

# MODULAR CLASSES OF POISSON-NIJENHUIS LIE ALGEBROIDS

RAQUEL CASEIRO

ABSTRACT. The modular vector field of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid  $A$  is defined and we prove that, in case of non-degeneracy, this vector field defines a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian  $A$ -vector fields. This hierarchy covers an integrable hierarchy on the base manifold, which may not have a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The relative modular class of a Lie algebroid morphism was first discussed by Grabowski, Marmo and Michor in [10]. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Weinstein in [16] showed that this relative class could be seen as a generalization of the notion of modular class introduced by Weinstein in [18]. In [7], Damianou and Fernandes introduced the modular vector field of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold and showed that it is intimately related with integrable hierarchies (see, also, the alternative approach offered by Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Magri in [14]). In this paper, we generalize this construction and consider the modular vector field of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid.

Recall (see, e.g. [15]) that a Nijenhuis operator  $N : A \rightarrow A$  on a Lie algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$  allows us to define a deformed Lie algebroid structure  $A_N = (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \rho \circ N)$  such that  $N : A_N \rightarrow A$  is a Lie algebroid morphism. Our first result states that the modular class of this morphism has a canonical representative:

**Proposition 1.** *The relative modular class  $N : A_N \rightarrow A$  is represented by  $d_A \text{Tr } N$ .*

Let us assume now that  $A$  is equipped with a Poisson structure  $\pi$  compatible with  $N$ . Then we can define two Lie algebroid structures on  $A^*$ , namely  $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \rho \circ \pi^\sharp)$  obtained by dualization from  $\pi$ , and  $A_{N^*}^* = (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{N^*}, \rho \circ N^* \pi^\sharp)$  obtained from the first one by deformation along  $N^*$ . Again,  $N^* : A_{N^*}^* \rightarrow A^*$  is a Lie algebroid morphism and, by the proposition above, its relative modular class has the canonical representative  $X_{(N, \pi)} := d_\pi(\text{Tr } N)$ , which we will call the *modular vector field* of the Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid  $(A, \pi, N)$ . When  $A = TM$  we recover the construction of Damianou and Fernandes [7] up to a factor of  $1/2$  (for the same reason that the modular class associated with a Poisson manifold differs from the modular class of its cotangent Lie algebroid by a factor of  $1/2$ ).

The modular vector field  $X_{(N, \pi)}$  of the Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid  $(A, \pi, N)$  is always a  $d_{N^* \pi}$ -cocycle. If  $N$  is non-degenerated it is also a  $d_{N^* \pi}$ -coboundary. In

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B62, 17B66, 37J35, 53D17.

*Key words and phrases.* Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, Lie algebroids, Modular vector fields, Integrable hierarchies.

this case we have the following generalization of a result of Damianou and Fernandes for a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(A, \pi, N)$  be a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid with  $N$  a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator. Then there exists a hierarchy of  $A$ -vector fields*

$$X_{(N,\pi)}^{i+j} = N^{i+j-1} X_{(N,\pi)} = d_{N^i \pi} h_j = d_{N^j \pi} h_i, \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

where

$$h_0 = \ln(\det N) \text{ and } h_i = \frac{1}{i} \text{Tr } N^i, \quad (i \neq 0).$$

The hierarchy of flows on  $A$ , given by this theorem, covers a hierarchy of (ordinary) multi-Hamiltonian flows on the base manifold  $M$ . Although the hierarchy on  $A$  is generated by a Nijenhuis operator, it may happen that the base hierarchy is not generated by one. We will see that this is precisely the case for the  $A_n$ -Toda lattice. This gives a new explanation for the existence of a hierarchy of Poisson structures and flows associated with a bi-Hamiltonian system which may not have a Nijenhuis operator.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the necessary background on Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids. In Section 3, we introduce the modular vector field of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid, state its basic properties, and prove Theorem 2. Section 4 is concerned with integrable hierarchies and discusses the example of the  $A_n$ -Toda lattice.

## 2. POISSON-NIJENHUIS LIE ALGEBROIDS

In this section we will recall some basic facts about Nijenhuis operators and Poisson structures on Lie algebroids which we will need later. A general reference for Lie algebroids is the book by Cannas da Silva and Weinstein [3]. Nijenhuis operators are discussed in detail in the article by Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Magri [15], while PN-structures on Lie algebroids are discussed by Kosmann-Schwarzbach in [13] and by Grabowski and Urbanski in [11].

**2.1. Cartan calculus on Lie algebroids.** Let us recall that a Lie algebroid is a kind of generalized tangent bundle, which carries a generalized Cartan calculus.

First, for any Lie algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$  we have a complex of  $A$ -differential forms  $\Omega^k(A) := \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$  with the differential given by:

$$\begin{aligned} d_A \omega(X_0, \dots, X_k) &:= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \rho(X_i) \cdot \omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_A, X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_0, \dots, X_k \in \Gamma(A)$ . The corresponding *Lie algebroid cohomology* is denoted by  $H^\bullet(A)$ .

Dually, the space of  $A$ -multivector fields  $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{X}^k(A) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$  carries a super-Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ , extending the Lie bracket on  $\Gamma(A)$ , and satisfying

the following super-commutation, super-derivation and super-Jacobi identities:

$$\begin{aligned} [P, Q] &= -(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[P, Q] \\ [P, Q \wedge R] &= [P, Q] \wedge R + (-1)^{(p-1)q}Q \wedge [P, R] \\ (-1)^{(p-1)(r-1)}[P, [Q, R]] &+ (-1)^{(q-1)(p-1)}[Q, [R, P]] + (-1)^{(r-1)(q-1)}[R, [P, Q]] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $P \in \mathfrak{X}^p(A)$ ,  $Q \in \mathfrak{X}^q(A)$  and  $R \in \mathfrak{X}^r(A)$ . The triple  $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A), [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \wedge)$  is a *Gerstenhaber algebra*.

If  $X \in \Gamma(A)$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^k(A)$  the *Lie derivative* of  $\omega$  along  $X$  is the  $A$ -differential form  $\mathcal{L}_X \omega \in \Omega^k(A)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_X \omega := d_A i_X \omega + i_X d_A \omega,$$

where  $i_X : \Omega^k(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(A)$  is defined by

$$i_X \eta(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}) := \eta(X, X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}), \quad X_1, \dots, X_{k-1} \in \Gamma(A),$$

for  $k > 1$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $i_X \eta := \eta(X)$  and, for  $k \leq 0$  we say that  $i_X \eta = 0$ .

A morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  (over the identity) of Lie algebroids over  $M$  induces by transposition a chain map of the complexes of differential forms:

$$\phi^* : (\Omega^k(B), d_B) \rightarrow (\Omega^k(A), d_A).$$

Hence, we also have a well defined map at the level of cohomology, which we will denote by the same letter  $\phi^* : H^\bullet(B) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A)$ .

**2.2. Nijenhuis operators.** Let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$  be a Lie algebroid over a manifold  $M$ . Recall that a *Nijenhuis operator* is a bundle map  $N : A \rightarrow A$  (over the identity) such that the induced map on the sections (denoted by the same symbol  $N$ ) has vanishing torsion:

$$(1) \quad T_N(X, Y) := N[X, Y]_N - [NX, NY] = 0, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_N$  is defined by

$$[X, Y]_N := [NX, Y] + [X, NY] - N[X, Y], \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

Let us set  $\rho_N := \rho \circ N$ . For a Nijenhuis operator  $N$ , one checks easily that the triple  $A_N = (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \rho_N)$  is a new Lie algebroid, and then  $N : A_N \rightarrow A$  is a Lie algebroid morphism.

Since  $N$  is a Lie algebroid morphism, its transpose gives a chain map of the complexes of differential forms  $N^* : (\Omega^k(A), d_A) \rightarrow (\Omega^k(A_N), d_{A_N})$ . Hence we also have a map at the level of algebroid cohomology  $N^* : H^\bullet(A) \rightarrow H^\bullet(A_N)$ .

**2.3. Poisson structures on Lie algebroids.** Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(A)$  be a bivector on the Lie algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$  and denote by  $\pi^\sharp$  the usual bundle map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\sharp : A^* &\longrightarrow A \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \pi^\sharp(\alpha) = i_\alpha \pi. \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $\pi$  defines a *Poisson structure on  $A$*  if  $[\pi, \pi]_A = 0$ . In this case, the bracket on the sections of  $A^*$  defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \alpha} \beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \beta} \alpha - d_A(\pi(\alpha, \beta)), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*),$$

is a Lie bracket and  $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \rho \circ \pi^\sharp)$  is a Lie algebroid. The differential of this Lie algebroid is given by  $d_\pi X = [\pi, X]_A$ ,  $X \in \Omega(A^*)$ , and the pair  $(A, A^*)$  is a special kind of Lie bialgebroid, called a *triangular Lie bialgebroid*.

**2.4. Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids.** The basic notion to be used in this paper is the following:

**Definition 3.** A *Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid* (in short, a *PN-algebroid*) is a Lie algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho)$  equipped with a Poisson structure  $\pi$  and a Nijenhuis operator  $N$  which are compatible.

The compatibility condition between  $N$  and  $\pi$  means that:

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_{N\pi} = [\cdot, \cdot]_{N^*},$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{N\pi}$  is the Lie bracket defined by the bivector field  $N\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(A)$ , and  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{N^*}$  is the Lie bracket obtained from the Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$  by deformation along the Nijenhuis tensor  $N^*$ .

As a consequence,  $N\pi$  defines a new Poisson structure on  $A$ , compatible with  $\pi$ :

$$[\pi, N\pi]_A = [N\pi, N\pi]_A = 0,$$

and one has a commutative diagram of morphisms of Lie algebroids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{N\pi}) & \xrightarrow{N^*} & (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi) \\ \downarrow \pi^\sharp & \searrow N\pi^\sharp & \downarrow \pi^\sharp \\ (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_N) & \xrightarrow{N} & (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A) \end{array}$$

In fact, we have a whole hierarchy  $N^k\pi$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of pairwise compatible Poisson structures on  $A$ .

### 3. MODULAR CLASS OF A POISSON-NIJENHUIS LIE ALGEBROID

In this section we will state and prove our main results.

**3.1. Modular class of a Lie algebroid.** Let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$  be a Lie algebroid over the manifold  $M$ . For simplicity we will assume that both  $M$  and  $A$  are orientable, so that there exist non-vanishing sections  $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}^{\text{top}}(A)$  and  $\mu \in \Omega^{\text{top}}(M)$ .

The *modular form* of the Lie algebroid  $A$  with respect to  $\eta \otimes \mu$  is the  $A$ -form  $\xi_A \in \Omega^1(A)$ , defined by

$$(2) \quad \langle \xi_A, X \rangle \eta \otimes \mu = \mathcal{L}_X \eta \otimes \mu + \eta \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho(X)} \mu, \quad X \in \Gamma(A).$$

This is a 1-cocycle of the Lie algebroid cohomology of  $A$ . If one makes a different choice of sections  $\eta'$  and  $\mu'$ , then  $\eta' \otimes \mu' = f\eta \otimes \mu$ , for some non-vanishing smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ . One checks easily that the modular form  $\xi'_A$  associated with this new choice is given by:

$$(3) \quad \xi'_A = \xi_A - d_A \log |f|,$$

so that the cohomology class  $[\xi_A] \in H^1(A)$  is independent of the choice of  $\eta$  and  $\mu$ . This cohomology class is called the *modular class* of  $A$  and we will denote it by  $\text{mod } A := [\xi_A]$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $N$  be a Nijenhuis operator on a Lie algebroid  $A$  and fix non-vanishing sections  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  as above. The modular form  $\xi_{A_N}$  of the Lie algebroid  $A_N$  and the modular form  $\xi_A$  of  $A$  are related by:*

$$(4) \quad \xi_{A_N} = d_A(\text{Tr } N) + N^* \xi_A.$$

*Proof.* Around any point, we can always choose a local base  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  of sections of  $A$  and local coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $M$  such that  $\eta = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_r$  and  $\mu = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ . In these coordinates, we have the following expressions for the anchor  $\rho$  and the Nijenhuis operator  $N$ :

$$\rho(e_i) = \sum_{u=1}^n p_i^u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_u} \text{ and } N(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^r N_i^j e_j, \quad (i = 1, \dots, r).$$

Now, for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we compute:

$$\mathcal{L}_{e_i} \eta = \mathcal{L}_{e_i} (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_r) = \sum_{k,j=1}^r \left[ N_i^k C_{kj}^j - \sum_{u=1}^n \left( \rho_j^u \frac{\partial N_i^j}{\partial x_u} + \rho_i^u \frac{\partial N_j^j}{\partial x_u} \right) \right] \eta$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho N(e_i)} \mu = \mathcal{L}_{\rho \circ N(e_i)} (dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n) = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{u=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial N_i^k}{\partial x_u} \rho_k^u + \frac{\partial \rho_k^u}{\partial x_u} N_i^k \right) \mu.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_A^N(e_i) &= \sum_j \left( \sum_k N_i^j C_{jk}^k + \sum_u \left( \frac{\partial \rho_j^u}{\partial x_u} N_i^j + \frac{\partial N_i^j}{\partial x_u} \rho_i^u \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left( \sum_k N_i^j C_{jk}^k + \sum_u \frac{\partial \rho_j^u}{\partial x_u} N_i^j \right) + d_A \text{Tr } N(e_i) \\ &= (N^* \xi_A + d_A \text{Tr } N)(e_i). \end{aligned}$$

By linearity this holds for any section of  $A$ , so the result follows.  $\square$

The theorem shows that the  $A_N$ -form  $\xi_{A_N} - N^* \xi_A = d_A \text{Tr } N$  is independent of the choice of section of  $\eta \otimes \mu \in \mathfrak{X}^{\text{top}}(A) \otimes \Omega^{\text{top}}(M)$ .

**Remark 5.** *This can also be checked directly using relation (3): If  $\xi_A$  and  $\xi_{A_N}$  are the modular forms associated to the choice  $\eta \otimes \mu$ ,  $\xi'_A$  and  $\xi'_{A_N}$  are the modular forms associated with another choice  $f\eta \otimes \mu$ , then:*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi'_A &= \xi_A - d_A \ln |f|, \\ \xi'_{A_N} &= \xi_{A_N} - d_{A_N} \ln |f|. \end{aligned}$$

For any function  $g \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have  $d_{A_N} g = N^* d_A g$ , so it follows from these relations that:

$$\xi'_{A_N} - N^* \xi'_A = \xi_{A_N} - N^* \xi_A.$$

Recall (see [10, 16]) that for any Lie algebroid morphism over the identity  $\phi : (A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho_A) \rightarrow (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \rho_B)$  one defines its *relative modular class* to be the cohomology class  $\text{mod}^\phi(A, B) \in H^1(A)$  given by:

$$(5) \quad \text{mod}^\phi(A, B) := \text{mod } A - \phi^* \text{mod } B.$$

Therefore we have the following immediate corollary of Proposition 4:

**Corollary 6.** *The relative modular class of the algebroid morphism  $N : A_N \rightarrow A$  is a  $A_N$ -cohomology class with canonical representative the  $A_N$ -form  $d_A \text{Tr } N$ .*

Note that the class  $[d_A \text{Tr } N] \in H^1(A_N)$  maybe non-trivial: in general, the differentials  $d_A$  and  $d_{A_N}$  will be distinct.

**3.2. Modular class of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid.** Now we consider a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid  $(A, \pi, N)$ . Then  $N^*$  is a Nijenhuis operator of the dual Lie algebroid  $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \rho \circ \pi^\sharp)$  and, by Corollary 6, its relative modular class has the canonical representative  $d_\pi(\text{Tr } N^*)$ , so that:

$$\text{mod}^{N^*}(A_{N^*}^*, A^*) = [d_\pi(\text{Tr } N^*)] = [d_\pi(\text{Tr } N)].$$

**Definition 7.** *The **modular vector field** of the Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid  $(A, \pi, N)$  is defined by*

$$X_{(N, \pi)} = \xi_{A_{N^*}^*} - N\xi_{A^*} = d_\pi(\text{Tr } N) \in \mathfrak{X}(A).$$

Notice that the modular vector field of a PN-algebroid is a  $d_{N\pi}$ -cocycle and we have a generalization to PN-algebroids of the results obtained in [7, 14] for a Poisson manifold.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $(A, \pi, N)$  be a PN-algebroid. Then*

$$N^k X_{(N, \pi)} = \frac{1}{k-i+1} X_{(N^{k-i+1}, N^i \pi)}, \quad i < k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* The operator  $N$  is Nijenhuis so it satisfies the identity

$$(6) \quad kN^{*k} d_A \text{Tr } N = d_A \text{Tr } N^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now simply observe that

$$\begin{aligned} N^k X_{(N, \pi)} &= N^k d_\pi(\text{Tr } N) = N^k [\pi, \text{Tr } N] \\ &= -N^i \pi^\sharp(N^{*k-i} d_A \text{Tr } N) = -\frac{1}{k-i+1} (N^i \pi)^\sharp(d_A \text{Tr } N^{k-i+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{k-i+1} d_{N^i \pi}(\text{Tr } N^{k-i+1}) = \frac{1}{k-i+1} X_{(N^{k-i+1}, N^i \pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

As an immediately consequence we have:

**Corollary 9.** *The modular vector field determines a hierarchy of vector fields*

$$(7) \quad X_{(N, \pi)}^k = N^{k-1} X_{(N, \pi)} = d_{N^{k-i} \pi} h_i = d_{N^{i-1} \pi} h_{k-i+1}, \quad (i \leq k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1),$$

where  $h_i = \frac{1}{i} \text{Tr } N^i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 10.** *The basic formula (6) is well-known to people working in integrable systems (see for instance [17]). Also, special versions of Proposition 8 and its corollary can be found in [7, 14], which were sources of inspiration for this work.*

If  $N$  is non-degenerated then the modular vector field also defines a negative hierarchy.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $(A, \pi, N)$  be a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid with  $N$  a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator. Then the modular vector field  $X_{(N, \pi)}$  is a  $d_{N\pi}$ -coboundary and determines a hierarchy of vector fields*

$$(8) \quad X_{(N, \pi)}^{i+j} = N^{i+j-1} X_{(N, \pi)} = d_{N^i \pi} h_j = d_{N^j \pi} h_i, \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

where

$$(9) \quad h_0 = \ln(\det N) \text{ and } h_i = \frac{1}{i} \text{Tr } N^i, \quad (i \neq 0).$$

*Proof.* For any integer  $k$ ,  $N^k$  is a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator and satisfies the identity

$$(10) \quad k N^{*k} d_A(\ln \det N) = d_A(\text{Tr } N^k).$$

It follows that

$$X_{(N, \pi)} = -\pi^\sharp(d_A \text{Tr } N) = -\pi^\sharp(N^* d_A(\ln \det N)) = d_{N\pi}(\ln \det N).$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-1} X_{(N, \pi)} &= X_{(N, N^{-1}\pi)} = -N^{-1} \pi^\sharp(d_A \text{Tr } N) = -\pi^\sharp(d_A \ln \det N) \\ &= -\pi^\sharp(N^* d_A \text{Tr } N^{-1}) = d_{N\pi} \text{Tr } N^{-1} = X_{(N^{-1}, N\pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

The expressions for the hierarchy now follow from identity (10) and Proposition 8 applied to  $N$  and to  $N^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 12.** *In case of degeneracy of  $N$  one can always consider a non-degenerated operator of the form  $N + \lambda I$ ,  $\lambda$  constant. Although this Nijenhuis operator does not define exactly the same hierarchy as  $N$ , the traces of its powers generate the same algebra as the functions  $h_i$ . I thank an anonymous referee for this remark.*

#### 4. INTEGRABLE HIERARCHIES AND PN-ALGEBROIDS

Let  $(A, [, ], \rho)$  be a Lie algebroid over a manifold  $M$  and consider the fiberwise linear Poisson structure  $\{, \}_A$  on the dual bundle  $A^*$ . A vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$  defines, by evaluation, the function  $f_X : A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is linear on the fibers:

$$f_X(\alpha(x)) = \langle \alpha(x), X(x) \rangle, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma(A^*).$$

We denote by  $X_{f_X}$  its the Hamiltonian vector field. It is easy to check (see [6, 11, 12]) that:

(a) The assignment  $X \mapsto f_X$  defines a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{X}(A), [, ]) \rightarrow (C^\infty(A^*), \{, \}_A);$$

(b) Denoting by  $q : A^* \rightarrow M$  the projection,  $X_{f_X}$  is  $q$ -related to  $\rho(X)$ :

$$q_* X_{f_X} = \rho(X).$$

So the vector field  $X_{f_X}$  is the lift of the vector field  $\rho(X)$  to  $A^*$  (associated with the derivation on  $A^*$ ,  $D_X^* \alpha = \mathcal{L}_X \alpha$ ).

One can also define  $X^A$ , the lift of  $\rho(X)$  to  $A$  (associated with the derivation on  $A$ ,  $D_X = [X, -]$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} X^A(f_\alpha) &= f_{\mathcal{L}_X \alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma(A^*) \\ X^A(g \circ p) &= X(g) \circ p, \quad g \in C^\infty(M), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is linear function defined by evaluation by  $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$  and  $p : A \rightarrow M$  is the projection of the vector bundle.

**4.1. Integrable Hierarchies on Lie algebroids.** Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(A)$  be a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid  $A$  over a manifold  $M$ . This bivector field determines a bundle map  $\pi^\sharp : A^* \rightarrow A$ , and for the Lie algebroid structure on  $A^*$ , we have that  $\pi^\sharp$  is a Lie algebroid morphism.

**Definition 13.** Let  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ . Its **Hamiltonian vector field**  $X_f \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$  is the vector field:

$$X_f := \pi^\sharp d_A f.$$

The Poisson structure  $\pi$  on  $A$  covers a (ordinary) Poisson structure  $\pi_M$  on the base manifold  $M$  which is defined by  $\pi_M^\sharp = \rho \circ \pi^\sharp \circ \rho^*$ , i.e.

$$\{f, g\}_{\pi_M} = \langle d_A f, d_A g \rangle = \pi(d_A f, d_A g), \quad f, g \in C^\infty(M).$$

Moreover, for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_f$  on  $A$  covers the (ordinary) Hamiltonian vector field on  $M$  associated with  $f$ :

$$\rho(X_f) = \rho \circ \pi^\sharp(d_A f) = \rho \circ \pi^\sharp \circ \rho^*(df) = \pi_M^\sharp(df).$$

Considering  $\{, \}_{A^*}$ , the linear Poisson bracket on  $A$  defined by the Lie algebroid  $(A^*, [, ]_\pi, \rho \circ \pi^\sharp)$ , notice that the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{f_{d_A f}}$  is the lift of  $\rho(X_f)$  to  $A$ , associated with the derivation  $D_{X_f} = [X_f, ]$  and  $X_{f_X}$  is the lift of the vector field  $\rho(X)$  to  $A^*$ , associated with the derivation  $D_{d_A f} = D_{X_f}^*$ .

**Definition 14.** Given  $\pi$  a Poisson structure on  $A$ , we say that two functions  $g, f \in C^\infty(A)$   $\pi$ -**commute** if  $\{f, g\}_{A^*} = 0$ . A first integral of a vector field  $X$  is a first integral of  $X^A$ , the lift of  $\rho(X)$  to  $A$ , and we say that  $X$  is **integrable** if  $X^A$  Liouville integrable.

Two first integrals of the vector field  $\rho(X)$  may not  $\pi_M$ -commute but their pull-backs always  $\pi$ -commute, because basic functions always commute with respect to the linear Poisson bracket defined on the dual of a Lie algebroid. In particular, we have:

**Proposition 15.** Let  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ . The first integrals of the Hamiltonian vector field

$$X_f = \pi^\sharp d_A f,$$

are the functions which  $\pi$ -commute with  $f_{d_A f}$ . In particular, evaluations of sections of  $A^*$  which commute with  $d_A f$  and pull-backs of functions which  $\pi_M$ -commute with  $f$  are first integrals of  $X_f$ .

Let  $N$  be a Nijenhuis operator on  $A$  compatible with  $\pi$ . The sequence of Poisson structures  $\pi_k = N^k \pi$  covers a sequence of Poisson structure on  $M$ :

$$\pi_M^{k \sharp} = \rho \circ N^k \pi \circ \rho^*,$$

but, as an example below shows, these Poisson tensors may not be related by a Nijenhuis operator on  $M$ . We also have a sequence of linear Poisson brackets on  $A$ :

$$\{, \}_{A^*}^k$$

and, given a section  $\alpha$  on  $A^*$ , a sequence of Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X_{f_\alpha}^k = \{f_\alpha, -\}_{A^*}^k.$$

A bi-Hamiltonian vector field

$$X = \pi_0^\sharp(d_A h_1) = \pi_1^\sharp(d_A h_0)$$

defines a hierarchy of multi-Hamiltonian vector fields on  $A$ :

$$X_{k+i} = \pi_k^\#(d_A h_i) = \pi_i^\#(d_A h_k).$$

This hierarchy, on one hand, covers a hierarchy of Hamiltonian vector fields on  $M$

$$\rho(X_{i+k}) = \pi_M^k \# dh_i = \pi_M^i \# dh_k$$

and, on the other hand, is associated with the hierarchy of lifts

$$\rho(X_{i+k})^A = X_{f_{d_A h_{i+k}}}^0 = X_{f_{d_A h_k}}^i = X_{f_{d_A h_i}}^k.$$

**4.2. Covering Integrable Hierarchies.** We can try to apply our main result (Theorem 11) to obtain an integrable hierarchy on a Lie algebroid. However, one observes that in Theorem 11 the Hamiltonian functions, which are first integrals of the vector fields in the hierarchy, are all basic functions, i.e., are pull-backs of functions on the base. Hence, in general, they will not provide a complete set of first integrals. However, there is yet another connection with (classical) integrable systems, due to the following theorem:

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $(A, \pi, N)$  be a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid with  $N$  a non-degenerated Nijenhuis operator. Then the modular vector field  $X_{(N, \pi)}$  covers a bi-Hamiltonian vector field on  $M$ , and the associated hierarchy (8) of  $A$ -vector fields covers a (classical) hierarchy of vector fields on  $M$ . This hierarchy is given by:*

$$(11) \quad X_{i+j} = -\pi_i^\# dh_j = -\pi_j^\# dh_i \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

where  $\pi_j$  are Poisson structures on  $M$  and  $h_i$  are the functions given by (9).

Although we have a hierarchy of modular vector fields  $X_{(N^k, \pi)}$  generated by the Nijenhuis operator  $N$ , generally the covered hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian vector fields on  $M$  is not generated by any Nijenhuis operator. This is illustrated by the next example.

**4.3. The classical Toda lattice.** The classical Toda lattice was already considered in [1], using specific properties of this system. We use our general approach to show how one can recover those results and explain some of those formulas.

**4.3.1. Toda lattice in physical coordinates.** The Hamiltonian defining the Toda lattice is given in canonical coordinates  $(p_i, q_i)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  by

$$(12) \quad h_2(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{q_i - q_{i+1}}.$$

For the integrability of the system we refer to the classical paper of Flaschka [9].

Let us recall the bi-Hamiltonian structure given in [5]. The first Poisson tensor in the hierarchy is the standard canonical symplectic tensor, which we denote by  $\tilde{\pi}_0$ , so that

$$\{q_i, p_j\}_0 = \delta_{ij},$$

while the second Poisson tensor  $\tilde{\pi}_1$  is determined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{q_i, q_j\}_1 &= -1, & (i < j) \\ \{q_i, p_j\}_1 &= p_i \delta_{ij}, \\ \{p_j, p_i\}_1 &= e^{q_i - q_{i+1}} \delta_{j, i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then setting  $h_1 = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n$ , we obtain the bi-Hamiltonian formulation:

$$\tilde{\pi}_0^\# dh_2 = \tilde{\pi}_1^\# dh_1.$$

If we set, as usual,

$$N := \tilde{\pi}_1^\# \circ (\tilde{\pi}_0^\#)^{-1},$$

then a small computation gives the following multi-Hamiltonian formulation:

**Proposition 17.** *The Toda hierarchy admits the multi-Hamiltonian formulation:*

$$\tilde{\pi}_j^\# dh_2 = \tilde{\pi}_{j+2}^\# dh_0,$$

where  $h_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log(\det N)$  and  $h_2$  is the original Hamiltonian (12).

4.3.2. *Toda lattice in Flaschka coordinates.* Let us recall the Flaschka coordinates  $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \dots, b_n)$  where:

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &= p_i, & (i = 1, \dots, n) \\ a_i &= e^{q_i - q_{i+1}}, & (i = 1, \dots, n-1) \end{aligned}$$

In these new coordinates there is no recursion operator anymore (this is a singular change of coordinates, where we loose one degree of freedom). Nevertheless, the multi-Hamiltonian structure does reduce ([5]). One can then compute the modular vector fields of the reduced Poisson tensors  $\tilde{\pi}_j$  relative to the standard volume form:

$$\mu = da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_{n-1} \wedge db_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge db_n.$$

It turns out that the modular vector fields  $X_\mu^j$  are Hamiltonian vector fields with Hamiltonian function

$$h = \log(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}) + j \log(\det(L)),$$

where  $L$  is the Lax matrix. This is observed in [1], where one also finds the multi-Hamiltonian formulation:

$$\tilde{\pi}_j^\# dh_{2-j} = \tilde{\pi}_{j-1}^\# dh_{3-j}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

with  $h_j = \frac{1}{j} \text{Tr } L^j$  for  $j \neq 0$  and  $h_0 = \ln(\det(L))$ .

We would like to give now an intrinsic explanation for these formulas, similar to the one given above for the Toda chain in physical coordinates.

4.3.3. *Toda lattice in extended Flaschka coordinates.* Let us extend the Flaschka coordinates by considering a variable  $a_n$  defined by:

$$a_n := q_n.$$

Then the transformation  $(q_i, p_i) \mapsto (a_i, b_i)$  is a honest change of coordinates. In these extended Flaschka coordinates, the first Poisson tensor  $\tilde{\pi}_0$  is determined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_i, b_i\}_0 &= a_i, & (i = 1, \dots, n-1) \\ \{a_i, b_{i+1}\}_0 &= -a_i, & (i = 1, \dots, n-1) \\ \{a_n, b_n\}_0 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

while the second Poisson  $\tilde{\pi}_1$  structure is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_i, a_{i+1}\}_1 &= -a_i a_{i+1}, & (i = 1, \dots, n-1) \\ \{a_i, b_i\}_1 &= a_i b_i, & (i = 1, \dots, n-1) \\ \{a_n, b_n\}_1 &= b_n \\ \{a_i, b_{i+1}\}_1 &= -a_i b_{i+1}, & (i = 1, \dots, n-1) \\ \{b_i, b_{i+1}\}_1 &= -a_i, & (i = 1, \dots, n-1). \end{aligned}$$

In these coordinates, we still have the Nijenhuis tensor, relating the various Poisson tensors in the hierarchy.

The submanifold  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  defined by  $a_n = 0$  is a Poisson submanifold for all Poisson tensors in the hierarchy, so that the bi-Hamiltonian structure reduces to this submanifold, and yields the bi-Hamiltonian formulation for the Toda lattice in Flaschka coordinates. However, the tangent space to this submanifold is not left invariant by the Nijenhuis operator  $N$ , and on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$  we do not have an induced PN-structure.

Another way of expressing these facts is to observe that the involutive diffeomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  defined by:

$$\phi(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1, \dots, -a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n),$$

is a Poisson diffeomorphism for all Poisson structures. Hence, the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{I, \phi\}$  acts by Poisson diffeomorphisms on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , for all Poisson structures. It follows that its fix point set, which is just  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ , has induced Poisson brackets (see the Poisson Involution Theorem in [8, 19]), and these form the hierarchy in Flaschka coordinates.

**4.3.4. Toda lattice on a Lie algebroid.** We now consider the following bi-Hamiltonian formulation on a Lie algebroid.

We let  $A = \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$  be the trivial vector bundle with fiber  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . We denote by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  a basis of global sections and we let  $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be global coordinates on the base. Now we define a Lie algebroid structure by declaring that the bracket satisfies:

$$[e_i, e_j]_A = [f_i, f_j]_A = [e_i, f_j]_A = 0,$$

and that the anchor is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(e_i) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1) & \rho_A(e_n) &= 0 \\ \rho_A(f_i) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $(A, [\ , \ ]_A, \rho_A)$  is just the trivial extension of Lie algebroids:

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow T\mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $L_{\mathbb{R}}$  denotes the trivial line bundle over  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ .

Now on  $A$  we can define the following Poisson tensors:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i e_i \wedge (f_i - f_{i+1}) + e_n \wedge f_n \\ \pi_1 &= - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i a_{i+1} e_i \wedge e_{i+1} - a_{n-1} e_{n-1} \wedge e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i e_i \wedge (b_i f_i - b_{i+1} f_{i+1}) \\ &\quad + b_n e_n \wedge f_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i f_i \wedge f_{i+1}.\end{aligned}$$

These Poisson structures on  $A$  cover ordinary Poisson structures on the base  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ , which are just the Poisson structures  $\bar{\pi}_0$  and  $\bar{\pi}_1$  of the Toda lattice, in Flaschka coordinates.

Since  $\pi_0$  is symplectic, the Poisson tensors on  $A$  are associated with a PN-algebroid structure. By our main theorem, they give rise to an integrable hierarchy on  $A$

$$\pi_j^\sharp dh_{2-j} = \pi_{j-1}^\sharp dh_{3-j}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

with  $h_j = \frac{1}{j} \text{Tr } N^j$  for  $j \neq 0$  and  $h_0 = \ln(\det(N))$ , covering an integrable hierarchy on the base

$$\bar{\pi}_j^\sharp dh_{2-j} = \bar{\pi}_{j-1}^\sharp dh_{3-j}. \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}$$

In this hierarchy the Hamiltonians differ by a factor of 2 relative to the Hamiltonians in the multi-Hamiltonian formulation of the Toda lattice given by Proposition 17. Although the hierarchy in the Lie algebroid is generated by a Nijenhuis operator, it is well known that this is not the case with the Toda lattice in the base manifold.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by POCI/MAT/58452/2004 and CMUC/FCT.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Agrotis and P.A. Damianou, The modular hierarchy of the Toda lattice. *Diff. Geom Appl.*, to appear.
- [2] M. Bangoura and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Equation de Yang-Baxter dynamique classique et algebroides de Lie. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **327** (1998), no. 8, 541–546.
- [3] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein, *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*, Berkeley Mathematics Lectures, vol. **10**, American Math. Soc. , Providence, 1999.
- [4] S. Evens, J.-H. Lu and A. Weinstein, Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **50** (1999), 417–436.
- [5] R.L. Fernandes, On the mastersymmetries and bi-Hamiltonian structure of the Toda lattice. *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993), 3797–3803.
- [6] R. L. Fernandes, Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes. *Adv. in Math.* **170** (2002), 119–179.
- [7] R. L. Fernandes and P. Damianou, Integrable hierarchies and the modular class. Preprint *math.DG/0607784*.
- [8] R.L. Fernandes and P. Vanhaecke, Hyperelliptic Prym Varieties and Integrable Systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **221** (2001) 169–196.
- [9] H. Flaschka, The Toda lattice. I. Existence of integrals. *Phys. Rev. B (3)* **9** (1974), 1924–1925.
- [10] J. Grabowski, G. Marmo and P. Michor, Homology and modular classes of Lie algebroids. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **56** (2006), 69–83.
- [11] J. Grabowski, P. Urbanski, Lie algebroids and Poisson-Nijenhuis structures. *Reports on Mathematical Physics* **40** (1997), no. 2, 195–208.

- [12] J. Grabowski, P. Urbanski, Tangent and cotangent lifts and graded Lie algebras associated with Lie algebroids. *Ann. Global Analysis and Geometry* **15** (1997), 447–486.
- [13] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, The Lie bialgebroid of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **38** (1996), no. 4, 421–428.
- [14] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, On the modular classes of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds. Preprint *math.SG/0611202*.
- [15] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **53** (1990), 35–81.
- [16] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and A. Weinstein, Relative modular classes of Lie algebroids. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **341** (2005), no. 8, 509–514.
- [17] F. Magri, P. Casati, G. Falqui and M. Pedroni, Eight lectures on integrable systems. In: Integrability of Nonlinear Systems (Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach et al. eds.), *Lecture Notes in Physics* **495** (2nd edition) (2004), 209–250.
- [18] A. Weinstein, The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold. *J. Geom. Phys.* **23** (1997), no. 3-4, 379–394.
- [19] P. Xu, Dirac submanifolds and Poisson involutions, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **36** (2003), 403–430.

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA

*E-mail address:* raquel@mat.uc.pt