

A REMARK ON THE NON-RATIONALITY PROBLEM FOR GENERIC CUBIC FOURFOLDS

VIK. S. KULIKOV

ABSTRACT. It is proved that the non-rationality of a generic cubic fourfold follows from a conjecture on the non-decomposability in the direct sum of non-trivial polarized Hodge structures of the polarized Hodge structure on transcendental cycles on a projective surface.

Before to formulate the main statement of the present note, recall some definitions and statements related to Hodge structures.

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{M, H^{p,n-p}, Q\}$ be a *polarized Hodge structure of weight n* , that is, M is a free \mathbb{Z} -module, $Q : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a non-degenerate bilinear form, the vector space $M \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p=a}^b H^{p,n-p}$ is the direct sum of its complex subspaces $H^{p,n-p}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \leq b$, such that $H^{n-p,p} = \overline{H^{p,n-p}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u, v) &= (-1)^n Q(v, u); \\ Q(u, v) &= 0 \quad \text{for } u \in H^{p,n-p}, v \in H^{p',n-p'}, p \neq n - p'. \end{aligned}$$

A polarized Hodge structure $\mathcal{H} = \{M, H^{p,q}, Q\}$ is called *unimodular* if Q is an unimodular bilinear form, that is, if (e_i) is a free basis of M , then the determinant of the matrix $A = (Q(e_i, e_j))$ is equal to ± 1 . Let $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{M_1, H_1^{p,q}, Q_1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{M_2, H_2^{p,q}, Q_2\}$ be two polarized Hodge structures of weight n . A \mathbb{Z} -homomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ of \mathbb{Z} -modules is a *morphism of polarized Hodge structures* if $Q_2(f(u), f(v)) = Q_1(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in M_1$ and f induces a morphism of Hodge structures, that is, $f_{\mathbb{C}}(H_1^{p,q}) \subset H_2^{p,q}$ for all p, q , where $f_{\mathbb{C}} = f \otimes \text{Id}$. Note that if $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a morphism of polarized Hodge structures, then f is an embedding, since Q_1 and Q_2 are non-degenerate bilinear forms. We say that \mathcal{H}_1 is a *polarized Hodge substructure* of \mathcal{H}_2 (and will write $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_2$) if the embedding $M_1 \subset M_2$ is a morphism of polarized Hodge structures. A polarized Hodge structure $\mathcal{H} = \{M_1 \oplus M_2, H^{p,q}, Q_1 \oplus Q_2\}$ is called the *direct sum of polarized Hodge structures* \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 if the canonical embeddings $M_i \subset M_1 \oplus M_2$ are morphisms of polarized

The work was partially supported by the RFBR (05-01-00455), NWO-RFBR 047.011.2004.026 (RFBR 05-02-89000-NWO_a), INTAS (05-1000008-7805), and by RUM1-2692-MO-05.

Hodge structures for $i = 1, 2$. In the case of the direct sum we have $H^{p,q} = H_1^{p,q} \oplus H_2^{p,q}$ for all p, q . We say that a polarized Hodge structure \mathcal{H} is *non-decomposable* if it is not isomorphic to the direct sum of two non-trivial polarized Hodge structures.

Let X be a smooth projective manifold defined over the field \mathbb{C} , $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$. It is well known that one can associate to X a polarized Hodge structure $\mathcal{H}_X = \{M_X, H^{p,q}, Q\}$ of weight n , where

$$M_X = H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}) / \{\text{torsion}\},$$

$H^{p,q} = H_X^{p,q} \subset H^n(X, \mathbb{C})$ are the spaces of harmonic (p, q) -forms on X , and $Q = Q_X$ is the restriction to $M_X \subset M_X \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq H^n(X, \mathbb{C})$ of the bilinear form

$$Q(\phi, \psi) = \int_X \phi \wedge \psi.$$

It is well known that the lattice (M_X, Q_X) is unimodular. Denote by $h^{p,q} = h^{p,q}(X) = \dim H_X^{p,q} = \dim H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$ the Hodge numbers of X , where Ω_X^p is the sheaf of holomorphic p -forms on X .

Lemma 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow V$ be a bi-rational morphism of smooth projective manifolds, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = n$. Then the polarized Hodge structure \mathcal{H}_X is decomposed in the direct sum of the polarized Hodge structure $f^*(\mathcal{H}_V) \simeq \mathcal{H}_V$ and a polarized Hodge structure \mathcal{H}_V^\perp .*

Proof. This statement is well-known in the particular case if $f = \sigma : X \rightarrow V$ is the monoidal transformation with non-singular center $C \subset V$ ([1], [3], [6]; see also [2]). By induction, the correctness of lemma follows for a composition $f = \sigma_m \circ \dots \circ \sigma_1 : X \rightarrow V$ of monoidal transformations $\sigma_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ with non-singular centers $\tilde{C}_{i-1} \subset X_{i-1}$, where $X_0 = V$ and $X_m = X$.

Now, let f be an arbitrary bi-rational morphism. Since $\deg f = 1$, then

$$\int_V \phi \wedge \psi = \int_X f^*(\phi) \wedge f^*(\psi),$$

that is, f^* is an embedding of the lattice $M_V = H^4(V, \mathbb{Z})$ in the lattice $M_X = H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore $f^* : \mathcal{H}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_X$ is an embedding of the polarized Hodge structure. Since the lattices $f^*(M_V)$ and M_X are unimodular, there is a sublattice M_V^\perp of M_X such that $M_X = f^*(M_V) \oplus M_V^\perp$ is the direct sum of lattices.

Let us show that this decomposition in the direct sum of lattices induces a decomposition $\mathcal{H}_X = f^*(\mathcal{H}_V) \oplus \mathcal{H}_V^\perp$ in the direct sum of polarized Hodge structures. For this, since the image of Hodge structure under a morphism of Hodge structures is a Hodge structure, it suffices to show that the natural projection $\text{pr}^\perp : M_X \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_V^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a

morphism of Hodge structures. To show that pr^\perp is a morphism of Hodge structures, let us consider the bi-rational map $f^{-1} : V \dashrightarrow X$. By Hironaka Theorem, there is a composition $\sigma = \sigma_m \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_1 : Y \rightarrow V$ of monoidal transformations $\sigma_i : Y_i \rightarrow Y_{i-1}$ with non-singular centers $\tilde{C}_{i-1} \subset Y_{i-1}$, where $Y_0 = V$ and $Y_m = Y$, such that $g = f^{-1} \circ \sigma : Y \rightarrow X$ is a bi-rational morphism. We obtain a decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_Y = \sigma^*(\mathcal{H}_V) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i$$

in the direct sum of polarized Hodge structures, where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i$ is the contribution in \mathcal{H}_Y of the monoidal transformation σ_{i+1} . Note that the natural projection $\tilde{\text{pr}}_2 : \mathcal{H}_Y \rightarrow \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i$ is a morphism of polarized Hodge structures.

It follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ g \swarrow & & \searrow \sigma \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & V \end{array}$$

that $g^* : \mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_Y$ is an embedding of polarized Hodge structures, such that $g^*(f^*(\mathcal{H}_V)) = \sigma^*(\mathcal{H}_V)$ and $g^*(M_V^\perp) \subset (\sigma^*(M_V))^\perp$. Therefore we can identify \mathcal{H}_X with its image $g^*(\mathcal{H}_X)$, $f^*(\mathcal{H}_V)$ with $\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}_V)$, and M_V^\perp with $g^*(M_V^\perp)$. Under this identification, the projection pr^\perp is identified with the restriction of $\tilde{\text{pr}}_2$ to $g^*(\mathcal{H}_X)$. Therefore pr^\perp is a morphism of Hodge structures as the composition of two morphisms of Hodge structures: namely, of the embedding g^* and the projection $\tilde{\text{pr}}_2$. \square

Let $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 2k$. Believing in Hodge Conjecture, the elements of $A_X = M_X \cap H^{k,k}$ will be called *algebraic* and the module $T_X = \{\gamma \in M_X \mid Q(\gamma, \alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in A_X\}$ will be called the module of *transcendental* n -cycles on X , $n = 2k$. It is easy to see that the polarized Hodge structure \mathcal{H}_X induces a polarized Hodge structure $\mathcal{T}_X = \{T_X, H_T^{p,q}, Q_T\}$, where $H_T^{p,q} = (T \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cap H_X^{p,q}$ and Q_T is the restriction of Q to T_X .

If S is a smooth projective surface, then the form $Q = Q_S$ is symmetric unimodular and, by Index Theorem, its signature is equal to $(2h^{2,0} + 1, h^{1,1} - 1)$. Note that the polarized Hodge structure \mathcal{T}_S on the transcendental cycles on a smooth projective surface S is a bi-rational invariant of the surface S .

Non-decomposability Conjecture. *The polarized Hodge structure \mathcal{T}_S on the transcendental cycles on a smooth projective surface S is non-decomposable.*

Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic fourfold. It is known (see [8] and [9]) that the moduli space of cubic fourfolds contains several families of rational cubics. Nevertheless, the following conjecture is well known.

Non-rationality Conjecture. *A generic cubic fourfold is non-rational.*

The aim of the present note is to show that Non-rationality Conjecture follows from Non-decomposability Conjecture. To formulate the precise statement, we fix one of smooth cubic fourfolds V_0 . For each cubic fourfold V we can identify the lattice (M_V, Q_V) with the lattice (M_0, Q) , where $M_0 = H^4(V_0, \mathbb{Z})$ and $Q = Q_{V_0}$. Let $\lambda = L^2 \in M_0$, where $L \in H^2(V_0, \mathbb{Z})$ is the class of the hyperplane section of $V_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. It is well known (see, for example, [7]) that each smooth cubic fourfold V has the following Hodge numbers: $h^{4,0} = h^{0,4} = 0$, $h^{3,1} = h^{1,3} = 1$, and $h^{2,2} = 21$. Consider the polarized Hodge structures $\mathcal{H}_V = \{M_V, H^{p,q}, Q_V\}$ on the fourth cohomology groups of the smooth cubic fourfolds V . Since $h^{4,0} = 0$ and $h^{3,1} = 1$, the polarized Hodge structure $\mathcal{H}_V = \{M_0, H_V^{p,q}, Q\}$ is defined by a non-zero element $\omega \in H_V^{3,1} \subset M_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}$. It follows from Hodge – Riemann bilinear relations that $Q(\lambda, \omega) = Q(\omega, \omega) = 0$ and $Q(\omega, \bar{\omega}) < 0$. Therefore the classifying space D of polarized Hodge structures of the smooth cubic fourfolds coincides with

$$D = \{\omega \in \mathbb{P}^{22} \mid Q(\omega, \lambda) = Q(\omega, \omega) = 0, \quad Q(\omega, \bar{\omega}) < 0\}.$$

The point $\omega(V) \in D$, corresponding to the polarized Hodge structure $\mathcal{H}_V = \{M_0, H^{p,q}(V), Q\}$ of a smooth cubic fourfold V , is called the *periods* of V . By [4] and by Global Torelli Theorem proved in [10], the set D_0 of periods of the smooth cubic fourfolds is an open subset of D .

Let $P = \{\mu \in M_0 \mid Q(\lambda, \mu) = 0\}$ be the submodule of M_0 consisting of the primitive elements. For each endomorphism $A \in \text{End}(M_0)$ such that $A(P) \subset P$ and the restriction $A|_P$ of A to P is not proportional to the identity automorphism of P , denote by

$$E_A = \{\omega \in D \subset \mathbb{P}^{22} \mid \omega \text{ is an eigenvector of } A\}.$$

Obviously, E_A is the intersection of D and a finite number of linear subspaces of \mathbb{P}^{22} of codimension at least 2. Therefore E_A is a proper closed analytic subvariety of D . Put $E = \cup E_A$, then E is the union

of countably many proper closed analytic subvarieties of D . Therefore $D \setminus E$ is everywhere dense in D .

For each $\mu \in M_0$, μ is not proportional to λ , we put

$$B_\mu = \{\omega \in D \subset \mathbb{P}^{22} \mid Q(\mu, \omega) = 0\}$$

and $B = \cup B_\mu$. Similarly to above, B is the union of countably many proper closed analytic subvarieties of D . Therefore $D_0 \setminus (E \cup B)$ is also everywhere dense in D_0 .

Proposition 1. *If Non-decomposability Conjecture is true, then a smooth cubic fourfold V is non-rational if its periods $\omega(V) \in D_0 \setminus (E \cup B)$.*

Proof. Assume that a smooth cubic fourfold V is rational and its periods $\omega(V) \in D_0 \setminus (E \cup B)$.

Note that the sublattice of transcendental elements T_V of the lattice M_V coincides with the sublattice of primitive elements P if the periods $\omega(V) \in D_0 \setminus B$.

Since V is rational, then there is a bi-rational map $r : \mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow V$. By Hironaka Theorem, there is a composition $\tau = \tau_n \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ of monoidal transformations $\tau_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ with non-singular centers $C_{i-1} \subset X_{i-1}$, where $X_0 = \mathbb{P}^4$ and $X_n = X$, such that $f = r \circ \tau : X \rightarrow V$ is a bi-rational morphism.

Each τ_i induces an inclusion of unimodular polarized Hodge structures $\tau_i^* : \mathcal{H}_{X_{i-1}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X_i}$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{X_i} \simeq \tau_i^*(\mathcal{H}_{X_{i-1}}) \oplus (\tau_i^*(\mathcal{H}_{X_{i-1}}))^\perp$. In particular,

$$H^4(X_i, \mathbb{Z}) = (\tau_i^*(H^4(X_{i-1}, \mathbb{Z})) \oplus (\tau_i^*(H^4(X_{i-1}, \mathbb{Z})))^\perp.$$

Moreover, if $\dim C_{i-1} \leq 1$, then $(\tau_i^*(H^4(X_{i-1}, \mathbb{Z})))^\perp \subset H_{X_i}^{2,2}$, and if C_{i-1} is a smooth surface, then $(\tau_i^*(\mathcal{H}_{X_{i-1}}))^\perp \simeq -\mathcal{H}_{C_{i-1}}(-1)$, where

$$-\mathcal{H}_{C_{i-1}}(-1) = (M_{C_{i-1}}, H_{i-1}^{p,q}, -Q_{C_{i-1}})$$

and $H_{i-1}^{p,q} = H_{C_{i-1}}^{p-1,q-1}$. Therefore $H_{X_i}^{3,1} = \tau_i^*(H_{X_{i-1}}^{3,1}) \oplus H_{i-1}^{3,1}$, $H_{X_i}^{4,0} = 0$, and $Q_{X_i}(u, v) = 0$ for $u \in \tau_i^*(H_{X_{i-1}}^{3,1} \oplus H_{X_{i-1}}^{1,3})$, $v \in H_{i-1}^{3,1} \oplus H_{i-1}^{1,3}$.

As a consequence, we obtain a decomposition of the unimodular polarized Hodge structure

$$\mathcal{H}_X = \tau^*(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}^4}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}_i$$

in the direct sum of polarized Hodge structures, where \mathcal{H}_i is the contribution in \mathcal{H}_X of the $(i+1)$ th monoidal transformation. By induction, we have $\mathcal{H}_i \simeq (\tau_i^*(\mathcal{H}_{X_{i-1}}))^\perp$ and, consequently,

$$\mathcal{T}_X \simeq - \oplus \mathcal{T}_{C_i}(-1),$$

where the sum is taken over all surfaces C_i with $p_g \geq 1$, since $H^4(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathbb{C}) = H_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{2,2}$, $h^{2,2}(\mathbb{P}^4) = 1$, and $H^2(C_i, \mathbb{C}) = H_{C_i}^{1,1}$ if the geometric genus p_g of the surface C_i is equal to zero.

The morphism f induces a morphism of Hodge structures $f^* : \mathcal{H}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_X$. By Lemma 1, the Hodge structure \mathcal{H}_X is decomposed in the direct sum $f^*(\mathcal{H}_V) \oplus \mathcal{H}_V^\perp$ of the polarized Hodge structures.

Lemma 2. *Let V be a smooth cubic fourfold from Proposition 1 and let $\tau = \tau_n \circ \dots \circ \tau_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ and $f = r \circ \tau : X \rightarrow V$ be morphisms described above. Then there is i_0 such that the polarized Hodge structure $\mathcal{T}_{C_{i_0}}$ on the transcendental cycles of the surface C_{i_0} is decomposed in the direct sum of polarized Hodge structures $\mathcal{T}'_{C_{i_0}} \simeq -f^*(\mathcal{T}_V)(1)$ and $\mathcal{T}''_{C_{i_0}}$.*

Proof. We have two decompositions

$$\mathcal{H}_X = f^*(\mathcal{H}_V) \oplus \mathcal{H}_V^\perp = \tau^*(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{P}^4}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}_i$$

of the polarized Hodge structure \mathcal{H}_X . Consider a non-zero element $\omega \in f^*(H_V^{3,1})$. It can be represented in the form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega_i,$$

where $\omega_i \in H_i^{3,1}$. Let i_0 be an index such that $\omega_{i_0} \neq 0$. Put $\omega_{i_0}^\perp = \sum_{i \neq i_0} \omega_i$ and let $\text{pr}_{i_0} : \mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{i_0}$, $\text{pr}_{i_0}^\perp : \mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \neq i_0} \mathcal{H}_i$, $\text{pr} : \mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{H}_V)$, $\text{pr}^\perp : \mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_V^\perp$ be the natural projections. Note that all these projections are defined over \mathbb{Z} . We have $\text{pr}(\text{pr}_{i_0}(\omega)) = a\omega$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$, since $\dim f^*(H_V^{3,1}) = 1$ and pr , pr_{i_0} are morphisms of Hodge structures.

Let us show that $a = 1$. Indeed, the restriction of $\text{pr} \circ \text{pr}_{i_0}$ to $f^*(M_V) \simeq M_V$ induces an endomorphism of M_V such that

$$\text{pr} \circ \text{pr}_{i_0}(f^*(P)) \subset f^*(P),$$

since $\text{pr} \circ \text{pr}_{i_0}$ is a morphism of Hodge structures, $M_V \cap H_V^{2,2} = \mathbb{Z}\lambda$ and $P = T_V$ by assumption. Therefore $(\text{pr} \circ \text{pr}_{i_0})|_{f^*(P)} = a \cdot \text{Id}$, since $\text{pr}(\text{pr}_{i_0}(\omega)) = a\omega$ (that is, ω is an eigenvector of $(\text{pr} \circ \text{pr}_{i_0})|_{f^*(M_V)}$ and a is its eigenvalue) and, by assumption, $\omega(V) \in D_0 \setminus (E \cup B)$. Therefore $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $(\text{pr} \circ \text{pr}_{i_0})|_{f^*(P)}$ is an endomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -module $f^*(P) \simeq P$. Let $\omega^\perp = \text{pr}^\perp(\omega_{i_0}) \in H_X^{3,1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{i_0} &= a\omega + \omega^\perp, \\ \omega_{i_0}^\perp &= (1-a)\omega - \omega^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

By Hodge – Riemann bilinear relations, $Q_X(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) \leq 0$ for $\gamma \in H_X^{3,1}$ and $Q_X(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) = 0$ if and only if $\gamma = 0$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that $Q_X(\omega, \bar{\omega}) = -1$ and $Q_X(\omega^\perp, \bar{\omega}^\perp) = b \leq 0$. We have $Q_X(\omega_{i_0}, \bar{\omega}_{i_0}) = -a^2 + b$ and, consequently, a and b can not simultaneously be equal to zero, since $\omega_{i_0} \neq 0$. Besides, we have

$$Q_X(\omega, \omega^\perp) = Q_X(\omega, \bar{\omega}^\perp) = Q_X(\bar{\omega}, \omega^\perp) = Q_X(\bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}^\perp) = Q_X(\omega_{i_0}, \bar{\omega}_{i_0}^\perp) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$Q_X(\omega_{i_0}, \bar{\omega}_{i_0}^\perp) = Q_X(a\omega + \omega^\perp, (1-a)\bar{\omega} - \bar{\omega}^\perp) = a(1-a)(-1) - b = 0,$$

that is, $a^2 - a - b = 0$, and hence

$$a = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1+4b}}{2}.$$

But, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \leq 0$, therefore $b = 0$, $a = 1$ and hence $\omega^\perp = 0$, since $Q_X(\omega^\perp, \bar{\omega}^\perp) = b = 0$. Therefore, $\omega \in H_{i_0}^{3,1}$. Besides, we showed that $(\text{pr} \circ \text{pr}_{i_0})|_{f^*(P)} = \text{Id}$.

Let us show that $f^*(T_V) \subset T_{i_0}$. As above, we have two decompositions

$$\mathcal{T}_X = f^*(\mathcal{T}_V) \oplus f^*(\mathcal{T}_V)^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}_i$$

of the polarized Hodge structure \mathcal{T}_X . Each $\gamma \in f^*(T_V)$ can be written in the form $\gamma = \gamma_{i_0} + \gamma_{i_0}^\perp$, where $\gamma_{i_0} = \text{pr}_{i_0}(\gamma) \in T_{i_0}$ and $\gamma_{i_0}^\perp = \text{pr}_{i_0}^\perp(\gamma) \in \bigoplus_{i \neq i_0} T_i$. Then, since $(\text{pr} \circ \text{pr}_{i_0})|_{f^*(P)} = \text{Id}$ and $P = T_V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{i_0} &= \gamma + \gamma^\perp, \\ \gamma_{i_0}^\perp &= -\gamma^\perp, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma^\perp = \text{pr}^\perp(\gamma_{i_0}) \in f^*(T_V)^\perp$. Denote by $p_{(3,1)} : M_X \otimes C \rightarrow H_X^{3,1}$ the natural projection. Then, by definition of transcendental cycles, $p_{(3,1)}(\gamma) \neq 0$ for each non-zero element $\gamma \in T_X$, since the Hodge number $h^{4,0}(X) = 0$. In particular, $p_{(3,1)}(\gamma) = a_\gamma \omega$ for some $a_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_\gamma \neq 0$, since $f^*(H_V^{3,1}) = \mathbb{C}\omega$. Therefore $p_{(3,1)}(\gamma_{i_0}) = a_\gamma \omega$, since $\omega \in f^*(H_V^{3,1}) \subset H_{i_0}^{3,1}$, and hence $p_{(3,1)}(\gamma_{i_0}^\perp) = 0$. From this, we have $\gamma_{i_0}^\perp = 0$, that is, $f^*(T_V) \subset T_{i_0}$.

As a consequence, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{T}_{i_0} = f^*(\mathcal{T}_V) \oplus \mathcal{T}_{i_0}'' \quad (1)$$

is the direct sum of polarized Hodge structures, where \mathcal{T}_{i_0}'' is a polarized Hodge substructure of $f^*(\mathcal{T}_V)^\perp$. To complete the proof of Lemma 2, recall that $\mathcal{T}_{i_0} \simeq -\mathcal{T}_{C_{i_0}}(-1)$, where $\mathcal{T}_{C_{i_0}}$ is the polarized Hodge structure on the transcendental 2-cycles on the smooth projective surface C_{i_0} . \square

Lemma 3. *There does not exist a smooth projective surface S such that $\mathcal{T}_V \simeq -\mathcal{T}_S(-1)$, where V is a smooth cubic fourfold whose periods $\omega(V) \in D_0 \setminus B$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{T}_V \simeq -\mathcal{T}_S(-1)$ for some surface S , then its geometric genus $p_g = h^{2,0}(S) = h^{3,1}(V) = 1$ and $\text{rk } T_S = \text{rk } T_V = 22$. Since $p_g = 1$, the surface S is not a ruled surface. Note that the lattice T_S is a bi-rational invariant. Therefore we can assume that S is a minimal model and its second Betti number $b_2(S) = h^{1,1}(S) + 2h^{2,0}(S) \geq 23$, since $\text{rk } T_S = \text{rk } T_V = 22$ and S should have also algebraic 2-cycles. Therefore $h^{1,1}(S)$ should be greater than 20, since $h^{2,0}(S) = 1$.

On the other hand, it follows from the classification of algebraic surfaces that $K_S^2 \geq 0$, where K_S is the canonical class of S . Denote by $\chi(S) = 1 - h^{1,0}(S) + h^{2,0}(S)$ the algebraic Euler characteristic of the surface S and by $e(S) = 2 + h_S^{1,1} + 2h^{2,0}(S) - 4h^{1,0}(S)$ its topological Euler characteristic. Note that $h^{1,0}(S) = \dim H^0(S, \Omega_S^1) \geq 0$. By Noether formula, we have $\chi(S) = \frac{K_S^2 + e(S)}{12}$ and hence

$$h^{1,1}(S) = 10 + 10h^{2,0}(S) - 8h^{1,0}(S) - K_S^2 = 20 - 8h^{1,0}(S) - K_S^2,$$

since $h^{2,0}(S) = 1$. Therefore $h^{1,1}(S) \leq 20$. \square

It follows from Lemma 3 that in decomposition (1), the summand \mathcal{T}_{i_0}'' is non-trivial which contradicts Non-decomposability Conjecture.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aeppli A., *Modifikation von reellen und komplexen Mannigfaltigkeiten*. Comment. Math. Helv., **31** (1957), 219-301.
- [2] Clemens C.H. and Griffiths P., *The intermediate Jacobian of cubic threefold*. Ann. Math., **95** (1972), 281-356.
- [3] Deligne P., Milne J.S., Ogus A., and Shih K., *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties*. Lect. Notes Math. **900**, (1982), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [4] Griffiths P., *On periods of certain rational integrals. I, II*. Ann. Math., **90** (1969), 460-495; 496-541.
- [5] Griffiths P. and Harris J., *Principles of algebraic geometry*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, New York Chichester Brisbane Toronto, 1978.
- [6] Katz N., *Étude cohomologique des pincesaux de Lefschetz*. SGA 7, Exposé XVIII, Lect. Notes Math. **340**, (1973), 254-326, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [7] Kulikov Vik.S. and Kurchanov P.F., *Complex algebraic varieties: periods of integrals and Hodge structures*. Encyclopedia of Math. Sciences, Vol. **36**, (1998), 1-217, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [8] Tregub, S. L., *Three constructions of rationality of a cubic fourfold*. Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 39 (1984), no. 3, 8-16.

- [9] Tregub, S. L., *Two remarks on four-dimensional cubics*. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 48 (1993), no. 2(290), 201–202; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 48 (1993), no. 2, 206–208.
- [10] Voisin, C. *Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de \mathbb{P}^5* . Invent. Math., **86**, (1986), 577–601.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, GUBKINA STR., 8, 119991 MOSCOW,
RUSSIA

E-mail address: kulikov@mi.ras.ru