

CLUSTER CATEGORIES, SELF-INJECTIVE ALGEBRAS, AND STABLE CALABI-YAU DIMENSIONS: TYPE A

THORSTEN HOLM AND PETER JØRGENSEN

ABSTRACT. We show that the stable module categories of certain selfinjective algebras of finite representation type having tree class A_n are u -cluster categories.

The proof relies on the “Morita” theorem for cluster categories by Keller and Reiten, along with the following new result: In finite representation type, the Calabi-Yau dimension of the stable module category of a selfinjective algebra can be determined combinatorially, by inspecting the action of the Serre and suspension functors on the Auslander-Reiten quiver.

0. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with two types of categories: *u-cluster categories* and *stable module categories of selfinjective algebras*. They both originate in representation theory, and we will establish a connection between the two by showing that some stable module categories are, in fact, u -cluster categories.

Stable module categories are classical objects of representation theory. They arise from categories of finitely generated modules by the operation of dividing by the ideal of homomorphisms which factor through a projective module, or, colloquially, by killing the projectives. The stable module category of a finite dimensional selfinjective algebra has the appealing property that it is triangulated; this has been very useful not least in group representation theory.

Cluster categories and the more general *u-cluster categories* which are parametrised by the natural number u were introduced over the last few years in a number of beautiful papers: [5], [7], [16], [22], and [23]. They originate with a string of authors: Buan, Caldero, Chapoton, Keller, Marsh, Reiten, Reineke, Schiffler, Thomas, Todorov, and Zhu, and the idea is to provide categorifications of the theory of cluster algebras and higher cluster complexes as introduced in [10] and [11]. If Q is a finite quiver without loops and cycles, then the u -cluster category of type Q is defined by considering the bounded derived category of the path algebra kQ and taking the orbit category of a certain autoequivalence; see Section 1 for details. A u -cluster category is triangulated; this non-trivial fact was established in [16].

The introduction of cluster categories and u -cluster categories has created a rush of activity which has turned these categories into a major item of contemporary

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 16D50, 18E30; Secondary: 05E99, 16G10, 16G60, 16G70.

Key words and phrases. Auslander-Reiten quivers, Finite representation type, Stable module categories.


representation theory. This is due not least to the advent of cluster tilting theory in [6], which provides a long awaited generalization of classical tilting theory making it possible to tilt at any vertex of the quiver of a hereditary algebra, not just at sinks and sources.

In this paper, we will exhibit a new aspect of cluster theory. We will show that a number of stable module categories of selfinjective algebras are, in fact, u -cluster categories.

To be precise, we will look at stable module categories of selfinjective algebras of finite representation type and tree class A_n . What this means is the following: Consider the Dynkin quiver

$$1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n,$$

which, by abuse of notation, we will often denote by A_n , and its repetitive quiver $\mathbb{Z}A_n$,



For a selfinjective algebra to have finite representation type and tree class A_n means that the Auslander-Reiten quiver of its stable module category is a non-trivial quotient of $\mathbb{Z}A_n$. In such a quotient, two vertical lines on the quiver are identified, and this gives either a cylinder or a Möbius band. According to this dichotomy, the algebra belongs to one of two well understood classes: The Nakayama algebras and the Möbius algebras.

Now, u -cluster categories of type A_n also have Auslander-Reiten (AR) quivers which are either cylinders or Möbius bands; see Section 1 for details, and we will show that this resemblance is no coincidence. For integers $n \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$, let $B_{N,n+1}$ denote the Nakayama algebra defined as the path algebra of the circular quiver with N vertices modulo the ideal generated by all paths of length $n+1$. Then our first main result is:

Theorem. *Let $u \geq 2$ be an even integer and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Set*

$$N = \frac{u}{2}(n+1) + 1.$$

Then the u -cluster category of type A_n is equivalent as a triangulated category to the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$.

Moreover, for integers $p, s \geq 1$, let $M_{p,s}$ denote the corresponding Möbius algebra (for the definition of these algebras by quivers and relations, see Section 5). Then our second main result is:

Theorem. *Let $u \geq 1$ be an odd integer and let $p, s \geq 1$ be integers for which*

$$s(2p + 1) = u(p + 1) + 1.$$

Then the u -cluster category of type A_{2p+1} is equivalent as a triangulated category to the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$.

These results will be proved in Theorems 4.1 and 5.1. They rely on the seminal “Morita theorem” for u -cluster categories established by Keller and Reiten in [17]. They work by showing that the stable module categories of Nakayama and Möbius algebras have very strong formal properties in terms of their Calabi-Yau dimensions and u -cluster tilting objects.

In particular, we will study Calabi-Yau dimension carefully. This invariant of a triangulated category is the smallest non-negative integer d for which the Serre functor S , as defined in [19, sec. I.1], is naturally equivalent to Σ^d , the d th power of the suspension (or ∞ if there is no d). For stable module categories, it is a challenge to find the Calabi-Yau dimension directly from the definition. Namely, if Ω denotes the Heller translation, given by taking the first syzygy in a projective resolution, then $\Sigma = \Omega^{-1}$ and $S = \Omega \circ \nu$ where ν is the Nakayama functor, see [9, prop. 1.2(ii)], and the different means whereby S and Σ are defined makes it non-trivial to check whether S and Σ^d are indeed naturally equivalent.

However, using Amiot’s powerful results from [1], we will show in Theorem 2.1 that in finite representation type, the Calabi-Yau dimension can be determined in a considerably simpler, combinatorial way: It is the smallest non-negative d such that S and Σ^d have the same action on the vertices of the AR quiver. In fact, we show the same for more general categories in Theorem 2.2, and these results should be of independent interest.

Originally, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 were proved in an independent note, “Stable Calabi-Yau dimension for finite type selfinjective algebras”, which has now been incorporated into this paper. By combining them with the methods of [4], which studies how Serre and suspension functors act on AR quivers, we are able to compute in Theorems 4.2 and 5.2 the Calabi-Yau dimensions of the stable module categories we wish to study. In fact, this work is continued in our paper [13] on algebras of tree classes D and E , and between them, this paper and [13] give formulae for the Calabi-Yau dimensions of the stable module categories of all standard selfinjective algebras of finite representation type.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 collects the properties of u -cluster categories which we will need. Section 2 proves that in finite representation type, Calabi-Yau dimension can be determined combinatorially. Section 3 is a remark

about u -cluster tilting objects in stable module categories. And Sections 4 and 5 prove the two theorems reproduced above.

Note that our paper [13] reveals analogous, but more complicated connections between u -cluster categories and selfinjective algebras in Dynkin types D and E .

Throughout, k is an algebraically closed field, $\mathbf{mod} A$ denotes the category of finitely generated left- A -modules, and $\mathbf{stab} A$ denotes the stable category of finitely generated left- A -modules.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Claire Amiot and Bernhard Keller warmly for a number of useful comments and suggestions to preliminary versions.

Part of this work was carried out while the first author was visiting the Université Montpellier 2. He thanks Claude Cibils for the invitation and the warm hospitality, and the Région Languedoc-Roussillon and the Université Montpellier 2 for financial support.

1. u -CLUSTER CATEGORIES

This section collects the properties of u -cluster categories which we will need.

Let Q be a finite quiver without loops and cycles. Consider the path algebra kQ and let $D^f(kQ)$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of finitely generated left- kQ -modules. See [12] for background on $D^f(kQ)$ and [19] for additional information on AR theory and Serre functors.

If $u \geq 1$ is an integer, then the u -cluster category of type Q is defined as $D^f(kQ)$ modulo the functor $\tau^{-1}\Sigma^u$, where τ is the AR translation of $D^f(kQ)$ and Σ the suspension. In other words, the u -cluster category is the orbit category for the action of $\tau^{-1}\Sigma^u$ on the category $D^f(kQ)$. Denote the u -cluster category of type Q by \mathcal{C} .

It follows from [16, sec. 4, thm.] that \mathcal{C} admits a structure of triangulated category in a way such that the canonical functor $D^f(kQ) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is triangulated.

The category \mathcal{C} is $(u+1)$ -Calabi-Yau by [17, sec. 4.1]. That is, Σ^{u+1} , the $(u+1)$ st power of its suspension functor, is the Serre functor of \mathcal{C} .

The category \mathcal{C} has the same objects as the derived category $D^f(kQ)$, so in particular, kQ is an object of \mathcal{C} . In fact, by [17, sec. 4.1] again, kQ is a u -cluster tilting object of \mathcal{C} , cf. [15, sec. 3]. That is,

- (i) We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(kQ, \Sigma X) = \cdots = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(kQ, \Sigma^u X) = 0$ if and only if X is in $\mathrm{add} kQ$.
- (ii) We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \Sigma kQ) = \cdots = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \Sigma^u kQ) = 0$ if and only if X is in $\mathrm{add} kQ$.

Recall that $\text{add } kQ$ denotes the full subcategory of \mathbf{C} consisting of direct summands of (finite) direct sums of copies of kQ .

The endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(kQ)$ is kQ itself.

Now suppose that Q is A_n for an integer $n \geq 1$. Then \mathbf{C} is called a u -cluster category of type A_n . By [12, cor. 4.5(i)], the AR quiver of $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ is the repetitive quiver $\mathbb{Z}A_n$ (see the figure in the Introduction), and accordingly, the AR quiver of \mathbf{C} is $\mathbb{Z}A_n$ modulo the action of $\tau^{-1}\Sigma^u$ by [5, prop. 1.3].

The AR translation τ of $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ acts by shifting one unit to the left. (Both here and below, a unit equals the distance between two vertices which are horizontal neighbours.) Hence τ^{-1} acts by shifting one unit to the right.

The suspension Σ of $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ acts by reflecting in the horizontal centre line and shifting $\frac{n+1}{2}$ units to the right; see [18, table p. 359]. Note that this shift makes sense for all values of n : If n is even, then the reflection in the horizontal centre line sends a vertex of the quiver to a point midwise between two vertices, and the half integer shift by $\frac{n+1}{2}$ sends this point to a vertex.

It follows that if u is even, then $\tau^{-1}\Sigma^u$ acts by shifting $\frac{u}{2}(n+1) + 1$ units to the right, and if u is odd, then $\tau^{-1}\Sigma^u$ acts by shifting $\frac{u}{2}(n+1) + 1$ units to the right and reflecting in the horizontal centre line.

So if u is even, then the AR quiver of \mathbf{C} has the shape of a cylinder, and if u is odd, then the AR quiver of \mathbf{C} has the shape of a Möbius band.

2. CALABI-YAU DIMENSIONS

This section proves that in finite representation type, Calabi-Yau dimension can be determined combinatorially. Recall that if A is a selfinjective k -algebra, then the stable module category $\text{stab } A$ has Heller translation Ω given by taking the first syzygy in a projective resolution, and that $\text{stab } A$ is triangulated with suspension functor $\Sigma = \Omega^{-1}$ given by taking the first syzygy in an injective resolution. The Serre functor is $S = \Omega \circ \nu$ where $\nu = \text{DA} \otimes -$ is the Nakayama functor, see [9, prop. 1.2(ii)].

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a selfinjective k -algebra of finite representation type which is standard and satisfies that the AR quiver of the stable module category $\text{stab } A$ is connected. Denote the AR quiver by Θ .*

The Calabi-Yau dimension of $\text{stab } A$ is the smallest integer $d \geq 0$ such that S and Σ^d have the same action on the vertices of Θ , or ∞ if no d exists.

Theorem 2.1 is the special case $\mathbf{T} = \text{stab } A$ of the following theorem in which the Serre functor S exists by [1, thm. 1.1.1].

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathbf{T} be a k -linear triangulated category with split idempotents and finite dimensional Hom spaces, which has only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects, and is of algebraic origin, connected, and standard. Let Θ be the AR quiver of \mathbf{T} .*

The Calabi-Yau dimension of \mathbb{T} is the smallest integer $d \geq 0$ such that the Serre functor, S , and Σ^d , the d th power of the suspension, have the same action on the vertices of Θ , or ∞ if no d exists.

To prove this, we first need a lemma which says that certain autoequivalences of derived categories can be “rigidified”. Let Q be a Dynkin quiver of type A , D , or E . Then the AR quiver Γ of the derived category $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ is the repetitive quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q$ by [12, cor. 4.5].

By [12, 4.6], we can choose a set G_0 of representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects of $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$, and a set G_1 of irreducible morphisms between them such that the morphisms in G_1 satisfy the mesh relations and such that (G_0, G_1) realizes Γ . The G_i permit us to construct an equivalence of categories between $\text{ind } \mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$, the full subcategory of indecomposable objects, and the mesh category $k(\Gamma)$, and by additive extension between $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ and $\text{add } k(\Gamma)$, the additive hull of $k(\Gamma)$.

An endofunctor M of $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ is said to have the AR property if, for each AR triangle

$$X \xrightarrow{x} Y \xrightarrow{y} Z \xrightarrow{z} \Sigma X,$$

there is an AR triangle

$$MX \xrightarrow{Mx} MY \xrightarrow{My} MZ \xrightarrow{d} \Sigma MX;$$

see [1, 5.3]. For instance, a triangulated autoequivalence clearly has the AR property.

Let us now prove the following rigidification lemma which says that autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ which have the AR property can be taken to preserve G_0 and G_1 . In particular, it can be assumed that they introduce no non-trivial scalar multiples of the morphisms in G_1 .

Lemma 2.3. *A k -linear autoequivalence M of $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ which has the AR property is naturally equivalent to an autoequivalence N which sends objects in G_0 to objects in G_0 and morphisms in G_1 to morphisms in G_1 .*

Proof. The functor M induces an automorphism of the AR quiver Γ . Let N be the k -linear autoequivalence of $\text{add } k(\Gamma)$ induced by this automorphism. Transfer N to $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ via the equivalence $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ) \simeq \text{add } k(\Gamma)$, keeping the notation N .

Since N is transferred to $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ via an equivalence constructed from the system (G_0, G_1) , it follows that N on $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$ sends G_0 to G_0 and G_1 to G_1 .

Also, N is induced by an automorphism of the translation quiver Γ , so it clearly has the AR property. The same follows for N^{-1} and $M \circ N^{-1}$.

But $M \circ N^{-1}$ fixes indecomposable objects up to isomorphism, so its restriction to $\text{prj}(kQ)$, the subcategory of projective stalk complexes of $\mathbf{D}^f(kQ)$, can be viewed as an autoequivalence of $\text{prj}(kQ)$. As such, it fixes the indecomposable objects, and consequently, it is naturally equivalent to the identity by [18, prop. 1.7].

This means that the restrictions of $M \circ N^{-1}$ and of the identity functor to $\text{prj}(kQ)$ are equivalent. Since both $M \circ N^{-1}$ and the identity functor have the AR property,

it follows from [1, lem. 5.3.1] that $M \circ N^{-1}$ is naturally equivalent to the identity functor whence M is naturally equivalent to N . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. First observe that using Lemma 2.3 on the suspension $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ of $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)$ gives an equivalent functor $\Sigma'_{\mathbb{D}}$ which sends G_0 to G_0 and G_1 to G_1 . Denoting the equivalence by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Sigma'_{\mathbb{D}}$ and changing the distinguished triangles from

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\zeta} \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}} X$$

to

$$X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\sigma_X \zeta} \Sigma'_{\mathbb{D}} X$$

gives $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)$ a new structure of triangulated category with $\Sigma'_{\mathbb{D}}$ as suspension functor. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)$ with the old and new structures of triangulated category are triangulated equivalent via the identity functor. Hence, by replacing $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ with $\Sigma'_{\mathbb{D}}$, we can suppose that the suspension $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ of $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)$ sends G_0 to G_0 and G_1 to G_1 .

Likewise, we can use Lemma 2.3 to replace $S_{\mathbb{D}}$, the Serre functor of $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)$, by a naturally equivalent functor sending G_0 to G_0 and G_1 to G_1 .

By [1, thm. 7.0.5] and its proof, when the category \mathbb{T} of Theorem 2.2 is given, we can pick the Dynkin quiver Q such that there is a triangulated autoequivalence Φ of $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)$ and an equivalence of triangulated categories $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)/\Phi \simeq \mathbb{T}$, where $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)/\Phi$ is the orbit category of Φ , see [16].

Replacing Φ by an equivalent functor will not change its nature of triangulated autoequivalence. Specifically, let us use Lemma 2.3 to replace Φ by a naturally equivalent functor which sends G_0 to G_0 and G_1 to G_1 . Then (G_0, G_1) induces a similar pair (T_0, T_1) in $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)/\Phi$ and hence in \mathbb{T} . Since the canonical functor $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^f(kQ)/\Phi$ is a covering functor, it follows that T_0 consists of indecomposable objects, that the morphisms in T_1 are irreducible and satisfy the mesh relations, and that (T_0, T_1) realizes the AR quiver Θ of \mathbb{T} ; cf. [21, 1.7].

We have arranged it that the functors $\Sigma_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $S_{\mathbb{D}}$ both send G_0 to G_0 and G_1 to G_1 , so they induce functors on $\mathcal{D}^f(kQ)/\Phi$ and hence on \mathbb{T} which send T_0 to T_0 and T_1 to T_1 , and the functors induced are $\Sigma_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $S_{\mathbb{T}}$, the suspension and the Serre functor of \mathbb{T} ; cf. [16, sec. 4, thm.] and [5, prop. 1.3].

Since \mathbb{T} is standard, it is clear that if two functors agree on T_0 and on T_1 , then they are naturally equivalent. Since $\Sigma_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $S_{\mathbb{T}}$, and more generally any power $\Sigma_{\mathbb{T}}^d$, preserve T_0 and T_1 , all that is needed for $\Sigma_{\mathbb{T}}^d$ and $S_{\mathbb{T}}$ to be naturally equivalent is that they have the same action on the AR quiver Θ .

Finally, observe that it is enough for $\Sigma_{\mathbb{T}}^d$ and $S_{\mathbb{T}}$ to have the same action on the vertices of Θ . Namely, Θ is Γ modulo the action of Φ by [5, prop. 1.3], so Θ is $\mathbb{Z}Q$ modulo an admissible group of automorphisms. Hence Θ has the overall shape of either a cylinder or a Möbius band, and if two automorphisms have the same action on the vertices then they have the same action on the arrows, as one can see, for instance, by starting with a vertex on the edge of the band. \square

3. CLUSTER TILTING OBJECTS

The notion of a u -cluster tilting object in a triangulated category was introduced in Section 1. There is also a definition in abelian categories, cf. [14, sec. 2] (there called maximal u -orthogonal objects). An object T of an abelian category is called u -cluster tilting if

- (i) We have $\text{Ext}^1(T, X) = \cdots = \text{Ext}^u(T, X) = 0$ if and only if X is in $\text{add } T$.
- (ii) We have $\text{Ext}^1(X, T) = \cdots = \text{Ext}^u(X, T) = 0$ if and only if X is in $\text{add } T$.

Over selfinjective algebras, there is the following simple connection between u -cluster tilting objects in the module category (which is abelian) and the stable module category (which is triangulated).

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a selfinjective k -algebra and let T be a u -cluster tilting object of the module category $\text{mod } A$. Then T is also a u -cluster tilting object of the stable module category $\text{stab } A$.*

Proof. Since A is selfinjective, the suspension functor Σ provides us with isomorphisms

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(M, \Sigma^i N) \cong \text{Ext}^i(M, N)$$

for M and N in $\text{mod } A$ and $i \geq 1$. Here $\underline{\text{Hom}}$ denotes morphisms in $\text{stab } A$.

On one hand, this implies

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(T, \Sigma^1 T) = \cdots = \underline{\text{Hom}}(T, \Sigma^u T) = 0.$$

On the other hand, suppose that X in $\text{stab } A$ satisfies

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(T, \Sigma^1 X) = \cdots = \underline{\text{Hom}}(T, \Sigma^u X) = 0.$$

Then

$$\text{Ext}^1(T, X) = \cdots = \text{Ext}^u(T, X) = 0,$$

so X is in $\text{add } T$ viewed in $\text{mod } A$. But then X is clearly also in $\text{add } T$ viewed in $\text{stab } A$.

A similar argument shows that

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^1 T) = \cdots = \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^u T) = 0$$

implies that X is in $\text{add } T$ viewed in $\text{stab } A$. □

4. NAKAYAMA ALGEBRAS

This section proves the first theorem from the Introduction.

For integers $n \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$, consider the Nakayama algebra $B_{N, n+1}$ defined as the path algebra of the circular quiver \tilde{A}_N with all arrows pointing in the same direction, modulo the ideal generated by paths of length $n + 1$.

This is a selfinjective algebra of tree class A_n . The AR quiver of the stable module category $\text{stab } B_{N, n+1}$ has the shape of a cylinder and can be obtained as $\mathbb{Z}A_n$ modulo a shift by N units to the right.

On the other hand, as we saw in Section 1, if u is even then the u -cluster category of type A_n has an AR quiver which can be obtained as $\mathbb{Z}A_n$ modulo a shift by

$$\frac{u}{2}(n+1) + 1$$

units to the right. Indeed, this is not a coincidence.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $u \geq 2$ be an even integer and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Set*

$$N = \frac{u}{2}(n+1) + 1.$$

Then the u -cluster category of type A_n is equivalent as a triangulated category to the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$.

As a tool for the proof of the theorem, let us first prove the following general result which determines the Calabi-Yau dimension of the category $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$.

Theorem 4.2 (Stable Calabi-Yau dimension of Nakayama algebras).

- (1) *For each $N \geq 1$ the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,2}$ is 0-Calabi-Yau.*
- (2) *For each $N \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ set*

$$K_{N,n+1} = \inf\{l \geq 1 \mid l(n+1) \equiv n \pmod{N}\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$ is of Calabi-Yau dimension $2 \cdot K_{N,n+1} - 1$.

- (3) *For each $N \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$, the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$ is of finite Calabi-Yau dimension if and only if $\gcd(N, n+1) = 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, the Calabi-Yau dimension is the minimal nonnegative integer d such that S and Σ^d have the same action on the vertices of the AR quiver of the stable module category, where S is the Serre functor and Σ is the suspension.

Since $\Sigma = \Omega^{-1}$ and $S = \Omega \circ \nu$ where Ω is the Heller translation and ν is the Nakayama functor, d is the minimal nonnegative integer such that ν and Ω^{-d-1} have the same action on the vertices of the AR quiver.

Note that ν is induced by an automorphism of the algebra $B_{N,n+1}$ which is given by shifting n vertices on the circular quiver.

(1) The algebras $B_{N,2}$ are Nakayama algebras of radical length 2, so the result follows from [9, prop. 2.1] (or can easily be checked directly).

(2) The module $\Omega^{-2}(S)$ of any simple $B_{N,n+1}$ -module S is again simple, corresponding to the vertex given by shifting $n+1$ vertices on the circular quiver. Since $n \geq 2$, the module $\Omega^{-1}(S)$ is not simple. So the number $K_{N,n+1}$ gives the minimal positive number l such that Ω^{-2l} equals ν on the vertices of the AR quiver of $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$, from which the statement on the Calabi-Yau dimension follows.

(3) This follows from part (2) and the observation that $K_{N,n+1}$ is finite if and only if $\gcd(N, n+1) = 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. For $n = 1$ the theorem states that the u -cluster category of type A_1 is triangulated equivalent to $\mathbf{stab} B_{u+1,2}$. This indeed follows from the

observation that both categories have AR quiver a disconnected union of $u + 1$ vertices, with suspension equal to a cyclic shift by one vertex.

So we can from now on assume that $n \geq 2$, in which case the relevant categories are connected and all earlier results can be applied. By [17, thm. 4.2], we need to show that the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$ has Calabi-Yau dimension $u + 1$ and has a u -cluster tilting object X with endomorphism ring isomorphic to kA_n . We must also show $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^{-i}X) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, u - 1$. (Note the shift in the indices compared to [17]: their d -cluster categories are u -cluster categories for $u = d - 1$ in our notation.)

We first deal with the stable Calabi-Yau dimension. Let $u = 2k \geq 2$ be an even integer. For $N = \frac{u}{2}(n + 1) + 1 = k(n + 1) + 1$ as in the theorem, we have

$$K_{N,n+1} = \inf\{l \geq 1 \mid l(n + 1) \equiv n \pmod{(k(n + 1) + 1)}\} = k + 1$$


(in fact, for smaller values of l , the left hand side $l(n + 1)$ cannot be reduced modulo $k(n + 1) + 1$). Hence, by part (2) of Theorem 4.2, the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$ is of Calabi-Yau dimension

$$2 \cdot (k + 1) - 1 = u + 1,$$

as claimed.

Let us next find a u -cluster tilting object X in $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$ with endomorphism ring isomorphic to kA_n . By Proposition 3.1 it suffices to find a u -cluster tilting module X in the module category $\mathbf{mod} B_{N,n+1}$ whose stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to kA_n .


We define X to be the direct sum of the projective indecomposable $B_{N,n+1}$ -modules and the indecomposable modules x_1, \dots, x_n whose position in the AR quiver of $\mathbf{stab} B_{N,n+1}$ is given by the following picture,



For the (uniserial) Nakayama algebras $B_{N,n+1}$ it is well-known that the i th layer from the bottom of the AR quiver contains precisely the indecomposable modules of dimension i (see e.g. [3, cor. V.4.2]). Moreover, the arrow from x_i to x_{i+1} in the above picture is a monomorphism for each i . From this follows easily that the stable endomorphism ring of the module X is isomorphic to kA_n .


We now show that the module X defined above is u -cluster tilting.

u -cluster tilting modules (also called maximal u -orthogonal modules) for selfinjective algebras of finite type with tree class A_n have been described combinatorially in [14, sec. 4]. We briefly sketch the main ingredients and refer to [14] for details. On the AR quiver of $\text{stab } B_{N,n+1}$ one introduces a coordinate system as follows,



where the first coordinate has to be taken modulo N .

To each vertex x in the AR quiver one associates a ‘forbidden region’ $H^+(x)$ which is just the rectangle spanned from x to the right; more precisely, if $x = (i, j)$, then $H^+(x)$ is the rectangle with corners $x = (i, j)$, $(i, i + n + 1)$, $(j - 2, i + n + 1)$ and $(j - 2, j)$,




Define an automorphism ω on the AR quiver by setting $\omega(i, j) = (j - n - 2, i + 1)$ and let τ be the usual AR translation, $\tau(i, j) = (i - 1, j - 1)$. Then a subset S of the vertex set M in the AR quiver is called u -cluster tilting if

$$M \setminus S = \bigcup_{x \in S, 0 < i \leq u} H^+(\tau^{-1} \omega^{-i+1} x).$$

For our particular choice of the $B_{N,n+1}$ -module X the set S is given by the above ‘slice’ x_1, \dots, x_n . Then the straightforward, but crucial, observation is that for

$i = 1, \dots, u$ the sets $H(i) = \bigcup_{x \in S} H^+(\tau^{-1}\omega^{-i+1}x)$ have the following shape,



i.e., each $H(i)$ contains all the vertices in a triangular region of the AR quiver with each edge of the triangle containing n vertices.


Recall that u is even by assumption. In total, the union of the forbidden regions $\bigcup_{0 < i \leq u} H(i)$ covers precisely the region of the AR quiver between the slice x_1, \dots, x_n and the shift of it by $\frac{u}{2}(n+1) + 1$ units to the right.

But the AR quiver has a circumference of $N = \frac{u}{2}(n+1) + 1$ units, so it is now clear from the above discussion that the set S is u -cluster tilting and that, accordingly, the $B_{N,n+1}$ -module X is indeed u -cluster tilting.

Finally, to complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, let us show $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^{-i}X) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, u-1$. For this, we can view X in $\text{stab } B_{N,n+1}$ where it has the n indecomposable summands x_1, \dots, x_n .

Given indecomposable $B_{N,n+1}$ -modules V and W , observe that by [14, sec. 4.2 and prop. 4.4.3], we have $\underline{\text{Hom}}(V, W) = 0$ precisely if the vertex of W is outside the forbidden region $H^+(V)$. So we need to check that all vertices corresponding to indecomposable summands of $\Sigma^{-i}X$ for $i = 1, \dots, u-1$ are outside the forbidden region $H(X) = \bigcup_j H^+(x_j)$, where the union is over the indecomposable summands x_j in X .

Now, the action of Σ^{-1} on the AR quiver is just ω . For instance, the following figure shows the forbidden region along with the direct summands of X and of ωX ,



It is clear that the $\omega(x_j)$ fall outside $H(X)$. More generally, ω moves vertices to the left, so the only way we could fail to get $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^{-i}X) = 0$ would be if we took i so large that the $\omega^i(x_j)$ made it all the way around the AR quiver and reached the forbidden region from the right.

Let us check that this does not happen: ω^2 is just a shift by $n+1$ units to the left, and hence $\omega^{u-2} = (\omega^2)^{\frac{u}{2}-1}$ is a shift by


$$\left(\frac{u}{2} - 1\right)(n+1) = N - (n+2)$$

units to the left. Since the AR quiver has circumference N it is clear that by applying ω^{u-1} we do not reach the forbidden region from the right. \square

5. MÖBIUS ALGEBRAS

This section proves the second theorem from the Introduction.

For integers $p, s \geq 1$, consider the Möbius algebra $M_{p,s}$. Following the notation in [2, app. A2.1.2], this is the path algebra of the quiver



modulo the relations

- (i) $\alpha_p^{[i]} \cdots \alpha_0^{[i]} = \beta_p^{[i]} \cdots \beta_0^{[i]}$ for each $i \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$;
- (ii) $\beta_0^{[i+1]} \alpha_p^{[i]} = 0, \alpha_0^{[i+1]} \beta_p^{[i]} = 0$ for each $i \in \{0, \dots, s-2\}$,
 $\alpha_0^{[0]} \alpha_p^{[s-1]} = 0, \beta_0^{[0]} \beta_p^{[s-1]} = 0$;
- (iii) Paths of length $p+2$ are equal to zero.

This is a selfinjective algebra of tree class A_{2p+1} . The AR quiver of the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$ has the shape of a Möbius band and can be obtained as $\mathbb{Z}A_{2p+1}$ modulo a shift by

$$s(2p+1)$$

units to the right, see [20].

On the other hand, as we saw in Section 1, if u is odd then the u -cluster category of type A_{2p+1} has an AR quiver which can be obtained as $\mathbb{Z}A_{2p+1}$ modulo a reflection

in the horizontal centre line composed with a shift by

$$\frac{u}{2}(2p + 1 + 1) + 1 = u(p + 1) + 1$$

units to the right. This quiver also has the shape of a Möbius band, and again, this is no coincidence.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $u \geq 1$ be an odd integer and let $p, s \geq 1$ be integers for which*

$$s(2p + 1) = u(p + 1) + 1.$$

Then the u -cluster category of type A_{2p+1} is equivalent as a triangulated category to the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$.

As a tool for the proof of the theorem, we first show the following result which determines the Calabi-Yau dimension of $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$ in general.

Theorem 5.2 (Stable Calabi-Yau dimension of Möbius algebras).

(1) *Let $p, s \geq 1$ be integers and set*

$$K_{p,s} = \inf \left\{ k \mid \begin{array}{l} k \geq 1, k(p + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{s}, \\ \text{and } \frac{k(s+p+1)-1}{s} \text{ is even} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then the Calabi-Yau dimension of $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$ is

$$K_{p,s}(2p + 1) - 1.$$

(2) *Let $p, s \geq 1$. Then the Calabi-Yau dimension of $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$ is finite if and only if $\gcd(p + 1, s) = 1$ and $s + p + 1$ is odd.*

Proof. (1) Consider the quiver of the Möbius algebra $M_{p,s}$, as reproduced above. The projective indecomposable modules are either biserial (for the vertices with four adjacent arrows), or uniserial (for the vertices with two adjacent arrows). Accordingly, we call the vertices of the quiver ‘biserial’ and ‘uniserial’, respectively. Denote the biserial vertices by v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{s-1} , in anticlockwise order, where v_0 is adjacent to the arrow $\alpha_0^{[0]}$. The indices of the v_i have to be considered modulo s . For $i \in \{0, \dots, s - 1\}$ denote the uniserial vertices on the α -path (the β -path) between v_i and v_{i+1} by $\alpha_1(i), \dots, \alpha_p(i)$ (by $\beta_1(i), \dots, \beta_p(i)$).

The argument from the proof of Theorem 4.2 shows that the Calabi-Yau dimension of the stable module category $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$ is the minimal nonnegative integer d such that ν and Ω^{-d-1} have the same action on the vertices of the AR quiver of $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$.

The Nakayama automorphism ν of the algebra $M_{p,s}$ is given by mapping each biserial vertex v_i to v_{i-1} (i.e. in clockwise direction to the next biserial vertex), and each uniserial vertex $\alpha_j(i)$ to $\alpha_j(i - 1)$ if $i \neq 0$, and $\alpha_j(0)$ to $\beta_j(s - 1)$. The analogous statement holds for the vertices $\beta_j(i)$.

The Heller translates of the simple modules of the Möbius algebras have been described in detail in [8, sec. 2]. A crucial feature for our purposes is that $r = 2p + 1$ is the smallest positive integer for which there exists a permutation π of the vertices of the quiver such that the corresponding simple modules satisfy $\Omega^{-r}(S_v) = S_{\pi(v)}$ (see [8, lem. 2.5]). Moreover, the permutation π is given as follows: for biserial vertices

we have $\pi(v_i) = v_{i-(p+1)}$ (i.e. shifting $p + 1$ units clockwise). The uniserial vertices are shifted clockwise accordingly, but we have to take the twist in the relations at the biserial vertex v_0 into account. More precisely, let $\alpha_j(i)$ be a uniserial vertex (the statements for the $\beta_j(i)$ are completely analogous).

The smallest case $s = 1$ (with only one biserial vertex) has to be dealt with separately: in this case, $\pi(\alpha_j(0)) = \beta_j(0)$ if p is odd, and $\pi(\alpha_j(0)) = \alpha_j(0)$ if p is even. In particular, $\Omega^{-(2p+1)}$ equals the Nakayama automorphism ν on simples, and hence on all vertices of the AR quiver, precisely when p is odd, whereas no power of Ω^{-1} equals ν if p is even. Thus, $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,1}$ has Calabi-Yau dimension $2p$ if p is odd, and ∞ if p is even, proving the theorem in case $s = 1$.

So from now on we can assume that $s \geq 2$; then we get the following uniform description : $\pi(\alpha_j(i)) = \alpha_j(i - (p + 1))$ if the vertex v_0 is between v_i and $v_{i-(p+1)}$ in the clockwise ordering ($i = 0$ is allowed here), and $\pi(\alpha_j(i)) = \beta_j(i - (p + 1))$ otherwise. (In other words, when shifting $p + 1$ units clockwise we change from α to β -vertices if we do *not* pass the vertex v_0 .)

Comparing the clockwise shift by $p + 1$ units with the above description of the Nakayama automorphism yields the first condition $k(p + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod s$ in the definition of the number $K_{p,s}$. The second condition arises from the twisting for the uniserial vertices described above. In fact, we get the $\Omega^{-k(2p+1)}$'s of simple modules from the permutation π^k . From the above description of π , we get that $\Omega^{-k(2p+1)}$ equals ν on simples, and hence on all vertices, precisely when $k(p + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod s$ and

$$k + \lfloor \frac{k(p+1)}{s} \rfloor = k + \frac{k(p+1) - 1}{s} = \frac{k(s+p+1) - 1}{s}$$

is even. The minimal positive such k is the number $K_{p,s}$ defined in the theorem. From this the claim on the Calabi-Yau dimension follows.

(2) We have to show that the number $K_{p,s}$ is finite if and only if $\gcd(p+1, s) = 1$ and $s + p + 1$ is odd. Clearly, if $\gcd(p+1, s) \neq 1$ then $K_{p,s} = \infty$. So we can assume that $\gcd(p+1, s) = 1$, i.e. there exists a minimal $k \geq 1$ such that $k(p+1) \equiv 1 \pmod s$. For any solution $k + ls$ (with $l \geq 0$) of this equation, we consider

$$\frac{(k+ls)(s+p+1) - 1}{s} = \frac{k(s+p+1) - 1}{s} + l(s+p+1).$$

There exists an $l \geq 0$ such that this number is even if and only if $s + p + 1$ is odd. This proves the assertion in (2). \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. According to the assumptions of the theorem we suppose that $s(2p+1) = u(p+1) + 1$ and that u is odd.

Just as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, by [17, thm. 4.2] we need to show that $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$ has Calabi-Yau dimension $u + 1$ and has a u -cluster tilting object X with endomorphism ring isomorphic to kA_{2p+1} . We must also show $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^{-i}X) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, u - 1$.

We first show the statement about the Calabi-Yau dimension, as a consequence of Theorem 5.2. For determining the number $K_{p,s}$ we need some preparation. We

consider the *integer*

$$u + 2 = \frac{s(2p + 1) - 1}{p + 1} + 2 = \frac{(s + 1)(2p + 1)}{p + 1}.$$

Since $\gcd(p + 1, 2p + 1) = 1$, we deduce that $p + 1$ divides $s + 1$. Moreover, the integer $\frac{s+1}{p+1}$ is odd, since u is odd by assumption.

Now we compute the number $K_{p,s}$. First, for the condition $k(p + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$, the *integer* $\frac{s+1}{p+1}$ is clearly the minimal (positive) solution. Secondly, for this value $k = \frac{s+1}{p+1}$ we have that

$$\frac{k(s + p + 1) - 1}{s} = \frac{s + 1}{p + 1} + 1$$

is even. Hence $K_{p,s} = \frac{s+1}{p+1}$, and then by Theorem 5.2 we conclude that $\mathbf{stab} M_{p,s}$ has Calabi-Yau dimension


$$K_{p,s}(2p + 1) - 1 = \frac{(s + 1)(2p + 1)}{p + 1} - 1 = u + 1,$$

as claimed.

Next we are going to define the $M_{p,s}$ -module X which will be u -cluster tilting and which has stable endomorphism ring isomorphic to kA_{2p+1} .

Recall that the projective indecomposable $M_{p,s}$ -modules are either uniserial or biserial, and that correspondingly, the vertices in the quiver of $M_{p,s}$ are called uniserial and biserial. The position of the corresponding simple modules in the AR quiver is well-known; in particular, the simple modules corresponding to biserial vertices occur in the centre line of the AR quiver.

Analogous to the case of Nakayama algebras, we define the module X as the direct sum of the projective indecomposable modules and the indecomposable modules x_1, \dots, x_{2p+1} lying on a slice as follows,




such that the module x_{p+1} is a simple module S_v corresponding to a biserial vertex v of the quiver of $M_{p,s}$. The other modules in this slice can also be described. For $j = 1, \dots, p$, the module x_j is the uniserial module of length $p + 2 - j$ with top S_v , and the module x_{p+j+1} is the uniserial module of length $j + 1$ with socle S_v .

In particular, the bottom p maps are epimorphisms and the upper p maps are monomorphisms. The composition of all $2p$ maps in such a slice is non-zero, mapping the top onto the socle. Most importantly for us, it does not factor through a projective module, i.e., it is a non-zero morphism in the stable module category of $M_{p,s}$.

From this it follows easily that the stable endomorphism ring of the module X is isomorphic to kA_{2p+1} .

We now show that the module X defined above is u -cluster tilting. This argument is also analogous to the Nakayama algebra case. The crucial difference is that now u is odd. Hence, the forbidden regions defined in [14] and discussed in the proof of Theorem 4.1 have the following shape,



The method from the proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that, to see that X is u -cluster tilting, it is sufficient to see that the vertex x_1 is identified with the vertex $*$.


However, each $H(i)$ contains the vertices of the AR quiver in an equilateral triangular region with edges having $2p + 1$ vertices. So in order for x_1 to be identified with $*$, we must identify after

$$\frac{u-1}{2}(2p+2) + (p+2) = u(p+1) + 1$$

units. But in fact, one gets the AR quiver of $\text{stab } M_{p,s}$ from $\mathbb{Z}A_{2p+1}$ by identifying after $s(2p + 1)$ units, and by the assumption of the theorem we do indeed have $s(2p + 1) = u(p + 1) + 1$.

Finally, to complete the proof of Theorem 5.1, let us show $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^{-i}X) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, u - 1$.

The proof is analogous to the Nakayama case: The action of Σ^{-1} on the AR quiver is again just ω , and the forbidden region of X along with the direct summands of X and of ωX again look like



The only way we could fail to get $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^{-i}X) = 0$ would be if we took i so large that the $\omega^i(x_j)$ made it all the way around the AR quiver and reached the forbidden region from the right.

In fact, let us look at the largest relevant integer, $i = u - 1$. As ω^2 is just a shift by $2p + 2$ units to the left, we have that $\omega^{u-1} = (\omega^2)^{\frac{u-1}{2}}$ is a shift by

$$\frac{u-1}{2}(2p+2) = (u-1)(p+1) = s(2p+1) - (p+2)$$

units to the left.

The AR quiver has a circumference of $s(2p+1)$ units, so the $\omega^{u-1}(x_j)$ lie strictly to the right of the forbidden region. (Note that the AR quiver is a Möbius band, and the change of orientation means that, although $u - 1$ is even, the $\omega^{u-1}(x_j)$ form a diagonal line perpendicular, not parallel, to the line of the x_j .) So $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, \Sigma^{-i}X)$ is zero for $i = u - 1$, and hence certainly also for all values $i = 1, \dots, u - 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.3. Note that as a special case of Theorem 5.1, the 1-cluster category of type A_3 is equivalent as a triangulated category to $\text{stab } M_{1,1}$. The Möbius algebra $M_{1,1}$ is isomorphic to the preprojective algebra of Dynkin type A_3 .

This is the only case where a 1-cluster category is triangulated equivalent to the stable module category of a selfinjective algebra of finite representation type and tree class A_n . This follows from the complete classification of representation-finite selfinjective algebras of stable Calabi-Yau dimension 2 given in [9, cor. 3.10].

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Amiot, *On the structure of triangulated categories with finitely many indecomposables*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, to appear. [math.CT/0612141](#).
- [2] H. Asashiba, *On a lift of an individual stable equivalence to a standard derived equivalence for representation-finite self-injective algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **6** (2003), 427–447.
- [3] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowroński, “Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras”, Vol. 1, London Math. Soc. Stud. Texts, Vol. 65, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [4] J. Białkowski, A. Skowroński, *Calabi-Yau stable module categories of finite type*, Colloq. Math. **109** (2007), 257–269.
- [5] A. B. Buan, R. J. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, Adv. Math. **204** (2006), 572–618.
- [6] A. B. Buan, R. J. Marsh, I. Reiten, *Cluster-tilted algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 323–332.
- [7] P. Caldero, F. Chapoton, R. Schiffler, *Quivers with relations arising from clusters (A_n case)*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), 1347–1364.
- [8] K. Erdmann, T. Holm, N. Snashall, *Twisted bimodules and Hochschild cohomology for self-injective algebras of class A_n , II*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **5** (2002), 457–482.
- [9] K. Erdmann, A. Skowroński, *The stable Calabi-Yau dimension of tame symmetric algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **58** (2006), 97–123.
- [10] S. Fomin, N. Reading, *Generalized cluster complexes and Coxeter combinatorics*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **44** (2005), 2709–2757.
- [11] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I. Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 2, 497–529.
- [12] D. Happel, *On the derived category of a finite dimensional algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. **62** (1987), 339–389.
- [13] T. Holm, P. Jørgensen, *Cluster categories, selfinjective algebras, and stable Calabi-Yau dimensions: types D and E*, preprint (2008), extended version of [math.RT/0612451](#).

- [14] O. Iyama, *Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), 22–50.
- [15] O. Iyama, Y. Yoshino, *Mutations in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Invent. Math., to appear. [math.RT/0607736](#).
- [16] B. Keller, *On triangulated orbit categories*, Documenta Math. **10** (2005), 551–581.
- [17] B. Keller, I. Reiten, *Acyclic Calabi-Yau categories are cluster categories*, with an appendix by Michel Van den Bergh, preprint (2006). [math.RT/0610594](#).
- [18] J.-I. Miyachi, A. Yekutieli, *Derived Picard groups of finite-dimensional hereditary algebras*, Compositio Math. **129** (2001), 341–368.
- [19] I. Reiten and M. Van den Bergh, *Noetherian hereditary abelian categories satisfying Serre duality*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 295–366.
- [20] C. Riedtmann, *Representation-finite self-injective algebras of class A_n* , pp. 449–520 in “Representation theory, II” (Proceedings of ICRA II, Ottawa, 1979), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 832, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [21] A. Skowroński, *Selfinjective algebras: finite and tame type*, pp. 169–238 in: J. A. de la Peña, R. Bautista, “Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics”, Contemp. Math., Vol. 406, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 2006.
- [22] H. Thomas, *Defining an m -cluster category*, J. Algebra **318** (2007), 37–46.
- [23] Bin Zhu, *Generalized cluster complexes via quiver representations*, J. Algebraic Combin. **27** (2008), 25–54.

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA, ZAHLENTHEORIE UND DISKRETE MATHEMATIK, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND PHYSIK, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: holm@math.uni-hannover.de

URL: <http://www.iazd.uni-hannover.de/~tholm>

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NEWCASTLE UNIVERSITY, NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE NE1 7RU, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: peter.jorgensen@ncl.ac.uk

URL: <http://www.staff.ncl.ac.uk/peter.jorgensen>