

GENERALIZED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND REGULARITY OF EXT MODULES

MARC CHARDIN AND KAMRAN DIVAANI-AAZAR

ABSTRACT. Let R be a Noetherian standard graded ring, and M and N two finitely generated graded R -modules. We introduce $\text{reg}_R(M, N)$ by using the notion of generalized local cohomology instead of local cohomology, in the definition of regularity. We prove that $\text{reg}_R(M, N)$ is finite in several cases. In the case that the base ring is a field, we show that

$$\text{reg}_R(M, N) = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M).$$

This formula, together with a graded version of duality for generalized local cohomology, gives a formula for the minimum of the initial degrees of some Ext modules (in the case R is Cohen-Macaulay), of which the three usual definitions of regularity are special cases. Bounds for regularity of certain Ext modules are obtained, using the same circle of ideas.

1. INTRODUCTION

Assume that M is a graded module over a Noetherian standard graded ring R . Then Castenluovo-Mumford regularity (or regularity for short) of M is defined as

$$\text{reg}(M) := \max_i \{\text{end}(H_{R_+}^i(M)) + i\}.$$

A generalization of local cohomology functors was given by Herzog in his Habilitationsschrift [H]. Let \mathfrak{a} denote an ideal of a commutative Noetherian ring R . For each $i \geq 0$, the functor $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, N)$, for all R -modules M and N . This notion is a generalization of the usual local cohomology functor, that corresponds to the case $M = R$. This concept has attained more notice in recent years, see e.g. [HZ]. This notion inherits many properties of the usual local cohomology. For example if M and N are two finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{pdim } M < \infty$, then, by [Y, 2.5], $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i > \text{pdim } M + \text{ara}(\mathfrak{a})$, where $\text{ara}(\mathfrak{a})$, the arithmetic rank of the ideal \mathfrak{a} , is the least number of elements of R required to generate an ideal which has the same radical as \mathfrak{a} .

Now, assume that $R = R_0[R_1]$ is a Noetherian standard graded ring and M and N are two finitely generated graded R -modules. Then, for any i , $H_{R_+}^i(M, N)$ has a natural graded structure, the R_0 -modules $H_{R_+}^i(M, N)_n$ is zero for $n \gg 0$, and is finitely generated for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D02, 13D45.

Key words and phrases. Generalized local cohomology, regularity.

In view of the above mentioned properties of generalized local cohomology, it seems that there might be some connections between this notion and regularity. The main aim of the present paper is to investigate such connections. Under the above assumptions, we define the generalized regularity of a pair (M, N) of R -modules as

$$\text{reg}_R(M, N) := \sup_i \{\text{end}(H_{R_+}^i(M, N)) + i\}.$$

We prove that $\text{reg}_R(M, N)$ is finite in several cases. If R_0 is a field and M and N are finitely generated, we show that

$$(*) \quad \text{reg}_R(M, N) = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M).$$

Notice that this shows in particular that $\text{reg}_R(M, N)$ is independent of R whenever R_0 is a field. This is not the case for the modules $H_{R_+}^i(M, N)$, as for instance $H_{R_+}^i(R_0, R_0) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^i(R_0, R_0)$ clearly depends on R .

In Sections 3 and 4, we will present several applications of this formula. In Section 3, we first establish a version of Grothendieck duality Theorem for graded generalized local cohomology. Then by using $(*)$, we provide a sharp estimate for initial degrees of some Ext modules. As a corollary, we obtain a formula for the regularity which generalizes the three classical definitions of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. Namely, we prove that if R is a polynomial ring over a field, then for any pair M, N of non zero finitely generated graded R -modules

$$\text{reg}(M) - \text{indeg}(N) = - \min\{\text{indeg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\}.$$

In Section 4, first by using formula $(*)$, an upper bound for the regularity of the Ext modules of certain pairs of modules is obtained. This result contains a first result in this direction obtained by G. Caviglia in his thesis. Then we establish some upper bounds for the regularity of the Ext modules of pairs of modules under an assumption on the dimension of some Tor modules. These results are very much in the spirit of the theorems obtained for the regularity of Tor modules, under essentially the same assumptions, by A. Conca and J. Herzog [CH], J. Sidman [Si], G. Caviglia [Ca], D. Eisenbud, C. Huneke and B. Ulrich [EHU], and by the first author [Ch2].

Throughout this paper, $R = R_0[R_1]$ is a Noetherian standard graded ring, $\mathfrak{m} := R_+$ and $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ and $N = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} N_n$ are two finitely generated graded R -modules. For a graded R -module Q , we denote by $\text{indeg}(Q)$ (respectively $\text{end}(Q)$) the infimum (respectively the supremum) of the degrees of non zero elements of Q (with the convention $\text{indeg}(0) = +\infty$ and $\text{end}(0) = -\infty$).

We thank Juergen Herzog for his useful comments, and in particular for his suggestions regarding section 2.

2. GENERALIZED REGULARITY

Definition 2.1. Let $a_i(M, N) := \text{end}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, N))$. Then,

$$\text{reg}_R(M, N) := \sup_i \{a_i(M, N) + i\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a finite graded homomorphism of standard graded Noetherian rings. Assume that R_0 is local. Suppose M is a finitely generated graded S -module and the following conditions hold :

i) there is a graded free R -resolution $F_{\bullet}^{S/R}$ of S and an integer a such that $\text{indeg}(F_i^{S/R}) \geq i - a$, for all i ,

ii) there is a graded free S -resolution $F_{\bullet}^{M/S}$ of M and an integer b such that $\text{indeg}(F_i^{M/S}) \geq i - b$, for all i .

Then, a minimal graded free R -resolution $F_{\bullet}^{M/R}$ of M satisfies $\text{indeg}(F_i^{M/R}) \geq i - a - b$, for all i .

Proof. Let k be the residue field of R_0 . There is a spectral sequence

$$E_{pq}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^S(\text{Tor}_q^R(S, k), M) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^R(k, M) \simeq F_{p+q}^{M/R} \otimes_R k.$$

Assumption i) implies that $\text{Tor}_q^R(S, k)$ lives in degrees at least $q - a$. By assumption ii), E_{pq}^2 , which is a subquotient of $\text{Tor}_q^R(S, k) \otimes_S F_p^{M/S}$, lives in degrees at least $(q - a) + (p - b)$. Hence E_{pq}^{∞} vanishes in degrees less than $(p + q) - a - b$. The conclusion follows. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let R be a standard graded algebra over a regular local ring (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0, k) . The following are equivalent :

i) \mathfrak{m}_0 contains an R -regular sequence of length $\dim R_0$,

ii) R_{μ} is a free R_0 -module for any μ .

If i) holds, then $\text{reg}_R(k, k) = \dim R_0$.

Proof. Notice that each R_{μ} is a finitely generated R_0 -module of finite projective dimension. A sequence of elements in R_0 is R -regular if and only if it is R_{μ} -regular for any μ . Therefore condition i) is equivalent to $\text{depth}_{R_0}(R_{\mu}) = \dim R_0$, which in turn is equivalent to ii).

Assume that i) is satisfied. Let $S := R/\mathfrak{m}_0R$ and $f : R \rightarrow S$ be the natural onto map. Set $d := \dim R_0$. Let $\pi := (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_d)$ be an R -regular sequence generating \mathfrak{m}_0 . The Koszul complex $F_{\bullet}^{S/R} := K_{\bullet}(\pi; R)$ is a graded free R -resolution of S , $\text{indeg}(F_i^{S/R}) \geq i - d$, as $F_i^{S/R} = 0$ for $i > d$. Let $F_{\bullet}^{k/S}$ and $F_{\bullet}^{k/R}$ denote minimal graded free S -resolution and R -resolution of k , respectively. As S is a standard graded k -algebra, one has $\text{indeg}(F_i^{k/S}) \geq i$, for all i . By Lemma 2.2, $\text{indeg}(F_i^{k/R}) \geq \max\{0, i - d\}$, which shows that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(k, k) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^i(k, k) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(F_i^{k/R}, k)$ vanishes in degrees above $d - i$, hence $\text{reg}_R(k, k) \leq d$.

On the other hand, the degree 0 part of $F_{\bullet}^{k/R}$ is a minimal free R_0 -resolution of k , so that $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, k)_0 \simeq \text{Ext}_{R_0}^i(k, k) \simeq \wedge^i k^d \neq 0$ for $i \leq d$. This proves that $\text{reg}_R(k, k) \geq d$. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f : S \rightarrow R$ be a finite graded homomorphism of standard graded Noetherian rings. Assume that R_0 and S_0 are local with the same residue field k , and that f induces the identity on k . If $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^S(R, k)) > i$ for all $i > 0$, then $\text{reg}_R(k, k) \leq \text{reg}_S(k, k)$.*

Proof. We consider the change of ring spectral sequence,

$$E_{pq}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^R(\text{Tor}_q^S(R, k), k) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^S(k, k).$$

First notice that

$$\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_p^R(\text{Tor}_q^S(R, k), k)) = \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_p^R(k, k)) + \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_q^S(R, k))$$

and therefore $\text{indeg}(E_{pq}^2) \geq \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_p^R(k, k)) + q + 1$ for $q > 0$.

Recall that $\text{reg}_S(k, k) = \max_i \{i - \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^S(k, k))\}$, hence $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^S(k, k)) \geq i - \text{reg}_S(k, k)$ for all $i \geq 0$. We may assume that $\text{reg}_S(k, k) < \infty$. We use induction on i to prove that

$$(*) \quad \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^R(k, k)) \geq i - \text{reg}_S(k, k).$$

For $i = 0$, $\text{Tor}_0^R(k, k) = \text{Tor}_0^S(k, k) = k$.

Now assume that $i > 0$ and the inequality $(*)$ holds for any $j < i$. Let $\mu < i - \text{reg}_S(k, k)$, then $\text{Tor}_i^S(k, k)_\mu = 0$. As R_0 and S_0 are local with the same residue field k , and f induces the identity on k , $\text{Tor}_0^S(R, k) = k \oplus N$, for some finite k -module N . Therefore $(E_{i0}^2)_\mu \simeq \text{Tor}_i^R(k, k)_\mu \oplus N'$ for some finite k -module N' . Hence, it suffices to show that $(E_{i0}^2)_\mu \simeq (E_{i0}^\infty)_\mu$. But for any $r \geq 2$, $E_{i0}^{r+1} \simeq \ker(E_{i0}^r \rightarrow E_{i-r, r-1}^r)$, and by induction, $\text{indeg}(E_{i-r, r-1}^r) \geq \text{indeg}(E_{i-r, r-1}^2) \geq \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{i-r}^R(k, k)) + r \geq i - \text{reg}_S(k, k)$. It follows that $(E_{i-r, r-1}^r)_\mu = 0$ for all $r \geq 2$, which proves $(*)$. Our claim follows since $\text{reg}_R(k, k) = \max_i \{i + \text{end}(\text{Ext}_R^i(k, k))\} = \max_i \{i - \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^R(k, k))\}$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : S \rightarrow R$ be a surjective graded homomorphism of standard graded Noetherian rings. Assume that S_0 is local with residue field k . Let $I := \ker(f)$.*

(1) *If $\text{indeg}(I) \geq \text{reg}_S(k, k) + 2$, then $\text{reg}_R(k, k) \leq \text{reg}_S(k, k)$.*

(2) *If S is regular and $\text{indeg}(I) \geq \dim(R_0) + 1$, then $\text{reg}_R(k, k) = \text{reg}_S(k, k) = \dim R_0$.*

Proof. For (1), notice that for any $i \geq 0$, $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^S(k, k)) \geq i - \text{reg}_S(k, k)$, and therefore $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^S(I, k)) \geq \text{indeg}(I) + \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^S(k, k)) \geq i + 2$. It implies that $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^S(R, k)) \geq i + 1$ for any $i > 0$ and the result follows from Proposition 2.4.

Now we prove (2). Our assumption on $\text{indeg}(I)$ implies that $R_0 = S_0$. Since S is regular, we have $S \simeq R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Set $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $d := \dim R_0$ and let $\pi := (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_d)$ be an R -regular sequence generating \mathfrak{m}_0 . The i -th homology group of the Koszul complex $K_{\bullet} := K_{\bullet}(\pi, X; I)$ is

$\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(I, k)$. Notice that $(K_i)_\mu = 0$ for $\mu < \mathrm{indeg}(I) + \max\{0, i - d\}$, in particular, $\mathrm{indeg}(K_i) \geq \max\{i, d\} + 1$. Furthermore, if $i \geq d$, for $\mu = i - d + \mathrm{indeg}(I)$,

$$H_i(K_\bullet)_\mu = \ker\left(\bigwedge^{i-d} R_0^n \otimes_{R_0} I_{\mathrm{indeg}(I)} \longrightarrow (K_{i-1})_{i+1}\right)$$

and this kernel is zero since $\bigwedge^{i-d} R_0^n \otimes_{R_0} I_{\mathrm{indeg}(I)} \xrightarrow{\times \pi_1} \bigwedge^{i-d} R_0^n \otimes_{R_0} I_{\mathrm{indeg}(I)} \subset (K_{i-1})_{i+1}$ is already injective. Hence, $\mathrm{indeg}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(I, k)) \geq i + 2$ for any i . It follows that $\mathrm{indeg}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(R, k)) \geq i + 1$ for any $i > 0$. Notice that $\mathrm{reg}_S(k, k) = \dim R_0$ by Corollary 2.3 and that, for any standard graded Noetherian ring T over a local base ring (T_0, \mathfrak{m}_0, k) , $\mathrm{reg}_T(k, k) \geq \dim T_0$. Now (2) follows from Proposition 2.4. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let R be a standard graded Noetherian ring. Assume that R_0 is a regular local ring of dimension $d > 0$ with residue field k . Then for any integer μ ,*

- (1) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(k, k)_\mu = 0$ for $i \geq (d + 1)(\mu + 1)$,
- (2) $\sum_i (-1)^i \dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(k, k)_\mu = 0$.

Proof. First notice that if R is regular, then $R \simeq R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, and (1) and (2) follows from the minimal free R -resolution of k given by the Koszul complex which shows that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(k, k) \simeq \bigwedge^i (k^d \oplus k[-1]^n) \simeq \bigoplus_\mu (\bigwedge^{i-\mu} k^d \otimes_k \bigwedge^\mu k^n)[- \mu]$ which implies (2). It also shows that $\mathrm{indeg}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(k, k)) = \max\{0, i - d\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d + n$ (and $+\infty$ else), which proves (1).

We induct on μ . For $\mu = 0$, (1) and (2) follows from the isomorphisms $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(k, k)_0 \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_0}(k, k) \simeq \bigwedge^i k^d$.

Let S be a polynomial ring over R_0 with variables indexed by a set of minimal generators of the R_0 -module R_1 and let $I := \ker(S \rightarrow R)$. We consider the change of ring spectral sequence,

$$E_{pq}^2 = \mathrm{Tor}_p^R(\mathrm{Tor}_q^S(R, k), k) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{p+q}^S(k, k).$$

By the proof of Theorem 2.5, $\mathrm{indeg}(\mathrm{Tor}_q^S(R, k)) \geq \max\{1, q - d + 1\}$ for $q > 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Tor}_q^S(R, k) \simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq \max\{1, q-d+1\}} k[-j]^{\beta_{qj}}$, with $\beta_{qj} := \dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_q^S(R, k)_j$.

By induction hypothesis, $(E_{pq}^2)_\mu = 0$ for $1 \leq q \leq d$ and $p \geq (d + 1)\mu$ and for $q > d$ and $p \geq (d + 1)(\mu - q + d)$. Hence $(E_{pq}^2)_\mu = 0$ for $p + q \geq (d + 1)\mu + d$ and $q > 0$. It follows that for any $p > (d + 1)\mu + d$, $(E_{p0}^2)_\mu \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_p^S(k, k)_\mu = 0$. This proves (1).

For (2), the spectral sequence shows that

$$\sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} \dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_p^R(\mathrm{Tor}_q^S(R, k), k)_\mu = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} \dim_k (E_{pq}^\infty)_\mu = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(k, k)_\mu = 0$$

where the last equality follows from the regular case. It follows that

$$\sum_p (-1)^p \dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_p^R(k, k)_\mu + \sum_{\substack{q > 0 \\ j \geq \max\{1, q-d+1\}}} (-1)^q \beta_{qj} \sum_p (-1)^p \dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_p^R(k, k)_{\mu-j} = 0.$$

But $\sum_p (-1)^p \dim_k \operatorname{Tor}_p^R(k, k)_{\mu-j} = 0$ for $j > 0$, by induction hypothesis. This proves (2). \square

Remark 2.7. *The bound in Lemma 2.6 (1) is sharp, as the following example shows. Let k be a field, $A := k[Y_1, \dots, Y_d, X_0, \dots, X_d]$ and I be the ideal of 2×2 minors of $\begin{pmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & \cdots & X_d \\ 0 & Y_1 & \cdots & Y_d \end{pmatrix}$. Consider $R_0 := k[Y_1, \dots, Y_d]_{(Y_1, \dots, Y_d)}$, $R := R_0[X_0, \dots, X_d]/I'$, where I' is the image of I by the natural map from A to $S := R_0[X_0, \dots, X_d]$.*

By [A, 5.2.8], $B := A/I$ is a Golod ring as it has minimal multiplicity. Notice that the minimal graded free R -resolution of k is the image of the minimal graded free B -resolution of k via the natural map from B to R , as this map preserves exactness and sends the maximal graded ideal of B to the maximal graded ideal of R . Therefore, the graded Betti numbers of k over R are the same as the ones of k over B , graded by setting $\deg(X_i) = 1$ and $\deg(Y_j) = 0$. As B is Golod, they are given by the following formula for the Poincaré series (see [A, (5.0.1)]):

$$P_k^R(t, u) = \frac{P_k^A(t, u)}{1 - t(P_B^A(t, u) - 1)} = \frac{(1+t)^d(1+ut)^{d+1}}{1 - t(\sum_{i=1}^d t^i \binom{d+1}{i+1} (\sum_{j=1}^i u^j))},$$

with $P_M^T(t, u) := \sum_{i,j} \dim_k \operatorname{Tor}_i^T(M, k)_j t^i u^j \in \mathbf{Z}[u][[t]]$.

Indeed, $P_k^A(t, u) = (1+t)^d(1+ut)^{d+1}$ by the Koszul complex, and the minimal graded free A -resolution of B is given by the Eagon-Northcott complex E_\bullet , with $E_0 = A$ and $E_i = (\wedge^{i+1} A^{d+1})[-1] \otimes_A \operatorname{Sym}_A^{i-1}(A \oplus A[-1])$, which shows that $P_B^A(t, u) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d t^i \binom{d+1}{i+1} (\sum_{j=1}^i u^j)$. In particular, $P_k^R(t, u)$ has the monomials $t^d(t^{d+1}u)^j$ in its expansion, which shows that $\dim_k \operatorname{Tor}_{d+(d+1)j}^R(k, k)_j = 1$, and the sharpness of the bound.

Remark 2.8. *i) The conditions i) and ii) in Corollary 2.3 are satisfied when R is regular (i.e. when R is a polynomial ring over a regular local ring).*

ii) Notice that $\operatorname{reg}_R(k, k)$ is infinite whenever R_0 is not regular. The following example illustrates that even when R_0 is a discrete valuation ring, $\operatorname{reg}_R(k, k)$ can be infinite.

iii) The following example illustrates that generalized local cohomology, unlike local cohomology, is very dependent on R . It shows this dependence for generalized regularity as well.

Example 2.9. *Let (R_0, π) be a discrete valuation ring, $k := R_0/(\pi)$ and $R := R_0[X]/(\pi X)$. Then R is a standard graded algebra over R_0 , which is local regular of dimension 1. Nevertheless $\operatorname{reg}_R(k, k) = \infty$. Indeed the minimal graded free R -resolution F_\bullet of k is*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\psi} F_{2i+1} \xrightarrow{\phi} F_{2i} \xrightarrow{\psi} F_{2i-1} \xrightarrow{\phi} \cdots \xrightarrow{\psi} F_1 \longrightarrow R$$

with $F_{2i} := R[-i]^2$ and $F_{2i-1} := R[-i+1] \oplus R[-i]$ for $i > 0$, $\psi := \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & \pi \end{pmatrix}$ and $\phi := \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$.

This resolution shows that for $i > 0$, $\text{Ext}_R^{2i-1}(k, k)$ sits in degrees $-i$ and $-i+1$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{2i}(k, k)$ sits in degree $-i$.

Now, $H_m^j(k, k) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^j(k, k)$, and therefore $a_j(k, k) = -[j/2]$ so that $a_j(k, k) + j = [(j+1)/2]$ is unbounded.

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be a standard graded Noetherian ring. Assume that R_0 is a discrete valuation ring with residue field k . Then the following are equivalent :*

- (i) R_1 is a free R_0 -module,
- (ii) $\text{reg}_R(k, k) = 1$,
- (iii) $\text{reg}_R(k, k) < \infty$,
- (iv) $\text{reg}_R(k, M) = \text{reg}(M) + 1$, for any M .

Moreover if one of these conditions does not hold, then $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^R(k, k)) = [i/2]$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. First (i) \Rightarrow (ii) by Theorem 2.5 (2) and clearly (iv) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). For (iii) \Rightarrow (i), we will show that $\text{Tor}_{2i+1}^R(k, k)_i \neq 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ when R_1 is not a free R_0 -module. Let $S = R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring over R_0 with variables indexed by a set of minimal generators of the R_0 -module R_1 and let $I := \ker(S \rightarrow R)$. Choose $f = \pi^m l \in I_1 \setminus \pi I_1$, where $m \geq 1$ and $l \notin (\pi)$. Set $S' := S/(f)$ and $I' := IS'$. We consider the change of ring spectral sequence,

$$E_{pq}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^R(\text{Tor}_q^{S'}(R, k), k) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^{S'}(k, k).$$

A minimal graded free S' -resolution F_\bullet of $S'/(l, \pi)S'$ is given by

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{2i+1}} F_{2i} \xrightarrow{d_{2i}} F_{2i-1} \xrightarrow{d_{2i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} S' \oplus S'[-1] \xrightarrow{(\pi \ l)} S'$$

with $F_{2i} := S'[-i]^2$ and $F_{2i+1} := S'[-i-1] \oplus S'[-i]$ for $i > 0$, $d_2 := \begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ -\pi & \pi^m \end{pmatrix}$, $d_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^m \\ l & \pi \end{pmatrix}$,

and for $i \geq 2$, $d_{2i} := \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 0 \\ -l & l\pi^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $d_{2i+1} := \begin{pmatrix} l\pi^{m-1} & 0 \\ l & \pi \end{pmatrix}$.

As $l = \sum_i c_i X_i \notin \pi I_1$, there exists i with $c_i \notin (\pi)$, and a minimal free S' -resolution of k is given by $G_\bullet := F_\bullet \otimes_{S'} K_\bullet(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_n; S')$ (recall that $S'/(l, \pi)S' \simeq k[X_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_n]$). One has $G_i = \bigoplus_{j=0}^i (F_{i-j} \otimes_{S'} S'[-j]^{\binom{n-1}{j}})$, in particular $\text{indeg}(G_i) = \text{indeg}(F_i)$ for all i .

It follows that, for $i \geq 0$, $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i+1}^{S'}(R, k)) \geq \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i}^{S'}(I', k)) \geq i+1$. Furthermore, $\text{Tor}_{2i-1}^{S'}(I', k)_i \simeq \ker(I_1' \xrightarrow{\times \pi} I_1') = 0$, because $(lS_0 \cap I_1)/fS_0 = 0$. It follows that $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i}^{S'}(R, k)) = \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i-1}^{S'}(I', k)) \geq i+1$ and therefore $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_q^{S'}(R, k)) \geq [q/2] + 1$ for $q > 0$.

For $q > 0$ we get from Lemma 2.6 and the above estimates that

$$\text{indeg}(E_{pq}^2) = \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_p^R(k, k)) + \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_q^{S'}(R, k)) \geq \lfloor p/2 \rfloor + \lfloor q/2 \rfloor + 1 = i + 1$$

for $p + q = 2i + 1$. Hence, for any $i \geq 0$, $(E_{2i+1,0}^\infty)_i \simeq \text{Tor}_{2i+1}^{S'}(k, k)_i \neq 0$ is a submodule of $(E_{2i+1,0}^2)_i \simeq \text{Tor}_{2i+1}^R(k, k)_i$, which is therefore not zero. This proves (iii) \Rightarrow (i) and also shows that if (i) is not satisfied, then $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i+1}^R(k, k)) \leq i$. In this case, $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i+1}^R(k, k)) = i$ by Lemma 2.6. Furthermore $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i}^R(k, k)) = i$ as $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i}^R(k, k)) \leq \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i+1}^R(k, k)) = i$ on one side and $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_{2i}^R(k, k)) \geq i$ by Lemma 2.6 on the other side.

Now (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) by Theorem 2.15 3). \square

As the following example shows, the finiteness of generalized regularity is not symmetric in M and N .

Example 2.11. Let (R_0, π) be a discrete valuation ring, $k := R_0/(\pi)$ and $R := R_0[X]/(\pi X, X^2)$. Then R is a standard graded algebra over R_0 , which is local regular of dimension 1, $\text{reg}_R(R, k) = 0$ and $\text{reg}_R(k, R) = \infty$. Indeed the minimal graded free R -resolution F_\bullet of k is given by

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_3 \oplus d_2} F_3 = (F_2 \oplus F_1)[-1] \xrightarrow{d_2 \oplus d_1} F_2 = (F_1 \oplus F_0)[-1] \xrightarrow{d_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0$$

with $F_0 := R$, $F_1 := R \oplus R[-1]$, d_1 is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x & \pi \end{pmatrix}$ and d_2 is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & \pi \\ 0 & x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The first three steps of the resolution were computed using the software Macaulay 2 [GS], and the rest of the resolution follows by induction, due to the decomposition of the map from F_3 to F_2 . The decomposition of the modules and maps in the resolution also shows that for any module M and any $i \geq 3$,

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(k, M)[1] \oplus \text{Ext}_R^{i-2}(k, M)[1].$$

Using Macaulay 2, it is easy to check that $\text{end}(\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. It follows that $\text{end}(\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R)) = -\lfloor (i-1)/2 \rfloor$ for any $i > 0$, which proves that $\text{reg}_R(k, R) = \infty$.

If F_\bullet and G^\bullet are two complexes of R -modules, $\text{Homgr}_R(F_\bullet, G^\bullet)$ is the cohomological complex with modules $C^i = \prod_{p+q=i} \text{Hom}_R(F_p, G^q)$. If either F_\bullet or G^\bullet is bounded, or both F_\bullet and G^\bullet are bounded below, then $\text{Homgr}_R(F_\bullet, G^\bullet)$ is the totalisation of the double complex with $C^{p,q} = \text{Hom}_R(F_p, G^q)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_m^\bullet(-)$ the Čech complex on $-$.

Lemma 2.12. Let F_\bullet^M be a graded free R -resolution of M and I_N^\bullet be a graded injective R -resolution of N . Consider $C^{\bullet\bullet}$, the double complex with $C^{p,q} = \text{Hom}_R(F_q^M, \mathcal{C}_m^p(N))$, $D^{\bullet\bullet}$ the double complex with $D^{p,q} = \text{Hom}_R(F_q^M, \Gamma_m I_N^p)$, $T_C^\bullet := \text{Tot}(C^{\bullet\bullet})$ and $T_D^\bullet := \text{Tot}(D^{\bullet\bullet})$. Then,

$$H_m^i(M, N) \simeq H^i(T_C^\bullet) \simeq H^i(\text{Homgr}_R(F_\bullet^M, \mathcal{C}_m^\bullet(N))) \simeq H^i(T_D^\bullet) \simeq H^i(\text{Homgr}_R(F_\bullet^M, \Gamma_m I_N^\bullet)).$$

Proof. All these modules are the total homology of the triple complex $T^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$ with $T^{i,j,k} = \text{Hom}_R(F_i^M, \mathcal{C}_m^j(I_N^k))$. On the other hand, $H^i(T_D^\bullet) \simeq H^i(\text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_m I_N^\bullet)) \simeq H_m^i(M, N)$. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Let F_\bullet be a free R -resolution of M . There exist two convergent spectral sequences,*

$$'E_1^{pq} = H_m^p(N) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(F_q, R) \Rightarrow H_m^{p+q}(M, N)$$

and

$$''E_2^{pq} = H_m^p(\text{Ext}_R^q(M, N)) \Rightarrow H_m^{p+q}(M, N).$$

In particular, $a_i(M, N)$ is finite for any i , and $\text{reg}_R(M, N)$ is finite if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for $i \gg 0$.

Proof. Consider the double complex with

$$\mathcal{C}^{pq} := \text{Hom}_R(F_q, \mathcal{C}_m^p(N)) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(F_q, R) \otimes_R \mathcal{C}_m^p(N) \simeq \mathcal{C}_m^p(\text{Hom}_R(F_q, N)).$$

This complex has total homology isomorphic to $H_m^\bullet(M, N)$ by Lemma 2.12, and first or second terms of the spectral sequences associated to row and column filtrations are as stated. \square

The following easy observation will be useful for the proof of the last theorem of this section.

Lemma 2.14. *Let P and Q be two graded R -modules. If $\text{indeg}(P)$ and $\text{end}(Q)$ are finite, then*

$$\text{end}(* \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)) \leq \text{end}(Q) - \text{indeg}(P).$$

Equality holds if P is free of finite rank.

In the sequel, for a finitely generated graded R -module P , we set $a_i(P) := \text{end}(H_m^i(P))$.

Theorem 2.15. *Assume that (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0, k) is local.*

1) *For any i , $a_i(M, N) \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M)$ and if R_0 is a field then $a_i(M, N) \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - i$.*

2) *$0 \leq \text{reg}_R(M, k) + \text{indeg}(M) \leq \text{reg}_R(k, k)$ for any $M \neq 0$.*

3) *If $\text{reg}_R(M, k)$ is finite, then*

$$\text{reg}_R(M, N) = \text{reg}(N) + \text{reg}_R(M, k).$$

4) *If $\text{reg}_R(M, k)$ is finite, $\text{reg}_R(M, N) = a_p(M, N) + p$ for*

$$p := \min\{i \mid \text{reg}_R(M, k) = a_i(M, k) + i\} + \max\{j \mid \text{reg}(N) = a_j(N) + j\}.$$

5) *If R_0 is a field, then $\text{reg}_R(M, N) = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) = a_p(M, N) + p$ for any p such that $\text{reg}(N) = a_p(N) + p$.*

Proof. Let F_\bullet be a minimal graded free R -resolution of M and set $C^{pq} := \mathcal{C}_m^p(\text{Hom}_R(F_q, N))$ as in Lemma 2.13. Set $e := \text{indeg}(M)$ and $F^\bullet := \text{Hom}_R(F_\bullet, R)$. Notice that $C^{pq} \simeq F^q \otimes_R \mathcal{C}_m^p(N)$. As the second spectral sequence of Lemma 2.13 provides an isomorphism $H_m^q(M, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^q(M, N)$ whenever $M \otimes_R N$ is supported in \mathfrak{m} , one has

$$a_i(M, k) = \text{end}(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, k)) = \text{end}(F^i \otimes_R k) = -\text{indeg}(F_i).$$

One has $({}'E_1^{pq})_\mu = \text{Hom}_R(F^q, H_m^p(N))_\mu = 0$ for $\mu > a_p(N) - e$, by Lemma 2.14. If further R_0 is a field, $\text{indeg}(F_{j+1}) > \text{indeg}(F_j)$ for any j and Lemma 2.14 implies that $({}'E_1^{pq})_\mu = 0$ for $\mu > a_p(N) - e - q$. This proves 1).

If $\text{reg}_R(k, k)$ is finite, a minimal free R -resolution F_\bullet^k of k satisfies $\text{indeg}(F_i^k) \geq i - c$, for $c := \text{reg}_R(k, k)$. It follows that $\text{indeg}(F_i) = \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^R(M, k)) \geq \text{indeg}(M) + i - c$, as $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, k) \simeq H_i(M \otimes_R F_\bullet^k)$. This proves 2).

By [Ch2, 5.1 (ii)], if $\text{reg}_R(M, k) = \sup_i \{\text{end}(F^i \otimes_R k) + i\} < \infty$, then setting $i_0 = \min\{i \mid \text{reg}_R(M, k) = a_i(M, k) + i\}$ and $j_0 := \max\{j \mid \text{reg}(N) = a_j(N) + j\}$, one has :

$$\text{reg}_R(M, N) = a_{i_0+j_0}(M, N) + (i_0 + j_0) = \text{reg}_R(M, k) + \text{reg}(N).$$

This proves 3) and 4).

For 5), first notice that 1) implies that $\text{reg}_R(M, N) \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M)$. Set $\mu := a_p(N) - e = \text{reg}(N) - p - e$. One has $'E_r^{p+r-1, -r} = 0$ for any $r \geq 1$, so that $'E_{r+1}^{p0}$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the map

$$'E_r^{p0} \xrightarrow{'d_r^{p0}} 'E_r^{p-r+1, r}$$

But $({}'E_1^{p-r+1, r})_\mu \simeq \text{Hom}_R(F_r, H_m^{p-r+1}(N))_\mu$ is zero for $r \geq 1$ because

$$\text{end}(\text{Hom}_R(F_r, H_m^{p-r+1}(N))) \leq (\text{reg}(N) - p + r - 1) + (-e - r) \leq \mu - 1.$$

Therefore $({}'E_\infty^{p0})_\mu \simeq (H_m^p(N) \otimes_R F^0)_\mu \simeq H_m^p(N)_{a_p(N)}^{\dim_\kappa(M_e)} \neq 0$. It follows that $H_m^p(M, N)_\mu \neq 0$, which proves that $\text{reg}_R(M, N) \geq \mu + p = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M)$. \square

Remark 2.16. *i) If R_0 is regular, not necessarily local, and either R_μ is a free R_0 -module for any μ or R is the quotient of a polynomial ring over R_0 by an ideal of initial degree at least $\dim R_0 + 1$, then*

$$\text{reg}_R(M, N) \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) + \dim R_0.$$

This follows from the fact that $\text{reg}_R(M, N) = \max_{\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \text{Spec}(R_0)} \{\text{reg}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_0}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}_0}, N_{\mathfrak{p}_0})\}$, and that for any $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \text{Spec}(R_0)$, $\text{reg}(N_{\mathfrak{p}_0}) \leq \text{reg}(N)$ and $\text{indeg}(M_{\mathfrak{p}_0}) \geq \text{indeg}(M)$.

ii) If M has finite projective dimension, or N has finite injective dimension, then $\text{Ext}_R^q(M, N)$ has dimension at most $\dim(R) - q$ for any q . Hence, the spectral sequence ${}''E_2^{pq} = H_m^p(\text{Ext}_R^q(M, N)) \Rightarrow H_m^{p+q}(M, N)$ shows that $H_m^i(M, N) = 0$ for $i > \dim(R)$.

3. DUALITY FOR GENERALIZED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY

We establish in this section a duality for graded generalized local cohomology in our context, which is a graded version of the original result of Suzuki [Su] (see also [HZ] for further results).

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension d . Assume that R_0 is a field and let ω_R be the canonical module of R , and M and N be finitely generated graded R -modules.*

- 1) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, R) \simeq {}^* \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_{i-d}^R(M, \omega_R), R_0)$.
- 2) If M has finite projective dimension, or if N has finite projective dimension and $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) = 0$ for $i > 0$, then

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, N) \simeq {}^* \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(N, M \otimes_R \omega_R), R_0).$$

Proof. Let F_{\bullet}^M be a minimal graded free R -resolution of M and F_{\bullet}^N be a minimal graded free R -resolution of N . By Lemma 2.12 and [B, §6, Théorème 1, b],

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, N) \simeq H^i(\text{Homgr}_R(F_{\bullet}^M, \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\bullet}(N))) \simeq H^i(\text{Homgr}_R(F_{\bullet}^M, \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\bullet}(F_{\bullet}^N))).$$

As R is Cohen-Macaulay, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) = 0$ for $i \neq d$, and therefore

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, N) \simeq H^{i-d}(\text{Homgr}_R(F_{\bullet}^M, F_{\bullet}^N \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R))).$$

As F_{\bullet}^M or F_{\bullet}^N is bounded

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, N) &\simeq H_{d-i}(\text{Hom}_R(\text{Homgr}_R(F_{\bullet}^N, F_{\bullet}^M), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R))) \\ &\simeq H_{d-i}({}^* \text{Hom}_R(\text{Homgr}_R(F_{\bullet}^N, F_{\bullet}^M \otimes_R \omega_R), R_0)) \\ &\simeq {}^* \text{Hom}_R(H^{d-i}(\text{Homgr}_R(F_{\bullet}^N, F_{\bullet}^M \otimes_R \omega_R)), R_0). \end{aligned}$$

This shows 1) and, by Remark 2.16 ii), it implies that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) = 0$ for $i > 0$ if F_{\bullet}^M is bounded. Hence $F_{\bullet}^M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is acyclic, and 2) follows. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a standard graded Gorenstein ring. Assume that R_0 is a field, M and N are finitely generated graded R -modules and M or N has finite projective dimension, then*

$$\min_j \{ \text{indeg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(N, M)) + j \} = \text{reg}(R) - \text{reg}(N) + \text{indeg}(M).$$

Proof. Let $d := \dim R$ and a be the a -invariant of R . By Theorem 3.1,

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, N) \simeq (\text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(N, M)[a])^*.$$

In particular,

$$-a_i(M, N) = \text{indeg}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(N, M)[a]) = \text{indeg}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(N, M)) - a.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg}_R(M, N) &= \max_i \{-\operatorname{indeg}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{d-i}(N, M)) + a + i\} \\ &= a + d - \min_j \{\operatorname{indeg}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^j(N, M)) + j\}. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from Theorem 2.15 5), since $a + d = \operatorname{reg}(R)$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *If R is a polynomial ring over a field, then for any pair of non zero finitely generated graded R -modules M and N ,*

$$\operatorname{reg}(M) - \operatorname{indeg}(N) = -\min\{\operatorname{indeg}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\}.$$

Applying this corollary to $N := R_0$ we recover the definition of regularity in terms of graded Betti numbers, applying it with $N := R$ we recover the definition of regularity as in Eisenbud's book [Ei, 20.16], which is equivalent to the one in terms of local cohomology by graded local duality.

4. REGULARITY OF EXT MODULES

The following result generalizes a result of Caviglia in his thesis (see [Ca, 3.10]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a Noetherian standard graded ring of dimension n such that R_0 is a field. Let M and N be two finitely generated graded R -modules and i_0 an integer. Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is zero for $i < i_0$, $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{i_0}(M, N)$ has dimension at most $n - i_0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is either a Cohen-Macaulay R -module of dimension $n - i$ or zero for all $i > i_0$. Then,*

- i) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i-i_0}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{i_0}(M, N)) \simeq H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, N)$ for all $i \neq n$.
- ii) $\max_i \{\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M, N)) + i\} = \operatorname{reg}(N) - \operatorname{indeg}(M)$.
- iii) $\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{i_0}(M, N)) + i_0 = \operatorname{reg}(N) - \operatorname{indeg}(M)$ if $\operatorname{reg}(N) = a_p(N) + p$ for some $p < n$.

Recall that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{i_0}(M, N)$ has dimension at most $n - i_0$ if either M has finite projective dimension or N has finite injective dimension (see Remark 2.16 ii)).

Proof. Lemma 2.13 provides a spectral sequence

$${}''E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(\operatorname{Ext}_R^q(M, N)) \Rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{p+q}(M, N)$$

and our hypothesis implies that ${}''E_2^{pq} = 0$ for $p \neq n - q$ and $q \neq i_0$, and for $p + q > n$. This in turn shows that ${}''E_2^{pq} \simeq {}''E_{\infty}^{pq}$ for any p and q . It proves i) and provides a filtration $0 = F_{-1} \subseteq F_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F_{n-i_0} = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(M, N)$ with $F_i/F_{i-1} \simeq H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n-i}(M, N))$. It follows that

$$a_n(M, N) = \max_i \{a_i(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n-i}(M, N))\}.$$

Notice that $a_i(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n-i}(M, N)) = \operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n-i}(M, N)) - i$ for $i \neq n - i_0$. Hence, by i),

$$\operatorname{reg}_R(M, N) = \max\{\max_i \{a_{i-i_0}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{i_0}(M, N)) + i\}, \max_{i \neq n-i_0} \{\operatorname{reg}(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{n-i}(M, N)) + n - i\}\},$$

which proves ii) by Theorem 2.15 5).

If $\text{reg}(N) = a_p(N) + p$ for some $p < n$, then $\text{reg}_R(M, N) = a_p(M, N) + p$ by Theorem 2.15 5). Hence by i), $\text{reg}_R(M, N) = a_{p-i_0}(\text{Ext}_R^{i_0}(M, N)) + p \leq \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^{i_0}(M, N)) + i_0$, which proves iii) in view of ii). \square

Lemma 4.2. *If M or N has finite projective dimension, there exists a spectral sequence :*

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R), N) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{j-i}(M, N).$$

Proof. Let F_\bullet^M and F_\bullet^N be minimal graded free R -resolution of M and N , respectively. The double complex with terms $\text{Hom}_R(F_p^M, F_q^N) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(F_p^M, R) \otimes_R F_q^N$ has total homology isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_R^\bullet(M, N)$, because M or N has finite projective dimension. It gives rise to a spectral sequence with E_2 term as in the Lemma. \square

Corollary 4.3. *There exists a natural map*

$$\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R) \otimes_R N \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^j(M, N),$$

whose kernel is supported on $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} \text{Supp}(\text{Tor}_{i+1}^R(\text{Ext}_R^{j+i}(M, R), N))$ and whose cokernel is supported on $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} \text{Supp}(\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Ext}_R^{j+i}(M, R), N))$.

Proof. The spectral sequence of Lemma 4.2 provides a natural onto map from $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R) \otimes_R N$ to ${}^h_\infty E_0^j$ whose kernel is supported on $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} \text{Supp}(\text{Tor}_{i+1}^R(\text{Ext}_R^{j+i}(M, R), N))$ and a natural into map from ${}^h_\infty E_0^j$ to $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)$ whose cokernel is supported on $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} \text{Supp}({}^h_\infty E_i^{j+i})$. The conclusion follows as ${}^h_\infty E_i^{j+i}$ is a subquotient of ${}^h_2 E_i^{j+i} \simeq \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Ext}_R^{j+i}(M, R), N)$. \square

We assume from this point on that $R = R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring over a field R_0 .

Corollary 4.4. *Let c and e be two integers. Assume that $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension c for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(N)$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} > e$, then*

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R) \otimes_R N) \simeq H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)), \quad \forall i \geq e + 2,$$

and there is an onto map

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{e+1}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R) \otimes_R N) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{e+1}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)).$$

Proof. The assumption implies that $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(N)$, $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} > e$ and $j \neq c$ because $H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} - j}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ in these cases. This in turn shows that $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Ext}_R^{c+i}(M, R), N)$ and $\text{Tor}_{i+1}^R(\text{Ext}_R^{c+i}(M, R), N)$ are supported in dimension at most e for any $i \geq 1$. By Corollary 4.3, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^c(M, R) \otimes_R N \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^c(M, N) \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0,$$

with $\dim(K) \leq e$ and $\dim(C) \leq e$. Our claim follows. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let c and e be two integers. Assume that locally at any prime \mathfrak{p} such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = e + 1$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension c , $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and $\text{codim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{codim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \text{codim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Then for any $p \geq e + 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} a_p(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) &\leq \max_i \{a_{p+i}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R)) + b_i(N)\} \\ &\leq \text{reg}(N) + \max_{i \geq p} \{a_i(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R)) + i\} - p. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.4, $a_p(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) \leq a_p(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R) \otimes_R N)$ for $p \geq e + 1$. As R is Gorenstein, it follows that, locally at each \mathfrak{p} such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = e + 1$, $\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R)$ and N are Cohen-Macaulay modules that intersects properly. Therefore $\dim(\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R), N)) \leq e$, for $i > 0$ (see e. g. [Ch2, 1.8]) and so [Ch2, 5.11 (i)] implies that $a_p(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R) \otimes_R N) \leq \max_i \{a_{p+i}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R)) + b_i(N)\}$ for $p \geq e - 1$. The conclusion follows. \square

Theorem 4.6. 1) *If $\dim(M \otimes_R N) \leq 1$, then*

$$\max_j \{\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\} = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M).$$

2) *Let c be an integer. Assume that $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N)$ is supported in dimension at most 1 and that, for any \mathfrak{p} such that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and $\text{codim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = c$. Then,*

(i) *If $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + c \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M)$, then*

$$\max_j \{\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\} = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M).$$

(ii) *If $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + c > \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M)$, then*

$$\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M), \quad \forall j < c,$$

$$\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + c \leq \text{reg}(N) + \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R)) + c, \text{ and}$$

$$\max_{j > c} \{\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\} = \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + c - 1.$$

If further $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N)$ is supported in dimension at most 0, then

$$\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) = \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R) \otimes_R N) \leq \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R)) + \text{reg}(N).$$

Proof. Set $E^j := \text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)$. Our hypotheses in 1) implies that E^j has dimension at most 1 for all j . Recall that over a localization $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the following are equivalent :

a) $\text{Tor}_1^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(P, Q) = 0$ and $P \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} Q$ is Cohen-Macaulay,

b) P and Q are Cohen-Macaulay and $\text{codim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} P \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} Q = \text{codim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} P + \text{codim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} Q$.

Notice that if M is Cohen-Macaulay of projective dimension p , then $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N) \simeq \text{Tor}_{p-j}^R(\text{Ext}_R^p(M, R), N)$ and that $\text{Ext}_R^p(M, R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay with same support as M . This implies that E^j has dimension at most 1 for all $j \neq c$ in 2) . By Lemma 2.13 the spectral sequence with E_2 term

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
\cdots & H_m^0(E^{c-1}) & H_m^0(E^c) & H_m^0(E^{c+1}) & H_m^0(E^{c+2}) & H_m^0(E^{c+3}) \\
\cdots & H_m^1(E^{c-1}) & H_m^1(E^c) & H_m^1(E^{c+1}) & H_m^1(E^{c+2}) & \cdots \\
\cdots & 0 & H_m^2(E^c) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & 0 & H_m^3(E^c) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & 0 & H_m^4(E^c) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}$$

as well as the as the one with E_1 term $H_m^i(N) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(F_j^M, R)$ abuts to $H_m^\bullet(M, N)$. (In this diagram, the dotted arrows show direction of maps at steps 3 or 4 of the spectral sequence –notice that the target of each map is a quotient of a local cohomology module of $\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)$).

Set $b'_i(M) := \text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^R(M, k))$ and $B_j := \max_i \{a_i(N) - b'_{j-i}(M)\}$. Notice that $b'_0(M) = \text{indeg}(M)$ and $b'_i(M) \geq b'_0(M) + i$ and therefore $B_j \leq \max_i \{a_i(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - j + i\} \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - j$. Comparing the two spectral sequences, for any $j \leq c$, one has $a_0(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) \leq B_j$ and $a_1(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) \leq B_{j+1}$. Therefore, for $j \leq c$,

$$\{ a_0(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) \quad \& \quad a_1(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + 1 + j \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) \} \quad (*).$$

This shows that, in both part 1 and part 2, $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M)$, $\forall j < c$.

For $j > c$, again by comparing the two spectral sequences,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j &\leq \max\{B_j + j, a_{j-c+1}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + j\} \\
&\leq \max\{\text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M), \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + c - 1\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + 1 + j &\leq \max\{B_{j+1} + j + 1, a_{j-c+2}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + j + 1\} \\
&\leq \max\{\text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M), \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + c - 1\}
\end{aligned}$$

The above estimates and (*) imply that in part 1, $\max_j \{\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\} \leq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M)$. In part 2, the two above estimates show that

$$\max_{j > c} \{\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\} \leq \max\{\text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M), \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + c - 1\}.$$

Furthermore, recall that by Theorem 2.15 5), there exists p such that $\text{end}(H_m^p(M, N)) = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - p$.

In part 1), this shows that $a_0(\text{Ext}_R^p(M, N)) = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - p$ or $a_1(\text{Ext}_R^{p-1}(M, N)) = \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - p$. It implies that either $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^p(M, N)) \geq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - p$ or $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^{p-1}(M, N)) \geq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - p + 1$. This finishes the proof of 1).

In part 2) (i), this shows the same inequalities if $p \leq c$. If $p > c$, it shows that $a_0(\text{Ext}_R^p(M, N)) \geq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - p$ or $a_1(\text{Ext}_R^{p-1}(M, N)) \geq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - p$ or $a_{p-c}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) \geq \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - p$. This proves 2) (i).

Finally, in part 2) (ii), choose i such that $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) = a_i(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + i$. Notice that $i \geq 2$ by (*). Hence it follows from Corollary 4.5 that $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) \leq \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, R)) + \text{reg}(N)$. Let $\mu := a_i(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N))$. As $\mu > \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(M) - i - c$ by hypothesis, $H_m^1(\text{Ext}_R^{c+i-2}(M, N))_\mu = H_m^0(\text{Ext}_R^{c+i-1}(M, N))_\mu = 0$ implies that $H_m^i(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N))_\mu = 0$. Hence, either $a_1(\text{Ext}_R^{c+i-2}(M, N)) \geq \mu$ or $a_0(\text{Ext}_R^{c+i-1}(M, N)) \geq \mu$. This shows that $\max_{j>c} \{\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\} = \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(M, N)) + c - 1$.

In view of (*), Corollary 4.4 implies the last statement of part 2) (ii). \square

Corollary 4.7. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.6 1) or 2) (i),*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_j \{\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\} - \min_j \{\text{indeg}(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N)) + j\} \\ = \text{reg}(M) - \text{indeg}(M) + \text{reg}(N) - \text{indeg}(N) \\ = \text{reg}_R(M, M) + \text{reg}_R(N, N) = \text{reg}_R(M, N) + \text{reg}_R(N, M). \end{aligned}$$

We collect below some results about the regularity of the Ext module playing a key role in the estimates of Theorem 4.6, in the case M is cyclic.

Theorem 4.8. *Let I be a homogeneous proper ideal of R , $c := \text{codim}_R(I)$, J the unmixed part of I and $X := \text{Proj}(R/J)$. Then,*

$$\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(R/I, R)) + c = 0$$

if either

- (i) X is empty or arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay,
- (ii) $\dim(X) = 1$ and X is reduced,
- (iii) X is smooth, $\text{char}(R_0) \geq \dim(X)$ and X lifts to $W_2(R_0)$,
- (iv) $\text{char}(R_0) = 0$ and X has at most isolated irrational singularities.

Proof. If R/J is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\text{Ext}_R^c(R/J, R)$ has the dual of a minimal free R -resolution of R/J as minimal free R -resolution, from which (i) directly follows.

Notice that $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^c(R/I, R)) + c = 0$ is equivalent to $\text{reg}(\omega_{R/J}) = \dim(R/J)$, with $\omega_{R/J} := \text{Ext}_R^c(R/J, \omega_R)$ and that $\text{reg}(\omega_{R/J}) \geq \dim(R/J)$ (see [CU, 4.2]). Part (ii) is proved for instance in the first line of the proof of [CU, 1.7], (iii) follows from [DI, 2.8] and (iv) follows from [CU, 1.3]. \square

Remark 4.9. *In the case $\dim(X) = 1$ above, the hypothesis that X is reduced or ACM is essential, as the following example shows (see [Ch1, 13.5]). Let $R := k[x, y, z, t]$ and $I := (x^nt - y^nz) + (z, t)^n$ for $n \geq 2$. Then I is unmixed, $\text{Proj}(R/I)$ is locally a complete intersection and $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, R)) + 2 = (n - 1)^2$.*

REFERENCES

- [A] L. Avramov, *Infinite free resolutions*. Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), 1–118, Progr. Math. **166**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [B] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre*, Chapitre X, Herman, Paris, 1980.
- [Ca] G. Caviglia, *Bounds on the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of tensor products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 1949–1957.
- [Ch1] M. Chardin, *Some results and questions on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Syzygies and Hilbert Functions. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **254**, 2007, 1–40.
- [Ch2] M. Chardin, *On the behavior of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity with respect to some functors*, arXiv:0706.2731.
- [CU] M. Chardin and B. Ulrich, *Liaison and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), no. 6, 1103–1124.
- [CH] A. Conca and J. Herzog, *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of products of ideals*, Collect. Math. **54** (2003), no. 2, 137–152.
- [DI] P. Deligne and L. Illusie, *Relèvements modulo p^2 et dcomposition du complexe de de Rham*, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 2, 247–270.
- [EHU] D. Eisenbud, C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, *The regularity of Tor and graded Betti numbers*, Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), no. 3, 573–605.
- [GS] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, *Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [HZ] J. Herzog and N. Zamani, *Duality and vanishing of generalized local cohomology*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **81** (2003), no. 5, 512–519.
- [H] J. Herzog, *Komplex Auflösungen und Dualität in der lokalen algebra*, preprint, Universität Regensburg, 1974.
- [Si] J. Sidman, *On the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of products of ideal sheaves*, Adv. Geom. **2** (2002), no. 3, 219–229.
- [Su] N. Suzuki, *On the generalized local cohomology and its duality*, J. Math. Kyoto. Univ. **18** (1) (1978), 71–85.
- [Y] S. Yassemi, *Generalized section functors*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra **95** (1994), 103–119.

M. CHARDIN, INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, 175 RUE DU CHEVALERET, F-75013, PARIS, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `chardin@math.jussieu.fr`

K. DIVAANI-AAZAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AZ-ZAHRA UNIVERSITY, VANAK, POST CODE 19834, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `kdivaani@ipm.ir`