

Renormalization of Multiple q -Zeta Values

Jianqiang Zhao

Department of Mathematics, Eckerd College, St. Petersburg, FL 33711

Abstract. In this paper we shall define the renormalization of the multiple q -zeta values (MqZV) which are special values of multiple q -zeta functions at positive integers or non-positive integers. This generalizes the work of Guo and Zhang [12] on Euler-Zagier multiple zeta values. We show that this renormalization process produce the same value if the multiple q -zeta values are well-defined originally and that these renormalizations of MqZV satisfy the q -shuffle relations.

Keywords: Renormalization, multiple (q -)zeta values, shuffle relations.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 11M41

1 Introduction and preliminaries

1.1 Multiple (q -)zeta functions

The Euler-Zagier multiple zeta functions are defined as nested generalizations of the Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_d) = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_d} k_1^{-s_1} \dots k_d^{-s_d} \quad (1)$$

for complex variables s_1, \dots, s_d satisfying $\Re(s_j + \dots + s_d) > d - j + 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$. In [26] we show by using generalized functions that this function can be analytically continued to \mathbb{C}^d as a meromorphic function with simple poles. The precise location of the poles are given in [3] as the following set:

$$\mathfrak{S}_d = \left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \left| \begin{array}{l} s_d = 1; \text{ or } s_{d-1} + s_d \in \{1\} \cup 2\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 1}; \text{ or } \\ s_j + \dots + s_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq d-j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq d-2. \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad (2)$$

For complex variables s_1, \dots, s_d satisfying $\Re(s_j + \dots + s_d) > d - j + 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$, we defined in [27] the q -analog ($0 < q < 1$) of multiple zeta function of depth d as follows:

$$\zeta_q(s_1, \dots, s_d) = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_d} \frac{q^{k_1(s_1-1) + \dots + k_d(s_d-1)}}{[k_1]^{s_1} \dots [k_d]^{s_d}} \quad (3)$$

where for any real number r we set $[r] = (1 - q^r)/(1 - q)$. Note that when $d = 1$ this is the same as the q -analog of the Riemann zeta function defined in [18]. By using Euler-Maclaurin summations we obtained their meromorphic continuations to \mathbb{C}^d with following singularities (which are all simple):

$$\mathfrak{S}'_d = \left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \left| \begin{array}{l} s_d \in 1 + \frac{2\pi i}{\ln q} \mathbb{Z}, \text{ or } s_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} + \frac{2\pi i}{\ln q} \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \\ \text{or } s_j + \dots + s_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq d-j+1} + \frac{2\pi i}{\ln q} \mathbb{Z}, j < d \end{array} \right. \right\} \supset \mathfrak{S}_d.$$

Here the last part in \mathfrak{S}'_d is vacuous if $d = 1$. Set

$$f_q(s_1, \dots, s_d; t_1, \dots, t_d) = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_d} \frac{q^{k_1 t_1 + \dots + k_d t_d}}{[k_1]^{s_1} \dots [k_d]^{s_d}}.$$

The Main Theorem of [27] says that for all $(s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathfrak{S}_d$

$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_q(s_1, \dots, s_d) = \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_d).$$

Recently, Guo and his collaborators ([10, 12]) apply the Rota-Baxter algebra technique to the study of multiple zeta values (MZV) at integers and their q -analogues. In [12] the renormalization is carried out for the MZV and they show that when $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_d)$ is defined then the renormalization of it agrees with the value itself, provided that s_i 's are all positive or all non-positive. Moreover, these renormalizations satisfy the stuffle (or quasi-shuffle) relations. The importance of this result is related to the conjecture [14] that to obtain all the relations among MZV of the same weight it suffices to use all the double shuffle relations including those of the renormalization of MZV at positive integers. In this paper we will consider the corresponding problem for the q -analogue of these values which we call multiple q -zeta values (MqZV). We need to point out that our $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_d)$ is denoted by $\zeta(s_d, \dots, s_1)$ in [12].

1.2 Analytic continuations: a brief review

The analytic continuation of multiple q -zeta functions $\zeta_q(s_1, \dots, s_d)$ can be realized by using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula. First we define the shifting operators \mathcal{S}_j ($1 \leq j \leq d$) on the multiple zeta functions as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_j \zeta_q(s_1, \dots, s_d) = \zeta_q(s_1, \dots, s_d) + (1 - q) \zeta_q(s_1, \dots, s_j - 1, \dots, s_d). \quad (4)$$

We also write $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_d$ as the shifting operator on the last variable.

Set $\vec{s}' = (s_1, \dots, s_{d-2})$ if $d \geq 3$ and $\vec{s}' = \emptyset$ if $d = 2$. Then (see the proof of the [27, Main Theorem])

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_q(\vec{s}', s_{d-1}, s_d) &= \frac{(q-1)}{(s_d-1) \ln q} \zeta_q(\vec{s}', s_{d-1} + s_d - 1) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{S} \zeta_q(\vec{s}', s_{d-1} + s_d) \\ &\quad + \frac{s_d}{12} \frac{\ln q}{q-1} \mathcal{S}^2 \zeta_q(\vec{s}', s_{d-1} + s_d + 1) + \frac{\ln q}{12} \mathcal{S} \zeta_q(\vec{s}', s_{d-1} + s_d) + R(q, \vec{s}') \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $R(q, \vec{s}')$ can be bounded nicely with the property that $\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_q(s_1, \dots, s_d) = \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_d)$ defined by (7), which is understood as the analytic continuation of (1).

The analytical continuation of multiple zeta functions [26] utilizes generalized functions. But Euler-Maclaurin summation can also be used instead. Recall that the Bernoulli polynomials $B_k(x)$ are defined by the generating function

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$

Let $\tilde{B}_k(x)$ be the ‘‘periodic Bernoulli polynomial’’

$$\tilde{B}_k(x) = B_k(\{x\}), \quad x \geq 1,$$

where $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of x . Then we have ([25, Ch. IX, Misc. Ex. 12])

$$\tilde{B}_k(x) = -k! \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{e^{2\pi i n x}}{(2\pi i n)^k}. \quad (6)$$

Note that the Bernoulli numbers satisfy $B_k = B_k(1)$ if $k \geq 2$ while $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = 1/2$ and $B_2 = 1/6$. Now by [27, Theorem 3.2] we have

Theorem 1.1. *For all $(s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathfrak{S}_d$ and $M > 1 + |\sigma_d| + |\sigma_{d-1}|$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_d) &= \sum_{r=0}^{M+1} \frac{B_r}{r!} (s_d)_{r-1} \cdot \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_{d-1} + s_d + r - 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{(s_d)_{M+1}}{(M+1)!} \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_d} \frac{1}{k_1^{s_1} \dots k_{d-1}^{s_{d-1}}} \int_{k_{d-1}}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_{M+1}(x)}{x^{s_d + M + 1}} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where we set $(s)_0 = 1$ and $(s)_{-1} = 1/(s-1)$. This provides an analytic continuation of $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_d)$ to $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathfrak{S}_d$.

1.3 The Rota-Baxter algebra and the q -stuffle product

According to [9] mixable shuffles arise from the study of Rota-Baxter algebras. Let \mathbf{k} be a subring of \mathbb{C} and let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ be fixed. A Rota-Baxter \mathbf{k} -algebra of weight λ (previously called a Baxter algebra) is a pair (R, P) in which R is a \mathbf{k} -algebra and $P : R \rightarrow R$ is a \mathbf{k} -linear map, such that

$$P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(P(x)y) + \lambda P(xy), \quad \forall x, y \in R. \quad (8)$$

In this paper we are going to concentrate on the following two examples, both of which are contained in [12].

Example 1.2. Let ε be a complex variable such that $\Re(\varepsilon) < 0$. Let $\mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$ be the algebra of convergent Laurent series in a neighborhood of $\varepsilon = 0$. Write $T = -\ln(-\varepsilon)$ which is transcendental over $\mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$. Then we can regard $R := \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}][T]$ as the polynomial algebra with the variable T and with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$. Let P be the operator on R which takes the pole part. Then it's not hard to verify that (R, P) is a Rota-Baxter \mathbf{k} -algebra of weight -1 .

Example 1.3. Let \mathcal{H} be a connected filtered Hopf algebra over \mathbf{k} (see [12, §2.1] for the definition) and let (R, P) be a commutative Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ . Define the \mathbf{k} -algebra $\mathfrak{R} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{H}, R)$ of linear maps from \mathcal{H} to R with the product given by the convolution

$$(\mathcal{L} \star \mathcal{L}')(x) := \sum_{(x)} \mathcal{L}(x_{(1)})\mathcal{L}'(x_{(2)})$$

where $\Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$. Then the operator \mathcal{P} on $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, R)$ defined by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}) = P \circ \mathcal{L}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator on \mathfrak{R} of weight λ .

In the rest of this section we will construct the Hopf algebra of Example 1.3. For fixed q we put

$$\mathbf{k} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (1-q)^n \mathbb{Z}.$$

For any subset \mathcal{Z} of \mathbb{C} closed under addition and shifting by -1 we put

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{Z}) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} s \\ r \end{bmatrix} \mid (s, r) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\}. \quad (9)$$

Define the q -stuffle product on the \mathbf{k} -algebra $A_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{Z})$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} s \\ r \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} s' \\ r' \end{bmatrix} := \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} s \\ r \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} s' \\ r' \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle := \begin{bmatrix} s+s' \\ r+r' \end{bmatrix} \oplus (1-q) \begin{bmatrix} s+s'-1 \\ r+r' \end{bmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Then we can extend $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ to $\bar{A}_{\mathcal{Z}} = A_{\mathcal{Z}} \cup \{0\}$ by setting $\begin{bmatrix} s \\ r \end{bmatrix} \cdot 0 = 0$. If we define $\deg((1-q)^n \begin{bmatrix} s \\ r \end{bmatrix}) = n + \Re(s)$ and $\deg(0) = -\infty$ then we have

- S0. $\langle a, 0 \rangle = 0$ for all $a \in \bar{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}$;
- S1. $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle b, a \rangle$ for all $a, b \in \bar{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}$;
- S2. $\langle \langle a, b \rangle, c \rangle = \langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle$ for all $a, b, c \in \bar{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}$; and
- S3. $\deg(\langle a, b \rangle) = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$ for all $a, b \in \bar{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Indeed, we only need to check S2. But

$$\langle \langle a, b \rangle, c \rangle = (a+b+c) \oplus 2(1-q)(a+b+c-1) \oplus (1-q)^2(a+b+c-2) = \langle \langle b, c \rangle, a \rangle = \langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle$$

by S1. Recall from [12, §2.2] that we can define the algebra:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Z}} := \sum_{n \geq 0} A_{\mathcal{Z}}^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{k} \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{Z})^n.$$

Then we may equip the q -stuffle product, which is the q -analog of the quasi-shuffle product $*$ in [13, 12], on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ as follows: for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in A_{\mathcal{Z}}^m$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A_{\mathcal{Z}}^n$ we set

$$\mathbf{a}_{\hat{1}} = \begin{cases} (a_2, \dots, a_m) & \text{if } m > 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } m = 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_{\hat{1}} = \begin{cases} (b_2, \dots, b_n) & \text{if } n > 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then we define $1 * \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} * 1 = \mathbf{a}$ and recursively

$$\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} = (a_1, \mathbf{a}_{\hat{1}} * \mathbf{b}) + (b_1, \mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b}_{\hat{1}}) + (a_1 \cdot b_1, \mathbf{a}_{\hat{1}} * \mathbf{b}_{\hat{1}}) \quad (11)$$

where $a_1 \cdot b_1$ is defined by (10). It has a connected filtered Hopf algebra structure over \mathbf{k} when the deconcatenation coproduct is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Z}} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \\ h = (a_1, \dots, a_m) &\longmapsto 1 \otimes h + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (a_1, \dots, a_i) \otimes (a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m) + h \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that Hoffman first proposed to study similar objects in [13]. If we set $\vec{s} * \vec{s}' = \bigoplus_{m, n \geq 0} (1 - q)^n \vec{s}_{m, n}$ to be the top component of $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}' \\ \vec{r}' \end{bmatrix}$, then we proved in [27, Theorem 5.1] that

$$\zeta_q(\vec{s}) \zeta_q(\vec{s}') = \zeta_q(\vec{s} * \vec{s}') := \sum_{m, n \geq 0} (1 - q)^n \zeta_q(\vec{s}_{m, n}). \quad (12)$$

2 Regularized multiple q -zeta values

As in the previous section let \mathcal{Z} be a subset of \mathbb{C} which is closed under addition and shifting by -1 . For $s \in \mathcal{Z}$, $r > 0$, $\Re(\varepsilon) < 0$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we first define

$$f_{s, r} := f(s, r; \varepsilon, x) = \frac{q^{x(s-1)} \exp(r\varepsilon[x]/q^x)}{[x]^s}.$$

Then for every vector $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathcal{Z}^d$ and $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^d$, we set

$$Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon, x\right) = \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_d} \prod_{j=1}^d f(s_j, r_j; \varepsilon, n_j + x) \quad (13)$$

It is clear that $Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon, x\right)$ is also given by the recursive definition for $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathcal{Z}^d$ and $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{N}} &\longrightarrow R_1 := \left\{ Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon, x\right) \mid \begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix} \in A_{\mathcal{Z}} \right\} \\ \left(\begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ r_1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} s_d \\ r_d \end{bmatrix} \right) &\longmapsto Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon, x\right) := Q(f_{s_1, r_1}(\varepsilon, x) Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} s_2, \dots, s_d \\ r_2, \dots, r_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon, x\right)), \end{aligned}$$

where Q is the summation operator (denoted by P in [10, 28])

$$Q(f)(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} f(x + n). \quad (14)$$

In Theorem 2.3 we will see that we can replace R_1 in the above by $\mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$ if $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and by $R = \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}][T]$ in Example 1.2 if $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.1. For $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\vec{r} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^d$ by setting $x = 0$ in $Z_q(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon, x)$ we get

$$Z_q\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon\right) = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_d} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{q^{k_j(s_j-1)} \exp(\varepsilon r_j [k_j]/q^{k_j})}{[k_j]^{s_j}}. \quad (15)$$

These values are called the *regularized multiple q -zeta values (at \mathcal{Z})*.

Because of the assumption $\Re(\varepsilon) < 0$ we see that $Z_q(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon)$ is well-defined for all \vec{s} and \vec{r} . In particular we don't need to restrict to a MZV-algebra as constructed in [10, §3.2] by Ebrahimi-Fard and Guo. Moreover, when $q \uparrow 1$ we recover the definition of regularized general MZV defined in [12].

2.1 Regularized q -Riemann zeta values

Taking $d = 1$ in Definition 2.1 we find that $Z_q(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} s \\ r \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon) = Z_q(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} s \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]; r\varepsilon)$ so that it suffices to consider

$$Z_q(s; \varepsilon) := Z_q\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} s \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon\right).$$

We will first put $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$. To study these regularized values we set, similar to [18],

$$F(x, s; \varepsilon) = \frac{q^{x(s-1)} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x])}{(1-q)^s [x]^s} = \frac{q^{x(s-1)} \exp(\varepsilon(q^{-x}-1)/(1-q))}{(1-q^x)^s}, \quad \Re(\varepsilon) < 0.$$

Then taking derivatives of F with respect to x we get

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x, s; \varepsilon) &= (\ln q) q^{x(s-1)} \frac{s-1+q^x}{(1-q^x)^{s+1}} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x]) - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-q} (\ln q) q^{x(s-2)} \frac{\exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x])}{(1-q^x)^s}, \\ F''(x, s; \varepsilon) &= (\ln q)^2 q^{x(s-1)} \frac{s(s+1) - 3s(1-q^x) + (1-q^x)^2}{(1-q^x)^{s+2}} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x]) \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-q} (\ln q)^2 q^{x(s-2)} \frac{2s-3+3q^x}{(1-q^x)^{s+1}} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x]) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1-q}\right)^2 (\ln q)^2 q^{x(s-3)} \frac{\exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x])}{(1-q^x)^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = \varepsilon(q^{-x}-1)/(1-q)$, then $dt = -\varepsilon(\ln q)q^{-x}/(1-q) dx$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_k^\infty F(x, s; \varepsilon) dx &= \int_k^\infty \frac{q^{x(s-1)} \exp(\varepsilon(q^{-x}-1)/(1-q))}{(1-q^x)^s} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\ln q} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1-q}\right)^{s-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\varepsilon(q^{-k}-1)/(1-q)} \frac{e^t}{t^s} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

When $s = 0$ and $k = 1$ we have

$$\int_1^\infty F(x, 0; \varepsilon) dx = \frac{1}{\ln q} \left(\frac{1-q}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} e^t dt = \frac{1-q}{\ln q} \frac{e^{\varepsilon/q}}{\varepsilon} \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \left(\frac{-1}{\varepsilon}\right) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \cdot \frac{q^{-l-1}}{-l-1} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^l}{l!}. \quad (18)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
F(1, 0; \varepsilon) &= q^{-1} \exp(\varepsilon/q) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{-l-1} \frac{\varepsilon^l}{l!} \\
F'(1, 0; \varepsilon) &= (-\ln q) q^{-1} \exp(\varepsilon/q) - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-q} (\ln q) q^{-2} \exp(\varepsilon/q) \\
&= (-\ln q) q^{-1} + q^{-1} \ln q \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(-q^{-l} - \frac{lq^{-l}}{1-q} \right) \frac{\varepsilon^l}{l!} \\
&= (-\ln q) q^{-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln q}{1-q} q^{-1-l} (-l-1+q) \frac{\varepsilon^l}{l!}, \\
F''(x, 0; \varepsilon) &= (\ln q)^2 q^{-x} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x]) - 3 \frac{\varepsilon}{q-1} (\ln q)^2 q^{-2x} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x]) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1-q} \right)^2 (\ln q)^2 q^{-3x} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x]) \\
&= (\ln q)^2 q^{-x} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \left((q^{-x}[x])^l - \frac{3lq^{-x}}{q-1} (q^{-x}[x])^{l-1} + \frac{l(l-1)q^{-2x}}{(q-1)^2} (q^{-x}[x])^{l-2} \right) \frac{\varepsilon^l}{l!}, \\
&\quad + (\ln q)^2 q^{-x} + (\ln q)^2 q^{-2x} \frac{3+1-q^x}{1-q} \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $0 < q < 1$ and $\Re(\varepsilon) < 0$, $Z_q(0; \varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n, 0; \varepsilon)$ converges. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_q(0; \varepsilon) &= \int_1^{\infty} F(x, 0; \varepsilon) dx + \frac{1}{2} F(1, 0; \varepsilon) - \frac{1}{12} F'(1, 0; \varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \tilde{B}_2(x) F''(x, 0; \varepsilon) dx \\
&= \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \left(\frac{-1}{\varepsilon} \right) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \zeta_q(-l) \frac{\varepsilon^l}{l!}. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

This last equation readily follows from the analytic continuation of $\zeta_q(s)$ given by [18, (12)].

To determine the regularized normalization for $\zeta_q(s; \varepsilon)$ for positive s we begin with the case $s = 1$. First we have

$$\int_1^{\infty} F(x, 1; \varepsilon) dx = \frac{-1}{\ln q} \int_{-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt.$$

Therefore

$$(1-q) \int_1^{\infty} F(x, 1; \varepsilon) dx = \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \int_{-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt.$$

Now for any real number $a > 0$ we have by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt &= \left[e^{-t} \ln t \right]_a^{\infty} + \int_a^{\infty} e^{-t} \ln t dt \\
&= -\gamma - e^{-a} \ln a - \int_0^a e^{-t} \ln t dt \\
&= -\gamma - e^{-a} \ln a - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^a t^n \ln t dt \\
&= -\gamma - e^{-a} \ln a - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{a^{n+1}}{n+1} \ln a - \frac{a^{n+1}}{(n+1)^2} \right) \\
&= -\gamma - \ln a + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \frac{a^{n+1}}{(n+1)} \\
&= -\gamma - \ln a - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-a)^l}{l!}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.577216$ is the Euler's γ constant. Here we have used the fact that (see [3] or [2])

$$\Gamma'(1) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \ln t \, dt = -\gamma.$$

Hence

$$(1-q) \int_1^{\infty} F(x, 1; \varepsilon) \, dx = \frac{1-q}{\ln q} \left[\ln \left(\frac{-\varepsilon}{q} \right) + \gamma + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} q^{-l} \frac{\varepsilon^l}{ll} \right]$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F'(1, 1; \varepsilon) &= (\ln q) \frac{q}{(1-q)^2} \exp(\varepsilon/q) - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-q} (\ln q) q^{-1} \frac{\exp(\varepsilon/q)}{1-q}, \\ F''(x, 1; \varepsilon) &= (\ln q)^2 \frac{2-3(1-q^x) + (1-q^x)^2}{(1-q^x)^3} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x]) \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-q} (\ln q)^2 q^{-x} \frac{-1+3q^x}{(1-q^x)^2} \exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x]) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1-q} \right)^2 (\ln q)^2 q^{-2x} \frac{\exp(\varepsilon q^{-x}[x])}{1-q^x}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q(1; \varepsilon) &= (1-q)^s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n, 1; \varepsilon) \\ &= (1-q) \left(\int_1^{\infty} F(x, 1; \varepsilon) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} F(1, 1; \varepsilon) - \frac{1}{12} F'(1, 1; \varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \tilde{B}_2(x) F''(x, 1; \varepsilon) \, dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1-q}{\ln q} \ln(-\varepsilon) + M(q) + \frac{1-q}{\ln q} \gamma + O(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where we set

$$M(q) = q - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q}{12} \frac{\ln q}{q-1} - \frac{(1-q)(\ln q)^2}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \tilde{B}_2(x) \frac{2-3(1-q^x) + (1-q^x)^2}{(1-q^x)^3} \, dx. \quad (21)$$

As a comparison we take a look at the behavior of $\zeta_q(s)$ near $s = 1$. It is clear that

$$F(x, s, 0) = \frac{q^{x(s-1)}}{(1-q^x)^s}.$$

Then from formula [27, (12)] we have, near $s = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_q(s) &= (1-q)^s \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n, s, 0) \right) \\ &= (1-q)^s \left(\int_1^{\infty} F(x, s, 0) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} F(1, s, 0) - \frac{1}{12} F'(1, s, 0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \tilde{B}_2(x) F''(x, s, 0) \, dx \right) \\ &= \frac{(q-1)}{(s-1) \ln q} + M(q) + \frac{1-q}{\ln q} \gamma + O(s-1). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Taking $q \uparrow 1$ we should have near $s = 1$

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \lim_{q \uparrow 1} M(q) - \gamma + O(s-1).$$

Indeed, by applications of Euler-Maclaurin summation formula ([19, p. 531] or [25, 7.21] or [24]), for all integers $n, l \geq 1$:

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j} = \ln k + \gamma + \frac{1}{2k} - \sum_{r=1}^l \frac{B_{2r}}{2r} k^{-2r} + \int_k^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_{2l+1}(x)}{x^{2l+2}} \, dx.$$

Since $B_2 = 1/6$, taking $k = 1$ and using integration by parts once we get

$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} M(q) = \gamma.$$

Now we can prove the following result:

Theorem 2.2. *The regularized value of $Z_q\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} s \\ r \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon\right)$ at $s = 1 - n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) is*

$$Z_q(1 - n; r\varepsilon) = \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \left(\frac{(-1)^n n!}{(r\varepsilon)^n} \right) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \zeta_q(1 - n - l) \frac{(r\varepsilon)^l}{l!}. \quad (23)$$

The regularized value of $Z_q\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} s \\ r \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon\right)$ at $s = n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is

$$Z_q(n; r\varepsilon) = \frac{q-1}{\ln q} u_n(r\varepsilon) + \left(M(q) + \frac{1-q}{\ln q} \gamma \right) \frac{(r\varepsilon)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{l=0, l \neq n-1}^{\infty} \zeta_q(n-l) \frac{(r\varepsilon)^l}{l!}. \quad (24)$$

where $u_{n+1}(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon^n}{n!} (H_n - \ln(-\varepsilon))$ for $n \geq 0$, $H_0 = 0$ and $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Equation (23) follows from (19) by taking derivatives because $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} Z_q(s; \varepsilon) = Z_q(s-1; \varepsilon)$. When $s = 1$ equation (24) follows from (19) and (20) by integration and Abel's Theorem because $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} Z_q(1; \varepsilon) = Z_q(0; \varepsilon)$. The rest follows immediately. \square

2.2 The range of regularized $MqZV$

We now turn to the general $MqZV$. Although the proof of the following theorem is similar to the proof of [12, Theorem 3.3] some new phenomenon appears because of the shifting principle for $MqZV$.

Theorem 2.3. (1) *For any $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^d$ and $\vec{r} \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d$, $Z_q\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon\right) \in \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$.*

(2) *For any $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\vec{r} \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d$, $Z_q\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{smallmatrix}\right]; \varepsilon\right) \in \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}][-\ln(-\varepsilon)]$.*

Proof. (1) The key is the following computation of the tail of the $\zeta_q(-s; \varepsilon)$ for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. First define

$$\xi_i(\varepsilon) = \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{\exp(\varepsilon[k]/q^k)}{q^k} = \sum_{k > 0} \frac{\exp(\varepsilon[k+i]/q^{k+i})}{q^{k+i}}.$$

Since $[k+i] = [k] + q^k[i]$ we get

$$\xi_i(\varepsilon) = \frac{\exp(\varepsilon[i]/q^i)}{q^i} \cdot \sum_{k > 0} \frac{\exp(\varepsilon[k]/q^{k+i})}{q^k} = \frac{\exp(\varepsilon[i]/q^i)}{q^i} Z_q(0; \varepsilon/q^i).$$

Therefore for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i(s; \varepsilon) &= \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{q^{k(-s-1)} \exp(\varepsilon[k]/q^k)}{[k]^{-s}} = \left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right)^s \xi_i(\varepsilon) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} \frac{[i]^j \exp(\varepsilon[i]/q^i)}{q^{i(j+1)}} \left(\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right)^{s-j} Z_q(0; \varepsilon/q^i) \\ &= \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \sum_{j=0}^s \left(\frac{-1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1+s-j} \binom{s}{j} \frac{[i]^j \exp(\varepsilon[i]/q^i)}{q^{ij}} + \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} \sum_{l=s-j}^{\infty} \frac{[i]^j \exp(\varepsilon[i]/q^i)}{q^{i(j+1+l)}} \frac{\zeta_q(-l) \varepsilon^{l-s+j}}{(l-s+j)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Now we first prove (1) by induction on the length d . The case $d = 1$ corresponds to the Riemann q -zeta function which has been dealt with in last section. Suppose (1) is true for length $d-1$ ($d > 1$)

and let $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$. Let \vec{s}_i denote \vec{s} with its i -th component removed. Let \mathbf{e}_i denote the unit vector with 1 at the i -th component. By definition (15)

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) &= \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_d} \prod_{m=1}^d \frac{q^{k_m(s_m-1)} \exp(\varepsilon r_m [k_m]/q^{k_m})}{[k_m]_{s_m}} \\
&= \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{i-1}} \sum_{k_{i+1} < \dots < k_d} \prod_{m=1, m \neq i}^d \frac{q^{k_m(s_m-1)} \exp(\varepsilon r_m [k_m]/q^{k_m})}{[k_m]_{s_m}} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\xi_{k_{i-1}}(-s_i; r_i \varepsilon) - \xi_{k_{i+1}}(-s_i; r_i \varepsilon) - \frac{q^{k_{i-1}(s_i-1)} \exp(\varepsilon r_i [k_{i-1}]/q^{k_{i-1}})}{[k_{i-1}]_{s_i}} \right) \\
&= -\mathcal{S}_{i-1} Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}_i + s_i \mathbf{e}_{i-1} \\ \vec{r}_i + r_i \mathbf{e}_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) + \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \sum_{j=0}^{-s_i} \left(\frac{-1}{r_i \varepsilon}\right)^{1-s_i-j} \binom{-s_i}{j} \left(Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}_i - j \mathbf{e}_{i-1} \\ \vec{r}_i + r_i \mathbf{e}_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) - Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}_i - j \mathbf{e}_i \\ \vec{r}_i + r_i \mathbf{e}_i \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{-s_i} \binom{-s_i}{j} \sum_{l=-s_i-j}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{S}_{i-1}^{l+1} Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}_i - j \mathbf{e}_{i-1} \\ \vec{r}_i + r_i \mathbf{e}_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) - \mathcal{S}_i^{l+1} Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}_i - j \mathbf{e}_i \\ \vec{r}_i + r_i \mathbf{e}_i \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \right) \frac{\zeta_q(-l)(r_i \varepsilon)^{l+s_i+j}}{(l+s_i+j)!} \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

Here if $i = d$ then the terms with \mathbf{e}_i are 0. If $i = 1$ then the terms with \mathbf{e}_{i-1} are 0 while the first term becomes $+Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ r_1 \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} s_2, \dots, s_d \\ r_2, \dots, r_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right)$. By induction assumption we see that $Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \in \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$.

Now we prove (2) by induction on length d again.

Case 1. $s_i \leq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then the proof of (1) above carries over word for word here.

Case 2. Suppose $s_i > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. We use induction on the sum $s := \sum_{i=1}^d s_i$. Then $s \geq d$. If $s = d$, then $s_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Clearly

$$Z'\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) = \sum r_i Z\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} - \vec{e}_i \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \in \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$$

by (1). Integrating we get $Z\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \in \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}][-\ln(-\varepsilon)]$. The general case follows from the fact that $\mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}][-\ln(-\varepsilon)]$ is closed under integration. \square

Remark 2.4. From the proof of (26) we point out the following fact which will be used later. When $s_i \leq 0$ for all i then we may start with $i = d$ in (26) and use induction to prove that every coefficient of the Laurent series of $Z_q(\vec{s}, \vec{r}; \varepsilon)$ is a rational function of the form $P(\vec{r})/Q(\vec{r})$, where P, Q have no common factors, and Q is of this form $r_d^{i_d} (r_d + r_{d-1})^{i_{d-1}} \dots (r_d + \dots + r_1)^{i_1}$, $i_1, \dots, i_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (and $i_d = 0$ if $s_d = 0$).

Corollary 2.5. Let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\vec{r} \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d$ and $r > 0$. Then

$$Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}, 0 \\ \vec{r}, r \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) = Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} + r \mathbf{e}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j-1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_q(-l) \varepsilon^l r^l}{l!} (1-q)^j Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} - j \mathbf{e}_d \\ \vec{r} + r \mathbf{e}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right).$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d$. For $i = 1, \dots, k$ let $\vec{r}_i = (r_{d+1}, \dots, r_{d+i}) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^i$, $\vec{0}_i = \{0\}^i$, and $\rho_i = \sum_{t=d+i}^{d+k} r_t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}, \vec{0}_k \\ \vec{r}, \vec{r}_k \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) &= Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} + \rho_1 \mathbf{e}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \prod_{t=1}^k Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_t \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j-1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_q(-l) \varepsilon^l \rho_{i+1}^l}{l!} (1-q)^j Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}, \vec{0}_{i-1}, -j \\ \vec{r}, \vec{r}_{i-1}, \rho_i \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \prod_{t=i+2}^k Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_t \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j-1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_q(-l) \varepsilon^l \rho_1^l}{l!} (1-q)^j Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} - j \mathbf{e}_d \\ \vec{r} + \rho_1 \mathbf{e}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \prod_{t=2}^k Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_t \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second line is vacuous if $k = 1$, and $\prod_{t=k+1}^k Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_t \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) = 1$.

Putting $d = 0$ in the above corollary we get

Corollary 2.7. *With the same notation as in the above corollary we have*

$$Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{0}_k \\ \vec{r}_k \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) = \prod_{t=1}^k Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_t \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j-1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_q(-l)\varepsilon^l \rho_{i+1}^l}{l!} (1-q)^j Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{0}_{i-1, -j} \\ \vec{r}_{i-1}, \rho_i \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \prod_{t=i+2}^k Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_t \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right).$$

Combining Cor. 2.6 and Cor. 2.6 we get

Corollary 2.8. *Notation as in Cor. 2.6 then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}, \vec{0}_k \\ \vec{r}, \vec{r}_k \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) &= Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} + \rho_1 \mathbf{e}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{0}_k \\ \vec{r}_k \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j-1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_q(-l)\varepsilon^l \rho_{i+1}^l}{l!} (1-q)^j \left(Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}, \vec{0}_{i-1, -j} \\ \vec{r}, \vec{r}_{i-1}, \rho_i \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) - Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} + \rho_1 \mathbf{e}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{0}_{i-1, -j} \\ \vec{r}_{i-1}, \rho_i \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \prod_{t=i+2}^k Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_t \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j-1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_q(-l)\varepsilon^l \rho_1^l}{l!} (1-q)^j Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} - j \mathbf{e}_d \\ \vec{r} + \rho_1 \mathbf{e}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) \prod_{t=2}^k Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_t \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right). \end{aligned}$$

3 Renormalization of $MqZV$

Let $T = -\ln(-\varepsilon)$. Theorem 2.3 shows that there is an algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{Z}_q : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{N}(\mathbb{Z})} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[T]\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$$

which restricts to an algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{Z}_q : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{N}(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}[\varepsilon^{-1}].$$

Then we have the Birkhoff decomposition [22, Theorem II.5.1]

$$\tilde{Z}_q = \tilde{Z}_{q-}^{-1} \star \tilde{Z}_{q+}.$$

Corollary 3.1. *The map $\tilde{Z}_{q+} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{N}(\mathbb{Z})} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[T]\{\{\varepsilon\}\}$ is an algebra homomorphism which restricts to an algebra homomorphism $\tilde{Z}_{q+} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{N}(\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}$.*

Definition 3.2. For $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\vec{r} \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d$, the *renormalized directional $MqZV$* is defined by

$$\zeta_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Z}_{q+}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right),$$

and \vec{r} is called the *direction vector*.

By Cor. 3.1 we have

Corollary 3.3. *The renormalized directional $MqZVs$ satisfy the q -stuffle relations*

$$\zeta_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}\right) \zeta_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}' \\ \vec{r}' \end{bmatrix}\right) = \zeta_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix} \star \begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}' \\ \vec{r}' \end{bmatrix}\right) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (1-q)^n \sum_{m \geq 0} \zeta_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}_{m,n} \\ \vec{r}_{m,n} \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (27)$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix} \star \begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}' \\ \vec{r}' \end{bmatrix} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0, m \geq 0} (1-q)^n \begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}_{m,n} \\ \vec{r}_{m,n} \end{bmatrix}$.

The following explicit formula for the renormalization of regularized $MqZV$ is the q -analog of [12, Theorem 3.8] which follows from [22, Theorem II.5.1], which, in turn, is built upon the idea of [8, Theorem 4].

Proposition 3.4. Let P be the operator sending a Laurent series to its pole part: $P(\sum_{n \geq -N}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon^n) = \sum_{n=-N}^{-1} a_n \varepsilon^n$ and let $\check{P} = -P$. For $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^d$, put $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_d)$ where $w_i = \begin{bmatrix} s_i \\ r_i \end{bmatrix}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Also denote $\check{Z}_q(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon)$ by $\bar{w}_{1,d}$. Then

$$\check{Z}_{q+}(\vec{w}) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \Pi_d} (\text{id} - P) \left(\bar{w}_{1, i_1} (\dots \check{P}(\bar{w}_{i_{p-2}+1, i_{p-1}}) \check{P}(\bar{w}_{i_{p-1}+1, i_p})) \dots \right). \quad (28)$$

Here Π_d is the set of sequences (i_1, \dots, i_p) such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p = d$.

When $d = 2$, renormalizing using Prop. 3.4 and the proof of Thm. 2.3, we have

Proposition 3.5. For $s_1, s_2 \leq 0, r_1, r_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_q \left(\begin{bmatrix} s_1, s_2 \\ r_1, r_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \frac{q-1}{\ln q} \left[\frac{\zeta_q(s_1 + s_2 - 1)}{1 - s_2} + \sum_{j=0}^{-s_2} \binom{-s_2}{j} \zeta_q(s_1 - j) \zeta_q(s_2 + j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{l=1-s_1-s_2}^{\infty} (1-q)^{s_1+s_2-1+l} \zeta_q(-l) \sum_{j=0}^{-s_2} \binom{-s_2}{j} \frac{1}{l+s_2+j} \left(\frac{-r_2}{r_1+r_2} \right)^{l+s_2+j} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.6. In the above proposition if we take $q \uparrow 1$ then we recover [12, Prop. 4.16].

Definition 3.7. For $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^d \cup (\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^d$ define

$$\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \zeta_q \left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ |\vec{s}| + \delta \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad (29)$$

where, for $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we write $|\vec{s}| = (|s_1|, \dots, |s_d|)$ and $|\vec{s}| + \delta = (|s_1| + \delta, \dots, |s_d| + \delta)$. These values $\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s})$ are called the *renormalized MqZV* of the d -tuple q -zeta function $\zeta_q(u_1, \dots, u_d)$ at \vec{s} .

We will show that the limit (29) exists for $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^d$ in Theorem 3.12, for $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^d$ in Theorem 3.14. First we consider case of Riemann q -zeta function. By Theorem 2.2 we have

Theorem 3.8. Let γ be Euler's gamma constant and define $M(q)$ by (21). Then

$$\bar{\zeta}_q(1) = \frac{q-1}{\ln q} T + M(q) + \frac{1-q}{\ln q} \gamma$$

and, for integers $s > 1$, $\bar{\zeta}_q(s)$ is the usual Riemann q -zeta value $\zeta_q(s)$ defined by the series (3). If $s = -l$ is a non-positive integer then

$$\bar{\zeta}_q(-l) = \zeta_q(-l) = (1-q)^{-l} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^l (-1)^r \binom{l}{r} \frac{1}{q^{l+1-r} - 1} + \frac{(-1)^{l+1}}{(l+1) \ln q} \right\}. \quad (30)$$

Proof. The expression of $\zeta_q(-m)$ is given by [18, (60)]. The rest are clear. \square

Our primary goal is to show two things: first, our definition of renormalizations of MqZV satisfies the q -stuffle relation; second, these values agree with the usual MqZV whenever the usual values are defined.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that and $s_d > 1$. Then $\zeta_q \left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{r} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \zeta_q(\vec{s})$ which is independent of the choice of $\vec{r} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^d$. Here $\zeta_q(\vec{s})$ denotes the usual definition of multiple q -zeta values. In particular, $\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s}) = \zeta_q(\vec{s})$.

Proof. It follows readily from the uniform convergence property. \square

Lemma 3.10. For $c > 0$ set $X = -\ln c - \ln(-\varepsilon)$. For $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^l$ with $s_l > 1$ and $\vec{r} \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^l$ we have

$$Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s}, \vec{1}_d \\ \vec{r}, c\vec{1}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) = P_d(X) + \text{terms with higher degree in } \varepsilon,$$

where $P_d(X)$ is a degree d polynomial in X with leading coefficient $\frac{1-q}{d! \ln q} \zeta_q(\vec{s})$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [12, Lemma 4.5]. Notice that the terms produced by shifting will produce polynomials of degree less than that of the leading term. \square

Similarly we have

Lemma 3.11. For $c > 0$ set $X = -\ln c - \ln(-\varepsilon)$. Then

$$Z_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{1}_d \\ c\vec{1}_d \end{bmatrix}; \varepsilon\right) = P_d(X) + \text{terms of higher degree in } \varepsilon,$$

where $P_d(X)$ is a degree d polynomial in X with leading coefficient $\frac{1-q}{d! \ln q}$.

Now we can show

Theorem 3.12. For $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^d$, our definition of $\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s})$ in Definition 3.7 is well-defined. Further, $\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s}) = \zeta_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ \vec{s} \end{bmatrix}\right)$ and therefore satisfy the q -stuffle relations.

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.9 we only need to consider the case $s_d = 1$. Then the above two lemmas complete the proof. \square

Theorem 3.13. For $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^d$, we have

$$\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s}) = \zeta_q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{s} \\ -\vec{s} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lim_{\vec{r} \rightarrow -\vec{s}} \zeta_q(\vec{s}, \vec{r})$$

and therefore the values $\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s})$ satisfy the q -stuffle relations.

Proof. This follows from Remark 2.4, Definition 3.2 and 3.7. \square

The proof of the next result is very complicated even though the idea is exactly the same as that of [12, Theorem 4.14].

Theorem 3.14. For $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^d$, the definition of $\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s})$ by equation (29) in Definition 3.7 is well-defined, and therefore the values $\bar{\zeta}_q(\vec{s})$ satisfy the q -stuffle relations.

Proof. Like in the proof of [12, Theorem 4.14] the key idea is to treat the clusters of 0's in \vec{s} by using symmetric permutations. We need to replace [12, Prop. 4.9(2)] by Cor. 2.8 (essentially only Cor. 2.5 is really needed). We also need to replace [12, Prop. 2.3] by the q -stuffle relation version which will produce some extra terms by the shifting principle. But these extra terms have smaller clusters of 0's and therefore they are negligible by the induction process. The rest are left to the interested reader. \square

To conclude our paper we observe that a multiple q -zeta function has more singularities than its classical counterpart. We don't know how to deal with these at present.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, New York, (1964).
- [2] E. Artin, *The Gamma Function*, New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, (1964)
- [3] S. Akiyama, S. Egami, and Y. Tanigawa, *Analytic continuation of multiple zeta-functions and their values at non-positive integers*, Acta Arith, **98** (2001), pp. 107–116.

- [4] S. Akiyama and Y. Tanigawa, *Multiple zeta values at non-positive integers*. The Ramanujan J., **5** (2001), pp. 327–351.
- [5] D. Bowman and D.M. Bradley, *Multiple Polylogarithms: A Brief Survey*, Proceedings of a Conference on q -series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory and Physics, (B.C. Berndt And K. Ono Eds.) Amer. Math. Soc., Contemporary Math. **291**(2001), pp. 71-92.
- [6] D.M. Bradley, *Multiple q -Zeta Values*, J. Algebra, **283**(2005), pp. 752-798.
- [7] D.M. Bradley, *A q -analog of Euler's decomposition formula for the double zeta function*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 2005, Issue 21, 2005, pp. 3453–3458.
- [8] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, *Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys., **210** (1)(2000), pp. 249–273. hep-th/9912092.
- [9] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, *Mixable Shuffles, quasi-shuffles and Hopf algebras*, J. Algebraic Combinatorics, **24** (1)(2006), pp. 83-101.
- [10] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, *Multiple zeta values and Rota–Baxter algebras*, math.NT/0601558
- [11] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Cambridge (England); New York, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [GK] L. Guo and W. Keigher, *Free Baxter algebras and shuffle products*, *Adv. in Math.*, **150** (2000), pp. 117–149.
- [12] L. Guo and B. Zhang, *Renormalization of multiple zeta values*, math.NT/0606076.
- [13] M. E. Hoffman, *Quasi-shuffle products*, J. Algebraic Combin., **11**(2000), pp. 49–68.
- [14] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compositio Math., **142**(2006), pp. 307-338
- [15] F.H. Jackson, *On q -functions and a certain difference operator*, Trans. Roy. Soc. Edin. **46** (1908), pp. 253–281.
- [16] F.H. Jackson, *On q -definite integrals*, Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math. **41** (1908), pp. 193–203.
- [17] K. Kawagoe, M. Wakayama, and Y. Yamasaki, *q -Analogues of the Riemann zeta, the Dirichlet L -functions and a crystal zeta function*, math.NT/0402135.
- [18] M. Kaneko, N. Kurokawa, and M. Wakayama, *A variation of Euler's approach to values of the Riemann zeta function*, Kyushu J. Math. **57** (2003), pp. 175–192.
- [19] *Theory and Application of Infinite Series*, 2nd ed., Blackie and Son Limited, London and Glasgow, 1951.
- [20] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum groups and their representations*, Berlin, New York, Springer, 1997.
- [21] T. H. Koornwinder, *Special functions and q -commuting variables*, Fields Institute Communications **14** (1997), Amer. Math. Soc., pp. 131-166.
- [22] D. Manchon, *Hopf algebras, from basics to applications to renormalization*, Comptes-rendus des Rencontres mathématiques de Glanon 2001. math.QA/0408405.
- [23] K.-G. Schlesinger, *Some remarks on q -deformed multiple polylogarithms*, math.QA/0111022.
- [24] E.C Titchmarsh, *The theory of Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd ed., Oxford Science Publications, 1986.

- [25] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge University Press.
- [26] J. Zhao, *Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions*, Proc. of AMS, **128** (1999), pp. 1275–1283. **MR** 2000j:11132
- [27] J. Zhao, *Multiple q -Zeta Functions and Multiple q -Polylogarithms*, The Ramanujan J., to appear.
- [28] W. Zudlin, Algebraic relations for multiple zeta values, (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **58**, no.1 , 3–32, 2003, translation in Russian Math. Survey, **58**, vol. 1, 1–29,(2003).

Email: zhaoj@eckerd.edu