

**C\*-ALGEBRA-VALUED-SYMBOL PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL  
OPERATORS: ABSTRACT CHARACTERIZATIONS**

SEVERINO T. MELO AND MARCELA I. MERKLEN

ABSTRACT. Given a separable unital C\*-algebra  $C$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , let  $E_n$  denote the Banach-space completion of the  $C$ -valued Schwartz space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with norm  $\|f\|_2 = \|\langle f, f \rangle\|^{1/2}$ ,  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)^* g(x) dx$ . The assignment of the pseudodifferential operator  $A = a(x, D)$  with  $C$ -valued symbol  $a(x, \xi)$  to each smooth function with bounded derivatives  $a \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  defines an injective mapping  $O$ , from  $\mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  to the set  $\mathcal{H}$  of all operators with smooth orbit under the canonical action of the Heisenberg group on the algebra of all adjointable operators on the Hilbert module  $E_n$ . In this paper, we construct a left-inverse  $S$  for  $O$  and prove that  $S$  is injective if  $C$  is commutative. This generalizes Cordes' description [2] of  $\mathcal{H}$  in the scalar case. Combined with previous results of the second-named author, our main theorem implies that, given a skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $J$ , and if  $C$  is commutative, then any  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  which commutes with every pseudodifferential operator with symbol  $F(x + J\xi)$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol  $G(x - J\xi)$ , for some  $G \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . That was conjectured by Rieffel.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47G30 (46L65, 35S05).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $C$  be a separable unital C\*-algebra with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the set of all  $C$ -valued smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which, together with all their derivatives, are bounded by arbitrary negative powers of  $|x|$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We equip it with the  $C$ -valued inner-product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)^* g(x) dx,$$

which induces the norm  $\|f\|_2 = \|\langle f, f \rangle\|^{1/2}$ , and denote by  $E_n$  its Banach-space completion with this norm. The inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  turns  $E_n$  into a Hilbert module [5]. The set of all (bounded) adjointable operators on  $E_n$  is denoted  $\mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  denote the set of all smooth bounded functions from  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  to  $C$  whose derivatives of arbitrary order are also bounded. For each  $a$  in  $\mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , a linear mapping from  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to itself is defined by the formula

$$(1) \quad (Au)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $\hat{u}$  denotes the Fourier transform,

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int e^{-iy \cdot \xi} u(y) dy.$$

As usual, we denote  $A = a(x, D)$ . This operator extends to an element of  $\mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  whose norm satisfies the following estimate. There exists a constant  $k > 0$  depending only on  $n$  such that

$$(2) \quad \|A\| \leq k \sup\{ \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)\|; (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \leq (1, \dots, 1) \}.$$

This generalization of the Calderón-Vaillancourt Theorem [1] was proven by Merklen [7, 8], following ideas of Hwang [4] and Seiler [11]. The case of  $a(x, \xi) = F(x + J\xi)$ , where  $F \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $J$  is an  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix, had been proven earlier by Rieffel [10, Corollary 4.7].

The estimate (2) implies that the mapping

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{R}^{2n} \ni (z, \zeta) \mapsto A_{z, \zeta} = T_{-z} M_{-\zeta} A M_\zeta T_z \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$$

is smooth (i.e.,  $C^\infty$  with respect to the norm topology), where  $T_z$  and  $M_\zeta$  are defined by  $T_z u(x) = u(x - z)$  and  $M_\zeta u(x) = e^{i\zeta \cdot x} u(x)$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . That follows just like in the scalar case [3, Chapter 8].

**Definition 1.** We call Heisenberg smooth an operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  for which the mapping (3) is smooth, and denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the set of all such operators.

The elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  are the smooth vectors for the action of the Heisenberg group on  $\mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  given by the same formula as the standard one in the scalar case (i.e., when  $C$  is the algebra  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers and then  $E_n = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and we denote  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , respectively).

We therefore have a mapping

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} O : \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} \\ a & \longmapsto & O(a) = a(x, D). \end{array}$$

In the scalar case, it is well-known (this can be proven by a Schwartz-kernel argument) that if a pseudodifferential operator as in (1) vanishes on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $a$  must be zero. Let us show that this implies that  $O$  is injective for arbitrary  $C$ .

Given any complex-valued function  $u$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by  $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow C$  the function defined by

$$(5) \quad \tilde{u}(x) = u(x) \mathbf{1}_C,$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_C$  denotes the identity of  $C$ . If  $O(a) = 0$ , the fact that  $O(a)\tilde{u} = 0$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the injectivity of  $O$  in the scalar case imply that  $(x, \xi) \mapsto \rho(a(x, \xi))$  vanishes identically, for every  $\rho \in C^*$ , the (Banach-space) dual of  $C$ . We then get  $a \equiv 0$ , as we wanted.

Our results in this paper can now be summarized in the following theorem, proven in Sections 2 and 3.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $C$  be a unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra. There exists a linear mapping  $S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  such that  $S \circ O$  is the identity operator. If  $C$  is commutative, then  $S$  is injective.*

Since an injective left-inverse is an inverse, we get:

**Corollary 1.** *If  $C$  is commutative and an operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  is given, then the mapping defined in (3) is smooth if and only if  $A = a(x, D)$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .*

Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 were proven by Cordes [2] in the scalar case. His construction [3, Chapter 8] of the left-inverse  $S$  works also in the general case, if only one is careful enough to avoid mentioning trace-class or Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

That is what we show in Section 2. His proof that  $S$  is injective, however, strongly depends on the fact that, when  $C = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $E_n = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Hilbert space. In the general commutative case, the lack of an orthonormal basis in  $E_n$  can be bypassed by still reducing the problem to copies of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as shown at the beginning of Section 3. After this reduction, we are then able to follow the steps of Cordes' proof. Crucial for this strategy, our Lemma 5 is essentially [9, Lemma 2.4] specialized to commutative C\*-algebras. In Section 4, we explain how Theorem 1 implies, in the commutative case, an abstract characterization, conjectured by Rieffel [10], of a certain class of C\*-algebra-valued-symbol pseudodifferential operators.

The assumption of separability of  $C$  is needed to justify several results about vector-valued integration (see [8, Apêndice], for example), which are used without further comments throughout the text.

## 2. LEFT INVERSE FOR $O$

Given  $f$  and  $g$  functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $X$  ( $X$  will be either  $C$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), let  $f \otimes g : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$(6) \quad f \otimes g(x, y) = f(x)g(y).$$

Given a vector space  $V$  we denote by  $V \otimes^{\text{alg}} V$  the algebraic tensor product of  $V$  by itself. In case the elements of  $V$  are functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $X$ ,  $V \otimes^{\text{alg}} V$  is isomorphic to the linear span of all function as in (6) with  $f$  and  $g$  in  $V$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Given  $A \in B^*(E_n)$  mapping  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to itself, there exists a unique operator  $A \otimes I \in B^*(E_{2n})$  such that, for all  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$(7) \quad (A \otimes I)(f \otimes g) = Af \otimes g.$$

*Proof.* Let  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; C)$  denote the set of equivalence classes (for the equality almost everywhere equivalence) of Borel measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow C$  such that

$$\int \|f(x)\|^2 dx < \infty.$$

and let  $\|f\|_{L^2}$  denote the square root of the integral above.  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; C)$  equipped with  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$  is a Banach space, containing  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as a dense subspace. It follows from the inequality

$$\|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2}, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

that  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; C)$  embeds in  $E_n$  as a  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense subspace.

Let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  denote the set of all simple measurable functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $C$ . It takes an elementary but messy argument to show that  $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{S}_n$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ -dense in  $\mathcal{S}_{2n}$ , which is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; C)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; C)$ , it follows that  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; C) \otimes^{\text{alg}} L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; C)$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; C)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; C)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ -dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; C)$ , hence it is also  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense in  $E_{2n}$ .

Let  $\phi : C \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  be given by left multiplication on  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and denote by  $E_n \otimes_\phi E_n$  the interior tensor product (given by  $\phi$ ) as defined in [5, page 41]. The fact that  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $E_{2n}$  allows us to identify  $E_n \otimes_\phi E_n$  with  $E_{2n}$  (notice that the space  $N$  in [5, Proposition 4.5] consists only of 0 in this case).

Given  $A \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$ , it now follows from the more general result around [5, (4.6)] that there exists a unique  $A \otimes I \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_{2n})$  such that  $A \otimes I(f \otimes g) = Af \otimes g$  for all  $f \otimes g \in E_n \overset{\text{alg}}{\otimes} E_n$ . In particular, we get (7) for all  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . That (7) uniquely determines  $A \otimes I$  also follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n) \overset{\text{alg}}{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $E_{2n}$ .  $\square$

Let us denote by  $\gamma_1(t)$  and  $\gamma_2(t)$ , respectively, the fundamental solutions of  $(\partial_t + 1)$  and  $(\partial_t + 1)^2$  given by:

$$\gamma_1(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-t}, & \text{if } t \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2(t) = \begin{cases} te^{-t}, & \text{if } t \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}.$$

We then define  $u$  and  $v$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$(8) \quad v(\xi, \eta) = \gamma_1(\xi - \eta)/(1 + i\xi)^2$$

and

$$(9) \quad u(x, \eta) = (1 + \partial_\eta)[(1 - i\eta)^2 \gamma_2(-x) \gamma_2(-\eta) e^{ix\eta}].$$

The following lemma can be proven exactly like in the scalar case [3, Section 8.3].

**Lemma 2.** *If  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^2)$  are such that  $(1 + \partial_z)^2(1 + \partial_\zeta)^2 a(z, \zeta) = b(z, \zeta)$ , then we have, for all  $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,*

$$(10) \quad a(z, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{u(x, \eta)} e^{ix\xi} b(x + z, \xi + \zeta) v(\xi, \eta) d\xi dx d\eta.$$

We also omit the proof of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *There exists a sequence  $v_l$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \overset{\text{alg}}{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $v_l \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and*

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(x, \eta)| \cdot |v(\xi, \eta) - v_l(\xi, \eta)| d\xi dx d\eta = 0.$$

We are ready to define  $S$  when  $n = 1$ . Given  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $B = f(0, 0)$ , where  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(E_1)$  denotes the smooth function

$$(11) \quad f(z, \zeta) = (1 + \partial_z)^2(1 + \partial_\zeta)^2 A_{z, \zeta},$$

with  $A_{z, \zeta}$  as in (3). The group property allows one to show that  $f(z, \zeta) = B_{z, \zeta}$  for all  $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . We then define

$$(12) \quad (SA)(z, \zeta) = \sqrt{2\pi} \langle \tilde{u}, (B_{z, \zeta} F^* \otimes I) \tilde{v} \rangle,$$

where  $F \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_1)$ ,  $F^* = F^{-1}$ , denotes the Fourier transform, and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product of  $E_2$ . The meaning of  $\tilde{\cdot}$  was defined in (5) and we are regarding, as explained in the proof of Lemma 1,  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; C)$  as a subspace of  $E_2$ .

It is not hard to see that  $S$  maps  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^2)$  (this uses the inequality  $\|A \otimes I\| \leq \|A\|$ , which follows from [5, (4.6)]). Given  $a \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , let  $c = SOa$ . To prove that  $S \circ O$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , it is enough to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [a(z, \zeta) - c(z, \zeta)] f(z, \zeta) dz d\zeta = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Indeed, if this is the case, then  $(z, \zeta) \mapsto \rho(a(z, \zeta) - c(z, \zeta))$  vanishes identically for all  $\rho \in C^*$ , and the equality  $a = c$  will therefore hold.

For each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $c_l(z, \zeta) = \sqrt{2\pi} \langle \tilde{u}, (B_{z, \zeta} F^* \otimes I) \tilde{v}_l \rangle$ , where  $v_l$  is the sequence given by Lemma 3, and  $B_{z, \zeta}$  is what one gets in (11) making  $A = O(a)$ . Since, for every  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int [c_l(z, \zeta) - c(z, \zeta)] f(z, \zeta) dz d\zeta \right\| \leq \\ & \|u\|_{L^2} \cdot \|B\| \cdot \|v - v_l\|_{L^2} \cdot \int |f(z, \zeta)| dz d\zeta \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int [c_l(z, \zeta) - a(z, \zeta)] f(z, \zeta) dz d\zeta = 0.$$

It follows from (2) that  $B = O(b)$ , for  $b(x, \xi) = (1 + \partial_x)^2 (1 + \partial_\xi)^2 a(x, \xi)$ . We then get  $B_{z, \zeta} = O(b_{z, \zeta})$ , for  $b_{z, \zeta}(x, \xi) = b(x + z, \xi + \zeta)$ . Hence, if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$[(B_{z, \zeta} F^* \otimes I)(\varphi \otimes \psi)](x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{ix\xi} b(x + z, \xi + \zeta) \varphi(\xi) \psi(\eta) d\xi.$$

Using that  $v_l \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \overset{\text{alg}}{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we then get

$$c_l(z, \zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{u(x, \xi)} e^{ix\xi} b(x + z, \xi + \zeta) v_l(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta.$$

By Lemma 2, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int [c_l(z, \zeta) - a(z, \zeta)] f(z, \zeta) dz d\zeta = \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{u(x, \eta)} e^{ix\xi} b(x + z, \xi + \zeta) (v(\xi, \eta) - v_l(\xi, \eta)) d\xi d\eta \right] f(z, \zeta) dz d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(x, \xi, \eta) \mapsto \overline{u(x, \eta)} (v(\xi, \eta) - v_l(\xi, \eta))$  belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we may interchange the order of integration and obtain that the above expression is bounded by

$$\sup_{x, \xi} \|b(x, \xi)\| \cdot \|f\|_{L^1} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(x, \eta)| \cdot |v(\xi, \eta) - v_l(\xi, \eta)| d\xi d\eta,$$

which tends to zero, by Lemma 3, as we wanted.

This proves that  $S$  is a left-inverse for  $O$  when  $n = 1$ . We now comment on some of the changes needed to extend these definitions and proof for arbitrary  $n$ . We have to replace  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively, by  $u_n(x, \eta) = u(x_1, \eta_1) \cdots u(x_n, \eta_n)$  and  $v_n(\xi, \eta) = v(\xi_1, \eta_1) \cdots v(\xi_n, \eta_n)$ . In the definitions on  $S$  and  $c_l$ , we replace  $\sqrt{2\pi}$  by  $(2\pi)^{n/2}$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product of  $E_{2n}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$ . The new  $B_{z, \zeta}$  is defined by

$$(13) \quad B_{z, \zeta} = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \partial_{z_j})^2 (1 + \partial_{\zeta_j})^2 \right] A_{z, \zeta}.$$

The integral in Lemma 2 is now an integral over  $\mathbb{R}^{3n}$  and the equality in (10) holds for all  $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . The integral in Lemma 3 is also over  $\mathbb{R}^{3n}$ , and  $v_l$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \overset{\text{alg}}{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

## 3. COMMUTATIVE CASE

In this section, we assume that  $C$  is equal to  $C(\Omega)$ , the algebra of continuous functions on a Hausdorff compact topological space  $\Omega$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Omega$  and each  $f \in \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we define  $V_\lambda f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$(V_\lambda f)(x) = [f(x)](\lambda), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$V_\lambda$  extends to a continuous linear mapping  $V_\lambda : E_n \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with  $\|V_\lambda\| \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let there be given  $T \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$ ,  $f \in E_n$  and  $\lambda \in \Omega$ . If  $V_\lambda f = 0$ , then  $V_\lambda T f = 0$ .*

*Proof:* The equality  $\langle V_\lambda g, V_\lambda g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \langle g, g \rangle(\lambda)$  holds for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; hence also for all  $g \in E_n$ . We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_\lambda T f, V_\lambda T f \rangle &= \langle T f, T f \rangle(\lambda) = \langle f, T^* T f \rangle(\lambda) = |\langle f, T^* T f \rangle(\lambda)| \leq \\ &\sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle(\lambda)} \sqrt{\langle T^* T f, T^* T f \rangle(\lambda)} = \sqrt{\langle V_\lambda f, V_\lambda f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \sqrt{\langle V_\lambda T^* T f, V_\lambda T^* T f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies our claim.  $\square$

Given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be defined by  $[\tilde{\varphi}(x)](\lambda) = \varphi(x)$ , for all  $\lambda \in \Omega$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It is obvious that  $V_\lambda \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$ . Given  $T \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  and  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , let  $T_\lambda$  denote the unique linear mapping defined by the requirement that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{T} & E_n \\ \downarrow V_\lambda & & \downarrow V_\lambda \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{T_\lambda} & L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{array}$$

commutes. This is well defined by Lemma 4 and because the left vertical arrow in the above diagram is surjective.

**Lemma 5.** *For each  $T \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  and each  $\lambda \in \Omega$ ,  $T_\lambda$  extends to a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, we have*

$$(14) \quad \|T\| = \sup \{ \|T_\lambda\|; \lambda \in \Omega \}.$$

*Proof:* Given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  denote the element of  $E_n$  defined after Lemma 4. We have:

$$\|T_\lambda \varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|V_\lambda T \tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|T \tilde{\varphi}\|_2 \leq \|T\| \cdot \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_2 = \|T\| \cdot \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This implies that  $T_\lambda$  extends to a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with norm bounded by  $\|T\|$ .

Let  $M$  denote the right-hand side of (14). For each  $\lambda \in \Omega$  and each  $f \in \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , using Lemma 4 and the first statement in its proof, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T f, T f \rangle(\lambda)| &= |\langle V_\lambda T f, V_\lambda T f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| = \\ &|\langle T_\lambda V_\lambda f, T_\lambda V_\lambda f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| \leq \|T_\lambda\| \cdot \|V_\lambda f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M \|f\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum in  $\lambda$  on the left, we get  $\|T f\|_2 \leq M \|f\|_2$ .  $\square$

Our goal in this Section is to prove that the mapping  $S$  defined in the previous section is injective for  $C = C(\Omega)$ . This will finish the proof of Theorem 1.

Given  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $SA = 0$ , we want to show that  $A = 0$ . In view of the following lemma, it suffices to show that  $B = 0$ , where  $B = B_{0,0}$  ( $B_{z,\zeta}$  as defined

on (13)). Lemma 6 is [3, Proposition 3.1] when  $C = \mathbb{C}$ . The same proof works for any C\*-algebra  $C$ .

**Lemma 6.** *If  $Y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $Y_{z,\zeta} = T_{-z}M_{-\zeta}Y M_{\zeta}T_z$  ( $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ), and either  $(1 + \partial_{z_j})Y_{z,\zeta} \equiv 0$  or  $(1 + \partial_{\zeta_j})Y_{z,\zeta} \equiv 0$  for some  $j$ , then  $Y = 0$*

By Lemma 5, in order to prove that  $B = 0$ , it suffices to show that  $B_\lambda = 0$  for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$ . For  $z$  and  $\zeta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $E_{z,\zeta} = M_{\zeta}T_z$ . We then have  $B_{z,\zeta} = E_{z,\zeta}^* B E_{z,\zeta}$ . Using that  $E_{z,\zeta} F^* = e^{iz \cdot \zeta} F^* E_{\zeta, -z}$ , we may rewrite equation  $SA = 0$  as

$$e^{iz \cdot \zeta} \langle (E_{z,\zeta} \otimes I) \tilde{u}_n, (B F^* E_{\zeta, -z} \otimes I) \tilde{v}_n \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } (z, \zeta).$$

Evaluating this equation at  $\lambda$  gives:

$$(15) \quad e^{iz \cdot \zeta} \langle (E_{z,\zeta} \otimes I) u_n, (B_\lambda F^* E_{\zeta, -z} \otimes I) v_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0, \quad \text{for all } (z, \zeta).$$

For a fixed  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  to be chosen soon, and for each bounded operator  $D$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define

$$(16) \quad \Xi(D) = \int \varphi(z, \zeta) e^{iz \cdot \zeta} \langle (E_{z,\zeta} \otimes I) u_n, (D F^* E_{\zeta, -z} \otimes I) v_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dz d\zeta.$$

In case  $D$  is finite-rank, and hence we may take  $b^1, \dots, b^k, c^1, \dots, c^k$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that, for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$D F^* f = \sum_{j=1}^k b^j \langle c^j, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

we have:  $\Xi(D) =$

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \iint b^j(x) \bar{c}^j(\xi) \iiint e^{iz \cdot \zeta} \varphi(z, \zeta) e^{-ix \cdot \zeta} \bar{u}_n(x - z, \eta) e^{-iz \cdot \xi} v_n(\xi - \zeta, \eta) dz d\zeta d\eta d\xi dx.$$

Making the change of variables  $x - z = z'$ ,  $\xi - \zeta = \zeta'$  on the inner triple integral above, we get:

$$(17) \quad \Xi(D) = \sum_{j=1}^k \iint b^j(x) \bar{c}^j(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \iiint e^{iz \cdot \zeta} \varphi(x - z, \xi - \zeta) \bar{u}_n(z, \eta) v_n(\zeta, \eta) dz d\zeta d\eta d\xi dx.$$

For arbitrary  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $\varphi$  be defined by

$$(1 + \partial_x)^2 (1 + \partial_\xi)^2 [e^{ix \cdot \xi} \bar{\chi}(-x) \psi(-\xi)] = \varphi^\sharp(x, \xi), \quad \varphi(x, \xi) = \varphi^\sharp(-x, -\xi).$$

Using the higher dimensional version of Lemma 2 mentioned at the end of Section 2, the right side of (17) becomes:

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \iint b^j(x) \bar{c}^j(\xi) \bar{\chi}(x) \psi(\xi) dx d\xi = \langle \chi, D F^* \psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This shows that, for this choice of  $\varphi$ ,

$$(18) \quad \Xi(D) = \langle \chi, D F^* \psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

whenever  $D$  has finite rank.

Let  $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For each positive integer  $j$ , let  $P_j$  denote the orthogonal projection onto the span of  $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_j\}$ . Cordes proved ([3, Chapter 8], between equations (3.27) and (3.29)) that, for any bounded

operator  $T$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , one has  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Xi(P_j T P_j) = \Xi(T)$ . Applying this to  $T = B_\lambda$  and using (18), we get

$$\Xi(B_\lambda) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Xi(P_j B_\lambda P_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \chi, P_j B_\lambda P_j F^* \psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \langle \chi, B_\lambda F^* \psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By (15), the left-hand side of this equality vanishes. Since  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  are arbitrary test functions, this shows that  $B_\lambda = 0$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1 (recall our remarks before and after the statement of Lemma 6).

#### 4. RIEFFEL'S CONJECTURE

Given a skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $J$  and  $F \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (i.e.,  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow C$  is smooth and, together with all its derivatives, is bounded), let us denote by  $L_F$  the pseudodifferential operator  $a(x, D) \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  with symbol  $a(x, \xi) = F(x + J\xi)$ . At the end of Chapter 4 in [10], Rieffel made a conjecture that may be rephrased as follows: any operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  that is Heisenberg-smooth and commutes with every operator of the form  $R_G = b(x, D)$ , where  $b(x, \xi) = G(x - J\xi)$  with  $G \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , is of the form  $A = L_F$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Using Cordes characterization of the Heisenberg-smooth operators in the scalar case, we have shown [6] that Rieffel's conjecture is true when  $C = \mathbb{C}$ . It has been further proven by the second-named author [7] that Rieffel's conjecture is true for any separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$  for which the operator  $O$  defined in (4) is a bijection. Under this assumption, a result actually stronger than what was conjectured by Rieffel was proven in [7, Theorem 3.5]: To get  $A = L_F$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , one only needs to require that a given  $A \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  is "translation-smooth" (i.e., the mapping  $\mathbb{R}^n \ni z \mapsto T_{-z} A T_z \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  is smooth) and commutes with every  $R_G$  with  $G \in \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Combining this result with our Theorem 1, we then get:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $C$  be a unital commutative separable  $C^*$ -algebra. If a given  $A \in \mathcal{B}^*(E_n)$  is translation-smooth and commutes with every  $R_G$ ,  $G \in \mathcal{S}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $A = L_F$  for some  $F \in \mathcal{B}^C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Severino Melo was partially supported by the Brazilian agency CNPq (Processo 306214/2003-2), and Marcela Merklen had a posdoc position sponsored by CAPES-PRODOC. We thank Ricardo Bianconi, Ruy Exel and Jorge Hounie for several helpful conversations.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. CALDERÓN & R. VAILLANCOURT, *On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators*; J. Math. Soc. Japan **23** (1971), 374-378.
- [2] H. O. CORDES, *On pseudodifferential operators and smoothness of special Lie-group representations*, Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), 51-69.
- [3] H. O. CORDES, *The technique of Pseudodifferential Operators*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **202**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [4] I. L. HWANG, *The  $L^2$ -boundedness of pseudodifferential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **302**-1 (1987), 55-76.
- [5] C. LANCE, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules - A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **210**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [6] S. T. MELO & M. I. MERKLEN, *On a conjectured Beals-Cordes-type characterization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130**-7 (2002), 1997-2000.
- [7] M. I. MERKLEN, *Boundedness of Pseudodifferential Operators of  $C^*$ -Algebra-Valued Symbol*; Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **135**-6 (2005), 1279-1286.



- [8] M. I. MERKLEN, Resultados motivados por uma caracterização de operadores pseudo-diferenciais conjecturada por Rieffel, Tese de Doutorado, Universidade de São Paulo, 2002, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.OA/0309464>.
- [9] M. RIEFFEL, *Induced Representations of C\*-Algebras*, Advances in Math. **13** (1974), 176-257.
- [10] M. RIEFFEL, Deformation Quantization for Actions of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **506**, 1993.
- [11] J. SEILER, *Continuity of edge and corner pseudodifferential operators*, Math. Nachr. **205** (1999), 163-182.

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística  
Universidade de São Paulo  
Caixa Postal 66281  
05311-970 São Paulo, Brazil.  
Email: toscano@ime.usp.br, marcela@ime.usp.br