m 2 M , so now we may identify M and M -- as R [T; f^e]-m odules.

Since R is complete, a straightforward modi cation of Lemma 4.1 in \mathbb{L}] provides us with a natural, functorial isomorphism ${}^{\rm e}_{\rm M}:F_{\rm R}^{\rm e}(M)-!$ $F_{\rm R}^{\rm e}(M-)$ de ned for all Artinian R-modules. We shall use this isomorphism repeatedly in this section.

Fix now an ideal I R and write S=R=I. Let C^e be the category of Artinian $S[T;f^e]$ -m odules. Let D^e be the category of R-linear maps M ! F_R^e (M) where M is a nitely generated S-m odule and where a morphism between M $\stackrel{?}{\cdot}$ F_R^e (M) and N $\stackrel{!}{\cdot}$ F_R^e (N) is a commutative diagram of S-linear maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \longrightarrow & N \\
\downarrow a & & \downarrow b \\
F_R^e & M & \longrightarrow & F_R^e & N & N
\end{array}$$

In this section we construct a pair of functors $^e:C^e!$ D^e and $^e:D^e!$ C^e in a way that for all M 2 C^e , the S [T;f e]-m odule e e M) is canonically isomorphic to M and for all D = M $^!$ F_R^e M)) 2 D^e , e e D) is canonically isomorphic to D.

The functor e just the \backslash rst step" in the construction of Gennady Lyubeznik's functor H $_{R}$; $_{S}$, i.e., for M $_{S}$ C e we have an R-linearm ap $_{M}$: F_{R} e e M)! M given by $_{S}$ (r m) = rT m for all r 2 R and m 2 M . Applying ()— to the map one obtains an R-linearm ap $_{\overline{M}}$: M - ! F_{R} e e M)—. We now denote the M) to be the map M- $^{\overline{M}}$! F_{R} e $^{\overline{M}}$ -).

To de ne $^{\rm e}$ we retrace the steps above; given a nitely generated S-m odule N and a R-linear map a:N! $F_R^{\rm e}(N)$ we de ne $^{\rm e}($) to coincide with the functor ()- as a functor of S m odules giving $^{\rm e}(N)$ the additional structure of an S [T; $f^{\rm e}$] m odule structure as follows.

We apply – to the map a above to obtain a map a- :F_R^e (N)- ! N - .We next obtain a map $:F_R^e$ (N -) ! F_R^e (N)- as the following composition:

$$F_{R}^{e}(N-) = F_{R}^{e}(N-)^{--} \stackrel{(_{N_{-}})^{-}}{:} F_{R}^{e}(N-)^{-} = F_{R}^{e}(N)^{--}$$
:

Theorem 3.1. The functors $^{\rm e}:C^{\rm e}!$ D $^{\rm e}$ and $^{\rm e}:D^{\rm e}!$ C $^{\rm e}$ are exact. For all M $^{\rm e}$ C $^{\rm e}$, the S [T;f]-m odule $^{\rm e}$ $^{\rm e}$ (M) is canonically isomorphic to M . For all D = (N $^{\rm H}$ F $^{\rm e}$ (N)) 2 D $^{\rm e}$, $^{\rm e}$ $^{\rm e}$ (D) is canonically isomorphic to D .

P roof. The exactness of the functors follows from the exactness of the functors H om $_{\rm R}$ (;E) and F $_{\rm R}^{\rm e}$.

To prove the second statem ent we notice that for all M $\,^2$ Ce, e e(M) is M -- which we identify as an S-m odule with M by identifying each m $\,^2$ M with the e_m $\,^2$ M -- which we de ned at the beginning of this section. We want to show that this identication is an isomorphism of S[T;fe]-m odules, and to do so we now describe Te_m for allem $\,^2$ e e(M). This will be the image of 1 e_m under the map

where i_1 ; i_2 are the isom orphisms induced from the isom orphism of functors () = ()--. The functoriality of () implies that we have a commutative diagram

We may now rewrite the composition in (1) as

If we now start with D = $(N \stackrel{\mu}{:} F_R^e (N)) 2 D^e$

is given by the composition

(2)

where i_1 ; i_2 are the isom orphisms induced from the isom orphism of functors () = ()--. Now ($_{\bar{N}_-}$) 1 = ($_{\bar{N}_-}$) 1 and the functoriality of () implies that we have a commutative diagram

$$F_{R}^{e} (N--)^{--} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{c} N-- \\ N-- \end{array} \right)^{-1}} F_{R}^{e} (N-)^{---}$$

$$\downarrow^{i_{3}^{-1}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{i_{4}}$$

$$F_{R}^{e} (N--) \xrightarrow{N--} F_{R}^{e} (N-)^{-}$$

We may now rewrite the composition in (2) as

$$N = \frac{a^{-}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N)^{-} \frac{i_{3}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_{3}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_{3}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_{1}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_{2}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_{3}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_{1}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_{2}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_{3}}{!} F_{R}^{e} (N^{-})^{-} \frac{i_$$

Throughout this paper, when e=1 we will drop the subscript e from our notation. Thus $C^1=C$, $C^1=C$, etc.

As mentioned before, the functor is a building block for another functor described in section 4 of [L]. This functor, denoted with H $_{R}$; $_{S}$, is a functor from C to the category of F - nite F_{R} -modules (see sections 1,2 and 3 in [L] for denotion and properties) and is obtained as follows. For M 2 C write M) = M 11 12 13 14 12 13 14 14 15

N
$$^{\mu}$$
 $^{\mu}$ $^{\mu}$

Various useful properties of Lyubeznik's functor can be found in section 4 of [L].

4. Frobenius maps on injective hulls

Henceforth in this paper we shall x an ideal I R and denote R = I with S.

In this section we will structures of $E_S = E_S$ (S=mS), the injective hull of the residue eld of S. This description is not new: it is contained in Proposition 5.2 in LS]. Later in the section we shall use this description to describe explicitly the nilpotent elements of E_S (S=mS).

Proposition 4.1. The S[I;fe]-module structures on Es are given by

e
 R = T g R = T $^{[p^{e}]}$

where the map above is given by multiplication by someg2 $I^{[p^e]}:I$.

Proof. Clearly, all R linear maps R=I! R=I $^{[p^e]}$ are given by multiplication by someg 2 I $^{[p^e]}$:I. The proposition now follows from Theorem 3.1 and the fact that E-= S.

The bijection between R-linear maps R=I! R=I^[p^e] and S[I;f^{e]}-module structures on E_S has been described explicitly in Chapter 3 of B] as follows. First, notice that E, thought of as the direct lim it of

$$\frac{\mathsf{R}}{(y_1; \dots; y_n)\mathsf{R}} \overset{y_1}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\mathsf{H}}{(y_1^2; \dots; y_n^2)\mathsf{R}} \overset{y_1}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\mathsf{H}}{(y_1^2; \dots; y_n^2)\mathsf{R}} \overset{y_1}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \overset{y$$

where $y_1;\dots;y_n$ is a system of parameters for R , has a natural Frobenius map given by

$$(r + (y_1^s; ...; y_n^s)) = r^p + (y_1^{sp}; ...; y_n^{sp}) 2 \frac{R}{(y_1^{sp}; ...; y_n^{sp})R}$$
:

Now if u 2 ($I^{[p]} : R$ I), u , which is also a Frobenius map on E, will restrict to a Frobenius map on E_S = ann_E I because for all m 2 ann_E I,

Iu (m)
$$I^{[p]}$$
 (m) = (Im) = (0) = 0:

In Chapter 3 of B] it is shown that all Frobenius maps on Es are obtained in this way.

Henceforth in this section we shall assume that E_S has a given S [I;f]-module structure. Our next aim is to describe the S [I;f]-submodules of E_S . Later in the section we shall use this description to describe explicitly the nilpotent elements of E_S . We start by recalling that the set of S-submodules of E_S is $fann_{E_S}$ J jJ Sg (cf. Theorem 5.21 in [SV].) If we now asked for a description of the S [I;f]-submodules of E_S , the answer would obviously be \all ann_{E_S} J which happen to be S [I;f]-submodules of E_S ". We ith this in mind we denote the following.

De nition 4.2. An ideal J S is called an E_S -ideal if ann_{E_S} J is an S [T;f]-submodule of E_S . An ideal J R is called an E_S -ideal if it contains I and its image in S is an E_S -ideal.

Notice that for an ideal J S, being an E_S -ideal is equivalent to ann_{E_S} J = ann_{E_S} J S [T;f]. We also note that when S is G or enstein the notion of E_S ideals coincides with that of F - ideals studied in [Sm 1].

Theorem 4.3. Let u 2 R be such that (E_S) is the map $\frac{R}{I}$! $\frac{R}{I^{[p]}}$. The E_S-special ideals consist of all ideals L R containing I for which uL L^[p].

Proof. Assume rst that L is E_S -special and hence an E-ideal. Apply the functor to the short exact sequence of S[T;f] modules

(3)
$$0! \quad \operatorname{ann}_{E_s} L ! \quad E_S ! \quad E = \operatorname{ann}_{E_s} L ! \quad 0$$

to obtain the following short exact sequence in D

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{L}{I} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{I} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{L} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow^{u} \qquad \downarrow^{u} \qquad \downarrow^{u}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{L^{[p]}}{T^{[p]}} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{L^{[p]}} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{L^{[p]}} \longrightarrow 0$$

and we must have $uL L^{[p]}$.

On the other hand, if uL $L^{[p]}$, we can construct the com mutative diagram (4), and an application of the functor gives back the short exact sequence (3) and we deduce that L is an E-ideal and hence a special E_S ideal.

We now turn our attention to the nilpotent elements of E $_{\rm S}$, i.e., to the S [T ;f]-subm odule of E $_{\rm S}$

$$Nil(E_S) = fm \ 2 E_S jT^em = 0 for somee 0g:$$

Recall that we can write $Nil(E_S)$ as ann_{E_S} JS [T;f] for some E_S -ideal J R. Also, it is known that there exists an 1 such that T $Nil(E_S) = 0$ (cf. [HS, Proposition 1.11] and [L, Proposition 4.4]). This invariant of S plays an important role in the study of the Frobenius closure (see [KS] and [HKSY].) We now describe the ideal J and the index of nilpotency .

De nition 4.4. For alle $1 \text{ write } = 1 + p + \frac{9}{4} p$.

Proposition 4.5. Let the map $(E_S) = (R = I ! R = I^{[p]})$ be given by multiplication by u 2 R. Consider E_S as an S[; f^e]-module where for all m 2 E_S , we de ne m = T^e m. The map $e(E_S) = (R = I ! R = I^{[p^e]})$ is given by multiplication by u e.

Proof. For all e 1 the R-linear map $: F^e(E_S) ! E_S$ de ned by $(r m) = rT^e m$ can be factored as $= _1$ $_e$ where for all 1 i e, $_i$ is the R-linear map $_i : F^i(M) ! F^{i-1}(M)$ de ned by $_i(r m) = r T m$. Also, it is not hard to see that $_{i+1} = F(_i)$ for all 1 i e.

Now for alle 1, the map $^{e}(E_{S}) = (R = I! R = I^{p^{e}}]$) is given by $_{E_{S}}^{e}$ -. It follows from the construction of $_{E_{S}}^{e}$ that, if we identify $E_{\overline{S}}$ with R = I, $_{E_{S}}^{e}: R = I^{[p^{e}]}! R = I^{[p^{e}]}$ is the identity map. Now

$$-=$$
 $\frac{1}{e}$ $=$ $F^{e}(u)$ $F^{e-1}(u)$ $u^{[p]e}$ u u^{e} : u

Theorem 4.6. Let the map $(E_S) = (R = I \ ! \ R = I^{[p]})$ be given by multiplication by u 2 R. For all e 1 let J_e be the smallest ideal of R which contains I and such that $u \cdot 2 \ J_e^{[p^e]}$. There exists an 1 such that $J = J_{+1}$ and for this , $ann_{E_S} J$ coincides with the S [T;f]-m odule N il(E_S) of nilpotent elements of E_S. Furtherm ore, the index of nilpotency of N il(E_S), if not zero, is the smallest such .

Proof. For all e 1 let $N_e = \text{fm } 2 \text{ E}_S \text{ jT}^e \text{m} = 0 \text{g}$ and write $N_e = \text{ann}_{E_S} L_e$ for some $E_S - \text{ideal} L_e$.

Notice that $^{e}(N_{e}) = (R = L_{e}! R = L_{e}^{[p^{e}]})$ and that the previous proposition in plies that this map is given by multiplication by u^{e} . It follows from the construction of $^{e}(N_{e}) = (R = L_{e}^{u}! R = L_{e}^{[p^{e}]})$ that this map is the zero map, i.e., $u^{e} = 2 L_{e}^{[p^{e}]}$; now the minimality of J_{e} in plies that $J_{e} = L_{e}$ and $Ann_{E_{s}} = L_{e} = Ann_{E_{s}} = L_{e}$.

On the other hand, the map $R = J_e^{u} \stackrel{!}{!} R = J_e^{[p^e]}$ is the zero map and so T^e kills

e
 R=J $_{e}$ u $\overset{e}{!}$ R=J $_{e}$ $^{[p^{e}]}$ = ann $_{E_{S}}$ J $_{e}$

hence $\operatorname{ann}_{E_s} J_e = \operatorname{nn}_{E_s} L_e$ and we deduce that $\operatorname{ann}_{E_s} J_e = \operatorname{N}_e$.

Proposition 4.4 in [L] now implies that N il(E_S) = N for some 1, and since N il(E_S) is the union of the ascending chain fN g _1, we see that N = N for all . Also, if N = N _+1 but N il(E_S) \in N _, pick any non-zero m 2 N il(E_S) n N and let i 0 be m inimal such that T im $\not\equiv$ N . Now T $^{+1}$ (T im) = T T i + 1 m = 0 so T i m 2 N _+1 n N _, a contradiction .

We shall see in section 5 how to compute this smallest ideal J I for which u e 2 J [pe].

We conclude this section by exhibiting another \naturally occurring S[T;f]-submodule of E_S .

Theorem 4.7. Let the map $(E_S) = (R = I! R = I^{[p]})$ be given by multiplication by u 2 R. For all 0 write L = $I^{[p]}$ R u .

- (a) The sequence of ideals fL g $_{1}$ is an ascending sequence.
- (b) If $L_A = L_{A+1}$ then $L = L_A$ for all A and L_A is an E_S -ideal.
- (c) Let L be the stable value of fL g $_1$. The quotient $E_S = ann_{E_S}$ L is nilpotent and for any E_S —ideal K , $E_S = ann_{E_S}$ K is nilpotent if and only if K L.

Proof. For all 1 we the map $g: R=I! R=I^{[p]}$ given by the composition

is just the map $g: R=I^u! R=I^{[p]}$ given by multiplication by u and whose kernel is L . These kernels form an ascending chain, so (a) follows.

The rst statement in (b) follows from Proposition 2.3(b) in L]. To prove the second statement, we rst notice that since uI $I^{[p]}$, $u^p I^{[p]} I^{[p^{+1}]}$ for all 1 hence u I $I^{[p]}$ and we deduce that I L for all 1. To show that L_A is an E_S -ideal it

rem ains to prove that uL_A $L_A^{[p]}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} uL_{A} & = & uL_{A+1} \\ & & I^{[p^{A+1}]} & u^{p+p^{2}+ :::p^{A}} \\ \\ & = & I^{[p^{A}]} & u^{N_{A}} \end{array}$$

$$= & I^{[p^{A}]} & u^{N_{A}} \end{array}$$

$$= & L_{A}^{[p]}$$

where the penultim ate equality is a consequence of the exactness of $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ ().

Let K be an E_S -ideal for which E_S = ann $_{E_S}$ K is nilpotent and choose some e 1 for which T^e (E_S = ann $_{E_S}$ K) = 0. An application of e to the short exact sequence

$$0! ann_{E_S} K ! E_S ! E_S = ann_{E_S} K ! 0$$

produces the following short exact sequence in D $^{\rm e}$

$$0 \longrightarrow K = I \longrightarrow R = I \longrightarrow R = K \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow u \quad e \qquad \qquad \downarrow u \quad e \qquad \qquad \downarrow u \quad e$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K \quad [p^e] = I \quad [p^e] \longrightarrow R = I \quad [p^e] \longrightarrow R = K \quad [p^e] \longrightarrow 0$$

where the leftm ost vertical map is the zero map, i.e., $u \, ^{\circ} K \, I^{[p^{\circ}]}$ and hence $K \, L_{e}$.

5. The ?-closure

The statem ents of Theorem s 4.6 and 4.7 in the previous section referred to certain sm allest ideals J-R with the property that $J^{[p^e]}$ contains a given ideal. The aim of this section is to describe an algorithm for computing these ideals.

Throughout this section T will denote a Noetherian regular ring of prime characteristic p.

De nition 5.1. Let e 1. For any ideal A T we de ne
$$G^e\left(A\right) = L \ jL \quad T \ an \ ideal, A \quad L^{[p^e]}$$
 and $I_e\left(A\right) = L$.

Note that in general there is no reason why I_e (A) should be in G^e (A). Recall that an T-module M is \setminus - at if it is at and if for all sets of T-modules fN g_2 , $M_T \setminus_2 N = _2 M_T N$ (cf. [HH2] pp. 41.) Notice that free modules are \setminus - at.

Proposition 5.2. Let e^{-1} and assume that e^{1-p^e} is a e^{-1} at e^{-1} and e^{-1} the an ideal.

(a) I $_{\rm e}$ (A) 2 G $^{\rm e}$ (A) and is the m in im ale lem ent of G $^{\rm e}$ (A).

(b) Let B T be any ideal. The smallest ideal J T which contains both A $[p^e]$ and B is $I_e(A) + B$.

(c) If
$$A = A_1 + \frac{1}{2}$$
 then $I_e(A) = I_e(A_1) + \frac{1}{2}(A_s)$.

Proof. The rst statement is an immediate consequence of the fact that the T-module T^{1-p^e} is assumed to be \setminus - at. The second statement is straighforward.

An easy induction reduces the proof of (c) to the case s=2. Now A_1 ; A_2 Aso A_1 ; A_2 I_e (A) $^{[p^e]}$, and the minimality of I_e (A₁) and I_e (A₂) now implies I_e (A₁); I_e (A₂) I_e (A) hence I_e (A₁) + I_e (A₂) I_e (A). On the other hand I_e (A) implies I_e (A) I_e (A₁) + I_e (A₂).

Notice that if T is a polynomial ring K $[x_1; :::; x_n]$ for some eld K or a localization of it, then $T^{1=p^e}$ is a free T-m odule and hence \setminus - at. Throughout the remainder of this section we will assume that $T = K [x_1; :::; x_n]$ or that $T = K [x_1; :::; x_n]$ for some eld K of prime characteristic p. We also x an e 1.

The previous proposition reduces the calculation of I_e (A) to the case where A is principal, and this is the content of the next proposition. This proposition has been proved in [ABL] and we reproduce the proof for the reader's convenience. We rest do ne

and for each = $(1;:::;_n)$ 2 Let x denote the monom ial x_1^1 ::: $_n$ %. Observe that, if is a basis of K as a K $_n^p$ -vector-space,

$$B = x j 2 ; 2$$

is a free basis for the T p^e -m odule T .

Proposition 5.3. Let g 2 T; using the free basis B above write $g = \int_{b2B}^{p} g_b^{p^e} b$ where g_b 2 T for all b 2 B. Then I_e (gT) is the ideal generated by fg_b jb 2 B g.

Proof. If L T is such that $g = P \atop b2B_p g_b^{p^e} b2 L^{[p^e]}$ then we can $d_1; \ldots; s 2 L$ and $r_1; \ldots; r_s 2 T$ such that $p \atop b2B g_b^{p^e} b = p \atop i=1 r_i v_i^{p^e}$. For all $1 \in S$ is we can now write $p \atop i=1 \in S$ to $p \atop b2B g_b^{p^e} b$ where $p \atop b2B g_b^{p^e} b$ and we obtain

$$X g_b^{p^e}b = X X^s r_{b;i}^{p^e}i^{p^e}b :$$

Since these are direct sums, we may compare coecients and deduce that for all b 2 B, $g_b^{p^e} = {P\atop i=1}^p r_{b;i}^{p^e} r_i^{p^e}$ hence $g_b = {P\atop i=1}^p r_{b;i}^{p_e} r_i^{p_e}$ hence $g_b = {P\atop i=1}^p r_{b;i} r_i^{p_e}$ and g_b 2 L. On the other hand, if g_b 2 L for all b 2 B we clearly have $g = {P\atop b2B} g_b^{p^e}$ b 2 L p^{p^e} , so we have shown that p^e (fT) is the ideal generated by p^e b 2 B g.

We can now restate one of the statements of Theorem 4.6 as follows: The index of nilpotency of E_S , if not zero, is the index at which the ascending sequence of ideals $fI_e(u_e+I)+Ig_{e-1}$ stabilizes.

Notice that when T is a polynom ialring and W T is a multiplicative set, P roposition 5.3 implies that for any ideal A T, I_e W 1A = W 1I_e (A). Similarly, if m is the irrelevant ideal of T and $^{1\!\!P}$ denotes the completion of T with respect to m, then I_e A $^{1\!\!P}$ = I_e (A) $^{1\!\!P}$.

De nition 5.4. Fix any u 2 T. For any ideal A T we de ne a sequence of ideals as follows: $A_0 = A$ and $A_{i+1} = I_e$ (u A_i) + A_i for all i 0. C learly this sequence is an ascending chain and as T is N oetherian it stabilizes to some ideal which we denote with A $?^e$ u.

Proposition 5.5. Fix any u 2 T and let A T be an ideal. If B T is an ideal containing A and if uB B $^{p^e}$ then A $^{p^e}$ u B.

Proof. Let $fA_ig_{i=0}^1$ be the sequence of ideals as in De nition 5.4. We show by induction that A_i B for all i 0. Since $A_0 = A$ and A B the claim is true for i=0; assume that i 0 and that A_i B. Now uA_i uB B $[p^e]$ and the minimality of I_e $(uA_i) + A_i$ now implies that $A_{i+1} = I_e$ $(uA_i) + A_i$ B.

The regular local ring R at the focus of this paper is a power series hence it is of the form K $[x_1; :::; x_n]$ for some eld K of prime characteristic p. When A is expanded from the polynomial ring $T = K [x_1; :::; x_n]$ and u 2 T we want to compute A $^{?^eu}$ by performing calculations in T rather than R. Proposition 5.6 below shows how to do that.

Proposition 5.6. Let A be an idealofT = K $[x_1; :::; x_n]$ and let u 2 T.W e have $(AR)^{?^e u} = A^{?^e u}$ R.

Proof. Let $fB_ig_{i=0}$ and $fC_ig_{i=0}$ be the sequences introduced in De nition 5.4 whose stable values are (AR)^{2°u} and A^{2°u}, respectively. We will show that $B_i = C_iR$ for all i=0 using induction on i.

First, $C_0R = AR = B_0$, so assume that i > 0 and that $B_{i-1} = C_{i-1}R$. Now notice that since $uC_{i-1} = C_{i-1}R$ and $C_{i-1} = C_{i-1}R$ and $C_{i-1} = C_{i-1}R$ and $C_{i-1} = C_{i-1}R$ and $C_{i-1}R = C_{i-1}R$

6. E_S -ideals and special E_S -ideals

Following [S], we call an ideal K S[T;f] a graded two-sided ideal if K = M K_iT^i for ideals K_0; K_1; ::: of S. An important example is K = LS[T;f] for some ideal L M S. Let G be an S[T;f]-m odule. An S[T;f]-subm odule M M G is a graded annihilator submodule if M M = ann_G K for some graded two-sided ideal K M S[T;f].

For any S [T;f]-subm odule M $\,$ G we de ne the graded-annihilator of M , denoted $gr-ann_{S\,[T\,;f\,]}M$, to be the largest graded two-sided ideal contained in $ann_{S\,[T\,;f\,]}M$.

We call an ideal L S a G-special ideal whenever LS [T;f] is the graded annihilator of some S [T;f]-submodule M G, in which case LS [T;f] = gr-ann (ann_G LS [T;f]) (cf. Lem m a 1.7 in [S]; notice that we extended slightly the denition of special ideals to the case where G is not necessarily T-torsion-free.)

Proposition 6.1. Assume that R is complete and that E_S is T-torsion free. An ideal LR which contains I is an E_S -ideal if and only if LS is E-special.

Proof. Assume rst that L is E_S -special, i.e., LS [T;f] = gr-ann N for som eS [T;f]-submodule N of E_S , and since E_S is assumed to be T-torsion-free, we have gr-ann N = (0; N)S [T;f] (cf. De nition 1.10 in \$]). We can also write N = ann E_S L0 for som e E_S -ideal L0 and

$$LS[T;f] = (0 *_{R} ann_{E_{S}} L^{0}) S[T;f]$$

$$= 0 *_{R} (R = L^{0})^{-} S[T;f]$$

$$= (0 *_{R} R = L^{0}) S[T;f]$$

$$= L^{0}S[T;f]$$

so $L = L^0$ and is an E_S -ideal.

If, on the other hand, L is an E_S -ideal, i.e., if ann_{E_S} L = ann_{E_S} LS [T;f], then

and so L is Es-special.

7. The S [T;f]-module structure of H $_{m\,S}^{d\,im\,\,S}$ (S) and the induced structure on E $_{S}$

In what follows we describe a natural S [T;f]-m odule structure on $H_{mS}^{\dim S}$ (S) and show how this induces an S [T;f]-m odule structure on E_S ; the following section will describe its relevance to test-ideals.

W e shall assum e henceforth that S is C ohen-M acaulay with canonical module ! S

The short exact sequence $0 \, ! \, ! \, S \, ! \, S = ! \, ! \, 0$ yields a surjection $H_{m\,S}^{d\,im\,\,S} \, (!)$ $H_{m\,S}^{d\,im\,\,S} \, (S)$; for any system of parameters $x_1; \ldots; x_d$ for S this map can also be described as the map

$$\lim_{\stackrel{\cdot}{:}} {}_{1} {}_{0} \frac{!}{x_{1}^{\frac{\cdot}{1}}! + \frac{1}{d}!x}! \quad \lim_{\stackrel{\cdot}{:}} {}_{0} \frac{S}{x_{1}^{\frac{\cdot}{1}}S + \frac{1}{d}Sx}$$

induced by the maps

$$\frac{!}{x_1^{\underline{i}}\,! \; + \qquad \frac{\underline{i}}{\underline{d}}!x} ! \quad \frac{S}{x_1^{\underline{i}}S \; + \qquad \frac{\underline{i}}{\underline{d}}Sx}$$

given by a + x_1^i ! + $\frac{i}{d}$ xh 7 a + x_1^i S + $\frac{i}{d}$ xh . The natural action of Frobenius on $H_{m,S}^{\dim S}$ (S) given by

$$f \ a + x_1^i S + \frac{1}{d} x = a^p + x_1^{ip} S + \frac{1}{d} x S$$

now lifts to an action on E $_S=\lim_{\stackrel{\cdot}{!}}{_1}{_0}\frac{!}{x_1^{\frac{\cdot}{!}}!+\frac{\frac{\cdot}{!}}{\frac{\cdot}{!}}!x}$ given by

f a +
$$x_1^{i}$$
! + $\frac{i}{d} x^{i} = a^{p} + x_1^{ip} S + \frac{ip}{d} xS$

and this S[T;f] module structure on E_S clearly makes the surjection E_S $H_{mS}^{\dim S}$ (S) described above into a S[T;f]-linear map.

If we apply to the S [I;f]-linear surjection E_S $H_{mS}^{\dim S}$ (S) and identify $E_{\overline{S}}$ with R=I we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows

(5)
$$0 \longrightarrow H_{mS}^{\dim S}(S) \longrightarrow \frac{R}{I}$$

$$\downarrow u \qquad \qquad \downarrow u$$

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{R} \quad H_{mS}^{\dim S}(S) \longrightarrow \frac{R}{I^{[p]}}$$

where u 2 R, the second vertical map is multiplication by u and the second restriction of the second, i.e., also by multiplication by u. We deduce that under the identication of $E_{\overline{S}}$ with R=I, $H_{mS}^{\dim S}$ (S)—is identiced with J=I for some ideal J R containing I. This ideal J must then satisfy uJ $J^{[p]}$.

Our next step is to compute J and u e ectively. Let be the full pre-image of ! in R. Working over R, the surjection $E_S = H_{m\,S}^{\dim S}$ (!) $H_{m\,S}^{\dim S}$ (S) can be written as $E_S = H_m^{\dim S}$ (=I) $H_m^{\dim S}$ (R=I). Write = dim R dim S; recall that Local Duality states that the functors $H_m^{\dim S}$ () and Ext_R (;R) are isomorphic and the surjection above is induced by applying either of these functors to the inclusion! S. Applying the latter and a further application of () - yields the injection Ext_R (R=I;R) Ext_R (=I;R) and so $J=I=Ext_R$ (R=I;R). This Ext_R module can be computed e ectively and J can be recovered by computing a minimal presentation of this module.

To nd the map u in \mathfrak{h}) we use the fact that F $\operatorname{H}^{\dim S}_{mS}(S)$ is the R-algebra with one generator corresponding to the S [T;f]-module structure dened above (cf. Example 3.7 in [LS]). Hence the S-linear maps $\operatorname{Ext}_R(R=I;R)$! $\operatorname{F}_R \operatorname{Ext}_R(R=I;R)$ form a rank-one S-free module and the generator u of this free module can be computed explicitly from the generator of

(cf. Chapter 3 of [B] and section 4.)

8. The computation of parameter-test-ideals

Throughout this section we will assume that S=R=I is Cohen-M acaulay with canonical module! S. We shall write $H=H_{m\,S}^{\dim S}$ (S) and we will assume that E_S is T-torsion-free. This last assumption implies that H, being a quotient of E_S by a graded annihilator submodule, is also T-torsion-free (cf. Lemma 3.1 in [S]). Recall now that Corollary 4.6 in [S] now states that the parameter-test-element of S is the smallest H-special ideal of S of positive height. In this section we relate the H-special ideals to E_S -special ideals and describe an algorithm for computing the parameter-test-element of S.

First we note that Proposition 6.1 and yields the following.

Theorem 8.1. Assume that E_S is T-torsion-free and write $H := H_{mS}^{\dim S}(S) = \frac{E_S}{\operatorname{ann}_{E_S} J}$ where J R is an E_S -ideal. The H-special ideals are

f(L:J) jL R is a E_S -special ideal contained in Jg:

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.3 in [S].

We are now ready for the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 8.2. Assume that E_S is T-torsion-free. Let c2R be such that its image in S is a parameter test element. The parameter test ideal of S is given by $((oJ + I)^{2u} * J)S$.

Proof. Notice that $(cJ + I)^{2u}$ is an E_S -ideal and that since c2 ($(cJ + I)^{2u}:J$), we have $ht((cJ + I)^{2u}:J)S > 0$. Now

- = \fK jK S is a H -special ideal; htK > 0q
 - = $\f(L : J) jL$ J is an E_S -ideal; ht(L : J)S > 0g
 - = \footnote{I} fL jL J is an E_S -ideal; ht(L:J)S > 0g:J

so we see that - (($\omega + I$)^{?u}:J).

Also, c2 — hence cJ L for all E_S —ideals L for which ht(L:J)S > 0 and Proposition 5.5 implies that (cJ + I)^{2u} L and hence that ((cJ + I)^{2u}:J) (L:J) for all E_S —ideals L for which ht(L:J) > 0. We conclude that ((cJ + I)^{2u}:J) —.

In the case where E_S is T -torsion-free, if we are given one parameter-test-element, we can now compute the entire parameter test ideal of S as follows.

- (1) Find the element u 2 R as described in section 7 and use Theorem 4.6 to determ ine whether E_S is T-torsion-free. If E_S is T-torsion-free proceed as follows.
- (2) Find the ideal I J R as described in section 7.
- (3) G iven one parameter test element c, compute $L = (cJ + I)^{2u}$ as described in section 5.
- (4) The parameter test ideal of S is (L $_{\rm R}$ J)S.

9. Applications and examples

A particularly simple instance of the results of the previous chapters is the case where S is a complete intersection, i.e., the case where I is generated by a regular sequence $u_1; \ldots; u_s \ 2 \ R$. Now S = R = I is Gorenstein, $E_S = H_{mS}^{\dim S}$ (S) (so the surjection described in the beginning of section 7 is an equality) and $(E_S) = R = I \ R = I^{[p]}$ is given by multiplication by $u = (u_1 \ \ldots \ s)^{p-1}$ whose im age in $(I^{[p]} \ R \ I) = I^{[p]}$ generates it.

We rst return to Theorem 4.6 and put in its proper context and recover Richard Fedder's characterization of F-injective complete intersections (Proposition 2.1 in F].) Assume now that I R is generated by a regular sequence $u_1; ::: ; u_s$ and notice that as S = R = I is Gorenstein, $E_S = H_{mS}^{\dim(S)}$ (S). We call S F-injective if the natural Frobenius map on $E_S = H_{mS}^{\dim(S)}$ (S) is injective, i.e., if N il(E_S) = 0. We now recover Fedder's Criterion which states that S is F-injective if and only if $u \not\geq m^{[p]}$ where $u = (u_1 ::: _s)^{p-1}$. The crucial fact here is that (E_S) is the map R = I $R = I^{[p]}$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.6

consider $N_1 = \text{fm } 2 \; E_S$ jTm = 0g and write $(N_1) = (R = L^{\frac{n}{2}} \; R = L^{\frac{n}{2}})$ for some E_S -ideal L. We saw that this map is the zero map, i.e., u 2 L $^{[p]}$. Fedder's condition u $\not = m^{\frac{n}{2}}$ is equivalent to the non-existence of a proper ideal L R for which u 2 L $^{[p]}$ so it implies that $N_1 = \text{ann}_{E_S} \; R = 0$. If, on the other hand, u 2 m $^{[p]}$ then um $m^{[p]}$, so m is an E_S ideal and since the map $R = m^{\frac{n}{2}} \; R = m^{\frac{n}{2}}$ is the zero map, T $R = m^{\frac{n}{2}} \; R = m^{\frac{n}{2}} = 0$ and S is not F-injective.

We now describe a speci c calculation performed using the methods in the previous sections. All calculations described below were done with Macaulay2 [GS].

Let K be the eld of two elements, $R = K [x_1; x_2; x_3; x_4; x_5]$, let I be the ideal of R generated by the 2 2 m inors of

$$x_1$$
 x_2 x_2 x_5 x_4 x_4 x_3 x_1

and let S = R = I. This quotient is reduced, 2-dim ensional, C ohen-M acaulay and of C ohen-M acaulay type 3; we produce a canonical module by computing

which is isom or phic to the ideal! S which is the image in S of the ideal R generated by $x_1; x_4; x_5$.

We now take J = and compute the generator u of the S-module

this tums out to be

$$u = x_1^3 x_2 x_3 + x_1^3 x_2 x_4 + x_1^2 x_3 x_4 x_5 + x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 + x_1 x_2 x_4^2 x_5 + x_2^2 x_4^2 x_5 + x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2 + x_4^3 x_5^2;$$

We compute I_1 (u^1R) = R hence E_S is T-torsion free. Now the parameter-test-ideal is computed as $((oJ + I)^{2u} : J)$ where c is random by chosen to be in the dening ideal of the singular locus of S and not in a minimal prime of I. This calculation yields = $(x_1; x_2; x_3 + x_4; x_4x_5)R$ and we deduce that S is not F-regular.

References

- [ABL] J.A lwarez-M ontaner, M.B lickle, G.Lyubeznik.Generators of D-modules in positive characteristic. Mathematical Research Letters, to appear.
- M.Blickle.The intersection homology D {module in nite characteristic.PhD thesis,University of Michigan, 2001.ArXiV math AG /0110244.
- F] R.Fedder.F-purity and rational singularity.Transactions of the AM S, 278 (1983), no.2, pp.461{
 480
- [GS] D.R.G rayson and M.E.Stillm an.M acaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geom etry, available at http://www.m.ath.uiuc.edu/M.acaulay2/.
- [HS] R.Hartshome and R.Speiser.Localcohom ological dimension in characteristic p, Ann.ofM ath.105 (1977), pp.45{79.
- [HKSY] C.Huneke, M.Katzman, R.Y.Sharp and Y.Yao.Frobenius test exponents for parameters ideals in generalized Cohen-Macaulay local rings, Journal of Algebra, 305 (2006), pp. 516-539.

- [HH1] M. Hochster and C. Huneke. Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briancon-Skoda theorem. Journal of the AMS 3 (1990), no.1, 31{116.
- [HH2] M.Hochster and C.Huneke.F-regularity, test elements, and smooth base change.Transactions of the AMS, 346 (1994), no.1, pp.1{62.
- [K] E.Kunz.Characterizations of regular local rings for characteristic p.American Journal of Mathematics 91 (1969), pp. 772{784.
- [KS] M.Katzman and R.Y.Sharp.Uniform behaviour of the Frobenius closures of ideals generated by regular sequences, Journal of Algebra, 295 (2006) 231 (246.
- [L] G.Lyubeznik.F-m odules: applications to local cohom ology and D-m odules in characteristic p > 0. J.Reine Angew.M ath. 491 (1997), pp. 65{130.
- [LS] G.Lyubeznik and K.E.Sm ith.On the commutation of the test ideal with localization and completion.Transactions of the AMS 353 (2001), no.8, pp. 3149{3180.
- [S] R.Y.Sharp.Graded annihilators of modules over the Frobenius skew polynomial ring, and tight closure.arXiv.org math/0605329.
- [SV] D.W. Sharpe and P.Vam os. In jective modules. Cam bridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 62.Cam bridge University Press, London-New York, 1972.
- [Sm 1] K.E.Sm ith.Test ideals in local rings.Transactions of the AM S 347 (1995), no.9, pp.3453{3472.
- [Sm 2] K.E.Sm ith.F-rational rings have rational singularities. Am erican Journal of M athematics 119 (1997), no.1, pp. 159{180.

Department of Pure M athematics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom, Fax number: +44-(0)114-222-3769

E-m ailaddress: M.Katzman@sheffield.ac.uk