

A TROPICAL TOOLKIT

ERIC KATZ

ABSTRACT. We give an introduction to Tropical Geometry and prove some results in Tropical Intersection Theory. The first part of this paper is an introduction to tropical geometry aimed at researchers in Algebraic Geometry from the point of view of degenerations of varieties using projective not-necessarily-normal toric varieties. The second part is a foundational account of tropical intersection theory with proofs of some new theorems relating it to classical intersection theory.

O monumento é bem moderno.

Caetano Veloso [30]

1. INTRODUCTION

Tropical Geometry is an exciting new field of mathematics arising out of computer science. In the mathematical realm, it has been studied by Mikhalkin [19], Speyer [25], the Sturmfels school [23], Itenberg, Kharlamov, and Shustin [14], Gathmann and Markwig [12], and Nishinou and Siebert [22] among others.

This paper is an introduction to tropical geometry from the point of view of degenerations of subvarieties of a toric variety. In this respect, its approach is close to that of the Sturmfels school.

In the first part of the paper, we use not-necessarily-normal projective toric varieties to introduce standard notions such as families of degenerations, the Gröbner and fiber fans, and tropical varieties. In the second part of the paper, we give a foundational account of tropical intersection theory. We define the tropical intersection numbers, and show when tropical intersection theory computes classical intersection numbers, use tropical intersection theory to get data on deformation of subvarieties, and associate a tropical cycle to subvarieties.

We will express tropical geometry in the language of projective not-necessarily normal toric schemes over a valuation ring (see [13], Chapter 5 for such toric varieties over fields). These toric schemes give tropical degenerations. There are other constructions of toric degenerations, each analogous to different constructions of toric varieties: analogous to the fan construction as in [8] is the approach of Speyer [25]; one analogous to the construction of toric varieties by Cox rings has been partially worked out by this author; and probably the construction of toric varieties by Geometric Invariant Theory. The construction we use here has the advantage of being very immediate at the expense of some loss of generality by mandating projectivity and the loss of computability versus more constructive methods.

We have chosen in this paper to approach the material from the point of view of algebraic geometry and had to neglect the very beautiful combinatorial nature of this theory. We would

like to suggest that the reader takes a look at [23] for a more down-to-earth introduction to tropical geometry. We also point out a number of references that are more combinatorial in nature. There is the wonderful book of Gelfand, Kapranov, and Zelevinsky [13] which gives a combinatorial description of the secondary polytope among many other beautiful results, the paper of Billera and Sturmfels on fiber polytopes [3] (see also the lovely book of Ziegler [31]), the book of Sturmfels on Convex Polytopes and Gröbner Bases [27], as well as the papers [26], [15].

Many of the results from the first part are rephrased from Speyer's dissertation [25] and the general outlook is implicit in the work of Tevelev [29] which introduced the interplay between toric degenerations and tropical compactifications. Please see [7] for an explanation of the relationship between such work. We hope this piece will be helpful to other researchers.

We would like to thank Bernd Sturmfels for suggesting the connection between tropical cycles and Minkowski weights and Hannah Markwig, David Speyer, Frédéric Bihan, and Sam Payne for helpful comments and corrections.

Part 1. Tropical Geometry

2. CONVENTIONS

Let \mathcal{R} be a ring with a valuation contained in a subgroup G of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$,

$$v : \mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$

Let \mathbb{K} denote the field of fractions of \mathcal{R} . Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal $v^{-1}((0, \infty))$. Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{m}$.

There are two examples that will be most important:

- (1) $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\} = \bigcup_N \mathbb{C}((t^{\frac{1}{N}}))$, the field of formal Puiseux series, $v : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, the order map and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$.
- (2) $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}((t^{\frac{1}{N}}))$, the field of formal Laurent series in $t^{\frac{1}{N}}$, $v : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{N}$, and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$.

Note that the first choice of \mathcal{R} has the disadvantage of not being Noetherian. This is not much of a hindrance because any variety defined over \mathbb{K} in the first case can be defined over \mathbb{K} in the second case which is Noetherian. In practice, this is enough.

In either of these cases we have an inclusion $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that the composition

$$\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbf{k}$$

is the identity.

Also, for every $u \in G$, we have an element $t^u \in \mathcal{R}$ so that $v(t^u) = u$. These elements t^u have the property that

$$t^u t^v = t^{u+v}.$$

For an n -tuple, $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in G^n$, we may write t^w for $(t^{w_1}, \dots, t^{w_n}) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$. Similarly, we may write $v : (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \rightarrow G^n$ for the product of valuations.

3. TORIC SCHEMES

3.1. Toric Schemes over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$. We take the point of view of [24] and the language of toric schemes over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$. We use the not necessarily normal projective toric varieties of [13].

For $H = (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ a \mathbb{K} -torus, let $H^\wedge = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{K}^*)$ be the character lattice and $H^\vee = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{K}^*, H)$ be the one-parameter subgroup lattice. Let $H_\mathbb{R}^\wedge = \mathbb{R} \otimes H^\wedge$ and $H_\mathbb{R}^\vee = \mathbb{R} \otimes H^\vee$.

A homomorphism of tori $T \rightarrow U$ induces homomorphisms $T^\vee \rightarrow U^\vee$ and $U^\wedge \rightarrow T^\wedge$.

Definition 3.1.1. Let $H = (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{K}^*)^{N+1}/(\mathbb{K}^*) \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}_{N+1}(\mathbb{K})$ be an inclusion of groups where $(\mathbb{K}^*)^{N+1}/(\mathbb{K}^*)$ denotes the quotient by the diagonal subgroup and the last inclusion is the diagonal inclusion. Let $y \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{K}^N$. Let H_y denote the stabilizer of y in H . The toric scheme associated to (H, y) is the closure

$$Y = \overline{(H/H_y)y}.$$

Y lies in the fiber over the generic point in $\mathbb{P}_\mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$. Let \mathcal{Y} be the closure of Y in $\mathbb{P}_\mathcal{R}^N$. Let $Y_0 = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ be the special fiber.

Definition 3.1.2. If $y \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbf{k}^n \subset \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{K}^n$ for $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbb{K}$ then the toric scheme is said to be *defined over \mathbf{k}* . Alternatively, it's obtained by base-change from a toric variety defined over \mathbf{k} by the map $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ induced by the inclusion.

Example 3.1.3. Let $H = (\mathbb{K}^*)^2 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{K}^*)^4/(\mathbb{K}^*)$ be the inclusion given by

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (1, x_1, x_2, x_1x_2).$$

If $y = [1 : 1 : 1 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{K}^3$ then

$$H \cdot y = \{[1 : x_1 : x_2 : x_1x_2] \mid x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{K}^*\}.$$

The closure of the above is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ under the Segre embedding. This is defined over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 3.1.4. There is a natural map from $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ to Y given by

$$(\mathbb{K}^*)^n \longrightarrow Y$$

$$g \longmapsto g \cdot y$$

The image of the map is called *the big open torus*. If the map is an open immersion, we say our toric variety is *immersive*.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite set. Let $a : \mathcal{A} \mapsto G$ be a function which we shall call a *height function*. Let $N = |\mathcal{A}| - 1$. Number the points in \mathcal{A} by $\{1, \dots, N+1\}$. Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{N+1}) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^{N+1}$ be an element satisfying

$$v(y_i) = a(i)$$

The inclusion $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ induces a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ by

$$\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{A}} n_{\mathbf{c}} \mapsto \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{A}} n_{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{c}$$

which gives a projection of polytopes

$$\Delta^N \rightarrow \text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$$

where $\Delta^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ is the N -dimensional unit simplex. These maps induce a homomorphism of groups

$$\begin{aligned} H = (\mathbb{K}^*)^n &\rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^*)^{N+1} \\ g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) &\mapsto (g^{\chi_1}, \dots, g^{\chi_{N+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{N+1}$ is an enumeration of vectors in \mathcal{A} . We may consider the map as a homomorphism $H \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^*)^{N+1}/(\mathbb{K}^*)$ where the quotient is by the diagonal subgroup.

Let $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{A},a}$ be the toric scheme associated to H and y . Note that if $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{A} spans the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n then $Y_{\mathcal{A},a}$ is immersive.

It is a theorem that the normalization of Y is the toric variety associated to the normal fan of the polytope $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$. See [6] for details.

Definition 3.1.5. The *induced subdivision* of $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$ is given as follows. Let the *upper hull* of a be

$$\text{UH} = \text{Conv}(\{(\mathbf{c}, b) | \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{A}, b \geq a(\mathbf{c})\}).$$

The faces of UH project down to give a subdivision of $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$.

Example 3.1.6. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1), (1,1)\}$ be the vertices of a lattice square. Let a be given by

$$a(0,0) = 0, \quad a(1,0) = 0, \quad a(0,1) = 0, \quad a(1,1) = 1.$$

Then $v = [1 : 1 : 1 : t^1]$. The projection of polytopes is

$$\Delta^3 \rightarrow \text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$$

given by

$$e_1 \mapsto (0,0), \quad e_2 \mapsto (1,0), \quad e_3 \mapsto (0,1), \quad e_4 \mapsto (1,1).$$

This induces the inclusion $H \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{K}^*)^4/(\mathbb{K}^*)$ given by

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1^0 x_2^0, x_1^1 x_2^0, x_1^0 x_2^1, x_1^1 x_2^1) = (1, x_1, x_2, x_1 x_2)$$

as in Example 3.1.3. Therefore \mathcal{Y} is the closure of the image of

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto [1 : x_1 : x_2 : t x_1 x_2].$$

The fiber over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}$ is isomorphic to the closure of

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto [1 : x_1 : x_2 : x_1 x_2],$$

which is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ under the Segre embedding.

The special fiber can be seen as follows: taking the limit of (x_1, x_2) as $t \mapsto 0$, we get $[1 : x_1 : x_2 : 0]$ which is \mathbb{P}^2 ; taking the limit of $(t^{-1}x_1, t^{-1}x_2)$ as $t \mapsto 0$, we get $[0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_1 x_2]$ which is another \mathbb{P}^2 . One sees that the special fiber are two copies of \mathbb{P}^2 joined along \mathbb{P}^1 .

3.2. Weight Subdivision. There is a way of working backwards from (H, y) to \mathcal{A} and an associated subdivision of $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 3.2.1. Let V be a \mathbb{K} -vector space. The \mathbf{k} -weight decomposition is a vector space isomorphism defined over $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbb{K}$

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} V_\chi$$

where H acts on V_χ with character χ .



FIGURE 1. The subdivision and its associated complex

Lemma 3.2.2. Any \mathbb{K} -vector space V on which H acts linearly has a \mathbf{k} -weight decomposition.

Proof. See [5], Propositions 8.4 and 8.11. □

Lift $y \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^N$ to $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^{N+1}$. Write $\mathbf{y} = \sum_{\chi} \mathbf{y}_{\chi}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n | v_{\chi} \neq 0\}$. Then $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$ is called the weight polytope of Y . If $\dim V_{\chi} = 1$, set $a_{\chi} = v(\mathbf{v}_{\chi})$. Otherwise, write $\mathbf{v}_{\chi} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{v}_n$ where \mathbf{v}_i are vectors in a one-dimensional subspace on which H acts, and set $a_{\chi} = \min(v(\mathbf{v}_i))$. Take the subdivision of $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$ induced by a_{χ} which is independent of the lift y .

3.3. Dual Complex. Consider the pairing

$$T^{\wedge} \otimes T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

There is a piecewise linear function

$$F : T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$F(w) = \min_{\chi \in \mathcal{A}} (\chi \cdot w + a_{\chi}).$$

F gives a polyhedral complex structure on $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$. The top-dimensional cells are the domains of linearity of F . Each cell is given by some inequalities and equalities that express that the minimum is achieved for certain choices of $\chi \in \mathcal{A}$. This complex is dual to the subdivision. Note that the complex is integral in that the equalities and inequalities are of the form

$$\chi \cdot w = c, \quad \chi' \cdot w \leq c'$$

for χ, χ' integer vectors.

Example 3.3.1. Figure 3.1 shows the subdivision and associated complex for Example 3.1.6

Note that if $a_{\chi} = 0$ for all χ then the dual complex is the normal fan to the weight polytope.

3.4. One-parameter families.

Definition 3.4.1. Let \mathcal{Y} be a toric scheme over \mathcal{R} . Let y be a point in Y . Given $g \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$, the family associated to (g, y) is the scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ given by the closure of $g \cdot y$

Definition 3.4.2. The limit of (g, y) is the point in Y_0 given by

$$\overline{g \cdot y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$$

The limit can be worked out as follows. Lift y to $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^{N+1} \setminus \{0\}$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot \mathbf{y} &= (\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2, \dots, \tilde{y}_{N+1}) \\ &= (c_1 t^{b_1} + \dots, c_2 t^{b_2} + \dots, \dots, c_{N+1} t^{b_{N+1}} + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ is non-zero, $b_i \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and \dots denotes higher order terms. Write $b_i = \infty$ if $\tilde{y}_i = 0$. Then if

$$b = \min(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{N+1})$$

and

$$S = \{i | b_i = b\}$$

the limit lifts to $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \in (\mathbb{K})^{N+1}$ given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i = \begin{cases} c_i & \text{if } i \in S \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

3.5. Structure of $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{A},a}$. $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{A},a}$ has well-understood fibers over the generic and special point.

Definition 3.5.1. For Γ , a face of the weight polytope, let $Y^0(\Gamma) \subset Y$ be the set of all points $y \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^N$ so that their lifts $\mathbf{y} \in (\mathbb{K})^{N+1} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{y}_i = 0 \text{ if } i \notin \Gamma, \quad \mathbf{y}_i \neq 0 \text{ if } i \in \Gamma.$$

Definition 3.5.2. For Γ , a cell of the weight subdivision, let $Y_0^0(\Gamma) \subset Y_0 \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$ be the set of all points $y \in Y_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$ so that their lifts $\mathbf{y} \in (\mathbf{k})^{N+1} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{y}_i = 0 \text{ if } i \notin \Gamma, \quad \mathbf{y}_i \neq 0 \text{ if } i \in \Gamma.$$

Proposition 3.5.3.

- (1) $Y = \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{A},a} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}$ is the toric variety associated to \mathcal{A} . The non-empty faces of the weight polytope are in inclusion-preserving bijective correspondence with its torus orbits given by $\Gamma \mapsto Y^0(\Gamma)$.
- (2) The scheme $Y_0 = \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{A},a} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ is supported on the union of toric varieties associated to the top-dimensional faces of the subdivision such that the non-empty cells of the weight subdivision are in inclusion-preserving bijective correspondence with its torus orbits given by $\Gamma \mapsto Y_0^0(\Gamma)$.

Proof. (1) is Proposition 1.9 of Chapter 5 of [13]. We give the proof of (2) which is directly analogous. Elements of $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{A},a} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ are of the form

$$\overline{g \cdot y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$$

as a consequence of Lemma 4.4.3. Let $w \in T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$ be an element of the dual complex in the cell dual to Γ . Let $g = t^w$. Then, the limit $\overline{g \cdot y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ is in the orbit $Y_0^0(\Gamma)$.

Likewise, given a limit of the above form with $g \in T$, set $w = v(g)$. Let Γ be the face of the weight subdivision such that the function

$$F(\chi) = \chi \cdot w + a_\chi$$

is minimized on Γ . Then, the limit is in $Y_0^0(\Gamma)$.

It is straightforward to verify that the $Y_0^0(\Gamma)$'s are open torus orbits. \square

Part (2) of the above lemma is simply not true at the level of scheme structure. As a counterexample, take $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, 2\}$, $a(0) = 0$, $a(1) = 1$, $a(2) = 0$. Then Y_0 is a double-line in \mathbb{P}^2 . The corresponding subdivision is the single cell $[0, 2]$ whose toric variety is the reduced-induced structure on Y_0 . The construction of toric degenerations by fans in [25] is better behaved in this respect.

It is instructive to phrase the above theorem in the language of the dual complex. Given two elements $g, g' \in G$ with $v(g) = v(g')$, the limits of (g, y) and (g, y') are related by the action of an element of $(\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ and so lie in the same open torus orbit. Therefore, we may define an equivalence relation on $v(G)^n$. Two elements $w, w' \in v(G)^n$ are equivalent if for $g, g' \in G$ satisfying

$$w = v(g), \quad w' = v(g')$$

the limits of (g, y) and (g', y) lie in the same open torus orbit.

Proposition 3.5.4. $w \sim_y w'$ if and only if w and w' lie in the same cell in the dual complex associated to the toric scheme $\overline{(\mathbb{K}^*)^n \cdot y}$.

3.6. Invariant Limits.

Lemma 3.6.1. Suppose w lies in the relative interior of a m -dimensional cell of the complex. Let $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in T^\vee$ be linear independent integer vectors at w along the m -dimensional cell. Set $g = t^w$. The limit of (g, y) in Y_0 is invariant under the torus determined by u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m .

Proof. If $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{K}^{N+1} \setminus \{0\}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{y} = (c_1 t^{b_1} + \dots, c_2 t^{b_2} + \dots, \dots, c_{N+1} t^{b_{N+1}} + \dots)$$

where \dots denotes higher order terms. Then

$$\mathbf{y}' = g \cdot \mathbf{y} = (c_1 t^{\chi_1 \cdot w + b_1} + \dots, c_2 t^{\chi_2 \cdot w + b_2} + \dots, \dots, c_{N+1} t^{\chi_{N+1} \cdot w + b_{N+1}} + \dots)$$

Let $S \subseteq N+1$ be the vertices of the cell of the weight subdivision dual to the cell in the weight complex containing $v(g)$. The $v(y'_k)$ is minimized exactly at the elements of S . Likewise, if we substitute $w + u_i$ for w in the above equation, we have $v(y'_k)$ minimized exactly at $k \in S$. Therefore, for all $k, l \in S$, $\chi_k \cdot u_i = \chi_l \cdot u_i$. It follows that the limit of (g, y) is invariant under the torus given by u_i 's. \square

Suppose $v(g)$ lies in a cell of the weight complex dual to a cell S in the weight subdivision. We may make use of the map $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$ to base-change the limit

$$\overline{g \cdot y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$$

to

$$\hat{y} = (\overline{g \cdot y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}) \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}} \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}.$$

Note that this means that we should consider a limit point's coordinates as points in \mathbb{K} rather than in \mathbf{k} and take its closure.

Lemma 3.6.2. The weight subdivision of the toric scheme $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} = \overline{(\mathbb{K}^*)^n \cdot \hat{y}}$ is the cell S .

Proof. Lift y to

$$y = (c_1 t^{a_1} + \dots, c_2 t^{a_2} + \dots, \dots, c_{N+1} t^{a_{N+1}} + \dots)$$

where \dots denote higher-order terms. Then if $g = (t^{w_1}, t^{w_2}, \dots, t^{w_n})$,

$$g \cdot y = (c_1 t^{a_1 + \chi \cdot w} + \dots, c_2 t^{a_2 + \chi \cdot w} + \dots, \dots, c_{N+1} t^{a_{N+1} + \chi \cdot w} + \dots).$$

Then, if $\hat{y} = (\overline{g \cdot y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}) \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}} \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ then the following is a lift of \hat{y} :

$$\hat{y}_i = \begin{cases} c_i & \text{if } i \in S \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the weight subdivision of $\overline{(\mathbb{K}^*)^n \cdot \hat{y}}$ is exactly S . \square

The dual complex of $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ is therefore the union of all cells containing the cell dual to S .

3.7. Naturality of Dual Complexes.

Definition 3.7.1. Given two integral polyhedral complexes, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} in $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$, we say \mathcal{C} is a refinement of \mathcal{D} if the relative interior of every k -dimensional cell in \mathcal{C} is contained in the relative interior of a cell in \mathcal{D} of dimension $l \geq k$.

It is well-known that for convex polytopes P and Q with normal fans $N(P)$, $N(Q)$, $N(P)$ is a refinement of $N(Q)$ if and only if λQ is a Minkowski summand of P for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. See Proposition 1.2 of [2].

Lemma 3.7.2. Given a proper surjective $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ -equivariant morphism of n -dimensional toric schemes, $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ then the dual complex of \mathcal{X} is a refinement of \mathcal{Y} . The weight polytope of \mathcal{Y} is a Minkowski summand of \mathcal{X} .

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^N$ so that $\mathcal{X} = \overline{T \cdot x}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \overline{T \cdot f(x)}$ for (possibly different) diagonal actions of T on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^N, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^{N'}$. Write

$$\mathbf{x} = (c_1 t^{a_1} + \dots, c_2 t^{a_2} + \dots, \dots, c_{N+1} t^{a_{N+1}} + \dots)$$

where \dots denote higher order terms. Given $w \in T^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G$, consider the one-parameter family

$$\hat{x} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^w \cdot x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (c_1 t^{\chi_1 \cdot w + a_1} + \dots, \dots, c_{N+1} t^{\chi_{N+1} \cdot w + a_{N+1}} + \dots).$$

This corresponds to the limit

$$\overline{t^w \cdot x} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}.$$

Now, w lies in the relative interior of a k -dimensional cell if and only if the stabilizer of the limit is k -dimensional. $f(\hat{x})$ has a stabilizer of dimension l for $l \geq k$, and since the map is equivariant,

$$f(\hat{x}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^w \cdot f(x)$$

Therefore, w lies in the relative interior of an l -dimensional cell of the weight complex of \mathcal{Y} .

If we apply the same argument to one-parameter families of the form, for $w \in T^{\vee}$, $z \rightarrow (z^{w_1}, z^{w_2}, \dots, z^{w_n}) \cdot x$ for $z \in \mathbf{k}$ then we see that the normal fan to the weight polytope of \mathcal{X} is a refinement of the normal fan to the weight polytope of \mathcal{Y} . \square

3.8. Equivariant Inclusions. In this section we consider a projection of polytopes $p : P \rightarrow Q$.

Definition 3.8.1. Given a finite set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a function

$$a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

a projection $p : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$, let $\mathcal{B} = p(\mathcal{A})$ and define *the image height function*

$$b : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by

$$b(\psi) = \min(\{a(\chi) \mid \chi \in p^{-1}(\psi)\}).$$

The associated subdivision is the *image subdivision*.

Note that the image subdivision is dependent on the height function not just on the original subdivision. Weight polytopes and weight subdivisions are contravariant.

Lemma 3.8.2. Let $i : T \hookrightarrow U$ be an injective homomorphism of tori. Then,

$$\overline{Tv} \hookrightarrow \overline{Uv} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

is a chain of equivariant inclusions. Then the induced projection

$$i^\wedge : U^\wedge \rightarrow T^\wedge$$

takes the weight polytope and the weight subdivision of $\overline{U \cdot v}$ to those of $\overline{T \cdot v}$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

4. DEGENERATIONS

4.1. Moduli Spaces. Tropical geometry is, in a certain sense, a method of parameterizing degenerations of subvarieties of a toric variety. There are two useful moduli spaces for parameterizing degenerations, the Chow variety and the Hilbert scheme. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective toric variety whose group action extends to one on \mathbb{P}^N . Given a subvariety $X \subset Y$, with degree d in Y and Hilbert polynomial P , one may associate $\text{Chow}_d(Y) \subseteq \text{Chow}_{i*d}(\mathbb{P}^N)$, $\text{Hilb}_P(Y) \subseteq \text{Hilb}_P(\mathbb{P}^N)$. The Chow variety parameterizes algebraic cycles in Y 's class while the Hilbert scheme parameterizes schemes with Hilbert polynomial P . See [17] for an in-depth construction of both varieties. See also [13] for a discussion of the Chow variety. We will break from the usage in [17] and use Chow to denote the un-normalized Chow variety which is there called Chow' . Note that the Hilbert scheme can be constructed over an arbitrary Noetherian scheme S while there are restrictions on the base-scheme of the Chow variety.

The Chow varieties and Hilbert schemes have the following properties:

- (1) The torus action on Y induces a group action on Chow_d and Hilb_P .
- (2) Chow_d and Hilb_P are projective with the above torus action extending to ambient projective space.
- (3) There is a natural equivariant morphism $FC : \text{Hilb}_P \rightarrow \text{Chow}_d$ (see 5.4 of [21]) called the *fundamental class map* that takes a scheme to its cycle.
- (4) The Hilbert scheme possesses a universal flat family $\text{Univ}_P \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_P$.
- (5) The Hilbert scheme is natural in the sense that if $Z \rightarrow S$ is a morphism then

$$\text{Hilb}_P(X \times_S Z/Z) = \text{Hilb}_P(X/S) \times_S Z$$

While the Hilbert scheme possesses a flat family, the combinatorial structures associated with the Chow variety are much better understood.

4.2. Associated Toric Schemes. Let Y be a toric scheme in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^N$ with a torus T . Let X be a subvariety of Y with normalizer $T_X \subseteq T$. We may take the Hilbert point $[X] \in \text{Hilb}_P(Y)$ or the Chow form $R_X \in \text{Chow}_d(Y)$ and consider the two toric schemes, called the Hilbert and Chow images, respectively

$$\text{HI} = \overline{T/T_X \cdot [X]} \subseteq \text{Hilb}_P(Y), \quad \text{CI} = \overline{T/T_X \cdot R_X} \subseteq \text{Chow}_d(Y)$$

where T_X denotes the stabilizer of $[X]$ or R_X .

Definition 4.2.1. The subdivisions (in $(T/T_X)^\wedge \subseteq T^\wedge$) associated to the Hilbert and Chow quotients are called the *state subdivision* and the *secondary subdivisions*, respectively. The dual polyhedral complexes (in $(T/T_X)^\vee$) are called the *Gröbner complex* and the *Chow complex*. In the case where X and Y are defined over \mathbf{k} , these notions become the *state polytopes*, *fiber polytope*, the *Gröbner fan*, and the *fiber fan*, respectively.

There is a natural projection $p : T_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee \rightarrow (T/T_X)_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee$. We may abuse notation and use the term Gröbner or Chow complex to also denote the appropriate complex's inverse image under p .

The Gröbner complex parameterizes degenerations of a given variety and is usually defined in terms of the equivalence relation in Lemma 3.5.4.

This definition of Gröbner fan, first introduced in [1] does not quite agree with the standard one given in terms of initial ideals because of embedded points associated to the irrelevant ideal. The usual definition is a refinement of the one arrived at through our definition. In the case where X is also a toric subvariety in Y , the name fiber polytope is standard. Otherwise our usage somewhat non-standard.

Example 4.2.2. Suppose that Y is a toric scheme defined over \mathbf{k} . Let $x \in Y$ be a reduced \mathbb{K} -point. Let Γ be a face of Y 's polytope so that $x \in Y^0(\Gamma)$, the open orbit corresponding to Γ . Then HI is exactly the toric scheme associated to the polytope Γ . Therefore, the Gröbner complex is the inward normal fan to Γ . In particular if x lies in the big open torus of Y then the Gröbner complex is just the opposite of the fan of the toric variety Y translated by $-v(x)$.

Example 4.2.3. Let Y be a toric scheme defined over \mathbf{k} . Let X be the scheme-theoretic image of a map $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 \rightarrow Y$. Suppose the image lies in the big open torus and that the image of the vector is chosen generically. Let us find the weight polytope of HI. By Proposition 3.5.3, it suffices to find the vertices corresponding to the torus-fixed points in HI. The torus-fixed points in HI correspond to points with image a fixed point p of Y together with a projectivized vector along a 1-dimensional orbit E containing p . If $\text{HI} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ is a vector corresponding to a 0-dimensional orbit, then the vertex of the weight polytope of HI corresponds to the character of the action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on \mathbf{y} . Because the embedding of HI is given by the composition of the embedding of the Hilbert scheme into a Grassmannian with the Plucker embedding, the action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on \mathbf{y} is the same as the action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on $\wedge^{\text{top}}(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_p(k)))$ where k is a sufficiently large positive integer. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L_E \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_p(k)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{p_{\text{red}}}(k)) \longrightarrow 0$$

where L_E is a 1-dimensional vector space with an action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Then,

$$\wedge^{\text{top}} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_p(k)) = (\wedge^{\text{top}} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{p_{\text{red}}}(k))) \otimes L_E.$$

Therefore, the character corresponding to a fixed point of HI is the sum of the character corresponding to the reduced point in Y and that of L_E . If we set $k = 2$, the character of L_E is the sum of the characters corresponding to the two fixed points contained in E .

This gives a description of the state polytope. Let $P = \text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$ be the polytope corresponding to Y . Let Q be the convex hull of the midpoints of the edges of $2P$. Then the state polytope is normally equivalent to the Minkowski sum $2P + Q$.

The Chow variety is isomorphic to Y as points of it correspond to points of Y with multiplicity 2. The fiber polytope is consequently P . One observes that the Gröbner fan is a refinement of the fiber fan. This is an example of a general fact.

Proposition 4.2.4. The Gröbner complex is a refinement of the fiber complex.

Proof. The fundamental class map $FC : \text{HI} \rightarrow \text{CI}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.7.2. \square

For a combinatorial commutative algebra proof of the above, see [26].

4.3. Fiber Polytopes. The case where X itself is a toric variety intersecting the big open torus of Y is of particular importance. Let $H \subset T$ be a subgroup given by an inclusion $i : H^\vee \rightarrow T^\vee$. This induces a map of character lattices

$$\pi : T^\wedge \rightarrow H^\wedge$$

Let x be an element of the big open torus in Y . Let $X = \overline{H \cdot x} \subseteq Y$. If all varieties are defined over \mathbf{k} , the fiber polytope in this case is the fiber polytope $\Sigma(P, Q)$ of [3] which has a beautiful combinatorial description. In the case where $Y = \mathbb{P}^N$, the fiber polytope is called the *secondary polytope*, $\Sigma(Q)$.

The fiber polytope has a useful naturality property.

Lemma 4.3.1. If

$$P \xrightarrow{p} Q \xrightarrow{q} R$$

is a projection of polytopes then

$$\Sigma(Q, R) = p(\Sigma(P, R))$$

which implies

$$N(\Sigma(P, R))|_{p^*(\text{Span}(Q))} = p^* N(\Sigma(Q, R)).$$

Proof. Given equivariant embeddings of toric varieties over \mathbf{k}

$$(X, T) \subseteq (Y, U) \subseteq (Z, V) \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^n, (\mathbf{k}^*)^n),$$

where T, U, V are the associated tori, we have equivariant inclusions of Chow varieties

$$\text{Chow}_d(Y) \subseteq \text{Chow}_d(Z) \subseteq \text{Chow}_d(\mathbb{P}^n).$$

This induces an equivariant inclusions of toric varieties

$$\overline{U/U_X \cdot R_X} \subseteq \overline{V/V_X \cdot R_X}.$$

The lemma follows from Lemma 3.8.2 \square

Example 4.3.2. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 0 \leq i, 0 \leq j, i + j \leq d\}$. Let $e, f \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the vectors $e = (1, 0), f = (0, 1)$. Let $R = \text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\Delta^{|\mathcal{A}|-1}$ be the simplex with vertices

$$\{e_{ij} \mid (i, j) \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

considered as basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $Q = \text{Span}(\{\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d\})$ where σ, τ_i are considered as basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . There is a projection of polytopes

$$\Delta^{|\mathcal{A}|-1} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R$$

$$e_{ij} \longmapsto i\tau + \sum_{k=1}^j \sigma_k \longmapsto ie + jf$$

where the last map is given by

$$\tau \mapsto e, \quad \sigma_k \mapsto f.$$

Consider the Chow image corresponding to $Q \rightarrow R$. There is an open subset of CI corresponding to cycles of multiplicity 1. This subset is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^d and the universal family of cycles is exactly $Z[d]$ from Li [18]. In a future paper, we hope to explore the connection between tropical geometry and degeneration formulae in Gromov-Witten theory.

4.4. One-parameter families of degenerations. Below, we will suppose that the toric scheme \mathcal{Y} is defined over \mathbf{k} . Let $X \subseteq Y$ be a subvariety.

Definition 4.4.1. Let $w \in G^n$, $g = t^w \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$. Consider the subscheme of \mathcal{Y} given by $\overline{g \cdot X}$, the closure of $g \cdot X$. Define the *initial deformation* of X by

$$\text{in}_w(X) = \overline{g \cdot X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$$

Example 4.4.2. This definition specializes to the usual definition of initial ideal. Let

$$f = x_1^2 x_2 + 7x_1 x_2 x_3 + 4x_3^3 \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, x_3],$$

and let

$$w = (3, 4).$$

Then $t^w V(f)$, a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^2$ is $V(g)$ for

$$\begin{aligned} g &= (t^{-3}x_1)^2(t^{-4}x_2) + 7(t^{-3}x_1)(t^{-4}x_2)(x_3) + 4(x_3)^3 \\ &= t^{-10}x_1^2x_2 + 7t^{-7}x_1x_2x_3 + 4x_3^3 \\ &= x_1^2x_2 + 7t^3x_1x_2x_3 + 4t^{10}x_3^3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{in}_w(V(f)) = \overline{t^w \cdot V(f)} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$$

is cut out by

$$\text{in}_w(f) = x_1^2 x_2.$$

This notion of deformation of schemes can be tied to the notion of families given by pairs (g, y) . Consider the Hilbert point $[X] \in \text{Hilb}_P(Y)$. One may specialize this point in $\text{Hilb}_P(\mathcal{Y})$,

$$\overline{g \cdot [X]} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} \in \text{Hilb}_P(\mathcal{Y}) \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} = \text{Hilb}_P(Y_0).$$

This is the Hilbert point of $[\text{in}_w(X)]$. There is a scheme \mathcal{U} over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ given by pulling back the universal family to the $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ point $[\overline{X}]$. The initial degeneration of X , denoted by $\text{in}_w(X)$ is the special fiber,

$$\text{in}_w(X) = \mathcal{U} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}.$$

Now that if $X = x$ is a point then, $\text{in}_w(X) = \overline{t^w \cdot x} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$.

Every point of $\text{in}_w(X)$ occurs as a limit of the form $\overline{g \cdot x} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$.

Lemma 4.4.3. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$. If $\tilde{x} \in \text{in}_w(X)$ then there exists $x \in X$ with

$$\text{in}_w(x) \equiv \overline{t^w \cdot x} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} = \tilde{x}.$$

Proof. If $\dim X = 0$, then X has a component whose initial deformation is \tilde{x} . This component gives the desired point in X . Therefore, we may suppose $\dim X = n > 0$.

By replacing X by $t^{-w}X$ we may suppose $w = 0$. Let \overline{X} be the closure of X in \mathcal{Y} . Note that \overline{X} is flat over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{Q}$.

Pick N sufficiently large so that X is defined over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}((t^{1/N}))$. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{C}[[t^{1/N}]]$.

Let W_0 be a codimension n subvariety of $Y_0 \subset \mathbb{P}_k^N$ such that W_0 intersects

$$X_0 = \overline{X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{Q}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$$

in a 0-dimensional subscheme containing \tilde{x} . Extend W_0 to a flat integral scheme $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{Q}$ so that $\mathcal{W} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{Q}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} = W_0$ (for example, $\mathcal{W} = W_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}} \text{Spec } \mathcal{Q}$). Then, $\overline{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W}$ is a scheme, all of whose components have non-negative relative dimension (see Definition 7.1.1) over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{Q}$. The following equality holds for underlying sets

$$(\overline{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W}) \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{Q}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} = X_0 \times_{Y_0} W_0.$$

Since the scheme on the right is 0-dimensional, there are no components of $\overline{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W}$ contained in the special fiber. Therefore, the induced reduced structure on $\overline{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W}$ is flat, has relative dimension 0 and has a component of its limit supported on \tilde{x} . Let $W = \mathcal{W} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{Q}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}$. By uniqueness of flat limits, the closure of the induced reduced structure on $X \times_Y W$ in \mathcal{Y} is the induced reduced structure on $\overline{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W}$.

Therefore, we may apply the 0-dimensional case to the induced reduced structure on $X \times_Y W$.

□

4.5. Reduction to Constant Coefficient Case.

Lemma 4.5.1. If $w \in G^n$ is in the relative interior of a k -dimensional cell of the Gröbner fan of X then the closed subscheme $\text{in}_w(X)$ is invariant under a k -dimensional torus.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6.1 the Hilbert point of $\text{in}_w(X)$ is invariant under a k -dimensional torus. Therefore, the closed subscheme $\text{in}_w(X)$ is invariant under the same torus. □

Example 4.5.2. Let us develop Example 4.2.2 and examine what happens in the case of an initial deformation if X is a point in the big open torus in a toric variety Y . If $w = -v(X)$ then $t^w X$ has valuation 0 and so $\text{in}_w(X)$ is a point in the big open torus of Y_0 . Otherwise, $\text{in}_w(X)$ lies in some torus orbit. In fact, if σ is a cone in Y 's fan, and $-w - v(X) \in \sigma^\circ$ then $\text{in}_w(X)$ is a point in \mathcal{O}_σ , the open torus orbit corresponding to σ .

Example 4.5.3. Let's specialize the above example to the case where $Y = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$. Let

$$x = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbf{k}^n \subset \mathbb{K}^n \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^n.$$

If $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ where $w_i \geq 0$ and $w_i = 0$ for $i \in S$ for a set $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then,

$$\text{in}_w(x) \in \mathbb{P}(\text{Span}(e_i | i \in S)) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^n.$$

5. TROPICAL VARIETIES

5.1. Intersection of Sub-tori. We must digress to consider the intersection two sub-tori in $(\mathbf{k}^*)^n$. Let

$$G_1 = (\mathbf{k}^*)^{m_1}, G_2 = (\mathbf{k}^*)^{m_2} \hookrightarrow T = (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$$

be two injective homomorphisms with $m_1 + m_2 = n$ such that images under the induced maps $G_i^\vee \rightarrow T^\vee$ are transversal. Let $y_1, y_2 \in (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$. Let $V_i = G_i \cdot x_i$. We compute the intersection of V_1 and V_2 .

The inclusions $G_1, G_2 \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ correspond to surjections

$$T^\wedge \rightarrow G_i^\wedge$$

Let M_i be the kernel of the surjections. We may also write M_i as G_i^\perp .

Proposition 5.1.1. The number of intersection points, $|V_1 \cap V_2|$ is equal to $[T^\wedge : M_1 + M_2]$, the lattice index of $M_1 + M_2$.

Proof. The following argument is borrowed from [28], pp.32-33. Pick bases for M_1 and M_2 . V_i is cut out by the equations

$$x^{\mathbf{a}} = y_1^{\mathbf{a}}, \quad x^{\mathbf{b}} = y_2^{\mathbf{b}}$$

for $x \in (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ where \mathbf{a} ranges over the basis for M_1 and \mathbf{b} ranges over a basis for M_2 . We write the basis vectors as row vectors and concatenate them to form an $n \times n$ -matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Put this matrix in Hermitian normal form $UA = R$ where $U \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and R is an upper triangular invertible matrix. Therefore, the entries of R are

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \dots & r_{1n} \\ 0 & r_{22} & \dots & r_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finding intersection points of V_1 and V_2 amounts to solving the system

$$x_1^{r_{i1}} x_2^{r_{i2}} \dots x_n^{r_{in}} = c_i$$

for certain $c_i \in \mathbf{k}$. There are $r_{11}r_{22} \dots r_{nn} = \det(A) = [\mathbb{Z}^n : M_1 + M_2] = [T^\wedge : M_1 + M_2]$ solutions. \square

Note 5.1.2. The definition of tropical intersection numbers in [19] requires that the above intersection number be equal to $[\mathbb{Z}^n : M_1^\perp + M_2^\perp]$ where M_i^\perp is the perpendicular lattice to M_i . For the sake of completeness, we include a proof with simplifications by Frédéric Bihan that the lattice indexes are equal.

Lemma 5.1.3. Let L and M be saturated lattices in \mathbb{Z}^n of complementary rank so that $L + M$ has rank n . Then

$$[\mathbb{Z}^n : L + M] = [\mathbb{Z}^n : L^\perp + M^\perp]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L^\perp &= \ker((\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee \rightarrow L^\vee), \\ M^\perp &= \ker((\mathbb{Z}^n)^\vee \rightarrow M^\vee). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $k = \text{rank}(L)$. Let $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$ be a basis for M^\perp and $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ be a basis for L .

Claim 5.1.4.

$$[\mathbb{Z}^n : L + M] = |\det([q_i(r_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,k})|$$

Since M is saturated, we may pick a basis $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for \mathbb{Z}^n so that $\{e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis for M . Let $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ be a basis for L and form the $n \times n$ -matrix A whose column vectors are the coordinates of $f_1, \dots, f_k, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n$ with respect to the basis E . $[\mathbb{Z}^n : L + M] = |\det(A)|$. The matrix A is block lower-triangular with respect to blocks of size $k \times k$ and $(n - k) \times (n - k)$ centered at the diagonal. The lower right $(n - k) \times (n - k)$ block is the identity matrix. Therefore,

$$|\det(A)| = |\det([a_{ij}]_{i,j=1,\dots,k})| = |\det([e_i^\vee(f_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,k})|.$$

The determinant on the right is invariant under change of basis for L and M^\perp . The claim is proven.

By the claim, the lattice index in question is $|\det([q_i(r_j)])|$. Similarly, $[\mathbb{Z}^n : L^\perp + M^\perp]$ is the absolute value of the determinant of the $k \times k$ -matrix formed by letting a basis of $(L^\perp)^\perp$ act on a basis of M^\perp . Since L is saturated, $(L^\perp)^\perp = L$ so R is a basis of $(L^\perp)^\perp$. Therefore,

$$[\mathbb{Z}^n : L^\perp + M^\perp] = |\det([r_i(q_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,k})|.$$

It follows that the lattice indexes, $[\mathbb{Z}^n : L + M], [\mathbb{Z}^n : L^\perp + M^\perp]$ are equal to the absolute values of determinants of matrices that are transposes of one another. Therefore, they are equal. \square

5.2. Definition of Trop. Let \mathcal{Y} be an immersive toric scheme defined over \mathbf{k} . Let X be some subvariety of \mathcal{Y} that intersects the big open torus. Let HI be the Hilbert image induced by X . Its complex is the Gröbner complex.

Definition 5.2.1. The tropical variety of X , $\text{Trop}(X) \subset G^n$ is given by all $w \in G^n$ so that $\text{in}_w(X)$ intersects the big open torus in Y_0 .

By Proposition 3.5.4, the property of intersecting the big open torus is invariant under the action of $(\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ the tropical variety is a subcomplex of the Gröbner complex.

The tropical variety is usually given by the image under the valuation map. We show that these definitions are equivalent.

Consider the isomorphism between the big open torus of Y and $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ given by $g \mapsto g \cdot y$. This allows us to define a valuation map $v : X \cap (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \rightarrow G^n$

Lemma 5.2.2. $\text{Trop}(X)$ is equal to the image $-v(X)$.

Proof. $-v(X) \subseteq \text{Trop}(X)$: Let $x \in X \cap (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ with $-v(x) = w$. Then if $g \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$, $v(g \cdot x) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\overline{(g \cdot x)} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$$

is a point in the big open torus.

$\text{Trop}(X) \subseteq -v(X)$: If $w \in \text{Trop}(X)$, then

$$\overline{t^w \cdot X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k} \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$$

is non-empty. Let \tilde{x} be a closed point of the above. Then Lemma 4.4.3 produces a point x with $\text{in}_w(x) = \tilde{x}$. It follows that $-v(x) = w$. \square

The dimension of X and the dimension of $\text{Trop}(X)$ are related. We give a proof adapted from [28]. We begin with the case where $\text{Trop}(X)$ is zero-dimensional.

Lemma 5.2.3. If $X \subseteq (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ is a variety with $\text{Trop}(X) = 0$ then X is zero-dimensional.

Proof. Suppose X is positive dimensional. Choose a coordinate projection $p : (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ so that $p(X)$ is an infinite set. By Chevalley's theorem [20], $p(X)$ is a finite union of locally closed sets and, since it is infinite, it must be an open set. Therefore, $\text{Trop}(X)$ is bigger than a point. \square

We can reduced the general case to the above lemma.

Proposition 5.2.4. If $X \cap (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ is purely d -dimensional, so is $\text{Trop}(X)$.

Proof. Suppose $\dim \text{Trop}(X) = k$. Let w be an element of the relative interior of a top-dimensional cell of $\text{Trop}(X)$. Then w is in the relative interior of a k -dimensional cell of the Gröbner complex which is the associated complex of the toric scheme HI . Take the deformation of X given by w . Then by Lemma 3.6.1, $\text{in}_w(X)$ is invariant under a k -dimensional torus, U . Since $\text{in}_w(X)$ is a flat deformation and $\text{in}_w(X)$ intersects the big open torus, $k \leq d$ (take $x \in \text{in}_w(X)$, $(\mathbf{k}^*)^k x \subseteq \text{in}_w(X)$). Now, examine the deformation of HI to $\widehat{\text{HI}}$ for $g = (t^{w_1}, \dots, t^{w_n})$. By Lemma 3.6.2, the tropical variety of $\text{in}_w(X)$ is a k -dimensional subspace.

Let W be a variety of the form $H \cdot z$ for $H \subset (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ some torus $H \cong (\mathbf{k}^*)^{n-k}$ corresponding to a subspace in $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$ transverse to the tropical variety of $\text{in}_w(X)$. Now, by the Kleiman-Bertini theorem [16], there is a choice of z so that $\text{in}_w(X) \cap W$ is empty or of dimension $d - k$. But $\text{in}_w(X)$ contains the variety $U \cdot x$ for any $x \in \text{in}_w(X) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$. By Proposition 5.1.1, $U \cdot x$ and W must intersect. Therefore $\text{in}_w(X) \cap W$ is a $d - k$ dimensional scheme whose tropicalization is a point. By the above lemma $d = k$. \square

5.3. Multiplicities. We will apply 3.6.1. Let X be an m -dimensional subvariety of $(\mathbf{k}^*)^n$. If w is in the relative interior of an m -dimensional cell of $\text{Trop}(X)$, then $\text{in}_w(X) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ is a subscheme invariant under an m -dimensional torus. Therefore, $\text{in}_w(X) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ is supported on $\coprod_i (H \cdot p_i)$ where p_i are points in $(\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ and $H = (\mathbf{k}^*)^m$ is some m -dimensional torus. This allows us to define multiplicities on $\text{Trop}(X)$.

Definition 5.3.1. Given a top-dimensional cell σ of $\text{Trop}(X)$, let w be a point in the relative interior of σ . Decompose the underlying cycle of $\text{in}_w(X) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ as

$$[\text{in}_w(X) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n] = \sum m_i [H \cdot p_i]$$

for $(\mathbf{k}^*)^m \cong H \subset (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$, $p_i \in (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$. The *multiplicity* m_{σ} is

$$m_{\sigma} = \sum_i m_i.$$

This multiplicities are also called weights.

$\text{Trop}(X)$ obeys the following *balancing condition* first given in Theorem 2.5.1 of [25].

Definition 5.3.2. An integrally weighted m -dimensional integral polyhedral complex is said to be *balanced* if the following holds: If τ is an $m - 1$ -dimensional cell of $\text{Trop}(X)$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l$ are the m -dimensional cells adjacent to τ . The affine-linear space containing τ induces a linear projection $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}$. Let $q = \lambda(\tau)$ and $p_j = \lambda(\sigma_j)$ noting that p_j

are intervals adjacent to q . Let $v_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-m+1}$ be the primitive integer vector parallel to p_j in the direction from q , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^l m_{\sigma_j} v_j = 0.$$

We will give a proof that the balancing condition is satisfied below.

Part 2. Intersection Theory

6. MOTIVATION: BEZOUT VS. BERNSTEIN

Let us consider two curves in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ cut out by polynomials $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$. Suppose they have no component in common and we would like to bound the number of intersection points in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ counted with multiplicity.

6.1. Bezout bound. We first consider the Bezout bound. We compactify $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ to the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 . The intersection number is given by topology and is $\deg(f) \deg(g)$. This intersection bound is rigid in that it is invariant under deformations of f and g . Unfortunately, the bound is not the best because we introduced new intersections on the coordinate hyperplanes by compactifying.

Let us make this concrete by picking polynomials (all borrowed from [28]). Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= a_1 + a_2x + a_3xy + a_4y \\ g(x, y) &= b_1 + b_2x^2y + b_3xy^2. \end{aligned}$$

To consider these polynomials on \mathbb{P}^2 , we must homogenize them to

$$\begin{aligned} F(X, Y, Z) &= a_1Z^2 + a_2XZ + a_3XY + a_4YZ \\ G(X, Y, Z) &= b_1Z^3 + b_2X^2Y + b_3XY^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Bezout bound is $2 \cdot 3 = 6$. Notice that both curves contain the points $[1 : 0 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1 : 0]$. This leads Bezout's theorem to over-count the number of intersections by 2. It is impossible to remove these additional intersection points by an action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ since these points are fixed under the torus action.

6.2. Bernstein bound. Another approach is offered by Bernstein's theorem:

Theorem 6.2.1. Given Laurent polynomials

$$f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$$

with finitely many common zeroes in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, let Δ_i be the Newton polytopes of f_i . The number of common zeroes is bounded by the mixed volume of the Δ_i 's.

Bernstein's theorem can be conceptualized in the above case as follows. One can compactify $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ to a nonsingular toric variety so that the closure of the curves cut out by $f = 0$ and by $g = 0$ does not intersect any torus fixed points. For instance, one may take the toric variety whose fan is the normal fan to the Minkowski sum of the Newton polygons of f and g . One may apply a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -action to $\{f = 0\}$ to ensure that there are no intersections outside of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. By refining the fan further, we may suppose that the toric variety is smooth. Then one can bound the number of intersection points by the topological intersection number of the two curves. This reproduces the Bernstein bound.

7. INTERSECTION THEORY

7.1. Intersection Theory over discrete valuation rings. We must review some notions from [9], Chapter 20 involving intersection theory over DVR's. We will state the results for $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[[t^{\frac{1}{N}}]]$. They are true for more general choices of \mathcal{R} . In practice, given varieties defined over $\mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$, we may find a sufficient large N so that they are defined over $\mathbb{C}((t^{\frac{1}{N}}))$ and apply the results for the corresponding choice of \mathcal{R} . Let $p : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ be a scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$. Let $Y = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}$, $Y_0 = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$.

Definition 7.1.1. For $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{Y}$, a closed integral subscheme, let $T = \overline{p(\mathcal{V})}$. The *relative dimension* of \mathcal{V} is

$$\text{rdim } \mathcal{V} = \text{tr. deg.}(R(\mathcal{V})/R(T)) - \text{codim}(T, \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}).$$

Note that a point in the special fiber is of relative dimension -1 .

Most results from intersection theory remain true using this definition. In addition, there is the *specialization map*

$$\sigma : A_k(Y/\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow A_k(Y_0/\mathbf{k})$$

which is the Chow-theoretic analog of $X \rightarrow (\overline{X}) \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$.

Proposition 7.1.2. If \mathcal{Y} is smooth over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ then the specialization map is a ring homomorphism. Moreover it commutes with refined intersection product.

See Corollary 20.3 and Example 20.3.2 in [9].

7.2. Transversal Intersections. Let $V^k, W^l \subset Y^n$ be varieties of dimensions k and l where $k + l = n$. Let Y be a smooth toric variety over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}$.

Definition 7.2.1. V^k and W^l are said to *intersect properly* if $V \times_Y W$ is a zero-dimensional scheme.

Definition 7.2.2. Two tropical varieties $\text{Trop}(V)$, $\text{Trop}(W)$ are said to *intersect transversally* if they intersect in the relative interior of transversal top-dimensional cells.

Note that it is not sufficient that V and W intersect transversally for $\text{Trop}(V)$ and $\text{Trop}(W)$ to intersect transversally. In fact V and W can be disjoint while their tropicalizations intersect (or even coincide, for example, $x + y = 1$ and $x + y = 0$ in \mathbb{K}^2). However, the transversal intersection lemma of [4] does give a condition for V and W to intersect:

Lemma 7.2.3. If $\text{Trop}(V)$ and $\text{Trop}(W)$ intersect transversally at $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $w \in \text{Trop}(V \cap W)$.

Proof. Since w is in a top dimensional cell of $\text{Trop}(V)$ and of $\text{Trop}(W)$ then

$$\text{supp}(\text{in}_w(V)) = (\mathbf{k}^*)^k \cdot V_\sigma$$

$$\text{supp}(\text{in}_w(W)) = (\mathbf{k}^*)^l \cdot W_\tau$$

where supp denotes underlying sets, V_σ, W_τ are finite sets of points, and $(\mathbf{k}^*)^k, (\mathbf{k}^*)^l$ denote sub-tori. By Proposition 5.2.4 and Proposition 5.1.1, $(\text{in}_w(V) \times_{Y_0} \text{in}_w(W)) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ is non-empty and zero-dimensional. Let z be a closed point of $(\text{in}_w(V) \times_{Y_0} \text{in}_w(W)) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$. Now let $\mathcal{V} = \overline{t^w \cdot V}$, $\mathcal{W} = \overline{t^w \cdot W}$. Let \mathcal{Z} be a maximal irreducible component of $\mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W}$ containing z . Therefore, $(\mathcal{Z} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ is 0-dimensional. We claim \mathcal{Z} is not contained in the fiber over $\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}$.

Claim 7.2.4. \mathcal{Z} surjects onto $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$.

Since \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} have relative dimension k and l , respectively, each top-dimensional irreducible component $\mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W}$ must have relative dimension at least 0 and therefore cannot be contained in the special fiber as 0-dimensional subschemes.

$Z = \mathcal{Z} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{K} \subset t^w V \times_Y t^w W$ is non-empty and $\text{in}_w(t^{-w} Z) \ni z$. Therefore $V \times_Y W$ must have a point of valuation w . \square

Lemma 7.2.5. If all intersections of $\text{Trop}(V)$ and $\text{Trop}(W)$ are transversal, then $V \cap (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ and $W \cap (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ intersect properly.

Proof. Let Z be the intersection of the two varieties with the reduced induced structure. Then $\text{Trop}(Z) = \text{Trop}(V) \cap \text{Trop}(W)$ is zero-dimensional. Then Lemma 5.2.3 shows that every component of Z is zero dimensional. \square

7.3. Intersection of Tropicalizations. Let $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}$ be an n -dimensional smooth toric variety defined over \mathbf{k} . Let $V^k, W^l \subseteq Y$ be varieties of complementary dimensions such that $\text{Trop}(V)$ and $\text{Trop}(W)$ intersect in transversal top-dimensional cells, σ and τ . Let $x \in \text{Trop}(V) \cap \text{Trop}(W)$ such that $x \in \sigma_x \subseteq \text{Trop}(V)$, $x \in \tau_x \subseteq \text{Trop}(W)$. Translate σ_x and τ_x so that they contain the origin. We have an inclusion $\mathbb{R}\sigma_x \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$ which induces a projection $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\wedge} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}\sigma_x)^{\vee}$. Let M_x and N_x be the lattices defined by

$$M_x = \ker(T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\wedge} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}\sigma_x)^{\vee}) \cap T^{\wedge}$$

$$N_x = \ker(T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\wedge} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}\tau_x)^{\vee}) \cap T^{\wedge}$$

If m_x, n_y are the multiplicities of σ and τ in $\text{Trop}(V)$ and $\text{Trop}(W)$ respectively, then define the *tropical intersection number* to be

$$\deg(\text{Trop}(V) \cdot \text{Trop}(W)) = \sum_x m_x n_x [T^{\wedge} : M_x + N_x].$$

Definition 7.3.1. V intersects W in the interior if

$$V \times_Y W$$

is supported in the big open torus.

Theorem 7.3.2. If V and W intersect tropically transversally and in the interior then the tropical intersection number is equal to the classical intersection number.

Proof. Let us replace \mathbb{K} by a field $\mathbb{C}((t^{\frac{1}{N}}))$ over which V and W are defined. First note that $\text{Trop}(V \cap W) = \text{Trop}(V) \cap \text{Trop}(W)$ by the transverse intersection lemma. Decompose the intersection $V \cap W$ into a disjoint union

$$V \times_Y W = \coprod_{x \in \text{Trop}(V) \cap \text{Trop}(W)} Z_x$$

where $v(Z_x) = -x$. Now, the refined intersection product is $V \cdot W \in A_0(V \cap W) = \bigoplus A_0(Z_x)$ and the intersection number is the degree of the intersection product. Let $w \in \text{Trop}(V) \cap \text{Trop}(W)$ and set $g = t^w$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= \overline{g \cdot V} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathcal{W} &= \overline{g \cdot W} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are flat over \mathcal{R} .

Decompose the intersection of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} as

$$\mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W} = \coprod_{x \in \text{Trop}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \text{Trop}(\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{Z}_x$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}_x \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{K} = g \cdot Z_x$$

The points in $((\mathcal{V} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{W}) \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}) \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ are limits of \mathcal{Z}_w . The limit of points of any other order do not intersect the big torus. $\mathcal{Z}_w \cap (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$. Therefore, the degree of the image of the refined intersection of $\mathcal{V} \cdot \mathcal{W}$ under the projection

$$A_0(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow A_0(\mathcal{Z}_w)$$

can be computed in the special fiber by Proposition 20.3 of [9]. But this is just the intersection of $V_{\sigma_x} \times (\mathbf{k}^*)^k$ and $W_{\tau_x} \times (\mathbf{k}^*)^l$. Their intersection number is the contribution of x to the tropical intersection number by Proposition 5.1.1. Summing over x , we get the result. \square

7.4. Transversality. We will need the following technical result.

Lemma 7.4.1. If V and W intersect all torus orbits properly then there exists $\lambda \in (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$, such that $\lambda \cdot V$ intersects W properly and in the interior.

Proof. By the Kleiman-Bertini theorem [16], then there exists $U \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ such that for all $\lambda \in U$, $\lambda \cdot W$ intersects X properly and in the interior. It suffices to show that $U \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ is non-empty.

Suppose $U \cap (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ is empty. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial over \mathbb{K} so that $U_f \subseteq U$. Then $V(f)$ contains all \mathbf{k} -points. By clearing denominators, we may assume $f \in R = \mathbb{C}[[t^{\frac{1}{N}}]]$ for some N where $t^{\frac{1}{N}}$ does not divide f . Since $f = 0$ on $(\mathbf{k}^*)^n$, $f|_{t^{1/N}=0} = 0$. Therefore $f = 0$. \square

Note that $\lambda \cdot V$ and V have the same tropical variety.

7.5. Balancing Condition. In this section, we prove that for X , an m -dimensional subvariety of a toric variety Y , that $\text{Trop}(X)$ satisfies the balancing condition.

Let us first restate Lemma 2.2 of [29] whose proof is a computation in a toric chart:

Lemma 7.5.1. Let Y be a smooth toric variety given by a fan Δ . Let $X \subset Y$ be a subvariety. Then $\text{Trop}(X)$ intersects a cone σ in the fan Δ in its relative interior if and only if \overline{X} intersects $V(\sigma)$.

By refining Δ , we may ensure that Y is smooth without changing $\text{Trop}(X)$ and thereby remove the smoothness hypothesis.

Theorem 7.5.2. $\text{Trop}(X)$ satisfies the balancing condition.

Proof. For $w \in \text{Trop}(X)$ in the relative interior of an $(m-1)$ -dimensional cell τ , $\text{in}_w(X)$ is a subscheme that is invariant under an $(m-1)$ -dimensional torus. $\text{Trop}(\text{in}_w(X))$ consists of the cell τ and m -dimensional cells $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l$ adjacent to it. The multiplicities of the σ 's in $\text{Trop}(\text{in}_w(X))$ are that same as those of the corresponding cells in $\text{Trop}(X)$ by Lemma 3.6.2.

Without loss of generality, we may replace Y by a smooth toric variety whose fan refines the opposites of the Gröbner fans of $\text{in}_w(X)$ as w ranges over points in the relative interior of $(m-1)$ -dimensional cells.

Let τ be some $(m-1)$ -dimensional cell and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l$, the adjacent cells. Let w be a point in the relative interior of τ . Let V be the union of the components of $\text{in}_w(X)$ that intersect the big open torus. $\text{Trop}(V) = \text{Trop}(\text{in}_w(X))$ and by the above lemma, V intersects the torus orbits properly. Let K be the $(m-1)$ -dimensional invariant torus of V , and $p : T \rightarrow T/K$ be the quotient map. It suffices to verify the balancing condition for the image of $\text{Trop}(V)$ under the projection

$$p^\vee : T_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee \rightarrow (T/K)_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee.$$

The image of $\text{Trop}(V)$ under that map is a one-dimensional integral polyhedral complex with one vertex and l rays $\mathbb{R}_+ v'_1, \dots, \mathbb{R}_+ v'_l$ emanating from it where v'_i is a primitive integer vector and is weighted by m_{σ_i} . Let H' be a $(n-m)$ -dimensional torus in (T/K) given by an $(n-m)$ -dimensional integral subspace of $(T/K)_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee$ that does not contain any of the v'_i 's. It can be given as the kernel of a vector $h' \in (T/K)^\wedge$ for which $h(v'_i) \neq 0$. We may lift H' to an $(n-m)$ -dimensional torus H in T by taking its inverse image over p and intersecting by a codimension $(m-1)$ torus L given by a integral subspace in $T_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee$ that is transverse to the integral subspace associated to K .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H' & \longrightarrow & T/K & \equiv & T/K \end{array}$$

Lift each v'_i to an integer vector $v_i \in L$. Let $h = p^\wedge(h')$. Let $W = H \cdot z$ where z is a complex point in the big open torus of Y . Pick a rational vector $u \in L$ such that $h(u) > 0$.

Let us now compute the intersection number of $t^{cu}W$ and V in Y for different values of $c \in \mathbb{Q}$, $c \neq 0$. By Lemma 7.4.1 and Theorem 7.3.2, the intersection number is independent of c . For $c > 0$, the intersection will be in some of the σ 's, say $\sigma_{i_1}, \dots, \sigma_{i_r}$ while for $c < 0$, the intersection will be in the other σ 's, say $\sigma_{j_1}, \dots, \sigma_{j_s}$. Note that $h(v_{i_k}) > 0$ while $h(v_{j_k}) < 0$. If we compute the intersection number tropically, for an intersection point corresponding to σ_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\sigma_i} &= K^\perp \cap v_i^\perp \\ N_W &= L^\perp + h \end{aligned}$$

where $K^\perp, L^\perp \subset T_{\mathbb{R}}^\wedge$ are the subspaces orthogonal to the subspaces of $T_{\mathbb{R}}^\vee$ associated to the tori K and L . The contribution to the intersection number is

$$m_{\sigma_i} n_W [T^\wedge : M_{\sigma_i} + N_W] = m_{\sigma_i} n_W [T^\wedge : (K^\perp + L^\perp) \cap v_i^\perp + h] = m_{\sigma_i} n_W [T^\wedge : K^\perp + L^\perp] |h(v_i)|.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{l=1}^r m_{\sigma_{i_l}} h(v_{i_l}) = \sum_{l=1}^s m_{\sigma_{j_l}} (-h(v_{j_l})).$$

By varying h , we see that we have the balancing condition at τ . □

8. DEFORMATIONS OF SUBSCHEMES INTO TORUS ORBITS

This section is a generalization of the results of second section of [7]. Let Y be a smooth toric scheme defined over \mathbf{k} and $X \subseteq Y$, a purely k -dimensional closed subscheme. If w is in the relative interior of a m -dimensional cell of the Gröbner complex of X , then $\text{in}_w(X)$ is invariant under a m -dimensional torus. $\text{in}_w(X)$ has components supported in the big open torus of Y and within smaller dimensional torus orbits. In particular if w is in the interior

of an open cell of the Gröbner complex, $\text{in}_w(X)$ is invariant under $(\mathbf{k}^*)^n$. Therefore, the maximal components of $\text{in}_w(X)$ are supported on the k -dimensional torus orbits. We can use tropical geometry to determine which torus orbits.

8.1. Degree of deformations along orbit. Let σ be a codimension k cone in the fan of Y . Then $V(\sigma)$ is a k -dimensional subscheme. Let W be a codimension k subvariety of Y_0 defined over \mathbf{k} that is transversal to $V(\sigma)$. Let $d = |W \cap V(\sigma)|$.

By Lemma 7.4.1 we may assume that W intersects X in the big open torus.

Recall that by Example 4.5.2, the Gröbner complex of a point in x in the interior of Y is the translate of the opposite of Y 's defining fan by $-v(x)$. For $w \in (-\sigma^\circ - v(x))$, $\text{in}_w(x)$ is a point in \mathcal{O}_σ .

Theorem 8.1.1. Let $w \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ be a generic weight vector. The multiplicity of $\text{in}_w(X)$ along $V(\sigma)$ is

$$\frac{1}{d} \sum_x m_x n_x [T^\wedge : M_x + N_x]$$

where the intersection multiplicities correspond to the intersection of $-w + \text{Trop}(X)$ and $\text{Trop}(W)$ and the sum is over all x in σ .

Proof. By using Kleiman-Bertini and replacing W by $\lambda \cdot W$ (which does not change $\text{Trop}(W)$), we may assume that (1) both $t^{-w}W$ and W intersects X properly and in the interior and (2) W intersects any components of $\text{in}_w(X)$ not supported on $V(\sigma)$ away from $V(\sigma)$.

Then $X \times_Y (t^{-w} \cdot W)$ is a zero-dimensional scheme. Because specialization commutes with refined intersection product as in Theorem 7.3.2

$$\text{in}_w(X \cdot_Y (t^{-w} \cdot W)) = \text{in}_w(X) \cdot_{Y_0} \text{in}_w(t^{-w} \cdot W) = \text{in}_w(X) \cdot_{Y_0} W.$$

We decompose the intersection product of X and $t^{-w}W$ into contributions with different valuations as in the proof of Theorem 7.3.2. Some contributions deform to give the intersection product of $\text{in}_w(X)$ and W along the components of $\text{in}_w(X)$ supported on $V(\sigma)$. These contributions are exactly those with $-v(x) \in \sigma$ by Example 4.2.2. \square

In the case where $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$ and W is a linear subspace, this theorem reduces to Theorem 2.2 of [7]. In this case $\text{Trop}(W)$ is the union of cones of positive codimension in the fan Δ associated to \mathbb{P}^n .

Corollary 8.1.2. Let $w \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ be a generic weight vector. The multiplicity of $\text{in}_w(X)$ along $V(\sigma)$ is

$$\sum_x m_x n_x [T^\wedge : M_x + N_x]$$

where the sum is over points $x \in (-w + \text{Trop}(X)) \cap \sigma^\circ$.

9. TROPICAL CYCLES AND THE COHOMOLOGY OF TORIC MANIFOLDS

In this section, we work over a field $\mathbb{K} \supset \mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$. \mathbb{K} may be the field of the Puiseux series or the complex numbers.

9.1. Minkowski Weights. In [11], Fulton and Sturmfels gave a description of Chow cohomology of a toric variety in terms of the fan. This description is closely related to the balancing condition for tropical varieties.

Consider a normal toric variety Y given by a fan Δ of dimension n . The Chow cohomology of Y is given by Minkowski weights. Let $\Delta^{(k)}$ be the set of all cones of codimension k . For a cone $\sigma \in \Delta^{(k)}$, $\tau \in \Delta^{(k+1)}$, $\tau \subset \sigma$, let N_σ be the lattice span of σ and let $n_{\sigma,\tau}$ be an integer vector whose image generates the one-dimensional lattice N_σ/N_τ .

Definition 9.1.1. A *rational Minkowski weight of codimension k* is a function

$$c : \Delta^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

so that for every $\tau \in \Delta^{(k+1)}$ and every element $u \in \tau^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Delta^{(k)} | \sigma \supset \tau} \langle u, n_{\sigma,\tau} \rangle c(\sigma) = 0.$$

The main result of [11] is

Theorem 9.1.2. The rational Chow cohomology group $A^k(Y)$ is canonically isomorphic to the group of Minkowski weights of codimension k .

There is a formula for the cup-product in terms of Minkowski weights. The degree of a top-dimensional cup-product restricts to the definition of a top-dimensional tropical intersection product.

If $X \subset Y$ is a codimension k subvariety defined over \mathbf{k} , the function taking a cone in $\text{Trop}(X)$ to its multiplicity satisfies the balancing condition which is exactly the Minkowski weight condition.

9.2. Associated Cycles. If Y is smooth, to every cycle X of codimension k in Y , we may associate a Minkowski weight of codimension k by Poincare duality. If $\sigma \in \Delta^{(k)}$, the torus orbit $V(\sigma)$ is a regularly-embedded k -dimensional variety with open torus \mathcal{O}_σ . We may define $c(\sigma) = \deg(V(\sigma) \cdot X)$. We can extend this to more general toric varieties and subvarieties W that satisfy a certain transversality condition.

Definition 9.2.1. A subvariety $X \subset Y$ of dimension l is said to be *transversal to orbits* if

- (1) For σ a cone in Δ with $\dim \sigma > l$, $X \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma = \emptyset$.
- (2) For σ a cone in Δ with $\dim \sigma = l$, $X \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ is a 0-dimensional scheme, and the following holds: if we view σ as σ' , a top-dimensional cone in its linear span, so

$$U_\sigma = U_{\sigma'} \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-l}$$

where $U_\sigma, U_{\sigma'}$ are toric charts the composition of inclusion and projection

$$X \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma \hookrightarrow X \cap U_\sigma \hookrightarrow U_{\sigma'} \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-l} \rightarrow U_{\sigma'}$$

is étale along $X \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma$.

Theorem 9.2.2. Let X be a transversal to orbits subvariety of Y . The function

$$c : \Delta^{(n-l)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

given by

$$c(\sigma) = |X \cap \mathcal{O}_\sigma|$$

is a Minkowski weight.

Proof. Let \tilde{Y} be a smooth toric resolution of Y with fan $\tilde{\Delta}$. Then,

$$\pi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$$

is birational. Moreover, if $\tilde{\sigma} \in \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-k)}$ and σ , the smallest cone in Δ containing $\tilde{\sigma}$ satisfies $\dim(\sigma) = k$ then

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\sigma}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}$$

is an isomorphism.

Define a Minkowski weight \tilde{c} on \tilde{Y} by

$$\tilde{c}(\tilde{\sigma}) = \deg(\pi^{-1}(X) \cdot V(\tilde{\sigma}))$$

This is a well-defined Minkowski weight. By Proposition 3.7 of [11], $\tilde{c} \in \pi^* A^{n-l}(Y)$ if for $\tilde{\sigma}$ with $\dim(\tilde{\sigma}) = l$ and σ the smallest cone in Δ containing $\tilde{\sigma}$ we have

- (1) If $\dim(\sigma) > l$, $\tilde{c}(\tilde{\sigma}) = 0$.
- (2) If $\dim(\sigma) = l$, $\tilde{c}(\tilde{\sigma})$ depends only on σ . That is, if $\dim(\tilde{\sigma}) = \dim(\tilde{\tau})$, $\sigma = \tau$, and $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\tau) = l$ then $\tilde{c}(\tilde{\sigma}) = \tilde{c}(\tilde{\tau})$.

We claim that \tilde{c} defined as above satisfies these properties.

For (1), if $\dim(\tilde{\sigma}) = l$ but $\dim(\sigma) > l$ then $\pi(V(\tilde{\sigma})) \subseteq V(\sigma)$ and $\dim(V(\sigma)) < n - l$. So $X \cap V(\sigma) = \emptyset$ which implies $\pi^{-1}(X) \cap V(\tilde{\sigma}) = \emptyset$.

For (2), if $\dim(\tilde{\sigma}) = l$ but $\dim(\sigma) = l$ then

$$|\pi^{-1}(Z) \cap V(\tilde{\sigma})| = |p^{-1}(Z) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\sigma}}|$$

and we have the morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\sigma}} = 0 \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-l} & \hookrightarrow & U_{\tilde{\sigma}} = \mathbb{K}^l \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-l} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{\sigma} = 0 \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-l} & \hookrightarrow & U_{\sigma} = U_{\sigma'} \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-l} \end{array}$$

By the base-change property of smoothness

$$\pi^{-1}(X) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\sigma}} \hookrightarrow \pi^{-1}(X) \cap U_{\tilde{\sigma}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}^l \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-l} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^l$$

is smooth along $0 \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-l}$. Therefore, $\pi^{-1}(X) \cdot V(\tilde{\sigma}) = |\pi^{-1}(Z) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\sigma}}| = |Z \cap \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\sigma}}|$. \square

Definition 9.2.3. A k -dimensional *tropical cycle* is a pure k -dimensional integral polyhedral complex in \mathbb{R}^n with rational multiplicities on its top-dimensional cells that obeys the balancing condition.

Definition 9.2.4. Given a Minkowski weight $c : \Delta^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, its *associated tropical cycle* is given by the weighted union of cones in Δ given by

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta^{(k)}} c(\sigma) \sigma.$$

We may compute the tropical intersection number of tropical cycles.

Theorem 9.2.5. Given two transverse-to-orbits varieties V^k, W^l that intersect tropically transversally, the intersection number of their associated tropical cycles is equal to their tropical intersection number.

Proof. We pass to a smooth toric resolution. By using Kleiman-Bertini, we may find $z \in \mathbf{k}$ so that $z \cdot V$ and W do not intersect outside of the big open torus and all intersections are transverse. Note that $\text{Trop}(z \cdot V) = \text{Trop}(V)$. The intersection number of the associated tropical cycles is equal to the intersection pairing on their Poincaré-duals in cohomology by [11]. But this is their classical intersection number which equals $\deg(\text{Trop}(V) \cdot \text{Trop}(W))$ by Theorem 7.3.2 \square

9.3. Identification of the Associated Cycle. We show that if X is a variety defined over \mathbf{k} that intersects the torus orbits properly then we can define the associated cycle without the transversality-to-orbits condition. Let \tilde{Y} be a smooth toric resolution of Y with fan $\tilde{\Delta}$, which is a refinement of Δ . We define the associated cycle by $c(\tilde{\tau}) = \deg([X] \cdot [V(\tilde{\tau})])$. This is well-defined because $X(\tilde{\Delta})$ is smooth. A priori, this associated cycle is defined on $\tilde{\Delta}$, not Δ .

Proposition 9.3.1. If X is an k -dimensional subvariety of Y , defined over \mathbf{k} that intersects the torus orbits properly then the associated cycle of X is $-\text{Trop}(X)$.

Proof. Because X intersects the torus orbits properly, by Lemma 7.5.1, $-\text{Trop}(X)$ is supported on k -dimensional cones in Δ .

We need only show that for every $\tilde{\tau} \in \Delta^{(k)}$, the multiplicity $m_{\tilde{\tau}}$ is equal to the weight $c(\tilde{\tau})$. Let $w \in \tilde{\tau}$. Because intersection product commutes with specialization,

$$\deg([X] \cdot [V(\tilde{\tau})]) = \deg([\text{in}_w(X)] \cdot [V(\tilde{\tau})]).$$

Let $H \subset T$ be the k -dimensional sub-torus corresponding to $\tau \subset T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$. The underlying cycle of $\text{in}_w(X)$ can be decomposed as

$$[\text{in}_w(X)] = \sum m_i [H \cdot p_i] + D$$

where $p_i \in (\mathbf{k}^*)^n$ and D is disjoint from the big open torus.

We claim that D is disjoint from $V(\tilde{\tau})$. If it was not, it would have to intersect $V(\tilde{\tau})$ in a proper torus orbit. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\text{in}_w(X)$ does not intersect $V(\tilde{\sigma})$ for $\tilde{\sigma} \supset \tilde{\tau}$. If it did, as a consequence of Lemma 4.4.3, there would be $x \in X \cap (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ so that $\text{in}_w(x) \in V(\tilde{\sigma})$. By Example 4.5.2, $-v(x) - w \in \tilde{\sigma}^\circ$. Therefore, $-v(x) \in w + \tilde{\sigma}^\circ \subset \tilde{\tau} + \tilde{\sigma}^\circ \subset \tilde{\sigma}^\circ$. But we assumed that $-\text{Trop}(X)$ does not intersect $\tilde{\sigma}^\circ$ which is a cone of $\tilde{\Delta}$ of dimension greater than k .

Therefore, $c(\tilde{\tau}) = \sum m_i [H \cdot p_i] \cdot [V(\tilde{\tau})] = \sum m_i = m_{\tilde{\tau}}$. \square

Since the Chow groups of a toric variety are generated by the torus orbits and $A^k(Y) \cong \text{Hom}(A_k(Y), \mathbb{Z})$ [10], this shows that $-\text{Trop}(X)$, considered as a Minkowski weight, is the Poincaré-dual to X .

9.4. Proof of Bernstein's Theorem. For the sake of completeness, we outline a proof of Bernstein's theorem along the lines of the above section. In essence, this proof is a hybrid of the proofs given in [8] and [28]. We work over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

Given Laurent polynomials

$$f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}],$$

let Δ_i be the Newton polytope of f_i .

Lemma 9.4.1. For \tilde{f}_i a generic polynomial with Newton polytope Δ_i , the hypersurface $\{\tilde{f}_i = 0\}$ is transverse to orbits in $X(\Delta_i)$, the toric variety associated to normal fan of Δ_i .

Lemma 9.4.2. The tropical cycle c_i associated to $\overline{\{\tilde{f}_i = 0\}}$ is the union of cones of the normal fan of Δ_i of positive codimension where the codimension 1 cones are weighted by the lattice length of the dual edges of Δ_i .

Lemma 9.4.3. $\overline{\{f_i = 0\}}$ is linearly equivalent to $\overline{\{\tilde{f}_i = 0\}}$ on $X(\Delta_i)$.

The proof of Bernstein is as follows. Let Δ be a fan of nonsingular cones that refine the normal fans of the Δ_i 's. There are birational morphisms from a nonsingular variety, $p_i : X(\Delta) \rightarrow X(\Delta_i)$. By [28], the mixed volume of $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ is equal to the tropical intersection of the cycles c_i . By [11], this in turn is equal to $\deg(p_1^*c_1 \cup \dots \cup p_n^*c_n)$, which is the intersection number of $p_i^{-1}(\overline{\{f_i = 0\}})$ in $X(\Delta)$. This bounds the number of geometric intersections in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bayer and I. Morrison. Standard bases and geometric invariant theory. I. Initial ideals and state polytopes. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 6(2-3):209–217, 1988. Computational aspects of commutative algebra.
- [2] L. Billera, P. Filliman, and B. Sturmfels. Constructions and complexity of secondary polytopes. *Adv. Math.*, 83(2):155–179, 1990.
- [3] L. Billera and B. Sturmfels. Fiber polytopes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 135(3):527–549, 1992.
- [4] T. Bogart, A. Jensen, D. Speyer, B. Sturmfels, and R. Thomas. Computing tropical varieties. *math.AG/0507563*.
- [5] A. Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [6] D. Cox. Recent developments in toric geometry. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 389–436. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [7] A. Dickenstein, E. Feichtner, and B. Sturmfels. Tropical discriminants. *math.AG/0510126*.
- [8] W. Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
- [9] W. Fulton. *Intersection Theory*. Springer Verlag, 1998.
- [10] W. Fulton, R. MacPherson, F. Sottile, and B. Sturmfels. Intersection theory on spherical varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(1):181–193, 1995.
- [11] W. Fulton and B. Sturmfels. Intersection theory on toric varieties. *Topology*, 36:335–353, 1997.
- [12] A. Gathmann and H. Markwig. The Caporaso-Harris formula and plane relative Gromov-Witten invariants in tropical geometry. *math.AG/0504392*.
- [13] I.M. Gelfand, M.M. Kapranov, and A.V. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, Resultants, and Multidimensional Determinants*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- [14] I.V. Itenberg, V.M. Kharlamov, and E.I. Shustin. Logarithmic equivalence of the Welschinger and the Gromov-Witten invariants. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.*, 59(6(360)):85–110, 2004.
- [15] M. Kapranov, B. Sturmfels, and A. Zelevinsky. Quotients of toric varieties. *Math. Ann.*, 290(4):643–655, 1991.
- [16] S. Kleiman. The transversality of a general translate. *Compositio Math.*, 28:287–297, 1974.
- [17] J. Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [18] J. Li. Stable morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms. *J. Differential Geom.*, 57(3):509–578, 2001.
- [19] G. Mikhalkin. Tropical geometry and its applications. *math.AG/0601041*.
- [20] D. Mumford. *The red book of varieties and schemes*, volume 1358 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, expanded edition, 1999. Includes the Michigan lectures (1974) on curves and their Jacobians, With contributions by Enrico Arbarello.

- [21] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1994.
- [22] T. Nishinou and Siebert B. Toric degenerations of toric varieties and tropical curves. *math.AG/0409060*.
- [23] J. Richter-Gebert, B. Sturmfels, and T. Theobald. First steps in tropical geometry. In *Idempotent mathematics and mathematical physics*, volume 377 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 289–317. Amer. Math. Soc, 2005.
- [24] A.L. Smirnov. Toric schemes over a discrete valuation ring. *St. Petersburg Math J.*, 8(4):651–659, 1997.
- [25] D. Speyer. *Tropical Geometry*. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 2005.
- [26] B. Sturmfels. Gröbner bases of toric varieties. *Tohoku Math. Journal*, 43:249–261,.
- [27] B. Sturmfels. *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, volume 8 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [28] B. Sturmfels. *Solving Systems of Polynomial Equations*, volume 97 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. 2002.
- [29] J. Tevelev. Compactifications of subvarieties of tori. *American J. Math.*
- [30] C. Veloso. Tropicália. *Caetano Veloso*, 1.
- [31] G. Ziegler. *Lectures on polytopes*, volume 152 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: eekatz@math.duke.edu