CANONICAL SYMPLECTIC REPRESENTATIONS FOR PRIME ORDER CONJUGACY CLASSES OF THE MAPPING-CLASS GROUP

JANE GILMAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we find a unique normal form for the symplectic matrix representation of the conjugacy class of a prime order element of the mapping-class group. We find a set of generators for the fundamental group of a surface with a conformal automorphism of prime order which reflects the action the automorphism in an optimal way. This is called an *adapted* homotopy basis and there is a corresponding *adapted* presentation. We also give a necessary and sufficient condition for a prime order symplectic matrix to be the image of a prime order element in the mapping-class group.

1. INTRODUCTION

This is the second of two papers on prime order conformal automorphisms of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \ge 2$. The mappingclass group of a surface S of genus g, denoted by MCG(S), is the set of homotopy classes of self-homeomorphisms of S. It is well known that the action of a homeomorphism h of a surface of genus g on a canonical homology basis, a homology basis with certain intersection properties, will give a symplectic matrix, $M_{h_{CAN}}$. That is, $M_{h_{CAN}}$ is an element of $Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z})$, the set of $2g \times 2g$ integer valued matrices that preserve the symplectic form, the non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear quadratic form with matrix, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where I_g denotes the $g \times g$ identity matrix. The intersection numbers for the curves in a canonical basis is precisely given by the matrix J. We fix a canonical homology basis and let π denote the surjective map $\pi : MCG(S) \to Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z})$.

In [4, 6] we found that corresponding to any conformal automorphism h of prime order, there exists a special set of generators for the first homology of the surface that reflected the action of the homeomorphism h in an optimal way. Such a basis was termed an *adapted basis* or

Partially supported by grants from the NSA and the Rutgers Research Council and by Yale University.

equivalently a basis adapted to h. In particular the matrix action of the automorphism on the basis had a very simple form. The curves in this homology basis did not form a canonical homology basis. The intersection matrix for the adapted basis was found in [5].

In particular, we have ordered pairs of matrix representations and intersection matrices $(M_{h_{CAN}}, J)$ and (M_A, J_A) where M_A is the matrix of the action on an adapted basis and J_A the intersection matrix for that basis. For each $0 < t \leq 2g + 2$ and for each prime $p \geq 2$, there is a set of t integers $(n_1, ..., n_t)$ with $0 < t \leq p - 1$ with $\sum_{j=1}^t n_j \equiv$ 0(p) that determines the conjugacy class of h. Here $\equiv ...(p)$ denotes equivalence modulo p. These integers are reflected in each ordered pair. However, in the second pair, these integers are reflected in the intersection matrix and in the first pair, reflected in the matrix of the action of the homeomorphism.

In this paper we present an algorithm to obtain $M_{h_{CAN}}$ from $M_{\mathcal{A}}$. The input to the algorithm is the *t*-tuple of integers. The output is a canonical representative for the conjugacy class of *h* in $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus the main result of this paper is to describe a canonical representative for each prime order conjugacy class in $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ that is the representation of a prime order element of the mapping-class group. All other prime order symplectic matrices are representations of infinite order mappingclasses.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Part 1, we fix notation and summarize terms and prior results; in Part 2 we develop the tight surface symbol reduction algorithm, and in Part 3 we review the basics of the Schreier-Reidemeister rewriting process. In Part 4, using new calculations we obtain an *adapted presentation of the fundamental* group corresponding to a conformal or finite order mapping class. The main theorems (theorem 6.4 and theorems 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3) are proved there in Part 4 and a detailed example is worked out by hand (section 9).

There is renewed interest in mapping-class groups in regard to computing on Riemann surfaces. In earlier work we noted that in the abstract there was in theory a method for converting the adapted basis to a canonical homology basis and the adapted matrix representation to a symplectic matrix representation, but the formula involved was unwieldy. However, we have recently observed that while the formula is messy for hand computations, it can be implemented as an algorithm whose complexity is bounded by $O(g^{10})$.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
Part 1. Summary of terms and prior results	4
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Notation and Terminology	4
2.2. Equivalent Languages	4
2.3. Conjugacy Invariants for prime order mapping classes or	
conformal automorphisms	5
2.4. Homology	5
2.5. Convention for actions on curves, homotopy, and homology	5
3. Prior results and definitions: matrices and homology bases	6
3.1. Existence of adapted homology bases	6
3.2. Intersection Matrix for an Adapted Homology Basis	6
3.3. Matrix forms	7
Part 2. The Surface Symbol Algorithm	10
4. The Surface symbol reduction algorithm	10
4.1. How to reduce a linked polygon	12
4.2. Analysis of the algorithm	13
Part 3. Review of rewriting basics, prior results and	
notation	14
5. Schreier-Reidemeister Rewriting and its corollaries	14
5.1. The relation between the action of the homeomorphism	15
and the surface kernel subgroup	15 15
5.2. The rewriting 5.3. Illustration.	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 17\end{array}$
5.5. Inustration.	11
Part 4. Homotopy and the algorithm	17
6. Finding the generators for the fundamental group and the	
algorithm	17
6.1. The action on the fundamental group of S_0	18
6.2. Calculating with Simpler Notation	18
6.3. Eliminating generators and relations	19
7. Applying the algorithm	22
7.1. Complexity	22
7.2. Other matrices	23
8. Homotopy when $t = 0$	23
9. Example, $p = 3, t = 5, (1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$	25
10. Acknowledgements	28
References	28

Part 1. Summary of terms and prior results

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation and Terminology. We let h be a conformal automorphism of a compact Riemann surface S of genus $g \ge 2$. Then h will have a finite number, t, of fixed points. We let S_0 be the quotient of S under the action of the cyclic group generated by h so that $S_0 = S/\langle h \rangle$ and let g_0 be its genus. If h is of prime order p with $p \ge 2$, then the Riemann-Hurwitz relation shows that $2g = 2pg_0 + (p-1)(t-2)$. If p = 2, of course, this implies that t will be even.

2.2. Equivalent Languages. We emphasize that h can be thought of in a number or equivalent ways using different terminology. For a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \ge 2$, homotopy classes of homeomorphisms of surfaces are the same as isotopy classes. Therefore, hcan be thought of as a representative of a homotopy class or an isotopy class. Further, every isotopy class of finite order contains an element of finite order so that h can be thought of as a homeomorphism of finite order. For every finite order homeomorphism of a surface there is a Riemann surface on which its action is conformal. A conformal homeomorphism of finite order up to homotopy is finite. We use the language of conformal maps, but observe that all of our results can be formulated using these other classes of homeomorphisms.

We remind the reader that the mapping-class group of a compact surface of genus g is also known as the Teichmüller Modular group or the Modular group, for short. We write MCG(S) or $MCG(S_g)$ for the mapping class group of the surface S using the g when we need to emphasize that S is a compact surface of genus g. The Torelli Modular group or the Torelli group for short, $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is homeomorphisms of Smodulo those that induce the identity on homology and the homology of a surface is the abelianized homotopy. There is surjective map π from the mapping-class group onto $Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z})$ that assigns to a homeomorphism the matrix of its action on a canonical homology basis (see 2.4). The induced map from the Torelli group to the symplectic group is an isomorphism.

It is well known that the map π when restricted to elements of finite order is an isomorphism. An even stronger result can be found in [4, 6].

Since h can be thought of as a finite representative of a finite order mapping-class, we will always treat it as finite. For ease of exposition we use the language of a conformal maps and do not distinguish between a homeomorphism that is of finite order or that is of finite order up to homotopy or isotopy, a finite order representative for the homotopy class, a conformal representative for the class or the class itself. That is, we do not use different notation to distinguish between the topological map, its homotopy class or a finite order representative or a conformal representative.

For ease of exposition in what follows we first assume that t > 0. We treat the case t = 0 separately in section 8.

2.3. Conjugacy Invariants for prime order mapping classes or conformal automorphisms. Nielsen showed that the conjugacy class of h in the mapping-class group is determined by the set of tnon-zero integers $\{n_1, ..., n_t\}$ with $0 < n_i < p$ where $\sum_{i=1}^t n_i \equiv 0$ (p).

Let m_j be the number of n_i equal to j. Then we have $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i \cdot m_i \equiv 0$ (p) (see [3] for details) and the conjugacy class is also determined by the (p-1)-tuple, $(m_1, ..., m_{p-1})$.

Topologically we can think of h as a counterclockwise rotation by an angle of $\frac{2\pi \cdot s_i}{p}$ about the fixed point $p_i, i = 1, ..., t$ of h. We call the s_i the rotation numbers. The n_i are the complementary rotation numbers, that is, $0 < s_i < p$ with $s_i n_i \equiv 1$ (p).

2.4. **Homology.** We recall the following facts about Riemann surfaces.

The homology group of a compact Riemann surface of genus g is the abelianized homotopy. Therefore, a homology basis for S will contain 2g homologously independent curves. Every surface has a canonical homology basis, a set of 2g simple closed curves, $a_1, \ldots, a_g; b_1, \ldots, b_g$ with the property that for all i and j, $a_i \times a_j = 0$, $b_i \times b_j = 0$ and $a_i \times b_j = \delta_{ij} = -b_j \times a_i$ where \times is the algebraic intersection number and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

2.5. Convention for actions on curves, homotopy, and homol-

ogy. A homeomorphism h of a surface induces an action on the fundamental group of the surface (mapping the fundamental group with base point x_0 to the one with bases point $h(x_0)$) and thus acts as an (outer) automorphism of the fundamental group of S. It also induces an automorphism of the first homology group of S. If γ is any curve on S or any representative of a free homotopy class or homology class on S, we adopt the convention that $h(\gamma)$ denotes either the image curve or the free homotopy class or homology class of the image. It will be clear from the context which we mean.

3. Prior results and definitions: matrices and homology bases

Roughly speaking a homology basis for S is *adapted to* h if it reflects the action of h in a simple manner: for each curve γ in the basis either all of the images of γ under powers of h are also in the basis or the basis contains all but one of the images of γ under powers of h and the omitted curve is homologous to the negative of the sum of the images of γ under the other powers of h.

To be more precise

Definition 3.1. A homology basis for S is adapted to h if for each γ_0 in the basis there is a curve γ with $\gamma_0 = h^k(\gamma)$ for some integer k and either

(1) $\gamma, h(\gamma), \dots h^{p-1}(\gamma)$ are all in the basis, or (2) $\gamma, h(\gamma), \dots h^{p-2}(\gamma)$ are all in the basis and $h^{p-1}(\gamma) \approx^h -(h(\gamma) + h(\gamma) + \dots + h^{p-2}(\gamma)).$ Here \approx^h denotes is homologous to.

3.1. Existence of adapted homology bases. It is known that

Theorem 3.2. ([4], [6]) There is a homology basis adapted to h. In particular, if $g \ge 2$, $t \ge 2$, g_0 are as above, then the adapted basis has $2p \times g_0$ elements of type (1) above and (p-1)(t-2) elements of type (2).

and thus it follows that

Corollary 3.3. ([4]) Let $M_{\mathcal{A}}(h)$ denote the adapted matrix of h, the matrix of the action of h with respect to an adapted basis. Then $M_{\mathcal{A}}(h)$ will be composed of diagonal blocks, $2g_0$ of which are $p \times p$ permutation matrices with 1's along the super diagonal and 1 in the leftmost entry of the last row and t are $(p-1) \times (p-1)$ matrices with 1's along the super diagonal and 1.

Remark 3.4. We adopt the following convention. When we pass from homotopy to homology, we use the same notation for the homology class of the curve as for the curve or its homotopy class, but write \approx^h instead of =. It will be clear from the context which we mean.

3.2. Intersection Matrix for an Adapted Homology Basis. So far information about $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ seems to depend only on t and not upon the (p-1)-tuple $(m_1, ..., m_{p-1})$ or equivalently, upon the set of integers $\{n_1, ..., n_t\}$) which determines the conjugacy class of h in the mappingclass group. However, while the $2pg_0$ curves can be extended to a

canonical homology basis for h, the rest of the basis can not and its intersection matrix, $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ depends upon these integers.

In [5] the intersection matrix for the adapted basis was computed. The adapted basis consisted of the curves of type (1):

$$\{A_w, B_w, w = 1, ..., g_0\} \cup \{h^j(A_w), h^j(B_w), j = 1, ..., p - 1\}$$

and (some of) the curves of type (2):

 $X_{i,v_i}, h^j(X_{i,v_i}), i = 1, ..., (p-1), j = 1, ..., p-2, v_i = 1, ..., u_i.$

A lexicographical order is placed on X_{i,v_i} so that $(r, v_r) < (s, v_s)$ if and only if r < s or r = s and $v_r < v_s$. The t - 2 curves X_{s,v_s} with the largest subscript pairs are to be included in the homology basis. Let \hat{s} be the smallest integer s such that $u_s \neq 0$ and let \hat{q} be chosen so that $\hat{q}\hat{s} \equiv 1(p)$. For any integer v let [v] denote the least non-negative residue of $\hat{q}v$ modulo p. Thus the integer [v] satisfies $0 \leq [v] \leq p - 1$ and $\hat{s} \times [v] \equiv v \mod(p)$.

Theorem 3.5. ([5]) If $(u_1, ..., u_{p-1})$ determines the conjugacy class of h in the mapping-class group, then the surface S has a homology basis consisting of:

- (1) $h^{j}(A_{w}), h^{j}(B_{w})$ where $1 \le w \le g_{0}, 0 \le j \le p-1$.
- (2) $h^k(X_{s,v_s})$ where $0 \le k \le p-2$ and for all pairs (s,v_s) with $1 \le s \le p-1, 1 \le v_s \le u_s$ except that the two smallest pairs are omitted.

The intersection numbers for the elements of the adapted basis are given by

(a)
$$h^{j}(A_{w}) \times h^{j}(B_{w}) = 1$$

(b) If $(r, v_{r}) < (s, v_{s})$, then
 $h^{0}(X_{r,v_{r}}) \times h^{k}(X_{s,v_{s}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } [k] < [r] \le [k+s] \\ -1 & \text{if } [k+s] < [r] \le [k] \end{cases}$
 $h^{0}(X_{s,v_{s}}) \times h^{k}(X_{s,v_{s}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } [k] \le [s] < [k+s] \\ -1 & \text{if } [k+s] < [s] < [k] \end{cases}$

(3) All other intersection numbers are 0 except for those that following from the above by applying the identities below to arbitrary homology classes C and D.

 $\begin{array}{l} C\times D=-D\times C\\ h^{j}(C)\times h^{k}(D)=h^{0}(C)\times h^{k-j}(D), \ (k-j \ reduced \ modulo \\ p.) \end{array}$

3.3. Matrix forms . We can write the results of theorems 3.2 and 3.5 and corollary 3.3 in an explicit matrix form. To do so we fix notation for some matrices. We will use the various explicit forms in subsequent sections.

We let $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ denote the matrix of the action of h on an adapted basis and $J_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ be the corresponding intersection matrix. Further, we let $M_{h_{CAN}}$ be the matrix of the action of h on a canonical homology basis. The corresponding intersection matrix is denoted by $J_{h_{CAN}}$ or J.

However, we prefer to replace J by the following block matrix where $q = \frac{(p-1)(t-2)}{2}$ and where for any integer positive integer d, I_d denotes the $d \times d$ identity matrix.

$$J_{h_{CAN}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{pg_0} & 0 & 0 \\ -I_{pg_0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_q \\ 0 & 0 & -I_q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We denote the $p \times p$ permutation matrix by

$$M_{p \times p} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the $(p-1) \times (p-1)$ non-permutation matrix of the theorem by

$$N_{(p-1)\times(p-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we have the $2g_0p \times 2g_0p$ block matrix

$$M_{\mathcal{A}_{2g_0,p\times p}} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{p\times p} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{p\times p} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & M_{p\times p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & M_{p\times p} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the $(t-2)\cdot(p-1)\times(t-2)\cdot(p-1)$ block matrix $N_{\mathcal{A}_{(t-2),(p-1)\times(p-1)}}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{(p-1)\times(p-1)} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N_{(p-1)\times(p-1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & N_{(p-1)\times(p-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & N_{(p-1)\times(p-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

so that the $2g \times 2g$ matrix M_A breaks into blocks and can be written as

$$M_{\mathcal{A}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} M_{\mathcal{A}_{2g_0, p \times p}} & 0\\ 0 & N_{\mathcal{A}_{(t-2), (p-1) \times (p-1)}} \end{array}\right)$$

where the blocks are of appropriate size. The basis can be rearranged so that $2g \times 2g$ matrix $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ corresponding to the rearranged basis breaks into blocks

$$M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathcal{A}_{g_0, p \times p}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & M_{\mathcal{A}_{g_0, p \times p}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & N_{\mathcal{A}_{(t-2), (p-1) \times (p-1)}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Here the submatrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} M_{\mathcal{A}_{g_0,p\times p}} & 0\\ 0 & M_{\mathcal{A}_{g_0,p\times p}} \end{array}\right)$$

is a symplectic matrix. We obtain the corollary

Corollary 3.6. Let S be a compact Riemann surface of genus g and assume that S has a conformal automorphism h of prime order $p \ge 2$. Assume that h has t fixed points where $t \ge 2$. Let S_0 be the quotient surface $S_0 = S/\langle h \rangle$ where $\langle h \rangle$ denotes the cyclic group generated by h and let g_0 be the genus of S_0 so that $2g = 2pg_0 + (t-2)(p-1)$.

There is a homology bases on which the action of h is given by the $2g \times 2g$ matrix $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$.

The matrix $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ contains a $2g_0p \times 2g_0p$ symplectic submatrix, but is not a symplectic matrix except in the special case t = 2.

Remark 3.7. We note that if p = 2, $M_{p \times p}$ reduces to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $N_{(p-1)\times(p-1)}$ to the 1×1 matrix -1.

The point here is that while two automorphisms with the same number of fixed points will have the *same* matrix representation with respect to an adapted basis, the intersection matrices will not be the same and, therefore, the corresponding two matrix representations in the symplectic group will not be conjugate.

We seek an algorithm to replace $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ by a the symplectic matrix $M_{h_{CAN}}$ by replacing the $2q \times 2q$ submatrix $N_{(t-2),(p-1)\times(p-1)}$ by a symplectic matrix of the same size. We will call this matrix $N_{symp\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$.

We note that $J_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & I_{pg_0} & 0 & 0\\ -I_{pg_0} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & B_1 & B_2\\ 0 & 0 & B_3 & B_4 \end{array}\right)$$

where the blocks B_i are of the appropriate dimension and we let B denote the $2q \times 2q$ matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}B_1 & B_2\\B_3 & B_4\end{array}\right).$$

We also note that $M_{h_{CAN}}$ will break up into

$$M_{h_{CAN}} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathcal{A}_{g_0, p \times p}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & M_{\mathcal{A}_{g_0, p \times p}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & N_{h_{CAN}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $N_{h_{CAN}}$ is a $(t-2)(p-1) \times (t-2)(p-1)$ matrix.

We emphasize that our goal is to find a canonical form for $N_{symp\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = N_{h_{CAN}}$ and an algorithm that produces it from the conjugacy class data. This is found in section 6. We illustrate this with a detailed example in section 9.

Part 2. The Surface Symbol Algorithm

4. The Surface symbol reduction algorithm

For a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \ge 2$, a presentation for the fundamental group with one defining relation determines a surface symbol. There is a standard way to convert any surface symbol to a normal form [1, 10] where it is written as the product of the minimal number of commutators. Here we follow the details and notation from Springer (section 5.5 of [13]).

If we have a compact Riemann surface, we obtain the surface symbol homeomorphic to the surface under identifications by cutting along the generators of the fundamental group and labeling the sides appropriately. Let \mathcal{P} denote the polygon obtained by cutting along the curves. Since \mathcal{P} is obtained by cutting along curves in the fundamental group, each side occurs once in the positive direction and once in the negative direction as one moves along the boundary in the positive (counterclockwise) direction. The surface symbol is the

sequence of sides that occur. That is it is a sequence of the form $abc \dots a^{-1} \dots c^{-1} \dots rstb^{-1} \dots$

We define

- **Definition 4.1.** (1) A polygon is evenly worded if for each edge a that occurs a^{-1} also occurs.
 - (2) A pair of edges a and b are linked if they appear in the symbol in the order $\dots a \dots b \dots a^{-1} \dots b^{-1} \dots$
 - (3) The polygon is fully linked if each edge occurring in the relation is linked to another unique distinct edge.
 - (4) The edges a and b occurring in the polygon are optimally linked if the symbol is of the form W₀aW₁bW₂a⁻¹W₃b⁻¹W₄ where the W_i, i = 0, ..., 4 are words in the edges not involving a^{±1} or b^{±1}.
 - (5) A pair of edges m and n are tightly linked if they appear in the symbol in the order $mnm^{-1}n^{-1}$.
 - (6) A polygon is tightly worded if each edge or its inverse is tightly linked to a another edge in the symbol.

Note that a symbol is tightly worded when it can be written as a product of commutators and the product of g commutators is the normal form for a compact surface of genus g. Thus it corresponds to a canonical homology basis. Also note that for the symbol we will be tightly linking all linked edges are optimally linked because each edge and its inverse occur only once in the symbol and the steps we take will not change that. Finally, a surface symbol will always have an even number of edges since each edge and its inverse will occur.

Remark 4.2. More generally, one can consider arbitrary polygons with identified sides labeled as x and x^{-1} . In that case the polygon may have more than one equivalence class of identified vertices. There is an algorithm for replacing the surface symbol by another surface symbol in a manner that increases the number of vertices in one equivalence class and decreases the number of vertices in the other until one obtains a symbol with only one equivalence class of vertices [13]. Since we are taking our surface symbol from the fundamental group with fixed base point, our surface symbols only have one equivalence class of vertices.

In [13] it is shown that if the symbol has one vertex up to identification, which it does if one takes the fundamental group with fixed base point, then each edge is linked with some other edge.

A surface symbol may posses one or more of the properties described in definition 4.1.

Our goals is to begin with a surface symbol and obtain a tightly worded polygon.

4.1. How to reduce a linked polygon. Let a and b be an optimally linked pair of edges with linking words W_0, W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4 so that the symbol is $W_0 a W_1 b W_2 a^{-1} W_3 b^{-1} W_4$ Then one can replace a and bby new words M and N and obtain a new polygon where the new words M and N are tightly linked by a standard cutting and pasting replacements. In terms of the surface symbol the words M and N now represent edges.

We apply this cutting and pasting procedure in the following informal algorithm. This algorithm does more than tightly link the surface symbol. The algorithm input includes the adapted matrix and its output includes a symplectic matrix representation. We let λ represent the empty word.

Ordered recipe for tight linking to a symplectic matrix.

Step 1: Initialize Assume that the polygon \mathcal{P} has edges $e_1, ..., e_m$ where m is divisible by 4. Thus $\mathcal{P} = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_m$. Further assume that polygon \mathcal{P} is evenly worded, that it has only one equivalence class of vertices and that it is fully and optimally linked. The initial matrix is $M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Input \mathcal{P} and $M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Set $\mathcal{M} = M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Set $\mathcal{Q} = \lambda$

Step 2: DO

- (Free reduction) If aa⁻¹ or a⁻¹a occur in *P* they can be removed from the symbol as long as the symbol has at least one other letter. Delete a and a⁻¹ from the set of edges and renumber the remaining edges. Replace *P* by *P* with aa⁻¹ deleted. Repeat this step wherever possible, until no repeated symbols of the form xx⁻¹ or x⁻¹x occur. (Note: we will see that in the case where we apply this algorithm we will always have at least one other letter.)
- (Linking and truncation) Begin with left most occurring letter in the surface symbol, *P*. Let a be this letter. Let b be the first edge optimally linked with a. Write *P* = aW₁bW₂a⁻¹W₃b⁻¹W₄ where W₁, W₂, W₃ and W₄ are chosen accordingly. Define M = aW₁bW₂a⁻¹ and N = W₃W₂a⁻¹. (Note: *P* can now be written as [M, N]W₃W₂W₁W₄.) Preserving cyclical order rename the edges other than a, a⁻¹, b, and b⁻¹. That is, define e'₁, ..., e'_m to be the remaining edges that occur in W₃W₂W₁W₄. (Note: We have the initial ordered basis e₁, ..., e_m and new

(Note: We have the initial ordered basis $e_1, ..., e_m$ and new ordered basis $M, N, M^{-1}, N^{-1}, e'_1, ..., e'_{m'}$. In terms of the surface symbol the words M and N now represent edges.

Note also that a, b, a^{-1} , and b^{-1} are each one of the original $e'_i s$.)

• (Change of Basis)

Write down the matrix of the change of basis \mathcal{B} . Namely, \mathcal{B} is the identity matrix except that the columns corresponding to a and a^{-1} are replaced by the columns whose entries are determined by M and similarly for b and b^{-1} and N. Compute \mathcal{BMB}^{-1} .

Replace the matrix \mathcal{M} by its conjugate \mathcal{BMB}^{-1} . Set $e_i = e'_i$, i = 1, ..., m' = m - 4. Set $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \cdot [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ Set $\mathcal{P} = W_3 W_2 W_1 W_4$

UNTIL: $\mathcal{P} = \lambda$

Step 3: Output: \mathcal{Q} and $\mathcal{M} = M_{h_{CAN}}$.

(Note: the output consists of the tightly worded surface symbol and the symplectic matrix.)

4.2. Analysis of the algorithm. The input to the algorithm will be the surface symbol $\hat{Q} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{LR}}$ and the matrix $M_{\tilde{A}}$ of corollary 6.6. Alternately the input to the algorithm can be taken to be (a variant of) the surface symbol \hat{R} derived in section 6. It has length m = 4g. The free reduction step is O(m). It reduces the number of edges. In our application we will begin with 4g edges that represent a surface of genus g. We know that the final surface symbol must also represent a surface of genus g so that the final surface symbol will still have 4g edges. Thus we will never encounter a free reduction step (see also remark 4.3). Each repetition of the loop reduces m by 4 so the algorithm will stop after at most $\frac{m}{4} = g$ steps.

We can actually initialize our algorithm with any \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{M} , and \mathcal{P} of the correct sizes as long as \mathcal{Q} is a product of commutators and \mathcal{P} evenly worded and fully and optimally linked. The length of \mathcal{P} will be at most 4g.

In the linking step we need to do a search to find the first b optimally linked to a. Since we are searching a string of length at most m the time here is $O(m^2)$.

The matrix of the change of basis is of size $2g \times 2g$ and will only have four columns that differ from the identity matrix, those rows and columns that represent replacing a by the edge labeled M and b by the edge now labeled N.

We are working with free homotopy classes. These are not necessarily abelian, but when we write down the matrix representation and the matrix of the change of basis, we can cancel any occurrence of a generator (or edge) x and x^{-1} in the words $aW_1bW_2a^{-1}$ and $W_3W_2a^{-1}$. The words we are working with are of length at most m. Thus the matrix of the change of basis can be computed in $O(m^2)$ time. The matrix multiplications and the inversion for the change of basis step are $O(m^3)$.

We conclude that, neglecting the size of the integers involved, the complexity of the tight linking algorithm in the case of interest is $O(g^3)$. The size of the input is taken into account in section 7.1.

Remark 4.3. We note that iterating the loop for free reduction and linking is the standard algorithm for finding the normal form for a surface symbol (section 5.5 of [13]). It can be applied to any evenly worded symbol that comes from the fundamental group of a surface. The symbol does not need to be of length divisible by 4. It is guaranteed to give either a final symbol of the form aa^{-1} or a product of 2n commutators for some integer n (Theorem 5-16 of [13]). In our case, since we want to add the truncation and change of basis steps, we have assumed the divisibility by 4 to make the description of these steps simpler. Also we have 2g generators for our fundamental group, those in equation 19 of theorem 6.4 or conjugates of those (corollary 6.5 or corollary 6.6). Since each generator and its inverse appear exactly once in our surface symbol, we have 4g edges for the input. We know by construction that this is a surface symbol for a compact surface of genus q. Therefore, we must end with 4g edges (i.e. g commutators) so in our implementation of the algorithm, we must never encounter a free reduction step.

Part 3. Review of rewriting basics, prior results and notation

5. Schreier-Reidemeister Rewriting and its corollaries

If we begin with an arbitrary finitely presented group G_0 and a subgroup G, the Schreier-Reidemeister rewriting process tells one how to obtain a presentation for G_0 from the presentation for G. In our case the larger group G_0 will correspond to the group uniformizing S_0 and the subgroup G corresponds to the group uniformizing S.

In particular, one chooses a special set of coset representatives for G modulo G_0 , called Schreier representatives, and uses these to find a set of generators for G. These generators are labeled by the original generators of the group and the coset representative.

5.1. The relation between the action of the homeomorphism and the surface kernel subgroup. We may assume that $S_0 = U/F_0$ where F_0 is the Fuchsian group with presentation

(1) $\langle a_1, ..., a_{g_0}, b_1, ..., b_{g_0}, x_1, ..., x_t | x_1 \cdots x_t (\prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i]) = 1; x_i^p = 1 \rangle.$

We summarize the result of [3, 6] using facts about the n's and m's defined in section 2.3. Let $\phi: F_0 \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be given by

$$\phi(a_i) = \phi(b_i) = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, ..., g_0 \text{ and } \phi(x_j) = n_j \neq 0 \quad \forall j = 1, ..., t.$$

If $F = Ker \phi$, then S = U/F. Moreover, F_0/F acts on S with quotient S_0 . Conjugation by x_1 acts on F and if h is the induced conformal map on S, $\langle h \rangle$ is isomorphic to the action induced by this conjugation and the conjugacy class of h in the mapping-class group is determined by the set of n_i . The order of the n_i 's does not affect the conjugacy class. Replacing h by a conjugate we may assume that $0 < n_i \leq n_j < p$ if i < j.

When we need to emphasize the relation of h to ϕ , we write h_{ϕ} to mean the automorphism determined by conjugation by x_1 . The conjugacy class of h^2 , would then be determined by the homomorphism ψ with $\psi(x_i) \equiv 2\phi(x_i)$ (p) or by conjugation by x_1^2 .

F is sometimes called the surface kernel and ϕ the surface kernel homomorphism [7, 8]. Any other map from F_0 onto \mathbb{Z}_p with the same (m_1, \ldots, m_{p-1}) and with $\phi(x_j) \neq 0 \quad \forall j$ will yield an automorphism conjugate to h.

5.2. The rewriting. We want to apply the rewriting process to words in the generators of this presentation for F_0 to obtain a presentation for F. We choose right coset representatives for $H = \langle h \rangle$ as $1, x_1, x_1^2, x_1^3, ..., x_1^{p-1}$ and observe that this set of elements form a *Schreier* system. (see pages 88 and 93 of [11]). That is, that every initial segment of a representative is again a representative.

The Schreier right coset function assigns to a word W in the generators of F_0 , its coset representative \overline{W} and $\overline{W} = x_1^q$ if $\phi(W) = \phi(x_1^q)$.

If \mathfrak{a} is a generator of F_0 , set $S_{K,\mathfrak{a}} = K\mathfrak{a}\overline{K\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}$. The rewriting process τ assigns to a word that is in the kernel of the map ϕ , a word written in the specific generators, $S_{K,\mathfrak{a}}$ for F. Namely, if $\mathfrak{a}_w, w = 1, ..., r$ are generators for F_0 and

$$U = \mathfrak{a}_{v_1}^{\epsilon_1} \mathfrak{a}_{v_2}^{\epsilon_2} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_{v_r}^{\epsilon_r} \quad (\epsilon_i = \pm 1),$$

defines an element of F, then (corollary 2.7.2 page 90 of [11])

$$\tau(U) = S_{K_1, \,\mathfrak{a}_{v_1}}^{\epsilon_1} S_{K_2, \,\mathfrak{a}_{v_2}}^{\epsilon_2} \cdots S_{K_r, \,\mathfrak{a}_{v_r}}^{\epsilon_r}$$

where K_j is the representative of the initial segment of U preceding \mathfrak{a}_{v_j} if $\epsilon_j = 1$ and K_j is the coset representative of U up to and including $\mathfrak{a}_{v_j}^{-1}$ if $\epsilon_j = -1$.

In our case each \mathfrak{a}_v stands for some generator of F_0 , that is one of the a_i or b_i or x_j . We apply theorem 2.8 of [11] to see

Theorem 5.1. [6] Let F_0 have the presentation given by equation 1. Then F has presentation

(2) $\langle S_{K, a_i}, S_{K, b_i}, i = 1, ..., g_0; S_{K, x_j}, j = 1, ...t |$

(3)
$$\tau(K \cdot x_1 \cdots x_t(\prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [a_i, b_i]) \cdot K^{-1}) = 1, \ \tau(K x_j^p K^{-1}) = 1 \rangle.$$

Here we let K run over a complete set of coset representative for $\phi: F_0 \to H$ so that F has generators

$$S_{K, a_i}, S_{K, b_i}$$
 $i = 1, ..., g_0$
 $S_{K, x_i}, \quad j = 1, ...t$

In [6] we simplified the presentation and eliminated generators and relations so that there is a single defining relation for the subgroup. We first assumed that $\phi(x_1) = h$ noting that if we can find a homology basis adapted to h, we can easily find a homology basis adapted to any power of h and, therefore, this assumption will not be significant. We saw:

Theorem 5.2. [6] Let F_0 have the presentation given by equation 1. Then F has

$$2pg_0 + (t-2)(p-1)$$
 generators:
 $h^j(A_i), h^j(B_i), i = 1, ..., g_0, j = 0, ..., p-1$
 $h^j(X_i), i = 3, ..., t, j = 0, ..., p-2$

and a single defining relation:

$$\hat{\hat{R}} = 1.$$

Each generator and its inverse occur exactly once in $\hat{\hat{R}}$ and every generator that appears is linked to another distinct generator. $\hat{\hat{R}}$ is given explicitly in terms of these generators.

Corollary 5.3. [6] The homology basis obtained by abelianizing the basis in theorem 5.2 gives a homology basis adapted to h.

We will give $\hat{\hat{R}}$ explicitly in terms of the generators once we have introduced more notation. In this paper we want to simplify $\hat{\hat{R}}$. To do so we need to include some of the calculations and notation from

the earlier paper and illustrate their use. We then introduce further notation and work to obtain the desired result for homotopy (theorem 6.4).

5.3. Illustration. We illustrate the use of the notation:

If we let $\phi(x_1) = h$ and $\phi(K) = \phi(x_1)^r$, then we have $S_{K,X_j} = x_1^{r\phi(x_1)} \cdot x_j \cdot \overline{K \cdot x_j}^{-1}$. Thus if

(4)
$$X_j = x_j \cdot \overline{x_j}^{-1}, \text{ then } S_{K,x_j} = h^r(X_j).$$

We begin to rewrite the generators and relations using this notation. p-factors

First we find $\tau(x_1x_1\cdots x_1) = 1$. Since $X_1 = S_{1,x_1}$ we have

(5)
$$\tau(x_1^p) = X_1 \cdot h(X_1) \cdot h^2(X_1) \cdots h^{p-2}(X_1)h^{p-1}(X_1) = 1.$$

Similarly, if $\phi(x_j) = n_j$, and we set $X_j = S_{\overline{1},x_j}$ and if $\overline{K} = x_1^s$, then we can write $S_{K,x_j} = h^{s \cdot n_j}(X_j)$.

This tells us that

(6)
$$\tau(x_j^p) = X_j \cdot h^{n_j}(X_j) \cdot h^{2n_j}(X_j) \cdots h^{(p-2)n_j}(X_j) h^{(p-1)n_j}(X_j) = 1.$$

Note that in deriving all equations we are free to make use of the fact (see [11]) that $S_{M, x_1} \approx 1 \forall$ Schreier representatives M where \approx denotes freely equal to. Note that this also eliminates the p generators, $S_{x_{x_1}^j, x_1}, j = 1, ..., p$.

Now equation (6) is a relation in the fundamental group. We remind the reader that for a compact Riemann surface, homology is abelianized homotopy so that when abelianized, it reduces to

(7)
$$h^{p-1}(X_j) \approx^h - X_j - h(X_j) - \dots - h^{p-2}(X_j)$$

where \approx^{h} denotes is homologous to.

Remember that our goal is to explicitly find the action on the fundamental group given the conjugacy invariants in the mapping class group. To this end we establish more notation to describe \hat{R} more simply and precisely.

Part 4. Homotopy and the algorithm

6. Finding the generators for the fundamental group AND THE ALGORITHM

While it is easy to see the action of h on a homology basis, writing explicitly the action of h on generators for the fundamental groups requires further notation. Our goal is to find a homotopy basis adapted

to the automorphism h and its symplectic action on a symplectic basis obtained from this one.

6.1. The action on the fundamental group of S_0 . First we note that when we rewrite $x_r^p = 1$ as $\tau(x_r^p) = 1$, if $\phi(x_r) = n_r$, then if $s_t \cdot n_t \equiv n_1$ (p) with $0 \leq s_t \leq (p-1)$ and $\phi(x_1) = n_1$, then

(8)
$$\tau(x_r^p) = S_{\overline{1},x_r} \cdot S_{\overline{x_r},x_r} \cdot S_{\overline{x_r^2},x_r} \cdots S_{\overline{x_r^{p-2}},x_r} \cdot S_{\overline{x_r^{p-1}},x_r} = 1.$$

For each r, this equation yields the homology relation of equation 7 with j replacing r.

If $\phi(x_j) \neq \phi(x_1)$ the relation (8) (or equivalently (6)) determined by $x_j^p = 1$ is slightly different than that obtained by replacing j by 1. Up to homology both reduce to the same equation. However, the statement regarding homotopy is more delicate.

We note that if $\phi(x_1) = n_1$ and $\phi(x_r) = n_r$ and $n_1 \cdot s_r \equiv n_r$ (p) and $s_r \cdot q_r \equiv 1$ (p) so that $n_r \cdot q_r \equiv n_1$ (p), then $\phi(x_r)^{s_r} = \phi(x_1)$ and $\phi(x_r) = \phi(x_1^{q_r})$ and $\overline{x_r^k} = \overline{x_1^{k \cdot s_r}}$.

If conjugation by x_1 induces the automorphism h and $\phi(x_1) = n_1$ and $\phi(x_j) = n_j$ we let $n_1 \cdot s_j \equiv n_j$ (p) so that conjugation by x_j induces the same action on F as conjugation by $x_1^{n_1 \cdot s_j}$, and conjugation by x_j^k induces the same action as conjugation by $x_1^{k \cdot n_1 \cdot s_j}$. That is

(9)
$$S_{\overline{x_j^k}, x_j} = S_{\overline{x_1^{k \cdot s_j}}, x_j} = h^{k \cdot s_j}(X_j)$$

6.2. Calculating with Simpler Notation. In this section we first assume that $\phi(x_1) = 1$ so that h acts as conjugation by x_1 and that $\phi(x_1) \leq \phi(x_2) \leq \phi(x_j) \quad \forall 3 \leq j \leq t$. Then s_j satisfies $s_j \cdot n_j \equiv 1$ (p).

To simplify the exposition from now on we assume that all exponents are reduced modulo p and are between 0 and p-1. Instead of working with the X_j described above, we work with the Y_j defined below. This is done so that the final result is in an optimal form.

We let
$$Y_j = S_{\overline{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{j-1}}, x_j}$$
 so that
 $h^z(Y_j) = S_{\overline{x_1^z \cdot x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{j-1}}, x_j}, \forall j = 1, ..., t \text{ and } \forall z = 0, ..., p-1$

When $K_r = \overline{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{r-1}}$, (8) gives

$$\tau(K_r x_r^p K_r) =$$

$$S_{\overline{x_1 \cdots x_{r-1}}, x_r} \cdot S_{\overline{K_r \cdot x_r}, x_r} \cdot S_{\overline{K_r \cdot x_r^p}, x_r} \cdots S_{\overline{K_r \cdot x_r^{p-2}}, x_r} \cdot S_{\overline{K_r \cdot x_r^{p-1}}, x_r} = 1.$$

or equivalently, where powers of h are assumed to be reduced modulo p,

(10) $Y_r \cdot h^{s_r}(Y_r) \cdot h^{2s_r}(Y_r) \cdot h^{(p-2)s_r}(Y_r) \cdot h^{(p-1)s_r}(Y_r) = 1.$

Note that the derivation of these equations uses the fact that the $S_{K,x_1} \approx 1$ for all coset representatives K.

We will often drop the subscript r when it is understood and write (10) as

(11)
$$Yh^{s}(Y)h^{2s}(Y)\cdots h^{(p-2)s}(Y)h^{(p-1)s}(Y) = 1$$

where the powers of h are again taken modulo p.

Definition 6.1. Notation: For any set of exponents $u_1, ..., u_v$ and any element U of the group F, we use the shorthand notation $U^{u_1+u_2+\cdots+u_v}$ and define

$$U^{u_1+u_2+\dots+u_v} = h^{u_1}(U)h^{u_2}(U) \cdot h^{u_v}(U).$$

Thus (10) becomes

(12)
$$Y^{1+s+2s+\cdots(p-2)s+(p-1)s} = 1$$

If we choose p_r so that $1 \le p_r \le p-1$ and $p_r \cdot s_r \equiv p-1$ (p), then we can solve (10) for $h^{p-1}(Y_r)$ to obtain

(13)
$$h^{p-1}(Y_r) = (Y_r^{(p_r+1)s_r+(p_r+2)s_r+\dots+(p-1)s_r} \cdot Y_r^{1+s_r+2s_r+\dots+(p_r-1)s_r})^{-1}$$

We now make some definitions. The motivation for these definitions will become clear shortly.

Definition 6.2. We define \mathcal{LR}_0 by

$$\mathcal{LR}_0 = (\Pi_{r=2}^t Y_r^{p-1})(\Pi_{i=1}^{g_0}[h^{p-1}(A_i), h^{p-1}(B_i)])$$

Substituting (13) into this definition, we define

Definition 6.3. We define \mathcal{LR} by

$$\mathcal{LR} = (\Pi_{r=2}^{t} (Y_{r}^{(p_{r}+1)s_{r}+(p_{r}+2)s_{r}+\cdots(p-1)s_{r}} \cdot Y_{r}^{1+s_{r}+2s_{r}+\cdots+(p_{r}-1)s_{r}})^{-1}) \cdot (\Pi_{1=1}^{g_{0}} [h^{p-1}(A_{i}), h^{p-1}(B_{i})])$$

6.3. Eliminating generators and relations. Recall that $h^r(Y_1) = S_{x_1^r, x_1} \approx 1$ for all integers r. If R is the relation in the group

$$x_1 \cdots x_t \prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [A_i, B_i] = 1,$$

then with this notation we have:

$$\tau(R) = Y_2 Y_3 \cdots Y_t \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i, B_i] = 1 \text{ so that } Y_2^{-1} = Y_3 \cdots Y_t \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i, B_i].$$

Let $\mathcal{Y} = (Y_3 \cdots Y_t \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i, B_i])^{-1}.$

We have $\forall v = 1, ..., p - 1$

(14) $\tau(x_1^v R x_1^{-v}) = h^v(Y_2) h^v(Y_3) \cdots h^v(Y_t) \prod_{i=1}^g [h^v(A_i), h^v(B_i)] = 1.$

Since h is a group isomorphism, h(TS) = h(S)h(T) so that

(15) $h^{v}(\mathcal{Y}) = (h^{v}(Y_{3}) \cdots h^{v}(Y_{t}) \prod_{i=1}^{g} [h^{v}(A_{i}), h^{v}(B_{i})])^{-1}$

Now $h^{v}(Y_{2}) = h^{v}(\mathcal{Y})$ so we can eliminate the generator Y_{2} and all of its images $h^{v}(Y_{2})$ and replace these by the expressions on the right hand side of equation (15). Next we replace the relation obtained from equation (10) when r = 2 with a relation in the $h^{v}(\mathcal{Y})$.

(16)
$$\mathcal{Y}^{1+s_2+2s_2+\cdots(p-1)s_2} = 1.$$

Recalling that p_2 satisfies $p_2 \times s_2 \equiv p - 1$ (p), we have

(17)
$$\mathcal{Y}^{1+s_2+2s_2+\dots+(p_2-1)s_2} \cdot \mathcal{Y}^{(p-1)} \cdot \mathcal{Y}^{(p_2+1)s_2+(p_2+2)s_2+\dots+(p-1)s_2} = 1$$

We observe that for each $j \neq 1, 2$, every $h^{p-1}(Y_j)$ occurs in $h^{p-1}(\mathcal{Y})$. Therefore, we eliminate these $h^{p-1}(Y_j)$ using 13.

Finally we recall the definition of \mathcal{LR} , note that it is the same as \mathcal{Y}^{p-1} and substitute it into (17) to obtain

(18)
$$\mathcal{Y}^{1+s_2+2s_2+\dots+(p_r-2)s_2} \cdot \mathcal{LR} \cdot \mathcal{Y}^{(p_r+1)s_2+\dots+(p-1)s_2} = 1$$

This is now the single defining relation. We have proved

Theorem 6.4. Adapted Homotopy Basis. If the conjugacy class of h in the mapping-class group is determined by the t-tuple $(n_1, ..., n_t)$ $t \ge 2, n_i \ne 0, n_1 = 1 \le n_2 \le n_i, i \ge 3$, then F has an adapted homotopy basis. That is, F has a presentation with

(19) *Generators:*

$$h^{v}(Y_{w}), \quad w = 2, ..., t - 2, \quad v = 0, ..., p - 2$$

$$h^{v}(A_{i}), \quad i = 1, ..., g_{0}, \quad v = 0, ..., p - 1$$

$$h^{v}(B_{i}), \quad i = 1, ..., g_{0}, \quad v = 0, ..., p - 1$$

and the single defining relation:

(20)
$$\mathcal{Y}^{1+s_2+2s_2+\dots+(p_2-2)s_2} \cdot \mathcal{LR} \cdot \mathcal{Y}^{(p_2+1)s_2+\dots+(p-1)s_2} = 1,$$

where \mathcal{Y} is the word in the generators:

$$(Y_3 \cdots Y_t \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [A_i, B_i])^{-1},$$

 \mathcal{LR} is the word in the generators:

(21)
$$(\Pi_{r=2}^{t}(Y_{r}^{(p_{r}+1)s_{r}+(p_{r}+2)s_{r}+\cdots(p-2)s_{r}}Y_{r}^{1+s_{r}+2s_{r}+\cdots(p_{r}-1)s_{r}})^{-1}) \cdot \Pi_{1=1}^{g_{0}}[h^{p-1}(A_{i}),h^{p-1}(B_{i})],$$

 s_r satisfies $s_r \cdot n_r \equiv 1 \ (p)$ and p_r satisfies $p_r \cdot s_r \equiv p - 1 \ (p)$.

Corollary 6.5. The fundamental group of F has a surface symbol \mathcal{LR} given by equation (20) whose unordered edges are the generators given in equation (19).

We let $\hat{\mathcal{LR}}$ (respectively $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$) be the symbol \mathcal{LR} (respectively \mathcal{Y}) from which all of the occurrences of $h^v(A_i)$ and $h^v(B_i), i = 1, ..., g_0, v = 0, ..., (p-2)$ have been deleted. We set $P = \prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [A_i, b_i]$ so that $h^v(P) = \prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [h^v(A_i), h^v(B_i)]$. Conjugating $h^v(A_i)$ and $h^v(B_i)$ by the same word replaces $h^v(P)$ by an appropriate conjugate. If we denote the appropriate conjugate by $[h^v(P)]_v$ and set $\hat{\mathcal{Q}} = P_0 \cdot [h(P)]_1 \cdots [h^{p-1}(P)]_{p-1}$ we can rewrite

(22)
$$\mathcal{Y}^{1+s_2+2s_2+\dots+(p_2-2)s_2} \cdot \mathcal{LR} \cdot \mathcal{Y}^{(p_2+1)s_2+\dots+(p-1)s_2} = 1.$$

as

(23)
$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{1+s_2+2s_2+\dots+(p_2-2)s_2} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{LR}} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(p_2+1)s_2+\dots+(p-1)s_2} = 1,$$

Setting $\hat{\mathcal{LR}} = \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{1+s_2+2s_2+\dots+(p_2-2)s_2} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{LR}} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(p_2+1)s_2+\dots+(p-1)s_2}$ gives the surface symbol $\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{LR}}$.

With respect to this basis h now has the matrix of the form

$$M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathcal{A}_{g_0, p \times p}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & M_{\mathcal{A}_{g_0, p \times p}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & N_{\mathcal{L}\hat{\mathcal{R}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $N_{\hat{\mathcal{LR}}}$ is the matrix of h with respect to the ordered basis consisting of the edges of $\hat{\mathcal{LR}}$.

Corollary 6.6. The fundamental group has the evenly worded and fully and optimally linked surface symbol $\hat{Q} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{LR}}$ and matrix $M_{\tilde{A}}$.

7. Applying the algorithm

We now combine theorem 6.4 and corollary 6.6 with the tight linking algorithm of section 4.1. Initialize so that $\mathcal{Q} = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}, \ \mathcal{M} = M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and conclude

Theorem 7.1. Let h be an element of the mapping-class group of prime order p and assume that its conjugacy class in the mapping-class group is determined by the t-tuple $(n_1, ..., n_t)$ $t \ge 2$, $n_i \ne 0$, $n_1 = 1 \le n_2 \le$ $n_i, i \ge 3$ so that F has the adapted homotopy basis described above. Then there is an algorithm that inputs $(n_1, ..., n_t)$ and outputs a unique symplectic matrix in the conjugacy class of the image of h in $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 7.2. Let h be an element of the mapping-class group of prime order p and assume that its conjugacy class in the mapping-class group is determined by the t-tuple $(n_1, ..., n_t)$ $t \ge 2$, $n_i \ne 0$, $n_1 \le n_2 \le n_i, i \ge$ 3. Then there is an algorithm that inputs $(n_1, ..., n_t)$ and outputs a unique symplectic matrix in the conjugacy class of the image of h in $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. If $n_1 = 1$, this is the previous theorem. If $n_1 \neq 1$, let s_1 be the integer with $n_1 \times s_1 \equiv 1$ (p). Consider the t-tuple whose *i*th entry is $n_i \times s_1$ (reduced modulo p, of course). Apply theorem (7.1) to obtain a symplectic matrix and then output this matrix raised to the power n_1 .

We have also shown

Theorem 7.3. Consider an element M of order p in $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. For each integer $t \in \{1, 2, ..., 2n + 2\}$ if there exists a set of t integers $\{n_1, ..., n_t\}$ with $1 \leq n_i \leq (p-1)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^t n_j \equiv 0(p)$ or equivalently $a \ p-1$ -tuple of real numbers $(m_1, ..., m_{p-1})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} im_i \equiv 0(p)$ then there is an element in the symplectic group of order p. The integers m_i determine its conjugacy class in the symplectic group and the conjugacy class has a unique matrix representative given by the algorithm. We call this matrix the normal form for M.

Remark 7.4. Note that there can be no M of prime order for which t = 1. The canonical form for t = 0 appears in section 8.

Formulas for determining the number (possibly 0) of distinct t-tuples of integers that exist for any given t, p and g that satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem are to be found in [3].

7.1. **Complexity.** We note that replacing the complementary rotation numbers by the rotation numbers requires an application of the

Euclidean algorithm $O(n^2)$. The calculation of the matrix $M_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is $O(g^2)$ because the words we are looking at are of length at most 4g. Computing the *pth* power of a $4g \times 4g$ matrix is $O(pg^3)$ but $p \leq g$ so it is $O(p^4)$. The complexity of the tight linking algorithm is $O(g^3)$. Thus the complexity of the algorithm in theorem 7.3 is $O(g^4)$.

If M is an $n \times n$ matrix, we define the norm of M to be the maximum of the absolute value of its entries and write |M|. Multiplying matrices increases their norm and thus will affect the running time. If we let $A_1, ..., A_m$ be $m, n \times n$ matrices, then $|A_1 \cdots A_m| = O(n^3 \cdot m \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m (1 + \log |A_i|))$.

We note in all applications that the initial matrix \mathcal{M} has entries that are only 0, 1 and -1 and thus its norm is 1. The matrix of the change of basis at each iteration will also only have entries that are 0, 1 and -1, so that the norm of it and its inverse is also 1. We can think of the final matrix as being obtained from the initial matrix by conjugating it by at most 2g matrices so that m = 4g + 1. Thus the norm of the final matrix in the tight linking algorithm is $O(g^5)$. We may need to take up to p powers of this matrix, yielding a matrix of norm $O(g^{10})$.

7.2. Other matrices. Let M by a symplectic matrix of prime order $p \geq 2$ with trace T. It follows from the results of [6], that the map π : $MCG(S) \to Sp(2q,\mathbb{Z})$ is injective on elements of finite order. Either every preimage of M is an infinite order element of the mapping-class group or there is a finite order preimage. In the latter case, we have $0 \le t \le 2g+2$ and the trace of $M_{\tilde{A}} = 2-t$ so since trace is a conjugacy invariant T = 2 - t. Thus M must be the image of an element of only infinite order mapping-classes if T < -2g or $T \geq 2$. There are prime order elements of the symplectic group that are not the images of finite order elements of the mapping-class group. If $-2q \leq T \leq 2$, then a necessary condition for M to have a preimage of finite order is that there are integers $(n_1, ..., n_t)$ such that $\Sigma n_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Whether or not there is such a t-tuple is determined in [3] where the number of such t - tuples is computed for each t with $0 \le t \le 2g + 2$. The algorithm gives a sufficient condition, namely that M be conjugate to the unique normal form matrix.

8. Homotopy when t = 0

If the number of fixed points is zero, we can still find an adapted basis. The calculations are slightly different. We have $2g = 2p(g_0 - 1) + 2$ and the presentation for the group F_0 is simply

(24)
$$\langle a_1, ..., a_{g_0}, b_1, ..., b_{g_0} | (\Pi_{i=1}^{g_0}[a_i, b_i]) = 1; \rangle.$$

Replacing h by a conjugate if necessary, the map $\phi: F_0 \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ can be taken to be

$$\phi(a_i) = \phi(b_i) = 0 \quad \forall i = 2, ..., g_0 \text{ and } \phi(a_1) = 1 \text{ and } \phi(b_1) = 0$$

Using the rewriting with coset representatives $1, a_1, ..., a_1^{p-1}$, note that $S_{a_1^k, a_1} \approx 1$ for k = 0, ..., p-2. Let $A = S_{a_1^{p-1}, a_1}$. Then h acts on Ker ϕ via conjugation by a_1 .

We let $\{h^k(A_j), h^k(B_j), j = 2, ..., g_0, k = 0, ..., p-1\}$ be as in (4) with a_1 playing the role of x_1 and let $B = S_{1,b_1}$. We let $P = \prod_{i=2}^{g_0} [A_i, B_i]$. Then we can compute that

Then we can compute that

(25)
$$\tau(R) = 1 \implies h(B)B^{-1}P = 1$$
$$\tau(a_1^k R a_1^{-k}) = 1 \implies h^k(B)(h^{k-1}(B))^{-1}h^k(P) = 1 \forall k = 1, ..., p-2$$
and $\tau(a_1^{p-1} R a_1^{-(p-1)}) = 1 \implies ABA^{-1}(h^{p-1}(B))^{-1}h^{p-1}(P) = 1$

We eliminate generators using (25) and let $\alpha = A$ and $\beta = h^{p-1}(B)$ to obtain generators

$$\{\alpha, \beta\} \cup \{h^k(A_j), h^k(B_j), j = 2, ..., g_0, k = 0, ..., p - 1\}$$

and the single defining relation

$$\beta\alpha\beta^{-1} = h^{p-1}(P)\alpha\Pi_{i=k}^{p-2}h^k(P)$$

Further we calculate that $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $h(\beta) = \beta$. Note that P is a product of commutators. Thus the matrix representation for h on S = U/F where $F = \text{Ker}\phi$ is given by $2(g_0 - 1)$ permutation matrices $M_{p \times p}$ and one two by two identity matrix. The basis is a canonical homology basis. We have $\alpha \times \beta = 1$ and we note that the curves α and β are by default of type (1) in definition 3.1

We have proved the following:

Theorem 8.1. Assume t = 0 and let F be the fundamental group of the surface S.

(1) Then F (a) has generators (i) $h^{j}(A_{w}), h^{j}(B_{w})$ where $2 \le w \le g_{0}, 0 \le j \le p-1$. (ii) α, β where $h^{k}(\alpha) = \alpha, h^{k}(\beta) = \beta, 0 \le k \le p$ (b) and the single defining relation $[\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}] \cdot h^{p-1}(P^{\alpha}) \cdot \prod_{k=2}^{p-2} h^{k}(P)$

where P^{α} denotes the words P conjugated by α and $P = \prod_{i=2}^{g_0} [A_i, B_i].$

(2) The corresponding intersection numbers are (a) $h^{j}(A_{w}) \times h^{j}(B_{w}) = 1$

25

- (b) $\alpha \times \beta = 1$
- (c) All other intersection numbers are 0 except for those that following from the above by applying the identities below to arbitrary homology classes C and D. $C \times D = -D \times C$ $h^{j}(C) \times h^{k}(D) = h^{0}(C) \times h^{k-j}(D), \ (k-j \text{ reduced modulo})$
 - p.)

9. EXAMPLE,
$$p = 3, t = 5, (1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$$

In this section we elaborate on a specific example worked out in [6]. Assume $\phi(x_1) = 1, \phi(x_2) = 1, \phi(x_3) = 2, \phi(x_4) = 1, \phi(x_5) = 1$ so that $(n_1, \dots, n_5) = (1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$ and $(m_1, m_2) = (4, 1)$.

First replacing h by a conjugate, we may assume that $\phi(x_1) = 1, \phi(x_2) = 1, \phi(x_3) = 1, \phi(x_4) = 1, \phi(x_5) = 2$. We choose as coset representatives x_1, x_1^2 and x_1^3 .

For any g_0 , we have generators

$$h^{q}(A_{i}), h^{q}(B_{i}), q = 0, \dots, p - 1 = 2, i = 1 \dots, g_{0}.$$

and

$$S_{\overline{x_1^r}, x_j}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, j = 2, 3, 4.$$

But $S_{\overline{x_1},x_1} \approx 1, r = 1, 2, 3$ and, therefore, these generators and the relation $\tau(x_1^3)$ drops out of the set of generators and relations to be considered.

We have

(26)
$$\tau(x_j^3) = S_{\overline{1}, x_j} \cdot S_{\overline{x_j}, x_j} \cdot S_{\overline{x_j^2}, x_j}$$

Set $S_{\overline{1},x_1} = Y_1$. Then $h(Y_1) = S_{x_1,x_1}$ and $h^2(X_j) = S_{x_1^2,x_j}$; Set $S_{\overline{x_1},x_2} = Y_2$ Then $h(Y_2) = S_{x_1^2,x_2}$ and $h^2(Y_2) = S_{x_1^3,x_2}$; Similarly, set $S_{\overline{x_1x_2},x_3} = Y_3$. Then $h(Y_3) = S_{x_1^3,x_3}$ and $h^2(Y_3) = S_{x_1,x_3}$; If $S_{\overline{x_1x_2x_3},x_4} = Y_4$ Then $h(Y_4) = S_{x_1,x_4}$ and $h^2(Y_4) = S_{x_1^2,x_4}$; and finally if $S_{\overline{x_1x_2x_3x_4},x_5} = Y_5$, then $h(Y_5) = S_{x_1^2,x_5}$ and $h^2(Y_5) = S_{x_1^3,x_j}$;

Use this notation and use $\tau(x_i^3) = 1$ to see that

(27)

$$h^{2}(Y_{2}) \cdot Y_{2} \cdot h(Y_{2}) = 1$$

$$h(Y_{3}) \cdot h^{2}(Y_{3}) \cdot Y_{3} = 1$$

$$Y_{4} \cdot h(Y_{4}) \cdot h^{2}(Y_{4}) = 1$$

$$h^{2}(Y_{5}) \cdot h(Y_{5}) \cdot Y_{5} = 1$$

We compute

(28)

$$\tau(R) = S_{\overline{1},x_1} \cdot S_{\overline{x_1},x_2} \cdot S_{\overline{x_1x_2},x_3} \cdot S_{\overline{x_1x_2x_3},x_4} \cdot S_{\overline{x_1x_2x_3x_4},x_5} \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [A_i, B_i]) = 1$$

Using $S_{\overline{x_1^r},x_1} \approx 1, r = 1, 2, 3$ and solving for $(Y_2)^{-1}$ in 28, we have

(29)
$$(S_{x_1,x_2})^{-1} = Y_2^{-1} = Y_3 \cdot Y_4 \cdot Y_5 \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [A_i, B_i]) = 1.$$

and

(30)
$$(h(Y_2))^{-1} = h(Y_3) \cdot h(Y_4) \cdot h(Y_5) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [h(A_i), h(B_i)]) = 1.$$

(31)
$$(h^2(Y_2))^{-1} = h^2(Y_3) \cdot h^2(Y_4) \cdot h^2(Y_5) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [h^2(A_i), h^2(B_i)]) = 1.$$

Using $h^2(Y_2)Y_2h(Y_2) = 1$ and letting $P = (\prod_{i=1}^{g_0} [A_i, B_i])$, we have

$$(32) h(Y_3) \cdot h(Y_4) \cdot h(Y_5) \cdot h(P) \cdot Y_3 \cdot Y_4 \cdot Y_5 \cdot P \cdot h^2(Y_3) \cdot h^2(Y_4) \cdot h^2(Y_5) \cdot h^2(P) = 1$$

We use equation (27) to replace the $h^2(Y_j)$ and obtain the relation

$$(33) h(Y_3) \cdot h(Y_4) \cdot h(Y_5) \cdot h(P) \cdot Y_3 \cdot Y_4 \cdot Y_5 \cdot P \cdot (h(Y_3))^{-1} \cdot (Y_3)^{-1} \cdot (h(Y_4))^{-1} \cdot (Y_4)^{-1} \cdot (Y_5)^{-1} \cdot (h(Y_5))^{-1} \cdot h^2(P) = 1.$$

Equation 33 is the relation $\hat{\hat{R}} = 1$. Let $C_1 = h(Y_3) \cdot h(Y_4) \cdot h(Y_5)$, $C_2 = \cdot Y_3 \cdot Y_4 \cdot Y_5$ and $C_3 = (h(Y_3))^{-1} \cdot (Y_3)^{-1} (h(Y_4))^{-1} \cdot (Y_4)^{-1} \cdot (Y_5)^{-1} \cdot (h(Y_5))^{-1}$ Let $\tilde{P} = h(P)^{(C_2C_3)^{-1}} \cdot P^{C_3^{-1}} \cdot h^2(P)$ where if X and Y are words X^Y means the conjugate of X by Y.

We can replace the relation 33 by

$$\tilde{P}h(Y_3) \cdot h(Y_4) \cdot h(Y_5) \cdot Y_3 \cdot Y_4 \cdot Y_5 \cdot P \cdot (h(Y_3))^{-1} \cdot (Y_3)^{-1} \cdot (h(Y_4))^{-1} \cdot (Y_4)^{-1} \cdot (Y_5)^{-1} \cdot (h(Y_5))^{-1} = 1.$$

We use the notation of section 3.3 in particular the definition of the matrix B given at the end of that section. We can compute from the formulas for intersection numbers in Theorem 3.5 that the relevant part of the intersection matrix, B, is the 6×6 submatrix that gives the intersection matrix for the curves in the basis given in the order

$$X_{1,3}, h(X_{1,3}), X_{1,4}, h(X_{1,4}), X_{2,1}, h(X_{2,1})$$

is

$$B = I_{\hat{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

he matrix $J_{\tilde{A}}$ breaks up into blocks $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{pg_0} & 0 \\ -I_{pg_0} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

 $\begin{array}{cc} -I_{pg_0} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ That is, t Α We now rearrange the relation. To simply the notation we let $a = Y_3$,

 $b = Y_4$ and $c = Y_5$. So that the relation becomes

$$h(a) \cdot h(b) \cdot h(c) \cdot h(P) \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot P \cdot (h(a))^{-1} a^{-1} (h(b))^{-1} \\ \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (h(c))^{-1} \cdot h^2(P) = 1.$$

We can also make the simplifying assumption, replacing the elements that occur in P, h(P) and $h^2(P)$ by conjugates, that we are merely working with the symbol

(34)
$$h(a) \cdot h(b) \cdot h(c) \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot (h(a))^{-1} a^{-1} (h(b))^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (h(c))^{-1} = 1.$$

We replace generators and relations using the algorithm as follows: Let $M = h(a) \cdot W_1 \cdot h(b) W_2 \cdot (h(a))^{-1}$ where $W_1 = \lambda$, $W_2 = h(c) \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c$. Set $W_3 = a^{-1}$ and $W_4 = b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (h(c))^{-1}$.

Let $N = W_3 W_2(h(a))^{-1}$. Then

(35)

$$h(a) \cdot h(b) \cdot h(c) \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot (h(a))^{-1} a^{-1} (h(b))^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (h(c))^{-1}$$

$$= [M, N] W_3 W_2 W_1 W_4$$

$$= [M, N] \cdot a^{-1} \cdot h(c) abcb^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (h(c))^{-1}$$

$$= 1.$$

At this point one can proceed by inspection and let $[b, c]^*$ denote the conjugate of [b, c] by $a^{-1} \cdot h(c) \cdot a$ to obtain

$$[M, N] \cdot [b, c]^* \cdot [a^{-1}, h(c)] = 1.$$

However, to follow the algorithm carefully, we would set $\tilde{M}=a^{-1}\cdot$ $h(c) \cdot a$ and $\tilde{N} = b \cdot c \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} \cdot a$.

Then equation (35) becomes

$$[M, N] \cdot [\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}] \cdot [b, c] = 1.$$

Thus the canonical homology basis is given by

$$\{h^{j}(A_{i}), h^{j}(B_{i})\}, i = 1, ..., g_{0}, j = 0, ..., p - 1 \cup \{M, N, \tilde{M}, \tilde{N}, b, c\}$$

The reordered basis $\{M, M, b, N, N, c\}$ has intersection matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

We can compute the action of h on these last six elements of the homology basis. First we note that $h(b) \approx^{h} M - c - b - a - h(c)$ and $h(a) \approx^{h} -N + h(c) + b + c$. Therefore,

 $\begin{aligned} c &\mapsto h(c) \approx^{h} \tilde{M} \\ h(c) &\mapsto -c - h(c) \approx^{h} - c - \tilde{M} \\ a &\mapsto h(a) \approx^{h} - N + h(c) + b + c \approx^{h} - N + \tilde{M} + b + c \\ b &\mapsto h(b) \approx^{h} M - c - b - a - h(c) \approx^{h} M - c - b - \tilde{N} - \tilde{M} \\ M &\mapsto h(M) \approx^{h} - \tilde{M} - N, \text{ and} \\ N &\mapsto h(N) \approx^{h} - c + M - N. \end{aligned}$

Thus the matrix of the action of h with respect to the ordered basis $M, \tilde{M}, b, N, \tilde{N}, c$ is the submatrix we have been seeking. Namely,

$$N_{symp\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

One can verify that this 6×6 matrix really is a submatrix of a symplectic matrix, as it should be.

10. Acknowledgements

The author thanks Yair Minsky and the Yale Mathematics Department for their hospitality and support while some of this work was carried out. The author also thanks Moira Chas for stimulating conversations and Rubi Rodriguez for some helpful comments.

References

 Bers, Lipman. Introduction of Riemann Surfaces. NYU Lecture Notes, (1957), Unpublished.

- [2] J. Gilman, Compact Riemann Surfaces with Conformal Involutions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 37 (1973), 105-107.
- [3] J. Gilman, On Conjugacy Classes in the Teichmüller Modular Group, Mich. Math. J., 23 (1976) 53-63.
- [4] J. Gilman, A Matrix Representation for Automorphisms of Riemann Surfaces, Lin. Alg. and its Applications, 17 (1977), 139-147.
- [5] J. Gilman and D. Patterson, Intersection Matrices for Adapted Bases, Proc. 1978 Stony Brook Conference, Annals of Math. Studies 97 (1981), 149-166.
- [6] J. Gilman, Prime order automorphisms of Riemann surfaces, Proceedings of the Workshop on Teichmüller Theory and Moduli, HRI, Allahabad, India, to appear.
- [7] J. Gilman and R. Gilman, On the Existence of Cyclic Surface Kernels for Pairs of Fuchsian Groups, J.L.M.S., 30 (1984), 451-464.
- [8] Harvey, W.J., On branch locu in Teichmüller space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 153 (1971), 387-399.
- [9] MacBeath, A. M., Action of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface on the First Homology Group, Bull Lon. Math Soc., 5, (1973), 103-108.
- [10] MacBeath, A. M., Discontinuous Groups, Proceedings of the Dundee Summer School, (1961). Lecure notes.
- [11] Magnus, Karrass, and Solitar, Combinbatorial Group Theory, J. Wiley, (1966). 253-274.
- [12] Nielsen, J. Die Structur periodisher Transformationen von Flachen, D.K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Math-fys. Medd XV (1937), 1-77.
- [13] Springer, George, Introduction to Riemann Surfaces Addison-Wesley, (1957).

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEWARK, NJ 07102 *E-mail address*: gilman@rutgers.edu