

homalg

AN ABSTRACT PACKAGE FOR HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

MOHAMED BARAKAT & DANIEL ROBERTZ

Abstract. Homological algebra is a natural extension of the theory of modules over rings. The category of modules and their homomorphisms is replaced by the category of chain complexes and their chain maps. A module is represented by any of its resolutions. The module is then recovered as the only non-trivial homology of the resolution. All resolutions of a module are equivalent in a well-defined sense. The role of kernels and cokernels resp. exact sequences in the abelian category of modules is played by cones resp. distinguished triangles in the appropriate category of complexes. The notions of derived functors and their homologies, connecting homomorphism and the resulting long exact homology sequences play a central role in homological algebra [HS97].

The Maple package homalg provides a way to deal with these powerful notions. The package is abstract in the sense that it is independent of any specific ring arithmetic. If one specifies a ring in which one can solve the ideal membership problem and compute syzygies, the above homological algebra constructions over that ring become accessible using homalg.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Presentations	4
3. Basic procedures	4
3.1. Procedures based on Reduce	4
3.2. Procedures additionally based on SyzygiesGenerators	6
4. Categories of complexes of given finite length	6
5. The morphism part of a functor	7
6. The object part of a functor	8
6.1. Functors producing subfactor modules	8
6.2. Other types of basic functors	11
7. Encapsulating functors	16
7.1. The functor Hom _R	16
7.2. The functor TensorProduct	16
7.3. The functor Hom	17
8. Composition of functors	17
8.1. The functor HomHom _R	17
8.2. The functor HomHom	18

9. Derivation of functors	19
9.1. ResolveModule: Resolve a module	20
9.2. Applying functors to complexes	20
9.3. Derived functors	21
9.4. ResolveShortExactSeq: Resolving a short exact sequence of modules	25
9.5. Connecting homomorphism and long exact sequences	26
10. Natural transformations	27
10.1. NatTrTargetToCokernel= CokernelEpi	27
10.2. NatTrKernelToSource= KernelEmb	27
10.3. NatTrIdToHomHomR	27
11. Future implementations of homalg	27
11.1. Why Maple?	27
11.2. Why not Maple?	28
11.3. Dreaming further	28
12. Examples	29
12.1. Example 1	29
12.2. Example 2	31
References	33

1. Introduction

Our dream was, in the setup of finitely presented module categories, to realize the notion of a functor in a computer algebra system. Functors map objects of a source category to objects of a target category and, in a compatible way, morphisms between two objects in the source category to morphisms between their images in the target category. So when one implements a functor one has not only to take care of how it acts on objects, but also of how it acts on morphisms between these objects. This meant, that for each functor we wanted to implement we wrote two procedures: its object part and its morphism part. The next step was to construct more complex functors out of given ones via iterated compositions and derivations¹. Faced with the increasing complexity of implementing these two procedures for these recursively defined functors we were forced to resolve the following issues:

- (1) The morphism part of the functor must be directly extractable in a unified manner from its object part, where "unified" means that it does not depend on the particular functor under consideration.
- (2) Defining the object part of the derivation of a functor, given by its two parts, must be done in a unified way, regardless of the functor one wants to derive. The object part of the derived functor must fulfill (1).
- (3) Defining the object part of the composition of two functors must be done in a unified way, regardless of the functors one wants to compose. The object part of the composed functor, again, must fulfill (1).

¹Left derivation for covariant functors and right derivation for contravariant functors.

Fulfilling these three conditions was the most difficult task in designing the package. We will try in what follows to isolate the mathematical ideas that helped us to realize this dream.

Not a single algorithm to compute in any sort of rings is implemented in homalg. Rather it is a package built up of homological algebraic definitions and constructions. On the highest levels one finds the construction of connecting homomorphisms and long exact sequences, the processes of composition and derivation of functors and definitions of various specific functors. On lower levels homalg goes all the way down till it reaches three procedures, which are basically² the only ones required from another package implementing the ring specific arithmetics for the ring R :

- (1) **BasisOfModule**: Compute a basis of an R -submodule of the free module R^n , the submodule being given by finitely many generators.
- (2) **Reduce**: Reduce, or better, find a normal form of a given element of R^n with respect to an R -submodule given by a basis computed using **BasisOfModule**.
- (3) **SyzygiesGenerators**: For two R -submodules $N \subseteq M \subseteq R^n$ compute a generating set of relations between the elements of a basis of M modulo N .

In practice one uses (1) to realize (2) and (3): One computes a basis to be able to find normal forms of elements modulo this basis, but also to compute syzygies. Instead of computing normal forms, what we in fact need is to effectively decide, whether an element of R^n belongs to a given R -submodule or not. By "effectively" we mean: In case the element does belong to the submodule, one then gets it back expressed in the basis of the submodule. So it would actually suffice to have an algorithm effectively deciding the submodule membership, which is a slight generalization of effectively deciding the ideal membership. Summing up we can say that all what homalg needs from a ring are two procedures: One to effectively solve the ideal membership problem and one to compute a generating set of syzygies. We will call such rings computable.

The following ring-specific packages have successfully been used with homalg: Involutive and Janet [BCG⁺03], JanetCore [Rob06] and OreModules [CQR07]. PIR [Bar06] is one more tiny package, or rather a pseudo-package, that makes Maple's built-in facilities for dealing with integers and some other principal ideal rings available to homalg.

homalg is also able to make use of various normal form algorithms for modules resp. special type modules over various rings, which are used to provide a standard form for a presentation of these modules:

PIR uses the Smith normal form for (Maple-built-in) principal ideal rings.

Janet optionally uses the Jacobson normal form for univariate differential rings, i.e. rings of the form $K[\partial]$, where K is a differential field with ∂ a derivation of K .

Involutive optionally uses the extension package QuillenSuslin written by Anna Fabianska [Fab06, FQ07] implementing the Quillen-Suslin theorem to compute

²Actually the ring package is allowed to specify any other procedure to be used by homalg. This is useful in case a standard procedure in homalg can be replaced by a more efficient one adapted to the particular ring. There are some more technical details we will skip here.

a free basis of a projective module over a polynomial ring (which is then free by the theorem). A similar extension package is planned for Ore modules.

It goes without saying that we will suppress some technical issues of the package for the sake of mathematical clarity. The best technical guide to the package remains the code itself, which in nearly all parts can be read as a mathematical text.

2. Presentations

Let R be a left noetherian ring with one. Denote by C^{mod} the category of finitely generated left R -modules, which is a full subcategory of the abelian category of left R -modules. And denote by C^{mat} the category of finite R -presentations with objects being finite dimensional matrices over R , where one identifies two matrices $M \in R^{l_1 \times l_0}$ and $M' \in R^{r_1 \times l_0}$ with the same number l_0 of columns to one object, if $R^{1 \times l_1} M = R^{1 \times r_1} M'$, as R -submodules of $R^{1 \times l_0}$. The set $\text{Mor}_{C^{\text{mat}}}(M; L)$ of morphisms between two objects $M \in R^{l_1 \times l_0}$ and $L \in R^{r_1 \times l_0}$ is the set of all $l_0 \times l_0$ R -matrices with $R^{1 \times l_1} M' = R^{1 \times r_1} L$, for all $' \in \text{Mor}_{C^{\text{mat}}}(M; L)$, where one identifies two matrices $'_1$ and $'_2$ to one morphism, if they induce the same R -module homomorphism from $R^{1 \times l_0} = R^{1 \times l_1} M$ to $R^{1 \times l_0} = R^{1 \times r_1} L$.

The functor coker that maps

$$R^{l_1 \times l_0} \ni M \mapsto \text{coker}(R^{1 \times l_1} \xrightarrow{M} R^{1 \times l_0})$$

is an equivalence between the categories C^{mat} and C^{mod} .

In what follows we want to confuse the two categories and therefore we simply denote both by C .

As usual a presentation is given by generators and relations. A presentation of a module in the current Maple implementation of `homalg` is a list³ containing as first entry the list of generators and as second entry the list of relations. The third entry is a string delimiter to optically indicate the end of the presentation. This string, unless changed by the user, defaults to "Presentation". The remaining entries provide extra information about the presented module, e.g. its Hilbert series in case the ring is the polynomial ring. This extra information can only be provided by the `ring-speci` package.

For $M = \text{coker}(M) = R^{1 \times l_0} = R^{1 \times l_1} M$ the list of the concrete generators are numbered by abstract generators being the l_0 standard basis row vectors of the underlying free module $R^{1 \times l_0}$. The list of relations simply contains the rows of the matrix M . An example is given in Figure 1.

3. Basic procedures

3.1. Procedures based on Reduce.

³In future implementations we will make use of object oriented data structures, which encapsulate all these informations.

For three R-matrices A, B and L with the same number of columns, one wants to compute an X with

i.e. an X , such that there exists a Y with

After computing $(X; Y)$ one often simply throws away Y .

3.1.2. `CompleteImSq`. As explained in Section 5, this is the most prominent application of `RightDivide` in `homalg`. We call an incomplete square of the form

and in a square if in (') in () as submodules of N .

An image square is hence completable to a commutative square, i.e. one can find a morphism $\gamma: M^0 \rightarrow N^0$ with $\gamma' = \gamma$. Following the notation used in defining `RightDivide` we set $A = \gamma$, $B = \gamma'$ and $L = N$, where N is a presentation matrix for N , i.e. its rows

$$\begin{array}{c}
 [[1;0;0] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix}; [0;1;0] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; [0;0;1] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{bmatrix}]; \\
 \text{generators} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{c} [x \quad y; 0; 0]; [y; xy; 0]; [0; 0; z^3]; \\ \text{"Presentation",} \\ 3 + 8s + 14s^2 + s^3 \left(\frac{14}{(1-s)} + \frac{6}{(1-s)^2} \right), \\ \text{relations} \quad \left| \quad [14; 6; 0] \right. \\ \text{Hilbert series} \quad \left| \quad \text{Cartan characters} \right. \end{array} \right.
 \end{array}$$

are defining relations for N . The result X of `RightDivide` is a that completes the image square to a commutative one.

3.2. Procedures additionally based on `SyzygiesGenerators`. For a matrix $M \in R^{l_1 \times l_0}$ a matrix of generating syzygies or a syzygy matrix is a matrix $S \in R^{l_2 \times l_1}$ such that $SM = 0$ and $R^{1 \times l_2} S = \ker(R^{1 \times l_1} \xrightarrow{M} R^{1 \times l_0})$.

A slight generalization of this is when one requires that $SM = 0 \pmod{N}$, where N has the same number l_0 of columns as M . One calls S the syzygy matrix of M modulo N .

3.2.1. `ResolutionOfModule`. By iterating the process of taking syzygies one obtains a whole free resolution of the module $M = \text{coker}(M)$. What `homalg` additionally does is the following: Whenever it computes the i^{th} syzygy matrix it uses its rows to locate the obsolete rows of the $(i-1)^{\text{st}}$ syzygy matrix. The i^{th} syzygy matrix is recomputed, its rows are again used to locate more obsolete rows of the $(i-1)^{\text{st}}$ syzygy matrix. This process stabilizes, since the number of rows of the latter is bounded. Then one proceeds with the $(i+1)^{\text{st}}$ syzygy matrix. Etc.

3.2.2. `SubfactorModule`. Given two matrices M_1 and M_2 with the same number of columns. First one computes a basis B of M_2 . Then one reduces M_1 modulo B and gets N . The syzygy matrix S of M modulo N is a presentation of the subfactor module $(\text{in}(M_1) + \text{in}(M_2)) = \text{in}(M_2)$.

4. Categories of complexes of given finite length

By $D^k(C)$ we denote the category of chain complexes⁴ $C : C_1 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_k$ of finite length k and their chain maps.

Definition 4.1 (The category $D_i^k(C)$). For $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ let $D_i^k(C)$ be the factor category of $D^k(C)$ defined by forgetting in a chain map the morphisms between all but the i^{th} chain module.

Note that for a morphism in $D_i^k(C)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_1^0 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1}^0 & \longrightarrow & C_i^0 & \longrightarrow C_{i+1}^0 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_k^0 \\ & & & \downarrow f' & & & \\ C_1 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & C_i & \longrightarrow C_{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_k \end{array}$$

there exists at least one commutative completion

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_1^0 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1}^0 & \longrightarrow & C_i^0 & \longrightarrow C_{i+1}^0 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_k^0 \\ \downarrow f'_1 & & & \downarrow f'_{i-1} & & \downarrow f' & \downarrow f'_{i+1} & \downarrow f'_k \\ C_1 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & C_i & \longrightarrow C_{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_k \end{array}$$

which is a preimage in $D^k(C)$.

⁴We are deliberately increasing the index to simplify the notation.

By definition $D_1^1(C)$ is simply a different notation for C . From now on all functors we consider take values in a module category C .

Definition 4.2 (The functor Obj_i^k). Denote by $\text{Obj}_i^k : D_i^k(C) \rightarrow C$ the full functor mapping a complex to its i^{th} module:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_1^0 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1}^0 & \longrightarrow & C_i^0 & \longrightarrow & C_{i+1}^0 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_k^0 \\ & & & \downarrow \text{' } & & & & & & & \downarrow \text{' } \\ C_1 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & C_i & \longrightarrow & C_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_k \end{array} \quad \text{ } \quad \begin{array}{c} C_i^0 \\ \downarrow \text{' } \\ C_i \end{array}$$

Definition 4.3 (The functor Mor_i^k). Denote by $\text{Mor}_i^k : D_i^k(C) \rightarrow C$ the full functor mapping a complex to its $(i-1)^{\text{st}}$ morphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_1^0 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1}^0 & \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}^0} & C_i^0 & \longrightarrow & C_{i+1}^0 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_k^0 \\ & & & \downarrow \text{' } & & & & & & & \downarrow \text{' } \\ C_1 & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} & C_i & \longrightarrow & C_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & C_k \end{array} \quad \text{ } \quad \begin{array}{c} C_{i-1}^0 \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}^0} C_i^0 \\ \downarrow \text{' } \quad \downarrow \text{' } \\ C_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} C_i \end{array}$$

5. The morphism part of a functor

A functor is by definition a map between two categories that maps objects to objects and, in a compatible way, morphisms to morphisms. To be allowed to speak about functors in this package we need to be able to not only define the functor on objects, but also on morphisms between objects.

It might be puzzling for the reader that we start with a section devoted to the morphism part rather than the object part of functors. The reason for that will become clear towards the end of this section.

Here we write everything for covariant functors. Adapting things for contravariant functors is done in the obvious way.

For a morphism $S \rightarrow T$ and a functor F we want to compute $F(S \rightarrow T)$. There are three cases homalg distinguishes:

- (1) The functor F is a composed functor: $F = F_1 \circ F_2$. Since $F(S \rightarrow T) = F_1(F_2(S \rightarrow T))$ we are able to reduce computing $F(S \rightarrow T)$ to computing $F_2(S \rightarrow T)$ and then $F_1(F_2(S \rightarrow T))$.
- (2) The functor F is an i^{th} (left) derived⁵ functor: $F = L_i G$. In Section 9, where we will deal with the derivation of functors, we will show how to reduce the computation of $F(S \rightarrow T)$ to essentially computing $G(S \rightarrow T)$.
- (3) The functor F is neither composed nor derived. In what follows such functors are called basic functors.

For basic functors the idea then is to reduce the computation of $F(S \rightarrow T)$ to completing an image square (cf. 3.1.2). To this end one embeds $F(S)$ resp. $F(T)$ in a module $\text{Hull}_F(S)$

⁵homalg provides procedures to left derive covariant functors and right derive contravariant functors. These are the cases computed via a projective resolution of the module.

resp. $\text{Hull}_F(T)$ with an induced morphism $\text{Hull}_F(')$ determined by $'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F(S) & \xrightarrow{F(S)} & \text{Hull}_F(S) \\ & & \downarrow F(') & & \downarrow \text{Hull}_F(') \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(T) & \xrightarrow{F(T)} & \text{Hull}_F(T) \end{array}$$

Expressed categorically, Hull_F is a functor, which we call a hull functor of F , and η_F is a natural transformation, which we call the corresponding natural embedding. For this idea to work the morphism $\text{Hull}_F(')$ must be much simpler to compute than $F(')$.

We will demonstrate this idea in Section 6 by giving concrete realizations of Hull_F for various basic functors F .

The procedure in `homalg` that precisely accomplishes the above mentioned distinction is called `FunctorMap`. The several pieces of code of the Maple implementation reproduced below will demonstrate how `FunctorMap` unifies the definition of the morphism part of all functors in `homalg`.

6. The object part of a functor

All functors we consider are of the form $F : D_i^k(C) \rightarrow C$. We simply distinguish two types of functors, depending on whether $F(C)$ is a subfactor module of $\text{Obj}_i^k(C)$, for all objects $C \in D_i^k(C)$, or not. In what follows a functor of the previous kind is called a functor producing subfactor modules.

Following the name convention used in the Maple implementation of `homalg`: If the procedure implementing the object part of a functor is called F , then the procedure implementing the morphism part is called $F\text{Map}$ (cf. the comment 11.3.3 at the end of Section 11).

6.1. Functors producing subfactor modules.

6.1.1. The functor `Cokernel`. On the level of objects the covariant cokernel functor associates to a morphism between two modules its cokernel. $\text{Cokernel} : D_2^2(C) \rightarrow C$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^0 \longrightarrow A & & \text{Cokernel}() \\ & \searrow ' & \downarrow \text{CokernelMap}(') \\ B^0 \longrightarrow B & & \text{Cokernel}() \end{array}$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A^0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \text{Cokernel}() & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \searrow ' & & \downarrow \text{CokernelMap}(') & & \\ B^0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & \text{Cokernel}() & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative and exact.

The `Cokernel` functor is the most basic functor in our setting, in the sense that computing its morphism part $\text{CokernelMap}(')$ is trivial: If we take the generators of A resp.

B to be the generators of $\text{Cokernel}()$ resp. $\text{Cokernel}()$, then the matrix representing $\text{Cokernel}'()$ coincides with that of $'$. In other words the hull functor $\text{Hull}_{\text{Cokernel}} = \text{Cokernel}$ and the natural embedding Cokernel is the identity transformation⁶.

```
'hom alg/CokernelMap' := proc(alphal,A,phi,betal,B,var, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, opts, optional, functor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablenam e'(procname,args[ 1],nar);

  if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN(RP(args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[7.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := 'hom alg/Cokernel';

  'hom alg/FuncMap'(functor,
    [alphal,A], phi, [betal,B]
    ,var,optional,RP)
end:
```

6.1.2. The functor Kernel . On the level of objects the covariant kernel functor associates to a morphism between two modules its kernel. $\text{Kernel} : D_1^2(C) \rightarrow C$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \longrightarrow A^0 & & \text{Kernel}() \\ \downarrow ' & \text{?} & \downarrow \text{KernelMap}'() \\ B \longrightarrow B^0 & & \text{Kernel}() \end{array}$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Kernel}() & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A^0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{KernelMap}'() & & \downarrow ' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Kernel}() & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B^0 \end{array}$$

is commutative and exact.

In the case of the functor Kernel the hull functor is obviously $\text{Hull}_{\text{Kernel}} = \text{Obj}_1^2$ and the natural embedding Kernel is the transformation embedding the kernel of a morphism into its source module:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Kernel}() \xrightarrow{\text{Kernel}()} A = \text{Obj}_1^2(A \rightarrow A^0)$$

The procedure Kernel is based on SubfactorModule introduced in 3.2.2. To compute the above embedding one needs to compute the syzygies of the morphism relative to its target module.

⁶This is not the whole truth. The functor Cokernel calls a procedure named Presentation , which, among other things, tries to reduce the number of generators of the resulting module using either normal algorithms, in case they are available, or otherwise several clever heuristics. Taking care of this, is a simple, but technical issue.

```

`hom alg/KernelMap ` := proc (A, alpha2, _A, psi, B, beta2, _B, var, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, opts, optional, functor;

  RP := `hom alg/tablename `(procname, args[ 1 ], nar);

  if type (RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[9.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := `hom alg/Kernel `;

  `hom alg/FunctorMap `(functor,
    [A, alpha2, _A], psi, [B, beta2, _B]
    , var, optional, RP)
end:

```

6.1.3. The functor `TorsionSubmodule`. For a ring R which satisfies the left Ore condition [MR01, 2.1.6] the object part of the covariant functor `TorsionSubmodule` associates to a left R -module M its torsion submodule $t(M) := \{m \in M \mid \exists 0 \neq r \in R : rm = 0\}$. `TorsionSubmodule : D11(C) ! C`, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & & \text{TorsionSubmodule}(A) \\
 \downarrow & \tau & \downarrow \text{TorsionSubmoduleMap}(') \\
 B & & \text{TorsionSubmodule}(B)
 \end{array}$$

In the case of the functor `TorsionSubmodule` the hull functor is obviously $\text{Hull}_{\text{TorsionSubmodule}} = \text{Id} = \text{Obj}_1^1$ and the natural embedding TorsionSubmodule is the transformation embedding the torsion submodule into the module:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{TorsionSubmodule}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{TorsionSubmodule}(A)} A = \text{Id}(A)$$

```

`hom alg/TorsionSubmoduleMap ` := proc (M, phi, N, var :: list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, functor;

  RP := `hom alg/tablename `(procname, args[ 1 ], nar);

  if type (RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[5.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := `hom alg/TorsionSubmodule `;

  `hom alg/FunctorMap `(functor,
    [M], phi, [N]
    , var, optional, RP)
end:

```

6.1.4. The functor `DefectOfHoms`. On the level of objects the covariant defect functor associates to two composable morphisms φ_1 and φ_2 with $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1 = 0$ their defect of exactness $\ker(\varphi_2) = \text{im}(\varphi_1)$. `DefectOfHoms` : $\text{D}_2^3(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^0 \xrightarrow{1} A \xrightarrow{2} A^0 & & \text{DefectOfHoms}(\varphi_1; \varphi_2) \\ \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \text{DefectOfHomsMap}(\varphi_1) \\ B^0 \xrightarrow{1} B \xrightarrow{2} B^0 & & \text{DefectOfHoms}(\varphi_1; \varphi_2) \end{array}$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \longrightarrow \text{DefectOfHoms}(\varphi_1; \varphi_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cokernel}(\varphi_1) & \xrightarrow{2} & A^0 \\ \downarrow \text{DefectOfHomsMap}(\varphi_1) & & \downarrow \text{CokernelMap}(\varphi_1) & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow \text{DefectOfHoms}(\varphi_1; \varphi_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cokernel}(\varphi_1) & \xrightarrow{2} & B^0 \end{array}$$

is commutative and exact.

In the case of the functor `DefectOfHoms` the hull functor is obviously $\text{Hull}_{\text{DefectOfHoms}} = \text{Cokernel} : \text{Mor}_2^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and the natural embedding $\text{DefectOfHoms} \rightarrow \text{Cokernel}$ is the transformation embedding the defect of two composable morphisms into the cokernel of the first morphism :

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{DefectOfHoms}(\varphi_1; \varphi_2) \xrightarrow{\text{DefectOfHoms}(\varphi_1; \varphi_2)} \text{Cokernel}(\varphi_1);$$

where $\text{Cokernel}(\varphi_1) = \text{Cokernel}(\text{Mor}_2^3(A^0 \xrightarrow{1} A \xrightarrow{2} A^0))$.

The functors `Cokernel` and `Kernel` are obviously special cases of this functor.

```
'hom alg/DefectOfHomsMap' :=
proc (alpha1, A, alpha2, A, phi, beta1, B, beta2, B, var, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, opts, optional, functor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename'(procname, args[1], nar);

  if type(RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1..1+nar])) fi;

  optional := args[11..1+nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := 'hom alg/DefectOfHoms';

  'hom alg/FunctionMap'(functor,
    [alpha1, A, alpha2, A], phi, [beta1, B, beta2, B]
    , var, optional, RP)
end;
```

6.2. Other types of basic functors. Contrary to functors producing subfactor modules, where the morphism part of the hull functor is given by the induced morphism on the factor module, here we need to explicitly mention how the morphism part of the hull functor is defined.

For the rest of the subsection let

$$M = \text{coker}(M) = R^1 \otimes_R R^1 M; \quad L = \text{coker}(L) = R^1 \otimes_R R^1 L$$

the tensor product of the two presentations $R^1 \cdot 1 \xrightarrow{M} R^1 \cdot 0$ of M and $R^1 \cdot 1 \xrightarrow{L} R^1 \cdot 0$ of L (each regarded as a two term complex) is a presentation of $M \otimes_R L$:

$$(R^1 \cdot 1 \otimes_R R^1 \cdot 0) \otimes_R (R^1 \cdot 0 \otimes_R R^1 \cdot 1) \xrightarrow{\quad \quad} R^1 \cdot 0 \otimes_R R^1 \cdot 0 \xrightarrow{M \otimes_R L} M \otimes_R L \longrightarrow 0:$$

We compute $M \otimes_R L$ as the cokernel of \quad . After identifying $R^1 \cdot j \otimes_R R^1 \cdot k$ with $R^1 \cdot jk$ the morphism \quad is given by the matrix $T = \begin{pmatrix} M & I_{1_0^0} \\ I_{1_0} & L \end{pmatrix}$, where \quad is the Kronecker product of matrices and I_{1_0} resp. $I_{1_0^0}$ is the identity matrix on M resp. L .

Our convention in defining the Kronecker product of two matrices $A = (a_j)$ and B is the usual one: $A \otimes B := (a_{ij}B)$.

We define

$$\text{TensorProduct}(M; L) := \text{Cokernel}(R^1 \cdot (1_0^0 + 1_0 \cdot 1_1^0) \xrightarrow{T} R^1 \cdot 1_0^0):$$

Define the morphism part of the functor $\text{TensorProduct}(\quad; L)$ to be the Kronecker product

$$\text{TensorProduct}(\quad'; L) := \quad' \otimes I_{1_0^0}:$$

Here the hull functor coincides with the functor and the natural embedding is the identity transformation.

Analogously for the functor $\text{TensorProduct}(L; \quad)$ define

$$\text{TensorProduct}(L; \quad') := I_{1_0^0} \otimes \quad':$$

Again, the hull functor coincides with the functor and the natural embedding is the identity transformation.

$\text{TensorProduct}(\quad; \quad)$ is a bifunctor, covariant in each argument.

```
'hom alg/TensorProductMap' := proc (M, phi, N, L, var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, functor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename'(procname, args[ 1], nar);

  if type(RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[6.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := proc (M) 'hom alg/TensorProduct'(M, L, args[1+ 1.. 1]) end;

  'hom alg/FunctorMap'(functor,
    M], phi, [N]
    , var, optional, RP)
end:
```

```
'hom alg/TensorProduct2Map' := proc (L, M, phi, N, var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, functor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename'(procname, args[ 1], nar);

  if type(RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;
```

```

optional := args[6.. 1 nar];

#=====# begin of the core procedure #=====#

functor := proc (M) 'hom alg/TensorProduct '(L,M,args[1+ 1.. 1]) end;

'hom alg/FunctorMap '(functor,
  [M], phi, [N]
  ,var,optional,RP)
end:

```

6.2.3. The functor Hom . This is the most complicated basic functor in `homalg`. Let R be a commutative⁹ ring. Recall that $\text{Hom}_R(;)$ is a bifunctor, contravariant in its first argument and covariant in the second. The idea is to reduce the computation of the homomorphism module to computing a kernel. Since $\text{Hom}_R(;)$ is left exact in the first argument and left exact in the second argument one obtains:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & 0 \\
 & & & \uparrow & & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M; L) & \xrightarrow{M} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_0}; L) & \xrightarrow{\quad \bigcirc \quad} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_1}; L) \\
 & & \uparrow L & & \uparrow L & & \uparrow L \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M; R^{1 \ l_0^0}) & \xrightarrow{M} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_0}; R^{1 \ l_0^0}) & \xrightarrow{M} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_1}; R^{1 \ l_0^0}) \\
 & & \uparrow I & & \uparrow I & & \uparrow I \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M; R^{1 \ l_1^0}) & \xrightarrow{M} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_0}; R^{1 \ l_1^0}) & \xrightarrow{M} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_1}; R^{1 \ l_1^0}):
 \end{array}$$

We compute $\text{Hom}_R(M; L)$ as the kernel of $\text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ j}; R^{1 \ k})$ identifying¹⁰ with $R^{j \ k}$ justifies the notation used for the morphisms of the lower right square of the above diagram. Further, identifying $R^{j \ k}$ with $R^{1 \ jk}$ (by writing all the j rows as one long row) gives rise to the identification¹¹ of $\text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_0}; L)$ with $\text{coker}(I_{l_0} - L)$ and of $\text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_1}; L)$ with $\text{coker}(I_{l_1} - L)$. The induced morphism

$$: \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_0}; L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_1}; L)$$

is then given by the matrix $(M - I_{l_0}^0)^{\text{tr}}$. Thus we define

$$\text{Hom}(M; L) := \text{Kernel}(\text{coker}(I_{l_0} - L) \xrightarrow{(M - I_{l_0}^0)^{\text{tr}}} \text{coker}(I_{l_1} - L)):$$

Identifying, as mentioned above, $\text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_0}; R^{1 \ l_0^0})$ with $R^{l_0 \ l_0^0}$ in `homalg` expresses the generators of $\text{Hom}(M; L)$ as $l_0 \ l_0^0$ -matrices. This realizes an embedding of $\text{Hom}(M; L)$ into $\text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \ l_0}; L)$. Now we take this to be the natural embedding for the hull functor of

⁹Cf. Subsection 6.2.4.

¹⁰Recall that we use the row convention.

¹¹ $R^{j \ l_1^0} \xrightarrow{L} R^{j \ l_0^0}$ becomes $R^{1 \ j l_1^0} \xrightarrow{I_j} R^{1 \ j l_0^0}$, and $R^{l_0 \ k} \xrightarrow{M} R^{l_1 \ k}$ becomes $R^{1 \ l_0 k} \xrightarrow{(M - I_k)^{\text{tr}}} R^{1 \ l_1 k}$.

$\text{Hom}(\ ;L)$ defined by setting $\text{Hull}_{\text{Hom}(\ ;L)}(M) := \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \times I_0}; L)$. $\text{Hull}_{\text{Hom}(\ ;L)}(')$ is defined by applying $'$ from the left.

Interchanging the role of M and L a natural embedding of $\text{Hom}(L; M)$ into $\text{Hom}(R^{1 \times I_0}; M)$ is realized. We take this to be the natural embedding of the hull functor of $\text{Hom}(L; \)$ defined by setting $\text{Hull}_{\text{Hom}(L; \)}(M) := \text{Hom}_R(R^{1 \times I_0}; M)$. $\text{Hull}_{\text{Hom}(L; \)}(')$ is defined by applying $'$ from the right.

$\text{Hom}(\ ; \)$ is a bifunctor, contravariant in its first and covariant in its second argument. Transposing the matrix $M \quad I_0$ is the manifestation of the contravariance in the first argument.

```
'hom alg/HomMap' := proc (M, phi, N, L, var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, cofunctor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename'(procname, args[ 1], nar);

  if type(RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[6.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  cofunctor := proc (M) 'hom alg/Hom'(M, L, args[1+ 1.. 1]) end;

  'hom alg/FunctorMap'(cofunctor,
    M], phi, [N]
    , var, optional, RP)
end:
```

```
'hom alg/Hom2Map' := proc (L, M, phi, N, var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, functor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename'(procname, args[ 1], nar);

  if type(RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[6.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := proc (M) 'hom alg/Hom'(L, M, args[1+ 1.. 1]) end;

  'hom alg/FunctorMap'(functor,
    M], phi, [N]
    , var, optional, RP)
end:
```

6.2.4. One last word on the commutativity of the ring R . For the most general definition of tensor product of modules one starts with a not necessarily commutative ring R , a right R -module M_R , and a left R -module ${}_R N$. If the R -module structure of M resp. N comes from a $(Q; R)$ -bimodule resp. an $(R; S)$ -bimodule structure, then their tensor product over R is in a natural way a $(Q; S)$ -bimodule ${}_Q M_R \otimes_R R N_S$. Q and S , again, are not necessarily commutative rings. Analogously, let M and N be two left modules over a not necessarily commutative ring R and denote by $\text{Hom}_R({}_R M; {}_R N)$ the abelian group

of R -homomorphisms. If the R -module structure of M resp. N comes from an $(R; Q)$ -bimodule resp. an $(R; S)$ -bimodule structure, then $\text{Hom}_R({}_R M; {}_R N)$ is again in a natural way a $(Q; S)$ -bimodule.

Note that in both cases the resulting module might not be finitely generated as a $(Q; S)$ -bimodule, even if M and N are finitely generated as R -modules.

In the special case where either M or N is an $(R; R)$ -bimodule, then $M \otimes_R N$ resp. $\text{Hom}_R(M; N)$ is again an R -module¹². This is always the case when the ring is commutative, since then every R -module is an $(R; R)$ -bimodule in the obvious way.

The Maple implementation of `homalg` does neither support changing the ring, nor bimodule structures. These are issues we want to address in future implementations. But even though, the above mentioned problem of non-finite generation will remain the major obstacle.

7. Encapsulating functors

A functor is fully defined when both parts are defined, i.e. its object part and its morphism part. If the functor is a multi-functor, then several morphism parts have to be defined. `homalg` accesses all these parts of a functor via a so called encapsulation. It incorporates both parts and also takes care of the possible multi-functoriality. In future implementations the concept of encapsulations will be taken care of by the data structure for functors (cf. 11.3.3). We will demonstrate the encapsulation of functors by the following examples:

7.1. The functor `HomR`. This is the simplest form of encapsulation:

```
'hom alg/ Functor_Hom_R ' := proc () ### Main: "Functors"

[ 'hom alg/Hom_R ',
  'hom alg/Hom Map_R ' ]
end :
```

7.2. The functor `TensorProduct`. This encapsulation takes care of the bi-functoriality of `TensorProduct(;)`:

```
'hom alg/ Functor_TensorProduct ' := proc (B) ### Main: "Functors"

[ proc (M) 'hom alg/TensorProduct '(M,B,args[1+1.. 1]) end,
  proc (M,phi,N) 'hom alg/TensorProduct Map '(M,phi,N,B,args[3+1.. 1]) end,
  ## take care of multi functoriality :
  B,
  proc (P)
    proc (M,phi,N) 'hom alg/TensorProduct2 Map '(P,M,phi,N,args[3+1.. 1]) end
  end ]
end :
```

¹²In theory, this special case could work in `homalg`, and indeed it does for very special cases, of course, beside the trivial case when $M = R$ or $N = R$.

7.3. The functor `Hom`. This encapsulation takes care of the bi-functoriality of `Hom(;)`:

```
'hom alg/Functor_Hom ' := proc (B) ### Main: "Functors"

[ proc (M) 'hom alg/Hom '(M,B,args[1+1.. 1]) end,
  proc (M,phi,N) 'hom alg/HomMap '(M,phi,N,B,args[3+1.. 1]) end,
  ## take care of multi functoriality:
  B,
  proc (P)
    proc (M,phi,N) 'hom alg/Hom2Map '(P,M,phi,N,args[3+1.. 1]) end
  end ]
end :
```

8. Composition of functors

As was mentioned in Section 5, composing functors is an easy task in `homalg`. The procedure responsible for this is called `ComposeFunctors`. Here we demonstrate this by two examples:

8.1. The functor `HomHom_R`. The object part is defined by:

```
'hom alg/HomHom_R ' := proc (M,var::list ,RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, cofunctor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename '(procname,args[ 1],nar);

  if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[3.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  cofunctor := 'hom alg/Functor_Hom_R '();

  eval(
    'hom alg/ComposeFunctors '(cofunctor,cofunctor,var,optional,RP) (M,var,RP)
  )
end :
```

`HomHom_R` is a covariant functor. The morphism part is again defined by:

```
'hom alg/HomHomMap_R ' := proc (M,phi,N,var::list ,RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, functor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename '(procname,args[ 1],nar);

  if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[5.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := 'hom alg/HomHom_R '();

  'hom alg/FunctorMap '(functor,
    [M], phi, [N]
    ,var,optional,RP)
end :
```



```

if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN(RP(args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

optional := args[7.. 1 nar];

##### begin of the core procedure #####

cofunctor := proc(M) 'hom alg/HomHom `(A,M,B,args[1+ 1.. 1]) end;

'hom alg/FunctorMap `(cofunctor,
    M], phi, [N]
    ,var,optional,RP)
end:

```

```

'hom alg/HomHomMap := proc(A,B,M,phi,N,var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
    local RP, nar, optional, functor;

    RP := 'hom alg/tablename `(procname,args[ 1],nar);

    if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN(RP(args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

    optional := args[7.. 1 nar];

    ##### begin of the core procedure #####

    functor := proc(M) 'hom alg/HomHom `(A,B,M,args[1+ 1.. 1]) end;

    'hom alg/FunctorMap `(functor,
        M], phi, [N]
        ,var,optional,RP)
end:

```

And finally the encapsulation of all this:

```

'hom alg/FunctorHomHom := proc(A,B) ### Main: "Functors"

[ proc(M) 'hom alg/HomHom `(M,A,B,args[1+ 1.. 1]) end,
  proc(M,phi,N) 'hom alg/HomHomMap `(M,phi,N,A,B,args[3+ 1.. 1]) end,
  ## take care of multi functoriality:
  A,
  proc(P)
    proc(M,phi,N) 'hom alg/HomHomMap `(P,M,phi,N,B,args[3+ 1.. 1]) end
  end,
  B,
  proc(P)
    proc(M,phi,N) 'hom alg/HomHomMap `(P,A,M,phi,N,args[3+ 1.. 1]) end
  end ]
end:

```

9. Derivation of functors

The philosophy of derived categories is, roughly speaking, to replace a module by one of its resolutions, and then to look at the resolution as a very special type of complexes, with homology concentrated at degree 0. After inverting quasi-isomorphisms, one obtains the derived category, where the objects are quasi-isomorphism types of complexes. Especially, all resolutions of a module become isomorphic objects in the derived category.

Using the cylinder-cone-translation construction [GM 03, III.3] one constructs out of every short exact sequence of complexes, a so called distinguished triangle. By passing to homology we again obtain distinguished triangles in the category of graded objects (which one can view as cyclic complexes, i.e. complexes with zero boundary maps [GM 03, III.2.3]). A popular way to start, is to take a distinguished triangle coming from a short exact sequence of complexes that are simultaneously resolving a short exact sequence of modules. Then one applies a functor, that turns such distinguished triangles again into distinguished triangles, and at last one takes the homology.

The classical way of writing such a distinguished triangle of homologies is as a long exact homology sequence.

9.1. ResolveModule: Resolve a module. By resolving a module M , which we view as a complex concentrated in degree 0, we obtain a complex of free (resp. projective) modules and a quasi-isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow 0: \end{array}$$

After inverting quasi-isomorphisms all resolutions become isomorphic. The derivation procedure of modules in homalg is called `ResolveModule`. It is based on the low-level `ResolutionOfModule` introduced in 3.2.1.

9.2. Applying functors to complexes. As mentioned in Section 9, functors should be applied to complexes of modules, rather than to single modules. Thereby homalg again makes use of the encapsulation of functors. In this implementation, there are two procedures depending on whether one is dealing with a covariant or a contravariant functor. They are called `FunctorOnSeqs` and `CofunctorOnSeqs`. For future development cf. 11.3.3.

9.2.1. The bi-functor Hom. Here we use the above defined encapsulation:

```
'hom alg/HomOnSeqs' := proc(L,alpha::f table, listg,_var,RingPackage) ### Main: "Complexes"
  local RP, nar, cofunctor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename'(procname,args[1],nar);

  if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN(RP(args[1..1+nar])) fi;

  #===== begin of the core procedure =====#

  cofunctor := 'hom alg/FunctionHom'(L);

  'hom alg/CofunctorOnSeqs'(cofunctor,args[1+1..1])
end;
```

9.2.2. The tri-functor HomHom. Again we use the above defined encapsulation:

```
'hom alg/HomHomOnSeqs' := proc (A,B,alpha::f table, listg,_var,RingPackage) ### Main: "Complexes"
  local RP, nar, functor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename '(procname,args[ 1],nar);

  if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := 'hom alg/FuncHomHom '(A,B);

  'hom alg/FuncOnSeqs '(functor,args[2+1.. 1])
end:
```

9.3. Derived functors. For an additive functor F and a module M with a resolution $P : \cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0$ define the q^{th} derived functor $L_q F$ applied to M by setting $L_q F(M) := H_q(F(P))$, the defect of the two consecutive morphisms $F(P_{q+1}) \rightarrow F(P_q)$ and $F(P_q) \rightarrow F(P_{q-1})$ (cf. 6.1.4 DefectOfHoms).

The left derivation procedure for the covariant functors is called LeftDerivedFunctor. For right exact functors, e.g. L , a quicker derivation procedure is called LeftDerived-RightExactFunctor.

The right derivation procedure for the contravariant functors is called RightDerived-Cofunctor. For left exact contravariant functors, e.g. $\text{Hom}(_,L)$, a quicker derivation procedure is called RightDerivedLeftExactCofunctor.

At this point we have to say how FunctorMap deals with derived functors. Given a morphism $M \rightarrow N$ one resolves M and N

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & P_2 & \rightarrow & P_1 & \rightarrow & P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \prime_2 & & \downarrow \prime_1 & & \downarrow \prime_0 & & \downarrow \prime & \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & P_2^0 & \rightarrow & P_1^0 & \rightarrow & P_0^0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0; \end{array}$$

and computes the \prime_q 's by recursively completing in age squares (cf. 3.1.2). Applying F one gets $F(P_q) \xrightarrow{F(\prime_q)} F(P_q^0)$. But now the object part of the functor $L_q F$ applied to M (resp. N) is a subfactor module of $F(P_q)$ (resp. $F(P_q^0)$) and one is in the situation of 6.1.4 DefectOfHoms.

9.3.1. The tri-functor LHomHom. The relevant procedures are:

```
'hom alg/LHomHom' := proc (q::nonnegint,M,A,B,var::list,RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, functor;

  RP := 'hom alg/tablename '(procname,args[ 1],nar);

  if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[6.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####
```

```

functor := `hom alg/ FunctorHomHom `(A,B);

`hom alg/LeftDerivedFunctor `(
    q, functor, M
    ,var, optional, RP)
end:

```

```

`hom alg/LHomHomMap` := proc (q,M,phi,N,A,B,var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
    local RP, nar, optional, functor;

    RP := `hom alg/tablenam e `(procnam e, args[ 1], nar);

    if type (RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

    optional := args[8.. 1 nar];

    ##### begin of the core procedure #####

    functor := proc (M) `hom alg/LHomHom `(q,M,A,B,args[1+1.. 1]) end;

    `hom alg/FunctorMap `(functor,
        M], phi, [N]
        ,var, optional, RP)
end:

```

```

`hom alg/LHomHom2Map` := proc (q,A,M,phi,N,B,var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
    local RP, nar, optional, cofunctor;

    RP := `hom alg/tablenam e `(procnam e, args[ 1], nar);

    if type (RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

    optional := args[8.. 1 nar];

    ##### begin of the core procedure #####

    cofunctor := proc (M) `hom alg/LHomHom `(q,A,M,B,args[1+1.. 1]) end;

    `hom alg/FunctorMap `(cofunctor,
        M], phi, [N]
        ,var, optional, RP)
end:

```

```

`hom alg/LHomHom3Map` := proc (q,A,B,M,phi,N,var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
    local RP, nar, optional, functor;

    RP := `hom alg/tablenam e `(procnam e, args[ 1], nar);

    if type (RP, procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

    optional := args[8.. 1 nar];

    ##### begin of the core procedure #####

    functor := proc (M) `hom alg/LHomHom `(q,A,B,M,args[1+1.. 1]) end;

    `hom alg/FunctorMap `(functor,

```

```

    M], phi, N]
    ,var, optional, RP)
end:

```

```

`hom alg/FuncLHomHom ` := proc (q,A,B) ### Main: "Functors"

[ proc (M) `hom alg/LHomHom `(q,M,A,B,args[1+1.. 1]) end,
  proc (M,phi,N) `hom alg/LHomHomMap `(q,M,phi,N,A,B,args[3+1.. 1]) end,
  ## take care of multi functoriality:
  A,
  proc (P)
    proc (M,phi,N) `hom alg/LHomHom2Map `(q,P,M,phi,N,B,args[3+1.. 1]) end
  end,
  B,
  proc (P)
    proc (M,phi,N) `hom alg/LHomHom3Map `(q,P,A,M,phi,N,args[3+1.. 1]) end
  end ]
end:

```

```

`hom alg/LHomHomOnSeqs ` := proc (q,A,B,alpha::f table, list g,_var,RingPackage) ### Main: "Complexes"
  local RP, nar, functor;

  RP := `hom alg/tablename `(procname,args[ 1],nar);

  if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  functor := `hom alg/FuncLHomHom `(q,A,B);

  `hom alg/FuncOnSeqs `(functor,args[3+1.. 1])
end:

```

9.3.2. The bi-functor Ext. The relevant procedures are:

```

`hom alg/Ext ` := proc (q::nonnegint,A,B,var::list,RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, cofunctor;

  RP := `hom alg/tablename `(procname,args[ 1],nar);

  if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN (RP (args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

  optional := args[5.. 1 nar];

  ##### begin of the core procedure #####

  cofunctor := `hom alg/FuncLHom `(B);

  `hom alg/R ightDerivedLeftExactC ofunctor `(
    q,cofunctor,A
    ,var,optional,RP)
end:

```

```

`hom alg/ExtMap ` := proc (q,M,phi,N,L,var::list,RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
  local RP, nar, optional, cofunctor;

  RP := `hom alg/tablename `(procname,args[ 1],nar);

```

```

if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN(RP(args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

optional := args[7.. 1 nar];

##### begin of the core procedure #####

cofunctor := proc(M) `hom alg/Ext `(q,M,L,args[1+ 1.. 1]) end;

`hom alg/FunctorM ap `(cofunctor,
    M], phi, [N]
    ,var,optional,RP)
end:

```

```

`hom alg/Ext2M ap ` := proc(q,L,M,phi,N,var::list, RingPackage) ### Main: "Functors"
    local RP, nar, optional, functor;

    RP := `hom alg/tablename `(procname,args[ 1],nar);

    if type(RP,procedure) then RETURN(RP(args[1.. 1 nar])) fi;

    optional := args[7.. 1 nar];

    ##### begin of the core procedure #####

    functor := proc(M) `hom alg/Ext `(q,L,M,args[1+ 1.. 1]) end;

    `hom alg/FunctorM ap `(functor,
        M], phi, [N]
        ,var,optional,RP)
end:

```

```

`hom alg/Functor_Ext ` := proc(q,B) ### Main: "Functors"

[ proc(M) `hom alg/Ext `(q,M,B,args[1+ 1.. 1]) end,
  proc(M,phi,N) `hom alg/ExtM ap `(q,M,phi,N,B,args[3+ 1.. 1]) end,
  ## take care of multi functoriality:
  B,
  proc(P)
    proc(M,phi,N) `hom alg/Ext2M ap `(q,P,M,phi,N,args[3+ 1.. 1]) end
  end ]
end:

```

```

`hom alg/Ext0nSeqs ` := proc(q,L,alpha::f table, listg,_var, RingPackage) ### Main: "Complexes"
    local RP, nar, cofunctor;

    RP := `hom alg/tablename `(procname,args[ 1],nar);

    if type(RP,procedure) then
        RETURN(RP(args[1.. 1 nar]))
    fi;

    ##### begin of the core procedure #####

    cofunctor := `hom alg/Functor_Ext `(q,L);

    `hom alg/Cofunctor0nSeqs `(cofunctor,args[2+ 1.. 1])
end:

```


9.4. `ResolveShortExactSeq`: Resolving a short exact sequence of modules. To resolve a short exact sequence of modules $0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^\infty \rightarrow 0$, one starts with a resolution of M^∞ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & 0 & \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & & M^0 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & & M & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & \\
 \longrightarrow & P_2^\infty & \longrightarrow & P_1^\infty & \longrightarrow & P_0^\infty & \longrightarrow M^\infty \longrightarrow 0: \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 0
 \end{array}$$

Then one completes the middle line by taking iterated pullbacks. Finally one fills the upper line by taking kernels to obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \longrightarrow & P_2^0 & \longrightarrow & P_1^0 & \longrightarrow & P_0^0 & \longrightarrow & M^0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \longrightarrow & P_2^\infty & \longrightarrow & P_1^\infty & \longrightarrow & P_0^\infty & \longrightarrow & M^\infty \longrightarrow 0; \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact lines and rows. This method is implemented in the procedure `ResolveShortExactSeq`. There are several other methods to resolve a short exact sequence simultaneously, cf. [HS97, Proof of Theorem IV.6.1].

9.5. Connecting homomorphism and long exact sequences. Applying an additive covariant functor F to the diagram P

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \longrightarrow & P_2^0 & \longrightarrow & P_1^0 & \longrightarrow & P_0^0 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \longrightarrow & P_2^{\infty} & \longrightarrow & P_1^{\infty} & \longrightarrow & P_0^{\infty} & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

results in a diagram $\overline{P} = F(P)$ where the columns are still exact, but where the rows are in general no longer exact. Connecting the homologies of the rows one obtains the long exact homology sequence

$$\mathcal{E}_{q+1}^! : L_q F(M^0) \rightarrow L_q F(M) \rightarrow L_q F(M^{\infty}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_q^!} L_{q-1} F(M^0) \rightarrow \dots ;$$

where the connecting homomorphisms are computed via the snake lemma applied to the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & \\
 \overline{P}_{q+1}^0 = \overline{B}_{q+1}^0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{Z}_q^0 & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \overline{P}_{q+1} = \overline{B}_{q+1} & \longrightarrow & \overline{Z}_q & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \overline{P}_{q+1}^{\infty} = \overline{B}_{q+1}^{\infty} & \longrightarrow & \overline{Z}_q^{\infty} & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

by taking kernels and cokernels, where $\overline{B}_i = \text{im}(\overline{P}_{i+1} \rightarrow \overline{P}_i)$ and $\overline{Z}_i = \ker(\overline{P}_i \rightarrow \overline{P}_{i-1})$.

Applying a contravariant functor yields a long exact cohomology sequence.

10. Natural transformations

Natural transformations serve as morphisms between functors. A natural transformation between two functors $F, G : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ associates to each $M \in C_1$ a morphism $(M) : F(M) \rightarrow G(M)$, such that for each morphism $f' : M \rightarrow N$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(M) & \xrightarrow{F(f')} & F(N) \\ (M) \downarrow & & \downarrow (N) \\ G(M) & \xrightarrow{G(f')} & G(N) \end{array}$$

commutes.

The attempt to formalize the notion of a natural mapping, like the natural morphisms, epimorphisms and isomorphisms one encounters in linear algebra for example, was one of the biggest motors behind inventing category theory and resulted in the formal notion of natural transformations.

The natural embeddings of Section 5 are all examples of natural transformations. The following three natural transformations are the most important ones in homalg:

10.1. `NatTrTargetToCokernel = CokernelEpi`. In the setup of 6.1.1, associating to each the epimorphism onto the cokernel of is a natural transformation from the functor `Target = Obj22` to the functor `Cokernel`.

10.2. `NatTrKernelToSource = KernelEmb`. In the setup of 6.1.2, associating to each the embedding of the kernel of into the source of is a natural transformation from the functor `Kernel` to the functor `Source = Obj12`. Computing `KernelEmb` relies on computing syzygies.

10.3. `NatTrIdToHomHom.R`. Let M be a module and M its double dual. The classical evaluation map $ev_M : M \rightarrow M$ defines a natural transformation from the identity functor `Id` to the double dual functor `HomHom.R`.

11. Future implementations of homalg

11.1. Why Maple? Choosing Maple for implementing homalg was dictated by the pre-existence of several ring packages developed in our workgroup written in Maple, that were (and to some extent are still) not available in other free computer algebra systems. The main reason for choosing Maple to develop these ring packages was that Maple allows one to work relatively easy with the ring $K[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}]$, where K is a differential field and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ are commuting derivations of K . The Maple package Janet [BCG⁺03] provides the Janet division algorithm for these rings.

11.2. Why not Maple? Maple is commercial, even for the purely scientific academic use.

In fact homalg doesn't rely on anything specific in Maple which is not available in an open system such as GAP4 [GAP06] for example. On the contrary, we believe that a system like GAP4 provides with its structures, method selection schemes and lazy evaluation a way to reduce the data overhead and hence the overall performance of homalg drastically.

11.3. Dreaming further. Beside choosing a computer algebra system with method selection capabilities, we want to make future implementations more flexible. For example, we want to still be able to use our differential ring packages written in Maple, without being forced to rewrite them elsewhere, simply because they are still best placed in Maple. We also want to use the Singular [GPS05] engine to compute Grobner basis, which is now available for the wide class of G -algebras [LS03]. In short, we want to interact with as much systems as possible. To remedy the drawback of moving huge data from one system to the other, the computed data will be kept on the computing system side and homalg will solely receive a "pointer" to the result. This will minimize the data transfer to an extreme and should even, to some extent, enable us to parallelize the computations.

In general, intermediate results tend to be much larger than end results. Besides, lots of computations in homological algebra need a fair amount of intermediate steps. Hence restricting the data transfer to the end result would be an enormous gain. And often enough one is even only interested in a true/false answer, saying for example if a module vanishes or if a sequence is exact or not, making real data transfer completely obsolete.

This will also mean that the operations in homalg that directly get in touch with data, such as taking the union of two lists of relations or transposing a matrix, must then be transferred to the package where the computations reside. This must be done for each such package. This is an unavoidable, but more or less the only drawback of this philosophy.

11.3.1. Derived categories. The future implementations of homalg will become more serious about derived categorical concepts. For example connecting homomorphisms and long exact sequences will be systematically computed using the idea of mapping cones. The spectral sequence formalism will be easier to realize, once object oriented data structures are introduced to homalg.

11.3.2. Topology. For the sake of demonstration we wrote a tiny package called alexander [BR06a], which relies on homalg and computes simplicial homology and cohomology. Future implementations of homalg are planned to enable more serious applications to topology.

11.3.3. One more word to functors. In future implementations of homalg the two procedures implementing the object and morphism part of a functor will be united in one. The united procedure will be able to recognize if it has been applied to an object, to a morphism or even to complexes. This will be an easy task, once we strictly use structures throughout homalg.

12. Examples

For further examples we refer to [BR06c, BR06d] and the homepage of the package [BR06b]. A nice application is the tiny homalg-based package conley that computes C-connection matrices of graded module octahedra/braids of Morse decompositions in dynamical system theory [BR].

12.1. Example 1. Let $R := Q[x; y]$. In this example we want to study two non-isomorphic extensions $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with $K = \text{coker} \begin{pmatrix} x & \\ & y \end{pmatrix}$

and $L = \text{coker} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & 1 \\ xy & y^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Our goal is to use the powerful notion of functor to reveal that

these extensions are indeed non-isomorphic. For this we define two functors F_M and F_N and study their behavior when applied to complexes. Concretely, we apply F_M resp. F_N to $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ which we refer to as $0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow M^2 \rightarrow 0$. In the following we consider two examples for F_Q , namely

$$F_Q = \text{LHomHom}(1; -, Q; Q) = L_1 \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(-; Q); Q)$$

(cf. 9.3.1) and

$$F_Q = \text{Ext}(1; -, Q) = \text{Ext}^1(-; Q) = R^1 \text{Hom}_R(-; Q)$$

(cf. 9.3.2).

```
> with(Involutive): with(homalg):
> `homalg/default` := `Involutive/homalg`;
      homalg=default := Involutive=homalg
> var:=[x,y];
      var := [x;y]
> M:=Cokernel([x^2,x*y+y^2,y^3,x^2*y,x*y^2],var);
      M := [[l = 1]; [x*y + y^2; x^2; y^3]; \Presentation"; 1 + 2s + s^2; [0; 0]]
> N:=Cokernel([x^2,x*y-y^2,y^3,x^2*y,x*y^2],var);
      N := [[l = 1]; [x*y - y^2; x^2; y^3]; \Presentation"; 1 + 2s + s^2; [0; 0]]
> alpha:=matrix([[1]]);
      alpha := 1
> L:=Cokernel([x^2,x*y,y^2],var);
      L := [[l = 1]; [y^2; x*y; x^2]; \Presentation"; 1 + 2s; [0; 0]]
> IsHom(M,alpha,L,var);
      true
> IsSurjective(alpha,L,var);
      true
```

```

> IsInjective (M, alpha, L, var) ;
                                false

> KM:=Kernel (M, alpha, L, var) ;
      KM  := [[l = y2]; [y; x]; \P resentation"; 1; [0; 0]]

> KN:=Kernel (N, alpha, L, var) ;
      KN  := [[l = y2]; [y; x]; \P resentation"; 1; [0; 0]]

> bM:=KernelEmb (M, alpha, L, var) ;
      bM  := y2

> bN:=KernelEmb (N, alpha, L, var) ;
      bN  := y2

> IsShortExactSeq (KM, bM, M, alpha, L, var) ;
                                true

> IsShortExactSeq (KN, bN, N, alpha, L, var) ;
                                true

> M_:=KM;
      M _ := [[l = y2]; [y; x]; \P resentation"; 1; [0; 0]]

> alpha1:=bM;
      1 := bM

> alpha2:=alpha;
      2 :=

> _M:=L;
      _M  := [[l = 1]; [y2; x y; x2]; \P resentation"; 1 + 2 s; [0; 0]]

> IsShortExactSeq (M_, alpha1, M, alpha2, _M, var) ;
                                true

> seqM:=LHomHomOnSeqs (1, M, M, [alpha2, alpha1], [_M, M, M_], var) :

```

Next we change the form at of the previous result which represents a sequence of modules. This will no longer be necessary in future implementations of homalg.

```

> SeqM:=MakeSeq (seqM) :

> IsShortExactSeq (op (map (a->SeqM[-a], [$1..5])), var, "VERBOSE") ;
                                true

> seqN:=LHomHomOnSeqs (1, N, N, [alpha2, alpha1], [_M, M, M_], var) :

> SeqN:=MakeSeq (seqN) :

> IsShortExactSeq (op (map (a->SeqN[-a], [$1..5])), var, "VERBOSE") ;

```

```

\hom s" = true; \cmps" = true; \defs" = [[l =  $\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$  ]; [y; x]; \Presentation"; 1; [0; 0]]; true;
22 2 33 3
0
441 = 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 55 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$ ; [y; x]; \Presentation"; 1; [0; 0]5

```

This means that the output is again a complex, but $F_N (-1)$ is not injective and $F_N (-2)$ is not surjective either.

```

> coseqM:=ExtOnSeqs(1,M,[alpha2,alpha1],[_M,M,M_],var):
> IsShortExactSeq(op(MakeCoseq(coseqM)),var,"VERBOSE");

```

```

\hom s" = true; \cmps" = true; \defs" = true; true;
2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 33
y 0 0 3 x
4[l; 0; 0; 0]= 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} y & 5 \\ y \end{smallmatrix}$ ; [0; 1; 0; 0]= 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ 0 & x+y \end{smallmatrix}$ ; [0; 0; 1; 0]= 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} x+y & 5 \\ y \end{smallmatrix}$ ; [0; 0; 0; 1]= 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} x & 55 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$ ;
[[0; 0; 0; y]; [0; 0; y; 0]; [0; y; 0; 0]; [y; 0; 0; 0]; [0; 0; 0; x]; [0; 0; x; 0]; [0; x; 0; 0];
[x; 0; 0; 0]]; \Presentation"; 4; [0; 0]

```

```

> coseqN:=ExtOnSeqs(1,N,[alpha2,alpha1],[_M,M,M_],var):
> IsShortExactSeq(op(MakeCoseq(coseqN)),var,"VERBOSE");

```

```

22 2 33 3
xy
\hom s" = true; \cmps" = true; \defs" = 441 = 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 55 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$ ; [y; x]; \Presentation"; 1; [0; 0]5; true;
22 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 33
y 0 0 3 x
44[l; 0; 0; 0]= 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} y & 5 \\ y \end{smallmatrix}$ ; [0; 1; 0; 0]= 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 5 \\ x & y \end{smallmatrix}$ ; [0; 0; 1; 0]= 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} x & y & 5 \\ y \end{smallmatrix}$ ; [0; 0; 0; 1]= 4  $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 55 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$ ;
[[0; 0; 0; y]; [0; y; 0; 0]; [y; 0; y; 0]; [0; 0; 0; x]; [0; 0; x & y; 0]; [0; x; & y; 0];
[x; 0; y; 0]; [0; 0; y2; 0]]; \Presentation"; 4 + s; [0; 0]

```

12.2. Example 2. Here we demonstrate on a simple example some main procedures in homalg. We start with the principal ideal ring $D := \mathbb{Z}/2^8\mathbb{Z}$ and the short exact sequence of modules

$$(0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\oplus} \rightarrow 0) = (0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^5\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^3\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0):$$

The functor we want to derive is the composed functor

$$F := \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(-; K); L) = \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(-; \mathbb{Z}/2^7\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z}/2^4\mathbb{Z})$$

We compute the long exact sequence of the left derived functors $L_i F$:

```

> with(PIR) : with(homalg) :

> RPP := 'PIR/homalg' : 'homalg/default' := RPP;
      homalg=default := PIR=homalg

> var := [[], [2^8]] : Pvar(var);
      [Z"; 256]

> M := Cokernel([2^5], var); alpha2 := matrix([[1]]);
> _M := Cokernel([2^3], var);
      M := [[1 = 1]; [32]; \Presentation"; [32]; 0]
      2 := 1
      _M := [[1 = 1]; [8]; \Presentation"; [8]; 0]

> M_ := Kernel(M, alpha2, _M, var); alpha1 := KernelEmb(M, alpha2, _M, var);
      M_ := [[1 = 8]; [4]; \Presentation"; [4]; 0]
      1 := 8

> IsShortExactSeq(M_, alpha1, M, alpha2, _M, var);
      true

> K := Cokernel([2^7], var); L := Cokernel([2^4], var);
      K := [[1 = 1]; [128]; \Presentation"; [128]; 0]
      L := [[1 = 1]; [16]; \Presentation"; [16]; 0]

> GlobalDim(var); q := 4;
      1
      q := 4

> seqs := ResolveShortExactSeq(q, M_, alpha1, M, alpha2, _M, var, "TRUNCATE") :

> Seqs := HomHomOnSeqs(K, L, seqs, var) :

> LEHS := LongExactHomologySeq(Seqs, var) :

> map(a -> LHomHomMap(a, M_, alpha1, M, K, L, var), [$0..q]);
> map(a -> LHomHomMap(a, M, alpha2, _M, K, L, var), [$0..q]);
      [ 8 ; 4 ; 4 ; 4 ; 4 ]
      [ 1 ; 2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 2 ]

> lehs := LEHS2lehs(LEHS);

```



```

lehs := [[l = 1 ]; [8]; \Presentation"; [8]; 0]; 7 ;
[l = 7
 249 ]; [16]; \Presentation"; [16]; 0]; 8 ;
[l = 1 ]; [4]; \Presentation"; [4]; 0]; 2 ; [l = 2 ]; [8]; \Presentation"; [8]; 0];
6 ; [l = 0
 1 ]; [8]; \Presentation"; [8]; 0]; 4 ;
[l = 4 ]; [4]; \Presentation"; [4]; 0]; 2 ; [l = 1 ]; [8]; \Presentation"; [8]; 0];
6 ; [l = 6
 250 ]; [8]; \Presentation"; [8]; 0]; 4 ;
[l = 1 ]; [4]; \Presentation"; [4]; 0]; 2 ; [l = 2 ]; [8]; \Presentation"; [8]; 0];
6 ; [l = 0
 1 ]; [8]; \Presentation"; [8]; 0]; 4 ;
[l = 4 ]; [4]; \Presentation"; [4]; 0]; 2 ; [l = 1 ]; [16]; \Presentation"; [16]; 0];
14
0 ; [[l; 0] = 254
 2 ; [0; 1] = 0
 15 ]; [8; 0]; [0; 16]]; \Presentation"; [8; 16]; 0]; 0 1 ;
[l = 1 ]; [16]; \Presentation"; [16]; 0]]
> IsExactSeq(lehs, var, "VERBOSE");
true

```

We obtain the long exact sequence of derived functors:

$$0 \rightarrow Z=8Z \xrightarrow{(7)} Z=16Z \xrightarrow{(8)} Z=4Z \xrightarrow{(2)} Z=8Z \xrightarrow{(6)} Z=16Z \xrightarrow{(4)} Z=4Z \xrightarrow{(2)} Z=8Z \xrightarrow{(6)} Z=16Z \xrightarrow{(4)} \cdots \text{periodic}$$

References

- [Bar06] Mohamed Barakat, PIR: A tiny homalg ring package for Maple-built-in principal ideal rings, (<http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de:8040>), 2004-2006.3
- [BCG⁺03] Y. A. Blinkov, C. F. Cid, V. P. Gerdt, W. Plesken, and D. Robertz, The MAPLE Package Janet: I. Polynomial Systems. II. Linear Partial Differential Equations, Proc. 6th Int. Workshop on Computer Algebra in Scientific Computing, Passau, 2003, (<http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/Janet>).3, 27
- [BR] Mohamed Barakat and Daniel Robertz, conley { computing connection matrices in Maple, submitted. (<http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/conley>).29
- [BR06a] ———, alexander: A sample homalg-based Maple package to compute simplicial homology and cohomology, (<http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de:8040>), 2004-2006.28
- [BR06b] ———, homalg project, (<http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/homalg>), 2004-2006.29
- [BR06c] ———, Computing invariants of multidimensional linear systems on an abstract homological level, Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems (MTNS 2006), Kyoto (Japan), 2006, pp. 542-559.29

- [BR06d] ———, homalg: First steps to an abstract package for homological algebra, Proceedings of the X meeting on computational algebra and its applications (EACA 2006), Sevilla (Spain), 2006, pp. 29{32. [29](#)
- [CQR07] F. Chyzak, A. Quadrat, and D. Robertz, OreModules: A Symbolic Package for the Study of Multidimensional Linear Systems, Applications of Time-Delay Systems (J. Chiasson and J.-J. Loiseau, eds.), LNCS 352, Springer, 2007, To appear. (<http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/OreModules>), pp. 233{264. [3](#)
- [Fab06] Anna Fabianska, QuillenSuslin: A Maple package to compute a free basis of a projective module over the polynomial ring, (<http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/QuillenSuslin>), 2006. [3](#)
- [FQ07] Anna Fabianska and Alban Quadrat, Applications of the quillen-suslin theorem in multidimensional systems theory, Proceedings of the Workshop on Grobner bases in Control Theory and Signal Processing, Radon Book Series, de Gruyter, 2007. [3](#)
- [GAP06] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4, 2006, (<http://www.gap-system.org>). [28](#)
- [GM03] Sergei I. Gelfand and Yuri I. Manin, Methods of homological algebra, second ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. MR MR1950475 (2003m:18001) [20](#)
- [GPS05] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schonemann, Singular 3.0, A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2005, <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>. [28](#)
- [HS97] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach, A course in homological algebra, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. MR MR1438546 (97k:18001) [1](#), [25](#)
- [LS03] Viktor Levandovskyy and Hans Schonemann, PLURAL – a computer algebra system for noncommutative polynomial algebras, Proceedings of the 2003 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (New York), ACM, 2003, pp. 176{183 (electronic). MR MR2035210 [28](#)
- [MR01] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, Noncommutative Noetherian rings, revised ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, With the cooperation of L. W. Small. MR MR1811901 (2001i:16039) [10](#)
- [Rob06] Daniel Robertz, JanetOre: A Maple package to compute a Janet basis for modules over Ore algebras, (<http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de:8040>), 2003-2006. [3](#)

Lehrstuhl B für Mathematik, Technical University of Aachen, 52062 Germany
 E-mail address: mohamed.barakat@rwth-aachen.de, daniel@momo.math.rwth-aachen.de