

Torelli's theorem from the topological point of view

14. Juli 2018

Torelli's theorem states, that the isomorphism class of a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of the associated pair (X, Θ) , where X is the Jacobian variety of C and Θ is the canonical theta divisor. The aim of this note is to give a 'topological' proof of this theorem. Although Torelli's theorem is not a topological statement the proof to be presented gives a characterization of C in terms of perverse sheaves on the Jacobian variety X , which are attached to the theta divisor by a 'topological' construction.

For complexes $K, L \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ define $K * L \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ by the direct image complex $Ra_*(K \boxtimes L)$, where $a : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is the addition law of X . Let $K_*^0(X)$ be the tensor product of the Grothendieck group of perverse sheaves on X with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2}, t^{-1/2}]$. $K_*^0(X)$ is a commutative ring with ring structure defined by the convolution product, hence also the quotient ring $K_*(X)$ obtained by dividing the principal ideal generated by the constant perverse sheaf δ_X on X . Both rings $K_*^0(X)$ and $K_*(X)$ resemble properties of the homology ring of X endowed with the $*$ -product, but have a much richer structure. A sheaf complex $L \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ defines a class in $K_*(X)$ by the perverse Euler characteristic $\sum_{\nu} (-1)^{\nu} \cdot {}^pH^{\nu}(L) \cdot t^{\nu/2}$. Similar to the homology ring every irreducible closed subvariety Y has a class in $K_*(X)$ defined as the class of the perverse intersection cohomology sheaf δ_Y of Y . For details see [W]. This allows to consider the product

$$\delta_{\Theta} * \delta_{\Theta} \in K_*(X) .$$

Whereas the corresponding product in the homology ring of X is zero, this product turns out to be nonzero. $\delta_{\Theta} * \delta_{\Theta}$ is of the form $\sum_{\nu, \mu} A_{\nu, \mu} t^{\mu/2}$. Recall, the coefficients are irreducible perverse sheaves $A_{\nu, \mu}$ on X . For a perverse sheaf A on X , which is a sheaf complex on X , let $\mathcal{H}^i(A)$ denote the associated cohomology sheaves for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\kappa \in X(k)$ be the Riemann constant defined by $\Theta = \kappa - \Theta$. It depends on the choice of the Abel-Jacobi map $C \rightarrow X$.

Theorem: *Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 3$. There exists a unique irreducible perverse sheaf $A = A_{\nu, 0}$, among the coefficients of $\delta_{\Theta} * \delta_{\Theta}$, characterized by one of the following equivalent properties*

1. $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(A)$ is nonzero, but not a constant sheaf on X .
2. $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(A)$ is the skyscraper sheaf $H^1(C) \otimes \delta_{\{\kappa\}}$ with support in the point $\kappa \in X$.

Furthermore the support of the perverse sheaf A is $\kappa + C - C \subseteq X$.

Taking this for granted, Torelli's theorem is an immediate consequence. In fact the subvariety $C - C$ uniquely determines the curve C . This is well known. For instance, C is the unique one-dimensional fiber of the minimal desingularization of $C - C$. Since the theorem stated above allows to recover the sheaf complex A from Θ , this determines C from the data (X, Θ) via the support $\kappa + C - C$ of A for $g \geq 3$. The cases $g = 1, 2$ are trivial.

Remark: A is a direct summand of the complex $\delta_C * \delta_{\kappa-C}$. If C is not hyperelliptic, it splits into two irreducible perverse summands $\delta_{\{\kappa\}} \oplus A$. If C is hyperelliptic, then it splits into the three irreducible perverse summands $\delta_{\{\kappa\}} \oplus \delta_{\kappa+C-C} \oplus A$.

We now give a sketch of the theorem in the non-hyperelliptic case. For all integers $r \geq 0$ let $\delta_r \in K_*(X)$ be the class of the direct image complex $Rp_{r,*}\delta_{C^{(r)}}$, where $p_r : C^{(r)} = C^r/\Sigma_r \rightarrow X$ are the higher Abel-Jacobi maps from the symmetric quotient of C^r to X . For $r \leq g-1$ the image of p_r is the Brill-Noether subvariety $W_r = C + \dots + C$ (r copies) of X . If C is not hyperelliptic, then

$$\delta_{W_r} = \delta_r,$$

since p_r is a small morphism by the theorem of Martens [M] for $r \leq g-1$. In particular $\delta_\Theta = \delta_{g-1}$, which will be used in the proof. (In the hyperelliptic case $\delta_\Theta = \delta_{g-1} - \delta_{g-3}$. For this and further details we refer to [W]).

Proof of the theorem: Suppose C is not hyperelliptic.

1) Since the canonical morphism

$$\tau : C^{(i)} \times C^{(j)} \rightarrow C^{(i+j)}$$

is a finite ramified covering map, the direct image $R\tau_*\delta_{C^{(i)} \times C^{(j)}}$ decomposes into a direct sum of etale sheaves $\bigoplus_\nu m(i, j, \nu) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{i+j-\nu, \nu}$ by keeping track of the underlying action of the symmetric group Σ_{i+j} for the map $C^{i+j} \rightarrow C^{(i+j)}$ (see [W].4.1). If we apply $Rp_{i+j,*}$, this gives a formula for $\delta_i * \delta_j$. From $p_{i+j} \circ \tau = a \circ (p_i \times p_j)$, where $a : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is the addition law of X , one obtains for $i \geq j$ that the convolution $\delta_i * \delta_j$ is $\delta_{i+j} \oplus \delta_{i+j-1,1} \oplus \dots \oplus \delta_{i-j,j}$, where $\delta_{r,s} = Rp_{i+j,*}(\mathcal{F}_{r,s})$. A special case is

$$\delta_\Theta * \delta_\Theta = \delta_{g-1} * \delta_{g-1} = \delta_{2g-2} \oplus \delta_{2g-3,1} \oplus \dots \oplus \delta_{g-1,g-1}.$$

Another case is $\delta_1 * \delta_{2g-3} = \delta_{2g-2} \oplus \delta_{2g-3,1}$, and together this implies

$$\boxed{\delta_{2g-3} * \delta_1 \hookrightarrow \delta_\Theta * \delta_\Theta}.$$

2) The morphism $f : C \times C \rightarrow \kappa + C - C \subseteq X$, defined by $(x, y) \mapsto \kappa + x - y$, is semi-small. If C is not hyperelliptic, then f is a birational map, which blows down the diagonal to the point κ , and is an isomorphism otherwise. Hence the direct image $Rf_*(\delta_C \boxtimes \delta_C)$ is perverse on X , and necessarily decomposes $Rf_*(\delta_C \boxtimes \delta_C) = \delta_C * \delta_{\kappa - C} = \delta_{\{\kappa\}} \oplus \delta_{\kappa + C - C}$ such that

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_{\kappa + C - C}) \cong H^1(C) \otimes \delta_{\{\kappa\}}}$$

3) We claim $\delta_{2g-3} \equiv \delta_{\kappa - C}$ and $\delta_{2g-2} \equiv \delta_{\{\kappa\}}$ in $K_*(X)$ (ignoring Tate twists). These are the simplest cases of the duality theorem [W] 5.3. This implies

$$\boxed{\delta_{2g-3} * \delta_1 \equiv \delta_{\{\kappa\}} + \delta_{\kappa + C - C}},$$

in $K_*(X)$ using step 2.

Proof of the claim: By the theorem of Riemann-Roch $C^{(2g-3)} \xrightarrow{p} X$ is a \mathbb{P}^{g-2} -bundle over $\kappa - C$ and a \mathbb{P}^{g-3} -bundle over the open complement $X \setminus (\kappa - C)$. Hence $Rp_*\delta_{C^{(2g-3)}}$ is a direct sum of $\delta_{\kappa - C}$ and a sum of translates of constant sheaves on X . Similarly $pr_{2g-2}^{-1}(\{\kappa\}) = \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$, and pr_{2g-2} is a \mathbb{P}^{g-2} -bundle over the open complement $X \setminus \{\kappa\}$. Hence $\delta_{2g-2} \equiv \delta_{\{\kappa\}}$ in $K_*(X)$.

4) $\Theta = \kappa - \Theta$ and the definition of the convolution product implies

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_\Theta * \delta_\Theta) \cong IH^{2g-1}(\Theta) \otimes \delta_{\{\kappa\}}$$

for an arbitrary principally polarized abelian varieties (X, Θ) , where $IH^{2g-1}(\Theta)$ denotes the intersection cohomology group of Θ . If the singularities of Θ have codimension ≥ 3 in Θ , then ignoring Tate twists this implies (see [W] 2.9 and [W2])

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_\Theta * \delta_\Theta) \cong H^1(X) \otimes \delta_{\{\kappa\}}}$$

In fact by the Hard Lefschetz theorem $IH^{2g-3}(\Theta)$ and $H^1(X)$ have the same dimensions. A more elementary argument proves this for Jacobians including the case of hyperelliptic curves: For example for non-hyperelliptic curves we have $IH^\bullet(W_d) = H^\bullet(X, Rp_{d,*}\delta_{C^{(d)}}[-d]) = H^\bullet(C^{(d)}) = (\bigotimes^d H^\bullet(C))^{\Sigma_d}$, since p_d is a small morphism. Thus

$$IH^{d+\bullet}(W_d) \cong \bigoplus_{a+b=d} Sym^a \left(H^0(C)[1] \oplus H^2(C)[-1] \right) \otimes \Lambda^b(H^1(C)).$$

For $IH^{2d-1}(W_d)$ only $a = d - 1$ contributes, hence $IH^{2d-1}(W_d) \cong H^1(C) \cong H^1(X)$. (For the hyperelliptic case see [W] 4.2).

Conclusion: For curves C , which are not hyperelliptic, the perverse sheaf A defined by $\delta_{\kappa+C-C}$ satisfies all the assertions of the theorem. $\delta_{\kappa+C-C}$ is a direct summand of $\delta_{\Theta} * \delta_{\Theta}$ by step 1 and 3. By step 2 and 4 we obtain modulo constant sheaves on X

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_{\Theta} * \delta_{\Theta}) \equiv H^1(X) \otimes \delta_{\{\kappa\}} \equiv H^1(C) \otimes \delta_{\{\kappa\}} \equiv \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_{\kappa+C-C}) .$$

Since $K_*(X)$ is a quotient of $K_*^0(X)$, the last identity only holds modulo constant sheaves on X . But this suffices to imply the theorem.

Remark: In [W] we constructed a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -linear Tannakian category \mathcal{BN} attached to C equivalent to the category of finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -representations $Rep(G)$, where G is $Sp(2g-2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ or $Sl(2g-2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ depending on whether C is hyperelliptic or not. In this category δ_{Θ} corresponds to the alternating power $\Lambda^{g-1}(st)$ of the standard representation, and A corresponds to the adjoint representation.

Bibliography

[M] Martens H.H, On the variety of special divisors on a curve, Crelle 227 (1967), p.111 – 120.

[W] Weissauer R., Brill-Noether sheaves (preprint)

[W2] Weissauer R., Inner cohomology (preprint)