

# FORECASTING MISSING OBSERVATIONS FOR AUTOREGRESSIVE MODELS BY CONTROL METHOD

VYACHESLAV M. ABRAMOV AND FIMA C. KLEBANER

ABSTRACT. The paper provides a new method for forecasting missing observations in autoregressive models. An advantage of our approach lies in easily computable representations and flexibility of application for different structures of missing data.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Analysis and forecasting missing data is a well-known area of statistics going back to the earlier works of Bartlett [4], Tocher [28], Wilks [30], Yates [31] and many others (see review paper [1]). There is a large number of review papers and books related to this subject, we mention a few of them [1], [2], [11], [19] and [20]. There are many approaches to analysis of missing data known from the literature. They include Bayes methods for data analysis [6], maximum likelihood method, multiple imputations methods, conventional methods, methods of non-parametric regression and other methods, e.g. [2], [8], [29].

In the present paper we study autoregressive time series with missing observations by control method. This method is developed for different types of autoregressive models including  $AR(p)$  models in the case of scalar variables and  $AR(1)$  in the case of vector-valued observations. Forecasting missing data in autoregressive time series has an especial

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 62M10, 62M20.

*Key words and phrases.* Missing observations, Autoregressive models, Control method, Prediction.

attention in the literature, see [11], [13], [14] [15], [18], [20], [22], [24] and [27] for a few. The typical approach for forecasting missing data in autoregressive models considered in the most of papers is based on maximization of likelihood ratio. The approach of the present paper, named the *control method* allows to obtain easily computable representations for missing data.

It is known that a one-dimensional AR( $p$ ) model can be transformed to the special  $p$ -dimensional AR(1) model (e.g. Anderson [3]). In the present paper we consider both one-dimensional AR( $p$ ) models and multidimensional AR(1) models nevertheless. The representations obtained in the case of one-dimensional AR( $p$ ) models are simpler for computer realization than that for multidimensional AR(1) model. Whereas the representation for a one-dimensional AR( $p$ ) model is the recurrence formulae and can be calculated directly, the computer realization for multidimensional AR(1) model requires two steps. In the first step we calculate the vector norm, and then a vector corresponding to a missing value is calculated in the second step.

We assume that in the time series:

$$(1.1) \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, \tilde{x}_{n_0+1}, \tilde{x}_{n_0+2}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{N-1}, x_N = \bar{x}.$$

the first  $n_0$  observations are known/ observed as well as the last value  $x_N = \bar{x}$  is assumed to be given too. The values  $\tilde{x}_{n_0+1}, \tilde{x}_{n_0+2}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{N-1}$  are missing.

This set up may have various applications, for example in economics and finance, where historical data indices are given, while the last value can be obtained from financial derivatives, or might be set externally. In finance, for example, on basis of historical volatilities and a future value obtained from options one predicts the dynamics of the volatility.

Although the paper concerns with data structure (1.1), the results can be extended to different more complicated structures of missing data. Indeed, consider for instance the following data

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} x_1, & \dots, & x_{n_0}, & \tilde{x}_{n_0+1}, & \dots, & \tilde{x}_{n_1}, \\ x_{n_1+1}, & \dots, & x_{n_2}, & \tilde{x}_{n_2+1}, & \dots, & \tilde{x}_{N-1}, & x_N = \bar{x}. \end{array}$$

Here in (1.2) the data indexed from 1 to  $n_0$  and from  $n_1 + 1$  to  $n_2$  are known, the last point  $x_N = \bar{x}$  is assigned, and the rest of data are missing. Then we have two groups of missing data, and standard decomposition arguments can be used to reduce analysis to that of a single group with missing data.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the known methods of forecasting missing observation as well as the method of present paper comparing those known methods. In the following section we discuss forecasting missing data by control method in order of increasing complexity. Specifically in Section 3 we study the problem for the simplest AR(1) model of time series, and in Section 4 we extend the results for AR( $p$ ) models,  $p \geq 1$ . The multi-dimensional observations of AR(1) model are studied in Section 5. Then, in Section 6 the problem is solved for models of regression. The results of this section are good understandable and simple. In Section 7 two numerical examples are considered, where autoregressive models are used to forecast (interpolate) missing values in different volatility dynamics of financial data and archaeology.

## 2. REVIEW OF PREVIOUS APPROACHES MISSING OBSERVATIONS IN AUTOREGRESSIVE MODELS

There is a large number of papers related to forecasting missing observation in autoregressive models.

Jones [11] provides the method for calculation of exact likelihood function of stationary ARMA time series. The method is based on Akaike's Markovian representation and application of Kalman's recursions. (The known advantage of Kalman's recursions is that the matrices and vectors being used in calculations have dimensions  $\max\{p, q + 1\}$ , where  $p$  is the order of auto-regression, and  $q$  is the order of moving average, rather than dimensions corresponding to the number of observations.) Inclusion of observation error into a general model enables easily to extend it to models containing missing observations. Then, in order to find the maximum likelihood estimates, there is used a non-linear optimization program.

Kohn and Ansley [18] study interpolation missing data for non-stationary ARIMA models. The likelihood ratio for these models does not exist in usual sense, and the authors define marginal likelihood ratio. They show, that marginal likelihood approach reduces in some cases to the usual likelihood approach, and develop the known techniques for the case of missing data. Forecasting missing observations in [18] is based on modified Kalman filter, which has been introduced in the earlier paper of these authors [17].

Shin and Pantula [26] discuss the testing problem for a unit root in an autoregressive model where data are available for each  $m$ th period. The idea of these authors is to use characteristic polynomials and the properties of their coefficients. Under special assumption [26] estimated parameters of  $\text{ARMA}(p, p-1)$  by fitting an  $\text{ARMA}(1, p-1)$  model. By using a Monte Carlo simulation, the results were compared by those obtained in earlier papers of Pantula and Hall [21], Said and Dickey [23] and Shin and Fuller [25], who also studied the same testing problem.

Forecasting in autoregressive models has also been studied by Kharin and Huryin [14], [15] and [16]. In [14], the problem has been studied in traditional formulation under the assumption that the parameters of a vector AR model are known. Then the problem of forecasting similar to that considered in this paper has been solved. In [15] there was investigated the case of unknown parameters of an autoregressive model based on the so-called “plug-in” approach. The “plug-in” approach consisting of two steps as (i) estimation of the model parameters by some known approach and (ii) forecasting (which is based on estimation of the parameters in the first step) has lower complexity than other known methods based on straightforward joint maximum likelihood estimation of the parameters and future values of time series or Expectation-Maximization algorithm (e.g. Little and Rubin [20], Jordan and Jacobs [12]). In [16], for many autoregressive time series, the mean-squared error of maximum likelihood forecasting in the case of missing values in observations is obtained.

The aforementioned papers [15] and [16] all study a general scheme of missing data. Together with vector-valued time series they introduce a binary vector characterizing a “missing pattern” (in the case of missing data a corresponding coordinate is equal to 0, and it is equal to 1 otherwise). The solution of the problem in this general formulation is very hard for its following application in practice. Specifically, the solution of the problem includes the minimization problem (see the formulation of Theorem 2 in [15]).

In contrast, the approach suggested in the present paper deals with the specific structures of data mentioned in the introduction (Section 1), and can be flexibly applied to more complicated structures of missing data. Specifically, in the initial step we use a linear least squared

predictor for the preliminary extrapolation of mixing values. Then, taking into account the known value of a last observation we “correct” the obtained solution by formulating and solving the control problem. The formulation of control problem is given in terms of minimization of least squared error and is equivalent to that formulation of other papers (e.g. [14], [15] and [16]). However, the method of realization is based on an application of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in a not trivial fashion, and then it is extended in the paper for many cases of autoregressive time series. The aforementioned way of application of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality is not new. It is a known technique in optimization problems and was used, for example, in [7] and [10]. However, in the context of forecasting missing data this method seems to be new. In addition, because of the recurrence structure of relations for forecasting missing values, the method of the present paper is profitable from the computational point of view.

### 3. CONTROL METHOD FOR AUTOREGRESSIVE TIME SERIES

In this section we consider autoregressive time series of the following type:

$$(3.1) \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}, \tilde{x}_{n_0+1}, \tilde{x}_{n_0+2}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{N-1}, x_N = \bar{x}.$$

The values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}$  are assumed to be observed values, while  $\tilde{x}_{n_0+1}, \tilde{x}_{n_0+2}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{N-1}$  are missing observations. For these missing observations we use the symbol tilde. The value  $x_N = \bar{x}$  is a given known value too. For our convenience this value is also provided by tilde, i.e.  $x_N = \tilde{x}_N = \bar{x}$ .

Taking into consideration only the first  $n_0$  observed values one can build the linear least square predictor as

$$(3.2) \quad \widehat{x}_n = a\widehat{x}_{n-1} + b$$

for  $n = n_0+1, n_0+2, \dots, N$ , where parameters  $a$  and  $b$  are the regression coefficients. These  $a$  and  $b$  are then used for control problem, which is to find the aforementioned unknown points, satisfying some specific criteria explained below.

If  $\widehat{x}_N = \widetilde{x}_N$ , then the problem is trivial. We set  $\widehat{x}_n = \widetilde{x}_n$ ,  $n = n_0 + 1, \dots, N$ , assuming that the linear dependence above is correct.

Assume that  $\widehat{x}_N \neq \widetilde{x}_N$ . Then for  $n = n_0 + 1, \dots, N$  ( $\widetilde{x}_{n_0} = \widehat{x}_{n_0}$ ) we will find the unknown points as

$$(3.3) \quad \widetilde{x}_n = a\widetilde{x}_{n-1} + b + u_n.$$

The meaning of  $u_n$  is a *correction* of the initial linear equation for  $\widehat{x}_n$ , and  $u_n$  is a control sequence under which  $\widetilde{x}_N = \overline{x}$ .

The control problem is formulated to

$$(3.4) \quad \text{minimize} \quad \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N u_n^2.$$

Notice, that according to (3.2) and (3.3)

$$(3.5) \quad u_n^2 = (\widehat{x}_n - \widetilde{x}_n)^2,$$

and taking into account that

$$\widetilde{x}_N = \widetilde{x}_{n_0} a^{N-n_0} + \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N a^{N-n} (b + u_n)$$

and

$$\widehat{x}_N = \widehat{x}_{n_0} a^{N-n_0} + b \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N a^{N-n},$$

from (3.5) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad u_N^2 = \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N a^{N-n} u_n \right)^2.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$(3.7) \quad \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N a^{N-n} u_n \right)^2 \leq \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N a^{2(N-n)} \cdot \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N u_n^2.$$

The equality in (3.7) is achieved if and only if  $a^{N-n} = cu_n$  for some constant  $c$ , and since the equality in (3.7) is associated with the minimum of the left-hand side of (3.7), the problem reduces to find an appropriate value  $c = c^*$  such that

$$u_n = c^* a^{N-n}.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{x}_N = \hat{x}_N + c^* \sum_{i=n_0+1}^N a^{2(N-i)},$$

and then finally for  $c^*$  we have:

$$(3.8) \quad c^* = \frac{\tilde{x}_N - \hat{x}_N}{\sum_{i=n_0+1}^N a^{2(N-i)}}.$$

Thus, the sequence  $u_n$  satisfying (3.4) is

$$u_n = \frac{\bar{x} - \hat{x}_N}{\sum_{i=n_0+1}^N a^{2(N-i)}} \cdot a^{N-n},$$

and its substitution for (3.3) yields

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{x}_n = a\tilde{x}_{n-1} + b + \frac{\bar{x} - \hat{x}_N}{\sum_{i=n_0+1}^N a^{2(N-i)}} \cdot a^{N-n},$$

where  $n = n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots, N - 1$ .

4. EXTENSION OF THE RESULT FOR AR( $p$ ) MODEL

Under the assumption that (3.1) is given, we first find the best linear predictor for AR(2) model as

$$(4.1) \quad \hat{x}_n = a_1 \hat{x}_{n-1} + a_2 \hat{x}_{n-2} + b,$$

( $n = n_0 + 2, n_0 + 3, \dots, N$ ), and then extend the result for the general case of AR( $p$ ) model.

In the case of AR(2) model we have

$$(4.2) \quad \hat{x}_{n_0+2} = a_1 \hat{x}_{n_0+1} + a_2 \hat{x}_{n_0} + b,$$

and similarly to (3.3),

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{x}_n = a_1 \tilde{x}_{n-1} + a_2 \tilde{x}_{n-2} + b + u_n.$$

( $n = n_0 + 2, n_0 + 3, \dots, N$ ).

Let us now consider the difference  $\tilde{x}_N - \hat{x}_N = u_N$ . For this difference we have the following expansion

$$(4.4) \quad u_N = \sum_{n=n_0+2}^N \gamma_{N-n} u_n,$$

with some coefficients  $\gamma_{N-n}$ . Now, the main task is to determine these coefficients. Write  $\gamma_n = \alpha_n + \beta_n$ . Then, using induction we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= 1, \\ \beta_0 &= 0, \\ \alpha_n &= a_1(\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}) \quad (n \geq 1), \\ \beta_1 &= 1, \\ \beta_n &= a_2(\alpha_{n-2} + \beta_{n-2}) + 1 \quad (n \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, for the first steps we have the following. Setting  $N = n_0 + 2$  leads to the obvious identity  $u_N = \gamma_0 u_N = (\alpha_0 + \beta_0)u_N$ . In the case  $N = n_0 + 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_N &= u_N + (a_1 + 1)u_{N-1} \\ &= \gamma_0 u_N + [a_1(\alpha_0 + \beta_0) + 1]u_{N-1} \\ &= \gamma_0 u_N + \gamma_1 u_{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $N = n_0 + 4$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_N &= u_N + a_1(\gamma_0 + 1)u_{N-1} + [a_1(a_1 + 1) + (a_2 + 1)]u_{N-2} \\ &= \gamma_0 u_N + \gamma_1 u_{N-1} + [a_1(\alpha_1 + \beta_1) + a_2\gamma_0 + 1]u_{N-2} \\ &= \gamma_0 u_N + \gamma_1 u_{N-1} + \gamma_2 u_{N-2}. \end{aligned}$$

The next steps follow by induction, and we have recurrence relation (4.4) - (4.5) above.

Therefore,

$$(4.6) \quad u_N^2 = \left( \sum_{n=n_0+2}^N \gamma_{N-n} u_n \right)^2,$$

and similarly to (3.7) by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$(4.7) \quad \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \gamma_{N-n} u_n \right)^2 \leq \sum_{n=n_0+2}^N \gamma_{N-n}^2 \cdot \sum_{n=n_0+2}^N u_n^2$$

The equality in (4.7) is achieved if and only if  $\gamma_{N-n} = c u_n$  for some constant  $c$ , and since the equality in (4.7) is associated with the minimum of the left-hand side of (4.7), the problem reduces to find an appropriate value  $c = c^*$  such that

$$u_n = c^* \gamma_{N-n}.$$

Similarly to (3.9) we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{x}_n = a_1 \tilde{x}_{n-1} + a_2 \tilde{x}_{n-2} + b + \frac{\bar{x} - \hat{x}_N}{\sum_{i=n_0+2}^N \gamma_{N-i}^2} \cdot \gamma_{N-n},$$

where  $n = n_0 + 2, n_0 + 3, \dots, N - 1$ .

The results above are easily extended to general AR( $p$ ) models. Specifically, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \hat{x}_n = a_1 \hat{x}_{n-1} + a_2 \hat{x}_{n-2} + \dots + a_p \hat{x}_{n-p} + b,$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \tilde{x}_n = a_1 \tilde{x}_{n-1} + a_2 \tilde{x}_{n-2} + \dots + a_p \tilde{x}_{n-p} + b + u_n,$$

( $n = n_0 + p, n_0 + p + 1, \dots, N$ ), and

$$(4.11) \quad u_N = \tilde{x}_N - \hat{x}_N = \sum_{n=n_0+p}^N \gamma_{N-n} u_n,$$

where  $\gamma_n = (\alpha_{n,1} + \alpha_{n,2} + \dots + \alpha_{n,p})$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{0,1} &= 1, \\
\alpha_{0,k} &= 0, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, p, \\
\alpha_{n,1} &= a_1(\alpha_{n-1,1} + \alpha_{n-1,2} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1,p}) \quad (n \geq 1), \\
\alpha_{1,2} &= 1, \\
\alpha_{1,k} &= 0, \quad k = 3, 4, \dots, p, \\
\alpha_{n,2} &= a_2(\alpha_{n-2,1} + \alpha_{n-2,2} + \dots + \alpha_{n-2,p}) \quad (n \geq 2), \\
(4.12) \quad \dots &= \dots \\
\alpha_{k-1,k} &= 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \\
\alpha_{k-1,l} &= 0, \quad l = k+1, k+2, \dots, p, \\
\alpha_{n,k} &= a_k(\alpha_{n-k,1} + \alpha_{n-k,2} \dots + \alpha_{n-k,p}) \quad (n \geq k), \\
\dots &= \dots \\
\alpha_{n,p} &= a_p(\alpha_{n-p,1} + \alpha_{n-p,2} \dots + \alpha_{n-p,p}) + 1 \quad (n \geq p).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, similarly to (4.8) we have the following formula

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{x}_n = a_1 \tilde{x}_{n-1} + a_2 \tilde{x}_{n-2} + \dots + a_p \tilde{x}_{n-p} + b + \frac{\bar{x} - \hat{x}_N}{\sum_{i=n_0+2}^N \gamma_{N-i}^2} \cdot \gamma_{N-n}$$

( $n = n_0 + p, n_0 + p + 1, \dots, N - 1$ ), where  $\gamma_n$  are now defined according to (4.12).

## 5. MULTI-DIMENSIONAL AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL

In this section we study a multidimensional version of the problem for AR(1).

Let

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n_0}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n_0+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n_0+2}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N = \bar{\mathbf{x}}.$$

For this last value we shall also write  $\mathbf{x}_N = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N$  (with tilde).

As above, the values  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n_0}$  are assumed to be observed values, while  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n_0+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n_0+2}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{N-1}$  are missing observations. For these missing observations we use the symbol tilde.

Taking into consideration only the first  $n_0$  observed values one can build the linear least square predictor as

$$(5.2) \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n = A\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n-1} + \mathbf{b}$$

for  $n = n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots, N$ . Here  $A$  is a square matrix, and  $\mathbf{b}$  is a vector.

Assume that  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_N \neq \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N$ . Then for  $n = n_0 + 1, \dots, N$  ( $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n_0} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n_0}$ ) we will find the unknown points as

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n = A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n-1} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u}_n.$$

The problem is to find the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_n, n = n_0 + 1, \dots, N$  such that

$$(5.4) \quad \text{minimize} \quad \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \|\mathbf{u}_n\|^2,$$

where

$$\|\mathbf{u}_n\| = \sqrt{u_{n,1}^2 + u_{n,2}^2 + \dots + u_{n,k}^2},$$

and  $u_{n,j}$  denotes the  $j$ th component of the ( $k$ -dimensional) vector  $\mathbf{u}_n$ .

According to (5.2) and (5.3)

$$(5.5) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_n\|^2 = \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n\|^2,$$

and for endpoint  $\mathbf{x}_N$  we have

$$(5.6) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_N\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N A^{N-n} \mathbf{u}_n \right\|^2.$$

Let  $a_{i,j}^{(n)}$  denotes element  $(i, j)$  of matrix  $A^n$ . We have the following. The  $i$ th element of multiplication of  $A^{N-n}$  to vector  $\mathbf{u}_n$  can be written as

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} u_{n,j},$$

where  $u_{n,j}$  is the  $j$ th element of the vector  $\mathbf{u}_n$ . Therefore (5.6) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_N\|^2 &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} u_{n,j} \right)^2 \\ (5.7) \quad &= \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} u_{n,j} \right] \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} \right\} u_{n,j} \right] \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_N\|^2 &\leq \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} \right]^2 \right) \times \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k u_{n,j}^2 \right) \\ (5.8) \quad &= \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} \right]^2 \right) \times \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \|\mathbf{u}_n\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The equality in (5.8) is achieved if and only if for some constant  $c$ ,

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} = c u_{n,j}$$

and similarly to that of Section 3 the optimal value of this constant  $c^*$  is

$$(5.10) \quad c^* = \frac{\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_N - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_N\|}{\sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} \right]^2}.$$

For the sequence  $\mathbf{u}_n$  we have:

$$(5.11) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_n\| = \frac{\|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_N\|}{\sum_{l=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-l)} \right]^2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)},$$

Let us now find the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_n$ ,  $n = n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots, N$ . From (5.2) and (5.3) we have the following:

$$(5.12) \quad \mathbf{u}_N = \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N A^{N-n} \mathbf{u}_n.$$

Therefore for components of the vector  $\mathbf{u}_N$  we have equations

$$(5.13) \quad u_{N,i} = \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N A_i^{(N-n)} \mathbf{u}_n,$$

where  $A_i^{(N-n)}$  denotes the  $i$ th row of the matrix  $A^{N-n}$ . Therefore, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{N,i}^2 &= \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N A_i^{(N-n)} \mathbf{u}_n \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} u_{n,j} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k \left[ a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} \right]^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^k u_{n,j}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the equality achieves in the case if for some  $c_i$

$$(5.15) \quad \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^k \left[ a_{i,j}^{(N-n)} \right]^2} = c_i \|\mathbf{u}_n\|.$$

Therefore, substituting (5.15) for (5.13) we obtain:

$$(5.16) \quad c_i = \frac{u_{N,i}}{\sum_{n=n_0}^N A_i^{(N-n)} \left[ A_i^{(N-n)} \right]^{\top}}.$$

## 6. MODELS OF MULTI-REGRESSION

The models considered in this section are rather illustrative. Regression models with incomplete data has been studied intensively in the literature, and there are many approaches the solution of this problem. The theoretical aspect of the present approach seems to be new nevertheless.

1. Consider first the following data:

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & y_1, \quad y_2, \quad \dots, \quad y_{n_0}, \quad \tilde{y}_{n_0+1}, \quad \dots, \quad \tilde{y}_{N-1}, \quad y_N = \bar{y}_N \\ & \mathbf{x}_1, \quad \mathbf{x}_2, \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{x}_{n_0}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{n_0+1}, \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \quad \mathbf{x}_N. \end{aligned}$$

As above we use the notation  $\tilde{y}_N = y_N$ .

We first find the vector  $\mathbf{a}$  and parameter  $b$  by linear least square predictor, so for  $n = n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots, N$  we have

$$(6.2) \quad \hat{y}_n = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b.$$

As in the case of the simple regression model assume  $\hat{y}_N \neq \bar{y}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_n &= \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b \\ &= \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x}_{n-1} + b + \mathbf{a}^\top (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) \\ &= \hat{y}_{n-1} + b_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $b_n = \mathbf{a}^\top (\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n-1})$ .

Therefore considering

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{y}_n = \tilde{y}_{n-1} + b_n + u_n,$$

where  $\tilde{y}_{n_0} = \hat{y}_{n_0}$ , and  $\tilde{y}_N = \bar{y}_N$  and the same problem to

$$\text{minimize} \quad \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N u_n^2,$$

we arrive at

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{y}_n = \tilde{y}_{n-1} + b_n + \frac{\bar{y}_N - \hat{y}_N}{N - n_0}.$$

2. Let us consider a more extended problem

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{n_0}, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{n_0+1}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{N-1}, \mathbf{y}_N = \bar{\mathbf{y}}_N \\ \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n_0}, \mathbf{x}_{n_0+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N-1}, \mathbf{x}_N, \end{aligned}$$

where the vectors  $\mathbf{y}$  of the first row all of dimension  $m$ .

By the linear least square predictor we have

$$(6.6) \quad \hat{\mathbf{y}}_n = A\mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{b}.$$

Here the vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_n$  are of dimension  $m$ , the matrix  $A$  is of  $m \times k$  and the vector  $\mathbf{b}$  is of  $m$ . We have:

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_n &= A\mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{b} \\ &= A\mathbf{x}_{n-1} + \mathbf{b} + A(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n-1} + \mathbf{b}_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_n = A(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n-1})$ .

Let us now consider the equation

$$(6.8) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_n = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{n-1} + \mathbf{b}_n + \mathbf{u}_n.$$

In this specific case we have

$$(6.9) \quad \mathbf{u}_N = \sum_{n=n_0+1}^N \mathbf{u}_n.$$

By the same calculations as earlier (see (5.11)) we have:

$$(6.10) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_n\| = \frac{\|\bar{\mathbf{y}} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_N\|}{N - n},$$

and all the constants  $c_i$  defined in Section 5 are the same. Therefore

$$u_{n,l}^2 = \frac{\|u_n\|^2}{m}.$$

We finally have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_n = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{n-1} + \mathbf{b}_n + \frac{\bar{\mathbf{y}}_N - \hat{\mathbf{y}}_N}{N - n_0}.$$

## 7. NUMERICAL WORK

Numerical work of this paper consists of two different parts. The first part is related to the case of interpolating missing data in autoregressive models. The two numerical results of this part are reflected in Figure 1a and Figure 1b. The second part of numerical work is related to two-dimensional autoregressive model. The data for this model are related to archaeological field and taken from the paper of Cavanagh, Buck and Litton [5].

**7.1. Part 1.** The real data of volatility dynamic of IBM company calculated on the base of the stock information by the method of [9] have been used for Figure 1a. We removed some data from the middle and the end of this dynamic and then forecasted missing data by AR(1) model for the construction of missing data described by (1.2). The value  $n_0$  is equal to 418, and the corresponding number of missing data is 85. Then the value  $n_1$  is equal to 1058 and the corresponding number of missing data is 106.

In the second example (Figure 1b) we use the volatility dynamic of exchange rates of USD and New Israel Shekel. The historical period,  $n_0$ , is 1319, and the total length,  $N$ , is 1466. Assuming that volatility dynamic is AR(1) model,  $v_{n+1} = av_n + b$ , then by calculation of parameters by linear least square predictor we have  $a \approx 0.999576$  and  $b \approx 2.652 \cdot 10^{-7}$ . Assuming that volatility dynamics satisfies AR(2) model,  $v_{n+2} = a_1v_{n+1} + a_2v_n + b$ , we correspondingly obtain  $a_1 \approx 2.4$ ,  $a_2 \approx -1.4$  and  $b \approx 2.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ . As we can see, although the difference

|    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |   |     |    |   |    |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|-----|----|---|----|
| 59 | 57 | 80 | 71 | 19 | 80 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 62 | + | + | 166 | 77 | + | 68 |
| 60 | 68 | 75 | 85 | 57 | 44 | 30 | 62 | 38 | 91 | + | + | 68  | 77 | + | 59 |

TABLE 1. Phosphate concentration (the fragment of data from [5]).

between these predicted models is small, both curves are visible in the graph nevertheless.

**7.2. Part 2.** In this part we use data from [5]. This is data on Phosphate concentration reflected in Figure 1 (p.94). There are missing data in the fifth and sixth row of these data, and these two rows are the rows of Table 1 corresponding to two-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{x}$  with missing data, where the missing data there are indicated by ‘+’.

We use a first order autoregressive model in order to predict the missing data. We do not provide all intermediate calculations, only meaningful results are shown here.

The filling of these missing data is carried out by two steps. According to our notation  $n_0 = 10$  and  $n_1 = 12$ . We have  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 60.43 \\ 28.57 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 61.10 \\ 47.98 \end{pmatrix}$ . Next, taking into account the value  $\mathbf{x}_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 166 \\ 68 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain the following values for  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{11}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{12}$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 95.10 \\ 55.33 \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 130.43 \\ 56.67 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, according to the accepted notation,  $N=16$ . Similarly, we first find  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{15} = \begin{pmatrix} 76.90 \\ 62.93 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{15} = \begin{pmatrix} 71.45 \\ 61.15 \end{pmatrix}$ .




FIGURE 1.

(a) Forecasting missing data of volatility for IBM Co.: Blue line - known values, purple line - predicted values by AR(1) model;

(b) Forecasting missing data of volatility for USD-New Israel Shekel exchange: Blue line - known values, purple line - predicted values by AR(1) model and yellow line - predicted values AR(2) model).

|    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |       |        |     |    |       |    |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|--------|-----|----|-------|----|
| 59 | 57 | 80 | 71 | 19 | 80 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 62 | 95.10 | 130.43 | 166 | 77 | 71.45 | 68 |
| 60 | 68 | 75 | 85 | 57 | 44 | 30 | 62 | 38 | 91 | 55.33 | 56.67  | 68  | 77 | 61.15 | 59 |

TABLE 2. Phosphate concentration (the finally modified table).

The finally modified table after calculation of missing data is now Table 2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] AFIFI, A. AND ELASHOFF, R. (1966). Missing observations in multivariate statistics I: Review of the literature. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 61, 595-604.
- [2] ALISON, P.D. (2000). *Missing Data*. Thousand Oaks, CA, Sage.
- [3] ANDERSON, T.W. (1971). *The Statistical Analysis of Time Series*. John Wiley, New York.
- [4] BARTLETT, M.S. (1937). Some examples of statistical methods of research in agriculture. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Supplement*, 4, 187-188.
- [5] CAVANAGH, W.G., BUCK, C.E. AND LITTON, C.D. (1988). The interpretation of noisy data from archaeological field survey: phosphate analysis. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 10 (3/4), 92-95.
- [6] CARLIN, B.P. AND LOUIS, T.A. (2000). *Bayes and Empirical Bayes Methods for Data Analysis*, 2nd edn, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.
- [7] CHIGANSKY, P., LIPTSER, R. AND BOBROVSKY, B.Z. (2001). A simple asymptotically optimal filter over an infinite horizon. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis*, 14, 93-112.
- [8] DAVID, M., LITTLE, R.J.A., SAMUHEL, M.E. AND TRIEST, R.K. (1986). Alternative methods for CPS income imputation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 81, 29-41.
- [9] GOLDENTAYER, L. KLEBANER, F.C. AND LIPTSER, R. (2005). Tracking volatility. *Problems of Information Transmission*, 41, 212-229.

- [10] IACUS, S. AND KUTOYANTS, YU. A. (2001). Semiparametric hypothesis testing for dynamical systems with small noise. *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*, 10, 105-120.
- [11] JONES, R.H. (1980). Maximum likelihood fitting of ARMA models to time series with missing observations. *Technometrics*, 22, 389-395.
- [12] JORDAN, M.I. AND JACOBS, R.A. (1994). Hierarchical mixtures of experts and the EM-algorithm. *Neural Computations*, 6, 181-214.
- [13] HARVEY, A.C. AND PIERSE, R.G. (1984). Estimating missing observations in economic time series *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 79, 125-131.
- [14] KHARIN, YU.S. AND HURYN, A.S. (2003). Statistical analysis and forecasting of autoregressive time series under missing values. *Bulletin of the International Statistic Institute*, 1, 612-613.
- [15] KHARIN, YU.S. AND HURYN, A.S. (2005). "Plug-in" statistical forecasting of vector autoregressive time series with missing values. *Austrian Journal of Statistics*, 34, 163-174.
- [16] KHARIN, YU.S. AND HURYN, A.S. (2005). Sensitivity analysis of the risk of forecasting for autoregressive time series with missing values. *Pliska Stud. Math. Bulgar.* 17, 137-146.
- [17] KOHN, R. AND ANSLEY, C.F. (1985). Efficient estimation and prediction in time series regression models. *Biometrika*, 72, 694-697.
- [18] KOHN, R. AND ANSLEY, C.F. (1986). Estimation, prediction, and interpolation of ARIMA models with missing data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 81, 751-761.
- [19] LITTLE, R.J.A. (1990). Regression with missing  $X$ 's: A review. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87, 1227-1237.
- [20] LITTLE, R.J.A. AND RUBIN, D.B. (2002). *Statistical Analysis of Missing Data*, 2nd edn, John Wiley, New York.
- [21] PANTULA, S.G. AND HALL, A. (1991). Testing for unit roots in autoregressive moving average models: an instrumental variable approach. *Journal of Econometrics*, 48, 325-353.

- [22] POURHAMADI, M. (1989). Estimation and interpolation of missing values of a stationary time series. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 10, 149-169.
- [23] SAID, S.E. AND DICKEY, D.A. (1985). Hypothesis testing in ARIMA( $p,1,q$ ) models. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, 80, 369-374.
- [24] SARGAN, J.D. AND DRETTAKIS, E.G. (1974). Missing data in an autoregressive model. *International Economic Review*, 15, 39-58.
- [25] SHIN, D. AND FULLER, W.A. (1990). Estimation for the autoregressive moving average with an autoregressive unit root, unpublished manuscript, Iowa State Univ. (Ames, IA).
- [26] SHIN, D. AND PANTULA, S.G. (1993). Testing for a unit root in autoregressive processes with systematic but incomplete sampling. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 18, 183-190.
- [27] STOFFER, D.F. (1986). Estimation and identification of space-time ARMAX models in presence of missing data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 81, 762-772.
- [28] TOCHER, K.D. (1952). The design and analysis of block experiments. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Ser. B*, 14, 45-100.
- [29] WANG, R., SEDRANSK, J. AND JINN, J.H.(1992). Secondary data analysis when there are missing observations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87, 952-961.
- [30] WILKS, S.S. (1932). Moments and distributions of estimates of population parameters from fragmentary samples. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 3, 163-203.
- [31] YATES, F. (1933). The analysis of replicated experiments when the field results are incomplete. *The Empire Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 1, 129-142.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MONASH UNIVERSITY, BUILDING 28M,  
CLAYTON CAMPUS, CLAYTON, VIC 3800, AUSTRALIA

*E-mail address:* vyacheslav.abramov@sci.monash.edu.au

*E-mail address:* fima.klebaner@sci.monash.edu.au