

Classical orthogonal polynomials

A general difference calculus approach

R.S. Costas-Santos

March 2, 2018

Abstract

It is well known that the classical families of orthogonal polynomials are characterized as eigenfunctions of a second order linear differential/difference operator.

In this paper we present a study of classical orthogonal polynomials in a more general context by using the differential (or difference) calculus and Operator Theory. In such a way we obtain a unified representation of them. Furthermore, some well known results related to the Rodrigues operator, introduced in Section 3, are deduced.

A more general characterization Theorem that the one given in [5] and [2] for the q -polynomials of the q -Askey and Hahn Tableaux, respectively, is established.

Finally, the families of Askey-Wilson polynomials, q -Racah polynomials, Al-Salam & Carlitz I and II, and q -Meixner are considered.

1 Introduction

Classical orthogonal polynomials constitute a very important and interesting set of special functions and, more specifically, of orthogonal polynomials. They are mathematical objects which have attracted the attention not only of mathematicians but also because of their connection with some physical problems. In fact, they appear in continued fractions, Eulerian series, elliptic functions, ...; see [8, 13], quantum algebras [16, 17, 25], among others. They have been studied by several people (see, e.g. [15]) using different tools.

One of the reasons is because they satisfy not only a three-term recurrence relation (TTRR) [22]

$$x(s)P_n(s) = \alpha_n P_{n+1}(s) + \beta_n P_n(s) + \gamma_n P_{n-1}(s), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1)$$

but also other useful properties such that as they are the eigenfunctions of a second order linear differential (or difference) operator, their derivatives (or finite differences) also constitute an orthogonal family, among others. (For a recent review see e.g. [4, 5]). Indeed, a fundamental role is played by the so-called characterization Theorems, i.e. those properties that completely define and characterize the classical orthogonal polynomials.

One of the many ways to characterize a family $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of classical polynomials is the structure relation

$$\phi(x)p'_n(x) = a_n p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + c_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad (2)$$

where ϕ is a fixed polynomial of degree at most 2 and $c_n \neq 0$ for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$

The characterization of classical polynomials by (2) was first given by Al-Salam & Chihara [1] (see also [19]). Moreover, according to [1] the question to characterize orthogonal polynomials satisfying (2) was first posed by R. Askey.

Garcia, Marcellán, and Salto [14] proved that the relation (2) also characterize the discrete classical orthogonal polynomials (Hahn, Krawtchouk, Meixner, and Charlier polynomials) when

the derivative is replaced by the forward difference operator

$$\Delta f(x) := f(x+1) - f(x).$$

Next Medem, Álvarez-Nodarse, and Marcellán [20] (see also [2, 5, 6]) characterized the orthogonal polynomials in the q -Hahn class by a structure relation obtained from (2) by replacing the derivative by the q -derivative \mathcal{D}_q , where

$$\mathcal{D}_q(f)(x) := \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C}, |q| \neq 1.$$

The aim of the present paper is to go further in the study of classical polynomials giving two new structure relations for the q -polynomials of the q -Askey Tableau when $x(s) = c_1 q^s + c_2 q^{-s} + c_3$, with $c_1 c_2 \neq 0$. In fact, we will prove that this new relation also characterizes the q -polynomials.

$$\mathcal{M}P_n(s) = e_n \frac{\Delta P_{n+1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + f_n \frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + g_n \frac{\Delta P_{n-1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)}, \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{M} is the arithmetic mean operator:

$$(\mathcal{M}f)(s) := \frac{f(s+1) + f(s)}{2},$$

and, $\{e_n\}_n$, $\{f_n\}_n$, $\{g_n\}_n$, and $\{j_n\}_n$ are sequences of complex numbers.

Furthermore, we give a characterization Theorem for classical orthogonal polynomials in a more general context using Operator Theory, and to deduce unified expressions for the second order linear differential (or difference) operator and for its polynomial solutions.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we introduce some notations and definitions useful for the sequel. In Section 3 we define the Rodrigues operator and using Operator Theory, we deduce an unified expression for the second order linear differential (difference resp.) operators related with the classical families, its polynomial eigenfunctions, as well as other properties related to classical orthogonal polynomials. In Section 4 we present a new characterization Theorem for q -classical orthogonal polynomials. Finally, in Section 5 some examples are shown.

2 Preliminaries

The standard classical orthogonal polynomials (Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi) are eigenfunctions of the following second order linear differential operator

$$\mathfrak{H} := \tilde{\sigma}(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \tilde{\tau}(x) \frac{d}{dx}, \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are polynomials of degree at most 2 and 1, respectively. This operator is also said to be a *standard Hamiltonian operator*.

In fact, a sequence of polynomial (OPS), $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, such that

$$\mathfrak{H}[P_n(x)] = (n\tilde{\tau}' + n(n-1)\frac{\tilde{\sigma}''}{2}) P_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (5)$$

is orthogonal with respect to a certain weight function ρ supported on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} P_n(x) P_m(x) \rho(x) dx = d_n^2 \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, \dots \quad (6)$$

Remark 2.1 Ω is an interval defined by the regular singularities of (5).

Moreover, this weight function ρ satisfies the following Pearson equation

$$\frac{d}{dx}[\tilde{\sigma}(x)\rho(x)] = \tilde{\tau}(x)\rho(x), \quad (7)$$

In the discrete context, we can consider two different discretizations of the operator (4) replacing the derivative by certain approximations over a lattice. Thus we need first to introduce the concept of lattice.

Definition 2.1 A **lattice** is a complex function $x \in C^2(\Lambda)$ where Λ is a complex domain, $\mathbb{N}_0 \subseteq \Lambda$, and $x(s)$, $s = 0, 1, \dots$ are the points where we will discretize (4).

For the first approximation of the operator \mathfrak{H} , we consider the uniform lattice $x(s) = s$ leading us to the following second order linear difference operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_\Delta &:= \sigma(s)\Delta\nabla + \tau(s)\Delta, \\ \Delta f(s) &= f(s+1) - f(s), \quad \nabla f(s) = f(s) - f(s-1), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\sigma(x) := \tilde{\sigma}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\tau}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2, $\tau(x) = \tilde{\tau}(x)$, and ∇ and Δ are the backward and forward difference operators, respectively. This operator is the Δ -Hamiltonian operator.

Indeed, a sequence of discrete polynomials, $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, satisfying

$$\mathfrak{H}_\Delta[P_n(s)] = (n\tilde{\tau}' + n(n-1)\frac{\tilde{\sigma}''}{2})P_n(s), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (9)$$

is orthogonal with respect to a certain weight function ρ supported on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$\sum_{s_i \in \Omega} P_n(s_i)P_m(s_i)\rho(s_i) = d_n^2 \delta_{n,m}, \quad s_{i+1} = s_i + 1, \quad n, m = 0, 1, \dots \quad (10)$$

As in the continuous case, the weight function ρ satisfies the following Δ -Pearson equation

$$\Delta[\sigma(s)\rho(s)] = \tau(s)\rho(s) \iff \sigma(s+1)\rho(s+1) = (\sigma(s) + \tau(s))\rho(s). \quad (11)$$

The second way to discretize the operator \mathfrak{H} is to consider a non-uniform lattice, $x(s)$, which leads to the following second order linear difference operator

$$\mathfrak{H}_q = \sigma(s)\frac{\Delta}{\nabla x_1(s)}\frac{\nabla}{\nabla x(s)} + \tau(s)\frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)}, \quad (12)$$

where $x(s) = c_1(q)q^s + c_2(q)q^{-s} + c_3(q)$, $\sigma(s) := \tilde{\sigma}(x(s)) - \frac{1}{2}\tau(x(s))\nabla x_1(s)$, and $\tau(s) = \tilde{\tau}(x(s))$. This operator is also called the q -Hamiltonian operator.

Remark 2.2 In general, σ is not a polynomial on $x(s)$ but, if either $c_1(q) = 0$ or $c_2(q) = 0$ then σ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 on $x(s)$.

Indeed, a sequence of discrete orthogonal polynomials, $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, which satisfies

$$\mathfrak{H}_q[P_n(s)] = [n]_q \left\{ \alpha_q(n-1)\tilde{\tau}' + [n-1]_q \frac{\tilde{\sigma}''}{2} \right\} P_n(s), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (13)$$

is orthogonal with respect to a certain weight function ρ supported on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$\sum_{s_i \in \Omega} P_n(s_i)P_m(s_i)\rho(s_i)\nabla x_1(s_i) = d_n^2 \delta_{n,m}, \quad s_{i+1} = s_i + 1, \quad n, m = 0, 1, \dots \quad (14)$$

where by $[s]_q$ we denote the q -numbers (in its symmetric form)

$$[s]_q := \frac{q^{\frac{s}{2}} - q^{-\frac{s}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\alpha_q(s) := \frac{q^{\frac{s}{2}} + q^{-\frac{s}{2}}}{2}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (16)$$

Moreover, as in the discrete case, the weight function ρ satisfies the following q -Pearson equation

$$\Delta[\sigma(s)\rho(s)] = \tau(s)\rho(s)\nabla x_1(s), \quad (17)$$

Remark 2.3 *The polynomial eigenfunctions of the linear operator \mathfrak{H}_Δ are the Δ -classical orthogonal polynomials, and the polynomial eigenfunctions of the linear operator \mathfrak{H}_q are the q -classical orthogonal polynomials, also called q -polynomials.*

An important fact related to these operators is the hypergeometric character of them, i.e. if y is an eigenfunction of the linear operator \mathfrak{H} , then $y_m = y^{(m)}$ is an eigenfunction of the second order linear differential operator

$$\mathfrak{H}_m := \sigma(x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (\tau(x) + m\sigma'(x))\frac{d}{dx}.$$

If y is an eigenfunction of the linear difference operator \mathfrak{H}_Δ , then $y_m = \Delta^m y$ is an eigenfunction of the second order linear difference operator

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\Delta, m} := \sigma(s)\Delta\nabla + (\tau(s+m) + \sigma(s+m) - \sigma(s))\Delta.$$

Finally, if y is an eigenfunction of the linear operator \mathfrak{H}_q , then $y_m = \Delta^{(m)}y$ is an eigenfunction of the second order linear difference operator

$$\mathfrak{H}_{q, m} := \sigma(s)\frac{\Delta}{\nabla x_1(s)}\frac{\nabla}{\nabla x(s)} + \left(\tau(s+k)\frac{\Delta x(s+k-\frac{1}{2})}{\Delta x_{k-1}(s)} + \frac{\sigma(s+k) - \sigma(x)}{\Delta x_{k-1}(s)} \right) \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)},$$

where

$$\Delta^{(m)} := \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_{m-1}(s)} \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_{m-2}(s)} \cdots \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)}, \quad x_m(s) := x(s + \frac{m}{2}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \quad (18)$$

In the sequel, we will study some properties related to the q -Hamiltonian \mathfrak{H}_q , and its eigenfunctions. Taking into account the definition of the difference operators Δ and ∇ , we can rewrite \mathfrak{H}_q as

$$\mathfrak{H}_q = \frac{1}{\nabla x_1(s)} \left(\left(\sigma(s) + \tau(s)\nabla x_1(s) \right) \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} - \sigma(s) \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x(s)} \right), \quad (19)$$

where x_1 is given in (18). Moreover, with this definition we can also rewrite the q -Pearson equation (17) as

$$\sigma(s+1)\rho(s+1) = \left(\sigma(s) + \tau(s)\nabla x_1(s) \right) \rho(s). \quad (20)$$

Combining (19) and (20), we get the symmetric or self-adjoint form of (12)

$$\mathfrak{H}_q = \left[\frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_1(s)} \rho_1(s) \right] \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)}, \quad (21)$$

where $\rho_0(s) := \rho(s)$ and $\rho_k(s) := \rho_{k-1}(s+1)\sigma(s+1)$ for every integer k .

This representation of the difference operator (12) is the key to define the Rodrigues operator which we will use in order to unify all the representations in the context of the classical orthogonal polynomials.

Remark 2.4 A direct calculation gives us analog formulas for (4) and (8)

$$\mathfrak{H} = \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left[\rho_1(x) \frac{d}{dx} \right], \quad (22)$$

where $\rho_0(x) := \rho(x)$ and $\rho_k(x) := \rho_{k-1}(x)\sigma(x)$ for every integer k .

As well as

$$\mathfrak{H}_\Delta = \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \nabla \left[\rho_1(s) \Delta \right], \quad (23)$$

where $\rho_0(s) := \rho(s)$ and $\rho_k(s) := \rho_{k-1}(s+1)\sigma(s+1)$ for every integer k .

In fact, using all this information we can write the polynomial eigenfunctions, $P_n(s)_q$, of the linear operator \mathfrak{H}_q as follows

$$P_n(s)_q := \left[\frac{B_n}{\rho_0(s)} \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_1(s)} \rho_1(s) \right] \left[\frac{1}{\rho_1(s)} \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_2(s)} \rho_2(s) \right] \cdots \left[\frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}(s)} \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_1(s)} \rho_n(s) \right], \quad B_n \neq 0. \quad (24)$$

3 The Rodrigues operator

The expressions given in the previous section for the q -Hamiltonian (21) and for its polynomial eigenfunctions (24), suggest us to consider a new operator which we will call the *Rodrigues operator*.

Definition 3.1 Given functions σ and ρ , where ρ is supported on Ω , and a lattice $x(s)$, we define the k -th **Rodrigues operator associated with** $(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s))$ as

$$R_0(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s)) := I, \quad R_1(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s)) := \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_1(s)} \rho_1(s) \circ I, \quad (25)$$

$$R_k(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s)) := R_1(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s)) \circ R_{k-1}(\sigma(s), \rho_1(s), x_1(s)), \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

where $\rho_1(s) = \sigma(s+1)\rho(s+1)$ and I is the identity operator.

For a sake of simplicity, in the sequel we will denote $R_k(\rho(s), x(s)) := R_k(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s))$. Moreover, taking into account the correspondence between the shift operator, difference operator, and the q -difference operator in the different contexts, it is easy to check that in the continuous case

$$R_1(\rho(x), x) = \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \rho_1(x) \circ I,$$

and in the Δ -case

$$R_1(\rho(s), x(s)) = \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \nabla \rho_1(s) \circ I.$$

With this definition, the operator (12) can be written as

$$\mathfrak{H}_q = R_1(\rho(s), x(s)) \Delta^{(1)}, \quad (26)$$

and (24) reads

$$P_n(s) = B_n R_n(\rho(s), x(s))[1], \quad B_n \neq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (27)$$

Therefore, this is the way to write the operators \mathfrak{H} (\mathfrak{H}_Δ or \mathfrak{H}_q , resp.) and its polynomial eigenfunctions in our unified way.

Now, let us consider other properties related to the Rodrigues operator

Lemma 3.1 *The equation of Pearson-type (14) can be written as follows*

$$R_1(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s))[1] = \tau(s). \quad (28)$$

Lemma 3.2 *The operators $\Delta^{(1)}$ and $R_1(\rho(s), x(s))$ are lowering and raising operators respectively, associated with the Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{H}_q \equiv R_1(\rho(s), x(s))\Delta^{(1)}I$.*

This result is a direct consequence of taking into account (13), (26), and the fact that, if P_n is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree n then $\Delta^{(1)}P_n$ is a polynomial on $x_1(s)$ of degree $n - 1$. Another important consequence is the following

Lemma 3.3 *For every integers $n, k, 0 \leq k \leq n$, there exists a constant, $C_{n,k}$, such that*

$$\Delta^{(k)}P_n(s)_q = C_{n,k}R_{n-k}(\rho_k(s), x_k(s))[1]. \quad (29)$$

Proof: We will use induction on k :

- If $k = 1$, taking into account (13), (26), (27), and expanding $P_n(s)_q$ in (29) we get

$$R_1(\rho(s), x(s))[\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s)_q] = -\lambda_n R_1(\rho(s), x(s))[R_{n-1}(\rho_1(s), x_1(s))[1]],$$

where λ_n is a constant. Hence, by uniqueness, there exists a constant $C_{n,1}$ such that

$$\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s)_q = C_{n,1}R_{n-1}(\rho_1(s), x_1(s))[1].$$

- Let assume that the result holds for every $1 \leq k \leq m$. We will prove that it holds for $m + 1 \geq 2$:

By induction, we know that there exists a constant, $C_{n,m}$, such that

$$\Delta^{(m)}P_n(s)_q = C_{n,m}R_{n-m}(\rho_m(s), x_m(s))[1].$$

Thus

$$\Delta^{(m+1)}P_n(s)_q = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_m(s)} \Delta^{(m)}P_n(s)_q = C_{n,m} \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_m(s)} R_{n-m}(\rho_m(s), x_m(s))[1].$$

Again, by induction, taking $\rho(s) \equiv \rho_m(s)$, $x(s) \equiv x_m(s)$, $n \equiv n - m$, and $k = 1$, we deduce that there exists a constant $E_{m,1}$ such that

$$\frac{\Delta}{\Delta x_m(s)} R_{n-m}(\rho_m(s), x_m(s))[1] = E_{m,1} R_{n-m-1}(\rho_{m+1}(s), x_{m+1}(s))[1].$$

Therefore taking $C_{n,m+1} = C_{n,m}E_{m,1}$, we get

$$\Delta^{(m+1)}P_n(s)_q = C_{n,m+1}R_{n-(m+1)}(\rho_{m+1}(s), x_{m+1}(s))[1],$$

and the result follows. □

Remark 3.1 *Taking into account the hypergeometric character of classical orthogonal polynomials [22], we know the generic equation of Pearson-type that $\rho_m(s)$ satisfies as well as the second order linear difference equation that satisfies $\Delta^{(m)}P_n(s)$, for every $m, n = 0, 1, \dots$.*

4 The characterization Theorem for the q -classical polynomials

The characterization Theorems constitute a very useful tool to analyze classical orthogonal polynomials as we said in the introduction. Recently, in [5] and [2] a characterization of q -classical polynomials in the linear-type lattice $x(s) = cq^{\pm s} + d$ is presented. We will extend these results for the more general lattice

$$x(s) = c_1(q)q^s + c_2(q)q^{-s} + c_3(q). \quad (30)$$

For our purpose, we need to re-define the concept of q -classical OPS. Moreover, because the measures are supported on the real line, we are going to write Ω as the interval¹ $[a, b]$.

Definition 4.1 *The sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be a q -classical OPS on the lattice (30) if it satisfies (14) where*

(i) $\rho(s)$ is a solution of the q -Pearson equation

$$\Delta[\sigma(s)\rho(s)] = \tau(s)\rho(s)\nabla x_1(s). \quad (31)$$

(ii) $\sigma(s) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(s)\nabla x_1(s)$ is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree, at most, 2.

(iii) $\tau(s)$ is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree 1.

We will say $(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s))$ is q -classical if satisfies (i)-(iii).

A straightforward calculation shows that this definition contains the latest definition of q -classical OPS [5].

Lemma 4.1 *For every polynomial π and every integer k , $\pi(x_k(s)) + \pi(x_k(s-1))$ is a polynomial on $x_{k-1}(s)$ of degree $\deg(\pi)$.*

Proof: We know that the lattice satisfies the following relation

$$x_k(s) = x_1(s + \frac{k-1}{2}),$$

hence is enough consider the case $k = 1$. Let us prove this result by induction on m :

- For $m = 1$ the result is straightforward.
- If $m \geq 2$, then $x_1^m(s) + x_1^m(s-1)$ can be expressed as follows

$$(x_1^{m-1}(s) + x_1^{m-1}(s-1))(x_1(s) + x_1(s-1)) - x_1(s)x_1(s-1)(x_1^{m-2}(s) + x_1^{m-2}(s-1)). \quad (32)$$

Taking into account that that

$$x_1(s)x_1(s-1) = x^2(s) + \left(q^{\frac{1}{4}} - q^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)^2 \left(c_3(x(s) - c_3) + c_1c_2 \left(q^{\frac{1}{4}} + q^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)^2\right),$$

is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree 2. To prove that $x_1^m(s) + x_1^m(s-1)$ is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree m , let us call $c_{1,m}$ the leading coefficient of (32). A straightforward calculation give us that it satisfies the non-linear relation

$$c_{1,m} = c_{1,m-1}c_{1,1} - c_{1,m-2}, \quad m \geq 3,$$

with initial conditions $c_{1,0} = 2$, $c_{1,1} = [2]_q$, and a simple computation give us that $c_{1,m} = q^{\frac{m}{2}} + q^{-\frac{m}{2}} \neq 0$ for every $m \geq 0$ because $|q| \neq 1$, so (32) is a polynomial of degree m , and hence the result holds. \square

¹If $|a| = \infty$ (resp. $|b| = \infty$) then we will write as $(a, b]$ (resp. $[a, b)$).

Lemma 4.2 *If $(\sigma(s), \rho(s), x(s))$ is q -classical, then for every integer k and every polynomial π , the function*

$$R_1(\rho_k(s), x_k(s))[\pi(x_{k+1}(s))],$$

is a polynomial on $x_k(s)$ of degree $\deg(\pi) + 1$.

Proof: By hypothesis, we know that $\widehat{\sigma}(x(s)) := \sigma(s) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(s)\nabla x_1(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ are polynomials on $x(s)$ of degree, at most 2, and 1, respectively. A direct calculation gives

$$R_1(\rho_k(s), x_k(s))[1] = \frac{\sigma(s+k) + \tau(s+k)\nabla x_1(s+k) - \sigma(s)}{\nabla x_{k+1}(s)},$$

is a polynomial on $x_k(s)$ of degree 1.

Remark 4.1 *In the sequel, we will denote by $\Theta(s)$ the expression $\sigma(s) + \tau(s)\nabla x_1(s)$.*

Furthermoe, for every integer k and every polynomial π , we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(\rho_k(s), x_k(s))[\pi(x_{k+1}(s))] &= \\ &= \frac{(\sigma(s+k) + \tau(s+k)\nabla x_1(s+k))}{\nabla x_{k+1}(s)}\pi(x_{k+1}(s)) - \frac{\sigma(s)}{\nabla x_{k+1}(s)}\pi(x_{k+1}(s-1)) \quad (33) \\ &= \frac{\Theta(s+k)}{\nabla x_{k+1}(s)}\pi(x_{k+1}(s)) - \frac{\sigma(s)}{\nabla x_{k+1}(s)}\pi(x_{k+1}(s-1)). \end{aligned}$$

This function is a polynomial on $x_k(s)$ if and only the function

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Theta(s+\frac{k}{2})}{\nabla x_1(s)}\pi(x_1(s)) - \frac{\sigma(s-\frac{k}{2})}{\nabla x_1(s)}\pi(x_{-1}(s)) \\ = \frac{(\sigma(s+\frac{k}{2}) + \tau(s+\frac{k}{2})\nabla x_{k+1}(s))}{\nabla x_1(s)}\pi(x_1(s)) - \frac{\sigma(s-\frac{k}{2})}{\nabla x_1(s)}\pi(x_{-1}(s)), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

is a polynomial on $x(s)$. But this function is

$$\frac{[\Theta(s+\frac{k}{2}) - \sigma(s-\frac{k}{2})]}{\nabla x_1(s)}\pi(x_1(s)) + \frac{\sigma(s-\frac{k}{2})}{\nabla x_1(s)}[\pi(x_1(s)) - \pi(x_{-1}(s))],$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{[\Theta(s+\frac{k}{2}) - \sigma(s-\frac{k}{2})]}{\nabla x_1(s)}\pi(x_{-1}(s)) + \frac{\Theta(s+\frac{k}{2})}{\nabla x_1(s)}[\pi(x_1(s)) - \pi(x_{-1}(s))].$$

Taking the arithmetic mean of the above expressions and using Lemma 4.1 as well as the relation

$$\Theta(s+\frac{k}{2}) - \sigma(s-\frac{k}{2}) = q_2(x(s))\nabla x_1(s),$$

where q_2 is a polynomial of degree at most 2, we deduce that (34) is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree, at most, $\deg(\pi) + 1$. Moreover, a straightforward calculation yields the coefficient of $x^{\deg(\pi)+1}$ is

$$\alpha_q(m+2k)\tau' + [m+2k]_q \frac{\widehat{\sigma}''}{2} \neq 0, \quad m = \deg(\pi),$$

where $\widehat{\sigma}(x) = \frac{\widehat{\sigma}''}{2}x^2 + \widehat{\sigma}'(0)x + \widehat{\sigma}(0)$ and $\tau(x) = \tau'x + \tau(0)$ are their Taylor expansions, respectively. Hence the result follows. \square

Taking into account all these results, we are going to state the preliminary results related to our main Theorem.

Theorem 4.1 Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a q -classical OPS with respect to $\rho(s)$ on $x(s)$ such that

$$x^k(a)x_{-1}^l(a)\sigma(a)\rho(a) = x^k(b)x_{-1}^l(b)\sigma(b)\rho(b) = 0, \quad \forall k, l = 0, 1, \dots \quad (35)$$

Then, the sequence $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a q -classical OPS with respect to the function $\rho_1(s)$ on $x_1(s)$.

Therefore, if the condition (35) holds then the converse is also true.

Proof: Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a q -classical OPS with respect to $\rho(s)$ on $x(s)$. From Lemma 4.2 we know that $Q_k(x(s)) := R_1(\rho(s), x(s))P_{k-1}(x_1(s))$ is a polynomial of degree, at most, k . Then for $1 \leq k < n$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} P_n(s)Q_k(s)\rho(s)\nabla x_1(s) \\ &= \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} P_n(s)\{R_1(\rho(s), x(s))P_{k-1}(x_1(s))\}\rho(s)\nabla x_1(s) \\ &= \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} P_n(s)\nabla\left[\rho_1(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s))\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Leibniz rule, i.e.

$$\nabla\{f(s)g(s)\} = \nabla\{f(s)\}g(s) + f(s-1)\nabla\{g(s)\},$$

the following formula of summation by parts holds

$$\sum_{s=a}^{b-1} f(s)\nabla\{g(s)\} = f(s)g(s)\Big|_{s=a-1}^{s=b-1} - \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \nabla\{f(s)\}g(s-1). \quad (36)$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P_n(s+1)\rho_1(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s))\Big|_{s=a-1}^{s=b-1} - \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \nabla P_n(s+1)\rho_1(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s)) \quad (\text{from (23)}) \\ &= P_n(s)\rho(s)\sigma(s)P_{k-1}(x_{-1}(s))\Big|_{s=a}^{s=b} - \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \Delta P_n(s)\rho_1(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s)) \quad (\Delta x(s) = \nabla x_2(s)) \\ &= P_n(s)\sigma(s)\rho(s)P_{k-1}(x_{-1}(s))\Big|_{s=a}^{s=b} - \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \Delta^{(1)}\{P_n(s)\}P_{k-1}(x_1(s))\rho_1(s)\nabla x_2(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS with respect to $\rho_1(s)$ on the lattice $x_1(s)$.

Now, let check that $\rho_1(s)$ satisfies a q -Pearson equation as (31) on the lattice $x_1(s)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta[\sigma(s)\rho_1(s)]}{\rho_1(s)} &= \frac{\sigma(s+1)\rho_1(s+1)}{\rho_1(s)} - \sigma(s) \quad (\text{from } P_n \text{ classical OPS}) \\ &= \sigma(s+1) + \tau(x(s+1))\nabla x_1(s+1) - \sigma(s) \quad (\text{from } P_n \text{ classical OPS}) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}(s+1) - \hat{\sigma}(s) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(s+1)\nabla x_1(s+1) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(s)\nabla x_1(s), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}(s) = \sigma(s) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(x(s))\nabla x_1(s)$ is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree at most 2, and from a straightforward calculation we deduce that this expression is $\hat{\tau}_1(x_1(s))\nabla x_2(s)$ with $\deg(\hat{\tau}_1) \leq 1$.

Moreover, using the last expressions we get

$$\sigma(s) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\tau}_1(x_1(s))\nabla x_2(s) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\sigma}(s+1) + \hat{\sigma}(s) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(s+1)\nabla x_1(s+1) - \frac{1}{2}\tau(s)\nabla x_1(s)\right),$$

is a polynomial on $x_1(s)$ of degree at most 2, and hence the result holds.

For the converse we know that there exist $\hat{\sigma}(s)$ and τ_1 polynomials of degree, at most, 2 and 1 on $x_1(s)$, respectively such that

$$\Delta[\sigma(s)\rho_1(s)] = \tau_1(x_1(s))\rho_1(s)\nabla x_2(s),$$

where $\sigma(s) := \hat{\sigma}(s) - \frac{1}{2}\tau_1(x_1(s))\nabla x_2(s)$.

So, we only need to check that

$$\tau(s) := \frac{\nabla x(s)}{\nabla x_1(s)} \left(\tau_1(x_1(s-1)) - \frac{\nabla \sigma(s)}{\nabla x(s)} \right),$$

and $\sigma(s) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(s)\nabla x_1(s)$ are polynomials on $x(s)$ of degree at most 1 and 2, respectively. This is a direct calculation and hence the result holds. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a q -classical OPS with respect to $\rho(s)$ on $x(s)$ such that*

$$x^k(a)x_{-1}^l(a)\rho(a) = x^k(b)x_{-1}^l(b)\rho(b) = 0, \quad \forall k, l = 0, 1, \dots \quad (37)$$

Then, taking $P_{-1} = 0$, the sequence $\{R_n(\rho_{-1}(s), x_{-1}(s))[1]\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a q -classical OPS with respect to the weight function $\rho_{-1}(s) = \rho(s-1)/\sigma(s)$ on $x_{-1}(s)$. Therefore, if the condition (35) holds then the converse is also true.

The proof is as in the above Theorem taking into account the properties of $\Delta^{(m)}$ and the basic relations of $\rho_m(s)$ and $x_m(s)$ for every integer m .

Remark 4.2

(i) *The relation between the statements of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 is given by the following functional relation*

$$R_1(\rho_{-1}(s), x_{-1}(s)) \circ R_n(\rho(s), x(s)) = R_{n+1}(\rho_{-1}(s), x_{-1}(s)), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, in a certain sense, $R_1(\rho_{-1}(s), x_{-1}(s))$ is the inverse operator of $\Delta^{(1)}$.

(ii) *If*

$$\sum_{s=a}^{b-1} P_n(x(s))P_m(x(s))\rho(s)\nabla x_1(s) = 0,$$

and the boundary condition (35) holds, then we get for every integer k ,

$$\sum_{s=a-k}^{b-k-1} \Delta^{(k)} P_n(x_k(s)) \Delta^{(k)} P_m(x_k(s)) \rho_k(s) \nabla x_{k+1}(s) = 0,$$

where if $k \geq 0$

$$\Delta^{(-k)} := R_k(\rho_{-k}(s), x_{-k}(s)), \quad (38)$$

which is, in some sense, the inverse of the operator $\Delta^{(k)}$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an OPS with respect to $\rho(s)$ such that is complete as orthonormal set in $\ell^2([a, b], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\rho)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *$\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is q -classical and the following boundary conditions hold*

$$x^k(a)x_{-1}^l(a)\sigma(a)\rho(a) = x^k(b)x_{-1}^l(b)\sigma(b)\rho(b) = 0, \quad \forall k, l = 0, 1, \dots$$

(ii) $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS with respect to $\tilde{\rho}(s)$ and the following boundary conditions hold

$$x^k(a)x_{-1}(a)^l\tilde{\rho}(a-1) = x^k(b)x_{-1}(b)^l\tilde{\rho}(b-1) = 0, \quad \forall k, l = 0, 1, \dots$$

Before to prove this result notice that the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\rho$ is the standard one defined as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\rho := \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} f(s)\overline{g(s)}\rho(s)\nabla x_1(s).$$

Moreover, the Lusin's Theorem [24] allows us to guarantee the completeness of an OPS with respect to a finite mass measure supported over a compact set of the real line, so Theorem 4.3 has not enough restrictions and hence can be considered as an analogous of the Hahn's Theorem on the general lattice $x(s)$. Taking into account this remark, we will prove the Theorem.

Proof: Of course (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is a consequence of Theorem 4.1, and notice that here we do not need the completeness of the polynomials.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS with respect to $\tilde{\rho}(s)$ on $x_1(s)$ and satisfies the boundary conditions, then we can reverse the proof of Theorem 4.1 replacing $\sigma(s+1)\rho(s+1)$ and $\rho_1(s)$ by $\tilde{\rho}(s)$ in such a way that for a fixed $k \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{s=a}^{b-1} P_n(s)\nabla[\tilde{\rho}(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s))] = 0, \quad n = k+1, k+2, \dots \quad (39)$$

Therefore, the function

$$\frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla[\tilde{\rho}(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s))]}{\nabla x_1(s)},$$

is orthogonal to P_n with respect to the weight function $\rho(s)$ on $x(s)$ for every $n > k$. On the other hand, by hypothesis, the sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is complete in $\ell^2([a, b], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\rho)$, then

$$\frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla[\tilde{\rho}(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s))]}{\nabla x_1(s)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k,j} P_j(x(s)). \quad (40)$$

Taking into account (39), the last function is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree k because, if $j > k$

$$\beta_{k,j} = \frac{1}{d_j^2} \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} P_n(s) \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla[\tilde{\rho}(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s))]}{\nabla x_1(s)} \rho(s)\nabla x_1(s) = 0.$$

So,

$$\frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla[\tilde{\rho}(s)P_{k-1}(x_1(s))]}{\nabla x_1(s)} = \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_{k,j} P_j(x(s)). \quad (41)$$

Moreover, $\beta_{k,k} \neq 0$ because $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS.

Now, let define

$$\hat{\tau}(x(s)) := \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla[\tilde{\rho}(s)]}{\nabla x_1(s)}.$$

Clearly, $\hat{\tau}$ is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree 1. If $\sigma(s) := \tilde{\rho}(s-1)/\rho(s)$, then we get the following relation

$$\nabla[\sigma(s+1)\rho(s+1)] = \nabla[\tilde{\rho}(s)] = \rho(s)\hat{\tau}(x(s))\nabla x_1(s).$$

In other words, this is an equation of Pearson type. But to conclude we need to prove that $\sigma(s) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\tau}(x(s))\nabla x_1(s)$ is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree at most 2. But, taking $k = 2$ in (40) with $P_1(x) = x$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(x(s)) &= \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla[\tilde{\rho}(s)x_1(s)]}{\nabla x_1(s)} = \frac{(\sigma(s) + \hat{\tau}(x(s))\nabla x_1(s))x_1(s) - \sigma(s)x_1(s-1)}{\nabla x_1(s)} \\ &= \sigma(s) + \hat{\tau}(x(s))x_1(s), \end{aligned}$$

where Q_2 is a polynomial of degree at most 2, and a straightforward calculation leads to

$$\sigma(s) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\tau}(x(s))\nabla x_1(s) = Q_2(x(s)) - \hat{\tau}(x(s))\frac{x_1(s) + x_1(s-1)}{2},$$

i.e. a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree at most 2, and hence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a q -classical OPS which satisfies the boundary conditions of the Theorem. \square

We can state the other main result of this paper

Theorem 4.4 *Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an OPS with respect to $\rho(s)$ on the lattice $x(s)$ defined in (30) and let $\sigma(s)$ be such that (37) holds. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a q -classical OPS.
2. The sequence $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS with respect to the weight function $\rho_1(s) = \sigma(s+1)\rho(s+1)$ where ρ satisfies (31).
3. For every integer k , the sequence $\{R_n(\rho_k(s), x_k(s))[1]\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS with respect to the weight function $\rho_k(s)$ where $\rho_0(s) = \rho(s)$, $\rho_k(s) = \rho_{k-1}(s+1)\sigma(s+1)$, and ρ satisfies (31).
4. (Second order difference equation): $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the following second order linear difference equation

$$\sigma(s)\frac{\Delta}{\nabla x_1(s)}\frac{\nabla P_n(s)}{\nabla x(s)} + \tau(s)\frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + \lambda_n P_n(s) = 0, \quad (42)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}(s) = \sigma(s) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(s)\nabla x_1(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ are polynomials on $x(s)$ of degree at most 2 and 1, respectively, and λ_n is a constant.

5. $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ can be expressed in terms of the Rodrigues operator as follows

$$P_n(s) = B_n R_n(\rho(s), x(s))[1] = \frac{B_n}{\rho(s)} \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_1(s)} \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_2(s)} \cdots \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x_n(s)} [\rho_n(s)], \quad (43)$$

where B_n is a non zero constant.

6. (Second structure relation): There exist sequences of complex numbers, $\{e_n\}_n$, $\{f_n\}_n$, and $\{g_n\}_n$, such that the following relation holds for every $n \geq 0$, with the convention $P_{-1} = 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}P_n(s) := \frac{P_n(s+1) + P_n(s)}{2} = e_n \frac{\Delta P_{n+1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + f_n \frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + g_n \frac{\Delta P_{n-1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)}, \quad (44)$$

where $e_n \neq 0$, $g_n \neq \gamma_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, and γ_n is the corresponding coefficient of the following three-term recurrence relation [22]

$$x(s)P_n(s) = \alpha_n P_{n+1}(s) + \beta_n P_n(s) + \gamma_n P_{n-1}(s), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (45)$$

Moreover, if the lattice $x(s)$ is a linear-type lattice [5], the expression in the sixth characterization becomes to the well-known second structure relation

$$P_n(s) = e_n \frac{\Delta P_{n+1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + f_n \frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + g_n \frac{\Delta P_{n-1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)}.$$

Remark 4.3

- (i) If we consider a linear-type lattice then this Theorem is “equivalent” to the Theorem established in [5], because $\tau(s)\nabla x_1(s)$ is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree 2, and $x_k(s) = q^{\alpha_k}x(s) + \delta_k$, where α_k, δ_k are independent of s for every integer k .
- (ii) Notice that Definition 4.1 together with the fourth characterization in Theorem 4.4 should be compared with the Definition on p. 193 of the important paper [12].

Let us prove the Theorem 4.4. By Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2, and its Corollary, as well as remark 4.2 we know that (1) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow (3) \rightarrow (1).

Proposition 4.1 ((1) \rightarrow (4)). *If the sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a q -classical OPS with respect to $\rho(s)$ satisfying the boundary relations (37) then $\{P_n(s)\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the second order linear difference equation of hypergeometric type (12).*

Proof: From Lemma 4.1 and 4.2 we know that $R_1(\rho(s), x(s))\{\Delta^{(1)}P_n(x(s))\}$ is a polynomial on $x(s)$ of degree n . Moreover by Theorem 4.1 $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a q -classical OPS with respect to $\rho_1(s) = \sigma(s+1)\rho(s+1)$ on $x_1(s) = x(s + \frac{1}{2})$. Thus, for $0 \leq k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} Q_k(x(s))R_1(\rho(s), x(s))\{\Delta^{(1)}P_n(x(s))\}\rho(s)\nabla x_1(s) \\ &= \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} Q_k(x(s))\nabla\{\rho_1(s)\Delta^{(1)}P_n(x(s))\} \\ &\stackrel{(36)}{=} Q_k(x(s))\sigma(s)\rho(s)\Delta^{(1)}P_n(x(s-1))\Big|_{s=a}^{s=b} - \sum_{s=a}^{b-1} \Delta^{(1)}\{P_n(x(s))\}\Delta^{(1)}\{Q_k(x(s))\}\rho_1(s)\nabla x_2(s). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis and Theorem 4.1 the last expression vanishes, and hence there exists a non zero constant λ_n , independent on s , such that

$$R_1(\rho(s), x(s))\{\Delta^{(1)}P_n(x(s))\} = \lambda_n P_n(x(s)),$$

because $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS with respect to $\rho(s)$ on $x(s)$.

Finally, using that the last expression is equivalent to (12) the result holds. □

(4) \rightarrow (5): The last Proposition is very important and known (see [22, p. 66]), so we do not prove this one, because it gives a very simple method to find the q -classical polynomials on the general lattice $x(s)$, defined by (30), solving the difference equation (12). In fact, it was the key in the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and 4.2. In particular they can be written using the Rodrigues-type formula [22, 23] or, by via the Rodrigues operator (43).

(5) \rightarrow (1): By setting $n = 1$ in the Rodrigues formula we obtain the Pearson type equation (31) that satisfies the weight function $\rho(s)$.

Proposition 4.2 ((6) \leftrightarrow (1)). *Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an OPS with respect to $\rho(s)$ on the lattice $x(s)$ defined in (30) and let $\sigma(s)$ be such that (37) holds. The sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is q -classical if*

and only if there exist sequences of complex numbers, $\{e_n\}_n$, $\{f_n\}_n$, and $\{g_n\}_n$, such that the following relation holds for every $n \geq 0$, with the convention $P_{-1} = 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}P_n(s) = \frac{P_n(s) + P_n(s+1)}{2} = e_n \frac{\Delta P_{n+1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + f_n \frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + g_n \frac{\Delta P_{n-1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)},$$

where $e_n \neq 0$, $g_n \neq \gamma_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof: If $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS then it satisfies a TTRR, i.e. there exist sequences of complex numbers, $\{\alpha_n\}_n$, $\{\beta_n\}_n$, and $\{\gamma_n\}_n$, with $\gamma_n \neq 0$, such that

$$x(s)P_n(s) = \alpha_n P_{n+1}(s) + \beta_n P_n(s) + \gamma_n P_{n-1}(s), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (46)$$

If $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is q -classical, then $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS, and therefore there exist sequences of complex numbers, $\{\alpha_n^{(1)}\}_n$, $\{\beta_n^{(1)}\}_n$, and $\{\gamma_n^{(1)}\}_n$, with $\gamma_n^{(1)} \neq 0$, such that

$$x_1(s)\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) = \alpha_n^{(1)}\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}(s) + \beta_n^{(1)}\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) + \gamma_n^{(1)}\Delta^{(1)}P_{n-1}(s). \quad (47)$$

But

$$\Delta^{(1)}(x(s)P_n(s)) = x(s)\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) + P_n(s+1) = x(s+1)\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) + P_n(s),$$

then is also equal to the arithmetic mean of them, so

$$\Delta^{(1)}(x(s)P_n(s)) = \frac{1}{2}(x(s) + x(s+1))\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) + \frac{1}{2}(P_n(s+1) + P_n(s)). \quad (48)$$

Hence, combining (48), (46), and (47) we get

$$\mathcal{M}P_n(s) = \left(\alpha_n - \frac{[2]_q}{2}\alpha_n^{(1)}\right)\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}(s) + \widehat{\beta}_n\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) + \left(\gamma_n - \frac{[2]_q}{2}\gamma_n^{(1)}\right)\Delta^{(1)}P_{n-1}(s),$$

where

$$\widehat{\beta}_n = (\beta_n - c_3) - \frac{[2]_q}{2}(\beta_n^{(1)} - c_3).$$

Moreover, the coefficient of $\Delta^{(1)}P_{n-1}$ is different of γ_n because $\gamma_n^{(1)} \neq 0$.

Conversely, if there exist sequences of complex numbers, $\{e_n\}_n$, $\{f_n\}_n$, and $\{g_n\}_n$, such that the following relation holds for every $n \geq 0$, assuming $P_{-1} = 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}P_n(s) = e_n \frac{\Delta P_{n+1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + f_n \frac{\Delta P_n(s)}{\Delta x(s)} + g_n \frac{\Delta P_{n-1}(s)}{\Delta x(s)},$$

then from (48) we get

$$\frac{[2]_q}{2}x_1(s)\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) = (\alpha_n - e_n)\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}(s) + \widehat{\beta}_n^{(1)}\Delta^{(1)}P_n(s) + (\gamma_n - g_n)\Delta^{(1)}P_{n-1}(s),$$

where

$$\widehat{\beta}_n^{(1)} = \beta_n - c_3 - f_n + \frac{[2]_q}{2}c_3.$$

By hypothesis $g_n \neq \gamma_n$, hence from the Favard Theorem $\{\Delta^{(1)}P_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an OPS, and by Theorem 4.3 (or Theorem 4.1) the result holds. \square

Notice that is not possible to extend to every context the way as the author proved the Propositions 3.12 and 3.14 in [5]. Nevertheless, we are successful to extend it with our method.

5 The examples

5.1 The Askey-Wilson Polynomials

The Askey-Wilson polynomials are located in the top of the q -Askey scheme [15] which was discovered by R. Askey and J. Wilson. These polynomials can be written as basic hypergeometric series as follows

$$p_n(x(s); a, b, c, d|q) = \frac{(ab; q)_n (ac; q)_n (ad; q)_n}{a^n} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, abcdq^{n-1}, aq^s, aq^{-s} \\ ab, ac, ad \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right),$$

where $a, b, c, d, ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd \notin \{q^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

These polynomials are eigenfunctions of the second order linear difference operator:

$$\mathfrak{H}_q^{AW} = \frac{1}{\nabla x_1(s)} \left(\sigma^{AW}(-s) \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} - \sigma^{AW}(s) \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x(s)} \right), \quad (49)$$

where $x(s) = \frac{1}{2}(q^s + q^{-s})$, i.e. $c_1 = c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $c_3 = 0$, $\sigma^{AW}(s) = -\kappa_q^2 q^{-2s+\frac{1}{2}}(q^s - a)(q^s - b)(q^s - c)(q^s - d)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_n = 4q^{1-n}(1 - q^n)(1 - abcdq^{n-1})$.

Here, as before, $\kappa_q = q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. With these parameters, let consider the following function

$$\rho^{AW}(s) = q^{-2s^2} (a, b, c, d; q)_s (a, b, c, d; q)_{-s}, \quad (50)$$

where $(a; q)_0 = 1$, $(a; q)_k = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{k-1})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$(a; q)_{-k} = \frac{1}{(1 - aq^{-1})(1 - aq^{-2}) \cdots (1 - aq^{-k})}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Lemma 5.1 *The function $\rho^{AW}(s)$ satisfies the q -Pearson equation*

$$\sigma^{AW}(s+1)\rho(s+1) = \sigma^{AW}(-s)\rho(s).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho^{AW}(s+1)}{\rho^{AW}(s)} &= \frac{q^{-2(s+1)^2} (a, b, c, d; q)_{s+1} (a, b, c, d; q)_{-s-1}}{q^{-2s^2} (a, b, c, d; q)_s (a, b, c, d; q)_{-s}} \\ &= \frac{q^{-4s-2}(1 - aq^s)(1 - bq^s)(1 - cq^s)(1 - dq^s)}{(1 - aq^{-s-1})(1 - bq^{-s-1})(1 - cq^{-s-1})(1 - dq^{-s-1})} \\ &= \frac{q^{2s}(q^{-s} - a)(q^{-s} - b)(q^{-s} - c)(q^{-s} - d)}{q^{-2s-2}(q^{s+1} - a)(q^{s+1} - b)(q^{s+1} - c)(q^{s+1} - d)} = \frac{\sigma^{AW}(-s)}{\sigma^{AW}(s+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Taking into account that $\rho_n(s) = \rho_{n-1}(s+1)\sigma(s+1)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, being $\rho_0(s) = \rho(s)$, a straightforward calculation yields

$$\rho_n^{AW}(s) = \kappa_q^{2n} q^{-2s^2 - 2sn - n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n} (a, b, c, d; q)_{s+n} (a, b, c, d; q)_{-s}. \quad (51)$$

Then the Askey-Wilson polynomials can be written for every non negative integer n as

$$p_n(x(s); a, b, c, d|q) = \frac{B_n \kappa_q^{2n} q^{2s^2}}{(a, b, c, d; q)_s (a, b, c, d; q)_{-s}} \nabla^{(n)} \frac{(a, b, c, d; q)_{s+n} (a, b, c, d; q)_{-s}}{q^{2s^2 + 2sn + n^2 - \frac{3}{2}n}}, \quad (52)$$

where $B_n = 2^{-n} \kappa_q^{-n} q^{\frac{n(3n-5)}{4}}$.

Furthermore, because this family satisfies the following q -difference relation

$$\Delta^{(1)} p_n(x(s); a, b, c, d|q) = 2[n]_q (1 - abcdq^{n-1}) p_{n-1}(x_1(s); aq^{\frac{1}{2}}, bq^{\frac{1}{2}}, cq^{\frac{1}{2}}, dq^{\frac{1}{2}}|q).$$

The coefficients of the second structure relation are

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n &= \frac{2[n]_q(1 - abcdq^{n-1})^2 - [2]_q[n+1]_q(1 - abcdq^n)^2}{4[n]_q(1 - abcdq^{n-1})(1 - abcdq^{2n-1})(1 - abcdq^{2n})}, \\
f_n &= \frac{1-q}{4}(a - a^{-1}q^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} \left(A_n(a, b, c, d|q) + C_n(a, b, c, d|q) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{[2]_q}{2}(A_{n-1}(aq^{\frac{1}{2}}, bq^{\frac{1}{2}}, cq^{\frac{1}{2}}, dq^{\frac{1}{2}}|q) + C_{n-1}(aq^{\frac{1}{2}}, bq^{\frac{1}{2}}, cq^{\frac{1}{2}}, dq^{\frac{1}{2}}|q)) \right), \\
g_n &= \frac{(1 - abcdq^{n-2})(1 - abcdq^{2n-2})(1 - abcdq^{2n-1})}{4[n]_q(1 - abcdq^{n-1})^2} \left(\frac{2[n]_q(A_{n-1}C_n)(a, b, c, d|q)}{(1 - abcdq^{n-2})^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{[2]_q[n-1]_q(A_{n-2}C_{n-1})(aq^{\frac{1}{2}}, bq^{\frac{1}{2}}, cq^{\frac{1}{2}}, dq^{\frac{1}{2}}|q)}{(1 - abcdq^{n-1})^2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

5.2 The q -Racah polynomials

Here we will consider the q -Racah polynomials $u_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$ on the lattice

$$x(s) = [s]_q[s+1]_q = q^{\frac{1}{2}}\kappa_q^{-2}q^s + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\kappa_q^{-2}q^{-s} - [2]_q\kappa_q^{-2}.$$

These ones were introduced in [3, 18, 22] and deeply studied in [7]. These polynomials can be written as basic hypergeometric series [7] as follows

$$u_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = D_n {}_4\varphi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, q^{\alpha+\beta+n+1}, q^{a-s}, q^{a+s+1} \\ q^{a-b+1}, q^{\beta+1}, q^{a+b+\alpha+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q, q \right),$$

where

$$D_n = \frac{q^{-\frac{n}{2}(2a+\alpha+\beta+n+1)}(q^{a-b+1}; q)_n(q^{\beta+1}; q)_n(q^{a+b+\alpha+1}; q)_n}{\kappa_q^{2n}(q; q)_n}.$$

Remark 5.1 From the above formulas follow that the polynomials $u_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q$ are multiples of the standard q -Racah polynomials $R_n(\mu(q^{b+s}); q^\alpha, q^\beta, q^{a-b}, q^{-a-b}|q)$.

These polynomials are eigenfunctions of the second order linear difference operator:

$$\mathfrak{H}_q^{qR} = \frac{1}{\nabla x_1(s)} \left(\sigma^{qR}(-s-1) \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} - \sigma^{qR}(s) \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x(s)} \right), \tag{54}$$

where

$$\sigma^{qR}(s) = -\frac{q^{-2s}}{\kappa_q^4 q^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}} (q^s - q^a)(q^s - q^{-b})(q^s - q^{\beta-a})(q^s - q^{b+\alpha}) = [s-a]_q[s+b]_q[s+a-\beta]_q[b+\alpha-s]_q,$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_n = [n]_q[n+\alpha+\beta+1]_q$. Furthermore, taking into account the following q -difference relation:

$$\Delta^{(1)} u_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(s), a, b)_q = [\alpha + \beta + n + 1]_q u_{n-1}^{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(x_1(s), a + \frac{1}{2}, b - \frac{1}{2})_q,$$

the coefficients for the second structure relation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n &= \frac{2[n+\alpha+\beta+1]_q - [2]_q[n+\alpha+\beta+2]_q}{2[2n+\alpha+\beta+1]_q[2n+\alpha+\beta+2]_q} [n+1]_q, \\
f_n &= \beta_n(a, b, \alpha, \beta) - \frac{[2]_q}{2} \beta_n(a + \frac{1}{2}, b - \frac{1}{2}, \alpha + 1, \beta + 1), \\
g_n &= \frac{[a+b+\alpha+n]_q[a+b-\beta-n]_q[\alpha+n]_q[\beta+n]_q[b-a+\alpha+\beta+n]_q[b-a-n]_q}{2[\alpha+\beta+2n]_q[\alpha+\beta+2n+1]_q[n+\alpha+\beta+1]_q} \\
&\quad \times (2[n+\alpha+\beta+1]_q - [2]_q[n+\alpha+\beta]_q).
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

5.3 The q -Meixner polynomials

This family of q -polynomials has the following representation as basic hypergeometric series

$$M_n(x; b, c; q) = \frac{(bq; q)_n (-c)^n}{q^{n^2}} {}_2\varphi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, x \\ bq \end{matrix} \middle| q; -\frac{q^{n+1}}{c} \right), \quad x(s) = q^{-s} \equiv x,$$

which are the polynomial eigenfunctions of the second order linear difference operator:

$$\mathfrak{H}_q^{qM} = \frac{1}{\nabla x_1(s)} \left((x-1)(x+bc) \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} - q^{-1} c(x-bq) \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x(s)} \right), \quad (56)$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_n = q^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{[n]_q}{q-1}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} e_n &= 1 - \frac{[2]_q [n+1]_q}{2[n]_q} q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ f_n &= \frac{1-q}{2} + \frac{q^{-2n-1}}{2} (c - cbq^{n+1})(2-q-q^2) + \frac{q^{-2n}}{2} (c+q^n)(2-q-q^2+q^n-q^{n-1}), \\ g_n &= \frac{cq^{-4n+1}(1-bq^n)(c+q^n)}{2[n]_q} (2[n]_q(1-q^n) - (q+q^2)[n-1]_q(1-q^{n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

5.4 The Al-Salam & Carlitz polynomials I and II

The Al-Salam & Carlitz polynomials I (and II) appear in certain models of q -harmonic oscillator, see e.g. [9, 10, 11, 21]. They are polynomials on the linear-type lattice $x(s) = q^s \equiv x$, defined [15] by

$$U_n^{(a)}(x; q) = (-a)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} {}_2\varphi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, x^{-1} \\ 0 \end{matrix} \middle| q; \frac{qx}{a} \right).$$

These polynomials are polynomial eigenfunctions of the second order linear difference operator:

$$\mathfrak{H}_q^{ACI} = \frac{1}{\nabla x_1(s)} \left(a \frac{\Delta}{\Delta x(s)} - (x-1)(x-a) \frac{\nabla}{\nabla x(s)} \right), \quad (58)$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_n = \frac{[n]_q}{q-1} q^{1-\frac{n}{2}}$. In this case $\Delta^{(1)} U_n^{(a)}(x; q) = q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [n]_q U_{n-1}^{(a)}(x; q)$, so the second structure relation coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} e_n &= 1 - \frac{(1+q)[n+1]_q}{2q[n]_q}, \quad f_n = (1+a)q^n \left(1 - \frac{[2]_q}{2} q^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right), \\ g_n &= \frac{aq^{n-\frac{5}{2}}}{2[n]_q} \left(2[n]_q q^{\frac{3}{2}} (q^n - 1) - [2]_q [n-1]_q (q^{n-1} - 1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

If we now taking into account that (see [15, p. 115])

$$V_n^{(a)}(x; q) = U_n^{(a)}(x; q^{-1}),$$

then all the information related with the Al-Salam & Carlitz polynomials II can be deduced from the information for the Al-Salam & Carlitz polynomials I simply by changing q to q^{-1} .

Acknowledgements: Discussions with Profs. F. Marcellán and R. Álvarez-Nodarse were very fruitful in order to improve this manuscript. I thank Prfs. A. G. García and J. M. Rodríguez for a useful conversation that led to Theorem 4.3. This work has been supported by Dirección General de Investigación del Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain under grant BFM2003-06335-C03-02.

References

- [1] W. Al-Salam and T. S. Chihara. Another characterization of the classical orthogonal polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **3** (1972), 65–70.
- [2] M. Alfaro y R. Álvarez-Nodarse. A characterization of the classical orthogonal discrete and q -polynomials. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **201** (2007), 48–54.
- [3] R. Álvarez-Nodarse. *Polinomios generalizados y q -polinomios: propiedades espectrales y aplicaciones (In Spanish)*. PhD thesis, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganes, Madrid, 1996.
- [4] R. Álvarez-Nodarse. *Polinomios hipergeométricos y q -polinomios*, volume 26 of *Monografías del Seminario Matemático “García de Galdeano”*. Prensas Universitarias de Zaragoza, Zaragoza: SPAIN, 2003. In Spanish.
- [5] R. Álvarez-Nodarse. On characterization of classical polynomials. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **196** (2006), 320–337.
- [6] R. Álvarez-Nodarse and J. Arvesú. On the q -polynomials on the exponential lattice $x(s) = c_1q^s + c_3$. *Integral Trans. and Special Funct.* **8** (1999), 299–324.
- [7] R. Álvarez-Nodarse, Yu. F. Smirnov, y R. S. Costas-Santos. A q -analog of the Racah polynomials and q -algebra $su_q(2)$ in quantum optics. *J. Russian Laser Res.* **27**(1) (2006), 1–32.
- [8] G. E. Andrews. *q -Series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics and Computer Algebra*, volume 66 of *C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series in Math.* American Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1996.
- [9] R. Askey y S. K. Suslov. The q -harmonic oscillator and the Al-Salam and Carlitz polynomials. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **29**(2) (1993), 123–132.
- [10] N. M. Atakishiev y S. K. Suslov. Difference analogs of the harmonic oscillator. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **85**(1) (1991), 1055–1062.
- [11] N. M. Atakishiev y S. K. Suslov. A realization of the q -harmonic oscillator. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **87**(1) (1991), 442–444.
- [12] N. M. Atakishiyev, M. Rahman, y S. K. Suslov. On classical orthogonal polynomials. *Const. Approx.* **11** (1995), 181–226.
- [13] N. J. Fine. *Hypergeometric Series and Applications*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1988.
- [14] A. G. García, F. Marcellán, y L. Salto. A distributional study of discrete classical orthogonal polynomials. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **57**(1-2) (1995), 147–162.
- [15] R. Koekoek and R. F. Swarttouw. *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue*, volume 98-17. Reports of the Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft, The Netherlands, 1998.
- [16] T. H. Koornwinder. *Orthogonal polynomials in connection with quantum groups*, pages 257–292. P. Nevai (Ed.), NATO ASI Series C 294. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1990.

- [17] T. H. Koornwinder. *Compact quantum groups and q -special functions*, pages 46–128. V. Baldoni and M.A. Picardello (Eds.), Pitman research notes in mathematics Series. Longman Scientific & Technical, New York, 1994.
- [18] A. A. Malashin. q -analog of racah polynomials on the lattice $x(s) = [s]q[s+1]_q$ and its connections with 6j-symbols for the $su_q(2)$ and $su_q(1,1)$, quantum algebras. In Russian. Master's thesis, Moscow State University, M.V. Lomonosov, January 1992.
- [19] F. Marcellán, A. Branquinho, y J. Petronilho. Classical orthogonal polynomials: a functional approach. *Acta Appl. Math.* **34** (1994), 283–303.
- [20] J. C. Medem, R. Álvarez-Nodarse, y F. Marcellán. On the q -polynomials: a distributional study. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **135** (2001), 157–196.
- [21] Sh. M. Nagiyev. Difference Schrödinger equation and q -oscillator model. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **102** (1995), 180–187.
- [22] A. F. Nikiforov, S. K. Suslov, and V. B. Uvarov. *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials of a Discrete Variable. Springer Series in Computational Physics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [23] A. F. Nikiforov and V. B. Uvarov. *The Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1988.
- [24] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- [25] N. Ja. Vilenkin and A. U. Klimyk. *Representations of Lie Groups and Special Functions*, volume I, II, III. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992.