

On spectra and Brown's spectral measures of elements in free products of matrix algebras

Junsheng Fang Don Hadwin Xiujuan Ma

Abstract

We compute spectra and Brown measures of some non self-adjoint operators in $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$, the reduced free product von Neumann algebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Examples include AB and $A + B$, where A and B are matrices in $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * 1$ and $1 * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$, respectively. We prove that AB is an R-diagonal operator (in the sense of Nica and Speicher [12]) if and only if $Tr(A) = Tr(B) = 0$. We show that if $X = AB$ or $X = A + B$ and A, B are not scalar matrices, then the Brown measure of X is not concentrated on a single point. By a theorem of Haagerup and Schultz [9], we obtain that if $X = AB$ or $X = A + B$ and $X \neq \lambda 1$, then X has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace affiliated with $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$.

Keywords: free products, spectrum, Brown measure, R-diagonal operators, hyperinvariant subspaces

1 Introduction

In 1983, L.G. Brown [2] introduced a spectral distribution measure for non-normal elements in a finite von Neumann algebra with respect to a fixed normal faithful tracial state, which is called the Brown measure of the operator. Recently, U. Haagerup and H. Schultz [9] proved a remarkable result which states that if the support of Brown measure of an operator in a type II_1 factor contains more than two points, then the operator has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace affiliated with the type II_1 factor. In general cases, the computation of Brown measures of non-normal operators are nontrivial. The first essential result was given by Haagerup and F. Larsen. In [8], Haagerup and Larsen computed the spectrum and Brown measure of R-diagonal operators in a finite von Neumann algebra, in terms of the distribution of its radial part. Brown measures of some non-normal and non-R-diagonal operators, examples include $u_n + u_\infty$, where u_n and u_∞ are the generators of \mathbb{Z}_n and \mathbb{Z} respectively, in the free product $\mathbb{Z}_n * \mathbb{Z}$, and elements of the form $S_\alpha + iS_\beta$, where S_α and

S_β are free semi-circular elements of variance α and β , are computed by P. Biane and F. Lehner in [1]. The purpose of this paper is to compute the spectra and Brown measures of some non hermitian operators in $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$, the reduced free product von Neumann algebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ (cf. [Ch]). Examples include AB and $A + B$, where A and B are matrices in $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * 1$ and $1 * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$, respectively. This paper is organized as follows.

In section 2 we recall preliminary facts about Brown measures, R-diagonal operators, Haagerup and Larsen's result on Brown measures of R-diagonal operators and some notation used in this paper. In section 3, we provide some results on the spectra and spectral radius of operators in $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * M_2(\mathbb{C})$, the universal free product C*-algebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Firstly we compute the spectral radius of AB for two normal matrices $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ relative to $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * M_2(\mathbb{C})$. As a corollary, we also get the spectrum radius of AB for normal matrices $A \in (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$, relative to the reduced free product von Neumann algebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then we obtain the following result: Let A, B be matrices in $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$, respectively, such that $Tr(A) = Tr(B) = 0$. Then $\sigma(AB)$, the spectrum of AB , relative to $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * M_2(\mathbb{C})$, is the closure of the annulus centered at 0 with inner radius $\|A^{-1}\|^{-1} \|B^{-1}\|^{-1}$ and outer radius $\|A\| \|B\|$, where we use the convention $\infty^{-1} = 0$ and if A is not invertible then $\|A^{-1}\| := \infty$.

In section 4 we prove that AB is an R-diagonal operator if and only if $Tr(A) = Tr(B) = 0$, where $A \in (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$. As a corollary, we explicitly compute the spectrum and Brown measure of AB ($Tr(A) = Tr(B) = 0$) in terms of ξ -transform of A^*A and B^*B .

In section 5, we develop algebraic techniques used in [4]. Let $X \in 1 * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$. With respect to the matrix units of $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * 1$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$. By [4], $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_3) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$. So $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in L(\mathbb{F}_3)$. In section 5, we find *-free generators h, u, v of $L(\mathbb{F}_3)$ (different from the free generators given in [4]) so that we may explicitly write out x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 in terms of h, u, v .

In section 6, we compute miscellaneous examples of Brown measures of operators $A + B$ and AB , where $A \in (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$. As a corollary, we show that $A + B$ is an R-diagonal operator if and only if $A + B = 0$.

In section 7, we prove the following result: Let $A \in (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$. if $X = A + B$ or $X = AB$ and A, B are not scalar matrices, then the Brown measure of X is not concentrated on a single point. As a corollary of Theorem

7.1 of [H-S1], we prove that if $X = A + B$ or $X = AB$ and $X \neq \lambda 1$, then X has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace affiliated with $(M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$.

Many concrete examples of spectra and Brown measures are given in this paper. For some interesting applications, we refer to [5].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Fuglede-Kadison determinant and Brown's spectral measure.

Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful tracial state τ . The *Fuglede-Kadison determinant* [6], $\Delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty[$, is given by

$$\Delta(T) = \exp\{\tau(\log |T|)\}, \quad T \in \mathcal{M},$$

with $\exp\{-\infty\} := 0$. For an arbitrary element T in \mathcal{M} the function $\lambda \rightarrow \log \Delta(a - \lambda 1)$ is subharmonic on \mathbb{C} , and its Laplacian

$$d\mu_T(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \nabla^2 \log \Delta(T - \lambda 1),$$

in the distribution sense, defines a probability measure μ_T on \mathbb{C} , called the *Brown's measure* [2] of T . From the definition, Brown measure μ_T only depends on the joint distribution of T and T^* .

If T is normal, μ_T is the trace τ composed with the spectral projections of T . If $\mathcal{M} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\tau = \frac{1}{n}Tr$ is the normalized trace on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then μ_T is the normalized counting measure $\frac{1}{n}(\delta_{\lambda_1} + \delta_{\lambda_2} \cdots + \delta_{\lambda_n})$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of T repeated according to root multiplicity.

The Brown measure has the following properties (see [2, 10]): μ_T is the unique compactly supported measure on \mathbb{C} such that $\log \Delta(T - \lambda 1) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z - \lambda| d\mu_T(z)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The support of μ_T is contained in $\sigma(T)$, the spectrum of T . $\mu_{ST} = \mu_{TS}$ for arbitrary S, T in \mathcal{M} , and if $f(z)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $\sigma(A)$, $\mu_{f(T)} = (\mu_T)_f$, the push-forward measure of μ_T under the map $\lambda \rightarrow f(\lambda)$. If $E \in \mathcal{M}$ is a projection such that $E \in Lat T$, then with respect to $E, I - E$ we can write

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A = ETE$ and $C = (I - E)T(I - E)$ are elements of $\mathcal{M}_1 = E\mathcal{M}E$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = (I - E)\mathcal{M}(I - E)$, respectively. Let μ_A and μ_C be the Brown measures of A and C computed relative to \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 , respectively. Then $\mu_T = \alpha\mu_A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_C$, where $\alpha = \tau(E)$.

For a generalization of Brown measures of sets of commuting operators in a type II_1 factor, we refer to [15].

2.2 R-diagonal operators

In 1995, A. Nica and S. Speicher [12] introduced the class of R-diagonal operators in non-commutative probability spaces. Recall that an operator T in a non-commutative probability space is an R-diagonal operator if the R-transform $R_{\mu(T, T^*)}$ of the joint distribution $\mu(T, T^*)$ of T, T^* is of the form

$$R_{\mu(T, T^*)}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (z_1 z_2)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (z_2 z_1)^n.$$

Nica and Speicher [12] proved that T is an R-diagonal operator if and only if T has same $*$ -distribution as product UH , where U and H are $*$ -free random variables in some tracial non commutative probability space, U is a Haar unitary operator and H is positive. If T is an R-diagonal operator, then the $*$ -distribution of T is uniquely determined by the distribution of $T^*T = |T|^2$. If T is an R-diagonal operator and S is $*$ -free with T , then both ST and TS are R-diagonal operators (see [12]). If T is an R-diagonal operator and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then T^n is also an R-diagonal operator (see [8, 11]). For other important properties of R-diagonal operators, we refer to [8, 11, 12, 13].

2.3 Brown measures of R-diagonal operators

In [8], Haagerup and Larson explicitly computed the Brown measures of R-diagonal operators in a finite von Neumann algebra.

Theorem 2.1. (Theorem 4.4 of [8]) *Let U, H be $*$ -free random variables in a noncommutative probability space (\mathcal{M}, τ) , with U a Haar unitary operator and H a positive operator such that the distribution μ_H of H is not a Dirac measure. Then the Brown measure μ_{UH} of UH can be computed as the following.*

1. μ_{UH} is rotation invariant and its support is the annulus with inner radius $\|H^{-1}\|_2^{-1}$ and outer radius $\|H\|_2$.
2. $\mu_{UH}(\{0\}) = \mu_H(\{0\})$ and for $t \in]\mu_H(\{0\}), 1[$,

$$\mu_{UH} \left(\mathbb{B} \left(0, (\mathfrak{s}_{\mu_{H^2}}(t - 1))^{-1/2} \right) \right) = t,$$

where $\xi_{\mu_{H^2}}$ is the ξ -transform of H^2 and $\mathbb{B}(0, r)$ is the open disc with center 0 and radius r ;

3. μ_{UH} is the only rotation invariant symmetric probability measure satisfying 2.

Furthermore, if H is invertible, then $\sigma(UH) = \text{supp}\mu_{UH}$; if H is not invertible, then $\sigma(UH) = \overline{\mathbb{B}(0, \|H\|_2)}$.

2.4 Some Notation

The following notation will be used in the rest of the paper

- $(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$ denotes the reduced free product von Neumann algebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with the unique tracial state τ ;
- $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)} := (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr) * 1$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)} := 1 * (M_2(\mathbb{C}), \frac{1}{2}Tr)$;
- $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2}, \{F_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2}$ are matrix units of $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$, respectively;
- $P = E_{11}$ and $Q = F_{11}$;
- $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)} \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$. For $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} x'_1 & x'_2 \\ x'_3 & x'_4 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}$ means the decomposition is with respect to above matrix units of $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$, respectively.
- $W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}, W_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}, W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}, W_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}$;
- $V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}, V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}, V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}, V_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}$;
- A, A_1, \dots, A_n denote elements in $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$, B, B_1, \dots, B_n denote elements in $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$, X, Y, Z denote general elements in \mathcal{M} ;
- An element X in \mathcal{M} is called *centered* if $\tau(X) = 0$.

We end this section with the following lemma. The proof is an easy exercise.

Lemma 2.2. $V_1 M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)} V_1$ is free with $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$.

3 Spectra of elements in the universal free product of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})$

Let $\mathring{A} = M_2(\mathbb{C}) * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ denote the universal free product C^* -algebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a $*$ homomorphism π from \mathring{A} onto the reduced free product C^* -algebra of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, the C^* -subalgebra generated by $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$ in \mathcal{M} . Since $\sigma(\pi(a)) \subseteq \sigma(a)$ for $a \in \mathring{A}$, it is useful to obtain some information of spectrum of AB , where $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

3.1 “Free product” of normal matrices

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be normal matrices. Then $r(AB) = \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$ relative to \mathring{A} .*

Proof. $r(AB) \leq \|AB\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$. We need only to prove $r(AB) \geq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$. Since A is a normal matrix, there is a unitary matrix $U_1 \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ such that $U_1 A U_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\|\alpha_1\| = \|A\|$. Similarly, there is a unitary matrix $U_2 \in 1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $U_2 B U_2^* = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\|\alpha_2\| = \|B\|$. Let $\pi_1(X) = U_1 X U_1^*$ and $\pi_2(Y) = U_2 Y U_2^*$ be $*$ -representations of $M_1(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. Then there is a $*$ -representation $\pi = \pi_1 * \pi_2$ from \mathring{A} to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\pi(AB) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_1 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \in \sigma(\pi(AB)) \subseteq \sigma(AB)$. So $r(AB) \geq |\alpha_1 \alpha_2| = \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$ be normal matrices. Then $r(AB) = \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$ relative to \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. We may assume that A and B are diagonal matrices. Then we can treat AB as an operator in the full free product $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Same technique used in the previous lemma gives the corollary. \square

3.2 “Free product” of non-normal matrices

It is well-known that two matrices X, Y in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ are unitarily equivalent if and only if $Tr(X) = Tr(Y)$, $Tr(X^2) = Tr(Y^2)$ and $Tr(X^*X) = Tr(Y^*Y)$. The proof of the following lemma now is an easy exercise.

Lemma 3.3. *If $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $Tr(A) = 0$, then A is unitarily equivalent to a matrix of form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where α, β are complex numbers.*

Remark 3.4. We have the following useful observations:

- $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$
- $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\alpha|e^{i\theta_1} \\ |\beta|e^{i\theta_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)/2} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\alpha| \\ |\beta| & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$

Lemma 3.5. Let $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be matrices such that $\text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(B) = 0$. Then $r(AB) = \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$ relative to \dot{A} .

Proof. We need only to prove $r(AB) \geq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$. By Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4, there are unitary matrices U, V in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $UAU^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $VBV^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $|\alpha_1| = \|A\|, |\beta_2| = \|B\|$. Let $\pi_1(X) = UXU^*$ and $\pi_2(Y) = VYV^*$ be $*$ -representations of $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. Let $\pi = \pi_1 * \pi_2$ be the induced $*$ -representation of \dot{A} to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\sigma(AB) \supseteq \sigma(\pi(AB)) = \sigma(\pi_1(A)\pi_2(B)) = \{\alpha_1\beta_2, \alpha_2\beta_1\}$. Therefore, $r(AB) \geq |\alpha_1\beta_2| = \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $B \in 1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be matrices such that $\text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(B) = 0$. Then

$$\sigma(AB) = [\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}\|B^{-1}\|^{-1}, \|A\|\|B\|] \times_p [0, 2\pi],$$

where \times_p denotes the polar set product $\{re^{i\theta} : r \in [\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}\|B^{-1}\|^{-1}, \|A\|\|B\|], \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$.

Proof. We will prove the theorem for two cases.

Case 1. Either A or B is not invertible. We may assume that A is not invertible. By $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$, Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4, A is unitarily equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$. By Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4, we may also assume that $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in 1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\beta \geq \alpha \geq 0$. We need to prove that $\sigma(AB)$ is the closed disc of complex plane with center 0 and radius β . Since A is unitarily equivalent to $e^{i\theta}A$ in $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$, $\sigma(AB)$ is rotation invariant. For $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, let $U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\pi_1(X) = X$ and $\pi_2(Y) = UYU^*$ be $*$ -representations of $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. Let $\pi = \pi_1 * \pi_2$ be the induced $*$ -representation of \dot{A} to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\pi(AB) = AUBU^* = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \sin^2 \theta + \beta \cos^2 \theta & -(\alpha + \beta) \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So $\sigma(\pi(AB)) = \{-\alpha \sin^2 \theta + \beta \cos^2 \theta, 0\}$. Since $[0, \beta] \subseteq [-\alpha, \beta] = \{-\alpha \sin^2 \theta + \beta \cos^2 \theta : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$, $[0, \beta] \subseteq \sigma(AB)$. Since $\sigma(AB)$ is rotation invariant, $\sigma(AB)$ contains the closed disc with center 0 and radius β . By Lemma 3.5, $\sigma(AB)$ is the closed disc of complex plane with center 0 and radius β .

Case 2. Both A and B are invertible. By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we may assume that $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \beta_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \beta_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\beta_1, \beta_2 \geq 1$. Then $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_1^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_2^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We need to prove that $\sigma(AB) = [1, \beta_1\beta_2] \times_p [0, 2\pi]$. By Lemma 3.5, $r(AB) = \beta_1\beta_2$ and $r((AB)^{-1}) = 1$. This implies that $\sigma(AB) \subseteq [1, \beta_1\beta_2] \times_p [0, 2\pi]$. So we need only to prove $\sigma(AB) \supseteq [1, \beta_1\beta_2] \times_p [0, 2\pi]$.

For $\phi, \psi \in [0, 2\pi]$, let $U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi & e^{i\phi} \sin \psi \\ -\sin \psi & e^{i\phi} \cos \psi \end{pmatrix}$. Then U is a unitary matrix. Let $\pi_1(X) = UXU^*$ and $\pi_2(Y) = Y$ be $*$ -representations of $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * 1$ and $1 * M_2(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. Let $\pi = \pi_1 * \pi_2$ be the induced $*$ -representation of \dot{A} to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\pi(AB) = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta_1\beta_2 e^{i\phi} \sin^2 \psi + \beta_2 e^{-i\phi} \cos^2 \psi & * \\ * & \beta_1 e^{i\phi} \cos^2 \psi - e^{-i\phi} \sin^2 \psi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\lambda_1(\phi, \psi), \lambda_2(\phi, \psi)$ be the eigenvalues of $\pi(AB)$. Then

$$\lambda_1(\phi, \psi)\lambda_2(\phi, \psi) = \det(\pi(AB)) = \det(A)\det(B) = \beta_1\beta_2, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\lambda_1(\phi, \psi) + \lambda_2(\phi, \psi) = (\beta_1 e^{i\phi} + \beta_2 e^{-i\phi}) \cos^2 \psi - (\beta_1\beta_2 e^{i\phi} + e^{-i\phi}) \sin^2 \psi. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that $\sigma(AB) \supseteq \{\lambda_1(\phi, \psi) : \phi, \psi \in [0, 2\pi]\}$. We only need to prove that $\{\lambda_1(\phi, \psi) : \phi, \psi \in [0, 2\pi]\} \supseteq [1, \beta_1\beta_2] \times_p [0, 2\pi]$. For this purpose, we need to show for any $r \in [1, \beta_1\beta_2]$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, there are $\phi, \psi \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$r e^{i\theta} + \frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{r} e^{-i\theta} = (\beta_1 e^{i\phi} + \beta_2 e^{-i\phi}) \cos^2 \psi - (\beta_1\beta_2 e^{i\phi} + e^{-i\phi}) \sin^2 \psi. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\alpha = \cos^2 \psi$. Simple computations show that equation 3.3 is equivalent to the following

$$\left(r + \frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{r}\right) \cos \theta + i \left(r - \frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{r}\right) \sin \theta =$$

$$(\alpha(1 + \beta_1)(1 + \beta_2) - (1 + \beta_1\beta_2)) \cos \phi + i(\alpha(\beta_1 - 1)(\beta_2 + 1) + (1 - \beta_1\beta_2)) \sin \phi.$$

Let

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ \left(r + \frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{r}\right) \cos \theta + i \left(r - \frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{r}\right) \sin \theta : r \in [1, \beta_1\beta_2], \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_2 = \{ & (\alpha(1 + \beta_1)(1 + \beta_2) - (1 + \beta_1\beta_2)) \cos \phi + i(\alpha(\beta_1 - 1)(\beta_2 + 1) + (1 - \beta_1\beta_2)) \sin \phi \\ & : \alpha \in [0, 1], \phi \in [0, 2\pi] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need only to prove $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$. Note that Ω_1 is the union of a family of ellipses with center the origin point and semimajor axis and semiminor axis $|r + \frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{r}|$ and $|r - \frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{r}|$, $1 \leq r \leq \beta_1\beta_2$, respectively. Similarly, Ω_2 is the union of a family of ellipses with center the origin point and semimajor axis and semiminor axis $|\alpha(1 + \beta_1)(1 + \beta_2) - (1 + \beta_1\beta_2)|$ and $|\alpha(\beta_1 - 1)(\beta_2 + 1) + (1 - \beta_1\beta_2)|$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, respectively. Note that the “largest” ellipse in Ω_1 is with semimajor axis and semiminor axis $|1 + \beta_1\beta_2|$ and $|\beta_1\beta_2 - 1|$, respectively; the “smallest” ellipse in Ω_1 is with semimajor axis and semiminor axis $2\sqrt{|\beta_1\beta_2|}$ and 0, respectively. The “largest” ellipse in Ω_2 is with semimajor axis and semiminor axis $|1 + \beta_1\beta_2|$ and $|\beta_1\beta_2 - 1|$, respectively; the “smallest” ellipse in Ω_2 is with semimajor axis and semiminor axis 0 and $\frac{2\beta_1(\beta_2-1)}{\beta_1+1}$. So both Ω_1 and Ω_2 are the closure of the domain enclosed by the ellipse with center the origin point and semimajor axis and semiminor axis $|1 + \beta_1\beta_2|$ and $|\beta_1\beta_2 - 1|$, respectively. Thus $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$. \square

4 R-diagonal operators in \mathcal{M}

In this section, we prove the following result. We will use the notation introduced in section 2.4.

Theorem 4.1. *In \mathcal{M} , let $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$. Then AB is an R-diagonal operator if and only if $\tau(A) = \tau(B) = 0$.*

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. $\{W_1, V_1, W_3V_3\}'' \cong L(\mathbb{Z}_2) * L(\mathbb{Z}_2) * L(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Let $U = W_3V_3$. Then U is a Haar unitary operator. We need only to prove that U is $*$ free with the von Neumann subalgebra generated by W_1 and V_1 . Let $g_1g_2 \cdots g_n$ be an alternating product of $\{U^n : n \neq 0\}$ and $\{W_1, V_1, W_1V_1, V_1W_1, W_1V_1W_1, V_1W_1V_1, \dots\}$. By regrouping, it is an alternating product of $\{W_1, W_1W_3, W_3^*W_1, W_3^*W_1W_3, W_3, W_3^*\}$ and $\{V_1, V_3V_1, V_1V_3^*, V_3V_1V_3^*, V_3, V_3^*\}$. Thus the trace is 0. \square

Lemma 4.3. $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}$ is an R-diagonal operator.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 and basic properties of R-diagonal operators given in 2.2, we prove the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.4. *With the assumption of Theorem 4.1 and assume AB is an R -diagonal operator and $\tau(A^2) \neq 0$. Then $\tau(B) = 0$.*

Proof. Since AB is an R -diagonal operator, $\tau(AB) = 0$. Since A, B are $*$ -free, $\tau(A)\tau(B) = \tau(AB) = 0$. If $\tau(B) = 0$, then done. Otherwise, assume $\tau(A) = 0$. Then $0 = \tau(ABAB) = \tau(A^2B)\tau(B) = \tau(A^2)(\tau(B))^2$. By assumption, $\tau(B) = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$ and λ be any complex number. Then $\sigma(E_{12}B) = \sigma(E_{12}(\lambda + B))$.*

Proof. By Jacobson's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(E_{12}(\lambda + B)) \cup \{0\} &= \sigma(E_{11}E_{12}(\lambda + B)) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(E_{12}(\lambda + B)E_{11}) \cup \{0\} \\ &= \sigma(E_{12}BE_{11}) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(BE_{12}) \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If $\tau(A) = \tau(B) = 0$, then by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.3, AB is an R -diagonal operator. Conversely, assume that AB is an R -diagonal operator. Then $0 = \tau(AB) = \tau(A) \cdot \tau(B)$. So either $\tau(A) = 0$ or $\tau(B) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\tau(A) = 0$. If $\tau(A^2) \neq 0$, then $\tau(B) = 0$ by Lemma 4.4. If $\tau(A^2) = 0$, then A is unitary equivalent to αE_{12} . We may assume that $A = E_{12}$. By Theorem 2.1, if $E_{12}B$ is an R -diagonal operator, then $(r(E_{12}B))^2 = \tau(B^*E_{21}E_{12}B) = \tau(E_{21}E_{12}BB^*) = \|E_{12}\|_2^2 \cdot \|B\|_2^2$. Note that $E_{12}(B - \tau(B))$ is an R -diagonal operator, $(r(E_{12}(B - \tau(B))))^2 = \|E_{12}\|_2^2 \cdot \|B - \tau(B)\|_2^2$. By Lemma 4.5, $\|B\|_2^2 = \|B - \tau(B)\|_2^2$. This implies that $\tau(B) = 0$. This ends the proof.

Combining Theorem 4.1, Theorem 2.1 and § transform of Voiculescu (see [16, 17]), we have the following theorem (It is interesting to compare the following theorem and Theorem 3.6).

Theorem 4.6. *Let $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$, $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$ and $\tau(A) = \tau(B) = 0$. Then*

1. μ_{AB} is rotation invariant;
2. $\sigma(AB) = \text{supp}\mu_{AB} = [\|A^{-1}\|_2^{-1}\|B^{-1}\|_2^{-1}, \|A\|_2\|B\|_2] \times_p [0, 2\pi]$;
3. $\mu_{AB}(\{0\}) = \max\{\mu_{A^*A}(\{0\}), \mu_{B^*B}(\{0\})\}$ and

$$\mu_{AB}(\mathbb{B}(0, (\xi_{\mu_{A^*A}} \xi_{\mu_{B^*B}}(t-1))^{-1/2})) = t, \quad \text{for } t \in [\mu_{AB}(\{0\}), 1].$$

5 Algebraic techniques

For $X \in \mathcal{M}$, define

$$\Phi(X) = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11}XE_{11} & E_{11}XE_{21} \\ E_{12}XE_{11} & E_{12}XE_{21} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then Φ is a $*$ -isomorphism from \mathcal{M} onto $E_{11}\mathcal{M}E_{11} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$. We will identify \mathcal{M} with $E_{11}\mathcal{M}E_{11} \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ by the canonical isomorphism Φ . In [4], K. Dykema proved that $E_{11}\mathcal{M}E_{11} \cong L(\mathbb{F}_3)$. For $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$, we may write

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to matrix units in \mathcal{M}_1 . Then $b_{ij} \in L(\mathbb{F}_3)$. In this section, we will develop the algebraic techniques used in [4]. Combining the matrix techniques, we may explicitly express b_{ij} in terms of free generators of $L(\mathbb{F}_3)$.

Let $\Lambda\{W_1, V_1\}$ be the set of words generated by W_1, V_1 . Note that $W_1^2 = V_1^2 = 1$ and $\tau(W_1) = \tau(V_1) = 0$. The following observation is crucial in [4]. The proof is an easy exercise.

Lemma 5.1. $\tau(g_1g_2 \cdots g_n) = 0$ for an alternating product of $\Lambda\{W_1, V_1\} \setminus \{1, W_1\}$ and $\{E_{12}, E_{21}\}$.

Recall that $P = E_{11}$ and $Q = F_{11}$. Let W be the ‘‘polar’’ part of $(1 - P)QP$ and $U = E_{12}W$. The following corollary is a special case of Theorem 3.5 of [4].

Corollary 5.2. U is a Haar unitary operator in $\mathcal{M}_P = PMP$ and U, PQP are $*$ -free in \mathcal{M}_P .

With the canonical identification of \mathcal{M} with $\mathcal{M}_P \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$,

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} PQP & \sqrt{PQP - (PQP)^2}U \\ U^*\sqrt{PQP - (PQP)^2} & U^*(1 - PQP)U \end{pmatrix}.$$

By [16], the distribution of PQP (relative to \mathcal{M}_P) is non-atomic and the density function is

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - (\frac{1}{2} - t)^2}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (5.1)$$

By Corollary 5.2, the von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{M}_1 generated by $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and Q is $*$ -isomorphic to $L(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$. Since \mathcal{M}_1 is also $*$ -isomorphic to $M_2(\mathbb{C}) * L(\mathbb{Z}_2)$,

$M_2(\mathbb{C}) * L(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$, which is proved by Dykema in [4].

Since $V_1 = 2Q - 1$,

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2PQP - 1}{2U^* \sqrt{PQP - (PQP)^2}} & 2\sqrt{PQP - (PQP)^2}U \\ U^*(1 - 2PQP)U & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Simple computation shows that the density function of $2PQP - 1$ is

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}, \quad -1 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Let $H = 2PQP - 1$, then

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H & \sqrt{1-H^2}U \\ U^* \sqrt{1-H^2} & -U^*HU \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $H = V|H|$ be the polar decomposition of H . Since H is a symmetric selfadjoint operator, $V^2 = 1$ and V is independent with the von Neumann algebra generated by $|H|$ in the classical probability sense. Let $h = |H|$, $u = VU$, $v = UV$. Then u, v are Haar unitary operators and the distribution of h relative to \mathcal{M}_P is non-atomic.

Lemma 5.3. *h, u, v are $*$ -free.*

Proof. Let $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$ be an alternating product of elements of $\mathfrak{S} = \{|H|\}'' \ominus \mathbb{C}I$, $\{(VU)^n : n \neq 0\}$, $\{(UV)^n : n \neq 0\}$. By regrouping, it is an alternating product of elements of $\{\mathfrak{S}, V, V\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}V, V\mathfrak{S}V\}$ and $\{U^n : n \neq 0\}$. Since H and U are $*$ -free, $\{\mathfrak{S}, V, V\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}V, V\mathfrak{S}V\}$ and $\{U^n : n \neq 0\}$ are free. Since V and \mathfrak{S} are independent, $\tau(VS) = \tau(SV) = 0$ for $S \in \mathfrak{S}$. This implies that $\tau(g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n) = 0$.

□

By simple computations, we have the following.

$$V_1 E_{11} V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} h^2 & \sqrt{1-h^2}hu \\ u^* h \sqrt{1-h^2} & u^*(1-h^2)u \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$V_1 E_{12} V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} HU^* \sqrt{1-H^2} & -HU^*HU \\ U^* \sqrt{1-H^2} U^* \sqrt{1-H^2} & -U^* \sqrt{1-H^2} U^* HU \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.3)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} hv^* \sqrt{1-h^2} & -hv^*hu \\ u^* \sqrt{1-h^2} v^* \sqrt{1-h^2} & -u^* \sqrt{1-h^2} v^* hu \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.4)$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\mathcal{M} \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)} * (V_1 M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)} V_1) \cong \mathcal{M}_P \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$. With this isomorphism, \mathcal{M}_P is the von Neumann algebra generated by h, u and v by (5.2) and (5.4). So $\mathcal{M}_P \cong L(\mathbb{F}_3)$. By simple computations, we have

$$V_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \sigma \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11} &= \sigma + (\alpha - \sigma)h^2 + \gamma\sqrt{1-h^2}vh + \beta hv^*\sqrt{1-h^2}, \\ b_{12} &= (\alpha - \sigma)h\sqrt{1-h^2}u + \gamma\sqrt{1-h^2}v\sqrt{1-h^2}u - \beta hv^*hu, \\ b_{21} &= (\alpha - \sigma)u^*h\sqrt{1-h^2} - \gamma u^*hvh + \beta u^*\sqrt{1-h^2}v^*\sqrt{1-h^2}, \\ b_{22} &= \alpha + (\sigma - \alpha)u^*h^2u - \gamma u^*hv\sqrt{1-h^2}u - \beta u^*\sqrt{1-h^2}v^*hu. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.4. $\mathcal{M} \cong L(\mathbb{F}_3) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$; furthermore, let $B = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \sigma \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}$ in $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$, then with respect to the matrix units $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2} \subset M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}$, where b_{ij} are given as above.

Example 5.5. In Theorem 5.4, let $\beta = \gamma = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma + (\alpha - \sigma)h^2 & (\alpha - \sigma)h\sqrt{1-h^2}u \\ (\alpha - \sigma)u^*h\sqrt{1-h^2} & \alpha + (\sigma - \alpha)u^*h^2u \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}.$$

Example 5.6. In Theorem 5.4, let $\alpha = \sigma$ and $\gamma = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \beta hv^*\sqrt{1-h^2} & -\beta hv^*hu \\ \beta u^*\sqrt{1-h^2}v^*\sqrt{1-h^2} & \alpha - \beta u^*\sqrt{1-h^2}v^*hu \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}.$$

Remark 5.7. By equation (5.2), the distribution of h^2 is the distribution of $E_{11}V_1E_{11}V_1E_{11}$ relative to M_P . So the distribution of h^2 is same as the distribution of PQP (relative to M_P). By [Vo], the distribution of PQP (relative to M_P) is non-atomic and the density function is

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - (\frac{1}{2} - t)^2}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

6 Miscellaneous examples

Example 6.1. We compute the Brown spectrum of $\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12}$. Let $F_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}$. Then

$$(\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12})^2 = \alpha\beta(E_{12}F_{12} + F_{12}E_{12}) = \alpha\beta(E_{12} + F_{12})^2 = \alpha\beta \begin{pmatrix} b_3 & b_1 + b_4 \\ 0 & b_3 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}.$$

So $\mu_{(\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12})^2} = \mu_{\alpha\beta b_3}$. By equation (5.3), the distribution of b_3 is same as the distribution of $(U^*\sqrt{1-H^2})^2$. Since $U^*\sqrt{1-H^2}$ is an R-diagonal operator, $(U^*\sqrt{1-H^2})^2$ is also an R-diagonal operator. Since the distribution of $\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12}$ is rotation invariant, $\mu_{\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12}} = \mu_{\sqrt{|\alpha\beta|}b}$, where $b = U^*\sqrt{1-H^2}$. Simple computations show that (or by Proposition 5.10 and Corollary 5.11 of [5])

$$d\mu_b(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^2} drd\theta \quad 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Hence

$$d\mu_{\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12}}(z) = d\mu_{\sqrt{|\alpha\beta|}b}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{|\alpha\beta|^{3/2}}{(|\alpha\beta| - r)^2} drd\theta \quad 0 \leq r \leq \sqrt{\frac{|\alpha\beta|}{2}}$$

and

$$\sigma(\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12}) = \mathbb{B} \left(0, \sqrt{\frac{|\alpha\beta|}{2}} \right).$$

Corollary 6.2. $r(\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12}) = \sqrt{\frac{|\alpha\beta|}{2}}$.

Corollary 6.3. Let $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$. Then $A + B$ is an R-diagonal operator if and only if $A + B = 0$.

Proof. Indeed, if $A + B$ is an R-diagonal operator, then $\tau(A + B) = 0$. So we may assume that $\tau(A) = \tau(B) = 0$. Let $\lambda, -\lambda$ and $\eta, -\eta$ be the spectra of A and B , respectively. Then $0 = \tau((A + B)^2) = \tau(A^2) + \tau(B^2) = \lambda^2 + \eta^2$. By simple computation we have $0 = \tau((A + B)^4) = \tau(A^4) + \tau(B^4) + 4\tau(A^2)\tau(B^2) = \lambda^4 + \eta^4 + 4\lambda^2\eta^2 = (\lambda^2 + \eta^2)^2 + 2\lambda^2\eta^2 = 2\lambda^2\eta^2$. Thus $\lambda = \eta = 0$. This implies that A and B are unitary equivalent to αE_{12} and βF_{12} , respectively. By Corollary 6.2, $r(A + B) = \sqrt{\frac{|\alpha\beta|}{2}}$. On the other hand, since we assume that $A + B$ is an R-diagonal operator, by Theorem 2.1, $(r(A + B))^2 = \tau((A^* + B^*)(A + B)) = \tau((\bar{\alpha}E_{21} + \bar{\beta}F_{21})(\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12})) = \frac{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}{2}$. So $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = |\alpha\beta|$. This implies that $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$. Hence $A + B = 0$. \square

Example 6.4. We compute the spectrum and Brown spectrum of

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}.$$

By Example 5.6, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \beta hv^* \sqrt{1-h^2} & -\beta hv^* hu \\ \beta u^* \sqrt{1-h^2} v \sqrt{1-h^2} & \alpha - \beta u^* \sqrt{1-h^2} v^* hu \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \beta hv^* \sqrt{1-h^2} & -\beta hv^* hu \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\sigma(X) = \{0\} \cup \sigma(\alpha + \beta hv^* \sqrt{1-h^2})$ and $\mu_X = \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\alpha + \beta hv^* \sqrt{1-h^2}}$. Note that $\mu_{hv^* \sqrt{1-h^2}} = \mu_{v^* \sqrt{1-h^2} h}$ and $v^* \sqrt{1-h^2} h$ is an R-diagonal operator. We have the following computations:

$$\|\sqrt{1-h^2}h\|_2^2 = \tau_P((1-h^2)h^2) = \tau_P((1-PQP)PQP) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{t(1-t)dt}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - (\frac{1}{2}-t)^2}} = \frac{1}{8},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\sqrt{1-h^2}h)^{-1}\|_2^2 &= \tau_P(((1-h^2)h^2)^{-1}) = \tau_P(((1-PQP)PQP)^{-1}) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dt}{t(1-t)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - (\frac{1}{2}-t)^2}} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1,

$$\sigma(X) = \text{supp}\mu_X = \{0\} \cup \overline{\mathbb{B}(\alpha, |\beta|/2\sqrt{2})}.$$

Example 6.5. We compute the spectrum and Brown spectrum of

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}.$$

By Example 5.5, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} \beta + (\alpha - \beta)h^2 & (\alpha - \beta)h\sqrt{1-h^2}u \\ (\alpha - \beta)u^*h\sqrt{1-h^2} & \alpha + (\beta - \alpha)u^*h^2u \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha - \beta)u^*h\sqrt{1-h^2} & \alpha + (\beta - \alpha)u^*h^2u \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u^*h\sqrt{1-h^2}$ is an R-diagonal operator, similar computations as Example 6.4, we have

$$\sigma(Y) = \text{supp}\mu_Y = \overline{\mathbb{B}(0, |\alpha - \beta|/2\sqrt{2})}.$$

Example 6.6. We compute the spectrum and Brown spectrum of

$$Z = (1 + \alpha E_{12})(1 + \beta F_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$Z - \lambda 1 = (1 + \alpha E_{12})(1 + \beta F_{12}) - \lambda(1 + \alpha E_{12})(1 - \alpha E_{12}) = (1 + \alpha E_{12})(\lambda \alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12} - (\lambda - 1)).$$

This implies that $\lambda \in \sigma(Z)$ if and only if $\lambda - 1 \in \sigma(\lambda \alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12})$. By Example 6.1, $\lambda - 1 \in \sigma(\lambda \alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12})$ if and only if

$$|\lambda - 1|^2 \leq \frac{|\alpha\beta||\lambda|}{2}.$$

So

$$\sigma(Z) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1|^2 \leq \frac{|\alpha\beta||\lambda|}{2} \right\}.$$

In the following, we will show that $\text{supp}\mu_Z \supseteq \partial\sigma(Z) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - 1|^2 = \frac{|\alpha\beta||\lambda|}{2} \right\}$. For this purpose, we need only to prove that $\text{supp}\mu_{Z-1} \supseteq \partial\sigma(Z-1) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda|^2 = \frac{|\alpha\beta||\lambda+1|}{2} \right\}$.

Note that $\Delta(1 + \alpha E_{12}) = 1$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Delta((Z - 1) - \lambda) &= \log \Delta((1 + \alpha E_{12})(1 + \beta F_{12}) - (1 + \lambda)(1 + \alpha E_{12})(1 - \alpha E_{12})) \\ &= \log \Delta(1 + \alpha E_{12}) + \log \Delta(1 + \beta F_{12} - \lambda + (1 + \lambda)\alpha E_{12}) = \log \Delta((1 + \lambda)\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12} - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

By Example 6.1, $\mu_{(1+\lambda)\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12}} = \mu_{\sqrt{|1+\lambda||\alpha\beta|}b}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Delta((Z - 1) - \lambda) &= \log \Delta(\sqrt{|1 + \lambda||\alpha\beta|}b - \lambda) \\ &= \log \Delta\left(b - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{|1 + \lambda||\alpha\beta|}}\right) - \log \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{|1 + \lambda||\alpha\beta|}} + \log |\lambda|. \end{aligned}$$

Since b is an R-diagonal operator, this implies that

$$\log \Delta\left(b - \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{|1 + \lambda||\alpha\beta|}}\right) = \log \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{|1 + \lambda||\alpha\beta|}} - \log |\lambda| + \log \Delta((Z - 1) - \lambda). \quad (6.1)$$

Suppose $\lambda_0 \in \partial\sigma(Z - 1)$ and $\lambda_0 \notin \text{supp}\mu_{Z-1}$. Then there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, \delta) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp}\mu_{Z-1}$. Now $\log \Delta((Z - 1) - \lambda)$ is a harmonic function on $\mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, \delta)$. Since $\tau((Z - 1)^n) = 0$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Lemma 4.3 of [8], for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| \geq r(Z-1)$, $\log \Delta((Z-1) - \lambda) = \log |\lambda|$. By the uniqueness of harmonic functions, we have $\log \Delta((Z-1) - \lambda) = \log |\lambda|$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda_0, \delta)$. By equation (6.1), this implies that

$$\log \Delta \left(b - \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{|1 + \lambda||\alpha\beta|}} \right) = \log \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{|1 + \lambda||\alpha\beta|}}. \quad (6.2)$$

Let $r = \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{|1 + \lambda||\alpha\beta|}}$. Then equation (6.2) implies that

$$\log \Delta(b - r) = \log r$$

for $r \in (s, t) \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]$. Since b is an R-diagonal operator, this implies that $\log \Delta(b - z)$ is harmonic on the annulus with inner radius s and outer radius t , $0 < s < t < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. By Theorem 2.1, $\text{supp}\mu_b = \overline{\mathbb{B}(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})}$. It is a contradiction.

7 Hyperinvariant subspaces for operators in \mathcal{M}

Lemma 7.1. *For $X \in \mathcal{M}$, if $\text{supp}\mu_X = \{\lambda\}$, then $\tau(X^n) = \lambda^n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.*

Proof. $\tau(X^n) = \int_{\text{supp}\mu_X} z^n d\mu_X(z) = \lambda^n$. □

The converse of Lemma 7.1 is not true. Since for an R-diagonal operator X , we have $\tau(X^n) = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $X = A + B$, where $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$. If A, B are not scalar matrices, then $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points.*

Proof. Suppose A, B are not scalar matrices. Since $A + B = \tau(A)1 + \tau(B)1 + (A - \tau(A)1) + (B - \tau(B)1)$, to show $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points, we need only to show $\mu_{(A - \tau(A)1) + (B - \tau(B)1)}$ contains more than two points. So we may assume that $\tau(A) = \tau(B) = 0$ and $A, B \neq 0$. Assume that the spectra of A and B are $\lambda_1, -\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2, -\lambda_2$. If $\tau(A^2) = \tau(B^2) = 0$, then A and B are unitarily equivalent to αE_{12} and βF_{12} in $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$, respectively. Thus $\mu_X = \mu_{\alpha E_{12} + \beta F_{12}}$. By Example 6.1, $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points. Now suppose $\tau(A^2) \neq 0$ or $\tau(B^2) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\lambda_1^2 = \tau(A^2) \neq 0$. Note that $\tau(A + B) = 0$ and $\tau((A + B)^2) = \tau(A^2) + \tau(B^2) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2$. If $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \neq 0$, by Lemma 7.1, $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points. Suppose $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = 0$. Then $\tau(B^2) = \lambda_2^2 \neq 0$. Simple computations show that

$$\tau((A+B)^4) = \tau(A^4) + \tau(B^4) + 4\tau(A^2)\tau(B^2) = \lambda_1^4 + \lambda_2^4 + 4\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)^2 + 2\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 = 2\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2 \neq 0.$$

Note that $\tau(A + B) = 0$. By Lemma 7.1, $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points. □

Proposition 7.3. *Let $X = AB$, where $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$. If A, B are not scalar matrices, then $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points.*

Proof. Suppose A, B are not scalar matrices. We consider the following cases:

Case 1. $\tau(A) = \tau(B) = 0$ and $A, B \neq 0$. By Theorem 4.1, $AB(\neq 0)$ is an R-diagonal operator. So $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points.

Case 2. $\tau(A) = 0, \tau(B) \neq 0$ or $\tau(A) \neq 0, \tau(B) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\tau(A) = 0$ and $\tau(B) \neq 0$. Then $\tau(AB) = 0$ and $\tau(ABAB) = \tau(A^2)\tau(B)$. If $\tau(A^2) \neq 0$, then $\tau(ABAB) \neq 0$. By Lemma 10.1, $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points. If $\tau(A^2) = 0$, then A is unitarily equivalent to αE_{12} in $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$. By Lemma 4.5, $\mu_X = \mu_{\alpha E_{12}(B - \tau(B))}$. Since $\alpha E_{12}(B - \tau(B))(\neq 0)$ is an R-diagonal operator, $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points.

Case 3. $\tau(A) \neq 0$ and $\tau(B) \neq 0$. We may assume that $\tau(A) = \tau(B) = 1$. Let $A = 1 + A_1$ and $B = 1 + B_1$. Then $\tau(A_1) = \tau(B_1) = 0$.

Subcase 3.1. $\tau(A_1^2) \neq 0$ or $\tau(B_1^2) \neq 0$. We may assume that $\tau(A_1^2) \neq 0$. Simple computation shows that $\tau(AB) = 1$, $\tau(ABAB) = 1 + \tau(A_1^2) + \tau(B_1^2)$ and $\tau((AB)^3) = 1 + 3(\tau(A_1^2) + \tau(B_1^2)) + 9\tau(A_1^2)\tau(B_1^2)$. If $\tau(A_1^2) + \tau(B_1^2) \neq 0$, then $\tau(ABAB) \neq 1$. By Lemma 7.1, $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points. If $\tau(A_1^2) + \tau(B_1^2) = 0$, then $\tau(A_1^2) = -\tau(B_1^2) \neq 0$. So $\tau((AB)^3) \neq 1$. By Lemma 7.1 again, $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points.

Subcase 3.2. $\tau(A_1^2) = \tau(A_2^2) = 0$. Then A_1 and A_2 are unitarily equivalent to αE_{12} and βF_{12} in $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$, respectively. So $\mu_X = \mu_{(1+\alpha E_{12})(1+\beta F_{12})}$. We may assume that $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(2)}$. By Example 6.6, $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points. □

Corollary 7.4. *Let $X = AB$ or $X = A + B$, where $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(1)}$ and $B \in M_2(\mathbb{C})_{(2)}$. If $X \neq \lambda 1$, then X has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace relative to \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. If $X = A + B$ and $A = \lambda 1$ or $B = \lambda 1$, then $X = \lambda 1 + B$ or $X = \lambda 1 + A$. If X is not a scalar matrix and η is an eigenvalue of X , then $\ker(X - \eta 1)$ is a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace of X . If $X = A + B$ and $A, B \neq \lambda 1$, then $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points by Proposition 7.3. By [9], X has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace relative to \mathcal{M} . If $X = AB$ and $A = \lambda 1$ or $B = \lambda 1$, then $X = \lambda B$ or $X = \lambda A$. If X is not a scalar matrix and η is an eigenvalue of X , then $\ker(X - \eta 1)$ is a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace of X . If $X = AB$ and $A, B \neq \lambda 1$, then $\text{supp}\mu_X$ contains more than two points. By [9], X has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace relative to \mathcal{M} . □

Acknowledgements: The authors want to express their deep gratitude to professor Eric Nordgren for valuable discussions. The authors also thank the referee for some useful suggestions.

References

- [1] P. Biane, F. Lehner, Computation of some examples of Brown's spectral measure in free probability, *Colloq. Math.* **90** (2001), 181-211.
- [2] L.G. Brown, Lidskii's theorem in the type II case, Geometric methods in operator algebras, H. Araki and E. Effros (Eds.) *Pitman Res. notes in Math. Ser* **123**, Longman Sci. Tech. (1986), 1-35.
- [3] W.M. Ching, Free products of von Neumann algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **178**, (1973), 147-163.
- [4] K. Dykema, Interpolated free group factors, *Pacific J. Math.* **163**, (1994), 123-135.
- [5] J. Fang, D. Hadwin, R. Mohan, On transitive algebras containing a standard finite von Neumann subalgebra, to appear on *J.Funct.Anal.*
- [6] B. Fuglede and R.V.Kadsion, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Annals of Math.* bf 55 (3)(1952), 520-530.
- [7] F.P. Greenleaf, Invariant means on topological groups and their applications. Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 16 Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London 1969
- [8] U. Haagerup and F. Larsen, Brown's spectral distribution measure for R -diagonal elements in finite von Neumann algebras, *J.Funct.Anal.* **176** (2000), 331-367.
- [9] U. Haagerup and H. Schultz, Invariant Subspaces for Operators in a General II_1 -factor, preprint available at <http://www.arxiv.org/pdf/math.OA/0611256>.
- [10] U. Haagerup and H. Schultz, Brown measures of unbounded operators affiliated with a finite von Neumann algebra, To appear in *Math Scand.*, preprint available at <http://www.arxiv.org/pdf/math.OA/0605251>.
- [11] F. Larsen, Powers of R -diagonal elements. *J. Operator Theory* **47** (2002), no. 1, 197-212.
- [12] A. Nica and R. Speicher, R -diagonal pairs—a common approach to Haar unitaries and circular elements. *Fields Institute Communications*, (1997), 149-188.

- [13] A. Nica and R. Speicher, Commutators of free random variables. *Duke. Math. J.* **92**(3), (1998), 553-592.
- [14] H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, “Invariant Subspaces”, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [15] H. Schultz, Brown measures of sets of commuting operators in a II_1 -factor. *J. Funct. Analysis* **236** (2006), 457-489.
- [16] D.V. Voiculescu, Multiplication of certain noncommuting random variables. *J. Operator Theory* **18**, (1987), no. 2, 223–235.
- [17] D.V. Voiculescu, K. Dykema and A. Nica, “Free Random Variables”, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 1, AMS, Providence, R.I., 1992.

E-mail address: [Junsheng Fang] jfang@cisunix. unh.edu

E-mail address: [Don Hadwin] don@math.unh.edu

E-mail address: [Xiujuan Ma] mxjsusan@@hebut.edu.cn