

SHELLABLE GRAPHS AND SEQUENTIALLY COHEN-MACAULAY BIPARTITE GRAPHS

ADAM VAN TUYL AND RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL

ABSTRACT. Associated to a simple undirected graph G is a simplicial complex Δ_G whose faces correspond to the independent sets of G . We call a graph G shellable if Δ_G is a shellable simplicial complex in the non-pure sense of Björner-Wachs. We are then interested in determining what families of graphs have the property that G is shellable. We show that all chordal graphs are shellable. Furthermore, we classify all the shellable bipartite graphs; they are precisely the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. We also give a recursive procedure to verify if a bipartite graph is shellable. Because shellable implies that the associated Stanley-Reisner ring is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, our results complement and extend recent work on the problem of determining when the edge ideal of a graph is (sequentially) Cohen-Macaulay. We also give a new proof for a result of Faridi on the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of simplicial forests.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple (no loops or multiple edges) undirected graph on the vertex set $V_G = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. By identifying the vertex x_i with the variable x_i in the polynomial ring $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field k , we can associate to G a quadratic square-free monomial ideal $I(G) = (\{x_i x_j \mid \{x_i, x_j\} \in E_G\})$ where E_G is the edge set of G . The ideal $I(G)$ is called the *edge ideal* of G . Using the Stanley-Reisner correspondence, we can associate to G the simplicial complex Δ_G where $I_{\Delta_G} = I(G)$. Notice that the faces of Δ_G are the *independent sets* or *stable sets* of G . Thus F is a face of Δ_G if and only if there is no edge of G joining any two vertices of F . The dual concept of an independent set is a *vertex cover*, i.e., a subset C of V_G is a vertex cover of G if and only if $V_G \setminus C$ is an independent set of G .

We call a graph G (*sequentially*) *Cohen-Macaulay* if $R/I(G)$ is (sequentially) Cohen-Macaulay. Recently, a number of authors (for example, see [7, 9, 10, 14, 17, 23, 25]) have been interested in classifying or identifying (sequentially) Cohen-Macaulay graphs G in terms of the combinatorial properties of G . This paper complements and extends some of this work by introducing the notion of a *shellable graph*. We shall call a graph G shellable if the simplicial complex Δ_G is a shellable simplicial complex (see Definition 2.1). Here, we mean the non-pure definition of shellability as introduced by Björner and Wachs [2]. Because a shellable simplicial complex has the property that its associated

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F55, 13D02, 05C38, 05C75.

Key words and phrases. shellable complex, sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, edge ideals, bipartite and chordal graphs, totally balanced clutter.

Version: October 16, 2018.

Stanley-Reisner ring is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, by identifying shellable graphs, we are in fact identifying some of the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs.

We begin in Section 2 by formally introducing shellable graphs and discussing some of their basic properties. We then focus on the shellability of bipartite graphs. Recall that a graph G is *bipartite* if the vertex set V_G can be partitioned into two disjoint sets $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that every edge of G contains one vertex in V_1 and the other in V_2 . Furthermore, let $N_G(x)$ denote the set of *neighbors* of the vertex x . We then show:

Theorem 1.1 (Corollary 2.10). *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then G is shellable if and only if there are adjacent vertices x and y with $\deg(x) = 1$ such that the bipartite graphs $G \setminus (\{x\} \cup N_G(x))$ and $G \setminus (\{y\} \cup N_G(y))$ are shellable.*

We also consider the shellability of chordal graphs. A graph G is *chordal* (or *triangulated*) if every cycle \mathcal{C}_n of G of length $n \geq 4$ has a chord. A *chord* of \mathcal{C}_n is an edge joining two non-adjacent vertices of \mathcal{C}_n . Chordal graphs then have a nice combinatorial property:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 2.13). *Let G be a chordal graph. Then G is shellable.*

Because G being shellable implies that G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, the above result gives a new proof to the main result of Francisco and the first author [10] that all chordal graphs are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

The main result of Section 3 is to classify all sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. Precisely, we show:

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.10). *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if G is shellable.*

Note that all shellable graphs are automatically sequentially Cohen-Macaulay (see Stanley [21] or Theorem 3.2), but the converse is not true in general. So, the above theorem says that among the bipartite graphs, those that are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay are precisely those that are shellable. This generalizes a result of Estrada and the second author [7] which showed that G is a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph if and only if Δ_G has a pure shelling. Because we can use Theorem 1.1 to recursively check if a bipartite graph is shellable, Theorem 1.3 implies we can verify recursively if a bipartite graph is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

In the fourth section we consider connected bipartite graphs with bipartition $V_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ and $V_2 = \{y_1, \dots, y_g\}$ such that $\{x_i, y_i\} \in E_G$ for all i and $g \geq 2$. Following Carrá Ferro and Ferrarelo [1], we can associate to G a directed graph \mathcal{D} . Carrá Ferro and Ferrarelo gave an alternative classification of Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs in terms of the properties of \mathcal{D} (the original classification is due of Herzog and Hibi [14]). We show how G being sequentially Cohen-Macaulay affects the graph \mathcal{D} .

In the final section we extend the scope of our investigation to include the edge ideals associated to clutters (a type of hypergraph). As in the graph case, we say that a clutter \mathcal{C} is shellable if the simplicial complex associated to the edge ideal $I(\mathcal{C})$ is a shellable simplicial complex. We show (the free vertex property is defined in Section 5):

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 5.3). *If a clutter \mathcal{C} has the free vertex property, then \mathcal{C} is shellable.*

By applying a result of Herzog, Hibi, Trung and Zheng [15], we recover as a corollary the fact that all simplicial forests are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. This result was first proved by Faridi [8].

2. SHELLABLE GRAPHS

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in the introduction. In this section we introduce shellable graphs, describe some of their properties, and identify some families of shellable graphs.

Definition 2.1. A simplicial complex Δ is *shellable* if the facets (maximal faces) of Δ can be ordered F_1, \dots, F_s such that for all $1 \leq i < j \leq s$, there exists some $v \in F_j \setminus F_i$ and some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, j-1\}$ with $F_j \setminus F_\ell = \{v\}$. We call F_1, \dots, F_s a *shelling* of Δ when the facets have been ordered with respect to the shellable definition. For a fixed shelling of Δ , if $F, F' \in \Delta$ then we write $F < F'$ to mean that F appears before F' in the ordering.

Remark 2.2. The above definition of shellable is due to Björner and Wachs [2] and is usually referred to as *nonpure shellable*, although in this paper we will drop the adjective “nonpure”. Originally, the definition of shellable also required that the simplicial complex be pure, that is, all the facets have same dimension. We will say Δ is *pure shellable* if it also satisfies this hypothesis.

Definition 2.3. Let G be a simple undirected graph with associated simplicial complex Δ_G . We say G is a *shellable graph* if Δ_G is a shellable simplicial complex.

To prove that a graph G is shellable, it suffices to prove each connected component of G is shellable, as demonstrated below.

Lemma 2.4. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with disjoint sets of vertices and let $G = G_1 \cup G_2$. Then G_1 and G_2 are shellable if and only if G is shellable.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let F_1, \dots, F_r and H_1, \dots, H_s be the shellings of Δ_{G_1} and Δ_{G_2} respectively. Then if we order the facets of Δ_G as

$$F_1 \cup H_1, \dots, F_1 \cup H_s; F_2 \cup H_1, \dots, F_2 \cup H_s; \dots; F_r \cup H_1, \dots, F_r \cup H_s$$

we get a shelling of Δ_G . Indeed if $F' < F$ are two facets of Δ_G we have two cases to consider. Case (i): $F' = F_i \cup H_k$ and $F = F_j \cup H_t$, where $i < j$. Because Δ_{G_1} is shellable there is $v \in F_j \setminus F_i$ and $\ell < j$ with $F_j \setminus F_\ell = \{v\}$. Hence $v \in F \setminus F'$, $F_\ell \cup H_t < F$, and $F \setminus (F_\ell \cup H_t) = \{v\}$. Case (ii): $F' = F_k \cup H_i$ and $F = F_k \cup H_j$, where $i < j$. This case follows from the shellability of Δ_{G_2} .

(\Leftarrow) Note that if F is a facet of Δ_G , then $F' = F \cap V_{G_1}$, respectively, $F'' = F \cap V_{G_2}$, is a facet of Δ_{G_1} , respectively Δ_{G_2} . We now show that G_1 is shellable and omit the similar proof for the shellability of G_2 . Let F_1, \dots, F_t be a shelling of Δ_G , and consider the subsequence

$$F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_s} \text{ with } 1 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s$$

where $F_1 \cap V_{G_2} = F_{i_j} \cap V_{G_2}$ for $i_j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$, but $F_1 \cap V_{G_2} \neq F_k \cap V_{G_2}$ for any $k \in \{1, \dots, t\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$. We then claim that

$$F'_1 = F_{i_1} \setminus V_{G_2}, F'_2 = F_{i_2} \setminus V_{G_2}, \dots, F'_s = F_{i_s} \setminus V_{G_2}$$

is a shelling of Δ_{G_1} . We first show that this is a complete list of facets; indeed, each $F'_j = F_{i_j} \cap V_{G_1}$ is a facet of Δ_{G_1} , and furthermore, for any facet $F \in \Delta_{G_1}$, $F \cup (F_1 \cap V_{G_2})$ is a facet of Δ_G , and hence $F \cup (F_1 \cap V_{G_2}) = F_{i_j}$ for some $i_j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$.

Because the F_i 's form a shelling, if $1 \leq k < j \leq s$, there exists $v \in F_{i_j} \setminus F_{i_k} = (F_{i_j} \setminus V_{G_2}) \setminus (F_{i_k} \setminus V_{G_2}) = F'_j \setminus F'_k$ such that $\{v\} = F_{i_j} \setminus F_\ell$ for some $1 \leq \ell < i_j$. It suffices to show that F_ℓ is among F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_s} . Now because $F_{i_j} \cap V_{G_2} \subset F_{i_j}$ and $v \notin F_{i_j} \cap V_{G_2}$, we must have $F_{i_j} \cap V_{G_2} \subset F_\ell$. So, $F_\ell \cap V_{G_2} \supset F_{i_j} \cap V_{G_2}$. But $F_\ell \cap V_{G_2}$ is a facet of Δ_{G_2} , so we must have $F_\ell \cap V_{G_2} = F_{i_j} \cap V_{G_2}$. So $F_\ell = F_{i_r}$ for some $r < j$, and hence, $\{v\} = F'_j \setminus F'_r$, as desired. \square

Given a subset $S \subset V_G$, by $G \setminus S$, we mean the graph formed from G by deleting all the vertices in S , and all edges incident to a vertex in S . If x is a vertex of G , then its *neighbor set*, denoted by $N_G(x)$, is the set of vertices of G adjacent to x . If F is a face of a simplicial complex Δ , the *link* of F is defined to be $\text{lk}_\Delta(F) = \{G \mid G \cup F \in \Delta, G \cap F = \emptyset\}$. When $F = \{x\}$, then we shall abuse notation and write $\text{lk}_\Delta(x)$ instead of $\text{lk}_\Delta(\{x\})$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let x be a vertex of G and let $G' = G \setminus (\{x\} \cup N_G(x))$. Then*

$$\Delta_{G'} = \text{lk}_{\Delta_G}(x).$$

In particular, F is a facet of $\Delta_{G'}$ if and only if $x \notin F$ and $F \cup \{x\}$ is a facet of Δ_G .

Proof. If $F \in \text{lk}_{\Delta_G}(x)$, then $x \notin F$, and $F \cup \{x\} \in \Delta_G$ implies that $F \cup \{x\}$ is an independent set of G . So $(F \cup \{x\}) \cap N_G(x) = \emptyset$. But this means that $F \subset V_{G'}$ because $V_{G'} = V_G \setminus (\{x\} \cup N_G(x))$. Thus $F \in \Delta_{G'}$ since F is also an independent set of the smaller graph G' .

Conversely, if $F \in \Delta_{G'}$, then F is an independent set of G' that does not contain any of the vertices of $\{x\} \cup N_G(x)$. But then $F \cup \{x\}$ is an independent set of G , i.e., $F \cup \{x\} \in \Delta_G$. So $F \in \text{lk}_{\Delta_G}(x)$.

The last statement follows readily from the fact that F is a facet of $\text{lk}_{\Delta_G}(x)$ if and only if $x \notin F$ and $F \cup \{x\}$ is a facet of Δ_G . \square

The property of shellability is preserved when removing the vertices $\{x\} \cup N_G(x)$ and all incident edges from G for any vertex x .

Theorem 2.6. *Let x be a vertex of G and let $G' = G \setminus (\{x\} \cup N_G(x))$. If G is shellable, then G' is shellable.*

Proof. Let F_1, \dots, F_s be a shelling of Δ_G . Suppose the subsequence

$$F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, \dots, F_{i_t} \text{ with } i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t$$

is the list of all the facets with $x \in F_{i_j}$. Setting $H_j = F_{i_j} \setminus \{x\}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, t$, Lemma 2.5 implies that the H_j 's are the facets of $\Delta_{G'}$.

We claim that H_1, \dots, H_t is a shelling of $\Delta_{G'}$. Because the F_i 's form a shelling, if $1 \leq k < j \leq t$, there exists a vertex $v \in F_{i_j} \setminus F_{i_k} = (F_{i_j} \setminus \{x\}) \setminus (F_{i_k} \setminus \{x\}) = (H_j \setminus H_k)$ such that $\{v\} = F_{i_j} \setminus F_\ell$ for some $1 \leq \ell < i_j$. It suffices to show that F_ℓ is among the list F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_t} . But because $x \in F_{i_j}$ and $x \neq v$, we must have $x \in F_\ell$. Thus $F_\ell = F_{i_k}$ for some $k \leq j$. But then $\{v\} = F_{i_j} \setminus F_\ell = H_j \setminus H_k$. So, the H_i 's form a shelling of $\Delta_{G'}$. \square

Let G be a graph and let $S \subset V_G$. For use below consider the graph $G \cup W_G(S)$ obtained from G by adding new vertices $\{y_i \mid x_i \in S\}$ and new edges $\{\{x_i, y_i\} \mid x_i \in S\}$. The edges $\{x_i, y_i\}$ are called *whiskers*. The notion of a whisker was introduced by the second author [19, 23] to study how modifying the graph G affected the Cohen-Macaulayness of G ; this idea was later generalized by Francisco and Hà [9] in their study of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs. We can give a shellable analog of [9, Theorem 4.1].

Corollary 2.7. *Let G be a graph and let $S \subset V_G$. If $G \cup W_G(S)$ is shellable, then $G \setminus S$ is shellable.*

Proof. We may assume that $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$. Set $G_0 = G \cup W_G(S)$ and $G_i = G_{i-1} \setminus (\{y_i\} \cup N_G(y_i))$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Notice that $G_s = G \setminus S$. Hence, by repeatedly applying Theorem 2.6, the graph $G \setminus S$ is shellable. \square

We now turn our attention to the shellability of bipartite graphs.

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. If G is shellable and G has no isolated vertices, then there is $v \in V_G$ with $\deg(v) = 1$.*

Proof. Let F_1, \dots, F_s be a shelling of Δ_G . We may assume that $F_i = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, $F_j = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $i < j$. Then there is $x_k \in F_j \setminus F_i$ and F_ℓ with $\ell \leq j - 1$ such that $F_j \setminus F_\ell = \{x_k\}$. For simplicity assume that $x_k = x_1$. Then $\{x_2, \dots, x_m\} \subset F_\ell$ and there is y_t in F_ℓ for some $1 \leq t \leq n$. Since $\{y_t, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ is an independent set of G , we get that y_t can only be adjacent to x_1 . Thus $\deg(y_t) = 1$ because G has no isolated vertices. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let G be a graph and let x_1, y_1 be two adjacent vertices of G with $\deg(x_1) = 1$. If $G_1 = G \setminus (\{x_1\} \cup N_G(x_1))$ and $G_2 = G \setminus (\{y_1\} \cup N_G(y_1))$, then G is shellable if and only if G_1 and G_2 are shellable.*

Proof. If G is shellable, then G_1 and G_2 are shellable by Theorem 2.6. So it suffices to prove the reverse direction. Let F'_1, \dots, F'_r be a shelling of Δ_{G_1} and let H'_1, \dots, H'_s be a shelling of Δ_{G_2} . It suffices to prove that

$$F'_1 \cup \{x_1\}, \dots, F'_r \cup \{x_1\}, H'_1 \cup \{y_1\}, \dots, H'_s \cup \{y_1\}$$

is a shelling of Δ_G . One first shows that this is the complete list of facets of Δ_G using Lemma 2.5. Indeed, take any facet F of Δ_G . If $y_1 \in F$, then $x_1 \notin F$ because $\{x_1, y_1\}$ is an edge of G , and by Lemma 2.5, $F \setminus \{y_1\} = H'_i$ for some i . On the other hand, if $y_1 \notin F$, we must have $x_1 \in F$, because if not, then $\{x_1\} \cup F$ is larger independent set of G because x_1 is only adjacent to y_1 . Again, by Lemma 2.5, we have $F \setminus \{x_1\} = F'_i$ for some i . Let $F' < F$ be two facets of Δ_G . There are three cases to consider. Case (i): $F' = F'_i \cup \{x_1\}$ and $F = H'_j \cup \{y_1\}$. Since $H'_j \cup \{x_1\}$ is an independent set of G , it is contained in a facet of Δ_G , i.e., $H'_j \cup \{x_1\} \subset F'_\ell \cup \{x_1\}$ for some ℓ . Hence $(H'_j \cup \{y_1\}) \setminus (F'_\ell \cup \{x_1\}) = \{y_1\}$, $y_1 \in F \setminus F'$, and $F'_\ell \cup \{x_1\} < F$. The remaining two cases follow readily from the shellability of Δ_{G_1} and Δ_{G_2} . \square

Putting together the last two results yields a recursive procedure to verify if a bipartite graph is shellable.

Corollary 2.10. *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then G is shellable if and only if there are adjacent vertices x and y with $\deg(x) = 1$ such that the bipartite graphs $G \setminus (\{x\} \cup N_G(x))$ and $G \setminus (\{y\} \cup N_G(y))$ are shellable.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 it suffices to verify the statement when G is connected. By Lemma 2.8 there exists a vertex of x_1 with $\deg(x_1) = 1$. Now apply the previous theorem. \square

Example 2.11. The *complete bipartite graph*, denoted $\mathcal{K}_{m,n}$, is the graph with vertex set $V_G = \{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and edge set $E_G = \{\{x_i, y_j\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. If $m, n \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{K}_{m,n}$ is not shellable since the graph has no vertex of degree one. On the other hand, if $m = 1$ and $n \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{K}_{m,n}$ is shellable since the only facets are $F_1 = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and $F_2 = \{x_1\}$ and we have a shelling with $F_1 < F_2$. Similarly, $\mathcal{K}_{m,1}$ is shellable for all $m \geq 1$.

We complete this section by showing that all chordal graphs are shellable. A graph G is *triangulated* or *chordal* if every cycle \mathcal{C}_n of G of length $n \geq 4$ has a chord. A *chord* of \mathcal{C}_n is an edge joining two non-adjacent vertices of \mathcal{C}_n . Let S be a set of vertices of a graph G . The *induced subgraph* G_S is the maximal subgraph of G with vertex set S . For use below we call a complete subgraph of G a *clique*. As usual, a complete graph with r vertices is denoted by \mathcal{K}_r .

Lemma 2.12. [22, Theorem 8.3] *Let G be a chordal graph and let \mathcal{K} be a complete subgraph of G . If $\mathcal{K} \neq G$, then there is $x \notin V(\mathcal{K})$ such that $G_{N_G(x)}$ is a complete subgraph.*

Theorem 2.13. *Let G be a chordal graph. Then G is shellable.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n = |V_G|$. Let $V_G = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the vertex set of G . If G is a complete graph, then Δ_G consists of n isolated vertices and they clearly form a shelling. Thus by Lemma 2.4 we may assume that G is a connected non-complete graph. According to Lemma 2.12 there is $x_1 \in V_G$ such that $G_{N_G(x_1)} = \mathcal{K}_{r-1}$ is a complete subgraph for some $r \geq 1$. (To apply Lemma 2.12, take \mathcal{K} to be any edge of G ; this is clearly a complete graph.) Notice that $G_{\{x_1\} \cup N_G(x_1)} = \mathcal{K}_r$ and that \mathcal{K}_r is the only maximal complete subgraph of G that contains x_1 . We may assume that $V(\mathcal{K}_r) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$. Consider the subgraphs $G_i = G \setminus (\{x_i\} \cup N_G(x_i))$, which are also chordal. By induction there is a shelling F_{i1}, \dots, F_{is_i} of Δ_{G_i} for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Observe that any facet of Δ_G intersects $V(\mathcal{K}_r)$ in exactly one vertex. Thus by Lemma 2.5 the following is the complete list of facets of Δ_G :

$$F_{11} \cup \{x_1\}, \dots, F_{1s_1} \cup \{x_1\}; F_{21} \cup \{x_2\}, \dots, F_{2s_2} \cup \{x_2\}; \dots; F_{r1} \cup \{x_r\}, \dots, F_{rs_r} \cup \{x_r\}.$$

We claim that this linear ordering is a shelling of Δ_G . Let $F' < F$ be two facets of Δ_G . There are two cases to consider. Case (i): $F' = F_{ik} \cup \{x_i\}$ and $F = F_{jt} \cup \{x_j\}$, where $i < j$. Notice that $F_{jt} \cup \{x_1\}$ is an independent set of G because $F_{jt} \cap V(\mathcal{K}_r) = \emptyset$. Thus $F_{jt} \cup \{x_1\}$ can be extended to a facet of G , i.e., $F_{jt} \cup \{x_1\} \subset F_{1\ell} \cup \{x_1\}$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq s_1$. Set $F'' = F_{1\ell} \cup \{x_1\}$. Hence $x_j \in F \setminus F'$, $F \setminus F'' = \{x_j\}$, and $F'' < F$. Case (ii): $F' = F_{ik} \cup \{x_i\}$ and $F = F_{it} \cup \{x_i\}$, with $k < t$. This case follows from the shellability of Δ_{G_i} . \square

Remark 2.14. As shown below (Theorem 3.2), if a graph G is shellable, then it is also sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. The above theorem, therefore, gives a new proof to the fact that all chordal graphs are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay as first proved in [10]. To show that all chordal graphs are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, the authors of [10] show that for each degree $d \geq 0$, the square-free part of the Alexander dual $I(G)^\vee$ (also defined below) in degree d has *linear quotients*, that is, there is an ordering of the generators $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ of the square-free part of $I(G)^\vee$ of degree d such that $(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}) : (u_i) = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t})$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. However, when G is shellable, the generators of the Alexander dual $I(G)^\vee$ must have linear quotients (see [16, Theorem 1.4(c)] and [20]); so, when G is chordal, the ideal $I(G)^\vee$ also has linear quotients, a fact, to the best of our knowledge, that has never been noticed.

3. SEQUENTIALLY COHEN-MACAULAY BIPARTITE GRAPHS

In this section we classify all sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. We begin by recalling the relevant definitions and results about sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Definition 3.1. Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. A graded R -module M is called *sequentially Cohen-Macaulay* (over k) if there exists a finite filtration of graded R -modules

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M$$

such that each M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay, and the Krull dimensions of the quotients are increasing:

$$\dim(M_1/M_0) < \dim(M_2/M_1) < \dots < \dim(M_r/M_{r-1}).$$

As first shown by Stanley [21], shellable implies sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex, and suppose that R/I_Δ is the associated Stanley-Reisner ring. If Δ is shellable, then R/I_Δ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

We now specialize to the case of graphs by providing a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay analog of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 3.3. *Let x be a vertex of G and let $G' = G \setminus (\{x\} \cup N_G(x))$. If G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then G' is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Let F_1, \dots, F_s be the facets of $\Delta = \Delta_G$, and let F_1, \dots, F_r be the facets of Δ that contain x . Set $\Gamma = \Delta_{G'}$; by Lemma 2.5, the facets of Γ are $F'_1 = F_1 \setminus \{x\}, \dots, F'_r = F_r \setminus \{x\}$.

Consider the pure simplicial complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{[k]} &= \langle \{F \in \Delta \mid \dim(F) = k\} \rangle; \quad -1 \leq k \leq \dim(\Delta), \\ \Gamma^{[k]} &= \langle \{F \in \Gamma \mid \dim(F) = k\} \rangle; \quad -1 \leq k \leq \dim(\Gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ denotes the subcomplex generated by the set of faces \mathcal{F} . Recall that H is a face of $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ if and only if H is contained in some F in \mathcal{F} . Take a facet F'_i of Γ of dimension

$d = \dim(\Gamma)$. Then $F'_i \cup \{x\} \in \Delta^{[d+1]}$ and consequently $\{x\} \in \Delta^{[k+1]}$ for $k \leq d$. Because the facets of Γ are $F'_1 = F_1 \setminus \{x\}, \dots, F'_r = F_r \setminus \{x\}$, we have the equality

$$\Gamma^{[k]} = \text{lk}_{\Delta^{[k+1]}}(x)$$

for $k \leq d$. By [5, Theorem 3.3] the complex Δ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\Delta^{[k]}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $-1 \leq k \leq \dim(\Delta)$. Because $\Delta^{[k]}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, by [24, Proposition 5.3.8] $\text{lk}_{\Delta^{[k]}}(F)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for any $F \in \Delta^{[k]}$. Thus, $\Gamma^{[k]} = \text{lk}_{\Delta^{[k+1]}}(x)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for any $-1 \leq k \leq \dim(\Gamma) \leq \dim(\Delta) - 1$. Therefore Γ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay by [5, Theorem 3.3], as required. \square

Example 3.4. The six cycle \mathcal{C}_6 is a counterexample to the converse of the above statement. For any vertex x of \mathcal{C}_6 , the graph $\mathcal{C}_6 \setminus (\{x\} \cup N_G(x))$ is a tree, which is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. (A tree is a chordal graph, so by Theorem 2.13, a tree is shellable, and hence, sequentially Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 3.2.) However, the only sequentially Cohen-Macaulay cycles are \mathcal{C}_3 and \mathcal{C}_5 [10, Proposition 4.1].

A corollary of the above result is the following result of Francisco and Hà. Here $W_G(S)$ is the whisker notation introduced in the previous section.

Corollary 3.5. [9, Theorem 4.1] *Let G be a graph and let $S \subset V_G$. If $G \cup W_G(S)$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then $G \setminus S$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. We may assume that $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$. Set $G_0 = G$ and $G_i = G_{i-1} \setminus (y_i \cup N_G(y_i))$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ where y_i is the degree 1 vertex adjacent to x_i . Notice that $G_s = G \setminus S$. Hence by Theorem 3.3 the graph $G \setminus S$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. \square

We make use of the following result of Herzog and Hibi that links the notions of componentwise linearity and sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness. We begin by recalling:

Definition 3.6. Let (I_d) denote the ideal generated by all degree d elements of a homogeneous ideal I . Then I is called *componentwise linear* if (I_d) has a linear resolution for all d .

Definition 3.7. If I is a squarefree monomial ideal, then the *squarefree Alexander dual* of $I = (x_{1,1} \cdots x_{1,s_1}, \dots, x_{t,1} \cdots x_{t,s_t})$ is the ideal

$$I^\vee = (x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,s_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (x_{t,1}, \dots, x_{t,s_t}).$$

If I is a square-free monomial ideal we write $I_{[d]}$ for the ideal generated by all the squarefree monomial ideals of degree d in I .

Theorem 3.8. ([13]) *Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal of R . Then*

- (a) *R/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if I^\vee is componentwise linear.*
- (b) *I is componentwise linear if and only if $I_{[d]}$ has a linear resolution for all $d \geq 0$.*

Lemma 3.9. *Let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. If G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then there is $v \in V_G$ with $\deg(v) = 1$.*

Proof. We may assume that $m \leq n$ and that G has no isolated vertices. Let J be the Alexander dual of $I = I(G)$ and let $L = J_{[n]}$ be the monomial ideal generated by the square-free monomials of J of degree n . We may assume that L is generated by g_1, \dots, g_q , where $g_1 = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n$ and $g_2 = x_1 \cdots x_m y_1 \cdots y_{n-m}$. Consider the linear map

$$R^q \xrightarrow{\varphi} R \quad (e_i \mapsto g_i).$$

The kernel of this map is generated by syzygies of the form

$$(g_j / \gcd(g_i, g_j))e_i - (g_i / \gcd(g_i, g_j))e_j.$$

Since the vector $\alpha = x_1 \cdots x_m e_1 - y_{n-m+1} \cdots y_n e_2$ is in $\ker(\varphi)$ and since $\ker(\varphi)$ is generated by linear syzygies (see Theorem 3.8), there is a linear syzygy of L of the form $x_j e_1 - z e_k$, where z is a variable, $k \neq 1$. Hence $x_j(y_1 \cdots y_n) = z(g_k)$ and $g_k = x_j y_1 \cdots y_{i-1} y_{i+1} \cdots y_n$ for some i . Because the support of g_k is a vertex cover of G , we get that the complement of the support of g_k , i.e., $\{y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_m\}$, is an independent set of G . Thus y_i can only be adjacent to x_j , i.e., $\deg(y_i) = 1$. \square

We come to the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.10. *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then G is shellable if and only if G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Since G shellable implies G sequentially Cohen-Macaulay (Theorem 3.2) we only need to show the converse. Assume that G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. The proof is by induction on the number of vertices of G . By Lemma 3.9 there is a vertex x_1 of G of degree 1. Let y_1 be the vertex of G adjacent to x_1 . Consider the subgraphs $G_1 = G \setminus (\{x_1\} \cup N_G(x_1))$ and $G_2 = G \setminus (\{y_1\} \cup N_G(y_1))$. By Theorem 3.3 G_1 and G_2 are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Hence Δ_{G_1} and Δ_{G_2} are shellable by the induction hypothesis. Therefore Δ_G is shellable by Theorem 2.9. \square

As we saw in Corollary 2.10, one can verify recursively that a bipartite graph is shellable. The above theorem, therefore, implies the same for sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. In particular, we have:

Corollary 3.11. *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if there are adjacent vertices x and y with $\deg(x) = 1$ such that the bipartite graphs $G \setminus (\{x\} \cup N_G(x))$ and $G \setminus (\{y\} \cup N_G(y))$ are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

Example 3.12. No even cycle \mathcal{C}_{2m} can be sequentially Cohen-Macaulay since \mathcal{C}_{2m} is a bipartite graph that does not have a vertex of degree 1.

4. AN APPLICATION TO COHEN-MACAULAY BIPARTITE GRAPHS

If G is a bipartite graph without isolated vertices whose edge ideal $I(G)$ is unmixed, i.e., all the associated primes of $I(G)$ have the same height, then one can show (see, for example, [24, Theorem 6.4.2]) that G must have the following two properties:

- (1) if $V_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ and $V_2 = \{y_1, \dots, y_h\}$ is the bipartition of V_G , then $g = h$,
- (2) for $i = 1, \dots, g$, (after relabeling) $\{x_i, y_i\}$ is an edge of G .

Properties (1) and (2) are deduced from the fact that all the minimal vertex covers of a graph whose edge ideal is unmixed ideal must have the same size. Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs are, therefore, a subset of all the graphs that satisfies (1) and (2) since their edge ideals are unmixed.

If G is any bipartite graph that satisfies (1) and (2), then Carrá Ferro and Ferrarello [1] introduced a way to construct a directed graph from the graph G . Precisely, we define a directed graph \mathcal{D} with vertex set V_1 as follows: (x_i, x_j) is a directed edge of \mathcal{D} if $i \neq j$ and $\{x_i, y_j\}$ is an edge of G . In this section G will be any bipartite graph that satisfies conditions (1) and (2). We will show how G being (sequentially) Cohen-Macaulay affects the graph \mathcal{D} . In particular, we can express Herzog and Hibi's [14] classification of Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs in terms of the graph \mathcal{D} .

We say that a cycle \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{D} is *oriented* if all the arrows of \mathcal{C} are oriented in the same direction.

Example 4.1. If $G = \mathcal{C}_4$ with edge set $\{\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_1, y_2\}, \{x_2, y_1\}\}$, then \mathcal{D} has two vertices x_1, x_2 and two arrows $(x_1, x_2), (x_2, x_1)$ forming an oriented cycle of length two.

Lemma 4.2. [12, Theorem 16.3(4), p. 200] *Let \mathcal{D} be the directed graph described above. \mathcal{D} is acyclic, i.e., \mathcal{D} has no oriented cycles, if and only if there is a linear ordering of the vertex set V_1 such that all the edges of \mathcal{D} are of the form (x_i, x_j) with $i < j$.*

Recall that \mathcal{D} is called *transitive* if for any two $(x_i, x_j), (x_j, x_k)$ in $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ with i, j, k distinct, we have that $(x_i, x_k) \in E_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Theorem 4.3. ([25]) *Let G be a bipartite graph satisfying (1) and (2). The digraph \mathcal{D} is transitive if and only if G is unmixed, i.e., all minimal vertex covers of G have the same cardinality.*

We can now show how G being sequentially Cohen-Macaulay affects the graph \mathcal{D} .


Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a bipartite graph satisfying (1) and (2). If G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then the directed graph \mathcal{D} is acyclic.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of vertices of G . Assume that \mathcal{D} has an oriented cycle \mathcal{C}_r with vertices $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}\}$. This means that the graph G has a cycle

$$\mathcal{C}_{2r} = \{y_{i_1}, x_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, x_{i_2}, y_{i_3}, \dots, y_{i_{r-1}}, x_{i_{r-1}}, y_{i_r}, x_{i_r}\}$$

of length $2r$. By Lemma 3.9, the graph G has a vertex v of degree 1. Notice that $v \notin \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}, y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_r}\}$. Furthermore, if w is the vertex adjacent to v , we also have $w \notin \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}, y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_r}\}$. Hence by Theorem 3.3 the graph $G' = G \setminus (\{v\} \cup N_G(v))$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $\mathcal{D}_{G'}$ has an oriented cycle, a contradiction to the induction hypotheses. Thus \mathcal{D} has no oriented cycles, as required. \square

Example 4.5. The converse of the above theorem does not hold as illustrated through the following example. Let G be the graph



By Lemma 4.2 G is a bipartite graph whose directed graph \mathcal{D} is acyclic. However, G is not sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. To verify this, note that by Corollary 3.11, if G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then $G_1 = G \setminus (\{x_5\} \cup N_G(x_5))$ and $G_2 = G \setminus (\{y_5\} \cup N_G(y_5))$ are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. (Note that by the symmetry of the graph, we can use either $\{x_5, y_5\}$ or $\{x_1, y_1\}$.) But G_2 is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $H_1 = G_2 \setminus (\{y_1\} \cup N_G(y_1))$ and $H_2 = G_2 \setminus (\{x_1\} \cup N_G(x_1))$ are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. But H_2 is the graph of \mathcal{C}_4 which is not sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Hence, G is not sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Bipartite Cohen-Macaulay graphs have been studied in [7, 14, 24]. In [7] it is shown that G is a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph if and only if Δ_G is pure shellable. In [14] Herzog and Hibi give a graph theoretical description of Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. This description can be expressed in terms of \mathcal{D} , as was pointed out in [1]. As a corollary, we prove Herzog and Hibi's result classifying Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs.

Corollary 4.6. ([1, 14]) *Let G be a bipartite graph satisfying (1) and (2). Then G is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if \mathcal{D} is acyclic and transitive.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By Theorem 4.3, \mathcal{D} is transitive, and by Theorem 4.4, \mathcal{D} is acyclic.

(\Leftarrow) The proof is by induction on $g = |V_1|$. The case $g = 1$ is clear. We may assume that G is connected and $g \geq 2$. By Lemma 4.2 we may also assume that if $\{x_i, y_j\} \in E_G$, then $i \leq j$. Let $N_G(y_g) = \{x_{r_1}, \dots, x_{r_s}\}$ be the set of all vertices of G adjacent to y_g , where $x_{r_s} = x_g$. Consider the subgraph $G' = G \setminus (\{y_g\} \cup N_G(y_g))$. We claim that $y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_{s-1}}$ are isolated vertices of G' . Indeed if y_{r_j} is not isolated, there is an edge $\{x_i, y_{r_j}\}$ in G' with $i < r_j$. Hence, by the transitivity of \mathcal{D} , we get that $\{x_i, y_g\}$ is an edge of G and x_i must be a vertex in $N_G(y_g)$, a contradiction. Thus, by induction, the graphs G' and $G'' = G \setminus \{x_g, y_g\} = G \setminus (\{x_g\} \cup N_G(x_g))$ are Cohen-Macaulay. If $R_1 = k[x \mid x \in V_{G'}]$ and $R_2 = k[x \mid x \in V_{G''}]$, then by induction $\dim R_1/I(G') = g - s$ and $\dim R_2/I(G'') = g - 1$. Since $(I(G) : y_g) = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{r_s}, I(G'))$ and $(y_g, I(G)) = (y_g, I(G''))$, the ends of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/(I(G) : y_g) \xrightarrow{y_g} R/I(G) \longrightarrow R/(I(G), y_g) \longrightarrow 0$$

are Cohen-Macaulay modules of dimension g . On the other hand, because \mathcal{D} is transitive, by Theorem 4.2 the graph G is unmixed, and thus $\dim R/I(G) = \dim R - \text{ht}(I(G)) = 2g - g = g$ since g is the size of any minimal vertex covering. Consequently by applying the depth lemma (see [6, Corollary 18.6]) to the above short exact sequence, we have

$$\dim R/I(G) \geq \text{depth } R/I(G) \geq \min\{\text{depth } R/(I(G) : y_g), \text{depth } R/(I(G), y_g) + 1\} = g$$

whence $R/I(G)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension g . \square

Cohen-Macaulay trees, first studied in [23], can also be described in terms of \mathcal{D} :

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be a tree satisfying (1) and (2). Then G is a Cohen-Macaulay tree if and only if \mathcal{D} is a tree such that every vertex x_i of \mathcal{D} is either a source (i.e., has only arrows leaving x_i) or a sink (i.e., has only arrows entering x_i).*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Since a tree is bipartite, \mathcal{D} is both acyclic and transitive. Suppose there is a vertex x_i that is not a sink or source. i.e., there is an arrow entering x_i and one leaving x_i . Suppose the arrow entering x_i originates at x_j , and the arrow leaving x_i goes to x_k . Note that $x_j \neq x_k$ because otherwise we would have a cycle in the acyclic graph \mathcal{D} . Because \mathcal{D} is transitive, the directed edge (x_j, x_k) also belongs to \mathcal{D} . But then the induced graph on the vertices $\{x_j, y_i, x_i, y_k\}$ in G forms the cycle \mathcal{C}_4 , contradicting the fact that G is a tree.

(\Leftarrow) The hypotheses on \mathcal{D} imply \mathcal{D} is acyclic and transitive, so apply Theorem 4.6. \square

5. CLUTTERS WITH THE FREE VERTEX PROPERTY ARE SHELLABLE

We now extend the scope of our paper to include a special family of hypergraphs called clutters. The results of this section allow us to give a new proof to a result of Faridi on the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of simplicial forests.

A *clutter* \mathcal{C} with vertex set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a family of subsets of X , called edges, none of which is included in another. The set of vertices and edges of \mathcal{C} are denoted by $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $E_{\mathcal{C}}$ respectively. A basic example of a clutter is a graph. Note that a clutter is an example of a hypergraph on the vertex set of X ; a clutter is sometimes called a simple hypergraph, as in [11]. For a thorough study of clutters—that includes 18 conjectures in the area—from the point of view of combinatorial optimization see [4].

Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field k and let I be an ideal of R minimally generated by a finite set $\{x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}\}$ of square-free monomials. As usual we use x^a as an abbreviation for $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$, where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Note that the entries of each v_i are in $\{0, 1\}$. We associate to the ideal I a *clutter* \mathcal{C} by taking the set of indeterminates $V_{\mathcal{C}} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ as the vertex set and $E_{\mathcal{C}} = \{S_1, \dots, S_q\}$ as the edge set, where $S_i = \text{supp}(x^{v_i})$ is the *support* of x^{v_i} , i.e., S_i is the set of variables that occur in x^{v_i} . For this reason I is called the *edge ideal* of \mathcal{C} and is denoted $I = I(\mathcal{C})$. Edge ideals of clutters are also called *facet ideals* [8] because S_1, \dots, S_q are exactly the facets of the simplicial complex $\Delta = \langle S_1, \dots, S_q \rangle$ generated by S_1, \dots, S_q .

A subset $C \subset X$ is a *minimal vertex cover* of the clutter \mathcal{C} if: (i) every edge of \mathcal{C} contains at least one vertex of C , and (ii) there is no proper subset of C with the first property. If C only satisfies condition (i), then C is called a *vertex cover* of \mathcal{C} . Notice that \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime of $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} = (C)$ for some minimal vertex cover C of \mathcal{C} . In particular, if D_1, \dots, D_t is a complete list of the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} , then

$$I(\mathcal{C}) = (D_1) \cap (D_2) \cap \cdots \cap (D_t).$$

Because $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ is a square-free monomial ideal, it also corresponds to a simplicial complex via the Stanley-Reisner correspondence [21]. We let $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ represent this simplicial

complex. Note that F is a facet of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ if and only if $X \setminus F$ is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} . As for graphs, we may say that the clutter \mathcal{C} is *shellable* if $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is shellable.

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a clutter with minimal vertex covers D_1, \dots, D_t . If $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is shellable and $A \subset V_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a set of vertices, then the Stanley-Reisner complex $\Delta_{I'}$ of the ideal*

$$I' = \bigcap_{D_i \cap A = \emptyset} (D_i)$$

is shellable with respect to the linear ordering of the facets of $\Delta_{I'}$ induced by the shelling of the simplicial complex $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proof. Let H_1, \dots, H_t be a shelling of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$. We may assume that $H_i = V_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus D_i$ for all i . Let H_i and H_j be two facets of $\Delta_{I'}$ with $i < j$, i.e., $A \cap D_i = \emptyset$ and $A \cap D_j = \emptyset$. By the shellability of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$, there is an $x \in H_j \setminus H_i$ and an $\ell < j$ such that $H_j \setminus H_{\ell} = \{x\}$. It suffices to prove that $D_{\ell} \cap A = \emptyset$. If $D_{\ell} \cap A \neq \emptyset$, pick $z \in D_{\ell} \cap A$. Then $z \notin D_i \cup D_j$ and $z \in H_i \cap H_j$. Since $z \notin H_{\ell}$ (otherwise $z \notin D_{\ell}$, a contradiction), we get $z \in H_j \setminus H_{\ell}$, i.e., $z = x$, a contradiction because $x \notin H_i$. \square

An ideal I' is called a *minor* of I if there is a subset $X' = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}, x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_s}\}$ of the set of variables $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that I' is a proper ideal of $R' = k[X \setminus X']$ that can be obtained from a generating set of I by setting $x_{i_k} = 0$ and $x_{j_{\ell}} = 1$ for all k, ℓ . The ideal I is also considered to be a minor. A *minor* of \mathcal{C} is a clutter \mathcal{C}' on the vertex set $V_{\mathcal{C}'} = X \setminus X'$ that corresponds to a minor $(0) \subsetneq I' \subsetneq R'$. Notice that the edges of \mathcal{C}' are obtained from I' by considering the unique set of square-free monomials of R' that minimally generate I' . For use below we say x_i is a *free variable* (resp. *free vertex*) of I (resp. \mathcal{C}) if x_i only appears in one of the monomials x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q} (resp. in one of the edges of \mathcal{C}). If all the minors of \mathcal{C} have free vertices, we say that \mathcal{C} has the *free vertex property*. Note that if \mathcal{C} has the free vertex property, then so do all of its minors.

Lemma 5.2. *Let x_n be a free variable of $I = I(\mathcal{C}) = (x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_{q-1}}, x^{v_q})$, and let $x^{v_q} = x_n x^u$. (a) If \mathcal{C}_1 is the clutter associated to $J = (x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_{q-1}})$, then C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} containing x_n if and only if $C \cap \text{supp}(x^u) = \emptyset$ and $C = \{x_n\} \cup C'$ for some minimal vertex cover C' of \mathcal{C}_1 . (b) If \mathcal{C}_2 is the clutter associated to $L = (x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_{q-1}}, x^u)$, then C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} not containing x_n if and only if C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C}_2 .*

Proof. (a) Assume that C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} containing x_n . If $C \cap \text{supp}(x^u) \neq \emptyset$, then $C \setminus \{x_n\}$ is a vertex cover of \mathcal{C} , a contradiction. Thus $C \cap \text{supp}(x^u) = \emptyset$. Hence it suffices to notice that $C' = C \setminus \{x_n\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C}_1 . The converse also follows readily.

(b) Assume that C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} not containing x_n . Let x^a be a minimal generator of $I(\mathcal{C}_2)$, then either x^u divides x^a or $x^a = x^{v_i}$ for some $i < q$. Then clearly $C \cap \text{supp}(x^a) \neq \emptyset$ because $C \cap A \neq \emptyset$, where $A = \text{supp}(x^u)$. Thus C is a vertex cover of \mathcal{C}_2 . To prove that C is minimal take $C' \subsetneq C$. We must show that there is an edge of \mathcal{C}_2 not covered by C' . As C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} , there is x^{v_i} such that $\text{supp}(x^{v_i}) \cap C' = \emptyset$. If x^{v_i} is a minimal generator of \mathcal{C}_2 there is nothing to prove,

otherwise x^u divides x^{v_i} and the edge A of \mathcal{C}_2 is not covered by C' . The converse also follows readily. \square

Theorem 5.3. *If the clutter \mathcal{C} has the free vertex property, then $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is shellable.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of vertices of \mathcal{C} . Let x_n be a free variable of $I = I(\mathcal{C}) = (x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_{q-1}}, x^{v_q})$. We may assume that x_n occurs in x^{v_q} . Hence we can write $x^{v_q} = x_n x^u$ for some x^u such that $x_n \notin \text{supp}(x^u)$. For use below we set $A = \text{supp}(x^u)$. Consider the ideals $J = (x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_{q-1}})$ and $L = (J, x^u)$. Then $J = I(\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $L = I(\mathcal{C}_2)$, where \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are the clutters defined by the ideals J and L , respectively. Notice that J and L are minors of the ideal I obtained by setting $x_n = 0$ and $x_n = 1$, respectively. The vertex set of \mathcal{C}_i is $V_{\mathcal{C}_i} = X \setminus \{x_n\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_1}$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_2}$ are shellable by the induction hypothesis. Let F_1, \dots, F_r be the facets of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ that contain x_n and let G_1, \dots, G_s be the facets of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ that do not contain x_n . Set $C_i = X \setminus G_i$ and $C'_i = C_i \setminus \{x_n\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then C_1, \dots, C_s is the set of minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} that contain x_n , and by Lemma 5.2(a) C'_1, \dots, C'_s is the set of minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C}_1 that do not intersect A . One has the equality $G_i = V_{\mathcal{C}_1} \setminus C'_i$ for all i . Hence, by the shellability of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_1}$ and using Lemma 5.1, we may assume that G_1, \dots, G_s is a shelling for the simplicial complex generated by G_1, \dots, G_s . By Lemma 5.2(b) one has that C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} not containing x_n if and only if C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C}_2 . Thus, F is a facet of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ that contains x_n , i.e., $F = F' \cup \{x_n\}$ if and only if F' is a facet of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_2}$. By induction we may also assume that $F'_1 = F_1 \setminus \{x_n\}, \dots, F'_r = F_r \setminus \{x_n\}$ is a shelling of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}_2}$. We now prove that

$$F_1, \dots, F_r, G_1, \dots, G_s \text{ with } F_i = F'_i \cup \{x_n\}$$

is a shelling of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$. We need only show that given G_j and F_i there is $a \in G_j \setminus F_i$ and F_ℓ such that $G_j \setminus F_\ell = \{a\}$. We can write

$$G_j = X \setminus C_j \text{ and } F_i = X \setminus C_i,$$

where C_j (resp. C_i) is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} containing x_n (resp. not containing x_n). Recall that $A = \text{supp}(x^u)$ is an edge of \mathcal{C}_2 . Notice the following: (i) $C_j = C'_j \cup \{x_n\}$ for some minimal vertex cover C'_j of \mathcal{C}_1 such that $A \cap C'_j = \emptyset$, and (ii) C_i is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C}_2 . From (i) we get that $A \subset G_j$. Observe that $A \not\subset F_i$, otherwise $A \cap C_i = \emptyset$, a contradiction because C_i must cover the edge $A = \text{supp}(u)$. Hence there is $a \in A \setminus F_i$ and $a \in G_j \setminus F_i$. Since $C'_j \cup \{a\}$ is a vertex cover of \mathcal{C} , there is a minimal vertex cover C_ℓ of \mathcal{C} contained in $C'_j \cup \{a\}$. Clearly $a \in C_\ell$ because C_ℓ has to cover x^u and $C'_j \cap A = \emptyset$. Thus $F_\ell = X \setminus C_\ell$ is a facet of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ containing x_n . To finish the proof we now prove that $G_j \setminus F_\ell = \{a\}$. We know that $a \in G_j$. If $a \in F_\ell$, then $a \notin C_\ell$, a contradiction. Thus $a \in G_j \setminus F_\ell$. Conversely take $z \in G_j \setminus F_\ell$. Then $z \notin C'_j \cup \{x_n\}$ and $z \in C_\ell \subset C'_j \cup \{a\}$. Hence $z = a$, as required. \square

The $n \times q$ matrix A with column vectors v_1, \dots, v_q is called the *incidence matrix* of \mathcal{C} . This matrix has entries in $\{0, 1\}$. We say that A (resp. \mathcal{C}) is a *totally balanced* matrix (resp. clutter) if A has no square submatrix of order at least 3 with exactly two 1's in each row and column. According to [18, Corollary 83.3a] a totally balanced clutter satisfies the free vertex property. Thus we obtain:

Corollary 5.4. *If \mathcal{C} is a totally balanced clutter, then $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is shellable.*

Faridi [8] introduced the notion of a leaf for a simplicial complex Δ . Precisely, a facet F of Δ is a *leaf* if F is the only facet of Δ , or there exists a facet $G \neq F$ in Δ such that $F \cap F' \subset F \cap G$ for all facets $F' \neq F$ in Δ . A simplicial complex Δ is a *simplicial forest* if every nonempty subcollection, i.e., a subcomplex whose facets are also facets of Δ , of Δ contains a leaf. We can translate Faridi's definition into hypergraph language; we call the translated version of Faridi's leaf a *f*-leaf.

Definition 5.5. An edge E of a clutter \mathcal{C} is a *f*-leaf if E is the only edge of \mathcal{C} , or if there exists an edge H of \mathcal{C} such that $E \cap E' \subset E \cap H$ for all edges $E' \neq E$ of \mathcal{C} . A clutter \mathcal{C} is an *f*-forest, if every subclutter of \mathcal{C} , including \mathcal{C} itself, contains an *f*-leaf.

In [15, Theorem 3.2] it is shown that \mathcal{C} is an *f*-forest if and only if \mathcal{C} is a totally balanced clutter. Thus we obtain:

Corollary 5.6. *If the clutter \mathcal{C} is an *f*-forest, then $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is shellable.*

We now recover the main result of Faridi [8]:

Corollary 5.7. *Let $I = I(\Delta)$ be the facet ideal of a simplicial forest. Then $R/I(\Delta)$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. If $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_s \rangle$, then $I(\Delta)$ is also the edge ideal of the clutter \mathcal{C} whose edge set is $E_{\mathcal{C}} = \{F_1, \dots, F_s\}$. Now apply Corollary 5.6 and Theorem 3.2. \square

Remark 5.8. Since submitting this paper, Soleyman Jahan and Zheng [20, Theorem 3.4] have given a generalization of Theorem 5.3 using the notion a *pretty clean monomial ideal*.

Acknowledgments. We gratefully acknowledge the computer algebra system CoCoA [3] which was invaluable in our work on this paper. The first author also acknowledges the financial support of NSERC; the second author acknowledges the financial support of CONACyT grant 49251-F and SNI. We also thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and for the improvements that they suggested.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Carrá Ferro and D. Ferrarello, Cohen-Macaulay graphs arising from digraphs. (2007) Preprint. [math.AC/0703417](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AC/0703417).
- [2] A. Björner, M. Wachs, Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 1299–1327.
- [3] CoCoATeam, CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra. Available at <http://cocoa.dima.unige.it>
- [4] G. Cornuéjols, *Combinatorial optimization: Packing and covering*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics **74**, SIAM (2001).
- [5] A. M. Duval, Algebraic shifting and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes. Electron. J. Combin. **3** (1996), no. 1, Research Paper 21.
- [6] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **150**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [7] M. Estrada and R. H. Villarreal, Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs. Arch. Math. **68** (1997), 124–128.

- [8] S. Faridi, Simplicial trees are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **190** (2004), 121–136.
- [9] C. A. Francisco and H. T. Hà, Whiskers and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs. (2006) To appear, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*. [math.AC/0605487](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AC/0605487)
- [10] C. A. Francisco and A. Van Tuyl, Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay edge ideals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 2327–2337.
- [11] H. T. Hà, A. Van Tuyl, Monomial ideals, edge ideals of hypergraphs, and their graded Betti numbers. (2006) To appear, *J. Algebraic Combin.* [math.AC/0606539](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AC/0606539)
- [12] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972.
- [13] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, Componentwise linear ideals. *Nagoya Math. J.* **153** (1999), 141–153.
- [14] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, Distributive lattices, bipartite graphs and Alexander duality. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **22** (2005), 289–302.
- [15] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, N. V. Trung and X. Zheng, Standard graded vertex cover algebras, cycles and leaves. (2006) To appear, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* [math.AC/0606357](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AC/0606357)
- [16] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and X. Zheng, Dirac’s theorem on chordal graphs and Alexander duality. *European J. Combin.* **25**(7) (2004), 949–960.
- [17] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and X. Zheng, Cohen-Macaulay chordal graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113** (2006), 911–916.
- [18] A. Schrijver, *Combinatorial Optimization*. Algorithms and Combinatorics **24**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [19] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, On the ideal theory of graphs. *J. Algebra* **167** (1994), 389–416.
- [20] A. Soleyman Jahan, X. Zheng, Pretty clean monomial ideals and linear quotients. (2007) Preprint. [arXiv:0707.2914v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0707.2914v1)
- [21] R. P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra. Second edition*. Progress in Mathematics **41**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [22] B. Toft, Colouring, stable sets and perfect graphs, in *Handbook of Combinatorics I* (R. L. Graham et. al., Eds.), Elsevier, 1995, pp. 233–288.
- [23] R. H. Villarreal, Cohen-Macaulay graphs. *Manuscripta Math.* **66** (1990), 277–293.
- [24] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*. Marcel Dekker, 2001.
- [25] R. H. Villarreal, Unmixed bipartite graphs. (2006) To appear, *Rev. Colombiana Mat.*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LAKEHEAD UNIVERSITY, THUNDER BAY, ON P7B 5E1, CANADA

E-mail address: avantuyl@sleet.lakeheadu.ca

URL: <http://flash.lakeheadu.ca/~avantuyl/>

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL IPN, APARTADO POSTAL 14–740, 07000 MEXICO CITY, D.F.

E-mail address: vila@math.cinvestav.mx

URL: <http://www.math.cinvestav.mx/~vila/>