

PARTIAL (CO)ACTIONS OF HOPF ALGEBRAS AND PARTIAL HOPF-GALOIS THEORY

S. CAENEPEEL AND K. JANSSEN

ABSTRACT. We introduce partial (co)actions of a Hopf algebra H on an algebra. To this end, we introduce first the notion of lax coring, generalizing Wisbauer's notion of weak coring. We also have the dual notion of lax ring. Several duality results are given, and we develop Galois theory for partial H -comodule algebras.

INTRODUCTION

Partial group actions were considered first by Exel [15], in the context of operator algebras. A treatment from a purely algebraic point of view was given recently in [11, 12, 13, 14]. In particular, Galois theory over commutative rings can be generalized to partial group actions, see [13] (at least under the additional assumption that the associated ideals are generated by idempotents).

The following questions arise naturally: can we develop a theory of partial (co)actions of Hopf algebras? Is it possible to generalize Hopf-Galois theory to the partial situation? The aim of this paper is to give a positive answer to these questions, with one important restriction: our approach only leads to a generalization of partial group actions, with associated ideals generated by central idempotents.

Partial group actions were studied from the point of view of corings by the first author and De Groot in [6]. Namely, a partial group action in the sense of [13] gives rise to a coring. The Galois theory of [13] can then be considered as a special case of the Galois theory of corings (see [1, 3, 4, 18]). There is a remarkable analogy with the Galois theory that can be developed for weak Hopf algebras (see [7]): in both cases, the associated coring is a direct factor of the tensor product of the Galois extension A , and a coalgebra. In the partial group action case, the coalgebra is the dual of the group algebra, in the other case it is the weak Hopf algebra that we started with. The right A -module structure of the coring is induced by a kind of entwining map. In the weak Hopf algebra case, it is a weak entwining map, as introduced in

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

Key words and phrases. coring, entwining structure, smash product, Galois extension.

This research was supported by the research project G.0622.06 "Deformation quantization methods for algebras and categories with applications to quantum mechanics" from FWO-Vlaanderen.

[5]. The map in the partial group action case, however, does not satisfy the axioms of a weak entwining structure.

Wisbauer [17] introduces weak entwining structures from the point of view of weak corings; these are corings with a bimodule structure that is not necessarily unital. If \mathcal{C} is a left-unital weak A -coring, then $\mathcal{C}1_A$ is an A -coring that is a direct summand of \mathcal{C} . Weak entwining structures are then in one-to-one correspondence with left-unital weak A -coring structures on $A \otimes C$, where A is an algebra, and C is a coalgebra.

If a finite group G acts partially on an algebra A , then we can define a left-unital A -bimodule structure on $A \otimes (kG)^*$, such that $(A \otimes (kG)^*)1_A$ is an A -coring, and a direct factor of $A \otimes (kG)^*$. But $A \otimes (kG)^*$ does not satisfy Wisbauer's axioms of a weak coring. This observation has lead us to the introduction of *lax corings*. The counit property of a lax coring is weaker than that of a weak coring, but it is still designed in such a way that $\mathcal{C}1_A$ is a coring.

Now let H be a Hopf algebra, and consider a map $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$. Our next step is then to examine lax coring structures on $A \otimes H$. A subtlety that appears is that we have two possible choices for the counit: we can consider $A \otimes \epsilon$ and $(A \otimes \epsilon) \circ \pi$, where ϵ is the counit on H , and π is the projection of $A \otimes H$ onto $(A \otimes H)1_A$. This leads to the introduction of a right partial (resp. lax) H -comodule algebra A . The notion of lax comodule algebra is the most general, and includes partial and weak comodule algebras as special cases. If A is at the same time a partial and weak comodule algebra, then it is a comodule algebra.

We have a dual theory: we can introduce lax A -rings, and we then obtain the definition of partial (resp. lax) H -module algebra. In the case where H is a group algebra, we recover the definition of partial group action. We also discuss duality results. For example, if H is a finitely generated projective bialgebra, then we have a bijective correspondence between right lax (resp. partial) H -comodule algebra structures on A and left lax (resp. partial) $H^{*\text{cop}}$ -module algebra structures on A^{op} (see Theorem 4.7). In the final Section 5, we applied the theory of Galois corings to corings arising from partial comodule algebras.

1. LAX RINGS AND CORINGS

Let A be a ring with unit. A -modules will not necessarily be unital.

Proposition 1.1. *Let P be a unital left A -module. There is a bijective correspondence between (non-unital) right A -module structures on P making P an A -bimodule and unital right A -module structures on left A -linear direct factors \underline{P} of P , making \underline{P} a unital A -bimodule.*

Proof. For an A -bimodule P , the map $\pi : P \rightarrow P$, $\pi(p) = p1_A$ is a left A -linear projection. The right A -action on P restricts to a unital right A -action on $\underline{P} = \text{Im}(\pi)$. Conversely, let $\pi : P \rightarrow \underline{P}$ be a left A -linear

projection, and let \underline{P} be a unital A -bimodule. We extend the right A -action from \underline{P} to P as follows: $pa = \pi(p)a \in \underline{P}$. This action is associative, since $(pa)b = (\pi(p)a)b = \pi(p)(ab) = p(ab)$. \square

We observe that π is then also right A -linear, so \underline{P} is an A -bimodule direct factor of P . The inclusion $\iota : \underline{P} \rightarrow P$ is a right inverse of π .

Recall that an A -coring $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra in the monoidal category ${}_A\mathcal{M}_A$ of unital A -bimodules. This means that \mathcal{C} is a unital A -bimodule, and that $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$ are A -bimodule maps such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & (\Delta \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ \Delta = (\mathcal{C} \otimes_A \Delta) \circ \Delta; \\ (2) \quad & (\varepsilon \otimes_A \mathcal{C}) \circ \Delta = (\mathcal{C} \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the Sweedler-Heyneman notation $\Delta(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes_A c_{(2)}$, where summation is implicitly understood.

Now take a left unital A -bimodule \mathcal{C} and two A -bimodule maps $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$ satisfying (1). We consider the projection $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}1_A$ and its right inverse ι . Δ restricts to a map $\underline{\Delta} : \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ since $\Delta(c1_A) = \Delta(c)1_A = c_{(1)} \otimes_A 1_A c_{(2)} 1_A = c_{(1)} 1_A \otimes_A c_{(2)} 1_A \in \underline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_A \underline{\mathcal{C}}$, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. $\varepsilon \circ \iota$ is then the restriction of ε to $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$.

We call $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ a left unital *lax* (resp. *weak*) A -coring if (3) (resp. (4)) holds for all $c \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ (resp. $c \in \mathcal{C}$).

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad & c = \varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} = c_{(1)}\varepsilon(c_{(2)}); \\ (4) \quad & c1_A = \varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} = c_{(1)}\varepsilon(c_{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Weak corings were introduced in [17]. $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a left unital *lax* A -coring if and only if $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{\Delta}, \varepsilon \circ \iota)$ is an A -coring. Clearly weak corings are lax.

Recall that an A -ring (\mathcal{R}, μ, η) is an algebra in the category of unital A -bimodules. This means that $\mu : \mathcal{R} \otimes_A \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ and $\eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ are A -bimodule maps such that

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad & \mu \circ (\mu \otimes_A \mathcal{R}) = \mu \circ (\mathcal{R} \otimes_A \mu) \\ (6) \quad & \mu \circ (\eta \otimes_A \mathcal{R}) = \mu \circ (\mathcal{R} \otimes_A \eta) = \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{R} is a ring with unit $\eta(1_A)$, and $\eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is a ring morphism. It follows from (6) that the A -bimodule structure on \mathcal{R} is induced by η . So an A -ring is a ring \mathcal{R} together with a ring morphism $\eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$.

Let \mathcal{R} be a left unital A -bimodule, and consider the projection $\pi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}1_A$, and an A -bimodule map $\mu : \mathcal{R} \otimes_A \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ satisfying (5). μ restricts to a map $\underline{\mu} : \underline{\mathcal{R}} \otimes_A \underline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{R}}$, since $\mu(r1_A \otimes_A s1_A) = \mu(r1_A \otimes_A s)1_A \in \underline{\mathcal{R}}$, for all $r, s \in \mathcal{R}$. We will write $\mu(r \otimes_A s) = rs$, as usual. Let $\eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be an A -bimodule map, and write $\eta(1_A) = 1_{\mathcal{R}}$. Then $\pi(1_{\mathcal{R}}) = 1_{\mathcal{R}}1_A = \eta(1_A)1_A = \eta(1_A) = 1_{\mathcal{R}}$, so that $\pi \circ \eta$ is the corestriction of η to $\underline{\mathcal{R}}$.

(\mathcal{R}, μ, η) is called a left unital *lax* (resp. *weak*) A -ring if (7) (resp. (8)) holds

for all $r \in \underline{\mathcal{R}}$ (resp. for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$).

$$(7) \quad r = 1_{\mathcal{R}}r = r1_{\mathcal{R}};$$

$$(8) \quad r1_A = 1_{\mathcal{R}}r = r1_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

(\mathcal{R}, μ, η) is a left unital lax A -ring if and only if $(\underline{\mathcal{R}}, \underline{\mu}, \pi \circ \eta)$ is an A -ring. Right unital lax and weak A -rings are introduced in a similar way. Let \mathcal{R} be a right unital A -bimodule and $\underline{\mathcal{R}} = 1_A \mathcal{R}$. Consider an A -bimodule map $\mu : \mathcal{R} \otimes_A \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ satisfying (5). μ restricts to $\underline{\mu} : \underline{\mathcal{R}} \otimes_A \underline{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{R}}$. $\eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ corestricts to the map $\pi \circ \eta : A \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{R}}$. (\mathcal{R}, μ, η) is a right unital lax (resp. weak) A -ring if (7) is fulfilled for all $r \in \underline{\mathcal{R}}$ (resp. $1_A r = 1_{\mathcal{R}} r = r1_{\mathcal{R}}$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$).

Duality. Let \mathcal{C} be a left unital A -bimodule. Then ${}^*\mathcal{C} = {}_A \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, A)$ is a right unital A -bimodule, with A -action $(afb)(c) = f(ca)b$, for all $a, b \in A$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f \in {}^*\mathcal{C}$. If $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}$ is a coassociative A -bimodule map, then $\mu : {}^*\mathcal{C} \otimes_A {}^*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$, $\mu(f \otimes_A g) = f \# g$ given by $(f \# g)(c) = g(c_{(1)}f(c_{(2)}))$, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$, is an associative A -bimodule map. Let $\varepsilon : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$ be an A -bimodule map. For all $a \in A$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we have that $(a\varepsilon)(c) = (\varepsilon a)(c) = \varepsilon(ca)$, so $\eta : A \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$, $\eta(a) = a\varepsilon = \varepsilon a$, is an A -bimodule map. For all $f \in {}^*\mathcal{C}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we compute that $(\varepsilon \# f)(c) = f(c_{(1)}\varepsilon(c_{(2)}))$ and $(f \# \varepsilon)(c) = f(\varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)})$.

Proposition 1.2. *If $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a left unital weak (resp. lax) A -coring, then $({}^*\mathcal{C}, \mu, \eta)$ is a right unital weak (resp. lax) A -ring. The A -rings $1_A {}^*\mathcal{C}$ and ${}^*(\mathcal{C}1_A)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a left unital weak A -coring. Then $({}^*\mathcal{C}, \mu, \eta)$ is a right unital weak A -ring since $(\varepsilon \# f)(c) = f(c_{(1)}\varepsilon(c_{(2)})) = f(c1_A) = (1_A f)(c)$ and $(f \# \varepsilon)(c) = f(\varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)}) = f(c1_A) = (1_A f)(c)$, for all $f \in {}^*\mathcal{C}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Now assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a left unital lax A -coring. For all $\underline{f} = 1_A f \in 1_A {}^*\mathcal{C} = {}^*\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon \# \underline{f})(c) &= (\varepsilon \# 1_A f)(c) = (1_A f)(c_{(1)}\varepsilon(c_{(2)})) \\ &= f(c_{(1)}\varepsilon(c_{(2)})1_A) = f(c_{(1)}\varepsilon(c_{(2)})) \stackrel{(3)}{=} f(c1_A) = (1_A f)(c) \\ (\underline{f} \# \varepsilon)(c) &= \varepsilon(c_{(1)}(1_A f)(c_{(2)})) = \varepsilon(c_{(1)}f(c_{(2)}1_A)) \\ &= \varepsilon(c_{(1)})f(c_{(2)}1_A) = f(\varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)}1_A) \stackrel{(3)}{=} f(c1_A) = (1_A f)(c). \end{aligned}$$

So $\varepsilon \# \underline{f} = \underline{f} \# \varepsilon = \underline{f}$, and (7) holds, and it follows that $({}^*\mathcal{C}, \mu, \eta)$ is a right unital lax A -ring.

To prove the final statement, we observe first that $f \in 1_A {}^*\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $1_A \cdot f = f$, or $f(c1_A) = f(c)$, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Consider the maps $\alpha : 1_A {}^*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}^*(\mathcal{C}1_A)$, $\alpha(f) = f|_{\mathcal{C}1_A}$, and $\beta : {}^*(\mathcal{C}1_A) \rightarrow 1_A {}^*\mathcal{C}$, $\beta(g)(c) = g(c1_A)$. It is easily verified that $\beta(g) \in 1_A {}^*\mathcal{C}$, and that α and β are inverses. \square

2. PARTIAL COMODULE ALGEBRAS

Let k be a commutative ring and A, H be two k -algebras. $A \otimes H$ is a unital left $A \otimes H$ -module via the multiplication on $A \otimes H$, and a left unital A -module

via restriction of scalars. For a k -linear (not necessarily coassociative) map $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$, we adopt the notation

$$\rho(a) = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]} = a_{[0']} \otimes a_{[1']}, \quad (\rho \otimes H)(\rho(a)) = \rho^2(a) = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]},$$

for all $a \in A$. Summation is implicitly understood.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a bijective correspondence between (non-unital) right A -actions on $A \otimes H$, compatible with the left $A \otimes H$ -action, and k -linear maps $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ satisfying*

$$(9) \quad \rho(ab) = \rho(a)\rho(b) = a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}b_{[1]},$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Then $\underline{A \otimes H} = (A \otimes H)1_A$ is a unital A -bimodule, and we have a projection

$$\pi : A \otimes H \rightarrow A \otimes H, \quad \pi(a \otimes h) = (a \otimes h)1_A = a1_{[0]} \otimes h1_{[1]}.$$

$A \otimes H$ is right A -unital if

$$(10) \quad \rho(1_A) = 1_A \otimes 1_H.$$

Proof. Given a right A -action, we define $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ by the formula $\rho(a) = (1_A \otimes 1_H)a$. (9) follows from the associativity of the right A -action. Conversely, given ρ , we define a right A -action by $(a \otimes h)b = ab_{[0]} \otimes hb_{[1]}$. \square

Now let (H, δ, ϵ) be a k -bialgebra, and $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ a k -linear map satisfying (9). Consider the left A -linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (\pi \otimes H) \circ (A \otimes \delta) : A \otimes H \rightarrow A \otimes H \otimes_A A \otimes H \cong \underline{A \otimes H} \otimes H, \\ \Delta(a \otimes h) &= (a \otimes h_{(1)}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes h_{(2)}) \cong a1_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}1_{[1]} \otimes h_{(2)}, \\ \varepsilon &= A \otimes \epsilon : A \otimes H \rightarrow A, \quad \varepsilon(a \otimes h) = \epsilon(h)a, \\ \underline{\varepsilon} &= (A \otimes \epsilon) \circ \pi : A \otimes H \rightarrow A, \quad \underline{\varepsilon}(a \otimes h) = \epsilon(h1_{[1]})a1_{[0]} = \epsilon(h)\epsilon(1_{[1]})a1_{[0]}. \end{aligned}$$

We will now investigate when $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ and $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \underline{\varepsilon})$ are left unital weak, resp. lax A -corings. Then Δ and ε (or $\underline{\varepsilon}$) have to be right A -linear.

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra, and $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ a k -linear map satisfying (9).*

1) Δ is right A -linear if and only if, for all $a \in A$,

$$(11) \quad \rho^2(a) = a_{[0]}1_{[0]} \otimes a_{1}1_{[1]} \otimes a_{[1](2)}.$$

2) $\underline{\varepsilon}$ is right A -linear if and only if, for all $a \in A$,

$$(12) \quad \epsilon(a_{[1]})a_{[0]} = \epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]}a.$$

3) ε is right A -linear if and only if $\varepsilon = \underline{\varepsilon}$, that is, for all $a \in A$,

$$(13) \quad \epsilon(a_{[1]})a_{[0]} = a.$$

Proof. 1) follows immediately from the following observation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(1_A \otimes h)a &= (1_A \otimes h_{(1)}) \otimes_A (a_{[0]} \otimes h_{(2)}a_{[1]}) \cong a_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}a_{[1]} \otimes h_{(2)}a_{[2]}; \\
\Delta((1_A \otimes h)a) &= \Delta(a_{[0]} \otimes ha_{[1]}) = (a_{[0]} \otimes (ha_{[1]})_{(1)}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes (ha_{[1]})_{(2)}) \\
&= (a_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}a_{1}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes h_{(2)}a_{[1](2)}) \\
&\cong a_{[0]}1_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}a_{1}1_{[1]} \otimes h_{(2)}a_{[1](2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

2) $\underline{\varepsilon}$ is right A -linear if and only if $\underline{\varepsilon}(1_A \otimes h)a = \epsilon(h)\epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]}a$ equals $\underline{\varepsilon}((1_A \otimes h)a) = \underline{\varepsilon}(a_{[0]} \otimes ha_{[1]}) = \epsilon(ha_{[1]}1_{[1]})a_{[0]}1_{[0]} = \epsilon(ha_{[1]})a_{[0]} = \epsilon(h)\epsilon(a_{[1]})a_{[0]}$, for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$, and this is equivalent to (12).

3) ε is right A -linear if and only if $\varepsilon(1_A \otimes h)a = \epsilon(h)a$ equals $\varepsilon((1_A \otimes h)a) = \varepsilon(ha_{[1]})a_{[0]} = \epsilon(h)\epsilon(a_{[1]})a_{[0]}$, and this is equivalent to (13). \square

If Δ is right A -linear, then Δ restricts to a map $\underline{\Delta} : \underline{A \otimes H} \rightarrow \underline{A \otimes H} \otimes_A \underline{A \otimes H}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra, and $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ a k -linear map. We call A a (right) weak H -comodule algebra if the following equivalent conditions hold:*

- 1) $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \underline{\varepsilon})$ is a left unital weak A -coring;
- 2) the conditions (9,11,12,14) are satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$;
- 3) the conditions (9,12,14,15) are satisfied for all $a, b \in A$.

$$(14) \quad \rho(1_A) = \epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]} \otimes 1_H;$$

$$(15) \quad \rho^2(a) = a_{[0]} \otimes \delta(a_{[1]}).$$

Proof. If $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \underline{\varepsilon})$ is a left unital weak A -coring, it follows that (9,11,12) hold, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. The left counit property $(\underline{\varepsilon} \otimes_A (A \otimes H)) \circ \Delta = \pi$ (cf. (4)) then holds if and only if $\underline{\varepsilon}(a \otimes h_{(1)})(1_A \otimes h_{(2)}) = \epsilon(h_{(1)}1_{[1]})a1_{[0]} \otimes h_{(2)} = \epsilon(1_{[1]})a1_{[0]} \otimes h$ equals $(a \otimes h)1_A = a1_{[0]} \otimes h1_{[1]}$, for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$, if and only if (14) holds. This proves that $\underline{1) \Rightarrow 2)}$. It also proves that $\underline{2) \Rightarrow 1)}$, if we can show that the right counit condition is satisfied. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \otimes h_{(1)})\underline{\varepsilon}(1_A \otimes h_{(2)}) &= \epsilon(h_{(2)}1_{[1]})(a \otimes h_{(1)})1_{[0]} \\
&= \epsilon(h_{(2)}1_{[2]})a1_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}1_{[1]} \stackrel{(11)}{=} \epsilon(h_{(2)}1_{[1](2)})a1_{[0]}1_{[0']} \otimes h_{(1)}1_{1}1_{[1']} \\
&= a1_{[0]}1_{[0']} \otimes h1_{[1]}1_{[1']} \stackrel{(9)}{=} a1_{[0]} \otimes h1_{[1]} = (a \otimes h)1_A.
\end{aligned}$$

$\underline{2) \Leftrightarrow 3)}$. We will prove that the right hand sides of the formulas (11) and (15) are equal if ρ satisfies (9) and (14). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{[0]}1_{[0]} \otimes a_{1}1_{[1]} \otimes a_{[1](2)} &\stackrel{(14)}{=} a_{[0]}\epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]} \otimes a_{1} \otimes a_{[1](2)} \\
&\stackrel{(14)}{=} a_{[0]}1_{[0]} \otimes (a_{[1]}1_{[1]})_{(1)} \otimes (a_{[1]}1_{[1]})_{(2)} \\
&\stackrel{(9)}{=} a_{[0]} \otimes a_{1} \otimes a_{[1](2)} = a_{[0]} \otimes \delta(a_{[1]}).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra, and $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ a k -linear map. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is an A -coring;
- 2) $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a left unital weak A -coring;
- 3) A is a right H -comodule algebra; this means that the conditions (9,10,13) and (15) are satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$.

Proof. $2) \Rightarrow 3)$. It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that (9,11,13) hold. Using (4), we find $\rho(1_A) = (1_A \otimes 1_H)1_A = \varepsilon(1_A \otimes 1_H)(1_A \otimes 1_H) = \epsilon(1_H)1_A \otimes 1_H = 1_A \otimes 1_H$, so (10) holds. (15) follows easily from (10) and (11). $3) \Rightarrow 1)$ is well-known (see e.g. [1]). $1) \Rightarrow 2)$ is trivial. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra, and $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ a k -linear map. We call A a (right) lax H -comodule algebra if the following equivalent assertions are satisfied:*

- 1) $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \underline{\varepsilon})$ is a left unital lax A -coring;
- 2) the conditions (9,11,12,16) are satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$;
- 3) the conditions (9,11,12,17) are satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$;
- 4) the conditions (9,11,12,18) are satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$.

$$(16) \quad \rho(1_A) = \epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[2]};$$

$$(17) \quad \rho(1_A) = \epsilon(1_{[1']})1_{[0']}1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]};$$

$$(18) \quad \rho(1_A) = \epsilon(1_{[1']})1_{[0']}1_{[0']} \otimes 1_{[1]}.$$

Proof. $1) \Rightarrow 2)$. It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that (9,11,12) hold. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta}((1_A \otimes 1_H)1_A) &= \underline{\Delta}(1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]}) = (1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{1}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes 1_{[1](2)}) \\ &= (1_{[0]}1_{[0']} \otimes 1_{1}1_{[1']}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes 1_{[1](2)}). \end{aligned}$$

(16) then follows from the left counit property in (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(1_A) &= (1_A \otimes 1_H)1_A = ((\underline{\varepsilon} \circ \iota) \otimes_A \underline{A \otimes H}) \underline{\Delta}((1_A \otimes 1_H)1_A) \\ &= (A \otimes \epsilon)(1_{[0]}1_{[0']} \otimes 1_{1}1_{[1']})(1_A \otimes 1_{[1](2)}) \\ &= \epsilon(1_{1}1_{[1']})1_{[0]}1_{[0']} \otimes 1_{[1](2)} \stackrel{(11)}{=} \epsilon(1_{[1']})1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[2]}. \end{aligned}$$

$2) \Rightarrow 1)$. If conditions (9,11,12,16) are satisfied, then Δ is a coassociative comultiplication on $A \otimes H$. One equality in (3) is equivalent to (16), and the other one can be proved as follows: we have shown in the proof of Proposition 2.3 that (9) and (11) imply that $((A \otimes H) \otimes_A \underline{\varepsilon}) \circ \Delta = \pi$, and this entails that $((\underline{A \otimes H}) \otimes_A (\underline{\varepsilon} \circ \iota)) \circ \underline{\Delta} = \underline{A \otimes H}$.

$2) \Leftrightarrow 3)$. Using (12), we find that (16) is equivalent to (17).

$2) \Leftrightarrow 4)$. Using (11), we can prove the equivalence of (16) and (18):

$$\epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[2]} \stackrel{(11)}{=} \epsilon(1_{1}1_{[1']})1_{[0]}1_{[0']} \otimes 1_{[1](2)} = \epsilon(1_{[1']})1_{[0]}1_{[0']} \otimes 1_{[1]}.$$

\square

Proposition 2.6. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra, and $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ a k -linear map. We call (A, ρ) a (right) partial H -comodule algebra, and say that H coacts partially on A , if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:*

- 1) $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a left unital lax A -coring;
- 2) A is a (right) lax H -comodule algebra and

$$(19) \quad \epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]} = 1_A;$$

- 3) The conditions (9,11) and (13) are satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2). It follows from Lemma 2.2 that (13) holds; taking $a = 1$, we find (19). It is clear that A is a lax H -comodule algebra.

2) \Rightarrow 1). From (19) it follows that $\varepsilon = \underline{\varepsilon}$, and thus $(A \otimes H, \Delta, \varepsilon = \underline{\varepsilon})$ is a left unital lax A -coring.

2) \Rightarrow 3). Combining (19) and (12), we find (13).

3) \Rightarrow 2). Taking $a = 1$ in (13), we find (19). (12) then follows from (19) and (13). Also (17) (or (18)) follows immediately from (19). \square

Proposition 2.7. *A is an H -comodule algebra if and only if it is at the same time a partial and weak H -comodule algebra.*

Proof. One implication is obvious. Conversely, if A is a weak H -comodule algebra, then, by Proposition 2.3, (9,14,15) are satisfied. If A is a partial H -comodule algebra, then, (13) holds, by Proposition 2.6. Then (10) can be shown as follows: $\rho(1_A) \stackrel{(14)}{=} \epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]} \otimes 1_H \stackrel{(13)}{=} 1_A \otimes 1_H$. \square

The lax Koppinen smash product. Let A be a right weak (or lax) H -comodule algebra, i.e. $(\mathcal{C} = A \otimes H, \Delta, \underline{\varepsilon})$ is a (left unital) weak (or lax) A -coring. Given the k -module isomorphism

$${}^*\mathcal{C} = {}_A\text{Hom}(A \otimes H, A) \cong \text{Hom}(H, A), f \mapsto f \circ (\eta_A \otimes H),$$

the (right unital) weak (or lax) A -ring structure on ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ (see Proposition 1.2) induces a (right unital) weak (or lax) A -ring structure on $\text{Hom}(H, A)$. It is given by the following formulas, for all $a, b \in A, h \in H$ and $f, g \in \text{Hom}(H, A)$: $(afb)(h) = a_{[0]}f(ha_{[1]})b$, $(f\#g)(h) = f(h_{(2)})_{[0]}g(h_{(1)}f(h_{(2)})_{[1]})$ and $\eta : A \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$, $\eta(a)(h) = \epsilon(ha_{[1]})a_{[0]}$. $\text{Hom}(H, A)$ with this weak (or lax) A -ring structure will be called the weak (or lax) Koppinen smash product. It is usually denoted by $\#(H, A)$. The left dual of the corresponding A -coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}1_A$ is then isomorphic to

$$\underline{\#}(H, A) = 1_A\#(H, A) = \{f \in \#(H, A) \mid f(h) = 1_{[0]}f(h1_{[1]}), \text{ for all } h \in H\}.$$

Remark 2.8. All results in this Section can also be proved in the more general context of entwining structures (see [2]). These are triples (A, C, ψ) , where A is a k -algebra, C a k -coalgebra, and $\psi : C \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes C$ a k -linear map satisfying some compatibility conditions. A right H -comodule algebra A gives rise to an entwining structure (A, H, ψ) , where $\psi : H \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ is defined by $\psi(h \otimes a) = a_{[0]} \otimes ha_{[1]}$. The analogue of Proposition 2.4 then

recovers these entwining structures, whereas Proposition 2.3 recovers the so-called weak entwining structures. These were first introduced by the first author and De Groot [5]. Wisbauer [17] introduced weak corings, and obtained a one-to-one correspondence (see [17, 4.1]) between weak corings and weak entwining structures. In a remark following 4.1 in [17], it is observed that the defining axioms of weak entwining structures in [5] and [17] are not the same. It follows from the analogue of Proposition 2.3 that the two sets of axioms are equivalent.

Example 2.9. Let $e \in H$ be an idempotent such that $e \otimes e = \Delta(e)(e \otimes 1)$ and $\epsilon(e) = 1$. A partial H -coaction on $A = k$ is given by $\rho(x) = x \otimes e \in k \otimes_k H$. (9,11,13) can be verified easily: $\rho(x)\rho(y) = xy \otimes e^2 = xy \otimes e = \rho(xy)$; $\rho(x_{[0]}) \otimes x_{[1]} = x \otimes e \otimes e = x \otimes e_{(1)}e \otimes e_{(2)} = x_{[0]}1_{[0]} \otimes x_{1}1_{[1]} \otimes x_{[1](2)}$; $x\epsilon(e) = x$.

Such an idempotent e exists in a finite dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra: take a left integral t such that $\epsilon(t) = 1$. t is an idempotent, since $t^2 = \epsilon(t)t = t$, and $\Delta(t)(t \otimes 1) = t_{(1)}t \otimes t_{(2)} = \epsilon(t_{(1)})t \otimes t_{(2)} = t \otimes t$.

Example 2.10. Let H be Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra over a field k with $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$. Recall that, as a k -algebra, H is generated by two elements c and x , with relations $c^2 = 1$, $x^2 = 0$ and $xc = -cx$. Then H is a 4-dimensional vector space with basis $\{1, c, x, cx\}$. The coalgebra structure is induced by $\Delta(c) = c \otimes c$, $\Delta(x) = c \otimes x + x \otimes 1$, $\epsilon(c) = 1$ and $\epsilon(x) = 0$. Note that H is not semisimple.

We can define a partial coaction of H on $A = k$ as in Example 2.9. For $\alpha \in k$, consider $e = e_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}c + \alpha cx \in H$. Then it is easily checked that e is an idempotent, such that $e \otimes e = \Delta(e)(e \otimes 1)$ and $\epsilon(e) = 1$.

Now consider the subalgebra $B = k[x]$ of H . The map $\rho : B \rightarrow B \otimes H$, given by $\rho(1) = \frac{1}{2} \otimes 1 + \frac{1}{2} \otimes c + \frac{1}{2} \otimes cx$ and $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{2}x \otimes 1 + \frac{1}{2}x \otimes c + \frac{1}{2}x \otimes cx$, defines a partial coaction of H on B .

3. LAX RELATIVE HOPF MODULES

Let A be a right lax H -comodule algebra, $\mathcal{C} = A \otimes H$ the associated lax A -coring, and $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = (A \otimes H)1_A$ the associated A -coring. For a k -linear map $\rho : M \rightarrow M \otimes H$, we will adopt the notation $\rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}$, $(\rho \otimes H)(\rho(m)) = \rho^2(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} \otimes m_{[2]}$, etc.

A lax relative Hopf module is a right A -module M , together with a k -linear map $\rho : M \rightarrow M \otimes H$ such that the following conditions are satisfied, for all $m \in M$:

$$(20) \quad m_{[0]}\epsilon(m_{[1]}) = m;$$

$$(21) \quad \rho^2(m) = m_{[0]}1_{[0]} \otimes m_{1}1_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1](2)}1_{[2]};$$

$$(22) \quad \rho(ma) = m_{[0]}a_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}a_{[1]}.$$

A morphism between two lax relative Hopf modules M and N is a right A -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(m_{[0]}) \otimes m_{[1]} = f(m)_{[0]} \otimes f(m)_{[1]}$, for all $m \in M$. \mathcal{M}_A^H will denote the category of lax relative Hopf modules.

Proposition 3.1. *For a right lax H -comodule algebra A , the categories $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$ and \mathcal{M}_A^H are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let M be a right A -module. We will first show that $\text{Hom}_A(M, M \otimes_A \underline{\mathcal{C}})$ is isomorphic to the submodule of $\text{Hom}(M, M \otimes H)$ consisting of maps ρ satisfying (22). For $\rho : M \rightarrow M \otimes H$ satisfying (22), consider the map $\alpha(\rho) : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A \underline{\mathcal{C}}$, $\alpha(\rho)(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} 1_{[1]})$. $\alpha(\rho)$ is right A -linear since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\rho)(ma) &= m_{[0]} a_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} a_{[1]} 1_{[1]}) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A (a_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} a_{[1]} 1_{[1]}) \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} m_{[0]} \otimes_A (a_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} a_{[1]}) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} 1_{[1]}) a = (\alpha(\rho)(m)) a. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, take $\tilde{\rho} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M \otimes_A \underline{\mathcal{C}})$, and define $\beta(\tilde{\rho}) \in \text{Hom}(M, M \otimes H)$ as follows: for $m \in M$, there exist (a finite number of) $m_i \in M$ and $h_i \in H$ such that $\tilde{\rho}(m) = \sum_i m_i \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes h_i 1_{[1]})$; then we define $\beta(\tilde{\rho})(m) = \sum_i m_i 1_{[0]} \otimes h_i 1_{[1]}$. We claim that $\beta(\tilde{\rho})$ satisfies (22). Indeed, $\tilde{\rho}(ma) = \sum_i m_i \otimes_A (a_{[0]} \otimes h_i a_{[1]}) = \sum_i m_i a_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes h_i a_{[1]} 1_{[1]})$, hence $\beta(\tilde{\rho})(ma) = \sum_i m_i a_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \otimes h_i a_{[1]} 1_{[1]} = \sum_i m_i 1_{[0]} a_{[0]} \otimes h_i 1_{[1]} a_{[1]}$. α and β are inverses since $\beta(\alpha(\rho))(m) = m_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} 1_{[1]} = \rho(m)$ and $\alpha(\beta(\tilde{\rho}))(m) = \sum_i m_i 1_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes h_i 1_{[1]} 1_{[1]}) = \sum_i m_i \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes h_i 1_{[1]}) = \tilde{\rho}(m)$.

Now take $\rho : M \rightarrow M \otimes H$ satisfying (22) and the corresponding right A -linear map $\tilde{\rho}$. We claim that $\tilde{\rho}$ is coassociative if and only if ρ satisfies (21). First compute

$$\tilde{\rho}^2(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0']} \otimes m_{[1]} 1_{[1']}) \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes m_{[2]} 1_{[1]});$$

$$((M \otimes_A \underline{\Delta}) \circ \tilde{\rho})(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes m_{1} 1_{1}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes m_{[1](2)} 1_{[1](2)}).$$

If $\tilde{\rho}$ is coassociative, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{[0]} 1_{[0']} 1_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} 1_{[1']} 1_{[1]} \otimes m_{[2]} 1_{[2]} &\stackrel{(9)}{=} m_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} 1_{[1]} \otimes m_{[2]} 1_{[2]} \\ &\stackrel{(22)}{=} \rho(m_{[0]} 1_{[0]}) \otimes m_{[1]} 1_{[1]} = \rho(m_{[0]}) \otimes m_{[1]} \end{aligned}$$

equals

$$m_{[0]} 1_{[0]} 1_{[0']} \otimes m_{1} 1_{1} 1_{[1']} \otimes m_{[1](2)} 1_{[1](2)} \stackrel{(11)}{=} m_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \otimes m_{1} 1_{[1]} \otimes m_{[1](2)} 1_{[2]},$$

and (21) follows. Conversely, if (21) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} ((M \otimes_A \underline{\Delta}) \circ \tilde{\rho})(m) &= m_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0]} 1_{[0']} \otimes m_{1} 1_{1} 1_{[1']}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes m_{[1](2)} 1_{[1](2)}) \cdot 1_A \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} m_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes m_{1} 1_{[1]}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes m_{[1](2)} 1_{[2]}) \cdot 1_A \\ &= m_{[0]} 1_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_A \otimes m_{1} 1_{[1]}) \cdot 1_A \otimes_A (1_A \otimes m_{[1](2)} 1_{[2]}) \cdot 1_A \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{=} m_{[0]} \otimes_A (1_A \otimes m_{[1]}) \cdot 1_A \otimes_A (1_A \otimes m_{[2]}) \cdot 1_A = \tilde{\rho}^2(m), \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\rho}$ is coassociative. Finally, $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfies the counit property if and only if $m = m_{[0]} \epsilon(m_{[1]} 1_{[1]}) 1_{[0]} = m_{[0]} \epsilon(m_{[1]})$. \square

4. PARTIAL MODULE ALGEBRAS

Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra, and $\kappa : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $\kappa(h \otimes a) = h \cdot a$ a k -linear map. We assume that $A \otimes H$ is a left unital A -bimodule under the action $b'(a \otimes h)b = b'a(h_{(1)} \cdot b) \otimes h_{(2)}$. Then the following condition is satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$ and $h \in H$ (see (9)):

$$(23) \quad h \cdot (ab) = (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot b).$$

The map $\mu : (A \otimes H) \otimes_A (A \otimes H) \rightarrow A \otimes H$, $\mu((a \otimes h) \otimes_A (b \otimes g)) = a(h_{(1)} \cdot b) \otimes h_{(2)}g$, is well-defined since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((a \otimes h)a' \otimes_A (b \otimes g)) &= \mu((a(h_{(1)} \cdot a') \otimes h_{(2)}) \otimes_A (b \otimes g)) \\ &= a(h_{(1)} \cdot a')(h_{(2)} \cdot b) \otimes h_{(3)}g \stackrel{(23)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot (a'b)) \otimes h_{(2)}g \\ &= \mu((a \otimes h) \otimes_A (a'b \otimes g)). \end{aligned}$$

$A \# H$ will be our notation for $A \otimes H$ together with the multiplication μ . We then write $\mu((a \otimes h) \otimes_A (b \otimes g)) = (a \# h)(b \# g)$. We also consider the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= A \otimes \eta_H : A \rightarrow A \otimes H, \eta(a) = a \otimes 1_H; \\ \underline{\eta} &= \pi \circ \eta : A \rightarrow \underline{A \otimes H}, \underline{\eta}(a) = a(1_H \cdot 1_A) \otimes 1_H. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $\kappa : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ satisfies (23).*

1) μ is right A -linear if and only if μ is associative if and only if

$$(24) \quad h \cdot (a(g \cdot b)) = (h_{(1)} \cdot a)((h_{(2)}g) \cdot b),$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $h, g \in H$.

2) η is right A -linear if and only if, for all $a \in A$,

$$(25) \quad 1_H \cdot a = a.$$

3) $\underline{\eta}$ is right A -linear if and only if, for all $a \in A$,

$$(26) \quad a(1_H \cdot 1_A) = 1_H \cdot a.$$

Proof. 1) μ is right A -linear if and only if

$$(a' \# h)((a \# g)b) = (a' \# h)(a(g_{(1)} \cdot b) \# g_{(2)}) = a'(h_{(1)} \cdot (a(g_{(1)} \cdot b))) \# h_{(2)}g_{(2)}$$

equals

$$((a' \# h)(a \# g))b = (a'(h_{(1)} \cdot a) \# h_{(2)}g)b = a'(h_{(1)} \cdot a)((h_{(2)}g_{(1)}) \cdot b) \# h_{(3)}g_{(2)},$$

for all $a', a, b \in A$ and $h, g \in H$. This is equivalent to (24). It is obvious that μ is associative if and only if μ is right A -linear.

2) η is right A -linear if and only if $\eta(a) = a \otimes 1_H$ equals $\eta(1_A)a = (1_A \otimes 1_H)a = 1_H \cdot a \otimes 1_H$, which is equivalent to (25).

3) $\underline{\eta}$ is right A -linear if and only if $\underline{\eta}(a) = a(1_H \cdot 1_A) \otimes 1_H$ equals

$$\underline{\eta}(1_A)a = (1_H \cdot 1_A \otimes 1_H)a = (1_H \cdot 1_A)(1_H \cdot a) \otimes 1_H \stackrel{(23)}{=} 1_H \cdot a \otimes 1_H,$$

and this is equivalent to (26). \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra and $\kappa : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ a k -linear map. A is termed a (left) weak H -module algebra if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:*

- 1) $(A \# H, \mu, \eta)$ is a left unital weak A -ring;
- 2) the conditions (23,24,26,27) are satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$ and $h, g \in H$;
- 3) the conditions (23,26,27,28) are satisfied, for all $a, b \in A$ and $h, g \in H$.

$$(27) \quad h \cdot 1_A = \epsilon(h)1_H \cdot 1_A;$$

$$(28) \quad h \cdot (g \cdot a) = (hg) \cdot a.$$

Proof. $1) \Rightarrow 2)$. (23,24,26) follow from Lemma 4.1. From (8), it follows that $(1_A \# h)1_A = (h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)}$ equals $((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H)(1_A \# h) = (1_H \cdot 1_A)(1_H \cdot 1_A) \# h = (1_H \cdot 1_A) \# h$, and (27) follows by applying $A \# \epsilon$.

$2) \Rightarrow 1)$. If (23,24,26) hold, then we only need to verify (8), by Lemma 4.1. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} ((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H)(a \# h) &= (1_H \cdot 1_A)(1_H \cdot a) \# h \stackrel{(23)}{=} (1_H \cdot a) \# h \stackrel{(26)}{=} a(1_H \cdot 1_A) \# h \\ &= a\epsilon(h_{(1)})(1_H \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} \stackrel{(27)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} = (a \# h)1_A; \\ (a \# h)((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H) &= a(h_{(1)} \cdot (1_H \cdot 1_A)) \# h_{(2)} \\ &\stackrel{(24)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h_{(2)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(3)} \stackrel{(23)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} = (a \# h)1_A. \end{aligned}$$

$2) \Rightarrow 3)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (g \cdot a) &\stackrel{(24)}{=} (h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)((h_{(2)}g) \cdot a) \stackrel{(27)}{=} \epsilon(h_{(1)})(1_H \cdot 1_A)((h_{(2)}g) \cdot a) \\ &= (1_H \cdot 1_A)((hg) \cdot a) = \epsilon(h_{(1)}g_{(1)})(1_H \cdot 1_A)((h_{(2)}g_{(2)}) \cdot a) \\ &\stackrel{(27)}{=} ((h_{(1)}g_{(1)}) \cdot 1_A)((h_{(2)}g_{(2)}) \cdot a) \stackrel{(23)}{=} (hg) \cdot a, \end{aligned}$$

and (28) follows.

$3) \Rightarrow 2)$. We have to show that (24) holds. Indeed, $h \cdot (a(g \cdot b)) \stackrel{(23)}{=} (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot (g \cdot b)) \stackrel{(28)}{=} (h_{(1)} \cdot a)((h_{(2)}g) \cdot b)$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra and $\kappa : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ a k -linear map. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) $(A \# H, \mu, \eta)$ is an A -ring;
- 2) $(A \# H, \mu, \eta)$ is a left unital weak A -ring;
- 3) A is a left H -module algebra; this means that the following conditions hold, for all $a, b \in A$ and $h, g \in H$: (23,25,28) and

$$(29) \quad h \cdot 1_A = \epsilon(h)1_A.$$

Proof. $1) \Rightarrow 2)$ is trivial; $3) \Rightarrow 1)$ is well-known (and easy to prove).

$2) \Rightarrow 3)$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that (23,24,25) hold. Using (8), we find that $h_{(1)}1_A \# h_{(2)} = (1_A \# h)1_A = ((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H)(1_A \# h) = (1_H \cdot 1_A)(1_H \cdot 1_A) \# h = (1_H \cdot 1_A) \# h = 1_A \# h$, hence (29) follows after we apply $A \# \epsilon$ to both sides. Taking $a = 1$ in (24) and using (29), we find (28). \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra and $\kappa : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ a k -linear map. A is called a (left) lax H -module algebra if the following*

equivalent properties are satisfied:

- 1) $(A \# H, \mu, \underline{\eta})$ is a left unital lax A -ring;
- 2) the conditions (23,24,26,30) are fulfilled, for all $a, b \in A$ and $h, g \in H$;
- 3) the conditions (23,24,26,31) are fulfilled, for all $a, b \in A$ and $h, g \in H$.

$$(30) \quad a(h \cdot 1_A) = 1_H \cdot (a(h \cdot 1_A));$$

$$(31) \quad a(h \cdot 1_A) = (1_H \cdot a)(h \cdot 1_A).$$

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2). (23,24,26) follow from Lemma 4.1. Using (7), we find

$$\begin{aligned} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} &= (a \# h)1_A = ((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H)((a \# h)1_A) \\ &= ((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H)(a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)}) \\ &= (1_H \cdot 1_A)(1_H \cdot (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A))) \# h_{(2)} \stackrel{(23)}{=} (1_H \cdot (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A))) \# h_{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

so (30) follows after applying $A \# \epsilon$.

2) \Rightarrow 3) follows immediately by (24).

3) \Rightarrow 1). It follows from the above computations that

$$\begin{aligned} ((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H)((a \# h)1_A) &= (1_H \cdot (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A))) \# h_{(2)} \\ &\stackrel{(24)}{=} (1_H \cdot a)(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} \stackrel{(31)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} = (a \# h)1_A. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} ((a \# h)1_A)((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H) &= (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)})((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H) \\ &\stackrel{(24)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h_{(2)} \cdot (1_H \cdot 1_A)) \# h_{(3)} \\ &\stackrel{(24)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h_{(2)} \cdot 1_A)(h_{(3)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(4)} \\ &\stackrel{(23)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} = (a \# h)1_A, \end{aligned}$$

as needed. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let A be a k -algebra, H a k -bialgebra and $\kappa : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ a k -linear map. We say that A is a (left) partial H -module algebra, or that H acts partially on A , if the following assertions are satisfied:*

- 1) $(A \# H, \mu, \eta)$ is a left unital lax A -ring;
- 2) A is a left lax H -module algebra and $1_H \cdot 1_A = 1_A$;
- 3) the conditions (23,24,25) are satisfied.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2). Let $(A \# H, \mu, \eta)$ be a left unital lax A -ring. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that (25) holds. Taking $a = 1$ in (25), we find that $1_H \cdot 1_A = 1_A$. This implies that $\eta = \underline{\eta}$, so $(A \# H, \mu, \underline{\eta})$ is a left unital lax A -ring.

2) \Rightarrow 1) follows also from the fact that $1_H \cdot 1_A = 1_A$ implies that $\eta = \underline{\eta}$.

1) \Rightarrow 3) follows immediately from Lemma 4.1.

3) \Rightarrow 1). We have to show that (7) holds:

$$\begin{aligned} ((a \# h)1_A)((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H) &= a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h_{(2)} \cdot (1_H \cdot 1_A)) \# h_{(3)} \\ &\stackrel{(25)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(h_{(2)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(3)} \stackrel{(23)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} = (a \# h)1_A; \\ ((1_H \cdot 1_A) \# 1_H)((a \# h)1_A) &= (1_H \cdot (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A))) \# h_{(2)} \\ &\stackrel{(25)}{=} a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)} = (a \# h)1_A. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.6. *A is an H-module algebra if and only if it is at the same time a weak and partial H-module algebra.*

Proof. One implication is obvious. Conversely, if A is a weak H-module algebra, then (23,26,27,28) are satisfied (cf. Proposition 4.2). If A is a partial H-module algebra, then (25) holds (cf. Proposition 4.5) and (29) can be verified using (27) and $1_H \cdot 1_A = 1_A$: $h \cdot 1_A = \epsilon(h)1_H \cdot 1_A = \epsilon(h)1_A$. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let A be a k-algebra, and H a finitely generated projective k-bialgebra. Then A is a (right) lax (resp. partial) H-comodule algebra if and only if A^{op} is a (left) lax (resp. partial) $H^{*\text{cop}}$ -module algebra.*

Proof. Let $\{h_i, h_i^* \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a dual basis for H. Then it is well-known (see for example [8, (1.5)]) that

$$(32) \quad \sum_i \Delta(h_i) \otimes h_i^* = \sum_{i,j} h_i \otimes h_j \otimes h_i^* * h_j^*.$$

We also have that $\text{Hom}(A, A \otimes H) \cong \text{Hom}(H^* \otimes A, A)$ as k-modules. The isomorphism can be described as follows. If $\kappa : H^* \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $\kappa(h^* \otimes a) = h^* \rightarrow a$ corresponds to $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$, $\rho(a) = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}$, then

$$(33) \quad h^* \rightarrow a = h^*(a_{[1]})a_{[0]} \text{ and } \rho(a) = \sum_i h_i^* \rightarrow a \otimes h_i.$$

Assume that A is a right lax H-comodule algebra; we will show that A^{op} is a left lax $H^{*\text{cop}}$ -module algebra. The multiplication in A^{op} will be denoted by a dot: $a \cdot b = ba$. We have to show that κ satisfies (23,24,26) and (30).

$$\begin{aligned} h^* \rightarrow (a \cdot b) &= h^*((ba)_{[1]})(ba)_{[0]} \stackrel{(9)}{=} h^*(b_{[1]}a_{[1]})b_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &= h_{(1)}^*(b_{[1]})h_{(2)}^*(a_{[1]})b_{[0]}a_{[0]} = (h_{(2)}^* \rightarrow a) \cdot (h_{(1)}^* \rightarrow b); \\ h^* \rightarrow (a \cdot (g^* \rightarrow b)) &= g^*(b_{[1]})h^* \rightarrow (b_{[0]}a) = g^*(b_{[2]})h^*(b_{[1]}a_{[1]})b_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} g^*(b_{[1](2)})h^*(b_{1}1_{[1]}a_{[1]})b_{[0]}1_{[0]}a_{[0]} \stackrel{(9)}{=} g^*(b_{[1](2)})h^*(b_{1}a_{[1]})b_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &= g^*(b_{[1](2)})h_{(1)}^*(b_{1})h_{(2)}^*(a_{[1]})b_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &= (h_{(1)}^* * g^*)(b_{[1]})h_{(2)}^*(a_{[1]})b_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &= (h_{(2)}^* \rightarrow a) \cdot ((h_{(1)}^* * g^*) \rightarrow b); \\ a \cdot (\epsilon \rightarrow 1_A) &= \epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]}a \stackrel{(12)}{=} \epsilon(a_{[1]})a_{[0]} = \epsilon \rightarrow a; \\ \epsilon \rightarrow (a \cdot (h^* \rightarrow 1_A)) &= h^*(1_{[1]})\epsilon \rightarrow (1_{[0]}a) = h^*(1_{[2]})\epsilon(1_{[1]}a_{[1]})1_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(12)}{=} h^*(1_{[2]})\epsilon(1_{[1]})\epsilon(a_{[1]})1_{[0]}a_{[0]} = h^*(1_{[1]})\epsilon(1_{[1']})\epsilon(a_{[1]})1_{[0']}1_{[0]}a_{[0]} \\ &\stackrel{(17)}{=} h^*(1_{[1]})\epsilon(a_{[1]})1_{[0]}a_{[0]} \stackrel{(12)}{=} h^*(1_{[1]})\epsilon(1_{[1']})1_{[0]}1_{[0']}a \\ &\stackrel{(18)}{=} h^*(1_{[1]})1_{[0]}a = a \cdot (h^* \rightarrow 1_A). \end{aligned}$$

If A is a right partial H-comodule algebra, then A^{op} is left partial $H^{*\text{cop}}$ -module algebra. It suffices to observe that, by (19), $\epsilon \rightarrow 1_A = \epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]} = 1_A$. Conversely, if A^{op} is a lax, resp. partial $H^{*\text{cop}}$ -module algebra, then A is a

lax, resp. partial H -comodule algebra. The computations are similar to the ones above, and are left to the reader. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Assume that H is finitely generated and projective as a k -module. Let A be a right lax H -comodule algebra, and consider the left lax $H^{*\text{cop}}$ -module algebra structure on A^{op} . Then ${}^*(A \otimes H)^{\text{op}}$ is isomorphic to $A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}$ as left unital lax A^{op} -rings, and ${}^*(\underline{A \otimes H})^{\text{op}}$ is isomorphic to $\underline{A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}}$ as A^{op} -rings. Consequently the categories $\underline{A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}} \mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{M}_A^H are isomorphic.*

Proof. We know from Section 2 that ${}^*(A \otimes H)^{\text{op}} \cong \#(H, A)^{\text{op}}$, with multiplication

$$(f \bullet g)(h) = g(h_{(2)})_{[0]} f(h_{(1)} g(h_{(2)})_{[1]})$$

in $\#(A, H)^{\text{op}}$. The map

$$\alpha : A^{\text{op}} \otimes H^{*\text{cop}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A), \quad \alpha(a \# h^*)(h) = ah^*(h)$$

is an isomorphism of k -modules since H is finitely generated and projective. The first statement follows after we show that α preserves the multiplication.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((a \# h^*)(b \# g^*))(h) &= \alpha(a \cdot (h_{(2)}^* \rightharpoonup b) \# h_{(1)}^* * g^*)(h) \\ &= (h_{(2)}^* \rightharpoonup b) a (h_{(1)}^* * g^*)(h) = h_{(2)}^* (b_{[1]}) b_{[0]} a h_{(1)}^* (h_{(1)}) g^*(h_{(2)}) \\ &= h^*(h_{(1)} b_{[1]}) g^*(h_{(2)}) b_{[0]} a = g^*(h_{(2)}) b_{[0]} \alpha(a \# h^*)(h_{(1)} b_{[1]}) \\ &= (\alpha(b \# g^*)(h_{(2)}))_{[0]} \alpha(a \# h^*)(h_{(1)} (\alpha(b \# g^*)(h_{(2)}))_{[1]}) \\ &= (\alpha(a \# h^*) \bullet \alpha(b \# g^*))(h). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 1.2 we see that ${}^*(\underline{A \otimes H})^{\text{op}} \cong (1_A {}^*(A \otimes H))^{\text{op}} = {}^*(A \otimes H)^{\text{op}} 1_A \cong (A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}) 1_A = \underline{A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}}$. \square

We will investigate the notion of partial H -action in the particular situation where $H = kG$ is a group algebra, and the one where $H = U(L)$ is the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra. In the first case we will recover the partial group actions introduced in [13], at least in the case where the involved ideals are generated by idempotents. In this particular situation, studied in [13], partial Galois theory can be developed.

Partial group actions. Let G be a group, and A a k -algebra. A *partial action* of G on A consists of a set of idempotents $\{e_\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\} \subset A$, and a set of isomorphisms $\alpha_\sigma : e_{\sigma^{-1}} A \rightarrow e_\sigma A$ such that $e_1 = 1_A$, $\alpha_1 = \text{id}_A$ and

$$(34) \quad e_\sigma \alpha_{\sigma\tau}(e_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}} a) = \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} \alpha_\tau(e_{\tau^{-1}} a));$$

$$(35) \quad \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} ab) = \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} a) \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} b);$$

$$(36) \quad \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}}) = e_\sigma,$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$ and $a, b \in A$. This slightly generalizes the definitions in [13] and [6]: in [13], it is assumed that A is commutative and that the isomorphisms α_σ are multiplicative; in [6], it is assumed that, for all $\sigma \in G$,

e_σ is central and α_σ is multiplicative. In both cases (35) and (36) are automatically satisfied.

Proposition 4.9. *Let A be a k -algebra, and G a group. Then there is a bijective correspondence between partial G -actions and partial kG -actions on A .*

Proof. Assume first that kG acts partially on A . For each $\sigma \in G$, let $e_\sigma = \sigma \cdot 1_A$. Taking $a = c = 1_A$ in (23) we find that $e_\sigma^2 = e_\sigma$. It follows from (25) that $e_1 = 1 \cdot 1_A = 1_A$. From (24), it follows that

$$(37) \quad \sigma \cdot (\tau \cdot a) = e_\sigma((\sigma\tau) \cdot a).$$

We then compute

$$(38) \quad \sigma \cdot e_{\sigma^{-1}} = \sigma \cdot (\sigma^{-1} \cdot 1_A) = e_\sigma 1_A = e_\sigma,$$

and

$$(39) \quad \sigma \cdot (e_{\sigma^{-1}} a) \stackrel{(23)}{=} (\sigma \cdot e_{\sigma^{-1}})(\sigma \cdot a) = e_\sigma(\sigma \cdot a).$$

It follows that the map $A \rightarrow A$, $a \mapsto \sigma \cdot a$ restricts to a map $\alpha_\sigma : e_{\sigma^{-1}} A \rightarrow e_\sigma A$. Observe that

$$(40) \quad \sigma \cdot (e_{\sigma^{-1}} a) \stackrel{(39)}{=} e_\sigma(\sigma \cdot a) = (\sigma \cdot 1_A)(\sigma \cdot a) \stackrel{(23)}{=} \sigma \cdot a.$$

Now

$$\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}}(\alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} a)) \stackrel{(39)}{=} \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}}(e_\sigma(\sigma \cdot a)) \stackrel{(40)}{=} \sigma^{-1} \cdot (\sigma \cdot a) \stackrel{(37)}{=} e_{\sigma^{-1}}((\sigma^{-1}\sigma) \cdot a) \stackrel{(25)}{=} e_{\sigma^{-1}} a.$$

In a similar way, we find that $\alpha_\sigma(\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}}(e_\sigma a)) = e_\sigma a$, and it follows that $\alpha_\sigma : e_{\sigma^{-1}} A \rightarrow e_\sigma A$ is an isomorphism. It is also clear that $\alpha_1(a) = 1 \cdot a = a$ (use (25)) and $\alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}}) = \sigma \cdot (e_{\sigma^{-1}}) = e_\sigma$ (use (38)). (35) can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} a) \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} b) &= (\sigma \cdot (e_{\sigma^{-1}} a))(\sigma \cdot (e_{\sigma^{-1}} b)) \\ &\stackrel{(23)}{=} (\sigma \cdot e_{\sigma^{-1}})(\sigma \cdot a)(\sigma \cdot e_{\sigma^{-1}})(\sigma \cdot b) = e_\sigma(\sigma \cdot a) e_\sigma(\sigma \cdot b) \\ &= (\sigma \cdot 1_A)(\sigma \cdot a)(\sigma \cdot 1_A)(\sigma \cdot b) \stackrel{(23)}{=} \sigma \cdot (ab) \stackrel{(40)}{=} \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} ab). \end{aligned}$$

We are left to prove that (34) holds:

$$\alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} \alpha_\tau(e_{\tau^{-1}} a)) \stackrel{(40)}{=} \sigma \cdot (\tau \cdot a) \stackrel{(37)}{=} e_\sigma((\sigma\tau) \cdot a) \stackrel{(40)}{=} e_\sigma \alpha_{\sigma\tau}(e_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}} a).$$

Conversely, assume that G acts partially on A , and define an action of kG on A by extending $\sigma \cdot a = \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} a) \in e_\sigma A$ linearly to kG . This defines a partial action of kG on A , since

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \cdot (ab) &= \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} ab) \stackrel{(35)}{=} \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} a) \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} b) = (\sigma \cdot a)(\sigma \cdot b); \\ \sigma \cdot (\tau \cdot a) &= \alpha_\sigma(e_{\sigma^{-1}} \alpha_\tau(e_{\tau^{-1}} a)) \stackrel{(34)}{=} e_\sigma \alpha_{\sigma\tau}(e_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}} a) = e_\sigma((\sigma\tau) \cdot a); \\ 1 \cdot a &= \alpha_1(e_1 a) = \alpha_1(a) = a. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that condition (36) establishes the bijectivity of the correspondence. \square

Partial $U(L)$ -actions. The following result was kindly communicated to us by the referee.

Proposition 4.10. *Let L be a Lie algebra over k and A a k -algebra. Let $H = U(L)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of L . Then every partial H -action on A is an H -action on A in the usual sense.*

Proof. One direction is clear. Conversely, suppose that $H = U(L)$ acts partially on A , i.e. conditions (23,24,25) are satisfied. For $x \in L$, condition (23) gives

$$x \cdot (ab) = (x_{(1)} \cdot a)(x_{(2)} \cdot b) = (x \cdot a)(1 \cdot b) + (1 \cdot a)(x \cdot b) \stackrel{(25)}{=} x \cdot a + x \cdot b,$$

for all $a, b \in A$. In particular, $x \cdot 1_A = x \cdot 1_A + x \cdot 1_A$, and thus $x \cdot 1_A = 0$. (24) then implies that, for all $x, y \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (y \cdot a) &= (x_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)((x_{(2)}y) \cdot a) = (x \cdot 1_A)(y \cdot a) + (1 \cdot 1_A)((xy) \cdot a) \\ &= (1 \cdot 1_A)((xy) \cdot a) \stackrel{(25)}{=} (xy) \cdot a, \end{aligned}$$

hence (28) follows. Also (29) follows easily: $x \cdot 1_A = 0 = \epsilon(x)1_A$. We conclude that A is an H -module algebra. \square

A Frobenius property. Let $i : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Recall that i is called Frobenius (or we say that S/R is Frobenius) if there exists a Frobenius system (ν, e) . This consists of an R -bimodule map $\nu : S \rightarrow R$ and an element $e = \sum e^1 \otimes_R e^2 \in S \otimes_R S$ such that $se = es$, for all $s \in S$, and $\sum \nu(e^1)e^2 = \sum e^1\nu(e^2) = 1$.

A Hopf algebra H over a commutative ring k is Frobenius if and only if it is finitely generated projective, and the space of integrals is free of rank one. If H is Frobenius, then there exists a left integral $t \in H$ and a left integral $\varphi \in H^*$ such that $\langle \varphi, t \rangle = 1$. The Frobenius system is $(\varphi, t_{(2)} \otimes \overline{S}(t_{(1)}))$. In particular, we have

$$(41) \quad \langle \varphi, t_{(2)} \rangle \overline{S}(t_{(1)}) = t_{(2)} \langle \varphi, \overline{S}(t_{(1)}) \rangle = 1_H.$$

For a detailed discussion, we refer to the literature, see for example [8, Sec. 3.2]. If $t \in H$ is a left integral, then it is easy to prove that

$$(42) \quad t_{(2)} \otimes \overline{S}(t_{(1)})h = ht_{(2)} \otimes \overline{S}(t_{(1)}),$$

for all $h \in H$ (see [8, Prop. 58] for a similar statement).

Assume that A is a left H -module algebra, and that H is Frobenius. Then the ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow A \# H$ is also Frobenius (see [8, Prop. 5.1]). Similar properties hold for a module algebra over a weak Hopf algebra and for an algebra with a partial group action (see [6, 7]). Our aim is now to prove such a statement for a partial module algebra over a Frobenius Hopf algebra H . Assume that we have an action of H on an algebra A satisfying (23,24,25). The smash product $A \# H$ has multiplication rule $(a \# h)(b \# g) = a(h_{(1)} \cdot b) \# h_{(2)}g$, and $\underline{A \# H}$ is the subalgebra generated by the elements of the form $(a \# h)1_A = a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)}$.

Proposition 4.11. *Let H be a Frobenius Hopf algebra, let t and φ be as above, and take a left partial H -module algebra A . Suppose that $h \cdot 1_A$ is central in A , for every $h \in H$, and that t satisfies the following cocommutativity property:*

$$(43) \quad t_{(1)} \otimes t_{(2)} \otimes t_{(3)} \otimes t_{(4)} = t_{(1)} \otimes t_{(3)} \otimes t_{(2)} \otimes t_{(4)}.$$

Then $\underline{A \# H} / A$ is Frobenius, with Frobenius system $(\underline{\nu} = (A \# \varphi) \circ \iota, \underline{e} = (1_A \# t_{(2)})1_A \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A)$, where $\iota : \underline{A \# H} \rightarrow A \# H$ is the inclusion map.

Proof. Applying Δ to the first tensor factor of (43), we see that

$$(44) \quad t_{(1)} \otimes t_{(2)} \otimes t_{(3)} \otimes t_{(4)} \otimes t_{(5)} = t_{(1)} \otimes t_{(2)} \otimes t_{(4)} \otimes t_{(3)} \otimes t_{(5)}.$$

For all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1_A \# t_{(2)})1_A \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A (a \# h)1_A \\ &= (1_A \# t_{(2)}) \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)})) (a \# h)1_A \\ &= (1_A \# t_{(2)}) \otimes_A ((1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))(a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)}))1_A \\ &= (1_A \# t_{(3)}) \otimes_A (\bar{S}(t_{(2)}) \cdot (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)})h_{(2)}))1_A \\ &= (t_{(3)} \cdot (\bar{S}(t_{(2)}) \cdot (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# t_{(4)})) \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)})h_{(2)}))1_A \\ &\stackrel{(24)}{=} ((t_{(3)} \cdot 1_A)((t_{(4)} \bar{S}(t_{(2)})) \cdot (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# t_{(5)})) \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)})h_{(2)}))1_A \\ &\stackrel{(44)}{=} ((t_{(4)} \cdot 1_A)((t_{(3)} \bar{S}(t_{(2)})) \cdot (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# t_{(5)})) \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)})h_{(2)}))1_A \\ &= ((t_{(2)} \cdot 1_A)a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# t_{(3)}) \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)})h_{(2)}))1_A \\ &= (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)(t_{(2)} \cdot 1_A) \# t_{(3)}) \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)})h_{(2)}))1_A \\ &\stackrel{(42)}{=} (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A)((h_{(2)}t_{(2)}) \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(3)}t_{(3)}) \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A \\ &= (a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) \# h_{(2)}t_{(2)})1_A \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A \\ &= (a \# h)(1_A \# t_{(2)}) \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A \\ &= (a \# h)1_A(1_A \# t_{(2)})1_A \otimes_A (1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that φ is a left integral, we easily find that

$$\underline{\nu}((a \# h)1_A) = \langle \varphi, h_{(2)} \rangle a(h_{(1)} \cdot 1_A) = \langle \varphi, h \rangle a(1_H \cdot 1_A) \stackrel{(25)}{=} \langle \varphi, h \rangle a.$$

The left A -linearity of $\underline{\nu}$ is obvious, and the right A -linearity can be established as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\nu}((a \# h)1_A b) &= \underline{\nu}((a \# h)b1_A) = \underline{\nu}((a(h_{(1)} \cdot b) \# h_{(2)}))1_A \\ &= \langle \varphi, h_{(2)} \rangle a(h_{(1)} \cdot b) = \langle \varphi, h \rangle a(1_H \cdot b) \stackrel{(25)}{=} \langle \varphi, h \rangle ab = \underline{\nu}((a \# h)1_A)b. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{\nu}(1_A \# t_{(2)})1_A ((1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A) = (\langle \varphi, t_{(2)} \rangle 1_A \# \bar{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A \\ & \stackrel{(41)}{=} (1_A \# 1_H)1_A = 1_A \# 1_H; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1_A \# t_{(2)}) \underline{\varphi}((1_A \# \overline{S}(t_{(1)}))1_A) &= (1_A \# t_{(2)}) \langle \varphi, \overline{S}(t_{(1)}) \rangle 1_A \\
&\stackrel{(41)}{=} (1_A \# 1_H)1_A = 1_A \# 1_H.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.12. It follows from (43) that t is cocommutative. Obviously (43) is satisfied if H is cocommutative.

5. PARTIAL HOPF-GALOIS THEORY

Let A be a right partial H -comodule algebra, and consider the corresponding A -coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = \underline{A \otimes H}$. The element $x = \rho(1_A) \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is grouplike since $\varepsilon(x) = \epsilon(1_{[1]})1_{[0]} = 1_A$ (using (19)) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x) &= (1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{1}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes 1_{[1](2)}) \\
&\stackrel{(11)}{=} (1_{[0]}1_{[0']} \otimes 1_{1}1_{[1']}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes 1_{[1](2)}) \\
&\stackrel{(9)}{=} (1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes 1_{[2]}) = (1_{[0']}1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1']}1_{[1]}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes 1_{[2]}) \\
&= (1_{[0']} \otimes 1_{[1']}) \otimes_A (1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]}) = x \otimes_A x.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $A \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$. Let $T = A^{\text{co}H} = \{b \in A \mid \rho(b) = b\rho(1_A)\}$. We have a morphism of corings $\text{can} : A \otimes_T A \rightarrow \underline{A \otimes H}$, $\text{can}(a \otimes b) = ab_{[0]} \otimes b_{[1]}$. From [4, Sec. 1 and Prop. 3.8] and [18, Sec. 3] we obtain immediately the following.

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be a right partial H -comodule algebra. We have a pair of adjoint functors (F, G) between the categories \mathcal{M}_T and \mathcal{M}_A^H . $G = (-)^{\text{co}H}$, and $F(N) = N \otimes_T A$ with coaction $\rho(n \otimes_T a) = (n \otimes_T a_{[0]}) \otimes a_{[1]}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *can is an isomorphism and A is faithfully flat as a left T -module;*
- 2) *(F, G) is an equivalence of categories and A is flat as a left T -module;*
- 3) *$\underline{A \otimes H}$ is flat as a left A -module, and A is a projective generator of \mathcal{M}_A^H . In this situation, we will say that A is a faithfully flat partial H -Galois extension of T .*

From now on, we assume that H is finitely generated and projective as a k -module, with finite dual basis $\{(h_i, h_i^*) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then H is a k -progenerator: H is a generator, because $\epsilon(1_H) = 1$ (see for example [10, I.1] for a discussion of (pro)generator modules). Suppose in addition that A is finitely generated and projective as a left T -module. Then can is an isomorphism if and only if its left dual ${}^*\text{can} : {}^*(\underline{A \otimes H}) \cong \underline{\#}(H, A) \rightarrow {}^*(A \otimes_T A) \cong {}_T\text{End}(A)^{\text{op}}$ is an isomorphism. Viewed as a map $\underline{\#}(H, A) \rightarrow {}_T\text{End}(A)^{\text{op}}$, ${}^*\text{can}$ is given by the formula ${}^*\text{can}(f)(a) = a_{[0]}f(a_{[1]})$. Composing ${}^*\text{can}$ with the isomorphism ${}^*(\underline{A \otimes H})^{\text{op}} \cong \underline{A^{\text{op}}\#H^{*\text{cop}}}$ (see Proposition 4.8), we obtain an A^{op} -ring isomorphism $\theta : \underline{A^{\text{op}}\#H^{*\text{cop}}} \rightarrow {}_T\text{End}(A)$. We compute the map

θ explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta((a \# h^*) \cdot 1_A)(b) &= \theta(a \cdot (h_{(2)}^* \rightharpoonup 1_A) \# h_{(1)}^*)(b) \\
&= (*\text{can} \circ \alpha)((h_{(2)}^* \rightharpoonup 1_A) a \# h_{(1)}^*)(b) = b_{[0]} \alpha((h_{(2)}^* \rightharpoonup 1_A) a \# h_{(1)}^*)(b_{[1]}) \\
&= b_{[0]} (h_{(2)}^* \rightharpoonup 1_A) a h_{(1)}^*(b_{[1]}) = b_{[0]} h_{(2)}^*(1_{[1]}) 1_{[0]} a h_{(1)}^*(b_{[1]}) \\
&\stackrel{(9)}{=} h^*(b_{[1]} 1_{[1]}) b_{[0]} 1_{[0]} a \stackrel{(9)}{=} h^*(b_{[1]}) b_{[0]} a.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall (see [9, Sec. 3]) that we can associate a Morita context $(T, {}^*\underline{\mathcal{C}}, A, Q, \tau, \mu)$ to an A -coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ with a fixed grouplike element x . We will now compute this Morita context for $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = \underline{A \otimes H}$ and $x = \rho(1_A)$, in the case where A is a right partial H -comodule algebra. First recall that $Q = \{q \in {}^*\underline{\mathcal{C}} \mid c_{(1)}q(c_{(2)}) = q(c)x, \text{ for all } c \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}\}$. We first compute Q as a submodule of $\#(H, A)$. $q \in \#(H, A)$ satisfies the equation

$$(45) \quad q(h) = 1_{[0]}q(h1_{[1]}),$$

for all $h \in H$. Let φ be the map in ${}^*(\underline{A \otimes H})$ corresponding to q . For $\gamma = a1_{[0]} \otimes h1_{[1]} \in \underline{A \otimes H}$, we have that $\Delta(\gamma) = (a1_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}1_{[1]}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes h_{(2)}1_{[2]})$, hence $q \in Q$ if and only if $\varphi(\gamma)x = a1_{[0]}q(h1_{[1]})(1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]}) = aq(h)1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]}$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{(1)}\varphi(\gamma_{(2)}) &= (a1_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}1_{[1]})q(h_{(2)}1_{[2]}) \\
&\stackrel{(9)}{=} a1_{[0]}q(h_{(2)}1_{[2]})_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}1_{[1]}q(h_{(2)}1_{[2]})_{[1]} \\
&\stackrel{(9)}{=} a(1_{[0]}q(h_{(2)}1_{[1]}))_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}(1_{[0]}q(h_{(2)}1_{[1]}))_{[1]} \\
&\stackrel{(45)}{=} aq(h_{(2)})_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}q(h_{(2)})_{[1]},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$. We conclude that Q is the submodule of $\#(H, A)$ consisting of the maps q that satisfy (45) and, for all $h \in H$,

$$(46) \quad q(h_{(2)})_{[0]} \otimes h_{(1)}q(h_{(2)})_{[1]} = q(h)1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]},$$

Now we want to describe Q as a submodule of $\underline{A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}} \cong \#(H, A)^{\text{op}}$. Take $\zeta = \sum_j a_j \# g_j^* \in \underline{A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}}$ corresponding to $q \in \#(H, A)^{\text{op}}$. Then

$$(47) \quad \sum_j a_j \# g_j^* = \sum_j (g_{j(2)}^* \rightharpoonup 1_A) a_j \# g_{j(1)}^*$$

where \rightharpoonup is the map from the left partial $H^{*\text{cop}}$ -action on A^{op} , corresponding to ρ , cf. Theorem 4.7. Then $\zeta \in Q$ if and only if $\sum_j a_{j[0]}g_j^*(h_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(1)}a_{j[1]} = \sum_j a_j g_j^*(h)1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]}$, for all $h \in H$. This is equivalent to stating that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_j a_{j[0]}g_j^*(h_{(2)})h^*(h_{(1)}a_{j[1]}) &= \sum_j a_{j[0]}g_j^*(h_{(2)})h_{(2)}^*(h_{(1)})h_{(1)}^*(a_{j[1]}) \\
&\stackrel{(33)}{=} \sum_j h_{(1)}^* \rightharpoonup a_j g_j^*(h_{(2)})h_{(2)}^*(h_{(1)}) = \sum_j h_{(1)}^* \rightharpoonup a_j (h_{(2)}^* * g_j^*)(h)
\end{aligned}$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j a_j g_j^*(h) 1_{[0]} h^*(1_{[1]}) &= \sum_j a_j 1_{[0]} g_j^*(h) h_{(2)}^*(1_{[1]}) h_{(1)}^*(1_H) \\ &\stackrel{(33)}{=} \sum_j a_j (h_{(2)}^* \rightharpoonup 1_A) g_j^*(h) h_{(1)}^*(1_H), \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$ and $h^* \in H^*$. We conclude that Q consists of the elements $\zeta = \sum_j a_j \# g_j^* \in A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}$ satisfying (47) and

$$(48) \quad \sum_j h_{(1)}^* \rightharpoonup a_j \# (h_{(2)}^* * g_j^*) = \sum_j a_j (h_{(2)}^* \rightharpoonup 1_A) \# h_{(1)}^*(1_H) g_j^*,$$

for all $h^* \in H^{*\text{cop}}$. It follows from [9, Lemma 3.1] that Q is a $(\underline{\#}(H, A), T)$ -bimodule. The left action is given by the multiplication in $\underline{\#}(H, A)$. We also know (cf. [9, Prop. 2.2]) that A is a $(T, \underline{\#}(H, A))$ -bimodule, with right $\underline{\#}(H, A)$ -action given by the formula $a \cdot f = a_{[0]} f(a_{[1]})$. We have well-defined maps

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : A \otimes_{\underline{\#}(H, A)} Q &\rightarrow T, \quad \tau(a \otimes q) = a \cdot q = a_{[0]} q(a_{[1]}); \\ \mu : Q \otimes_T A &\rightarrow \underline{\#}(H, A), \quad \mu(q \otimes a)(h) = q(h)a. \end{aligned}$$

$(T, \underline{\#}(H, A), A, Q, \tau, \mu)$ is a Morita context. The map τ is surjective if and only if there exists $q \in Q$ such that $q(1_H) = 1_A$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let A be a right partial H -comodule algebra, and assume that H is finitely generated and projective as a k -module. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) A is a faithfully flat partial H -Galois extension of T ;
- (2) θ is an isomorphism and A is a left T -progenerator;
- (3) the Morita context $(T, \underline{\#}(H, A), A, Q, \tau, \mu)$ is strict;
- (4) (F, G) is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Since H is finitely generated projective as a k -module, $A \otimes H$ is finitely generated and projective as a left A -module. Being a direct factor of $A \otimes H$, $\underline{A \otimes H}$ is also finitely generated and projective as a left A -module. $\underline{A \otimes H}$ is a left A -generator since $\varepsilon(1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]}) = 1_{[0]} \epsilon(1_{[1]}) = 1_A$ (use (13)). It follows that $\underline{A \otimes H}$ is a left A -progenerator, and the result then follows immediately from [4, Theorem 4.7]. \square

Assume that H is Frobenius. Then $H^{*\text{cop}}$ is also Frobenius. Assume, moreover, that $a 1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]} = 1_{[0]} a \otimes 1_{[1]}$ and $\langle \varphi, h g h' g' \rangle = \langle \varphi, h h' g g' \rangle$, for all $a \in A$ and $h, g, h', g' \in H$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.11 that $A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}} / A^{\text{op}}$ is Frobenius. The Morita context $(T, \underline{\#}(H, A) \cong \underline{A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}}, A, Q, \tau, \mu)$ is the Morita context associated to the A^{op} -ring $\underline{A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}}$, see [9, Theorem 3.5]. It follows from [9, Theorem 2.7] that $Q \cong A$ as k -modules. So we conclude that the Morita context is of the form $(T, \underline{A^{\text{op}} \# H^{*\text{cop}}}, A, A, \tau, \mu)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Brzeziński, The structure of corings. Induction functors, Maschke-type theorem, and Frobenius and Galois properties, *Algebr. Representat. Theory* **5** (2002), 389–410.
- [2] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid, Coalgebra bundles, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **191** (1998), 467–492.
- [3] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, “Corings and comodules”, *London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* **309**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [4] S. Caenepeel, *Galois corings from the descent theory point of view*, *Fields Inst. Comm* **43** (2004), 163–186.
- [5] S. Caenepeel and E. De Groot, Modules over weak entwining structures, *Contemp. Math.* **267** (2000), 31–54.
- [6] S. Caenepeel, E. De Groot, Corings applied to partial Galois theory, in “Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematics and its Applications, ICMA 2004”, S.L. Kalla and M.M. Chawla (Eds.), Kuwait University, Kuwait, 2005, 117–134.
- [7] S. Caenepeel, E. De Groot, Galois theory for weak Hopf algebras, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, to appear.
- [8] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and Shenglin Zhu, “Frobenius and separable functors for generalized module categories and nonlinear equations”, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1787**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [9] S. Caenepeel, J. Vercruysse and Shuanhong Wang, Morita theory for corings and cleft entwining structures, *J. Algebra* **276** (2004), 210–235.
- [10] F. DeMeyer, E. Ingraham, Separable algebras over commutative rings, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **181**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [11] M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, and P. Piccione, Partial representations and partial group algebras, *J. Algebra* **226** (2000), 251–268.
- [12] M. Dokuchaev and R. Exel, Associativity of crossed products by partial actions, enveloping actions and partial representations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 1931–1952.
- [13] M. Dokuchaev, M. Ferrero, and A. Pacques, Partial Galois theory of commutative rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, to appear.
- [14] M. Dokuchaev, N. Zhukavets, On finite degree partial representations of groups, *J. Algebra* **274** (2004), 309–334.
- [15] R. Exel, Twisted partial actions: a classification of regular C^* -algebraic bundles, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **74** (1997), 417–443.
- [16] M. E. Sweedler, The predual Theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki Theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **213** (1975), 391–406.
- [17] R. Wisbauer, Weak corings, *J. Algebra* **245** (2001), 123–160.
- [18] R. Wisbauer, On Galois corings, in “Hopf algebras in non-commutative geometry and physics”, Caenepeel S. and Van Oystaeyen, F. (eds.), *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.* **239**, Dekker, New York, 2004.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

E-mail address: scaenepe@vub.ac.be

URL: <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/~scaenepe/>

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

E-mail address: krjansse@vub.ac.be

URL: <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/~krjansse/>