Topological complexity of generic hyperplane complements

Sergey Yuzvinsky

ABSTRACT. We prove that the topological complexity of (a motion planning algorithm on) the complement of generic complex essential hyperplane arrangement of n hyperplanes in an r-dimensional linear space is $\min\{n+1,2r\}$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the theme started in [3] - studying the topological (motion planning) complexity $\mathbf{TC}(M)$ of the complement M of a complex hyperplane arrangement. The number $\mathbf{TC}(X)$ was defined for any path-connected topological space X by M.Farber in [1, 2]. This number is of fundamental importance for the motion planning problem: $\mathbf{TC}(X)$ determines character of instabilities for all motion planning algorithms in X.

The main result of this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let M be the complement of a complex central essential arrangement of n hyperplanes in the linear space V of dimension r > 0. Then $\mathbf{TC}(M) = \min\{n+1, 2r\}$.

2. The motion planning problem

In this section we recall the definitions and results from [1, 2] that we will use later in this paper.

Let X be a connected topological space X that is homotopy equivalent to a CW complex. Let PX be the space of all continuous paths $\gamma:[0,1]\to X$, equipped with the compact-open topology, and let $\pi:PX\to X\times X$ be the map assigning the end points to a path: $\pi(\gamma)=(\gamma(0),\gamma(1))$. The map π is a fibration whose fiber is the based loop space ΩX . The topological complexity of X, denoted by $\mathbf{TC}(X)$, is the smallest number k such that $X\times X$ can be covered by open sets U_1,\ldots,U_k , so that for every $i=1,\ldots,k$ there exists a continuous section $s_i:U_i\to PX, \pi\circ s_i=1$.

According to [2], a motion planner in X is defined by finitely many subsets $F_1, \ldots, F_k \subset X \times X$ and continuous maps $s_i : F_i \to PX$, where $i = 1, \ldots, k$, such that:

(a) the sets F_1, \ldots, F_k are pairwise disjoint (i.e., $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$), and cover $X \times X$;

1

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 52C35, 55R80; Secondary 14H10, 98C83. Key words and phrases. Topological complexity, motion planning algorithm, Schwarz genus, hyperplane arrangements.

- (b) $\pi \circ s_i = 1_{F_i} \text{ for any } i = 1, ..., k;$
- (c) each F_i is an ENR.

The subsets F_i are *local domains* of the motion planner; the maps s_i are *local rules*.

In [2] it is shown that: the minimal integer k, such that a smooth manifold X admits a motion planner with k local rules, equals TC(X).

The other properties of TC(X) we will need are:

- (i) TC(X) depends only on the homotopy type of X.
- (ii) $TC(X) \le 2\dim(X) + 1$.
- (iii) $\mathbf{TC}(X \times S^1) \leq \mathbf{TC}(X) + 1$.

Next result provides a lower bound for $\mathbf{TC}(X)$ in terms of the cohomology ring $H^*(X)$ with coefficients in a field. The tensor product $H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X)$ is also a graded ring with the multiplication

$$(u_1 \otimes v_1) \cdot (u_2 \otimes v_2) = (-1)^{|v_1| \cdot |u_2|} u_1 u_2 \otimes v_1 v_2$$

where $|v_1|$ and $|u_2|$ are the degrees of the cohomology classes v_1 and u_2 . The cohomology multiplication $H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X) \to H^*(X)$ is a ring homomorphism. Let $Z \subset H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X)$ be the kernel of this homomorphism. The ideal Z is called the ideal of zero-divisors of $H^*(X)$. The zero-divisors-cup-length is the length of the longest nontrivial product in the ideal of zero-divisors.

(iv) The topological complexity $\mathbf{TC}(X)$ is greater than the zero-divisors-cuplength of $H^*(X)$.

The topological complexity $\mathbf{TC}(X)$, as well as the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category $\mathrm{cat}(X)$, are particular cases of the notion of *Schwarz genus* (also known as *sectional category*) of a fibration; it was introduced and thoroughly studied by A.Schwarz in [5].

3. Hattori theorem

In this section we recall the necessary definitions from arrangement theory and the famous result of Hattori. The details can be found in [4].

Let V be a complex linear space of a positive dimension r. An arrangement \mathcal{A} in V is a set $\{H_1,\ldots,H_n\}$ of n hyperplanes for some n. The arrangement is essential if $\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i = 0$. In particular for an essential arrangement $n \geq r$. Fix for each i a functional $\alpha_i \in V^*$ such that $\ker \alpha_i = H_i$. The arrangement is generic if for any subset $I \subset \overline{n} = \{1,\ldots,n\}$ with |I| = r the respective set of functionals is linearly independent. In particular each generic arrangement is essential.

For a generic arrangement \mathcal{A} the homotopy type of the space $M=M(\mathcal{A})=V\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$ is easy to describe. First, in order to give a precise reference we need to reduce \mathcal{A} to an arrangement of affine hyperplanes. For that choose an element of \mathcal{A} , say H_n , put $\overline{H_n}=\{v\in V|\alpha_n(v)=1\}$, and put $\overline{\mathcal{A}}=\{H_i\cap\overline{H_n}|i=1,\dots,n-1\}$. The arrangement $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ consists of affine hyperplanes in the affine space $\overline{H_n}$ of dimension r-1. Moreover since \mathcal{A} is generic the affine arrangement $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is in general position, i.e., the intersection of any p hyperplanes from it has codimension p for $p\leq r-1$ and is empty for p>r-1. In particular $|\overline{\mathcal{A}}|=n-1$. Since $M=M(\mathcal{A})$ is the total space of a trivial fiber bundle over $\overline{M}=M(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ with the fiber \mathbf{C}^* we have the homotopy equivalence $M\approx \overline{M}\times S^1$ (cf. [4], Proposition 5.1).

Now we state Hattori's theorem [4], Theorem 5.21. Denote by T^m the (compact) torus of dimension m and for every $I \subset \overline{m}$ put

$$T_I^m = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in T^m | z_j = 1, \text{ for } j \notin I\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let n > r > 1. For any general position arrangement of n-1 affine hyperplanes in (r-1) - dimensional space its complement has the homotopy type of $\overline{M_0}$ where $\overline{M_0}$ is the skeleton of dimension r-1 of the canonical CW-complex of T^{n-1} , i.e.,

$$\overline{M_0} = \bigcup_{|I|=r-1} T_I^{n-1}.$$

Corollary 3.2. For any generic arrangement of n linear hyperplanes in r dimensional space its complement M has the homotopy type of M_0 where

$$M_0 = S^1 \times \bigcup_{|I|=r-1} T_I^{n-1}.$$

PROOF. For n > r it follows immediately from Hattori's theorem. For n = r (in particular for r = 1) the arrangement consists of all coordinate hyperplanes whence $M \approx (\mathbf{C}^*)^r \approx T^r = M_0$.

The property (i) of $\mathbf{TC}(X)$ allows us to focus in the rest of the paper on calculating $\mathbf{TC}(M_0)$. We will always denote by n the number of hyperplanes in the generic central arrangement \mathcal{A} we will consider and by r the dimension of the ambivalent space V.

4. Low bound

In this section we use the definition of M_0 to describe $H^*(M_0; \mathbf{C})$ and to exhibit a low bound on $\mathbf{TC}(M_0)$ using the property (iv).

Denote by $E(n) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} E(n)_i$ the exterior algebra over **C** with n generators of degree one. Also for every k, $0 \le k \le n$, put $E(n)^k = E(n)/ \bigoplus_{i>k} E(n)_i$ (a truncated exterior algebra).

From the description of M_0 in Corollary 3.2 we have

$$H^*(M_0, \mathbf{C}) = E(1) \otimes E(n-1)^{r-1}$$

where the tensor product is taken in the category of graded algebras. In particular we have the following lemma.

Denote by e_0 a generator of $H^*(S^1) = E(1)$ and by e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1} the generators of $H^*(\overline{M_0}) = E(n-1)^{r-1}$. Also for every $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k\} \subset \overline{n-1}$ put $e_I = e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}$.

Lemma 4.1. The set $\{e_0e_I|I\subset\overline{n-1},\ |I|=r-1\}$ is a basis of the linear space $H^r(M_0,\mathbf{C})$.

Now we define the elements in the ideal of zero divisors of $H^*(M_0) \otimes H^*(M_0)$ corresponding to the generators. Namely put $\overline{e}_i = 1 \otimes e_i - e_i \otimes 1$ for every $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $k = \min\{n-1, 2r-2\}$ and $J \subset \overline{n-1}$ with |J| = k. Then $\pi = \overline{e}_0 \prod_{i \in J} \overline{e}_i \neq 0$.

PROOF. The linear space $H^*(M_0) \otimes H^*(M_0)$ is double graded by the subspaces $H^s \otimes H^t$, $0 \leq s, t \leq r$. It suffices to prove that (r, k+1-r)-component $\pi_{r,k+1-r}$ of π does not vanish. Clearly this component is

$$\pi_{r,k+1-r} = \sum_{I \subset J, |I| = r-1} \pm e_0 e_I \otimes e_{J \setminus I}.$$

Since $|J\setminus I| = k+1-r \le r-1$ and $H^*(\overline{M_0}) = E(n-1)^{r-1} \subset H^*(M_0)$ all monomials $e_{J\setminus I}$ belong to a basis of $H^{k+1-r}(M_0)$. The monomials e_0e_I belong to a basis of $H^{r-1}(M_0)$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence all the summands of $\pi_{r,k+1-r}$ belong to a basis of $H^*(M_0) \otimes H^*(M_0)$ whence $\pi_{r,k-r} \ne 0$. This completes the proof.

Now the property (iv) of TC(X) immediately implies the following.

Corollary 4.3.

$$\mathbf{TC}(M) = \mathbf{TC}(M_0) \ge \min\{n+1, 2r\}.$$

5. Motion planning

In this section we prove that the upper bound for $TC(M_0)$ coincides with the low bound from the previous section.

First since $M_0 \approx \overline{M_0} \times S^1$ we have by property (iii)

$$\mathbf{TC}(M_0) \leq \mathbf{TC}(\overline{M_0}) + 1.$$

Now suppose $n+1 \geq 2r$. Since $\dim \overline{M_0} = r-1$ we have using property (ii) that $\mathbf{TC}(\overline{M_0}) \leq 2r-1$ whence

$$TC(M_0) \le 2r = \min\{n+1, 2r\}.$$

Thus we have to consider only the case n+1 < 2r. To find the upper bound in this case we construct an explicit motion planning for $\overline{M_0}$ with n rules.

Theorem 5.1. For arbitrary $r \leq n$ there exists a motion planning for $\overline{M_0}$ with n rules.

PROOF. First for every $J \subset \overline{n-1}$ we define the close subset F_J' of $T^{n-1} \times T^{n-1}$ via

$$F'_J = \{(u, u') | u_j = u'_j \text{ if and only if } j \in J\}$$

and put $F_J = F_J' \cap (\overline{M_0} \times \overline{M_0})$. Then we put $F_i = \bigcup_{|J|=i} F_J$ for every $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. The sets F_i are pairwise disjoint and cover $\overline{M_0} \times \overline{M_0}$ whence we can take them as the local domains of the motion planning we are constructing. Since the sets F_J are also pairwise disjoint it suffices now to construct local rules on them, i.e., (continuous) sections $s_J : F_J \to P\overline{M_0}$.

For that define an auxiliary function $\tau: S^1 \to [0,1]$ by treating S^1 (in the rest of the proof) as the set of all complex numbers of norm 1 and putting

$$\tau(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{|z-1|}{\sqrt{2}}) & \text{if } |z-1| \le \sqrt{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\tau(1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Also for two points $z \neq z' \in S^1$, $z = \exp[\sqrt{-1}\phi]$, $z' = \exp[\sqrt{-1}\phi']$, where $0 \leq \phi, \phi' < 2\pi$, define the path $\zeta_{z,z'}$ on S^1 via $\zeta_{z,z'}(t) = \exp[\sqrt{-1}(t\phi + (1-t)\phi')]$ (i.e., the moving with a constant speed from z to z' along the natural orientation of \mathbf{C}).

Now for $(u, u') = ((u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}), (u'_1, \dots, u'_{n-1})) \in T^{n-1} \times T^{n-1}$ we define $s_J(t) = (s_{J,j}(t))_{j \in \overline{n-1}}$ via $s_{J,j}(t) = u_j = u'_j$ for every $t \in [0,1]$ if $j \in J$. If $j \notin J$ we put

$$s_{J,j}(t) = \begin{cases} u_j & \text{if } 0 \le t < \tau(u_j), \\ \zeta_{u_j, u'_j}(\frac{t - \tau(u_j)}{1 - \tau(u_j) - \tau(u'_j)}) & \text{if } \tau(u_j) \le t \le 1 - \tau(u'_j), \\ u'_j & \text{if } 1 - \tau(u'_j) < t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear from the definition that s_J is continuous and $s_J(0) = u$, $s_J(1) = u'$. Also since τ is continuous and $\zeta_{z,z'}$ depends continuously on (z,z') on $S^1 \times S^1$ with the diagonal deleted we see that s_J is conitiously depending on (u,u') on F_J . It is left to check only that $s_J(t) \in \overline{M_0}$ for every $t \in [0,1]$. In other words we need to check that for every t we have $s_{J,j}(t) = 1$ for at least n-r values of j.

check that for every t we have $s_{J,j}(t)=1$ for at least n-r values of j. Suppose that $u\in T_I^{n-1}$ and $u'\in T_{I'}^{n-1}$, |I|=|I'|=r-1. Consider the complements $\overline{I}=\overline{n-1}\setminus I$ and $\overline{I'}=\overline{n-1}\setminus I'$. Put $I_0=\overline{I}\cap \overline{I'}$ and fix a bijection $\phi:\overline{I}\setminus I_0\to \overline{I'}\setminus I_0$ putting $j'=\phi(j)$ for every $j\in\overline{I}\setminus I_0$. Then if $j\in I_0$ we have $j\in J$ whence $s_{J,j}(t)=u_j=u'_j=1$ for every t. Suppose $j\in\overline{I}\setminus I_0$. Then $\tau(u_j)=\tau(1)=\frac{1}{2}$ whence $s_{J,j}=u_j=1$ for $t\leq \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, $\tau(u'_{j'})=\tau(1)=\frac{1}{2}$ whence $s_{J,j'}(t)=1$ for $t\geq \frac{1}{2}$. Collecting this data we see that indeed for arbitrary t there are n-r values of j such that $s_{J,j}(t)=1$ which completes the construction of the motion planning whence also the proof.

Corollary 5.2. $TC(\overline{M_0}) \le \min\{n, 2r-1\}$ whence $TC(M) = TC(M_0) \le \min\{n+1, 2r\}$ and Theorem 1.1 follows.

In all cases where the topological complexity has been computed for hyperplane arrangement complements it coincides with the low bound given by the zerodivisors-cup-length (see property iv in section 2). This justifies the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.3. For every complex central hyperplane arrangement with the complement M the topological complexity TC(M) is greater by 1 than the zero-divisors-cup-length of $H^*(M, \mathbf{C})$.

References

- M. Farber, Topological complexity of motion planning, Discrete Comput. Geom. 29 (2003), 211-221.
- [2] M. Farber, Instabilities of robot motion, Topology Appl. 140 (2004), 245-266.
- [3] M. Farber, S. Yuzvinsky, Topological Robotics: Subspace Arrangements and Collision Free Motion Planning. Transl. of AMS 212(2004), 145-156.
- [4] P. Orlik and H. Terao, Arrangements of hyperplanes, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [5] A. Schwarz. The genus of a fiber space, A.M.S. Transl. **55**(1966), 49 140.

University of Oregon

E-mail address: yuz@uoregon.edu