

Sharp Global Existence for Semilinear Wave Equation with Small Data*

Daoyuan Fang and Chengbo Wang[†]
Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University,
Hangzhou, 310027, China

November 5, 2019

The global existence in time for nonlinear wave equation with small data usually require high Sobolev regularity, when one dealt with them by classical energy method (see [Kl85] [Ch85]). The purpose of this note is to give the sharp regularity global existence for semilinear equation with the power nonlinearity of the derivative, the counterpart of quasilinear equation or the quadratic nonlinearity seems still unreachable.

Consider the following Cauchy problem(denote $\square := \partial_t^2 - \Delta$ and $\partial = (\partial_t, \partial_x)$)

$$\begin{cases} \square u = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha (\partial u)^\alpha = N(u) \\ u(0, x) = u_0 \in H^s, \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1 \in H^{s-1} \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

Let $s_c = \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{1}{k-1}$ be the scaling index, we have

Theorem 1. *Let $\|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq \epsilon$ with ϵ small enough, and*

$$\begin{cases} s > s_c & \text{if } k-1 = \frac{4}{n-1} \vee 2 \text{ and } n \neq 3 \\ s \geq s_c & \text{if } k-1 > \frac{4}{n-1} \vee 2, \end{cases} \quad (0.2)$$

then the equation (0.1) has a unique global solution in $C_t H^s$ such that $\partial u \in L_t^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L_t^{k-1} L^\infty$. Moreover, if $k = n = 3$, then the lifespan T_ of the solution with $s > 2$ is at least of order $\exp((C\epsilon)^{-\frac{4}{3}})$ with $C \gg 1$.*

Theorem 2. *Let $n = 2$ and $k = 4$, and consider*

$$\square u = c_1 (\partial_t u)^k + c_2 |\nabla u|^k,$$

then there exists a global solution in time for $s \geq s_c$ with small radial data.

Remark 1. *It's regret that such argument can't apply to the more interesting case $k = 2$, since it's well known that the corresponding $L^1 L^\infty$ Strichartz estimate is not hold true in general. For the local result for semilinear and quasilinear equation, one can refer to [Ta99] and [SmTa05].*

We'll use the Strichartz estimate to prove the result, for the detail of the Strichartz estimates may consult [FW06] and references therein.

*Supported by NSF of China 10571158 and Zhejiang Provincial NSF of China (Y605076)

[†]email: DF: dyf@zju.edu.cn, CW: wangcbo@yahoo.com.cn

Proposition 3 (Strichartz Estimate). *Let u be the solution of the linear wave equation and $q < \infty$, then for $(q, n) \neq (2, 3)$*

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^q L^\infty \cap L^\infty H^{s-1}} \leq C_q \|\partial u(0)\|_{H^{s-1}}$$

with $s \geq \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$ and $q > \frac{4}{n-1} \vee 2$ or $s > \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$ and $q = \frac{4}{n-1} \vee 2$. For the case $(q, n) = (2, 3)$ and $s > 2$, we have

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^2([0,T], L^\infty) \cap L^\infty([0,T], H^{s-1})} \leq C(\ln(1+T))^{1/2} \|\partial u(0)\|_{H^{s-1}}.$$

Moreover, if u is spatial radial function, then we have

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^q L^\infty \cap L^\infty H^{s-1}} \leq C_q \|\partial u(0)\|_{H^{s-1}}$$

with $s \geq \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$ for all $q > \frac{2}{n-1}$ and $q \geq 2$.

We give the proof for the case $k-1 \geq \frac{4}{n-1} \vee 2$ and $(n, k) \neq (3, 3)$ at first. Let $u^{(0)} = 0$ and then define $u^{(m+1)}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) to be the solution of the problem

$$\square u^{(m+1)} = N(u^{(m)})$$

with the given data (u_0, u_1) . We'll see below that $(\partial_t u^{(m)}, \partial_x u^{(m)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C_t H^{s-1} \cap L_t^{k-1} L^\infty$ with the norm $L_t^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L_t^{k-1} L^\infty$ if $\|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}} = \epsilon$ is small enough.

We claim that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $u^{(m)} \in C H^s \cap C^1 H^{s-1}$ and

$$\|\partial u^{(m)}\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} \leq M\epsilon \quad (0.3)$$

with M large enough. In fact, it's true for $m = 0$, and we assume it's true for some m , then by Proposition 3 with $q = k-1$ and s as in (0.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial u^{(m+1)}\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} &\leq C(\epsilon + \|N(u^{(m)})\|_{L^1 H^{s-1}}) \\ &\leq C(\epsilon + \|\partial u^{(m)}\|_{L^{k-1} L^\infty}^{k-1} \|\partial u^{(m)}\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1}}) \\ &\leq C(\epsilon + (M\epsilon)^k) \leq M\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (0.3) by induction.

Now we show that $(\partial_t u^{(m)}, \partial_x u^{(m)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C_t H^{s-1} \cap L_t^{k-1} L^\infty$ with norm $L_t^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L_t^{k-1} L^\infty$. Note that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $u^{(m+1)} - u^{(m)}$ is the solution of equation

$$\square(u^{(m+1)} - u^{(m)}) = N(u^{(m)}) - N(u^{(m-1)})$$

with the null data. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial(u^{(m+1)} - u^{(m)})\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} &\leq C\|N(u^{(m)}) - N(u^{(m-1)})\|_{L^1 H^{s-1}} \\ &\leq C\epsilon^{k-1} \|\partial(u^{(m)} - u^{(m-1)})\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial(u^{(m)} - u^{(m-1)})\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\|\partial(u^{(m+1)} - u^{(m)})\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} \leq 2^{-m} \|\partial(u^{(1)} - u^{(0)})\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} \leq 2^{-m} M\epsilon$$

by induction and (0.3). So

$$\|\partial(u^{(m)} - u^{(l)})\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} \leq 2^{1-\max(m,l)} M\epsilon. \quad (0.4)$$

Therefore, there exist u^i , $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, such that

$$\partial_i u^{(m)} \rightarrow u^i \text{ in } CH^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty.$$

Now we define

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t u^0 \in CH^{s-1}.$$

Since

$$u^{(m)}(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t \partial_t u^{(m)},$$

thus for any $0 < T < \infty$, $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\partial_i u^{(m)}(t) = \partial_i u_0 + \int_0^t \partial_i \partial_t u^{(m)} \rightarrow \partial_i u_0 + \int_0^t \partial_i u^0 = \partial_i u(t) \text{ in } C([0, T], H^{s-2})$$

and so $\partial_i u = u^i$,

$$\partial_i u^{(m)} \rightarrow \partial_i u \text{ in } CH^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty.$$

Then we can get the solution $u \in CH^s \cap C^1 H^{s-1}$ of equation (0.1).

For the uniqueness and continuous dependence of the initial data, it's essentially as the above proof. Let $\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \leq \epsilon$ and $\|(v_0, v_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \leq \epsilon$. Assume u and v are two solutions of (0.1) with data (u_0, u_1) and (v_0, v_1) respectively, then $u - v$ is the solution of equation

$$\square(u - v) = N(u) - N(v)$$

with the data $(u_0 - v_0, u_1 - v_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial(u - v)\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} &\leq C(\|(u_0 - v_0, u_1 - v_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} + \|N(u) - N(v)\|_{L^1 H^{s-1}}) \\ &\leq C(\|(u_0 - v_0, u_1 - v_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} + \epsilon^{k-1} \|\partial(u - v)\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty}) \\ &\leq C(\|(u_0 - v_0, u_1 - v_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial(u - v)\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\|\partial(u - v)\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L^{k-1} L^\infty} \leq C \|(u_0 - v_0, u_1 - v_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} \quad (0.5)$$

This complete the proof for the case $k - 1 \geq \frac{4}{n-1} \vee 2$ and $(n, k) \neq (3, 3)$.

For the case $n = k = 3$, it remains to claim alternatively that

$$\|\partial u^{(m)}\|_{L_{[0, T]}^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L_{[0, T]}^{k-1} L^\infty} \leq M \epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

if $\ln(1 + T) \ll \epsilon^{-\frac{4}{3}}$. In fact, by induction

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial u^{(m+1)}\|_{L_{[0, T]}^\infty H^{s-1} \cap L_{[0, T]}^{k-1} L^\infty} &\leq C \ln(1 + T)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon + \|N(u^{(m)})\|_{L_{[0, T]}^1 H^{s-1}}) \\ &\leq C \ln(1 + T)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon + \|\partial u^{(m)}\|_{L_{[0, T]}^2 L^\infty} \|\partial u^{(m)}\|_{L_{[0, T]}^\infty H^{s-1}}) \\ &\leq C \ln(1 + T)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon + (M \epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}})^3) \leq M \epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case $n = 2$ and $k = 4$, it only needs to use the required $L^3 L^\infty$ radial Strichartz estimate in Proposition 3.

References

- [Ch85] D. Christodoulou, *Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **39**(1986), 267-281.
- [FW06] D.Y. Fang and C.B. Wang, *Some Remarks on Strichartz Estimates for Homogeneous Wave Equation*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, **65**(2006), Issue 3, 697–706.
- [Kl85] S. Klainerman, *Uniform decay estimates and the Lorentz invariance of the classical wave equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **38**(1985), 321-332.
- [Ta99] D. Tataru, *On the equation $\square u = |\nabla u|^2$ in $5 + 1$ dimensions*. Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999), no. 5-6, 469–485.
- [SmTa05] Hart F. Smith and D. Tataru, *Sharp local well-posedness results for the nonlinear wave equation*. Ann. of Math. (2) 162 (2005), no. 1, 291–366.