

CORDIAL DEFICIENCY

ADRIAN RISKIN

ABSTRACT. We introduce two new measures of the noncordiality of a graph. We then calculate the values of these measures for various families of noncordial graphs. We also determine exactly which of the Möbius ladders are cordial.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Cahit [1] introduced cordial graph labelings twenty years ago, and they have remained the focus of a steady if scant stream of papers, for a summary and listing of which see Gallian [2]. We allow graphs to have multiple edges but not loops. A *binary labeling* of a graph G is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. Two real numbers x and y are *roughly equal* if $0 \leq |x - y| \leq 1$. A binary labeling is said to be *friendly* if $|f^{-1}(0)|$ is roughly equal to $|f^{-1}(1)|$. A binary labeling f of G induces a binary labeling $f_e : E(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by $f_e(uv) = f(u) + f(v)$, where the sum is calculated modulo 2. A friendly labeling of G is *cordial* when $|f_e^{-1}(0)|$ is roughly equal to $|f_e^{-1}(1)|$. After the tradition of those who author new methods of graph labeling, Cahit's seminal paper contains a number of theorems concerning the cordiality and noncordiality of various families of graphs, the most salient of which to our purpose is:

Theorem 1. *The complete graph K_n is cordial if and only if $n \leq 3$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C78.

Key words and phrases. Cordial graphs, Graph labelings.

I would like to note the contributions of my colleagues W. Michael Gentry and John Ong, who have provided me with an ongoing education in some of the topics treated in this paper.

We introduce two measures of the noncordiality of a graph. These were inspired by Kotzig's and Rosa's notion of *edge-magic deficiency* [3]. Note that every friendly labeling of a graph G can be made into a cordial labeling of a graph G' by adding no more than $|f_e^{-1}(0) - f_e^{-1}(1)| - 1$ edges between appropriate pairs of vertices so that the number of edges labeled 0 becomes roughly equal to the number of edges labeled 1 in the augmented graph G' . The minimum number of edges, taken over all friendly labelings of G , which it is necessary to add in order that G' become cordial is the *cordial edge deficiency* of G , denoted by $ced(G)$.

If it is possible to find a binary labeling of G so that $|f_e^{-1}(0)|$ and $|f_e^{-1}(1)|$ are roughly equal, then G can be made cordial by adding no more than $|f^{-1}(0) - f^{-1}(1)| - 1$ vertices, labeled appropriately. The minimum number of vertices, taken over all such binary labelings of G , which it is necessary to add in order that G' become cordial is the *cordial vertex deficiency* of G , denoted by $cvd(G)$. If there are no such binary labelings of G we say that G is *strictly noncordial*, and write $cvd(G) = \infty$.

2. RESULTS

Our first two theorems have to do with the cordial deficiencies of the complete graph.

Theorem 2. *The cordial edge deficiency of K_n for $n > 1$ is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$.*

Proof: Let f be a friendly labeling of K_n and suppose that $n = 2j$. Then $|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)| = j$. Thus $|f_e^{-1}(0)| = 2\binom{j}{2} = j^2 - j$ and $|f_e^{-1}(1)| = j^2$. The difference between them is j , and so $ced(K_n) = j - 1$. A similar calculation yields the result when $n = 2j + 1$. \square

Theorem 3. *The cordial vertex deficiency of K_n is $j - 1$ if $n = j^2 + \delta$, where $\delta \in \{-2, 0, 2\}$. Otherwise K_n is strictly noncordial.*

Proof: Let f be a binary labeling of K_n . Suppose that $|f^{-1}(0)| = \ell$, so that $|f^{-1}(1)| = n - \ell$. Then $|f_e^{-1}(0)| = \binom{\ell}{2} + \binom{n-\ell}{2}$ and $|f_e^{-1}(1)| = \ell(n - \ell)$. The difference between these is $|2\ell^2 - 2n\ell + \binom{n}{2}|$. This equals 0 if and only if $\ell = \frac{n \pm \sqrt{n}}{2}$ and it equals 1 if and only if $\ell = \frac{n \pm \sqrt{n \pm 2}}{2}$. Since ℓ is a whole number, we must have $n = j^2 + \delta$ for $\delta \in \{-2, 0, 2\}$ in order to have $|f_e^{-1}(0)|$ roughly equal to $|f_e^{-1}(1)|$. If $\delta = 0$ we have $\ell = \frac{j^2 \pm j}{2}$, in which case the difference between $|f^{-1}(0)|$ and $|f^{-1}(1)|$ is j , so that $cvd(K_n) = j - 1$. Similar calculations yield the result in the other two cases. \square

We next consider the cordiality of Möbius ladders. The Möbius ladder M_k consists of the cycle C_{2k} (the *canonical 2k-cycle*) with k additional edges (the *cross-edges*) joining opposite pairs of vertices. These graphs have a natural grid-like embedding into the Möbius strip, from which they take their name, and of which it is fruitful to think while reading the proofs which follow.

Lemma 1. *If $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ then M_k is not cordial.*

Proof: Suppose $k = 4n + 2$. Then M_k has $8n + 4$ vertices and $12n + 6$ edges. If f is a cordial labeling of M_k we have

$$\sum_{uv \in E(M_k)} f_e(uv) = 6n + 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{uv \in E(M_k)} f_e(uv) = 3 \sum_{v \in V(M_k)} f(v) = 12n + 6 \equiv 2 \pmod{2}$$

and we have obtained a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4. *If $k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $k \geq 3$ then M_k is cordial.*

Proof: The cordiality of M_3 follows from the labeling of the vertices of the canonical 6-cycle with 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0 in this order. That of M_4 from 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, and that of M_5 from 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0. Now, if we

have a cordially labeled M_k which has a cross-edge uv with $f(u) = f(v) = 1$ then it is possible to separate the labeled graph along this edge, obtaining a (non-cordially) labeled copy of $P_2 \times P_k$ in the process, and do the same with a cordially labeled copy of M_4 , which can then be grafted into the modified M_k with an appropriate twist, yielding a cordially labeled copy of M_{k+4} . Since all three of the labelings given above have such a cross-edge, the result follows by induction. \square

Theorem 5. *If $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $k \geq 6$ we have $ced(M_k) = cvd(M_k) = 1$.*

Proof: Consider the friendly labeling of M_6 obtained by assigning the labels 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 in this order to the vertices of the canonical 12-cycle. This labeling has $|f_e^{-1}(0)| = 10$ and $|f_e^{-1}(1)| = 8$. Hence $ced(M_6) = 1$. The fact that $ced(M_k) = 1$ follows by induction exactly as in the proof of Theorem 4. The result for $cvd(M_k)$ follows by the same method using the binary labeling 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0. \square

The *wheel graph* W_n is obtained from the cycle C_n (the *canonical n -cycle*) by adding another vertex (the *central vertex*) and joining it to the n vertices of the canonical n -cycle. The n edges incident with the central vertex are called *central edges* and the other n edges are called *cycle edges*. Cahit [1] showed that W_k is cordial if and only if $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and that C_n is cordial if and only if $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 6. *If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $ced(W_k) = cvd(W_k) = 1$.*

Proof: If $n = 4k + 3$ then $|V(W_n)| = 4k + 4$ and $|E(W_n)| = 8k + 6$. Let f be a friendly labeling of W_n . Note that we may assume without loss of generality that if w is the central vertex then $f(w) = 0$. This leaves $2k + 1$ cycle vertices labeled 0 and $2k + 2$ labeled 1, which in turn yields $2k + 1$ central edges labeled 0 and $2k + 2$ labeled 1. Since C_n is cordial, it is possible to arrange the vertex labels on the canonical n -cycle so that $|f_{e^*}^{-1}(0)|$ is roughly equal to $|f_{e^*}^{-1}(1)|$, where f_{e^*} represents the restriction of

f_e to cycle edges. This implies that $|f_{e^*}^{-1}(0)| = 2k + 1$ and $|f_{e^*}^{-1}(1)| = 2k + 2$, since otherwise we'd have a cordial labeling of W_n . Therefore $ced(W_n) = 1$.

Now, if we begin with a cordial labeling of the canonical n -cycle then we may assume without loss of generality that we have $2k + 1$ cycle vertices labeled 0 and $2k + 2$ labeled 1. As above this implies that we have $2k + 1$ cycle edges labeled 0 and $2k + 2$ labeled 1. Hence if we label the central vertex with 1, we obtain a binary labeling f in which $|f_e^{-1}(0)| = |f_e^{-1}(1)| = 4k + 3$, and in which $|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)| - 2$. The result follows immediately. \square

3. REFERENCES

- (1) Cahit, I.; Cordial graphs: a weaker version of graceful and harmonious graphs. *Ars Combin.* 23(1987) 201-207.
- (2) Gallian, J. A.; A dynamic survey of graph labeling. *Electronic J. Combin.* DS6. <http://www.combinatorics.org/Surveys/index.html>
- (3) Kotzig, A. and Rosa, A.; Magic valuations of finite graphs. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 13(1970) 451-461.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MARY BALDWIN COLLEGE, STAUNTON, VIRGINIA
24401, USA

E-mail address: ariskin@mbc.edu