EXISTENCE OF CRYSTAL BASES FOR KIRILLOV-RESHETIKHIN MODULES OF TYPE D

MASATO OKADO

1. Introduction

Let g be an a nealgebra and let $U_q^0(g)$ be the corresponding quantum a nealgebra without degree operator. Am ong irreducible nite-dimensional $U_q^0(g)$ -modules there exists a distinguished family called K irillov-R eshetikhin modules (KR modules for short). They were introduced in [KR] in connection with a certain conjectural form ula ofmultiplicities of irreducible $U_q(g_0)$ -modules in a tensor product of those modules. Here g_0 stands for the nite-dimensional simple Lie algebra whose D ynkin diagram is obtained by removing the 0-vertex, that is prescribed in [Kac], from that ofg. It is known [D, CP] that irreducible nite-dimensional $U_q^0(g)$ -modules are classied by n-tuples of polynom ials called D rinfeld polynom ials, where n is the rank of g_0 .

Let us de ne KR modules by the Drinfeld polynom ials. Let k 2 f1;2;:::;ng;12 $Z_{>0}$ and a an invertible element of Q (q). A KR module $W_{1;a}^{(k)}$ is de ned to be the unique irreducible nite-dimensional U_{α}^{0} (g)-module that has

 $P_{i}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & aq_{i}^{1-1}u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & aq_{i}^{3-1}u \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{i}a^{2}q \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{if } i = k;$ otherwise

as Drinfeld polynom ials. (See section 2.1 for the de nition of q.) When l = 1 it is also called a fundam ental representation. Since a fundam ental representation is known to have a crystal base [K 2], we choose a^{y} so that $W_{l;a^{y}}^{(k)}$ has a crystal base and rede ne $W_{l;a}^{(k)} = W_{l;a^{y}a}^{(k)}$. W $_{l;1}^{(k)}$ is also denoted simply by $W_{l}^{(k)}$.

The above-mentioned conjecturalmultiplicity formula was shown when g is nontwisted by combining two results. The rst one is the proof of certain algebraic relations among characters of KR modules, called Q-systems, presented in [N1] for simply-laced cases and in [H] for all non-twisted cases. The second one is a derivation of the multiplicity formula, called fermionic formula, in [Ki] for type A and in [HKOTY] for all non-twisted cases, by using the Q-systems. However, it deserves to emphasize that there is also a q-analogue of the conjecture, called X = M conjecture [HKOTY, HKOTT]. The de nition of X requires the existence of the crystal base of a KR module. Despite many e orts as in [KMN, KKM, Y, Ko, JMO, K2, NS, SS, BFKL], this existence problem is yet to be settled. For type D for instance, the crystal base has been shown to exist for W₁^(k) where k = 1;n 1;n;12 Z>0 in [KMN] and for W₁^(k) for arbitrary k in [K2].

In this paper we prove the following theorem , thereby settling the problem for type ${\tt D}$.

Theorem 1.1. For 2 k n 2 and 1 1, the $U_q^0 (D_n^{(1)})$ -module $W_1^{(k)}$ has a crystalpseudobase.

Here (L;B) is said to be a crystalpseudobase if (L;B=f 1q) is a crystalbase. (See De nition 2.1 for the de nition of a crystal base.) Let us give a short sketch of our proof. We follow the technique already developed in [KMN], namely, from a fundam ental representation W $_1^{(k)}$ we construct W $_1^{(k)}$ for any lby fusion construction (section 2.3), and we apply a criterion of the existence of a crystal pseudobase (P roposition 2.6) to the constructed module $W_1^{(k)}$. Practically, we need to check two conditions ((227) and (228)). Checking the second one is not di cult, if once we indicate the vectors fug correctly, whereas checking the institute requires information on the image W and the kernel N of the R-matrix R (x;y) : W $_{1;x}^{(k)}$ $W_{1:y}^{(k)} ! W_{1:y}^{(k)} W_{1:x}^{(k)}$ at x=y = q². Up to now such information was obtained by calculating the spectral decomposition of the R-m atrix when dealing with W $_{1}^{(k)}$ for higher 1. It seems to be the reason why showing the existence of crystal bases of W $_{1}^{(k)}$ for higher k has not been succeeded so far, since the calculation of the corresponding R -m atrix is too m uch com plicated. However, thanks to the result by Nakajina [N1], we are now able to identify W and N with tensor products of KR modules (Lemma 3.4). Using the crystal base of W and a property of a bilinear form between $W_{1;q}^{(k)} = W_{1;q}^{(k)}$ and $W_{1;q}^{(k)} = W_{1;q}^{(k)}$, we can check the rst condition of the criterion. It is known that a $U_q(g)$ -m odule with a connected crystal base is irreducible. Therefore, once W $_{1}^{(k)}$ is shown to have a crystal pseudobase, it follows that W $_{1}^{(k)}$ is an irreducible nite-dimensional module with the desired D rinfeld polynom ials, since it is a simple quotient of $\mathbb{W}_{1;q^{l-1}}^{(k)} = \mathbb{W}_{1;q^{3-1}}^{(k)} = \mathbb{W}_{1;q^{4-1}}^{(k)}$. A fter the author nished the manuscript, he learned from K ashiwara that the

After the author nished the manuscript, he learned from Kashiwara that the module W $_{1}^{(k)}$ can be shown to be irreducible by Theorem 9.2 of [K2]. Once it is established, the character is known by [C]. Hence it turns out that there is no need to prove the inequality of the character in (i) just after Proposition 3.7. However, this does not seem to prove that W $_{1}^{(k)}$ is isomorphic to a module of the form of V $^{1}=^{P}$ 1 $^{2}_{i=0}$ V i N V $^{(1 \ 2 \ i)}$. The author also learned from Nakajim a that the existence of a polarization on the fundam ental representation W $_{1}^{(k)}$ is shown in [N2] for type AD E and can be shown sim ilarly for any quantum a ne algebra by using the results in [BN]. Hence sim ilar calculations of the prepolarization as in section 5 w ill give a proof of the existence of crystal bases for KR m odules of other quantum a ne algebras.

2. Crystal base and fusion construction

2.1. C rystal base. In this subsection we brie y recall the de nition of crystal bases. For more details along with the de nition of $U_q(g)$, refer to [K1].

Let g be a sym m etrizable K ac-M oody Lie algebra and let M be a U_q(g)-m odule. M is said to be integrable if M = $_{2P}M$, dim M < 1 for any , and for any i, M is a union of nite-dimensional U_q(g_i)-m odules. Here P is the weight lattice of g, M is the weight space of M of weight and U_q(g_i) is the subalgebra generated by Chevalley generators e_i and f_i. If M is integrable, we have

(2.1)
$$M = f_{i}^{(n)} (K \operatorname{er} e_{i} \setminus M):$$

(2.2)
$$f_{i}(f_{i}^{(n)}u) = f_{i}^{(n+1)}u \text{ and } e_{i}(f_{i}^{(n)}u) = f_{i}^{(n-1)}u$$

for $u \ge Kere_i \setminus M$ with 0 n hh_i ; i. Similarly, we have

(2.3)
$$M = e_i^{(n)} (K \operatorname{er} f_i \setminus M):$$

These two decom positions are related as follows:

if 0 n
$$h_i$$
; i and u 2 Kere_i \ M ;
then v = $f_i^{(hh_i; i)}$ u belongs to Ker $f_i \setminus M_{s_i}$ and $f_i^{(n)}u = e_i^{(hh_i; i n)}v$:

Here s_i () = h_i ; i i. Hence we obtain

(2.4)
$$f_{i}(e_{i}^{(n)}v) = e_{i}^{(n-1)}v \text{ and } e_{i}(e_{i}^{(n)}v) = e_{i}^{(n+1)}v$$

for $v \ge K \operatorname{erf}_i \setminus M$ with 0 n h_i ; i.

Let us now look at the de nition of a crystal base. Let A be the subring of Q (q) consisting of rational functions without poles at q = 0. Let M be an integrable U_q (g)-m odule.

De nition 2.1. A pair (L;B) is called a crystal base of M if it satis es the folbwing 6 conditions:

- (2.5) L is a free sub-A -m cdule of M such that M ' Q (q) _A L,
- (2.6) B is a base of the Q -vector space L=qL,
- (2.7) e_iL L and f_iL L for any i:

By (2.7) e_i and f_i act on L=qL.

(2.8) $e_i B = B [f 0g and f_i B = B [f 0g:$

 $L = _{2P} L \text{ and } B = t_{2P} B$

where $L = L \setminus M$ and $B = B \setminus (L = qL)$.

(2.10) For b;
$$b^0 2 B$$
; $b^0 = f_i b$ if and only if $e_i b^0 = b$:

Standard notations are in order. For b 2 B we set

Here f $_{i}$ g stands for the set of fundam ental weights of g.

The crystal base behaves nicely under the tensor product. Let $(L_j;B_j)$ be the crystal base of an integrable $U_q(g)$ -module M_j (j = 1;2). Set $L = L_1 \ _A L_2$ and

 $B = fb_1 \quad b_2 \ j \ b_j \ 2 \ B_j \ (j = 1; 2)g.$ Then (L;B) is a crystal base of M₁ M₂. Moreover, the action of e_i and f_i becomes very simple as

$$(2.14) \qquad e_{i}(b_{1} \quad b_{2}) = \begin{cases} e_{i}b_{1} \quad b_{2} & \text{if } '_{i}(b_{1}) & "_{i}(b_{2}); \\ b_{1} \quad e_{i}b_{2} & \text{if } '_{i}(b_{1}) < "_{i}(b_{2}); \\ (\\ f_{i}b_{1} \quad b_{2}) & = \begin{cases} f_{i}b_{1} \quad b_{2} & \text{if } '_{i}(b_{1}) > "_{i}(b_{2}); \\ b_{1} \quad f_{i}b_{2} & \text{if } '_{i}(b_{1}) > "_{i}(b_{2}); \end{cases}$$

Here 0 b and b 0 are understood to be 0. We denote this B by $B_1 = B_2 \cdot "_i;'_i$ and wt are given by

- $(2.16) \qquad "_{i}(b_{1} \quad b_{2}) = max("_{i}(b_{1});"_{i}(b_{1}) + "_{i}(b_{2}) \quad '_{i}(b_{1}));$ $(2.17) \qquad '_{i}(b_{1} \quad b_{2}) = max('_{i}(b_{2});'_{i}(b_{1}) + '_{i}(b_{2}) \quad "_{i}(b_{2}));$
- (2.18) wt $(b_1 \ b_2) = wtb_1 + wtb_2$:

Next lemma is used later in section 4.

Lem m a 2.2. Let (L;B) be a crystal base. Assume that $e_i^3 b = f_i^3 b = 0$ for any b2B. Let v2L be such that v bm od qL. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{q}_{i} \stackrel{'_{i}(b)}{=} \mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{v} \bmod \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}_{i} \stackrel{'_{i}(b)}{=} \mathbf{L}; \\ \mathbf{f}_{i} \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{q}_{i} \stackrel{"_{i}(b)}{=} \mathbf{f}_{i} \mathbf{v} \bmod \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}_{i} \stackrel{"_{i}(b)}{=} \mathbf{L}: \end{split}$$

In particular, $e_i v = 0$ (resp. $f_i v = 0$) if $"_i (b) = 0$ (resp. $'_i (b) = 0$).

Proof. We prove the second relation. Let be the weight of v. From the assumption it su ces to check the relation for the following cases, since the other cases are trivial.

(i)
$$"_i(b) = 0; hh_i; i = 1 \text{ or } 2,$$

(ii) $"_i(b) = 0 \text{ or } 1; hh_i; i = 0.$

In case (i) we have $f_iv = f_iv$ by (2.1) and (2.2). In case (ii) let us write $v = f_iv_1 + v_2$ with v_j 2 K er $e_i \setminus L$ (j = 1;2) such that $h_i; wtv_1 i = 2; h_i; wtv_2 i = 0$. Then we have $f_iv = f_i^2v_1 = [2]_if_iv \quad q_i^{-1}f_iv \mod qq_i^{-1}L$.

The st relation can be checked similarly by using 2.3) and (2.4).

22. Polarization. We de ne a total order on Q (q) by

$$f > g$$
 if and only if $f = g 2 \int fq^n (c + qA) jc > 0g$

G

and f g if $f > g \circ f = g$.

Let M and N be $U_q(g)$ -modules. A bilinear form (;): M $_{Q(q)} N ! Q(q)$ is called an admissible pairing if it satisfies

(2.19)

$$(q^{'}u;v) = (u;q^{'}v);$$

$$(e_{i}u;v) = (u;q_{i}^{-1}t_{i}^{-1}f_{i}v);$$

$$(f_{i}u;v) = (u;q_{i}^{-1}t_{i}e_{i}v);$$

for all u 2 M and v 2 N. (2.19) in plies

(2.20) $(e_{i}^{(n)}u;v) = (u;q_{i}^{n^{2}}t_{i}^{n}f_{i}^{(n)}v); \quad (f_{i}^{(n)}u;v) = (u;q_{i}^{n^{2}}t_{i}^{n}e_{i}^{(n)}v):$

A symmetric bilinear form (;) on M is called a preportarization of M if it satisfies (2.19) for u; v 2 M. A preportarization is called a portarization if it is positive denite with respective to the order on Q (q).

2.3. Fusion construction. In what follows we assume that g is of a netype. Let P be the weight lattice, f ig the set of fundamental weights and the generator of null roots of g. Then we have $P = \frac{1}{2} Z_i Z_i Z_i We$ set

$$P_{cl} = P = Z$$
 :

Similar to the quantum algebra $U_q(g)$ which is associated with P, we can also consider $U_q^0(g)$, which is associated with P_{cl} , namely, the subalgebra of $U_q(g)$ generated by e_i ; f_i ; q^h (h 2 (P_{cl})).

Let K be a commutative ring containing Q (q) and let x be an invertible element of K. We introduce a K $_{Q(q)} U_q^0(g)$ -module V_x by replacing the actions of $e_i; f_i$ with x $^{i0}e_i; x ^{i0}f_i$. The action of q^h is not changed. Let y also be an invertible element of K. A K $_{Q(q)} U_q^0(g)$ -linear map

$$R(x;y): V_x \quad V_y \quad ! \quad V_y \quad V_x$$

is called a R-m atrix. Here we need to specify the coproduct $of U_q(g)$ we use in this paper. Our choice is the \lower" one (see [K 1]) given by

$$(q^{n}) = q^{n} \quad q^{n} \quad \text{for } h \ 2 \ (P_{cl}) ;$$

$$(e_{i}) = e_{i} \quad t_{i}^{1} + 1 \quad e_{i};$$

$$(f_{i}) = f_{i} \quad 1 + t_{i} \quad f_{i}:$$

For a nite-dimensional $U_{\alpha}^{0}(g)$ -module V we assume the following.

,

,

(2.21) V is irreducible:

(2.22) There exists
$$_{0} 2 P_{cl}$$
 such that wtV $_{0} + Z_{0}$ i and dim V $_{0} = 1$:
if 0

Here f ig is the set of simple roots. Under these assumptions it is known that there exists a unique R -m atrix up to a scalar multiple. Moreover, R (x;y) depends only on x=y. Take a non zero vector u_0 from V₀. We normalize R (x;y) in such a way that R (x;y) ($u_0 = u_0 = u_0$. It is known in [K 2] that if V is a \good" module then the normalized R -m atrix does not have a pole at x=y = a 2 A.

Next we review the fusion construction following section 3 of [KMN]. Let lbe a positive integer and S₁ the l-th symmetric group. Let s_i be the simple rejection which interchanges i and i+ 1, and let '(w) be the length of w 2 S₁. Let V be a nite-dimensional U_q^0 (g)-module. Let R (x;y) denote the R-matrix for V V. For any w 2 S₁ we construct a map R_w (x₁;:::;x₁): V_{x1} x₁ V V_{xw(1}) x_w V₁ by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1}; \dots; \mathbf{x}_{1}) &= 1; \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ R_{s_{i}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}; \dots; \mathbf{x}_{1}) &= 0 & id_{V_{x_{j}}} A & R(\mathbf{x}_{i}; \mathbf{x}_{i+1}) & 0 & id_{V_{x_{j}}} A; \\ R_{ww^{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}; \dots; \mathbf{x}_{1}) &= R_{w^{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{w(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{x}_{w(1)}) & R_{w}(\mathbf{x}_{1}; \dots; \mathbf{x}_{1}) \\ & \text{for } w; w^{0} \text{ such that } `(ww^{0}) = `(w) + `(w^{0}). \end{aligned}$$

Fix $r 2 Z_{>0}$. For each $12 Z_{>0}$, we put

$$\begin{split} R_{1} &= R_{w_{0}} \left(q^{r(1 \ 1)}; q^{r(1 \ 3)}; :::; q^{r(1 \ 1)} \right) : \\ V_{q^{r(1 \ 1)}} V_{q^{r(1 \ 3)}} q^{r(1 \ 3)}; :::; q^{q} V_{1} : V_{q^{r(1 \ 1)}} V_{q^{r(1 \ 3)}} q^{r(V_{1})}; \end{split}$$

where w_0 is the longest element of S $_1.$ Then R $_1$ is a U $_q^0(g)$ -linear hom om orphism . De ne

$$V_1 = Im R_1$$
:

Let us denote by W the im age of

$$R (q^{r}; q^{r}) : V_{q^{r}} V_{q^{r}} ! V_{q^{r}} V_{q^{r}}$$

and by N its kernel. Then we have

(2.23)
$$V_1$$
 considered as a submodule of $V^{-1} = V_{q^{-r(1-1)}}$ $q^{r}(V_{-1})$
is contained in $V^{-1} = W = V^{-(1-2-i)}$:
 $i = 0$

Sim ilarly, we have

(2.24)
$$V_1$$
 is a quotient of $V \stackrel{i}{=} V \stackrel{i}{=} N V \stackrel{(l 2 i)}{=}$:

2.4. P relim inary propositions. In this subsection, following [KMN] we de ne a prepolarization on V_1 and prepare a necessary proposition to show the main theorem . First we recall

Lem m a 2.3. Let M_j and N_j be $U_q^0(g)$ -modules and let (;)_j be an admissible pairing between M_j and N_j (j = 1;2). Then the pairing (;)between M₁ M₂ and N₁ N₂ de ned by (u₁ u₂;v₁ v₂) = (u₁;v₁)₁ (u₂;v₂)₂ for all u_j 2 M_j and v_j 2 N_j is admissible.

Let V be a nite-dimensional $U_q^0(g)$ -module satisfying (2.21),(2.22). Suppose V has a polarization. The polarization on V gives an admissible pairing between V_x and $V_{x^{-1}}$. Hence it induces an admissible pairing between V_{x_1} x_1 Vand $V_{x_1^{-1}}$ x_2 V.

Lem m a 2.4. If $x_j = x_{l+1}^{1} j$ for j = 1; :::; l, then for any $u; u^0 \ge V_{x_1} x_1 W$ we have

$$(u; R_{w_0} (x_1; \ldots; x_1)u^0) = (u^0; R_{w_0} (x_1; \ldots; x_1)u):$$

By taking $x_1 = q^{r(1-1)}$; $x_2 = q^{r(1-3)}$, etc., we obtain the adm issible pairing (;) between $W = V_{q^{r(1-1)}}$, $V_{q^{r(1-3)}}$, $V_{q^{1-1}}$, and $W^{-0} = V_{q^{-r(1-1)}}$, $V_{q^{-r(1-3)}}$ $q^{r}(V_{-1})$ that satis es

(2.25)
$$(w; R_1 w^0) = (w^0; R_1 w)$$
 for any $w; w^0 2 W$:

This allows us to de ne a preporlarization (;) on V_1 by

$$(R_1u; R_1u^0)_1 = (u; R_1u^0)$$

for $u_i u^0 2 V_{q^{r(1-1)}} V_{q^{r(1-3)}}$, $V_{(1-1)}$.

Next we introduce a Z-form of $U_{\alpha}^{0}(g)$. Recall that A is the subring of Q (q) consisting of rational functions without poles at q = 0. We introduce the subalgebras A_{z} and K_{z} of Q (q) by

$$A_{z} = ff(q)=g(q) jf(q);g(q) 2 Z [q];g(0) = 1g;$$

 $K_{z} = A_{z} [q^{-1}]:$

Then we have

$$K_Z \setminus A = A_Z; A_Z = qA_Z' Z:$$

We then de ne $U_{\alpha}^{0}(g)_{K_{z}}$ as the K_z-subalgebra of $U_{\alpha}^{0}(g)$ generated by e_{i} ; f_{i} ; q^{h} (h 2 (P_{cl})). Set $V_{K_z} = U_q^0 (g)_{K_z} u_0$ and assume

(2.26)
$$(V_{K_z})_0 = K_z u_0$$
:

Let us further set

$$(V_1)_{K_2} = R_1((V_{K_2})^{-1}) \setminus (V_{K_2})^{-1}$$

Then one can show

Proposition 2.5. (i) (;)₁ is a nondegenerate prepolarization on V_1 . (ii) $(R_1(u_0^{-1}); R_1(u_0^{-1}))_1 = 1.$ (iii) $((V_1)_{K_z}; (V_1)_{K_z})_1 \quad K_Z$.

Let I be the index set of the vertices of the Dynkin diagram of g with the vertex 0 as in [Kac]. Let g_0 be the nite-dimensional simple Lie algebra whose Dynkin diagram is obtained by removing the 0-vertex from that of g. Let \overline{P}_+ be the set of dom inant integral weights of g_0 and V () be the irreducible highest weight $U_{q}(g_{0})$ -module of highest weight for $2\overline{P}_{+}$. The following proposition, which is essentially stated in Proposition 2.6.1 and 2.6.2 of [KMN], is a key to prove the main theorem .

Proposition 2.6. Let M be a nite-dimensional integrable $U^0_{\alpha}(g)$ -module. Let (;) be a prepolarization on M , and M $_{\rm K_{2}}$ a U $_{\rm g}^{0}(g)_{\rm K_{2}}$ -submodule of M such that $(M_{K_z}; M_{K_z})$ K_z. Let 1;:::; m 2 \overline{P}_+ , and we assume the following conditions.

(2,27)

There exist $u_j 2 (M_{K_z})_j$ (j = 1;:::;m) such that $(u_j; u_k) 2_{jk} + qA$, (2.28)and $(e_iu_j;e_iu_j) 2 qq_i^{2(1+hh_i; j^i)} A$ for any i2 Inf0g.

Set L = fu 2 M j(u;u) 2 Ag and set B = fb 2 M $_{K_2} \setminus L=M_{K_2} \setminus qL j(b;b)_0 = 1g$. Here $(;)_0$ is the Q-valued symmetric bilinear form on L=qL induced by (;). Then we have the following.

- (i) (;) is a polarization on M . (ii) M ' $_{j}V$ ($_{j})$ as $U_{q}\left(g_{0}\right) \text{-m}$ odules.
- (iii) (L;B) is a crystal pseudobase of M .

MASATO OKADO

3.KR module of type D

3.1. K R module $W_1^{(k)}$. First we review the Dynkin datum of type $D_n^{(1)}$. Let I = f0;1;:::;ng be the index set of the Dynkin diagram, f $_{i}g_{i2\,I}$ the set of sim ple roots, f $_{i}g_{i2\,I}$ the set of fundam entalweights. The standard null root is given by

$$(3.1) = _{0} + _{1} + 2 _{2} + +_{n} 2 _{2} + _{n-1} + _{n}:$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{W}_{i} e \mbox{ denote the weight lattice by P, that is, P}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ {}_{i2\,I} \mbox{ Z} & i \end{bmatrix} Z \mbox{ i can be viewed as the weight lattice for D_n. Here } I_0 = I n \mbox{ f0g and } I_1 = [i] \mbox{ ai } 0 \mbox{ with } a_i \mbox{ being the coe cient of } i \mbox{ in } (3.1). \mbox{ It is som etim es useful to introduce an orthonorm albasis f}_{1;2;:::;n} \mbox{ g of } Q_{Z} \mbox{ P} \mbox{ in such a way that we have } \end{array}$

Then we have $_0 = _1 _2$. Since the lengths of the simple roots are all equal, we have $q_i = q$ for any i2 I. Hence we shall abbreviate i from $[n]_i$ or $[n]_i!$.

Let W $_1^{(k)}$ be the k-th fundamental representation of U $_q^0$ (D $_n^{(1)}$). It is known that it has the following decomposition into U $_q$ (D $_n$)-modules.

$$(32) \quad W_{1}^{(k)}, \quad V_{k}^{(k)}, \quad V_{k}^{(k)},$$

On W $_{1}^{(k)}$ the following results are known.

- P roposition 3.1. (1) W $_{1}^{(k)}$ is \good" in the sense of K ashiwara. In particular, it has a crystal base.
 - (2) $W_1^{(k)}$ has a polarization.

The second to K oga $[K \circ]$, who got the result by exploiting the fusion construction among the spin representations.

32. C rystal of $W_1^{(k)}$. W e denote the crystal of $W_1^{(k)}$ by $B^{k;1}$. W e review in this subsection Schilling's variation of K oga's result on the crystal structure of $B^{k;1}$. F irst we treat the case of k = 1. The crystal graph of $B^{1;1}$ is depicted as follows.



Here for b; $b^0 2 B^{1;1} b \stackrel{i}{!} m \text{ eans } \mathbf{f}_i b = b^0$ (, $b = \mathbf{e}_i b^0$).

Next in view of (3.2) we recall the $U_q (D_n)$ -crystal structure of B $(\overline{1})$, the crystal of $U_q (D_n)$ -module V $(\overline{1})$, by [KN]. Consider the alphabet $A = f1; 2; :::;n; \overline{n; n-1};$

:::; \overline{I} g consisting of the crystal elements of B^{1;1}. It is given the following (partial) order.

12 r	$1 \frac{n}{n}$	n 1	- 1:
------	-----------------	-----	------

Then, for $1 \ n \ 2B(_1)$ is identified with the set of columns



of height 1 satisfying

- (3.3) $m_j 6 m_{j+1}$ for j = 1; ...; 1 1;
- (3.4) if $m_a = p$ and $m_b = \overline{p}$, then dist $(p; \overline{p}) = p$.

and apply the tensor product rule of crystals on the rh.s. B (0) is realized as f g with the trivial actions of e_i ; f_i (i 2 I₀), that is, $e_i = f_i = 0$.

For 1 k n 2, we are to represent B^{k;1} as the set of column tableaux of height k satisfying (3.3). By (3.2) B^{k;1} is the union of the sets corresponding to B($_1$) with 0 1 k and 1 k (mod 2). In [S] maps from B($_1$) to column tableaux of height k were de ned. If b 2 B($_1$), then ll the column of height l of b successively by a pair ($i_j; i_j$) for 1 j (k l)=2 in the following way to obtain a column of height k. Set $i_0 = 0$. Let $i_{j-1} < i_j$ be minimal such that

- (1) neither i_i or $\overline{i_i}$ is in the column;
- (2) adding i_j and $\overline{i_j}$ to the column we have dist $(i_j; \overline{i_j})$ $i_j + j;$
- (3) adding i_j and i_j to the column, all other pairs (a;ā) in the new column with a > i_j satisfy dist(a;ā) a + j.

The lling m ap and f_i for $i \ge I_0$ commute. Denote the lling m ap to height k by F_k or simply F. Let D_k or D, the dropping m ap, be the inverse of F_k . Explicitly, given a one-column tableau of b of height k, let $i_0 = 0$ and successively nd $i_j > i_{j-1}$ m inimal such that the pair $(i_j; \overline{i_j})$ is in b and dist $(i_j; \overline{i_j})$ is j + j. D rop all such pairs $(i_j; \overline{i_j})$ from b. Thus we have

$$B^{k;1} = F_k (B(_1)) \text{ as } U_q (D_n) \text{-crystals:}$$

It is the set of all column tableaux of height k satisfying (3.3) only.

We are left to give the rule of the actions of e_0 and f_0 . For this purpose we need slight variants of F_k and D_k , denoted by F'_k and D'_k , respectively, which act on columns that do not contain $1;2;\overline{2};\overline{1}$. On these columns F'_k and D'_k are dened by replacing i ? i 2 and i ? i 2, then applying F_k and D_k , and nally replacing i ? i + 2 and i ? i + 2. The following proposition is given in [5].

Proposition 3.2. For b2 B^{k;1},

$$\mathbf{e}_{0} \mathbf{b} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_{k} \left(\mathbf{D}_{k-2}^{*} (\mathbf{x}) \right) & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 12\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{F}_{k-1} (\mathbf{x})\overline{2} & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 12\mathbf{x}\overline{2} \\ \mathbf{F}_{k-1} (\mathbf{x})\overline{1} & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 12\mathbf{x}\overline{1} \\ \mathbf{F}_{k-2} (\mathbf{x})\overline{21} & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 12\mathbf{x}\overline{21} \\ \mathbf{F}_{k-2} (\mathbf{x})\overline{21} & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 12\mathbf{x}\overline{21} \\ \mathbf{F}_{k-2} (\mathbf{x})\overline{21} & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 1\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{F}_{k-1} (\mathbf{x})\overline{1} & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 2\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}\overline{21} & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 1\mathbf{x}\overline{1} \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{k-2}^{*} (\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherw ise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{0}^{*} \mathbf{b} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{8} \\ \mathbf{F}_{k-1} (\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}\overline{21} \\ 2\mathbf{F}_{k-1}^{*} (\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 2\mathbf{x}\overline{21} \\ 1\mathbf{F}_{k-1}^{*} (\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 1\mathbf{x}\overline{21} \\ 1\mathbf{2F}_{k-2}^{*} (\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 1\mathbf{x}\overline{21} \\ 12\mathbf{F}_{k-2}^{*} (\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 12\mathbf{x}\overline{21} \\ 12\mathbf{F}_{k-2}^{*} (\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 12\mathbf{x}\overline{21} \\ \mathbf{F}_{k} (2\mathbf{D}_{k-1}^{*} (\mathbf{x})) & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}\overline{2} \\ 12\mathbf{x} & \text{if } \mathbf{b} = 1\mathbf{x}\overline{1} \text{ and } \mathbf{D}_{k-2}^{*} (\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherw ise} \end{cases}$$

where x does not contain $1;2;\overline{2};\overline{1}$.

3.3. Existence of crystal pseudobase for W $_1^{(k)}$. In this subsection we prove ourmain theorem by using Proposition 2.6. We prepare several lem m as and propositions.

Lem m a 3.3. Let R (x=y) be the R-m atrix from W $_{1;x}^{(k)}$ W $_{1;y}^{(k)}$ to W $_{1;y}^{(k)}$ W $_{1;x}^{(k)}$. Then it has the following form .

$$R(z) = P_{2_{k}} + \frac{z q^{2}}{1 q^{2} z} P_{s_{k+1}+s_{k-1}} +$$

:

Here z = x=y; $s_j = 1 + 2 + \frac{1}{2} \overline{P}_+$ for 0 j n 1, and P stands for the projector onto the irreducible $U_q(\mathcal{D}_n)$ -module V () in $(W_1^{(k)})^2$.

P roof. Let u_0 be a $U_q (D_n)$ -highest weight vector of $W_1^{(k)}$ of highest weight $k \in$ k.). Since $V (k_{k+1} + k_{k-1})$ is multiplicity free, a unique highest weight vector up to a scalar is given by

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \mathbf{f}_k \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \mathbf{q} \mathbf{f}_k \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \mathbf{u}_0$$

Since $f_i u_0 = 0$ for i2 In fkg, we have

 $F^{(1)}v = u_0 \quad F^{(1)}u_0 \quad qF^{(1)}u_0 \quad u_0$ where $F^{(1)} = f_{k+1}$ $_n \notin f_n f_{n-1}$ $_{k+} \# f_1$ $_k \notin H$ ence we have

 $F^{(2)}v = qu_0 F^{(2)}u_0 qF^{(2)}u_0 u_0 + (unwanted term s)$

where $F^{(2)} = f_2$ $_k F f^{(1)}$ and we know f_0 (unwanted term s) = 0 by weight consideration. Hence we have

$$F^{(3)}v = q^{-1}y^{-1}u_0 F^{(3)}u_0 qx^{-1}F^{(3)}u_0 u_0 \text{ on } V_x V_y$$

where $F^{(3)} = f_0 F^{(2)}$ and $V = W_1^{(k)}$. Note that $F^{(3)}u_0 = u_0$ with some $\notin 0$, since the corresponding crystal element is not killed from P roposition 3.2. Thus we have

$$F^{(3)}v = (q^{1}y^{1} qx^{1})u_{0} u_{0}$$
:

Now let

Then we have

$$R (z)F^{(3)}v = (q^{1}y^{1} qx^{1})' (z)(u_{0} u_{0})$$

= $F^{(3)}R (z)v = '^{0}(z)F^{(3)}v^{0} = (q^{1}x^{1} qy^{1})'^{0}(z)(u_{0} u_{0}):$

Here by v^0 we mean that it is considered to be in $V_y = V_x$. Thus we have

$$'^{0}(z)='(z) = \frac{z q^{2}}{1 q^{2} z}$$
:

Set $W = \text{Im } R(q^2); N = K \text{ er } R(q^2)$. They are $U_q^0(D_n^{(1)})$ -modules. Using the main result of [N1] one can show the following.

Lem m a 3.4. W e have

$$W'W_2^{(k)}; N'W_1^{(j)}$$

as $U_q^0(D_n^{(1)})$ -modules. Here j k means that the corresponding vertices are tied by an edge in the Dynkin diagram. Moreover, both W and N are irreducible.

Proof. In [N1] it is shown that there exists an exact sequence of $U_q^0 (D_n^{(1)})$ -modules

$$0 ! W_{1}^{(j)} ! W_{1;q}^{(k)} W_{1;q^{-1}}^{(k)} ! W_{2}^{(k)} ! 0:$$

(An acute reader should have noticed that the exact sequence is dimensional from $[\mbox{1}]$. It is because the denition of the KR modules and the choice of the coproduct are dimensional dimensional modules and the choice of the coproduct are dimensional modules. Moreover, it is also known that $\begin{bmatrix} N & & \\ & &$

$$\mathbb{W}_{1;q}^{(k)} = \mathbb{W}_{1;q^{-1}}^{(k)} = \mathbb{W}_{1;q^{-1}}^{0} = \mathbb{W}_{1;q}^{(k)} = \mathbb{W}_{1;q^{-1}}^{(k)} = \mathbb{W}_{1;q^{-1}}^{(k)}$$

Since the lhs. is irreducible, $N = W^0 \text{ or } W_{1,q}^{(k)} = W_{1,q}^{(k)} \text{ Since } N$ cannot be the second choice by the previous lem m a. One obtains $N = W^0$ and $W' W_2^{(k)}$.

Since W is known to be a KR module by the previous lemma, we have Lemma 3.5. As a $U_q(D_n)$ -module W has the following decomposition.

$$W' V (k_{2m_{1}} + k_{2m_{2}})$$

We set B = B^{k;1}.We x a basis fyg_{I2B} of W^(k)₁ in such a way that $v_I \mod qL = I$ as an element of B.

Proposition 3.6. N contains a vector of the form

for any I_1 ; I_2 such that $I_1 = I_2 2 B^{-2} n B_1$.

See (4.2), (4.4) for the de nition of B_1 .

We now apply the fusion construction in section 2.3 to $V = W_1^{(k)}$ with r = 1. The assumptions (2.21),(2.22) are valid with $_0 = k$. (2.26) can also be checked. O ther necessary properties are guaranteed by Proposition 3.1. For $1.2 \ Z_{>0}$ we de ne $W_1^{(k)} = \text{Im } R_1$. Let $k^0 = [k=2]$. Let $c = (c_1; c_2; :::; c_{k^0})$ be a sequence of integers such that $1 \ c_1 \ c_2 \ k^0 \ c$ 0. For such c we de ne a vector u_n (0 m k^0) in $W_1^{(k)}$ inductively by

$$(3.5) u_m = (e_{k_2m}^{(c_m)}, 2^{(c_m)}e_{1}^{(c_m)}) (e_{k_2m+1}^{(c_m)}, 3^{(c_m)}e_{2}^{(c_m)}) e_{0}^{(c_m)}u_{m-1};$$

where u_0 here is u_0^{-1} in $(W_1^{-(k)})^{-1}$. Set $u(c) = u_{k^0}$. The weight of u(c) is given by

(c) =
$$\begin{pmatrix} X^{k^{\circ}} \\ (c_{j} \\ c_{j+1}) \end{pmatrix}_{k 2j}$$
;

where we have set $c_0 = l_i c_{k^{0}+1} = 0$. For $l_i m 2 Z_0$ such that m like de ne the q-binom ial coe cient by

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ m \end{array} = \frac{[1]!}{[m]![1 m]!} \end{array}$$

 ${\rm T}$ he following proposition calculates values of prepolarizations necessary to prove the main theorem .

P roposition 3.7. (1) $(u(c);u(c))_1 = \begin{array}{c} Y^0 \\ q^{c_j(21 \ c_j)} & 21 \\ c_j \\ (2) \ (e_ju(c);e_ju(c))_1 = 0 \text{ unless } k \quad j \ 2 \ 2Z \ 0. \text{ If } k \quad j \ 2 \ 2Z \ 0, \text{ then setting} \\ p = (k \ j)=2+1 \ (e_ju(c);e_ju(c))_1 \text{ is given by} \end{array}$

$$q^{21 c_{p-1} 1} [21 c_{p-1}] q^{(c_{j-j,p})(21 c_{j})} {}^{21} j_{p};$$

P roofs of these propositions are given in subsequent sections.

- The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. From P roposition 2.6 it su ces to show
 - (i) $dhW_{1}^{(k)} \stackrel{P}{\underset{l c_{1} \\ k^{\circ} c \ 0}{}} dhV$ ((c)), where V () is the irreducible $U_{q}(D_{n}) m$ odule with highest weight and dhV stands for the form all character of V.

(ii) $(u(c); u(c^{0}))_{1} 2_{cc^{0}} + qA$ and $(e_{j}u(c); e_{j}u(c))_{1} 2 q^{1} 2^{h_{j}; (c)i}A$ for $j \in 0$. Let us show (i). First notice that $1_{c_{1}} k^{0} c^{0} chV((c)) = 0_{m_{1}} 1^{mk^{0}}$ $chV(k_{k} 2m_{1} + k_{k} 2m_{1})$. In view of (2.24) and Proposition 3.6 W (k) is a quotient of a module generated by the set of vectors

$$fv_{I_1} v_{I_2} I_1 \dot{y}_{I_1} I_{j+1} 2 B_1 \text{ for } j = 1; :::; 1 1g:$$

Assume $I_j 2 B (k_{k_{2m_{1+1}}}) B Then I_1 I_2$ 1 belongs to

$$\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{A}{\overset{1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{j}{1}{\overset{j}}{\overset{j}{1}{\overset{j}}{\overset{j}{1}{\overset{j}}{\overset{j}{1}{\overset{j}}{\overset{j}{1}{\overset{j}}{\overset{j}{$$

How ever, the above crystal is known to be identified with B ($_{k 2m_1}$ + $_{t 2m_1}$) (see Proposition 2.2.1 of [KN]). This fact verices (i).

For the proof of (ii) note that

[m]
$$2 q^{1} A;$$

n $2 q^{n(m)} A:$

If $c \in c^0$, $(u(c);u(c^0))_1 = 0$ since the weights of u(c) and $u(c^0)$ are di erent. $(u(c);u(c^0))_1 \ 2 \ 1 + qA$ by Proposition 3.7 (1). For the second part it su ces to notice that h_i ; (c) i 0. The proof is completed.

4. Proof of proposition 3.6

W e prepare several lem m as. The next one is a direct consequence of P roposition 32.

Lem m a 4.1. Suppose k 2. Set $k^0 = [k=2]$. For elem ents $b_j b^0$ in $B^{k,1}$ let $b^{e_j} b^0$ m ean $e_0 b = b^0$. Then we have the following rules of 0-actions. In (2)-(4) stands for a crystal elem ent whose explicit form is not used later. (1)

(2) Let $1 m k^0 1$.

 k^0 1;m₁ p min (m₂₁;m_s 1), where m₂₁ = (3) Let 0 $m_1 m_2$ $m_2 m_1; m_s = m_1 + m_2.$ 1 1 2 2 ÷ k m₂₁ p 1 k m₂₁ p k 2p+1 k 2p+1 e ep $\frac{k \quad 2m_1}{k \quad m_{21} \quad p} \qquad \frac{k \quad 2m_1}{k \quad m_{21} \quad p \quad 1}$ k 2m₁ $\begin{array}{cccc} k & m_{21} & p \\ \vdots & & \hline k & 2m_2 + 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \hline k & 2m_2 + 1 \end{array}$

(4) Let 1 $m_1 m_2 k^0 1; m_{21} + 1 p m_2$, where $m_{21} = m_2 m_1$. Set $m_s = m_1 + m_2$.

Lem m a 4.2. Let V be a $U_q(g_0)$ -m odule with a crystal base (L;B). Let W a submodule of V. Let fbj j j 2 Ig B. Suppose v is a vector in W such that v _____j b_j m od qL. D ecom pose I as I = I_1 t I_2 by

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \text{fj2 I } je_i b_j = 0 \text{ for any } ig; \quad I_2 &= \text{fj2 I } je_i b_j \notin 0 \text{ for som e } ig: \\ \text{Then there exits a highest weight vector w in W such that w} \quad P \\ I_2 &= I_1 b_j \text{ mod } qL. \end{split}$$

In what follows in this section, by abuse of notation we represent a basis vector $v_{\rm I}$ in W $_1^{(k)}$ also as I.

Lem m a 4.3. Let 0 m $k^0.$ A highest weight vector of V (2 $_{k-2m}$) in W is given by

Proof. A highest weight vector of V (2_k) is given by

1	1
2	2
÷	: :
k	k

Noting that W is a submodule of W $_{1,q-1}^{(k)}$ W $_{1,q}^{(k)}$, apply e_0^2 and use Lemma 2.2 and 4.1 (1). We obtain

3 4	1	1 3	1 3	1	3 4	1 3	1 3	
$q \frac{k}{\frac{k}{2}}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ + (1 + q^2) \\ k \end{array} $	$\frac{k}{1}$	$\frac{1}{k} + q$ $\frac{k}{1}$	2 : k	$\frac{k}{2}$	$\frac{k}{1}$: <u>k</u> 1	m od qL

as a vector in W . Apply further $e_{k_2}^{(2)} = {2 \choose 2} e_1^{(2)} e_{k_1}^{(2)} = {3 \choose 2} e_2^{(2)}$, one obtains

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & m \text{ od } qL \\ \frac{k}{k} \frac{1}{k} & \frac{k}{k} \frac{1}{k} \end{array}$$

as a vector in ${\tt W}$.

Form > 1, we prove by induction on m. By Lemma 22 and 4.1 (2), one has



as a vector in ${\tt W}\,$. Lem m a 4.2 com pletes the proof.

Lem m a 4.4. Let 0 m₁ m₂ k^0 . Set m₂₁ = m₂ m₁;m_s = m₁ + m₂;M = max(m₁;m₂₁). A highest weight vector of V ($_{k_{2m_1}} + \frac{}{_{k_{2m_2}}}$) in W is given by



 $\operatorname{m}\operatorname{od}\operatorname{qL}$.

Proof. We prove by induction on m_2 . The case of $m_2 = m_1$ is proved in the previous lemma. Assume $m_1 > 0$. Apply $e_{k_2} m_{2-2} = 1 e_{k_2} e_{m_2-1} = 2e_{k_2} e_{k_2} e_{$



m od qL as a vector in W. Note that the last term can be regarded as the term in the summ and of the middle term for $p = m_2 + 1$. If $m_1 > m_{21}$, the induction proceeds. If $m_1 = m_{21}$, note also that the term in the summ and of the middle term for p = M + 1 can be regarded as the one of the stream for p = M + 1.

The proof for $m_1 = 0$ is similar, but needs some attention. Note that only the rst summation survives in (1). Divide the cases into three: p = 0;1 p m_2 1; $p = m_2$ for the calculation of the action of e_0 .

The following lemma is an easy consequence of (2.25) with l = 2 and the nondegeneracy of the admissible pairing.

Lem m a 4.5. Let (;) be the admissible pairing between W $_{1/q}^{(k)}$ W $_{1/q}^{(k)}$ and W $_{1/q}^{(k)}$ W $_{1/q}^{(k)}$ induced from the poralization of W $_{1}^{(k)}$. Then u 2 N if and only if (u;v) = 0 for any v 2 W .

Now we are to prove Proposition 3.6. Set

$$b(k_{k-2m}) = 12$$
 (k m) (k m) (k 2m + 1) 2 B:

 $b(k_{k-2m})$ is a highest weight vector of V (k_{k-2m}) in W $1^{(k)}$. We denote the following subsets of B 2^{2} .

(4.2) $B_1^h = fb(k_{k-2m_2}) \quad b(k_{k-2m_1}) j0 \quad m_1 \quad m_2 \quad k^0 g;$

(4.3)
$$B_2^n = felem ents appearing in the sum m and of (4.1)gn B_1^n;$$

- (4.4) $B_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & [f_{i_m} & f_{i_1} B_a^{h} A & n f 0 g & f or a = 1;2; \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$
- (4.5) $B_3 = B^{-2} n (B_1 t B_2); B_3^h = fb 2 B_3 je_i b = 0$ for any if 0g:

Note that (4.1) always contains $b(\bar{v}_{k_{2m_2}})$ $b(\bar{v}_{k_{2m_1}})$. For $J = I_1 I_2 2 B^2$ set $v_J = v_{I_1} v_{I_2}$.

Lem m a 4.6. For any J 2 $B_2^{\,h}$ t $B_3^{\,h}$ there exists a highest weight vector $w_{\,J}\,$ in N such that

m cd qL 2 . Here J_1 is the unique element of B_1^h that has the same weight as J.

Proof. If the weight of J is not of the form of $k_{2m_1} + k_{2m_2}$, the assertion is clear. Suppose wt J = $k_{2m_1} + k_{2m_2}$. Consider a highest weight vector of the form x - x

$$v = c_{J_1} v_{J_1} + \sum_{\substack{J_2 \\ J_2}}^{\Lambda} c_{J_2} v_{J_2} + \sum_{\substack{J_3 \\ J_3}}^{\Lambda} c_{J_3} v_{J_3} + v^0:$$

Here J_1 is the unique element in B_1^h of the xed weight, $g_a \ 2 \ A$ for a = 1;2;3, the summation J_a ranges over $J_a \ 2 \ B_a^h$ that has the xed weight for a = 2;3, and v^0 is some vector in qL ². Let w be the highest weight vector (4.1) in W. From Lemma $A \ 5 \ v \ 2 \ N$ if and only if (v;w) = 0. On the other hand, we have $(v;w) \ c_{J_1} + \int_{J_2} c_{J_2} \ mod \ qA$ since (L ²;L ²) A. Thus we have

$$c_{J_1} + c_{J_2} \quad 0 \mod qA:$$

Hence for any c_{J_2} ; c_{J_3} 2 A, there exists a highest weight vector v in N such that v $_{J_2} c_{J_2} (v_{J_2} v_{J_1}) + _{J_3} c_{J_3} v_{J_3} \mod qL^2$. The assertion follows from this by setting $c_J = 1$; $c_{J^0} = 0$ for $J^0 \in J$.

Applying fi's to w_J for all J in $B_2^{\,h}$ t $B_3^{\,h}$ we obtain all weight vectors of N . Any such weight vector should have the following form

$$av_{J} + \begin{array}{c} x & x \\ C_{J} \circ V_{J} \circ + & d_{J} \circ V_{J} \circ ; \\ J^{\circ} \in J; J^{\circ} 2B_{2} t B_{3} & J^{\circ} 2B_{1} \end{array}$$

where J 2 B₂ t B₃; a 2 1 + qA; c_{J^0} 2 qA; $d_{J^{\infty}}$ 2 A. By Gaussian elimination, we obtain the desired result.

5. Proof of proposition 3.7

W e begin this section with an easy lemma.

Lem m a 5.1. Let v be a weight vector in W $_{1}^{(k)}$. If (wtv; $_{i}$) > 1 for some i 2 f1;::;ng, then v = 0.

Proof. The claim follows from the fact that (wtu; i) 1 for a nonzero weight vector u in W $_{1}^{(k)}$ and W $_{1}^{(k)}$ is a subspace of W $_{1}^{(k)}$.

The following form ula will be used frequently.

(5.1)
$$f_{i}^{(a)}e_{i}^{(b)} = \overset{m}{\overset{i}X}_{i}^{(a,b)}e_{i}^{(b-j)}f_{i}^{(a-j)}q^{a-b}t_{i}^{-1};$$

where $t_{j} = Q_{k=1}^{j} (q^{1} k t q^{k-1} t^{1}) = (q^{k} q^{k}).$

In this section we abbreviate l of the preporlarization $(;)_1$ on $W_1^{(k)}$. We also write $j_1 j_1^2$ for (u;u). Recall the de nition of u_n (3.5). wtum is given by

 $wtu_{m} = (1 \ c_{1})_{k} + (c_{1} \ c_{2})_{k} + (c_{2} \ c_{2}$

$$j\mu_{m} f = q^{c_{m} (21 c_{m})} \frac{21}{c_{m}} j\mu_{m} f$$

Proof. Since the other case is similar, we prove when k is even. Using (2.20), we have

$$j_{1m} \ j^{2} = (e_{k}^{(C_{m})} \ 1 \ e_{k}^{(C_{m})}) (e_{k}^{(C_{m})} \ 2 \ e_{0}^{(C_{m})}) u_{m} \ 1; f_{k}^{(C_{m})} u_{m}):$$

By (5.1) we obtain

(5.2)

$$f_{k \ 2m}^{(c_{m})} u_{m} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ e_{k \ 2m}^{(c_{m} \ j)} f_{k \ 2m}^{(c_{m} \ j)} & C_{m} \\ f_{k \ 2m}^{(c_{m})} & 1 \end{pmatrix} (e_{k \ 2m \ 1}^{(c_{m})} & (e_{k \ 2m \ 1}^{(c_{m})} & (e_{k \ 2m \ 1}^{(c_{m})} & 2e_{k \ 2m \ 1}^{(c_{m})}) e_{0}^{(c_{m})} u_{m \ 1}$$

Note that wtf_{k 2m} $^{(C_m j)}(e_{k 2m 1}^{(C_m)}$ $^{(C_m j)}_{1}(e_{k 2m + 1}^{(C_m j)})(e_{k 2m + 1}^{(C_m j)})(e_{k 2m + 1}^{(C_m j)})(e_{0}^{(C_m j)})(u_{m 1} = wtu_{m 1})$ (2q_{m j}) $_{k 2m}$. From Lemma 5.1 the summand in the rh.s. of (5.2) becomes 0 unless j = q_m. Hence we have

$$\mu_{m} \hat{j} = j(e_{k \ 2m \ 1}^{(c_{m})} \quad \frac{(c_{m})}{1} e^{j}) (e_{k \ 2m \ 1}^{(c_{m})} \quad \frac{(c_{m})}{2} e^{j}) e_{0}^{(c_{m})} u_{m \ 1} \hat{j}:$$

Sim ilar calculations continue until we arrive at $\mu_m \ \hat{f} = \dot{\mathbf{p}}_0^{(c_m)} u_{m-1} \hat{f}$. U sing (2.20),(5.1) and Lem m a 5.1 again, we this time have $\mu_m \ \hat{f} = q^{c_m (21 \ c_m)} \sum_{c_m}^{21} \mu_{m-1} \hat{f}$.

- Lem m a 5.3. (1) $e_j u_{k^0} = 0$ when k is even, if j k + 1 or j = 1 when k is odd.
 - (2) $\mathbf{f}_{j}\mathbf{u}_{p}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{q}^{c_{p}(21\ 1\ c_{p})\ 21\ 1}}\mathbf{q}^{c_{p}\ 1\ 1}\mathbf{g}_{p\ 1}\mathbf{p}^{r}\mathbf{f} \text{ if } \mathbf{j} = k\ 2\mathbf{p}+2; \mathbf{p}=1; \dots; \mathbf{k}^{0}.$ (3) $\mathbf{f}_{j}\mathbf{u}_{p}\mathbf{f} = 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{j} = k\ 2\mathbf{p}+1; \mathbf{p}=1; \dots; \mathbf{k}^{0}.$

(2) W hen $c_p = 0$, the equality is shown as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}_{j}\mathbf{u}_{p}\mathbf{\hat{f}} &= \mathbf{j}_{k} \quad _{2p+2}\mathbf{u}_{p-1}\mathbf{\hat{f}} \\ &= (\mathbf{u}_{p-1}; \mathbf{q}^{-1}\mathbf{t}_{k} \quad _{2p+2}\mathbf{e}_{k-2p+2}\mathbf{f}_{k-2p+2}\mathbf{u}_{p-1}) \\ &= \mathbf{q}^{c_{p-1}-1}[c_{p-1}]\mathbf{j}_{p-1}\mathbf{\hat{f}}: \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the relation $e_{k-2p+2}u_{p-1}=0$, that can be con $\mbox{ med by Lemma 5.1.}$

Now assume $c_p > 0$. In itating the proof of Lem m a 5.2, one obtains

$$\mathbf{j}_{j}\mathbf{u}_{p}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{e}_{k}^{(c_{p})} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{(c_{p})} \mathbf{e}_{0}^{(c_{p})} \mathbf{f}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2p+2}\mathbf{u}_{p-1}\mathbf{j}:$$

Next we calculate

$$q c_{p}^{c_{p}^{2}} t_{k} c_{p}^{c_{p}} t_{k} (c_{p}) f_{k}^{(c_{p})} (e_{k}^{(c_{p})} t_{2p+1}) (e_{k}^{(c_{p})} t_{2p+1}) (e_{k}^{(c_{p})} t_{2p+1}) (e_{k}^{(c_{p})} t_{2p+2} t_{2$$

Since $(wtf_{k}^{(c_p j)} = (c_p)_{2}^{(c_p)} = (c_p)_{2}^{(c_p)}$

$$\mathbf{j}_{j}\mathbf{u}_{p}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{e}_{k}^{(c_{p})}, \mathbf{2}^{(c_{p})}) = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{e}_{k}^{(c_{p})}, \mathbf{2}^{(c_{p})}) = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{e}_{0}^{(c_{p})}, \mathbf{1}^{(c_{p})}) = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{e}_{k}^{(c_{p})}, \mathbf{1}^{(c_{p})})$$

Calculating similarly, one gets $\mathbf{j}_{j}u_{p-1}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_{0}^{(c_{p})}$ f $\mathbf{j}_{p+2}u_{p-1}\mathbf{j}$. After removing $\mathbf{e}_{0}^{(c_{p})}$, we arrive at

$$f_{j}u_{p}f = q^{c_{p}(21 \ 1 \ c_{p})} \begin{array}{c} 21 \ 1 \\ f_{2} \\ c_{p} \end{array} f_{2} \qquad k \ f_{p+2}u_{p-1}f :$$

Calculating sim ilarly, we obtain

(3) The proof goes parallel to that of (2). When $c_p = 0$,

$$f_{j}u_{p}f = f_{k} 2p+1u_{p} f = 0$$

from Lemma 5.1. Assume $c_p > 0.0$ ne obtains

$$\mathbf{f}_{j}\mathbf{u}_{p}\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_{1}\mathbf{f}_{2} \qquad \mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{f}_{p+1}\mathbf{u}_{p-1=2}\mathbf{f}:$$

Noting that $f_i u_{p-1} = 0$ for $i = 1; \dots; k = 2p + 1$, we have

$$f_{1}f_{2} = k \underbrace{f_{p+1}u_{p-1=2}}_{k} = f_{1}f_{2} = k \underbrace{f_{p+1}(e_{k-2p+1}^{(c_{p})} - \underbrace{f_{p}}_{2})e_{0}^{(c_{p})}u_{p-1}}_{e}$$
$$= \underbrace{fe_{k-2p+1}^{(c_{p-1})} - \underbrace{fe_{k-2p+1}^{(c_{p}-1)}}_{2}e^{(c_{p})}u_{p-1}}_{e} = 0:$$

Here is a product of q-integers.

The proof is com plete.

Now we are in a position to prove Proposition 3.7. (1) is a simple consequence of Lemma 5.2. (2) when j = k + 1 is settled by Lemma 5.3 (1). To show when j = k note that

$$\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{j} \mathbf{u}_{k^{0}} \dot{\mathbf{j}} = (\mathbf{u}_{k^{0}}; q^{\perp} \mathbf{t}_{j}^{\perp} \mathbf{f}_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j} \mathbf{u}_{k^{0}})$$

$$= q^{2} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{f}_{j} \mathbf{u}_{k^{0}} \mathbf{f} + q^{\perp} \mathbf{j}^{\perp} [\mathbf{j}] \mathbf{j} \mathbf{u}_{k^{0}} \mathbf{f};$$

where

$$j = h_j; wtu_k i = \begin{pmatrix} C_k j \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \begin{pmatrix} C_k j \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if j } k (2); \\ 0 \qquad \qquad \text{if j } 6 k (2): \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we are left to evaluate $jf_j u_{k^0} f$. Examining the proof of Lemma 5.2 carefully, one notices that the same recursion form ula is valid when m > p, namely, one has

$$f_{j}u_{m} f = q^{c_{m}(21 c_{m})} \frac{21}{c_{n}} f_{j}u_{m-1} f \text{ form } > p:$$

The formula for $jf_ju_{k^0}f$ is obtained from this, Lemma 5.3 (2) or (3) and Lemma 5.2. Calculating explicitly we obtain (2).

Acknow ledgments

The author thanks Masaki Kashiwara, Hiraku Nakajima, Satoshi Naito and Daisuke Sagaki for stimulating discussions. He is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scienti c Research (C) 18540030, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

EXISTENCE OF CRYSTAL BASES

References

- [BN] J.Beck and H.Nakajina, Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum a nealgebras, Duke M ath.123 (2004) 335{402.
- BFKL] G.Benkart, I.Frenkel, S-J.K ang and H.Lee, Level1 perfect crystals and path realizations of basic representations at q = 0, m ath RT/0507114.
- [C] V.Chari, On the ferm ionic formula and the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture, Internat. M ath.Res.Notices 12 (2001) 629{654.
- [CP] V.Chari and A.Pressley, Quantum a ne algebras and their representations, in Representations of groups, CM S Conf. Proc. 16, Am er. M ath. Soc., Providence, RI (1995) 59{78.
- [D] V.G.Drinfel'd, A new realization of Yangians and quantized a ne algebras, Soviet m ath.D okl.32 (1988), 211{216.
- [HKOTT] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi and Z. Tsuboi, Paths, crystals and ferm ionic form ulae, M athPhys O dyssey 2001, 205{272, Prog. M ath. Phys. 23, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 2002.
- [HKOTY] G.Hatayama, A.Kuniba, M.Okado, T.Takagi, Y.Yamada, Remarks on fermionic formula, Contemporary M ath. 248 (1999) 243{291.
- $[\!H\,]$ D. Hernandez, The Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture and solution of T-systems, math_QA/0501202.
- [JM 0] N.Jing, K.C.M isra and M.Okado, q-wedge modules for quantized enveloping algebras of classical type, J. of A lg. 230 (2000) 518 (539.
- [Kac] V.G.Kac, \In nite D im ensional Lie A lgebras," 3rd ed., C am bridge U niv. P ress, C am bridge, UK, 1990.
- [K1] M.Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, D uke Math. J. 63 (1991), 465 [516.
- [K 2] M.Kashiwara, On level zero representations of quantized a ne algebras, Duke M ath.J. 112 (2002) 117{175.
- [KN] M.Kashiwara and T.Nakashima, Crystal graphs for representations of q-analogue of classical Lie algebras, J.A lgebra 163 (1994), 295(345.
- [KKM] S-J.Kang, M.Kahiwara, K.C.M isra, Crystal bases of Verma modules for quantum a ne Lie algebras, Compositio Math. 92 (1994), 299{325.
- [KMN] S-J.Kang, M.Kashiwara, K.C.Misra, T.Miwa, T.Nakashima and A.Nakayashiki, Perfect crystals of quantum a ne Lie algebras, Duke Math. J. 68 (1992) 499{607.
- [K i] A.N.K irillov, Completeness of states of the generalized Heisenberg magnet, J. Sov. M ath. 36 (1987) 115{128.
- [KR] A.N.K irillov and N.Yu.Reshetikhin, Representations of Yangians and multiplicity of occurrence of the irreducible components of the tensor product of representations of simple Lie algebras, J.Sov.M ath. 52 (1990) 3156(3164.
- [K o] Y.Koga, Levelone perfect crystals for $B_n^{(1)}$; $C_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$, J. of A lgebra 217 (1999) 312{334.
- [NS] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Path model for a level zero extrem al weight module over a quantum a ne algebra, Int. M ath. Res. Notices 32 (2003) 1731{1754.
- N1] H.Nakajima, t-analogues of q-characters of K irillov-Reshetikhin m odules of quantum a ne algebras, Represent. Theory 7 (2003) 259{274.
- [N 2] H.Nakajima, Extrem al weight modules of quantum a ne algebras, Adv. Stud. in Pure M ath. 40 (2004) 343 (369.
- [S] A.Schilling, A bijection between type D_n⁽¹⁾ crystals and rigged con gurations, J. of Algebra 285 (2005) 292{334.
- [SS] P.Stemberg and A.Schilling, Finite-dimensional crystals B^{2;s} for quantum a ne algebras of type D_n⁽¹⁾, J.A lg.Combin.23 (2006), 317{354.
- [Y] S.Yam ane, Perfect crystals of U_q (G $_2^{(1)}$), J.A lgebra 210 (1998), 440 (486.

Department of M athematical Science, G raduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan