

DELAUNAY ENDS OF CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE SURFACES

M. KILIAN, W. ROSSMAN, AND N. SCHMITT

ABSTRACT. The generalized Weierstrass representation is used to analyze the asymptotic behavior of a constant mean curvature surface that arises locally from an ordinary differential equation with a regular singularity. We prove that a holomorphic perturbation of an ODE that represents a Delaunay surface generates a constant mean curvature surface which has a properly immersed end that is asymptotically Delaunay. Furthermore, that end is embedded if the Delaunay surface is unduloidal.

Introduction

Delaunay surfaces play a prominent role in the theory of non-compact complete constant mean curvature (CMC) surfaces because they constitute the simplest possible end behavior. A famous result by Korevaar, Kusner and Solomon [17], building on results of Meeks [20], asserts that a properly embedded annular end of a CMC surface is a Delaunay end. The study of Delaunay ends by the conjugate surface methods of Grosse-Brauckmann, Kusner, and Sullivan [8, 9] require the additional assumption of Alexandrov embeddedness, and are limited to embedded (unduloidal) Delaunay ends. The gluing techniques of Mazzeo and Pacard [18] are limited to attaching Delaunay ends with small asymptotic necksizes. The methods used in this paper, based on the generalized Weierstrass representation of Dorfmeister, Pedit and Wu [5], provide a means to study both embedded unduloidal and non-Alexandrov-embedded nodoidal type ends of arbitrary asymptotic necksize.

The generalized Weierstrass representation describes constant mean curvature immersions locally via *holomorphic potentials*. The relation between the potential and the immersion involves a loop group valued differential equation, a loop group factorization and a Sym-Bobenko type formula [26, 2]. Hence the method provides only an indirect relation between the geometric properties of the induced immersion and its potential. Nevertheless, the method has been useful in proving the existence of many new classes of non-compact constant mean curvature surfaces with non-trivial topology. Particular progress has been made when the surface is homeomorphic to an n -punctured Riemann sphere [13, 14, 24, 25]. In this case, the punctures correspond to poles of the potential. Graphics of these surfaces [23] have long suggested that simple poles with appropriate residues yield the asymptotic end behavior of a Delaunay surface. We prove this correlation between simple poles and Delaunay ends.

More specifically, given a holomorphic potential Adz/z of a Delaunay surface, consider a holomorphic perturbation $\xi = Adz/z + O(z^0)dz$. Our main result, stated precisely as Theorem 3.5, and generalized in Theorem 5.9, is:

Theorem. *An annular constant mean curvature immersion induced by a holomorphic perturbation of a Delaunay potential is C^∞ -asymptotic to a half-Delaunay surface. In particular, it is properly immersed. Moreover, if the half-Delaunay surface is embedded, then the end of the immersion is properly embedded.*

The first and second authors were partially supported by EPSRC Grant GR/S28655/01 and JSPS Grant kiban-b 15340023, respectively.

The surface induced by a perturbed Delaunay potential may gain topology or geometric complexity — see for example the n -noids [25, 21, 24] and higher genus examples with ends [12]. Nonetheless at $z = 0$, the perturbed surface is asymptotic to the underlying Delaunay surface.

The convergence of the surfaces is obtained by showing that their moving frames and metrics converge. More specifically, let Φ_0 and Φ be the respective solutions to the ODEs $d\Phi_0 = \Phi_0 A dz/z$ and its perturbation $d\Phi = \Phi \xi$. The convergence of the ratio of Φ to Φ_0 is shown using the holomorphic gauge relating them at the regular singularity $z = 0$. The periodicity of the Delaunay surface provides growth rate estimates on the positive part of Φ_0 by Floquet analysis. This leads to the convergence of their unitary and positive factors, in turn implying C^1 -convergence of the surfaces. A bootstrap argument strengthens this to C^∞ -convergence.

In Part 2 we deal with the situation in which the initial condition to the ODE does not extend holomorphically from the r -circle to the unit circle, even though the monodromy of the solution is still unitary. We show that in this setting the solution has acquired singularities that arise from Bianchi-Bäcklund transforms. Thus the second part accommodates the additional singularities that appear from dressing by simple factors, and proves that adding bubbles to a surface with a Delaunay end preserves this Delaunay end.

We have benefited greatly on this long-term project from discussions with Franz Pedit, Ian McIntosh, Martin Schmidt and many others. We thank J. Dorfmeister and H. Wu [6] and S.-P. Kobayashi [16] for making available their unpublished works.




FIGURE 1. A CMC immersion of the six-punctured sphere with asymptotically Delaunay ends and pyramidal symmetry [24]. Five of the six ends are unduloidal; the sixth is nodoidal with large negative weight. This image was created with CMCLab [22], a freely available software implementation of the generalized Weierstrass representation.

The generalized Weierstrass representation

The r -Iwasawa factorization. We will use the following subsets of \mathbb{C} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^1 &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_r &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = r\}, \quad r \in (0, 1], \\ \mathcal{D}_r &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < r\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_r^* = \mathcal{D}_r \setminus \{0\}, \quad r \in (0, 1], \\ \mathcal{A}_{s,r} &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid s < |\lambda| < r\}, \quad 0 < s < r, \\ \mathcal{A}_r &= \mathcal{A}_{r,1/r}, \quad r \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Given a domain $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ which is invariant under the map $\lambda \mapsto 1/\bar{\lambda}$, and a holomorphic map $X : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{M}_{2 \times 2} \mathbb{C}$, define the holomorphic map $X^* : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{M}_{2 \times 2} \mathbb{C}$ by

$$X^*(\lambda) := \overline{X(1/\bar{\lambda})}^t.$$

We will use the following loop groups:

- For $r \in (0, 1]$, $\Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the group of analytic maps $\mathcal{C}_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.
- For $r \in (0, 1)$, $\Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \subset \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the subgroup of loops each of which is the boundary of a holomorphic map $Y : \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the condition $Y^* = Y^{-1}$. $\Lambda_1^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \subset \Lambda_1 \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the subgroup of loops X satisfying the condition $X^* = X^{-1}$.
- For $r \in (0, 1]$, $\Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \subset \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the subgroup of loops for which each loop is the boundary of a holomorphic map $\mathcal{D}_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.
- Let $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ denote the group of upper triangular matrices whose diagonal elements are in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. For $r \in (0, 1]$, $\Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \subset \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the subgroup of loops X such that $X(0) \in \mathcal{T}$.
- For $r \in (0, 1)$, $\Lambda_r^\uparrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \subset \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the group of analytic maps $X \in \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ such that X is the boundary of a holomorphic map $\mathcal{A}_{r,1} \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.
- For $r \in (0, 1)$, $\Lambda_r^{\text{M}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \subset \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the group of analytic maps $X \in \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ such that X is the boundary of a meromorphic map $\mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $X^* = X^{-1}$ away from its poles. For $r = 1$, $\Lambda_1^{\text{M}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} = \Lambda_1^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define the C^k -topology on each of these loop groups with respect to the loop parameter λ in their respective domains \mathcal{C}_r , \mathcal{A}_r or \mathcal{D}_r . The C^∞ -topology is the intersection of the C^k -topologies. The multiplication map

$$\Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \times \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$$

is a C^∞ diffeomorphism [19, 4]. The unique factorization of a loop $\Phi \in \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ into

$$\Phi = \text{Uni}_r[\Phi] \cdot \text{Pos}_r[\Phi]$$

is the r -Iwasawa factorization of Φ . We call $\text{Uni}_r[\Phi]$ the r -unitary factor and $\text{Pos}_r[\Phi]$ the r -positive factor of Φ . The QR -factorization is the Iwasawa factorization of constant loops $\text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{SU}_2 \times \mathcal{T}$.

Dressing a loop $X \in \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ by $C \in \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is left-multiplication of X by C , followed by projection of the r -Iwasawa factorization to the unitary group. We denote the dressed loop by $C \#_r X$ or $\text{Uni}_r[CX]$.

The generalized Weierstrass representation. The generalized Weierstrass representation [5] represents harmonic maps in terms of certain holomorphic 1-forms with values in a loop algebra (*holomorphic potentials*). This representation constructs all constant mean curvature (CMC) surfaces in the 3-dimensional Euclidean, spherical and hyperbolic spaceforms [25], and is as follows for Euclidean 3-space:

1. Let Σ be a Riemann surface. With $r \in (0, 1]$, let ξ be an r -potential, that is, a $\Lambda_r \text{sl}_2 \mathbb{C}$ -valued differential form on Σ which is the boundary of a meromorphic differential

on \mathcal{D}_r , with a pole only at $\lambda = 0$, which is simple and is only in the upper-right entry. To avoid branch points in the induced surface, assume also that the coefficient of λ^{-1} in the series expansion of the upper-right entry of ξ in λ at $\lambda = 0$ is never zero on Σ .

2. Let Φ be a solution to the ordinary differential equation $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ on the universal cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ . We call Φ the *holomorphic frame*.

3. Then $F = \text{Uni}_r[\Phi]$ is the extended frame of some CMC immersion $f : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$.

4. The Sym formula

$$f = \text{Sym}_r[\Phi] = -2H^{-1}F'F^{-1}$$

computes the associate family of CMC immersion f with constant mean curvature $H \in \mathbb{R}^*$ from the frame F , with associate family parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Here, the prime denotes differentiation with respect to θ , where $\lambda = e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

The immersion f of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ descends to an immersion of Σ at $\lambda = 1$ if and only if every element M_F of the monodromy group of the extended frame F satisfies

$$M_F(1) = \pm I \quad \text{and} \quad M'_F(1) = 0.$$

Given $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ and an analytic *gauge* $g = g(z, \lambda) : \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$, then $\Psi := \Phi g$ satisfies the equation $d\Psi = \Psi\eta$, where

$$\eta = \xi.g := g^{-1}\xi g + g^{-1}dg.$$

Part 1. Delaunay asymptotics

In Part 1 we show that under the assumption of unitary monodromy, an immersion constructed from a perturbed Delaunay potential is asymptotic to a Delaunay immersion. In Part 2 we generalize this result to the setting of $\Lambda_r \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ for arbitrary r .

Outline of results

section 1 discusses the construction of the family of Delaunay immersions via the generalized Weierstrass representation. The generalized Weierstrass potential for the Delaunay immersion is of the form $A dz/z$, where A is an $\text{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -valued *Delaunay residue* given in Proposition 1.1. We compute the Iwasawa factorization of the holomorphic Delaunay frame $\exp(A \log z)$ in Theorem 1.5. This is an extension of a result in [25]. These factors are used in subsection 1.4 to compute the growth rate of the positive Iwasawa factor, and in section 4 to compute asymptotics of dressed Delaunay frames.

In subsection 1.4, we estimate the growth rate τ of the positive Iwasawa factor of $\exp(A \log z)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ (Theorem 1.13). This growth rate result is used in subsection 2.4.

Given a holomorphic perturbation

$$\xi = A \frac{dz}{z} + O(z^0)dz$$

of the Delaunay potential $A dz/z$ producing a closed once-wrapped Delaunay surface, let Φ satisfy $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ on an r -circle with unitary monodromy around $z = 0$.

In subsection 2.4 we show that the unitary and positive factors of Φ are asymptotic to those of a holomorphic Delaunay frame (Theorem 2.11).

In subsection 3.2, we use this convergence to obtain C^∞ -convergence of the CMC end to a Delaunay surface. The convergence of the positive part implies that of the metric, and together with the frame convergence, a bootstrap argument on the Gauss equation gives the C^∞ -convergence. If the base Delaunay surface is embedded, then the asymptotic end is embedded and has exponential convergence. These results are summarized in Theorem 3.5.

In Part 2 we generalize these results to the case of dressed holomorphic frames.

1. The Delaunay frame and its growth

1.1. The Delaunay residue. A Delaunay surface is described by a holomorphic potential on \mathbb{C}^* of a very simple kind. Our description of these potentials is in the setting of [25].

Proposition 1.1. *Let $A : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ be analytic. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A^* = A$, and A is the boundary of a meromorphic map $A : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ such that A is holomorphic on $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \{0\}$, the upper-right entry of A has a simple pole or no pole at 0, and the other entries of A do not have poles at 0.
- (ii) There exist $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} c & a\lambda^{-1} + \bar{b} \\ b + \bar{a}\lambda & -c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. If A is of the form (1.1), then (i) clearly holds.

Conversely, suppose (i) holds. Since A extends meromorphically to \mathcal{D}_1 , and $A^* = A$, then A extends meromorphically to \mathbb{CP}^1 . The entries of A are then meromorphic functions on \mathbb{CP}^1 , from which it follows that A must be of the form (1.1). \square

After a rigid motion, the harmonic Gauss map of any Delaunay surface is framed by the r -unitary part of the solution $\Phi(z) = \exp(zA)$ of the ODE $d\Phi = \Phi A dz$, for some A as in (1.1) (see [3, 25]). This prompts us to make the following

Definition 1.2. A *Delaunay residue* is a meromorphic $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ -valued matrix map as in (1.1), with $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an eigenvalue of A satisfying $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0$.

It can be shown [13, 3] that up to rigid motions, any Delaunay surface in \mathbb{R}^3 can be obtained by an off-diagonal Delaunay residue with real non-zero parameters $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^*$ satisfying the closing condition $a + b = 1/2$, and that the resulting *necksize* of the surface depends on the product ab : when $ab > 0$, the resulting surface is an unduloid, when $ab < 0$, it is a nodoid, and when $a = b$, the resulting surface is a round cylinder (see [11, 25]).

For $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we denote as the *vacuum* the case $|a| = |b|$ and $c = 0$.

1.2. The Delaunay frame. The unitary frames for all CMC tori are computed in [2, 1] in terms of theta functions. In the case of spectral genus 1, the Iwasawa factorization of $\exp((x + iy)A)$ can be expressed in terms of elliptic functions and elliptic integrals, and has a Floquet form whose period is that of the induced Delaunay surface. The computation of this factorization in Theorem 1.5 requires the following sets and functions.

Notation 1.3. Given a Delaunay residue A , let $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be the zeros of $\det A$, with $|\nu_1| \leq |\nu_2|$. Let $p \in \mathbb{S}^1$ be the point on the straight line segment with endpoints ν_1 and ν_2 , or $p = \nu_1 = \nu_2$ in the case of the vacuum. Let $\alpha = -p$. Define the following subsets of \mathbb{C} (see 2(a)):

$$\mathcal{I}_A = \{rp \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq r < \infty\}, \quad \mathcal{J}_A = \{rp \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\nu_1| \leq r \leq |\nu_2|\}, \quad \mathcal{K}_A = (\mathcal{I}_A \setminus \mathcal{J}_A) \cup \{\nu_1, \nu_2\}.$$

The set of *resonance points* for A is

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_A = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \mu(\lambda) \in \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^*\}.$$

Notation 1.4. Let A be a Delaunay residue and let a, b, c be its coefficients as in Proposition 1.1. Define $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ as the elliptic function satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad (v')^2 = -v^4 + 4(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + c^2)v^2 - 16|ab|^2, \quad v(0) = 2|b|,$$

taking the non-constant solution except in the case of the vacuum. When $c \neq 0$, $v'(0)$ is taken to have the same sign as $-c$. The function v is the restriction to \mathbb{R} of an elliptic function on \mathbb{C} with a real and a pure imaginary period; let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be its real period.

Define the elliptic integral of the third kind $\psi : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(1.4) \quad \psi(x, \lambda) = \int_0^x \frac{2 dt}{1 + (4\bar{a}b\lambda)^{-1}v^2(t)} ,$$

and define $\sigma : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\sigma(\lambda) = \psi(\rho, \lambda)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let A be a Delaunay residue and let $\Phi = \exp((x + iy)A)$. Then there exists an analytic map $R : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ satisfying $R(x + \rho, \lambda) = R(x, \lambda)$, such that, restricting to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A$,*

$$(1.5a) \quad \mathrm{Uni}_1[\Phi] = \exp((x + iy - \rho^{-1}\sigma x)A)R ,$$

$$(1.5b) \quad \mathrm{Pos}_1[\Phi] = R^{-1} \exp(\rho^{-1}\sigma x A) .$$

Proof. Let prime denote the derivative with respect to x . With $h = \mathrm{diag}((b/|b|)^{1/2}, (b/|b|)^{-1/2})$, define $R(x, \lambda) : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ by

$$R(x, \lambda) = \exp((\rho^{-1}\sigma(\lambda)x - \psi(x, \lambda))A)S(x, \lambda) ,$$

$$S_1(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} v^2 + 4\bar{a}b\lambda & v' + 2cv \\ 0 & 2(b + \bar{a}\lambda)v \end{pmatrix} h , \quad S(x, \lambda) = (\det S_1)^{-1/2} S_1 .$$

The square root $(\det S_1)^{1/2}$ can be taken to be a single-valued analytic function in λ on $\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A)$, its sign chosen so that $S(0, \lambda) = I$. Then R is periodic in x with period ρ because each of the two factors defining it are.

On $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A$, define $F = \exp((1 - \rho^{-1}\sigma)x A)R$ and $B = R^{-1} \exp(\rho^{-1}\sigma x A)$. Then

$$(1.6a) \quad F^{-1}F' = ((1 - \psi')A).S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{v}{2} + \frac{2ab}{\lambda v} \\ \frac{v}{2} - \frac{2\bar{a}b\lambda}{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . h =: \theta , \quad F(0, \lambda) = I ,$$

$$(1.6b) \quad B'B^{-1} = -(-\psi'A).S = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{v'}{2v} & v \\ \frac{4\bar{a}b\lambda}{v} & \frac{v'}{2v} \end{pmatrix} . h =: \eta , \quad B(0, \lambda) = I .$$

Since θ is analytic in λ on \mathbb{C}^* , and $\theta^* = -\theta$, then F extends to a map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Lambda_1^* \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Similarly, η is analytic in λ on \mathbb{C} , and $\eta|_{\lambda=0}$ is upper-triangular with real diagonal entries, so B extends to a map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Lambda_1^+ \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Hence $\Phi = \exp(iyA)F \cdot B$ is the 1-Iwasawa factorization of Φ . \square

Corollary 1.6. *Let A be a Delaunay residue and let σ be as in Notation 1.4 for this A . Let $\exp((x + iy)A) = F \cdot B$ be the 1-Iwasawa factorization. Then $\exp(\sigma A)$ extends to a holomorphic function of λ on \mathbb{C} , and the following quasiperiodicity formulas hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$(1.7a) \quad F(x + n\rho, y) = \exp(n(\rho - \sigma)A)F(x, y) , \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* ,$$

$$(1.7b) \quad B(x + n\rho) = B(x) \exp(n\sigma A) , \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} .$$

Proof. Since $\Phi = FB$, and Φ and B are holomorphic in λ on \mathcal{D}_1^* , then F on \mathbb{S}^1 extends holomorphically in λ to \mathcal{D}_1^* . Since $F^* = F^{-1}$, then F extends holomorphically in λ to \mathbb{C}^* . Since Φ is holomorphic in λ on \mathbb{C}^* , then B extends holomorphically in λ on \mathbb{C} . (This can also be seen from the ODE (1.6b) satisfied by B .) But by (1.5b), $B(\rho)$ and $\exp(\sigma A)$ are equal on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A$. The quasiperiodicity formulas for F and B follow by (1.5). \square

1.3. The Delaunay growth exponent. Our proof of the convergence of the r -Iwasawa factors of a holomorphic Delaunay frame $\Phi = \exp(A \log z)$ and a perturbation of it requires growth bounds on $\text{Pos}_r[\Phi]$. Being an exponential, Φ grows exponentially, and its growth rate is the absolute value of the real part of an eigenvalue μ of the Delaunay residue A . On \mathbb{S}^1 ($r = 1$), the factor $\text{Pos}_r[\Phi]$ has the same growth behavior as Φ , because $\text{Uni}_r[\Phi]$ does not grow. For $r < 1$, the Floquet behavior of $\text{Pos}_r[\Phi]$ again implies exponential growth, its rate determined by the eigenvalues of its value after one period.

We begin by studying the real part τ of these eigenvalues, showing it is less than the real part of the eigenvalue μ of A .

Lemma 1.7. *Let A be a Delaunay residue and let μ be its eigenvalue as in (1.2). Let σ be as in Notation 1.4 for this A . Then the function $\tau = \rho^{-1} \text{Re } \mu \sigma$ extends to a single-valued continuous function on \mathbb{C} , which is real analytic and harmonic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$. Moreover, with \mathcal{K}_A as Notation 1.3, $\tau = 0$ on \mathcal{K}_A , $\tau > 0$ on $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$, and $\tau = \mu$ on \mathbb{S}^1 .*

Proof. We first consider the nonvacuum case.

Step 1. We show that σ extends holomorphically as a function of λ along any curve in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$. Let $J(t, \lambda)dt$ be the integrand defining ψ in (1.4). Then J can be extended to a meromorphic function of t on \mathbb{C} , by considering v as an elliptic function on \mathbb{C} . Let γ be a curve with endpoints 0 and ρ which is homotopic to the straight line $[0, \rho]$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sing}(v)$. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid J(t, \lambda) \neq \infty \text{ along } \gamma\}$. Then we can define $\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda)$ on Λ as the integral of J along γ . Since $\tilde{\sigma}$ is analytic, and is equal to σ on the intersections of their domains $\Lambda \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}_A)$, then $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an analytic extension of σ . This provides a construction for analytically extending σ along any curve in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$.

Step 2. Since for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $B(x, \lambda) = \text{Pos}_1[\exp(xA(\lambda))]$ is a holomorphic function of λ on \mathbb{C} , then $B(\rho, \lambda)$ is holomorphic in λ . Hence $\cosh(\mu\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } B(\rho, \lambda)$ is analytic on \mathbb{C} . An argument shows that at $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if $\cosh(\mu\sigma) \notin \{\pm 1\}$, $\mu\sigma$ is analytic at λ , and if $\cosh(\mu\sigma) \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\mu\sigma$ is of the form $\pi ik + \mu g$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some holomorphic function g near λ .

Step 3. Near $\lambda = 0$, σ is analytic. Hence if any branch of $\mu\sigma$ is analytically extended along a closed once-wrapped curve around 0, with respective values p_0 and p_1 at the beginning and end of the curve, then $p_1 = -p_0$. Likewise, if any branch of $\mu\sigma$ is analytically extended along a closed curve around ν_1 , with respective values q_0 and q_1 at the beginning and end of the curve, it follows from step 2 that $q_1 \equiv -q_0 \pmod{2\pi i}$.

Putting these together, if $\mu\sigma$ is analytically extended along a closed once-wrapped curve around 0 and ν_1 , with respective values r_0 and r_1 at the beginning and end of the curve, then $r_1 \equiv r_0 \pmod{2\pi i}$. Hence τ is a single-valued real analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$. It is harmonic there because it is locally the real part of an analytic function.

Step 4. To show that τ is continuous on $\mathcal{K}_A \setminus \{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ with value 0, write μ and σ in terms of their real and imaginary parts $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$ and $\sigma = \sigma_1 + i\sigma_2$. Then $\text{Re } \mu\sigma = \mu_1\sigma_1 - \mu_2\sigma_2$. Since σ_2 and μ_1 are 0 on \mathcal{K}_A , τ is continuous on $\mathcal{K}_A \setminus \{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ with value 0 there.


Step 5. We now show that τ is continuous at ν_1 and ν_2 with value 0. By step 2, with $k \in \{1, 2\}$, we have $\tau = \text{Re}(c + \mu g) = \text{Re}(\mu g)$ near ν_k , for some $c \in \pi i\mathbb{Z}$ and holomorphic function g . Since $\mu(\nu_k)$ is continuous at ν_k and $\mu(\nu_k) = 0$, then τ extends continuously to ν_k with value 0 there.

Step 6. To show that $\tau = \mu$ on \mathbb{S}^1 , since F in (1.7a) takes values in SU_2 on \mathbb{S}^1 , then by (1.7a), so does $\exp((\rho - \sigma)A)$. Since A is tracefree and is hermitian on \mathbb{S}^1 , then $\rho - \sigma$ is pure imaginary on \mathbb{S}^1 . Hence $\tau = \mu$ on \mathbb{S}^1 .

Step 7. Since τ is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{D}_1}$ and harmonic on $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$, then by the maximum principle for harmonic functions, τ on \mathcal{D}_1 attains its minimum on the boundary $\mathbb{S}^1 \cup \mathcal{K}_A$ of $\overline{\mathcal{D}_1} \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$. Since τ is 0 on \mathcal{K}_A , then τ is strictly positive on $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$.

For the vacuum case, let a, b be the coefficients of the Delaunay residue as in Definition 1.2, and let α be as in Notation 1.3. Then $\tau = \operatorname{Re} \rho^{-1} \mu \sigma$ satisfies all the properties of the theorem, where

$$(1.8) \quad \mu = |b| \alpha^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1/2} (\lambda + \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^{-1} \mu \sigma = 2|b| \alpha^{-1/2} \lambda^{1/2} . \quad \square$$



(a) The λ -plane with the zeros ν_1 and $\nu_2 = 1/\overline{\nu_1}$ of the eigenvalues of a typical Delaunay residue.

(b) Graphs of $\operatorname{Re} \mu$ (above) and τ (below) over a half-disk for a typical Delaunay frame. $\operatorname{Re} \mu$ represents the growth of $\exp(A \log z)$, τ the growth of its positive factor, and their difference the growth of its unitary factor.

FIGURE 2.

Lemma 1.8. *Let A be a Delaunay residue, let μ be its eigenvalue as in Definition 1.2, and let τ be as in Lemma 1.7 for this A . Then $\tau \in (0, \operatorname{Re} \mu]$ on $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$.*

Proof. In the case of the vacuum, the result follows by (1.8); hence we assume the nonvacuum case. (See 2(b) for the graphs of $\operatorname{Re}(\mu)$ and τ for a typical Delaunay residue.)

We first show that $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - \tau$ is nonnegative on \mathcal{D}_r^* for some r near zero, and then apply the maximum principle for harmonic functions to conclude it is strictly positive on $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$.

Step 1. We prove the following claim: Let $r > 0$ and let $f : \mathcal{D}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function. If f has the symmetry $f(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{f(\lambda)}$, and $f(0) \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then there exists $r_1 \in (0, r]$ such that $g := \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^{-1/2} f)$ is nonnegative on $\mathcal{D}_{r_1}^*$, where the square root is chosen to have nonnegative real part.

To prove the claim, let $z = x + iy = \lambda^{1/2}$, and let

$$h := \frac{g}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1/2}} = \operatorname{Re} f(z^2) + \frac{y}{x} \operatorname{Im} f(z^2) .$$

The function $k(x, y) = \operatorname{Im} f(z^2)$ is real analytic in x and y . By the symmetry of f , $f(-y^2)$ is real, so for all y , $k(0, y) = \operatorname{Im} f(-y^2) = 0$. It follows that $k(x, y)/x$ is real analytic in x and y . Then $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} yk(x, y)/x = 0$, so $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} h = f(0) \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Hence there exists $r_1 \in (0, r]$ such that h is strictly positive in \mathcal{D}_{r_1} . Since $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1/2}$ is strictly positive on $\mathcal{D}_{r_1} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$, then so is g . But g is 0 on \mathbb{R}_- , so g is nonnegative on $\mathcal{D}_{r_1}^*$.

Step 2. Let σ be as in Lemma 1.7 on $\mathcal{D}_{|\nu_1|}$, so $\tau = \operatorname{Re} \sigma$. With α as in Notation 1.3, define $f : \mathcal{D}_{|\nu_1|} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f = \lambda^{1/2} (\mu(\alpha \lambda) - \sigma(\alpha \lambda)) .$$

Then f is holomorphic on $\mathcal{D}_{|\nu_1|}$, $f(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{f(\lambda)}$ and $f(0) \in \mathbb{R}_+$. By the above claim applied to f , there exists $r_1 \in (0, |\nu_1|]$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1/2} f = \operatorname{Re}(\mu(\alpha \lambda)) - \tau(\alpha \lambda)$ is nonnegative on $\mathcal{D}_{r_1}^*$. Hence $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - \tau$ is nonnegative on $\mathcal{D}_{r_1}^*$.

Step 3. For any $s \in (0, r_1]$, define V_s as the union of \mathcal{C}_s and the straight line segment along \mathcal{K}_A from ν_1 to this circle. Then for all $s < r_1$, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - \tau$ is harmonic on the open region R_s between V_s and \mathbb{S}^1 , is 0 on \mathbb{S}^1 and $V_s \cap \mathcal{K}_A$, and is nonnegative on \mathcal{C}_s by step 2. By the maximum principle for harmonic functions, $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - \tau$ is strictly positive on R_s . Since this is true for any $s \in (0, r_1)$, then $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) - \tau$ is strictly positive on $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{K}_A$. \square

1.4. Growth of the Delaunay positive part. Above we investigated the function τ , the real part of the eigenvalue of the value of $\operatorname{Pos}_r[\exp(A \log z)]$ after one period, showing that it is less than the real part of the eigenvalue μ of the corresponding Delaunay residue. The Floquet behavior of $\operatorname{Pos}_r[\exp(A \log z)]$, detailed in Theorem 1.5, implies that τ , and hence μ , bounds the exponential growth of $\operatorname{Pos}_r[\exp(A \log z)]$ (Lemma 1.11).

Notation 1.9. For $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we denote the *vector norm* by $|v| = \sqrt{v^t v}$, and for $M \in \operatorname{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$, we set

$$\|M\| = \max_{|v|=1} |Mv|.$$

For a map $M : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ on a subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ we set

$$\|M\|_D = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}} \|M(\lambda)\|.$$

Given $r \in (0, 1]$, a subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing \mathcal{C}_r , and a loop $X \in \Lambda_r \operatorname{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ which extends to a map $Y : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, by an abuse of notation we will write $\|X\|_{\mathcal{R}}$ for $\|Y\|_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Lemma 1.10. *Let $X : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{sl}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous map on a domain $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and let $\mu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any eigenvalue function of X . Then there exists a continuous function $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\|\exp X\| \leq c e^{|\operatorname{Re} \mu|}$.*

Proof. The result follows from the formula $\exp X = \cosh(\mu) I + \mu^{-1} \sinh(\mu) X$ and the estimates $|\cosh \mu| \leq e^{|\operatorname{Re} \mu|}$ and $|\mu^{-1} \sinh \mu| \leq e^{|\operatorname{Re} \mu|}$. \square

Lemma 1.11. *Let A be a Delaunay residue, and let μ be its eigenvalue as in Definition 1.2. Let τ be as in Lemma 1.7. Then there exists a continuous function $c : \overline{\mathcal{D}_1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $(z, \lambda) \in \{0 < |z| < 1\} \times \overline{\mathcal{D}_1} \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$(1.9) \quad \|\operatorname{Pos}_1[\exp(A \log z)]\| \leq c |z|^{-\tau} \leq c |z|^{-\operatorname{Re} \mu}.$$

Proof. For $x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$, define $B(x + iy, \lambda) = \operatorname{Pos}_1[\exp((x + iy)A)]$. With ρ as in Corollary 1.6, define $x_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \rho)$ and $n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as the unique functions such that $x = x_0 + n\rho$. Let σ be as in Notation 1.4 for A . By Corollary 1.6,

$$(1.10) \quad B(x + iy) = B(x_0 + n\rho) = B(x_0) \exp(n\sigma A).$$

This quasiperiodicity of B determines its growth rate as follows.

Define the continuous function $c_1 : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $c_1(\lambda) = \max_{x \in [0, \rho)} \|B(x, \lambda)\|$. Then $\|B(x_0, \lambda)\| \leq c_1(\lambda)$ on $[0, \rho) \times \mathbb{C}^*$. With τ as in Lemma 1.7 for A , by Lemma 1.10 there exists a continuous function $c_2 : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that on \mathbb{C}^* ,

$$\|\exp(n\psi(\rho, \lambda)A(\lambda))\| \leq c_2 e^{|n\rho\tau(\lambda)|} \leq c_2 e^{|-x_0\tau(\lambda)|} e^{|x\tau(\lambda)|}.$$

Define the continuous function $c_3 : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $c_3(\lambda) = \max_{x \in [0, \rho)} e^{|x\tau(\lambda)|}$. Then

$$(1.11) \quad \|\exp(n\psi(\rho, \lambda)A(\lambda))\| \leq c_2(\lambda) c_3(\lambda) e^{|\tau(\lambda)x|}.$$

The quasiperiodicity (1.10), the choice of c_1 , and (1.11) yield the estimate

$$\|B(x + iy, \lambda)\| \leq c_1(\lambda) c_2(\lambda) c_3(\lambda) e^{|\tau(\lambda)x|}.$$

Since $0 < |z| < 1$, then $x < 0$. But $|z| = e^x$, so $|z|^{-\tau} = e^{-\tau x} = e^{|\tau x|}$. The growth estimate (1.9) follows with $c = c_1 c_2 c_3$.

The second inequality in (1.9) follows by Lemma 1.8. \square

1.5. Dressed Delaunay frames. The growth bound on the positive r -Iwasawa factor of the holomorphic Delaunay frame $\Phi = \exp(A \log z)$, computed above in Lemma 1.11, is preserved by dressing (right-multiplying) Φ by an r -loop C , provided that the unitarity of its monodromy is preserved. In the special case that C can be extended analytically to $\mathcal{A}_{r,1}$, the resulting frame $C\Phi$ again induces a Delaunay immersion (Lemma 1.12), and the growth of its positive factor is bounded by the same bound as that for $\text{Pos}_r[\Phi]$ (Theorem 1.13).

The general case of dressing by an arbitrary loop C which preserves the unitarity of the monodromy is characterized in subsection 4.2, where it is shown that $C\Phi$ induces a multibubbleton, a Bianchi-Bäcklund transformed Delaunay immersion. The same growth bound applies to the positive part of $C\Phi$ for this larger class of dressing matrices.

Lemma 1.12. *Let A be a Delaunay residue. Let $r \in (0, 1]$. Let $C \in \Lambda_r^\uparrow \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$, and assume $C \exp(2\pi i A) C^{-1} \in \Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Let $C = C_u \cdot C_+$ be the r -Iwasawa factorization of C . Then*

- (i) $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ extends meromorphically to \mathbb{CP}^1 and is a Delaunay residue.
- (ii) $\text{Sym}_r[C \exp(A \log z)]$ and $\text{Sym}_r[\exp(A \log z)]$ are Delaunay surfaces differing by a rigid motion.

Proof. Let $M = \exp(2\pi i A)$ and let $A_1 = C_+ A C_+^{-1}$. Since $C M C^{-1} \in \Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$, then $C_+ M C_+^{-1} = \exp(2\pi i A_1) \in \Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. With μ a local analytic eigenvalue of A or A_1 , the formula

$$\exp(2\pi i A_1) = \cos(2\pi \mu) I + \mu^{-1} \sin(2\pi \mu) A_1$$

shows that A_1 extends meromorphically to \mathbb{S}^1 . Since $\exp(2\pi i A_1) \in \Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$, then $A_1^* = A_1$ away from its poles. Write

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y^* & -x \end{pmatrix}$$

for some meromorphic functions x and y in a neighborhood of \mathbb{S}^1 satisfying $x = x^*$. Since A is holomorphic on \mathbb{S}^1 , then so is

$$-\det A = -\det A_1 = xx^* + yy^* .$$

Hence $xx^* + yy^*$ is bounded on \mathbb{S}^1 . Since xx^* and yy^* are each nonnegative on \mathbb{S}^1 , then each is bounded on \mathbb{S}^1 , so each is holomorphic on \mathbb{S}^1 . It follows that x , y and y^* are holomorphic on \mathbb{S}^1 , so A_1 is holomorphic on \mathbb{S}^1 . Since A_1 is holomorphic on \mathcal{D}_1 and satisfies $A_1^* = A_1$, then A_1 is a Delaunay residue by Proposition 1.1.

To show that $C \exp(A \log z)$ induces a Delaunay immersion, note that

$$C \exp(A \log z) = C_u \exp(A_1 \log z) C_+ ,$$

so

$$\text{Uni}_r[C \exp(A \log z)] = C_u \text{Uni}_r[\exp(A_1 \log z)] .$$

Hence $\text{Sym}_r[C \exp(A \log z)]$ and $\text{Sym}_r[\exp(A_1 \log z)]$ differ by a rigid motion. By Lemma 6 in [25], $\text{Sym}_r[\exp(A_1 \log z)]$ and $\text{Sym}_r[\exp(A \log z)]$ are Delaunay surfaces differing by a rigid motion. \square

Theorem 1.13. *Let A be a Delaunay residue, and let μ be its eigenvalue as in Definition 1.2. Let τ be as in Lemma 1.7. Let $r \in (0, 1]$. Let $C \in \Lambda_r^\uparrow \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be such that the dressed monodromy $C \exp(2\pi i A) C^{-1}$ around $z = 0$ is in $\Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a continuous function $c : \mathcal{D}_1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $(z, \lambda) \in \{0 < |z| < 1\} \times \mathcal{D}_1^*$,*

$$\|\text{Pos}_r[C \exp(A \log z)]\| \leq c|z|^{-\tau} \leq c|z|^{-\text{Re } \mu} .$$

Proof. Let $C = C_u \cdot C_+$ be the r -Iwasawa factorization of C . By Lemma 1.12, $A_1 = C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue. Then

$$C \exp(A \log z) = C_u \exp(A_1 \log z) C_+ ,$$

so

$$(1.12) \quad \text{Pos}_r[C \exp(A \log z)] = \text{Pos}_r[\exp(A_1 \log z)] C_+ .$$

It follows from $\det A = \det A_1$ that the function τ in Lemma 1.7 for A is the same as that for A_1 . By Lemma 1.11, there exists a continuous function $c_1 : \overline{\mathcal{D}_1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that on $\{0 < |z| < 1\} \times \mathcal{D}_1^*$,

$$(1.13) \quad \|\text{Pos}_r[\exp(A_1 \log z)]\| \leq c_1 |z|^{-\tau} \leq c_1 |z|^{-\text{Re } \mu} .$$

Let $c_2 = \|C_+\|$ on \mathcal{D}_1 . Then by (1.12) and (1.13),

$$\|\text{Pos}_r[C \exp(A \log z)]\| \leq c_1 c_2 \|\text{Pos}_r[\exp(A_1 \log z)]\| \leq c_1 c_2 |z|^{-\tau} \leq c_1 c_2 |z|^{-\text{Re } \mu} .$$

The result follows with $c = c_1 c_2$. \square

2. The perturbed Delaunay frame

We will use the following notation throughout the next several sections. Let A be a Delaunay residue and let μ be an eigenvalue of A with nonnegative real part. Let \mathcal{S}_A be the set of resonance points for A , defined in (1.2). Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $\Sigma^* = \Sigma \setminus \{0\}$. Choose $r \in (0, 1]$.

Definition 2.1.

- (i) A *perturbed Delaunay r -potential* is an r -potential ξ on Σ^* of the form

$$\xi = A z^{-1} dz + O(z^0) dz .$$

- (ii) An *r -gauge* is an analytic map $\Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.

- (iii) Given neighborhoods $\Sigma, \Sigma' \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, a *coordinate change* ϑ is a holomorphic map $\vartheta : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$ which satisfies $\vartheta(0) = 0$ and has a holomorphic inverse $\vartheta(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Sigma'$.

2.1. The $z^A P$ lemma. Given a linear matrix ODE $d\Phi = \Phi \xi$ for which ξ has a simple pole at $z = 0$ and residue A , a standard result in the theory of regular singularities [10] states that under certain conditions on the eigenvalues of A , there exists a solution of the form $\Phi = z^A P = \exp(A \log z) P$, where P extends holomorphically to $z = 0$. Lemma 2.3 summarizes these results for our context, in which ξ depends analytically on a parameter λ . We call the decomposition (2.3) the *$z^A P$ decomposition*.

The coefficients of the $z^A P$ gauge P can be computed in terms of a linear map \mathcal{L}_n , whose definition and properties are given in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_2 \mathbb{C}$ and let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be the eigenvalues of A . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, define the linear map $\mathcal{L}_n : \mathfrak{gl}_2 \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2 \mathbb{C}$ by*

$$\mathcal{L}_n(X) = nX + [A, X] .$$

Then:

- (i) *The eigenvalues of \mathcal{L}_n are $n, n, n + \mu_1 - \mu_2, n - \mu_1 + \mu_2$. Hence \mathcal{L}_n is invertible if and only if $n \neq 0$ and $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \notin \{n, -n\}$.*

- (ii) *Suppose $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $R = nI + A - \hat{A}$, where \hat{A} denotes the adjugate of A . Then \mathcal{L}_n is invertible if and only if R is invertible, and in this case, \mathcal{L}_n^{-1} is given by*

$$(2.1) \quad n \mathcal{L}_n^{-1}(X) = X - R^{-1}[A, X] .$$

- (iii) *For any $X \in \mathfrak{gl}_2 \mathbb{C}$, $\text{tr}(\mathcal{L}_n X) = n \text{tr } X$. Hence if \mathcal{L}_n is invertible, then for any $Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_2 \mathbb{C}$, $\text{tr } Y = n \text{tr}(\mathcal{L}_n^{-1} Y)$.*

(iv) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If A is holomorphic (respectively meromorphic) on some domain \mathcal{R} , then \mathcal{L}_n is holomorphic (respectively meromorphic) on \mathcal{R} . In this case, if $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is not identically n or $-n$, then \mathcal{L}_n^{-1} extends meromorphically to \mathcal{R} .

Proof. Statement (i) follows from the fact that the eigenvalues of ad_A are $0, 0, \mu_1 - \mu_2, -\mu_1 + \mu_2$.

To prove (ii), since the eigenvalues of R are $n + \mu_1 - \mu_2, n - \mu_1 + \mu_2$, the by (i), R is invertible if and only if \mathcal{L}_n is invertible. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n(X - R^{-1}[A, X]) &= nX + (\text{I} - nR^{-1} - AR^{-1})[A, X] + R^{-1}[A, X]A \\ &= nX + R^{-1}((R - n\text{I} - RAR^{-1})[A, X] + [A, X]A) \\ &= nX + R^{-1}(-\hat{A}[A, X] + [A, X]A) = nX. \end{aligned}$$

Statement (iii) is clear from the definition of \mathcal{L}_n and the fact that $\text{tr}[A, X] = 0$.

Identifying $\mathfrak{gl}_2\mathbb{C}$ with \mathbb{C}^4 , the entries of the 4×4 matrices for \mathcal{L}_n and \mathcal{L}_n^{-1} are rational functions of the entries of A , and hence are meromorphic. This proves statement (iv). \square

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \mathcal{S}_A$.

(i) There exists a holomorphic solution $P : \Sigma \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ to the gauge equation

$$(2.2) \quad (Az^{-1}dz).P = \xi, \quad P(0, \lambda) = \text{I}.$$

(ii) Let $r \in (0, 1]$ and assume $\mathcal{C}_r \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$. Let $\Phi : \widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic solution to the equation $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ on the universal cover $\widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ of Σ^* . Then there exists $C \in \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(z, \lambda) = C(\lambda) \exp(A(\lambda) \log z) P(z, \lambda).$$

(iii) Moreover, if ξ satisfies $\xi = Az^{-1}dz + O(z^n)dz$, then P satisfies $P = \text{I} + O(z^{n+1})$.

Proof. (i). By the pointwise version of this lemma (see Theorem 10.1 in [10]), at each $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{R}$, there exists a unique solution $P(z, \lambda_0)$ to the gauge equation (2.2). To show that P is holomorphic in λ on \mathcal{R} , let

$$\xi = Az^{-1}dz + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k z^k dz$$

and

$$P = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k z^k, \quad P_0 = \text{I}$$

be the respective series expansions for ξ and P in z at $z = 0$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, \mathcal{L}_k is invertible at λ_0 by Lemma 2.2(i) and the assumption that $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$. The coefficients P_k (see [10]) are given by $P_k = \mathcal{L}_k^{-1}(C_k)$, where

$$C_k = \sum_{i+j=k-1} P_i B_j, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

By Lemma 2.2(iv), each P_k is holomorphic in λ at λ_0 . It follows by the absolute convergence of power series that P is holomorphic in λ at λ_0 .

(ii) Since P satisfies (2.2), then the map $\Phi_0 : \widetilde{\Sigma}^* \times \mathcal{C}_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\Phi_0(z, \lambda) = \exp(A(\lambda) \log z) P(z, \lambda)$$

satisfies $d\Phi_0 = \Phi_0\xi$. Since Φ satisfies the same linear ODE as Φ_0 , then the map $C = \Phi\Phi_0^{-1}$ is z -independent, and is an element of $\Lambda_r \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

(iii) For any $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have $B_0 = \dots = B_{k-1} = 0$, so $C_k = 0$. Since \mathcal{L}_k is invertible on \mathcal{R} , then by the formula for P_k in Lemma 2.3, $P_k = \mathcal{L}_k^{-1}(C_k) = \mathcal{L}_k^{-1}(0) = 0$. \square

2.2. Gauging the potential. With A a Delaunay residue, let ξ be a perturbed Delaunay r -potential

$$Az^{-1}dz + O(z^0)dz .$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that

$$\frac{n}{2} < \max_{\mathcal{C}_r} \operatorname{Re} \mu < \frac{n+1}{2} .$$

The left inequality, by Theorem 2.7, guarantees that ξ can be gauged to the form

$$Az^{-1}dz + O(z^n)dz .$$

The right inequality, by Theorem 2.11, implies the convergence of the unitary and positive factors of $\exp(\log z A)$ and its perturbation.

The following preliminary lemma determines a necessary and sufficient condition on a map X such that $\mathcal{L}_n^{-1}(X)$ extends holomorphically to the pole of A . This condition is used to insure that the gauge constructed in Lemma 2.5 is a positive gauge, that is, that it extends holomorphically to $\lambda = 0$. The entries of a matrix $X \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ are denoted by X_{ij} with $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a neighborhood of $p \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $A : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2 \mathbb{C}$ and $X : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be meromorphic on \mathcal{R} , with orders of entries*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{ord}_p A_{11} \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p A_{12} = -1, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p A_{21} = 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p A_{22} \geq 0 \\ \operatorname{ord}_p X_{11} \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p X_{12} \geq -1, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p X_{21} \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p X_{22} \geq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and let \mathcal{L}_n as in Lemma 2.2 defined with respect to A .

Then $\mathcal{L}_n^{-1}(X)$, which extends meromorphically to p by Lemma 2.2(iv), is holomorphic at p if and only if $A_{12}X_{21} + A_{21}X_{12}$ is holomorphic at p .

Proof. By (2.4), we have $\operatorname{ord}_p \det A = -1$. A calculation using (2.1) shows that the orders of the entries of $Y = \mathcal{L}_n^{-1}(X)$ satisfy

$$\operatorname{ord}_p Y_{11} \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p Y_{12} \geq -1, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p Y_{21} \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_p Y_{22} \geq 0 .$$

Hence $\mathcal{L}_n^{-1}(X)$ is holomorphic at p if and only if its upper-right entry Y_{12} is holomorphic at p . A calculation shows

$$\operatorname{ord}_p Y_{12} = \operatorname{ord}_p (A_{12}X_{21} + A_{21}X_{12}) .$$

Hence Y is holomorphic at p if and only if $A_{12}X_{21} + A_{21}X_{12}$ is. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let ξ be a perturbed Delaunay r -potential*

$$\xi = Az^{-1}dz + O(z^{n-1})dz$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and suppose

$$(2.5) \quad \min_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \operatorname{Re} \mu(\lambda) \leq \frac{n}{2} < \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \operatorname{Re} \mu(\lambda) .$$

Then there exists a neighborhood $\Sigma' \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, an r -gauge $g : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \xi.g = Az^{-1}dz + \kappa Az^{n-1}dz + O(z^n)dz .$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{L}_n be as in Lemma 2.2, defined with respect to A on \mathcal{D}_r^* . The inequalities (2.5) imply $\mathcal{D}_r^* \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \mu(\lambda) = n/2\} = \emptyset$. Hence by Lemma 2.2(i), \mathcal{L}_n is invertible on \mathcal{D}_r^* .

Define B by

$$\xi = Az^{-1}dz + Bz^{n-1}dz + O(z^n)dz .$$

Then

$$\text{ord}_{\lambda=0} A_{12} = -1 , \quad \text{ord}_{\lambda=0} A_{21} = 0 , \quad \text{ord}_{\lambda=0} B_{12} \geq -1 , \quad \text{ord}_{\lambda=0} B_{21} \geq 0 ,$$

so

$$\text{ord}_{\lambda=0} \frac{B_{12}}{A_{12}} \geq 0 , \quad \text{ord}_{\lambda=0} \frac{B_{21}}{A_{21}} \geq 0 .$$

Hence the limit

$$\kappa := \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{B_{12}}{A_{12}} + \frac{B_{21}}{A_{21}} \right)$$

exists in \mathbb{C} .

Let $X = \kappa A - B$. A calculation shows that with this choice of κ , $A_{12}X_{21} + A_{21}X_{12}$ is holomorphic at 0. Hence by Lemma 2.4, $\mathcal{L}_n^{-1}(\kappa A - B)$ on \mathcal{D}_r^* extends holomorphically to $\lambda = 0$. Hence we have the holomorphic map $C : \mathcal{D}_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$(2.7) \quad C = \mathcal{L}_n^{-1}(\kappa A - B) .$$

Define the holomorphic map $h : \Sigma \times \mathcal{D}_r \rightarrow \text{GL}_2\mathbb{C}$ by $h = I + Cz^n$. Since $\det h$ will not in general be identically 1, we define $g = h + O(z^{n+1})$ with $\det g = 1$ as follows. Since $h_{22} = C_{22}z^n$, and $\overline{\mathcal{D}_r}$ is bounded away from the poles of C_{22} , then we have the uniform convergence $h_{22} \rightarrow 1$ on $\overline{\mathcal{D}_r}$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. Hence there exists a neighborhood $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ of $0 \in \Sigma$ on which $h_{22} > 0$. Define the holomorphic map $g : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}}\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ by

$$g = h + \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad q = \frac{1 - \det h}{h_{22}} .$$

A calculation shows $\det g = 1$.

In order to show that g satisfies the gauge equation (2.6), we show that $g = h + O(z^{n+1})$. We have $\det h = 1 + \text{tr}(C)z^n + O(z^{n+1})$. Since $\phi A - B$ is tracefree, then C is tracefree by Lemma 2.2(iii). Hence $\det h = 1 + O(z^{n+1})$. Since $h_{22} = 1 + O(z^n)$, then $q = O(z^{n+1})$. Hence $g = h + O(z^{n+1})$.

To complete the proof, we will use the following formula. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, r -gauge $g = I + g_n z^n + O(z^{n+1})$ and r -potential $\xi = Az^{-1}dz + B_{n-1}z^{n-1}dz + O(z^n)$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \xi.g = Az^{-1}dz + (\mathcal{L}_n(g_n) + B_{n-1})z^{n-1}dz + O(z^n)dz .$$

The formula can be shown by expanding $\xi.g = g^{-1}\xi g + g^{-1}dg$ in z .

The result (2.6) follows from (2.7), together with formula (2.8) replacing g_n with C and B_{n-1} with B . \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let ξ be a perturbed Delaunay r -potential (Definition 2.1)*

$$(2.9) \quad \xi = Az^{-1}dz + \kappa Az^{n-1}dz + O(z^n)dz$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a coordinate change $\vartheta : \Sigma' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on a neighborhood $\Sigma' \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with conformal coordinate w , such that on $\Sigma' \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(2.10) \quad \vartheta^* \xi = Aw^{-1}dw + O(w^n)dw .$$

Proof. Let $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any analytic function satisfying

$$\sigma(z) = z + (\kappa/n)z^{n+1} + O(z^{n+2}) .$$

Then $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\sigma'(0) = 1$, so there exists a coordinate change $\vartheta : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$ on a neighborhood $\Sigma' \subset \mathbb{C}$ of 0 which is the inverse of σ . Because ϑ is a conformal diffeomorphism,

for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any differential $\omega = O(z^j)dz$, we have $\vartheta^* \omega = O(w^j)dw$. A calculation shows that on $\vartheta(\Sigma')$,

$$(z^{-1} + \kappa z^{n-1}) dz = (\sigma^{-1} + O(\sigma^n)) d\sigma .$$

Hence

$$\vartheta^*((z^{-1} + \kappa z^{n-1})dz) = w^{-1}dw + O(w^n)dw .$$

This with (2.9) implies the result (2.10). \square

We now apply Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 iteratively to transform ξ to the form $Aw^{-1}dw + O(w^n)dw$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let ξ be a perturbed Delaunay r -potential (Definition 2.1)*

$$\xi = Az^{-1}dz + O(z^0)dz .$$

Assume for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ that

$$(2.11) \quad \min_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \operatorname{Re} \mu(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n}{2} < \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \operatorname{Re} \mu(\lambda) .$$

Then there exists a coordinate change $\vartheta : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$ on a neighborhood $\Sigma' \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with coordinate w , and an r -gauge $g : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\vartheta^*(\xi.g) = Aw^{-1}dw + O(w^n)dw .$$

Moreover, let Φ satisfy $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$. Then $\Psi = \vartheta^(\Phi g)$ satisfies $d\Psi = \Psi(\vartheta^*(\xi.g))$, and the monodromies of Φ and Ψ on a loop around $z = 0$ are equal.*

Proof. For any conformal maps $\vartheta_1 : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$, $\vartheta_2 : \Sigma'' \rightarrow \Sigma'$ and r -gauges $g_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, $g_2 : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, we have the composition formula

$$(2.12) \quad \vartheta_2^*((\vartheta_1^*(\xi_1.g_1)).g_2) = \theta^*(\xi.g) ,$$

where $\vartheta : \Sigma'' \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the conformal map and $g : \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is the r -gauge defined by

$$\vartheta = \vartheta_1 \circ \vartheta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad g = g_1 \cdot ((\vartheta_1^{-1})^* g_2) .$$

The result then follows using the composition formula (2.12) by induction on n , applying Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 repeatedly. The conditions (2.11) insure that condition (2.5) holds for each step. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $r \in (0, 1]$, $X \in \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, and $Y \in \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Then*

(i) There exists $U \in \text{SU}_2$ such that

$$(2.13) \quad \text{Uni}_r[XY] = \text{Uni}_r[X]U \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Pos}_r[XY] = U^{-1}\text{Pos}_r[X]Y .$$

(ii) Let ξ be an r -potential on a Riemann surface Σ . Let Φ satisfy $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$. Let $g : \Sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{\text{pos}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be a gauge. Then $\text{Sym}_r[\Phi] = \text{Sym}_r[\Phi g]$.

Proof. To prove (i), let $Y_+ = \text{Pos}_r[Y]$ and let U_1 be the unitary factor in the QR-decomposition of $Y(0)$. Then $Y = U_1 Y_+$. Let $X_u = \text{Uni}_r[X]$ and $X_+ = \text{Pos}_r[X]$, so $X = X_u X_+$. Let U be the unitary factor in the QR-decomposition of $X_+(0)U_1$. Then $X_+ U_1 = U \text{Pos}_r[X_+ U_1]$. Hence

$$\text{Pos}_r[XY] = \text{Pos}_r[X_+ Y] = \text{Pos}_r[X_+ U_1 Y_+] = \text{Pos}_r[X_+ U_1] Y_+ = U^{-1} X_+ U_1 Y_+ = U^{-1} X_+ Y .$$

This proves the second equality in (2.13), and the first equality follows from it.

To prove (ii), by (i) there exists $U \in \text{SU}_2$ such that $\text{Uni}_r[\Phi g] = \text{Uni}_r[\Phi]U$. It follows that $\text{Sym}_r[\Phi] = \text{Sym}_r[\Phi g]$. \square

2.3. Cauchy integral formula for vector-valued maps. The following technical lemma shows from the convergence of a family of holomorphic functions the convergence of all its derivatives in a strictly contained subdomain. The proof is standard and uses the Cauchy integral formula. We use the notation $\mathcal{R}' \subset\subset \mathcal{R}$ to mean that \mathcal{R}' is bounded away from $\partial\mathcal{R}$. Let $X^{(n)}$ denote the n 'th derivative of X with respect to λ .

Lemma 2.9. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} , and let $|\cdot|$ be a vector norm on V . With $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ a punctured neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ a bounded domain with smooth boundary, let $X = X(z, \lambda) : \Sigma \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow V$ be continuous in z and holomorphic in λ . Suppose $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}} |X| = 0$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and every subset $\mathcal{R}' \subset\subset \mathcal{R}$,*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}'} |X^{(n)}| = 0 .$$

Proof. With $n = \dim V$, we may assume that $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Since all vector norms on a finite dimensional vector space are equivalent, we may assume that the norm $|\cdot|$ is given by $|(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)| = \max_j |Y_j|$. Let $\mathcal{R}' \subset\subset \mathcal{R}$. By the Cauchy integral formula, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}'$,

$$X^{(n)}(z, \lambda) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \frac{X(z, \nu)}{(\nu - \lambda)^{n+1}} d\nu ,$$

so

$$|X^{(n)}(z, \lambda)| \leq \frac{n!}{2\pi} \max_j \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \frac{|X_j(z, \nu)|}{|\nu - \lambda|^{n+1}} |d\nu| \leq \frac{n!}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \frac{|X(z, \nu)|}{|\nu - \lambda|^{n+1}} |d\nu| .$$

Since $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}'$ and \mathcal{R}' is bounded away from $\partial\mathcal{R}$, then there exists $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for all $\nu \in \partial\mathcal{R}$, $|\nu - \lambda|^{-(n+1)} < c_1$. Hence with $c_2 = c_1 n! / (2\pi)$,

$$|X^{(n)}(z, \lambda)| \leq c_2 \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} |X(z, \nu)| |d\nu| .$$

Since this holds for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}'$, and the right-hand side is independent of λ , so

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{R}'} |X^{(n)}(z, \lambda)| \leq c_2 \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} |X(z, \nu)| |d\nu| .$$

The result follows by taking limits. \square

2.4. Asymptotics of the perturbed Delaunay frame. We now have the tools to show that the r -Iwasawa factors of a holomorphic frame constructed from a perturbed Delaunay potential converge to the respective factors of a holomorphic frame constructed from a Delaunay potential (Theorem 2.11). This convergence implies that the immersions and their metrics converge (Theorem 3.2). A bootstrap argument in section 3 strengthens this to C^∞ -convergence of the immersions.

Lemma 2.10. *Let ξ be a perturbed Delaunay potential, let $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$, and assume that the monodromy M of Φ around $z = 0$ satisfies $M \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$. With $\Phi_0 = \exp(A \log z)$, let $\Phi = C\Phi_0 P$ be the decomposition as in Lemma 2.3. Let $C\Phi_0 = F_0 \cdot B_0$ and $\Phi = F \cdot B$ be the respective r -Iwasawa factorizations on $\tilde{\Sigma}^*$. Then $F_0^{-1}F$, B and B_0 are lifts of unique single-valued maps on Σ^* .*

Proof. Let $\tau : \tilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}^*$ be a deck transformation corresponding to the curve defining the monodromy M of Φ . Then by the definition of M , for all $z \in \tilde{\Sigma}^*$ we have $\tau^* \Phi = M\Phi$, so

$$(\tau^* F)(\tau^* B) = MFB ,$$

or

$$(2.14) \quad (\tau^* B)B^{-1} = (\tau^* F)^{-1}MF .$$

The left hand side of (2.14) is in $\Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, and since by hypothesis, $M \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, the right hand side of (2.14) is in $\Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Hence each side is equal to I , so $\tau^* B = B$, and B is the lift of a single-valued map on Σ^* .

Since $\Phi = C \exp(A \log z) P$, and $\tau^* P = P$, then

$$M = C \exp(2\pi i A) C^{-1} .$$

Since $\Phi_0 = C \exp(A \log z)$, then M is also the monodromy of Φ_0 . Hence $\tau^* \Phi_0 = M \Phi_0$. The same argument as above, with F_0 and B_0 replacing F and B respectively, shows that $\tau^* B_0 = B_0$, so B_0 is the lift of a single-valued map on Σ^* .

Since

$$(2.15) \quad F_0^{-1} F = B_0 P B^{-1} .$$

and the right hand side of (2.15) is invariant under the action of τ^* , then so is the left hand side of (2.15), so $F_0^{-1} F^{-1}$ is the lift of a single-valued map on Σ^* . \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let $r \in (0, 1)$ and assume $\mathcal{C}_r \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$. Let ξ be a perturbed Delaunay r -potential*

$$(2.16) \quad \xi = A z^{-1} dz + O(z^n) dz .$$

Suppose

$$(2.17) \quad \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \mathrm{Re} \mu(\lambda) < \frac{n+1}{2} .$$

Let $\Phi : \widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Lambda_r \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $d\Phi = \Phi \xi$ on the universal cover $\widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ of Σ^* , and assume that the monodromy M of Φ around $z = 0$ satisfies $M \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Phi_0 = \exp(A \log z)$ and let $\Phi = C \Phi_0 P$ be the $z^A P$ -decomposition of Φ as in Lemma 2.3. Assume for some $0 < s_1 < r < s_2 < 1$ there exists a continuous function $c : \mathcal{A}_{s_1, s_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mathrm{Pos}_r[C \Phi_0]$ extends analytically to \mathcal{A}_{s_1, s_2} and

$$(2.18) \quad \|\mathrm{Pos}_r[C \Phi_0]\|_{\mathcal{A}_{s_1, s_2}} \leq c |z|^{-\mathrm{Re} \mu} .$$

Then Φ and $C \Phi_0$ have the convergence

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\mathrm{Uni}_r[C \Phi_0])^{-1} \mathrm{Uni}_r[\Phi] - I \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_r} &= 0 , \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \mathrm{Pos}_r[\Phi] (\mathrm{Pos}_r[C \Phi_0])^{-1} - I \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Step 1. Let $v_1 = \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \mathrm{Re} \mu(\lambda)$. There exist $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $s_1 \leq r_1 < r < r_2 \leq s_2$, $\mathcal{A}_{r_1, r_2} \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{Pos}_r[C \Phi_0]$ extends analytically to $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_{r_1, r_2}$. By (2.18),

$$(2.20) \quad \|\mathrm{Pos}_r[C \Phi_0]\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq c |z|^{-v_1} .$$

Step 2. Let $C \Phi_0 = F_0 \cdot B_0$ and $\Phi = C \Phi_0 P = F \cdot B$ and be the respective r -Iwasawa factorizations on $\widetilde{\Sigma}^*$. Since by hypothesis $M \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, by Lemma 2.10, B, B_0 and $F_0^{-1} F$ on $\widetilde{\Sigma}^*$ descend to single-valued analytic maps on Σ^* .

Let $m = n + 1$. By Lemma 2.3(iii), P has the expansion on Σ'

$$P(z, \lambda) = I + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} P_k(\lambda) z^k .$$

Then

$$B_0 P B_0^{-1} - I = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} B_0 P_k B_0^{-1} z^k .$$

By (2.20),

$$\|B_0\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq c |z|^{-v_1} \text{ and } \|B_0^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq c |z|^{-v_1} ,$$

so

$$\|B_0 P B_0^{-1} - I\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \|B_0\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|P_k\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|B_0^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{A}} |z|^k \leq c^2 \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \|P_k\|_{\mathcal{A}} |z|^{k-2v_1}.$$

Since by (3.10), $v_1 < m/2$, then $k - 2v_1 > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq m}$. Hence

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|B_0 P B_0^{-1} - I\|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.9, $B_0 P B_0^{-1} \rightarrow I$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ as a map in $C^\infty(\mathcal{C}_r, \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C})$.

Step 3. We have the r -Iwasawa factorization

$$B_0 P B_0^{-1} = (F_0^{-1} F) \cdot (B B_0^{-1}).$$

As noted in the preliminary section, the r -Iwasawa factorization is a homeomorphism in the C^∞ -topologies on its domain and target spaces. The result (2.19) follows. \square

3. Asymptotics of immersions

3.1. Convergence of geometric data. In Theorem 2.11 we showed that the unitary and positive part of a holomorphic frame constructed from a perturbed Delaunay potential converge to those of a Delaunay holomorphic frame. From this we obtain convergence of the immersions, metrics, and moving frames and normals. This convergence is strengthened to C^∞ -convergence in subsection 3.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Sigma^* \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a punctured neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with coordinate z . Let $r \in (0, 1]$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be r -potentials. Let α_k be the coefficient of λ^{-1} in the upper-right entry ξ_k in its λ expansion, and suppose*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (\alpha_1 / \alpha_2) = 1.$$

For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, let Φ_k satisfy $d\Phi_k = \Phi_k \xi_k$. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a neighborhood of $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Suppose

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|\mathrm{Uni}_r[\Phi_1]^{-1} \mathrm{Uni}_r[\Phi_2] - I\|_{\mathcal{R}} &= 0 \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{Pos}_r[\Phi_1] (\mathrm{Pos}_r[\Phi_2])^{-1} \Big|_{\lambda=0} &= I. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_k = \mathrm{Sym}_r[\Phi_k]$ be the immersions obtained from Φ_k , and let $v_k^2 |dz|^2$ be the respective metrics of f_k . Then:

- (i) The immersions converge, that is, $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (f_2 - f_1)|_{\lambda_0} = 0$.
- (ii) The metrics converge in ratio; that is, $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (v_2/v_1) = 1$.
- (iii) The moving frames G_k for f_k converge; that is, $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (G_1^{-1} G_2)|_{\lambda_0} = I$.
- (iv) The difference of the normals of f_1 and f_2 converges to 0 as $z \rightarrow 0$.

Moreover, let $x + iy = \log z$ and let $w_k^2(dx^2 + dy^2)$ be the metric for f_k in the x and y coordinates. If w_1 is bounded and bounded away from 0 on Σ , then the immersions have C^1 -convergence in the coordinates x and y ; that is,

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{dx} f_2 - \frac{d}{dx} f_1 \right) \Big|_{\lambda_0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{dy} f_2 - \frac{d}{dy} f_1 \right) \Big|_{\lambda_0} = 0.$$

Proof. For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, let $F_k = \mathrm{Uni}_r[\Phi_k]$ and $B_k = \mathrm{Pos}_r[\Phi_k]$. Let prime denote differentiation with respect to θ , where $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$.

Step 1. To show the convergence of the immersions, by the Sym formula for \mathbb{R}^3 in the preliminary section, we have

$$f_k = -2H^{-1} F_k' F_k^{-1}, \quad k \in \{1, 2\},$$

where $H \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the constant mean curvature. Hence

$$(3.4) \quad f_2 - f_1 = -2H^{-1}F_1(F_1^{-1}F_2)'F_2^{-1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{R}' \subset \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a neighborhood of λ_0 . Since $F_1^{-1}F_2 - \text{I}$ is holomorphic on \mathcal{R} , by Lemma 2.9,

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|(F_1^{-1}F_2)'\|_{\mathcal{R}'} = 0.$$

The convergence of the immersions $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (f_2 - f_1)|_{\lambda_0} = 0$ follows from (3.4) and (3.5) and the fact that $\|F_1(\lambda_0)\| = 1 = \|F_2^{-1}(\lambda_0)\|$.

Step 2. To show the convergence of the metrics, since $F_k B_k = \Phi_k$, then B_k satisfies the gauge equation $(F_k^{-1}dF_k).B_k = \xi_k$. An examination of the coefficient of λ^{-1} in this gauge equation above shows that

$$(3.6) \quad v_k = 2|H^{-1}\alpha_k|\rho_k^2,$$

where ρ_k is the constant term of the upper-right entry of B_k in its λ expansion. Equation (3.1) implies $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0}(\rho_1/\rho_2) = 1$, and the result follows.

Step 3. The moving frames G_k for f_k are defined by the equations

$$(3.7) \quad (f_k)_x = v_k G_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & dz \\ -d\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} G_k^{-1}, \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

The extended frames F_k and the moving frames G_k are related by a gauge g_k defined by

$$G_k = F_k g_k, \quad g_k = \text{diag}(p_k, p_k^{-1}), \quad p_k^2 = iH\alpha_k/(\lambda|H\alpha_k|) \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Then

$$(3.8) \quad G_1^{-1}G_2 - \text{I} = g_1^{-1}(F_1^{-1}F_2 - g_1g_2^{-1})g_2.$$

By (3.1), it follows that $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} g_1(\lambda_0)g_2^{-1}(\lambda_0) = 1$. Since $\|g_k(\lambda_0)\|$ is finite, the convergence of the moving frames (iii) follows from (3.8). The convergence of the normals follows from the convergence of the moving frames.

Step 4. To show the convergence of the derivatives of the immersions (3.3), let

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Writing $x = x_1$ and $y = x_2$, by (3.7) we have $(f_k)_{x_j} = w_k G_k e_j G_k^{-1}$. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dx_j}(f_2 - f_1) = (w_2 - w_1)G_2 e_j G_2^{-1} + w_1 G_1 [G_1^{-1}G_2, e_j] G_2^{-1}.$$

Since $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0}(v_2/v_1) = 1$ and $v_k = w_k e^{-x/2}$, then $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0}(w_2/w_1) = 1$. Since by assumption, w_1 or w_2 is bounded away from 0, then $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0}(w_2 - w_1) = 0$. The first equation of (3.3) follows, since $\|G_1(\lambda_0)e_j G_1^{-1}(\lambda_0)\|$ is finite, w_1 is bounded, $\|G_1(\lambda_0)\|$ and $\|G_2^{-1}(\lambda_0)\|$ are finite, and $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|G_1^{-1}(\lambda_0)G_2(\lambda_0)\| = 0$ by (3.5). \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be a Delaunay residue satisfying*

$$(3.9) \quad \min_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \text{Re } \mu(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let ξ be a Delaunay r -perturbation of A . Let Φ satisfy $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ with unitary monodromy at $z = 0$. Assume Φ is in $\Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$, and $(\mathcal{C}_r \cup \mathcal{A}_{r,1}) \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$. Let f be the CMC immersion induced by Φ . Then there exists a Delaunay immersion f_0 with the same necksize as that induced by $\Phi_0 := \exp(A \log z)$, such that as $z \rightarrow 0$, in the coordinates $x + iy = \log z$, the ratio of their metrics converges to 0, and $f - f_0$ converges C^1 to 0.

Proof. We shall require the following fact: as functions of r , $\max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \text{Re } \mu$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1]$, and $\min_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \text{Re } \mu$ is 0 on $(0, |\nu_1|]$ and is strictly increasing on $[|\nu_1|, 1]$. (The boundary of the upper graph in 2(b) illustrates this behavior.)

Let $v_0 = \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_r} \operatorname{Re} \mu(\lambda)$ and $v_1 = \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_r} \operatorname{Re} \mu(\lambda)$. Since $v_1 \geq v_0 \geq 1/2$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\frac{n}{2} \leq v_1 < \frac{n+1}{2} .$$

If $v_1 = n/2$, by the above fact, r can be replaced with a smaller value and v_0 and v_1 by the corresponding values so that this new v_1 satisfies

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{n}{2} < v_1 < \frac{n+1}{2} .$$

Then v_0 is still $\leq 1/2$ by the above fact. By Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.8(ii), we may assume after a gauge and coordinate change that ξ has the form (2.16).

Since Φ is in $\Lambda_r^\dagger \operatorname{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, we have the $z^A P$ decomposition $\Phi = C \exp(A \log z) P$ on $\mathcal{A}_{r,1} \setminus \mathcal{S}_A$. Since by assumption $(\mathcal{C}_r \cup \mathcal{A}_{r,1}) \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$, then C and P extend to $\mathcal{C}_r \cup \mathcal{A}_{r,1}$.

By Theorem 1.13, there exists a continuous function $c : \mathcal{D}_1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $(z, \lambda) \in \{0 < |z| < 1\} \times \mathcal{D}_1^*$,

$$\|\operatorname{Pos}_r[C\Phi_0]\| \leq c|z|^{-\operatorname{Re} \mu} .$$

By Theorem 2.11, Φ and $C\Phi_0$ have the convergence (2.19). Hence taking $\Phi_1 = \Phi_0$ and $\Phi_2 = \Phi$ in Lemma 3.1, condition (3.2) holds. By Lemma 1.12, $C\Phi_0$ induces a Delaunay immersion which differs from Φ_0 by a rigid motion. Taking $\xi_1 = A dz/z$ and $\xi_2 = \xi$ in Lemma 3.1, condition (3.1) of Lemma 3.1 holds because ξ_2 is a holomorphic perturbation of ξ_1 .

With w_1 and w_2 as in Lemma 3.1, a computation shows that with v as in Theorem 1.5, by (3.6), the metric of the Delaunay immersion is $w_1 = 4|abH^{-1}|v^{-1}$. Hence w_1 is periodic and nonzero in the coordinate $x = \log |z|$, and is hence bounded and bounded away from 0 on Σ . The assertion follows by Lemma 3.1. \square

3.2. Asymptotics of conformal immersions. Now that we have shown that the frames and metrics of the immersion produced by a perturbed Delaunay potential converge to those of a Delaunay immersion, we apply a bootstrap argument on the Gauss equation to obtain C^∞ -convergence of the immersions up to rigid motion. For details and formulas, see [2]. The notation $f \xrightarrow{C^n} g$ means $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|f - g\|_{C^n} = 0$, where the C^n norm is taken over some implied compact domain.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain, and let $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ be a strictly contained subset. Let $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and the sequence $f_j : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be a sequence of conformal immersions in $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. Let e^u , H , $Q dz^2$ and e_j^u , H_j , $Q_j dz^2$ be the respective conformal factors, mean curvature functions, and Hopf differential of f and the f_j . Suppose that $f_j \xrightarrow{C^1} f$, $H_j \xrightarrow{C^0} H$, $Q_j \xrightarrow{C^0} Q$ and $u_j \xrightarrow{C^0} u$ on Ω . Then $f_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} f$ on Ω' .*

Proof. We will use the following gradient estimate for Poisson's equation [7]. Let Σ be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 and $\Sigma' \subset\subset \Sigma$. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depending only on $\operatorname{dist}(\Sigma', \partial\Sigma)$ such that for all $w \in C^2(\Sigma) \cap C^0(\overline{\Sigma})$,

$$(3.11) \quad \sup_{\Sigma'} |\partial_x w| + \sup_{\Sigma'} |\partial_y w| \leq c \left(\sup_{\Sigma} |w| + \sup_{\Sigma} |\Delta w| \right) .$$

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ and choose domains $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k$ with $\Omega' = \Omega_k \subset\subset \dots \subset\subset \Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega$.

Step 1. We apply the estimate (3.11) k times to show that $u_j \xrightarrow{C^k} u$ and $H_j \xrightarrow{C^{k-1}} H$ on Ω'

The first iteration is as follows. For the functions u , H , Q , define

$$\Psi[u, H, Q] = -\frac{1}{2} H^2 e^w + 2|Q|^2 e^{-w} .$$

Then the Gauss equations for f and the f_j are respectively

$$\Delta u = \Psi[u, H, Q] , \quad \Delta u_j = \Psi[u_j, H_j, Q_j] .$$

Hence

$$\Delta(u_j - u) = \Phi[u_j, H_j, Q_j] - \Phi[u, H, Q] .$$

Since $u_j \xrightarrow{C_0} u$, $H_j \xrightarrow{C_0} H$ and $Q_j \xrightarrow{C_0} Q$ on Ω then

$$\Phi[u_j, H_j, Q_j] - \Phi[u, H, Q] \xrightarrow{C_0} 0 ,$$

so $\Delta(u_j - u) \xrightarrow{C_0} 0$ on Ω . Applying the estimate (3.11) with $\Sigma = \Omega$, $\Sigma' = \Omega_1$, and $w = u_j - u$, we obtain $u_j \xrightarrow{C_1} u$ on Ω_1 .

The Codazzi equations for f and the f_j are respectively

$$H_z = 2e^{-u}Q_{\bar{z}} , \quad (H_j)_z = 2e^{-u_j}(Q_j)_{\bar{z}} .$$

Since $u_j \xrightarrow{C_0} u$ and $Q_j \xrightarrow{C_1} Q$ on Ω , it follows that $H_j \xrightarrow{C_1} H$ on Ω .

Using the Gauss equation again in the same way, since $u_j \xrightarrow{C_1} u$, $H_j \xrightarrow{C_1} H$ and $Q_j \xrightarrow{C_1} Q$ on Ω_1 , then $\Delta(u_j - u) \xrightarrow{C_1} 0$. Hence $\Delta \partial_x(u_j - u) \xrightarrow{C_0} 0$ and $\Delta \partial_y(u_j - u) \xrightarrow{C_0} 0$. Applying the estimate (3.11) again, with $\Sigma = \Omega_1$, $\Sigma' = \Omega_2$, and w take to be first $\partial_x(u_j - u)$ and then $\partial_y(u_j - u)$, we obtain $u_j \xrightarrow{C_2} u$ on Ω_2 .

Repeating the argument $k - 2$ more times shows that $u_j \xrightarrow{C_k} u$ and $H_j \xrightarrow{C^{k-1}} H$ on $\Omega_k = \Omega'$. Since k is arbitrary, the above argument shows $u_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} u$ and $H_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} H$ on Ω' .

Step 2. Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be a positively oriented orthonormal basis for \mathfrak{su}_2 . Let F and F_j be the respective moving frames for f and f_j with values in SU_2 , defined respectively by (3.12)

$$\partial_x f = e^u F e_1 F^{-1} , \quad \partial_y f = e^u F e_2 F^{-1} , \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_x f_j = e^{u_j} F_j e_1 F_j^{-1} , \quad \partial_y f_j = e^{u_j} F_j e_2 F_j^{-1} .$$

By assumption, $f_j \xrightarrow{C^1} f$, from which it follows that $F_j \xrightarrow{C^0} F$.

Write the Lax pairs for F and F_j respectively

$$\partial_x F = F U , \quad \partial_y F = F V , \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_x F_j = F_j U_j , \quad \partial_y F_j = F_j V_j .$$

Since $u_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} u$, $H_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} H$ and $Q_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} Q$, then $U_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} U$ and $V_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} V$. Since the Lax pair equations are linear and $F_k \xrightarrow{C^0} F$, it follows that $F_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} F$ by smooth dependence of solutions on parameters. By (3.12), we obtain the convergence $f_j \xrightarrow{C^\infty} f$. \square

3.3. Embedded ends. Under appropriate assumptions, if an immersion f of a cylinder converges C^2 to an embedding of the cylinder, then f is embedded.

Let Σ_{Cyl} be the cylinder

$$(3.13) \quad \Sigma_{\mathrm{Cyl}} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x > 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq y \leq 2\pi\} ,$$

with the boundary lines $y = 0$ and $y = 2\pi$ identified.

Lemma 3.4. *With Σ_{Cyl} as in (3.13), let $f : \Sigma_{\mathrm{Cyl}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a properly embedded surface with bounded curvature which satisfies the following condition:*

$$(3.14) \quad \text{For all } \delta > 0 \text{ there exists } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that for all } p, q \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{Cyl}}, \\ \text{if } |p - q|_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{Cyl}}} > \delta, \text{ then } |f(p) - f(q)|_{\mathbb{R}^3} > \epsilon.$$

Let $g : \Sigma_{\mathrm{Cyl}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be an immersion which converges to f as $x \rightarrow +\infty$, in the C^2 -topology of Σ_{Cyl} . Then there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that g restricted to $\{x > x_0\}$ is properly embedded.

Proof. The C^0 -convergence of g to f and the properness of f implies that f is proper.

Since f has bounded curvature, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any two points $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^2$, if $|p - q|_{\Sigma_{\text{Cyl}}} < \delta$, then the total curvature of the image under f of the shortest straight line from p to q in Σ_{Cyl} is at most $\pi/4$. By the C^2 -convergence of g to f , we can choose $x_1 > 0$ so that the total curvature of the image under g of those straight lines is less than $\pi/2$ when the x coordinates of p and q are greater than x_1 .

With the above choice of δ , let ϵ be as in (3.14). By the C^0 -convergence of g to f , we can choose an x_2 so that $|f - g|_{\mathbb{R}^3} < \epsilon/4$ at any point for which $x > x_2$. Set $x_0 = \max\{x_1, x_2\}$.

Now suppose that g is not embedded on $\Sigma_{\text{Cyl}} \cap \{x > x_0\}$, so there exist distinct $p, q \in \Sigma_{\text{Cyl}} \cap \{x > x_0\}$ such that $g(p) = g(q)$. Then the proof divides into two cases.

Case 1: $|p - q|_{\Sigma_{\text{Cyl}}} \geq \delta$. Then $|f(p) - f(q)|_{\mathbb{R}^3} > \epsilon$. Thus $|g(p) - g(q)|_{\mathbb{R}^3} > \epsilon/2$, which contradicts $g(p) = g(q)$.

Case 2: $|p - q|_{\Sigma_{\text{Cyl}}} < \delta$. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma_{\text{Cyl}}$ be the parametrized straight line from p to q . Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a closed curve in \mathbb{R}^3 which is smooth except at one point. If $g \circ \gamma$ is smoothed out at that point, it has total curvature $\geq 2\pi$. But the turning angle of the tangent at the non-smooth point is $\leq \pi$, hence the total curvature of the smoothed out $g \circ \gamma$ is $\leq 3\pi/2$, a contradiction. \square

3.4. Asymptotics of Delaunay immersions. We conclude Part 1 with its culminating theorem, showing that a CMC end obtained from a perturbed Delaunay potential is asymptotic to a half-Delaunay surface. This theorem restricts itself to the case that the holomorphic frame extends analytically to $\mathcal{A}_{r,1}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let A be a Delaunay residue as in (1.1) satisfying condition (3.9). Let $r \in (0, 1]$ and assume $\mathcal{A}_{r,1} \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$. On the punctured unit disk $\Sigma^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$, let*

$$\xi = A \frac{dz}{z} + O(z^0) dz$$

be a perturbed Delaunay r -potential. Let f_0 and f be the immersions of Σ^ induced by the generalized Weierstrass representation at $\lambda = 1$ by $A dz/z$ and ξ respectively, so f_0 is a Delaunay immersion. Assume that f is obtained from a holomorphic r -frame with values in $\Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ whose monodromy at $z = 0$ is in $\Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.*

With Σ_{Cyl} as in (3.13), let $\phi : \Sigma_{\text{Cyl}} \rightarrow \Sigma^$ be the map $\phi(x, y) = e^{x+iy}$. Then some rigid motion of $f \circ \phi$ converges to $f_0 \circ \phi$ in the C^∞ -topology of Σ_{Cyl} as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. Furthermore, if f_0 is embedded, then f is properly embedded.*

Proof. Let ρ be the period of the Delaunay surface f_0 . Let $\Sigma_{\text{Rect}} = [0, \rho] \times [0, 2\pi] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Fix a small $\epsilon > 0$. Then $f \circ \phi$ and $f_0 \circ \phi$ can be lifted to the ϵ -neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\Sigma_{\text{Rect}})$ of Σ_{Rect} . We define a sequence g_k of C^∞ functions on Ω by

$$g_k(x, y) = (f \circ \phi)((x + k\rho) + iy)$$

on Σ_{Rect} and extend g_k real analytically to $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\Sigma_{\text{Rect}})$.

Let u, H, Q and u_k, H_k, Q_k be the geometric data for f_0 and the g_k respectively. Let Φ_0 and Φ be the respective solutions to $d\Phi_0 = \Phi_0 A dz/z$ and $d\Phi = \Phi \xi$ which respectively induce f_0 and f via the generalized Weierstrass representation. By Theorem 3.2, the metrics are $v = e^u$ and $v_k = e^{u_k}$. We have C^1 -convergence of g_k to some rigid motion of $f_0 \circ \phi$ on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\Sigma_{\text{Rect}})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and $v/v_k \xrightarrow{C^0} 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $u_k \xrightarrow{C^0} u$ on $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(\Sigma_{\text{Rect}})$. We have that $Q_k \xrightarrow{C^\infty} Q$, and $H_k = H$ for all k , so Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 imply the theorem. \square

Remark 3.6. When f_0 is embedded, the rigid motion of f converges exponentially to f_0 in the sense of [17], as proven there.

Remark 3.7. The above Delaunay asymptotics result for Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 most likely also holds for the spaceform \mathbb{S}^3 , with appropriate changes in the Sym and moving frame formulas. For hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^3 , the value λ_0 in the Sym formula is in the interior of the unit disk, so if the asymptotics result is to hold, its proof would require the results of Part 2.

Part 2. Dressed Delaunay asymptotics

Part 2 generalizes the results in Part 1 to the setting of $\Lambda_r \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ for arbitrary r . It shows for this larger class of frames that an immersion constructed from a perturbed Delaunay r -potential via r -dressing is asymptotic to a Delaunay surface.

Outline of results

Dressing by simple factors performs a Bianchi-Bäcklund transformation on a CMC surface. The formula for dressing by simple factors is known explicitly [27]. In subsection 4.2, we show that a dressed Delaunay frame $C \exp(A \log z)$ which has unitary monodromy is a multibubbleton frame, that is, a Delaunay frame dressed by a finite product of simple factors (Theorem 4.4). This result is used in subsection 5.4.

In subsection 5.1, we show that dressing by a finite product G of simple factors with distinct singularities preserves asymptotics (Theorem 5.2).

In Equation 5.1, we show that if an immersion f converges to a Delaunay immersion f_0 , then f dressed by a product of simple factors with distinct singularities also converges to a Delaunay immersion with the same necksize as f_0 (Theorem 5.6). Together with the methods of section 3, this implies that dressing by finitely many simple factors preserves convergence to a Delaunay surface (Theorem 5.7.)

Given a holomorphic perturbation

$$\xi = A \frac{dz}{z} + O(z^0) dz$$

of the Delaunay potential $A dz/z$ which represents a closed once-wrapped Delaunay surface, let Φ satisfy $d\Phi = \Phi \xi$ with unitary monodromy at $z = 0$. In subsection 5.4 we show that the unitary factor of Φ is asymptotic to a Delaunay frame and the metric of the surface induced by Φ is asymptotic to the corresponding Delaunay metric (Theorem 5.8).

These asymptotic frames results are applied to construct CMC surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 . The surface induced by Φ is asymptotic to a half-Delaunay surface induced by $A dz/z$ (Theorem 5.9).

4. Simple factor dressing

4.1. Simple factors. We recall the notion of a *simple factor* [27].

Definition 4.1. Given $r \in (0, 1]$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{r,1}$, let f be the unique rational map on \mathbb{CP}^1 with degree one whose pole is at λ_0 , satisfying $f^* = f^{-1}$ and $f(1) = 1$. (Here, f^{-1} denotes the multiplicative inverse). Let $L \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. An *unnormalized simple factor* $\mathfrak{g}^\circ[\lambda_0, L] \in \Lambda_r^{\mathrm{pos}} \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is a loop

$$\mathfrak{g}^\circ[\lambda_0, L] = f^{1/2} \pi_L + f^{-1/2} \pi_{L^\perp} ,$$

where π_L denotes the orthogonal projection to L . A *normalized simple factor* $\mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L] \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is a map of the form $\mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L] = U^{-1} \mathfrak{g}^\circ[\lambda_0, L]$, where U is the unitary factor of the QR-decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^\circ[\lambda_0, L]|_{\lambda=0}$. A *general simple factor* is a map of the form $Ug \in \Lambda_r^{\mathrm{pos}} \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, where $U \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ and g is a normalized simple factor.

By Proposition 4.2 in [27], dressing by simple factors is explicit: given $r \in (0, 1)$, an extended r -unitary frame $F(z, \lambda)$, and a normalized simple factor $\mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L]$ with $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{r,1}$, we have the formula [27] (see also [14, 15])

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Uni}_r[\mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L] F] = \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L] F \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, \overline{F(z, \lambda_0)}^t L]^{-1}.$$

While simple factors are positive r -loops, the product of two simple factors with the same singularity extends to a meromorphic map on \mathbb{CP}^1 .

Lemma 4.2. *For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, let $g_k = U_k^{-1} \mathfrak{g}^\circ[\lambda_0, L_k]$ be general simple factors, where $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{D}_1^*$, $L_k \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, and $U_k \in \text{SU}_2$. Let $X : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{M}_{2 \times 2} \mathbb{C}$ be a meromorphic map on a domain $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then $g_1 X g_2^{-1}$ extends meromorphically to a map Y on \mathcal{R} . Moreover, suppose \mathcal{R} is invariant under the map $\lambda \rightarrow 1/\bar{\lambda}$. Then*

- (i) *If $g_1 = g_2$ and $X^* = X$, then $Y^* = Y$ away from its poles.*
- (ii) *If X is invertible and $X^* = X^{-1}$, then $Y^* = Y^{-1}$ away from its poles.*

Proof. By the definition of simple factors (Definition 4.1), for $k \in \{1, 2\}$ we can write $g_k = U_k f^{-1/2} h_k$, where $U_k \in \text{SU}_2$, $h_k = f \pi_{L_k} + \pi_{L_k^\perp}$, f has a simple pole at λ_0 , $f^* = f^{-1}$, and $h_k^* = h_k^{-1}$. Then $g_1 X g_2^{-1} = U_1 h_1 X h_2^{-1} U_2^{-1}$ extends meromorphically to \mathcal{R} because all its components do. Statements (i) and (ii) follow from the symmetries $f^* = f^{-1}$, $h_k^* = h_k^{-1}$ and $U_k^* = U_k^{-1}$. \square

4.2. The dressed Delaunay frame. We shall prove that if a dressing matrix preserves the unitarity of a Delaunay monodromy, then the dressing matrix is a product of simple factors and a loop V which conjugates the Delaunay residue to a Delaunay residue. The effect of V is a coordinate change and a rigid motion of the surface. Thus, for Delaunay surfaces, the class of dressing matrices that preserve unitarity of monodromy coincide with the Bianchi-Bäcklund transformations.

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be a Delaunay residue and let $M = \exp(2\pi i A)$. With $r \in (0, 1)$, let $C_+ \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ and suppose $C_+ M C_+^{-1} \in \Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Then $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ extends to a rational map on \mathbb{CP}^1 all of whose poles are simple and lie in $(\mathcal{S}_A \cap (\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \mathbb{S}^1)) \cup \{0, \infty\}$.*

Proof. Let μ be an eigenvalue of A as in Definition 1.2. Let $x, y : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the holomorphic functions $x = \cos(2\pi\mu)$ and $y = \mu^{-1} \sin(2\pi\mu)$. Note that x and y are always holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^* , even if μ is not. Then $M = xI + iyA$. Writing $A_1 = C_+ A C_+^{-1}$, on \mathcal{D}_r^* we have

$$C_+ M C_+^{-1} = xI + iyA_1.$$

Since by hypothesis $C_+ M C_+^{-1}$ extends holomorphically to \mathbb{C}^* , and since xI is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^* , then yA_1 extends holomorphically to \mathbb{C}^* . Since y is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^* , then A_1 extends meromorphically to \mathbb{C}^* , and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$

$$(4.2) \quad \text{ord}_\lambda A_1 \geq -\text{ord}_\lambda y.$$

We compute the orders of the poles of A_1 on \mathbb{C}^* . These occur only at the zeros of y , all of which are in \mathcal{S}_A . Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a zero of y . Then $\mu(\lambda_0) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^*$.

Case 1. If $\lambda_0 = 0$, then C_+ is holomorphic and A has a simple pole, so A_1 is meromorphic with at worst a simple pole at 0.

Case 2. If $0 < |\lambda_0| \leq r$, then A_1 is holomorphic at λ_0 because C_+ and A are.

Case 3. $r < |\lambda_0| < 1$. It can be shown that $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \mu'(\lambda) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{S}^1$. Hence $\mu'(\lambda_0) \neq 0$, from which it follows that $\text{ord}_{\lambda_0} y = 1$. By (4.2) $\text{ord}_{\lambda_0} A_1 \geq -1$, so A_1 has at most a simple pole at λ_0 .

Case 4. $|\lambda_0| = 1$. In this case, A_1 is holomorphic at λ_0 as in the proof of Lemma 1.12.

Since $\exp(2\pi i A_1)$ takes values in SU_2 on \mathbb{S}^1 , and A_1 is tracefree, then A_1 has the hermitian symmetry $A_1^* = A_1$. Hence the poles of A_1 on \mathbb{C}^* lie in $(\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \mathbb{S}^1) \cap \mathcal{S}_A$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a Delaunay residue and let $M = \exp(2\pi i A)$. With $r \in (0, 1)$, let $C_+ \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ and suppose $C_+ M C_+^{-1} \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a loop $G \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ which is a product of normalized simple factors (or $G = I$), the singularities of the simple factors are distinct and lie in $\mathcal{S}_A \cap (\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \mathbb{S}^1)$, and, with $V = G^{-1} C_+$, $A_1 = V A V^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the number of poles of $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{r,1}$. We prove the following induction step, which constructs a single simple factor g .

With A , M , r and C_+ as in the statement of the theorem, there exists a normalized simple factor $g \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ such that, with $V = g^{-1} C_+ \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, we have $V M V^{-1} \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, and $V A V^{-1}$ has one fewer pole in \mathcal{D}_1 than $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$.

Proof of the induction step:

By Lemma 4.3, $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ extends to a rational map on \mathbb{CP}^1 all of whose poles are simple and lie in $(\mathcal{S}_A \cap (\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \mathbb{S}^1)) \cup \{0, \infty\}$. Let

$$M_1 = C_+ M C_+^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = C_+ A C_+^{-1}.$$

Let $x = \cos(2\pi\mu)$ and $y = \mu^{-1} \sin(2\pi\mu)$ be the holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^* as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, so that $M = xI + iyA$. Then

$$(4.3) \quad C_+ M C_+^{-1} = xI + iyA_1.$$

Choose a pole $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{S}_A \cap (\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \mathbb{S}^1)$ of A_1 , so $\mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} A_1 = -1$. Since $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{S}_A$, then $\mu(\lambda_0) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^*$, so $\iota := x(\lambda_0) \in \{1, -1\}$. As in case 3 of the proof of Lemma 4.3, $\mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} y = 1$. Hence $\mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} y A_1 = 0$. Then $M_1(\lambda_0) \neq \iota I$, by (4.3). Hence $M_1(\lambda_0)$ is not semisimple, so the eigenspace of $M_1(\lambda_0)$ associated to the eigenvalue ι is one-dimensional. Let $v \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ be an eigenline of $M_1(\lambda_0)$ and let $L = [v] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. Define the unnormalized simple factor $h = \mathbf{g}^\circ[\lambda_0, L]$ on \mathcal{D}_r .

We show that $h^{-1} A_1 h$ is holomorphic at λ_0 . Let f be as in Definition 4.1 for h , so $h = f^{1/2} \pi_L + f^{-1/2} \pi_{L^\perp}$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} f = -1$. Working in the basis $\{v, v^\perp\}$, define the functions a, b, c, d by $A_1 v = av + cv^\perp$ and $A_1 v^\perp = bv + dv^\perp$. Since $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v\}$ is the kernel and image of $yA_1|_{\lambda_0}$, then

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} a \geq 0, \quad \mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} b = -1, \quad \mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} c \geq 0, \quad \mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} d \geq 0.$$

Then $\det A_1 = ad - bc$, so $\mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0}(ad - bc) \geq 0$. Hence $\mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0} c \geq 1$. On the other hand,

$$h^{-1} A_1 h v = av + f c v^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad h^{-1} A_1 h v^\perp = f^{-1} b v + d v^\perp.$$

Hence $h^{-1} A_1 h v$ and $h^{-1} A_1 h v^\perp$ are holomorphic at λ_0 , so $h^{-1} A_1 h$ is holomorphic at λ_0 .

Let $U \in \mathrm{SU}_2$ be the unitary factor in the QR-decomposition of $h(0)$, and define $g = hU^{-1}$. Then $g \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ is a normalized simple factor. Define $V = g^{-1} C_+$. Then C_+ and V have the same poles on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$. Since $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ has a pole at λ_0 , and as shown above, $V A V^{-1}$ does not, then $V A V^{-1}$ has one fewer pole than $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ in \mathcal{D}_1 .

Since A_1 is hermitian away from its poles, then so is $h^{-1} A_1 h$ by Lemma 4.2(i). By conjugating (4.3) by h^{-1} , we obtain that $h^{-1} M_1 h \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Then $V M V^{-1} = U h^{-1} M_1 h U^{-1} \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. This proves the induction step.

To prove the theorem, let n be the number of poles of $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{r,1}$. If $n = 0$, then by Lemma 1.12, $C_+ A C_+^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue, and the theorem follows with $G = I$ and $V = C_+$. Otherwise, repeated application of the induction step produces n normalized simple factors $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ with distinct singularities in $\mathcal{S}_A \cap (\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \mathbb{S}^1)$, such that, with $G = g_1 \cdots g_n$ and $V = G^{-1} C_+ \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, $V A V^{-1}$ has no poles in \mathcal{A}_r , and hence in

\mathcal{D}_1 , and $VMV^{-1} \in \Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. By Lemma 1.12, VAV^{-1} is a Delaunay residue. This proves the theorem. \square

4.3. Dressed Delaunay growth. Lemma 1.11 showed that under the assumption of unitary monodromy, dressing a Delaunay holomorphic frame $\exp(A \log z)$ by a loop $C \in \Lambda_r \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ does not affect the growth behavior of its positive factor, provided that $C \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Theorem 4.6 generalizes this result by removing this provision.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $h(z) = U(z)^{-1} \mathbf{g}^\circ[\lambda_0, L(z)]$ be a map from a domain $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ into the space of general simple factors. Then for every set $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$, $\|h(z)\|_{\mathcal{R}}$ is bounded as a function of z .*

Proof. With f and U as in Definition 4.1, write

$$h = U^{-1} \left(f(\lambda)^{1/2} \pi_{L(z)} + f(\lambda)^{-1/2} \pi_{L(z)^\perp} \right).$$

Then $|h| = \max\{|f^{1/2}|, |f^{-1/2}|\}$. On any set $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$, the function $|f|$ is bounded away from 0 and ∞ , and so $|f^{1/2}|$ and $|f^{-1/2}|$ are bounded on \mathcal{R} . Hence for all $z \in \Sigma$,

$$\|h(z)\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \max\{\|f^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{R}}, \|f^{-1/2}\|_{\mathcal{R}}\}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a Delaunay residue, and let μ be its eigenvalue as in Definition 1.2. Let τ be as in Lemma 1.7. Let $r \in (0, 1)$, and assume $\mathcal{C}_r \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$, where \mathcal{S}_A is defined by (1.2). Let $C \in \Lambda_r \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ and assume the monodromy $C \exp(2\pi i A) C^{-1}$ is in $\Lambda_r^* \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists r_1, r_2 with $0 < r_1 < r < r_2 < 1$, and a continuous function $c : \mathcal{A}_{r_1, r_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that for all $(z, \lambda) \in \{0 < |z| < 1\} \times \mathcal{A}_{r_1, r_2}$,*

$$\|\mathrm{Pos}_r[C \exp(A \log z)]\| \leq c|z|^{-\tau} \leq c|z|^{-\mathrm{Re} \mu}.$$

Proof. Step 1. Let $\Sigma^* = \{0 < |z| < 1\}$. Let $C = C_u \cdot C_+$ be the r -Iwasawa factorization of C . By Theorem 4.4, there exist loops $G, V \in \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ such that $C_+ = GV$, G is a product of normalized simple factors (or $G = I$), $A_1 := VAV^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue, and

$$X := C \exp(A \log z) = C_u G(\exp A_1 \log z) V.$$

Step 2. Since $\mathcal{C}_r \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$, and the points of \mathcal{S}_A are isolated on \mathbb{C}^* , there exist r_1 and r'_2 satisfying $0 < r_1 < r < r'_2 < 1$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{r_1, r_2} \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$, and V extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{D}_{r'_2}$. Let $r_2 \in (r, r_1)$ and let $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_{r_1, r_2}$. Since V extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{D}_{r'_2}$, then $c_1 := \|V\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finite on $\Sigma^* \times \mathcal{A}$, so

$$(4.4) \quad \|\mathrm{Pos}_r[X]\| = c_1 \|\mathrm{Pos}_r[G \exp(A_1 \log z)]\|.$$

Step 3. Write $G = g_n \cdots g_1 \cdot I$ as a product of normalized simple factors and for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, write $g_k = \mathbf{g}[\lambda_k, L_k]$, with $\lambda_k \in \mathcal{A}_{r_1, 1}$ and $L_k \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. By repeated use of the simple factor dressing formula (4.1), we obtain maps $h_1, \dots, h_n : \{0 < |z| < 1\} \rightarrow \Lambda_r^+ \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$h_k = \mathbf{g}[\lambda_k, \overline{F_k(\lambda_k)}^t L_k], \quad F_k = \mathrm{Uni}_r[g_{k-1} \cdots g_1 X]$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Pos}_r[g_n \cdots g_1 X] = h_n \cdots h_1 \mathrm{Pos}_r[X].$$

Since the singularities of G are in \mathcal{S}_A , which is bounded away from \mathcal{A} , then by Lemma 4.5, $\|h_k\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is finite for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. so let c_2 be their product. Then using (4.4), we have on $\Sigma^* \times \mathcal{A}$,

$$(4.5) \quad \|\mathrm{Pos}_r[X]\| \leq c_1 c_2 \|\mathrm{Pos}_r[\exp(A_1 \log z)]\|.$$

Step 4. It follows from $\det A = \det A_1$ that the function τ in Lemma 1.7 for A is the same as that for A_1 . By Lemma 1.11, there exists a continuous function $c_3 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that on $\{0 < |z| < 1\} \times \mathcal{A}$,

$$(4.6) \quad \|\text{Pos}_r[\exp(A_1 \log z)]\| \leq c_3 |z|^{-\tau} \leq c_3 |z|^{-\text{Re } \mu}.$$

The result follows from (4.5) and (4.6) with $c = c_1 c_2 c_3$. \square

5. Delaunay dressing asymptotics

5.1. Simple factor asymptotics. The next preliminary lemma shows a basic convergence property of simple factors: two simple factors with the same fixed singularities converge if the lines defining them converge.

Lemma 5.1. *For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, let $h_k = \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L_k]$, where $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{D}_1^*$ and $L_k : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ are continuous maps on a punctured neighborhood $\Sigma^* \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that the map $h_1 h_2^{-1}$ extends meromorphically to \mathbb{CP}^1 (see Lemma 4.2) and this extension is holomorphic on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$. If L_1 and L_2 converge as $z \rightarrow 0$, then on every region $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^1$ bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$,*

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|h_1 h_2^{-1} - \text{I}\|_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ be a region bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$.

Step 1. For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, let $h_k = U_k^{-1} \mathfrak{g}^\circ[\lambda_0, L_k]$. Since h_1 and h_2 share the same singularity λ_0 , we have f as in Definition 4.1 such that

$$h_k^\circ = f^{1/2} \pi_{L_k} + f^{-1/2} \pi_{L_k^\perp}, \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

We show that $h_1^\circ h_2^{\circ-1} \rightarrow \text{I}$. We have

$$(5.2) \quad h_1^\circ h_2^{\circ-1} - \text{I} = \left(\pi_{L_1} \pi_{L_2} + \pi_{L_1^\perp} \pi_{L_2^\perp} - \text{I} \right) + f \pi_{L_1} \pi_{L_2^\perp} + f^{-1} \pi_{L_1^\perp} \pi_{L_2}.$$

Then

$$(5.3) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (\pi_{L_1} \pi_{L_2} + \pi_{L_1^\perp} \pi_{L_2^\perp} - \text{I}) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \pi_{L_1} \pi_{L_2^\perp} = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \pi_{L_1^\perp} \pi_{L_2} = 0.$$

Moreover, since f and f^{-1} are holomorphic on \mathcal{R} , which is bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$, then f and f^{-1} are bounded on \mathcal{R} . Then (5.2) and (5.3) imply

$$(5.4) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|h_1^\circ h_2^{\circ-1} - \text{I}\|_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.$$

Step 2. We show that $h_1 h_2^{-1} \rightarrow \text{I}$. We have

$$h_1 h_2^{-1} - \text{I} = U_1^{-1} h_1^\circ h_2^{\circ-1} U_2 - \text{I} = U_1^{-1} (h_1^\circ h_2^{\circ-1} - U_1 U_2^{-1}) U_2,$$

so

$$(5.5) \quad \|h_1 h_2^{-1} - \text{I}\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \|h_1^\circ h_2^{\circ-1} - U_1 U_2^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \|h_1^\circ h_2^{\circ-1} - \text{I}\|_{\mathcal{R}} + \|U_1 U_2^{-1} - \text{I}\|.$$

By (5.4), the first term of the right-hand side of (5.5) converges to 0 as $z \rightarrow 0$.

We have $U_1 U_2^{-1} = h_1^\circ(0) h_2^{\circ-1}(0)$. Since $\{0\}$ is bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$, then by step 1, (5.4) holds at 0. Hence

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|h_1^\circ(x, 0) h_2^{\circ-1}(x, 0) - \text{I}\| = 0.$$

Hence the second term of the right-hand side of (5.5) converges to 0 as $z \rightarrow 0$. This implies the result (5.1). \square

The following theorem shows that dressing by a simple factor preserves convergence. For a meromorphic map U , the set of poles of U is denoted by $\text{sing}(U)$.

Theorem 5.2. *With $r \in (0, 1)$, let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 : \widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be analytic maps on the universal cover $\widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ of a punctured neighborhood $\Sigma^* \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $U \in \Lambda_r^{\text{M}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ and $V \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that for every region $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\text{sing}(U)$, Φ_1 and Φ_2 have the convergence*

$$(5.6a) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} U \text{Uni}_r[\Phi_2] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0 ,$$

$$(5.6b) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[\Phi_2] V^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} = 0 .$$

For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, let $g_k = W \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L_k]$ be general simple factors, where $W \in \text{SU}_2$, $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{r,1} \setminus \text{sing}(U)$, and $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ are related by $L_2 = \overline{U(\lambda_0)}^t L_1$. Then for every region $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\text{sing}(U) \cup \{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$, $g_1 \Phi_1$ and $g_2 \Phi_2$ have the convergence

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[g_1 \Phi_1])^{-1} (g_1 U g_2^{-1}) \text{Uni}_r[g_2 \Phi_2] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}'} &= 0 , \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[g_2 \Phi_2] V^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[g_1 \Phi_1])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, let $F_k = \text{Uni}_r[\Phi_k]$ and $B_k = \text{Pos}_r[\Phi_k]$. For $k \in \{1, 2\}$ we have by the simple factor formula (4.1)

$$\text{Uni}_r[g_k \Phi_k] = g_k F_k h_k^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Pos}_r[g_k \Phi_k] = h_k B_k, \quad k \in \{1, 2\} ,$$

where the $h_k : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ are defined by

$$h_k = \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, \overline{F_k(\lambda_0)}^t L_k], \quad k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}_r$ be a region bounded away from $\text{sing}(U) \cup \{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$. Since \mathcal{A}' is bounded away from $\text{sing}(U)$, then (5.6) hold for \mathcal{A}' :

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| F_1^{-1} U F_2 - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}'} &= 0 , \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| B_2 V^{-1} B_1^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2, $h_1 h_2^{-1}$ extends to a map $\Sigma^* \times \mathcal{A}'_r \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ which is meromorphic in the second variable, and $h_2 h_1^{-1}$ extends to a holomorphic map $\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, define $M_k = \overline{F_k(\lambda_0)}^t$, and define $U_0 = \overline{U(\lambda_0)}^t$. Since $\lambda_0 \notin \text{sing}(U)$, by (5.6a) we have $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} M_2 U_0 M_1^{-1} = \text{I}$. Then

$$M_2 L_2 = (M_2 U_0 M_1^{-1}) M_1 (U_0^{-1} L_2) = (M_2 U_0 M_1^{-1}) M_1 L_1 ,$$

so $M_1 L_1$ and $M_2 L_2$ converge as $z \rightarrow 0$. Since \mathcal{A}' is bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$, we have by Lemma 5.1,

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| h_1 h_2^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}'} &= 0 , \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| h_2 h_1^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{D}_r are bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$, then by Lemma 4.5, each of h_1, h_1^{-1}, h_2 and h_2^{-1} is bounded on $\Sigma^* \times \mathcal{A}'$ and on $\Sigma^* \times \mathcal{D}_r$. By (5.8) and (5.9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| h_1 (F_1^{-1} U F_2 - \text{I}) h_2^{-1} + (h_1 h_2^{-1} - \text{I}) \right\|_{\mathcal{A}'} &= 0 , \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| h_2 (B_2 V^{-1} B_1^{-1} - \text{I}) h_1^{-1} + (h_2 h_1^{-1} - \text{I}) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result (5.7). \square

5.2. Bubbleton asymptotics. In this section, we show that if an immersion f converges to a Delaunay immersion f_0 , then f dressed by a product of simple factors with distinct singularities converges to a Delaunay immersion with the same necksize as f_0 (Theorem 5.6). The proof of Theorem 5.6 is outlined as follows.

For each singularity λ_0 there is a family of simple factors sharing this singularity. Given a Delaunay residue A , dressing the Delaunay frame $F = \text{Uni}[\exp((x + iy)A)]$ by this family generically produces a bubbleton frame $g\#F$. However, for one special member g_0 of this family of simple factors, $g_0\#F$ is not a bubbleton frame as expected, but rather a Delaunay frame (Lemma 5.5).

On the other hand, any two Delaunay frames dressed by simple factors are asymptotic (Lemma 5.4). In particular, a generic bubbleton frame $g\#F$ constructed by dressing a Delaunay frame F by a simple factor g , is asymptotic to the corresponding special Delaunay frame $g_0\#F$. This implies that any bubbleton frame is asymptotic to a Delaunay frame.

By the general result in subsection 5.1, that dressing by simple factors preserves end convergence (Theorem 5.2), step 3 above implies that dressing by a finite product of simple factors with distinct singularities preserves Delaunay asymptotics (Theorem 5.6).

The following lemma shows that given a unitary Delaunay frame F and simple factors g_1 and g_2 with the same singularity, then generically, $g_1\#F$ and $g_2\#F$ are asymptotic modulo a unitary factor. A similar result holds for the positive factors.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$, let μ be an eigenvalue of A and suppose $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $(\mu, L_+), (-\mu, L_-) \in \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ be the eigenvalue-eigenline pairs for A . Then for all $L \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{L_+\}$, $\exp(xA)L \rightarrow L_-$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$.*

Lemma 5.4. *Let A be a Delaunay residue and let μ be its eigenvalue as in Definition 1.2. With $r \in (0, 1)$, let $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{r,1} \cap \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$, and let E be the eigenline of $A(\lambda_0)^t$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu(\lambda_0)$. For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, let $L_k \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{E\}$, and let $g_k = \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L_k]$ be normalized simple factors. Let $\Phi_0 = \exp(A \log z)$. Then for every region $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$, $g_1\Phi_0$ and $g_2\Phi_0$ have the convergence*

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[g_1\Phi_0])^{-1} (g_1 g_2^{-1}) \text{Uni}_r[g_2\Phi_0] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[g_2\Phi_0] (\text{Pos}_r[g_1\Phi_0])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $F = \text{Uni}_r[\Phi_0]$ and $B = \text{Pos}_r[\Phi_0]$. By the simple factor dressing formula (4.1) applied to g_1 and g_2 , we have

$$\text{Uni}_r[g_k\Phi_0] = g_k F h_k^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Pos}_r[g_k\Phi_0] = h_k B, \quad k \in \{1, 2\},$$

where

$$h_k = \text{Pos}_r[g_k F] = \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, GL_k], \quad G = \overline{F(\lambda_0)}^t.$$

By Lemma 4.2, $h_1 h_2^{-1}$ and $h_2 h_1^{-1}$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$. We compute in the coordinates $x + iy = \log z$. We show that the lines GL_1 and GL_2 converge as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. By (1.7a),

$$F(x + n\rho, y) = C^n F(x, y), \quad C = \exp((\rho - \sigma)A).$$

Let $C_0 = \overline{C(\lambda_0)}^t$. By Lemma 5.3, for any $L \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{E\}$, the sequence $C_0^n L$ converges to L_0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let \mathcal{F} be the space of continuous functions $[0, \rho] \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ with the C^0 -norm. Define the map $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by $f(x) \mapsto \overline{F(x, y, \lambda_0)}^t f(x)$. Then \mathcal{P} is continuous, because the multiplication map is continuous. so $\overline{F(x, y, \lambda_0)}^t C_0^n L$ converges to $\overline{F(x, y, \lambda_0)}^t L_0$ in \mathcal{F} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist} \left(\overline{F(x, y, \lambda_0)}^t L_1, \overline{F(x, y, \lambda_0)}^t L_0 \right) = 0.$$

Hence GL_1 and GL_2 converge as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. By Lemma 5.1, for every region $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$,

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|h_1 h_2^{-1} - I\|_{\mathcal{A}} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|h_2 h_1^{-1} - I\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{Uni}_r[g_1 \Phi_0])^{-1} (g_1 g_2^{-1}) \text{Uni}_r[g_2 \Phi_0] &= (g_1 F h_1^{-1})^{-1} (g_1 g_2^{-1}) (g_2 F h_2^{-1}) = h_1 h_2^{-1}, \\ \text{Pos}_r[g_2 \Phi_0] (\text{Pos}_r[g_1 \Phi_0])^{-1} &= h_2 B (h_1 B)^{-1} = h_2 h_1^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

the result (5.10) follows from (5.11) and (5.12). \square

5.3. Delaunay dressing asymptotics. We show that for a certain special simple factor g , the dressed Delaunay frame $g \# \exp((x + iy)A)$ is a unitary Delaunay frame, rather than a multibubbleton frame as is generically the case. For each choice of λ_0 , there are generically two special Delaunay dressings, one for each eigenline of $\overline{A(\lambda_0)}^t$.

Lemma 5.5. *With A a Delaunay residue, let $g = \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L]$ be the normalized simple factor defined with $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{D}_1^*$, and L an eigenline of $\overline{A(\lambda_0)}^t$. Then gAg^{-1} is a Delaunay residue.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2(i), gAg^{-1} extends meromorphically to \mathbb{C}^* and this extension satisfies $(gAg^{-1})^* = gAg^{-1}$ away from its poles.

We show that gAg^{-1} is holomorphic at λ_0 . As in Definition 4.1, let $U \in \text{SU}_2$ and f with $\text{ord}_{\lambda_0} f = -1$ be such that $g = U^{-1}(f^{1/2}\pi_L + f^{-1/2}\pi_{L^\perp})$. Then

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} gAg^{-1} &= U^{-1}(f^{1/2}\pi_L + f^{-1/2}\pi_{L^\perp})A(f^{-1/2}\pi_L + f^{1/2}\pi_{L^\perp})U \\ &= U^{-1}(\pi_L A \pi_L + f \pi_L A \pi_{L^\perp} + f^{-1} \pi_{L^\perp} A \pi_L + \pi_{L^\perp} A \pi_{L^\perp})U. \end{aligned}$$

At λ_0 , the image of π_{L^\perp} is L^\perp . Since L is an eigenline of $\overline{A(\lambda_0)}^t$, it follows that L^\perp is an eigenline of $A(\lambda_0)$. Hence the image of L^\perp under $A(\lambda_0)$ is L^\perp . Since the image of L^\perp under π_L is 0, then $\text{ord}_{\lambda_0} \pi_L A \pi_{L^\perp} \geq 1$. Hence $f \pi_L A \pi_{L^\perp}$ is holomorphic at λ_0 . Since none of the other three terms in (5.13) has a pole at λ_0 , then gAg^{-1} is holomorphic at λ_0 . By its hermitian symmetry, gAg^{-1} is holomorphic at $1/\overline{\lambda_0}$, and hence is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^* .

We consider gAg^{-1} at $\lambda = 0$. Since g is holomorphic and upper-triangular at $\lambda = 0$, and the only pole of A at $\lambda = 0$ appears in the upper-right entry, with order -1 , the same is true of gAg^{-1} . Hence gAg^{-1} is a Delaunay residue by Proposition 1.1. \square

Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 5.2 come together in the following theorem, which shows that if the Iwasawa factors of a holomorphic frame Φ converge to those of a holomorphic Delaunay frame respectively, then the same holds after dressing Φ by a finite product of simple factors.

Theorem 5.6. *With $r \in (0, 1)$, let $\Phi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic map on a punctured neighborhood $\Sigma^* \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let A be a Delaunay residue. Suppose that Φ and $\Phi_1 = \exp(A \log z)$ have the convergence*

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|(\text{Uni}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} \text{Uni}_r[\Phi] - I\|_{\mathcal{A}_r} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \|\text{Pos}_r[\Phi] (\text{Pos}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} - I\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be a product of general simple factors (or $G = I$) with distinct singularities in $\mathcal{A}_{r,1} \cap \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$. Then there exist

- a loop $U_2 \in \Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\text{sing}(U_2) = \text{sing}(G)$,

• and a loop $V_2 \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ for which $A_2 := V_2 A V_2^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue, such that, for every region $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\text{sing}(U_2)$, $G\Phi$ and $\Phi_2 := \exp(A_2 \log z)$ have the convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[\Phi_2])^{-1} U_2 \text{Uni}_r[G\Phi] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[G\Phi] V_2^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[\Phi_2])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on the factors of G . We first prove the following induction step, which shows convergence after dressing by a single simple factor g .

With $r \in (0, 1)$, let $\Phi : \widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Lambda_r \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic map on a universal cover $\widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ of a punctured neighborhood $\Sigma^* \subset \mathbb{C}$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let A be a Delaunay residue, and let μ be its eigenvalue as in Definition 1.2.

- Let $U_1 \in \Lambda_r^{\text{M}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.
- Let $V_1 \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ for which $A_1 := V_1 A V_1^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue.

Suppose that for every region $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\text{sing}(U_1)$, Φ and $\Phi_1 = \exp(A_1 \log z)$ have the convergence

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} U_1 \text{Uni}_r[\Phi] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[\Phi] V_1^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let g be a general simple factor with singularity $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{r,1} \cap \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$. Then there exist

- a loop $U_2 \in \Lambda_r^{\text{M}} \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\text{sing}(U_2) = \text{sing}(U_1) \cup \{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$,
- and a loop $V_2 \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ for which $A_2 := V_2 A V_2^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue,

such that for every region $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\text{sing}(U_2)$, $g\Phi$ and $\Phi_2 := \exp(A_2 \log z)$ have the convergence

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[\Phi_2])^{-1} U_2 \text{Uni}_r[g\Phi] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}'} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[g\Phi] V_2^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[\Phi_2])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the induction step:

Let $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}_r$ be a region bounded away from $\text{sing}(U_1) \cup \{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$.

Step 1. Write $g = W \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, L]$ and let $\widehat{g} = W \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, \overline{U(\lambda_0)}^{t-1} L]$. By Theorem 5.2 applied to (5.15), $g\Phi$ and $\widehat{g}\Phi_1$ have the convergence

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[\widehat{g}\Phi_1])^{-1} (\widehat{g} U_1 g^{-1}) \text{Uni}_r[g\Phi] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}'} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[g\Phi] V_1^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[\widehat{g}\Phi_1])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Let μ be the eigenvalue function of A as in Definition 1.2. Let $(\overline{\mu(\lambda_0)}, E_+)$ and $(-\overline{\mu(\lambda_0)}, E_-)$ be the eigenvalue-eigenline pairs of $\overline{A_1(\lambda_0)}^t$. Let $g' = \mathfrak{g}[\lambda_0, E_-]$ be the normalized simple factor as in Lemma 5.5. By that lemma, $A_2 := g' A_1 g'^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue. Since $\mu(\lambda_0) \neq 0$, then $E_- \neq E_+$. Hence by Lemma 5.4 applied to g' and \widehat{g} , the loops $\widehat{g}\Phi_1$ and $g'\Phi_1$ have the convergence

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[g'\Phi_1])^{-1} g' \widehat{g}^{-1} \text{Uni}_r[\widehat{g}\Phi_1] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}'} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[\widehat{g}\Phi_1] (\text{Pos}_r[g'\Phi_1])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Phi_2 = \exp(A_2 \log z)$. Then $g'\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 g'$, so by (5.18), $\widehat{g}\Phi_1$ and Φ_2 have the convergence

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[\Phi_2])^{-1} g' \widehat{g}^{-1} \text{Uni}_r[\widehat{g}\Phi_1] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}'} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[\widehat{g}\Phi_1] g'^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[\Phi_2])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. By Lemma 4.2(ii), $U_2 := g'U_1g^{-1}$ is an element of $\Lambda_r^{\text{M}}\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ and $\text{sing}(U_2) = \text{sing}(U_1) \cup \{\lambda_0, 1/\overline{\lambda_0}\}$. The loop $V_2 := g'V_1$ is an element of $\Lambda_r^+\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ and $V_2AV_2^{-2} = g'A_1g'^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue. By (5.17) and (5.19), $g\Phi$ and Φ_2 have the convergence given by (5.16). This proves the induction step.

To prove the theorem, first note that if $G = \text{I}$, the theorem is trivially true with $U_2 = V_2 = \text{I}$. Otherwise, write $G = g_n \cdots g_1$ as a product of simple factors, and starting with $U_1 = V_1 = \text{I}$, apply the induction step to g_1, \dots, g_n in turn. \square

By the methods of section 3, Theorem 5.6 implies the following (see also [16]):

Theorem 5.7. *If a CMC surface f converges to a half Delaunay surface f_0 , then the surface produced from f by dressing by finitely many simple factors converges to a rigid motion of f_0 .*

5.4. Dressed Delaunay asymptotics. We show that the r -Iwasawa factors of a holomorphic frame obtained from a perturbed Delaunay potential converges to those of a Delaunay frame. This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.11, removing the restriction that the holomorphic frame extend to $\mathcal{A}_{r,1}$.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $r \in (0, 1)$ and assume $\mathcal{C}_r \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$. Let ξ be a perturbed Delaunay r -potential*

$$(5.20) \quad \xi = Az^{-1}dz + O(z^n)dz.$$

Suppose

$$(5.21) \quad \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_r} \text{Re } \mu(\lambda) < (n+1)/2.$$

Let $\Phi : \widetilde{\Sigma}^ \rightarrow \Lambda_r\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ satisfy $d\Phi = \Phi\xi$ on the universal cover $\widetilde{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ of Σ^* , and assume that the monodromy M of Φ around $z = 0$ satisfies $M \in \Lambda_r^*\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$.*

Then there exists a loop $U \in \Lambda_r^{\text{M}}\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ with $\text{sing}(U) \subset \mathcal{A}_{r,1} \cap \mathcal{S}_A$ and only simple poles, and a loop $V \in \Lambda_r^+\text{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$ for which $A_1 := VAV^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue, such that for every region $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\text{sing}(U)$, Φ and $\Phi_1 := \exp(A_1 \log z)$ have the convergence

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} U \text{Uni}_r[\Phi] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[\Phi] V^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Step 1. Let $\Phi_0 = \exp(A \log z)$ and let $\Phi = C\Phi_0P$ be the $z^A P$ -decomposition of Φ . By Theorem 4.6, there exist $0 < s_1 < r < s_2 < 1$ and a continuous function $c : \mathcal{A}_{s_1, s_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\text{Pos}_r[C\Phi_0]$ extends continuously to \mathcal{A}_{s_1, s_2} and

$$\|\text{Pos}_r[C\Phi_0]\|_{\mathcal{A}_{s_1, s_2}} \leq c|z|^{-\text{Re } \mu}.$$

Step 2. By Theorem 2.11, Φ and $C\Phi_0$ have the convergence

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[C\Phi_0])^{-1} \text{Uni}_r[\Phi] - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_r} &= 0, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[\Phi] (\text{Pos}_r[C\Phi_0])^{-1} - \text{I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Let $C = C_u \cdot C_+$ be the r -Iwasawa factorization of C . Using that $C \exp(2\pi i A) C^{-1} \in \Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$, by Theorem 4.4, there exist loops $G, V_1 \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ such that $C_+ = G V_1$, G is a product of normalized simple factors (or $G = I$), $A_0 := V_1 A V_1^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue, and the singularities of the simple factors are distinct and in $\mathcal{S}_A \cap (\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \mathbb{S}^1)$. Then, with $\Phi_0 = \exp(A_0 \log z)$,

$$(5.24) \quad C \Phi_0 = C_u G V_1 \exp(A \log z) = C_u G \exp(A_0 \log z) V_1 = C_u G \Phi_0 V_1 .$$

By (5.23) and (5.24), Φ and $G \Phi_0$ have the convergence

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[G \Phi_0])^{-1} C_u \text{Uni}_r[\Phi] - I \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_r} &= 0 , \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[\Phi] V_1^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[G \Phi_0])^{-1} - I \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. The singularities of G in \mathcal{D}_1 are distinct and lie in $\mathcal{A}_{r,1} \cap \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$. By Theorem 5.6, where in (5.14), the Φ and Φ_0 are both replaced by Φ_0 , so that (5.14) holds vacuously, there exists a loop $V_2 \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ for which $A_1 := V_2 A V_2^{-1}$ is a Delaunay residue, and a loop $U_2 \in \Lambda_r^M \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ such that for every region $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_r$ bounded away from $\text{sing}(U_2)$, $G \Phi_0$ and $\Phi_1 := \exp(A_1 \log z)$ have the convergence

$$(5.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| (\text{Uni}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} U_2 \text{Uni}_r[G \Phi_0] - I \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} &= 0 , \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\| \text{Pos}_r[G \Phi_0] V_2^{-1} (\text{Pos}_r[\Phi_1])^{-1} - I \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_r} &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Step 5. The result (5.22) follows from (5.25) and (5.26), with $U = U_2 C_u$ and $V = V_2 V_1$. \square

We conclude with the main theorem of the paper, showing that a CMC end obtained from a perturbed Delaunay potential is asymptotic to a half-Delaunay surface. This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3.5, removing the conditions $\mathcal{A}_{r,1} \cap \mathcal{S}_A = \emptyset$ and $\Phi \in \Lambda_r^+ \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$. Hence it applies to surfaces obtained by r -dressing, such as the n -noids with bubbles constructed in [14]. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.5, taking the appearance of the loops U and V into consideration. In the case of embedded ends, we obtain exponential convergence (see Remark 3.6).

Theorem 5.9. *Let A be a Delaunay residue as in (1.1) satisfying condition (2.17). On the punctured unit disk $\Sigma^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$, let*

$$\xi = A \frac{dz}{z} + O(z^0) dz$$

be a perturbed Delaunay r -potential, $r \in (0, 1]$. Let f_0 and f be the immersions of Σ^ induced by the generalized Weierstrass representation at $\lambda = 1$ by Adz/z and ξ respectively, so f_0 is a Delaunay immersion. Assume that f is obtained from a holomorphic r -frame whose monodromy at $z = 0$ is in $\Lambda_r^* \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$.*

With Σ_{Cyl} as in (3.13), let $\phi : \Sigma_{\text{Cyl}} \rightarrow \Sigma^$ be the map $\phi(x, y) = e^{x+iy}$. Then some rigid motion of $f \circ \phi$ converges to $f_0 \circ \phi$ in the C^∞ -topology of Σ_{Cyl} as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. Furthermore, if f_0 is embedded, then f is properly embedded.*

References

1. A. I. Bobenko, *All constant mean curvature tori in \mathbf{R}^3 , S^3 , H^3 in terms of theta-functions*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), no. 2, 209–245.
2. ———, *Surfaces of constant mean curvature and integrable equations*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **46** (1991), no. 4(280), 3–42, 192.
3. F. E. Burstall and M. Kilian, *Equivariant harmonic cylinders*, To appear in Quart. J. Math.
4. F. E. Burstall and F. Pedit, *Dressing orbits of harmonic maps*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 2, 353–382.

5. J. Dorfmeister, F. Pedit, and H. Wu, *Weierstrass type representation of harmonic maps into symmetric spaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), no. 4, 633–668.
6. J. Dorfmeister and H. Wu, *Construction of constant mean curvature trinoids from holomorphic potentials*, preprint, 2002.
7. D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 224.
8. K. Große-Brauckmann, R. Kusner, and J. M. Sullivan, *Triunduloids: embedded constant mean curvature surfaces with three ends and genus zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **564** (2003), 35–61.
9. ———, *Coplanar constant mean curvature surfaces*, arXiv:math.DG/0509210, 2005.
10. P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, second ed., Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1982.
11. M. Kilian, *On the associated family of Delaunay surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 10, 3075–3082 (electronic).
12. M. Kilian, S.-P. Kobayashi, W. Rossman, and N. Schmitt, *Constant mean curvature surfaces of any positive genus*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **72** (2005), no. 1, 258–272.
13. M. Kilian, I. McIntosh, and N. Schmitt, *New constant mean curvature surfaces*, Experiment. Math. **9** (2000), no. 4, 595–611.
14. M. Kilian, N. Schmitt, and I. Sterling, *Dressing CMC n -noids*, Math. Z. **246** (2004), no. 3, 501–519.
15. S.-P. Kobayashi, *Bubbletons in 3-dimensional space forms*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. **9** (2004), no. 1, 44–68.
16. ———, *Asymptotics of ends of constant mean curvature surfaces with bubbletons*, preprint, 2006.
17. N. J. Korevaar, R. Kusner, and B. Solomon, *The structure of complete embedded surfaces with constant mean curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), no. 2, 465–503.
18. R. Mazzeo and F. Pacard, *Constant mean curvature surfaces with Delaunay ends*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **9** (2001), no. 1, 169–237.
19. Ian McIntosh, *Global solutions of the elliptic 2D periodic Toda lattice*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), no. 1, 85–108.
20. William H. Meeks, III, *The topology and geometry of embedded surfaces of constant mean curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), no. 3, 539–552.
21. W. Rossman and N. Schmitt, *Simultaneous unitarizability of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -valued maps, and constant mean curvature k -noid monodromy*, To appear in Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5).
22. N. Schmitt, *CMCLab*, 2002, <http://www.gang.umass.edu/software/cmclab/>.
23. ———, *Gallery of constant mean curvature surfaces*, 2002, <http://www.gang.umass.edu/gallery/cmc/>.
24. ———, *Constant mean curvature n -noids with symmetries*, in preparation, 2006.
25. N. Schmitt, M. Kilian, S.-P. Kobayashi, and W. Rossman, *Unitarization of monodromy representations and constant mean curvature trinoids in 3-dimensional space forms*, to appear in J. London Math. Soc., 2007.
26. A. Sym, *Soliton surfaces and their applications (soliton geometry from spectral problems)*, Geometric aspects of the Einstein equations and integrable systems (Scheveningen, 1984), Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 239, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 154–231.
27. C.-L. Terng and K. Uhlenbeck, *Bäcklund transformations and loop group actions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53** (2000), no. 1, 1–75.

MARTIN KILIAN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT MANNHEIM, 68131 MANNHEIM, GERMANY.
E-mail address: kilian@rumms.uni-mannheim.de

WAYNE ROSSMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOBE UNIVERSITY, ROKKO KOBE 657-8501, JAPAN.
E-mail address: wayne@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

NICHOLAS SCHMITT, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT TÜBINGEN, 72076 TÜBINGEN, GERMANY.
E-mail address: nick@gang.umass.edu