n-Colour self-inverse compositions

GEETIKA NARANG and A K AGARWAL

Centre for Advanced Studies in Mathematics, Panjab University, Chandigarh 160 014, India E-mail: geetika2narang@yahoo.com; aka@pu.ac.in

MS received 18 November 2005; revised 12 April 2006

Abstract. MacMahon's definition of self-inverse composition is extended to *n*-colour self-inverse composition. This introduces four new sequences which satisfy the same recurrence relation with different initial conditions like the famous Fibonacci and Lucas sequences. For these new sequences explicit formulas, recurrence relations, generating functions and a summation formula are obtained. Two new binomial identities with combinatorial meaning are also given.

Keywords. Compositions; *n*-colour compositions; self-inverse compositions; sequences; recurrence formulas; generating functions; binomial identities.

1. Introduction

In the classical theory of partitions, compositions were first defined by MacMahon [6] as ordered partitions. For example, there are 5 partitions and 8 compositions of 4. The partitions are $4,31,22,21^2,1^4$ and the compositions are $4,31,13,22,21^2,121,1^22,1^4$.

Agarwal and Andrews [4] defined an *n*-colour partition as a partition in which a part of size *n* can come in *n* different colours. We use a subscript to index the colour and write $n_1, n_2, ..., n_n$ for the parts of size *n*. Analogous to MacMahon's ordinary compositions Agarwal [2] defined an *n*-colour composition as an *n*-colour ordered partition. Thus, for example, there are 8 *n*-colour compositions of 3, viz.,

 $3_1, 3_2, 3_3,$ $2_11_1, 2_21_1, 1_12_1, 1_12_2,$ $1_11_11_1.$

More properties of *n*-colour compositions were found in [3].

DEFINITION 1. [6]

A composition is said to be self-inverse when the parts of the composition read from left to right are identical with when read from right to left.

Analogous to the above definition of classical self-inverse compositions we define an *n*-colour self-inverse composition as follows:

DEFINITION 2.

An *n*-colour composition whose parts read from left to right are identical with when read from right to left is called an *n*-colour self-inverse composition. Thus, for example, there are 11 *n*-colour self-inverse compositions of 5 viz.,

$$5_{1}, 5_{2}, 5_{3}, 5_{4}, 5_{5},$$

$$1_{1}3_{1}1_{1}, 1_{1}3_{2}1_{1}, 1_{1}3_{3}1_{1},$$

$$2_{1}1_{1}2_{1}, 2_{2}1_{1}2_{2},$$

$$1_{1}1_{1}1_{1}1_{1}1_{1}.$$

DEFINITION 3.

The Lucas sequence $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined as $L_0 = 2$, $L_1 = 1$ and $L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$ when $n \ge 2$.

DEFINITION 4.

A point whose co-ordinates are integers is called a lattice point (unless otherwise stated, we take these integers to be non-negative).

DEFINITION 5.

By a lattice path (or simply a path), we mean a minimal path via lattice points taking unit horizontal and unit vertical steps.

DEFINITION 6.

The Fibonacci sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined as $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$ and $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ when $n \ge 2$.

Agarwal [2] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let C(m,q) and C(q) denote the enumerative generating functions for C(m,v) and C(v), respectively, where C(m,v) is the number of n-colour compositions of v into m parts and C(v) is the number of n-colour compositions of v. Then

$$C(m,q) = \frac{q^m}{(1-q)^{2m}},$$
(1.1)

$$C(q) = \frac{q}{1 - 3q + q^2},\tag{1.2}$$

$$C(m, \nu) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu + m - 1 \\ 2m - 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(1.3)

$$C(\mathbf{v}) = F_{2\mathbf{v}}.\tag{1.4}$$

2. Explicit formulas

In this section we shall prove the following explicit formulas for n-colour self-inverse compositions.

Theorem 2.1. Let A(m, v) be the number of n-colour self-inverse compositions of v into *m* parts. Then

(i)
$$A(2m-1,2\nu-1) = \sum_{l=1}^{\nu-1} (2l-1) \begin{pmatrix} \nu+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 for $m > 1$, (2.1)

(ii)
$$A(2m, 2\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu + m - 1 \\ 2m - 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (2.2)

(iii)
$$A(2m-1,2\nu) = \sum_{l=1}^{\nu-1} 2l \begin{pmatrix} \nu+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 for $m > 1.$ (2.3)

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We shall prove (i) and the other parts can be proved similarly. (i) Obviously, an odd number can have self-inverse *n*-colour compositions only when the number of parts is odd. A self-inverse *n*-colour composition of an odd number 2v - 1 into 2m - 1 (m > 1) parts can be read as a central part, say, 2l - 1, and two identical *n*-colour compositions of v - l into m - 1 parts on each side of the central part.

The number of *n*-colour compositions of v - l into m - 1 parts is $\binom{v+m-l-2}{2m-3}$ by (1.3). Now, the central part can appear in 2l - 1 ways and takes values from 1 to 2v - 3. So, l varies from 1 to v - 1.

Therefore, for m > 1, the number of *n*-colour self-inverse compositions of 2v - 1 into 2m - 1 parts is

$$A(2m-1,2\nu-1) = \sum_{l=1}^{\nu-1} (2l-1) \begin{pmatrix} \nu+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For m = 1, there will be $2\nu - 1$ *n*-colour self-inverse compositions. Therefore, the total number of *n*-colour self-inverse compositions of $2\nu - 1$ is

$$(2\nu-1) + \sum_{m=2}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^{\nu-1} (2l-1) \begin{pmatrix} \nu+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. Recurrence formulas

In this section, we shall prove the following: If a_n , b_n , c_n and d_n denote the number of *n*-colour self-inverse compositions of 2n + 1, 2n into an even number of parts, 2n into an odd number of parts and 2n (that is, $d_n = b_n + c_n$) respectively, then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1. The sequences $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\}, \{d_n\}$ satisfy the following recurrences.

(i) $a_0 = 1, a_1 = 4$ and $a_n = 3a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$ for $n \ge 2$, (ii) $b_1 = 1, b_2 = 3$ and $b_n = 3b_{n-1} - b_{n-2}$ for n > 2, (iii) $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 6$ and $c_n = 3c_{n-1} - c_{n-2}$ for n > 2, (iv) $d_1 = 3, d_2 = 9$ and $d_n = 3d_{n-1} - d_{n-2}$ for n > 2 where $d_n = b_n + c_n$. Remark. Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$b_{v} = \sum_{m=1}^{v} \begin{pmatrix} v+m-1\\ 2m-1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $c_{v} = 2v + \sum_{m=2}^{v} \sum_{l=1}^{v-1} 2l \begin{pmatrix} v+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (i) We have

$$\begin{split} a_n &= (2n+1) + \sum_{m=2}^{n+1} \sum_{l=1}^n (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-1\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &= (2n+1) + \sum_{m=2}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-1\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^n (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-1\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \Big|_{l=n} \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^n (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-1\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \Big|_{m=n+1} \\ &= (2n+1) + \sum_{m=2}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-4 \end{array} \right) \\ &= 4n+1 + \left\{ a_{n-1} - (2n-1) \right\} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-1\\ 2m-2 \end{array} \right) \\ &= 2n+2 + a_{n-1} + \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l-1) \left(\begin{array}{c} n+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{array} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum$$

260

$$\begin{split} &= 2n+2+a_{n-1}+\{a_{n-1}-(2n-1)-1\}\\ &+\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right) +(n-1)^2\\ &= 2+2a_{n-1}+(n-1)^2\\ &+\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-1\\2m-2\end{array}\right) - \left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-3\end{array}\right)\right\}\\ &= 2+2a_{n-1}+(n-1)^2+\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-1\\2m-2\end{array}\right)\\ &-\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-3\\2m-3\end{array}\right) - \sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-3\\2m-4\end{array}\right)\\ &= 2+2a_{n-1}+(n-1)^2+\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right)\\ &+\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-3\\2m-4\end{array}\right)\right\} - \{a_{n-2}-(2n-3)\}\\ &-\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-3\\2m-4\end{array}\right)\\ &= 2a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}+(n-1)^2+(2n-1)+\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-3\\2m-4\end{array}\right)\\ &+\sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right) - \sum_{m=2}^{n-1}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-3\\2m-4\end{array}\right)\\ &= 2a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}+(n-1)^2+(2n-1)\\ &+\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-3\end{array}\right) - 1-(2n-3)\right\}\\ &+\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}2n-l-3\\2n-4\end{array}\right)\right\}\\ &-\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n-l-1\\0\end{array}\right)\right\}\\ &= 2a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}+(n-1)^2+(2n-1)+\{a_{n-1}-(2n-1)-1-(2n-3)\}\\ &+\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n-l-1\\0\end{array}\right)\right\}\\ &= 2a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}+(n-1)^2+(2n-1)+\{a_{n-1}-(2n-1)-1-(2n-3)\}\\ &+\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n-l-1\\2m-2\end{array}\right)\right\}\\ &= 2a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}+(n-1)^2+(2n-1)+\{a_{n-1}-(2n-1)-1-(2n-3)\}\\ &+\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n-l-1\\2m-2\end{array}\right)\right\}\\ &= 2a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}+(n-1)^2+(2n-1)+\{a_{n-1}-(2n-1)-1-(2n-3)\}\\ &+\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{n-2}\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n+m-l-2\\2m-2\end{array}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2}(2l-1)\left(\begin{array}{c}n-l-1\\2m-2\end{array}\right)\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Geetika Narang and A K Agarwal

$$= 3a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} + (n-1)^2 - (2n-3) - (n-2)^2$$

= 3a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}.

The other parts can be proved on the same lines.

Another proof of (i) (Combinatorial). To prove that $a_n + a_{n-2} = 3a_{n-1}$, we split the *n*-colour compositions enumerated by $a_n + a_{n-2}$ into three classes:

- (i) enumerated by a_n and having 1_1 on both extremes,
- (ii) enumerated by a_n and having h_t on both extremes, h > 1 and $1 \le t \le h 1$ and ncolour self-inverse compositions of 2n + 1 of the form $(2n + 1)_t$, $1 \le t \le 2n 1$.
- (iii) enumerated by a_n and having h_h on both extremes, h > 1, $(2n+1)_{2n}$, $(2n+1)_{2n+1}$ and those enumerated by a_{n-2} .

We transform the *n*-colour compositions in class (i) by deleting 1_1 on both extremes. This produces an *n*-colour composition enumerated by a_{n-1} . Conversely, given any *n*-colour composition enumerated by a_{n-1} we add 1_1 on both extremes to produce the elements of the first class. In this way we establish that there are exactly a_{n-1} elements in the first class. Next, we transform the *n*-colour compositions in class (ii) by subtracting 1 from *h*, that is, replacing h_t by $(h-1)_t$ and subtracting 2 from (2n+1) of $(2n+1)_t$, $1 \le t \le 2n-1$. This transformation also establishes the fact that there are exactly a_{n-1} elements in class (ii). Finally, we transform the elements in class (iii) as follows: Subtract 1_1 from h_h , h < 2n+1, that is, replace h_h by $(h-1)_{h-1}$. We will get those *n*-colour self-inverse compositions of 2(n-1)+1 whose extremes are h_h , except self-inverse compositions in one part only. Also replace $(2n+1)_{2n}$ by $(2n-1)_{2n-2}$ and $(2n+1)_{2n+1}$ by $(2n-1)_{2n-1}$, and to get the remaining *n*-colour self-inverse compositions from a_{n-2} we add 1 to both extremes, that is, replace h_t by $(h+1)_t$. For *n*-colour self-inverse compositions into one part we add 2, that is, replace $(2n-3)_t$ by $(2n-1)_t$, $1 \le t \le 2n-3$. We see that the number of *n*-colour compositions in class (iii) is also equal to a_{n-1} .

So each class has a_{n-1} elements. Hence, $a_n + a_{n-2} = 3a_{n-1}$.

4. Generating functions

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1.

(i)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n = \frac{1+q}{q^2 - 3q + 1},$$

(ii)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n q^n = \frac{q}{q^2 - 3q + 1}$$

(iii)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n q^n = \frac{2q}{q^2 - 3q + 1}$$
, and

(iv)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n q^n = \frac{3q}{q^2 - 3q + 1}.$$

262

Proof of Theorem 4.1.

(i)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n = a_0 + a_1 q + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

$$= 1 + 4q + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (3a_{n-1} - a_{n-2})q^n$$

$$= 1 + 4q + 3\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^{n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^{n+2}$$

$$= 1 + 4q + 3\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^{n+1} - 3q - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^{n+2}$$

$$(q^2 - 3q + 1)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n = 1 + q$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n = \frac{1+q}{q^2 - 3q + 1}.$$

This completes the proof of (i). The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are similar and hence are omitted. By adding (ii) and (iii) we get (iv).

5. A summation formula

Theorem 5.1. For $n \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1 \\ n-k \end{pmatrix} a_k = 1,$$

where

$$a_{k} = (2k+1) + \sum_{m=2}^{k+1} \sum_{l=1}^{k} (2l-1) \begin{pmatrix} k+m-l-1 \\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We will prove the result by induction on *n*. The result is obviously true for n = 0, 1. We assume that the result is true for *n*, that is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \binom{2n+1}{n-k} a_{k} = 1.$$

Now

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1+k} {2n+3 \choose n+1-k} a_k$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1+k} \left\{ {2n+2 \choose n+1-k} + {2n+2 \choose n-k} \right\} a_k$$

Geetika Narang and A K Agarwal

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1+k} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n+1-k \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n-k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n-k-1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} a_k$$
$$\left(by \begin{pmatrix} n\\k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n-1\\k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} n-1\\k-1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\}$$
$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1+k} \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n+1-k \end{pmatrix} a_k - 2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n+k} \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n-k \end{pmatrix} a_{k-1}$$
$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1+k} \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n+1-k \end{pmatrix} a_k - 2 + 3 - 3(-1)^n \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n \end{pmatrix} \\ -\sum_{k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1+k} \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n+1-k \end{pmatrix} a_k$$
$$=\sum_{k=0}^1 (-1)^{n+1+k} \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n+1-k \end{pmatrix} a_k + 1 - 3(-1)^n \begin{pmatrix} 2n+1\\n \end{pmatrix} \\ = 1.$$

Therefore the result is true for n + 1.

Hence the result is true for all $n \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$.

6. New binomial identities with combinatorial interpretations

Theorem 6.1. For $v \ge 0$,

(i)
$$(2\nu+1) + \sum_{m=2l=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^{\nu} (2l-1) \begin{pmatrix} \nu+m-l-1\\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \frac{2\nu+1}{2\nu+1-m} \begin{pmatrix} 2\nu+1-m\\ m \end{pmatrix},$$
(ii)
$$\nu + \sum_{m=2}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^{\nu-1} l \begin{pmatrix} \nu+m-l-2\\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{m=1}^{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} \nu+m-1\\ 2m-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of Theorem 6.1.

(i) Let

$$A_{\nu} = (2\nu+1) + \sum_{m=2}^{\nu+1} \sum_{l=1}^{\nu} (2l-1) \begin{pmatrix} \nu+m-l-1\\ 2m-3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B_{\nu} = \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \frac{2\nu + 1}{2\nu + 1 - m} \begin{pmatrix} 2\nu + 1 - m \\ m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $A_0 = B_0 = 1$, $A_1 = B_1 = 4$, and we have already proved $A_v = 3A_{v-1} - A_{v-2}$ for $v \ge 2$.

264

Now, for $\nu \ge 2$, we have

$$\begin{split} B_{\nu} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \frac{2\nu+1}{2\nu+1-m} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu+1-m\\ m \end{array} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu+1-m\\ m \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &\left(\text{by the binomial identity } \frac{n}{n-m} \left(\begin{array}{c} n-m\\ m \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} n-m\\ m \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} n-m-1\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m\\ m \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m-1\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) \right\} + B_{\nu-1} \\ &\left(\text{by the binomial identity } \left(\begin{array}{c} n\\ m \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} n-1\\ m \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} n-1\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) \text{ and the definition of } B_{\nu} \right) \\ &= B_{\nu-1} + B_{\nu-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-1-m\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-2-m\\ m-2 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2B_{\nu-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m-1\\ m-2 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-1-m\\ m-2 \end{array} \right) \\ &- \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-2-m\\ m-3 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2B_{\nu-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-1-m\\ m-2 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2B_{\nu-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-1-m\\ m-2 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2B_{\nu-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m-1\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-1-m\\ m-2 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2B_{\nu-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m-1\\ m-1 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-1-m\\ m-2 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2B_{\nu-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-m-1\\ m \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\nu-2-m\\ m-3 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2B_{\nu-1} + B_{\nu-1} - B_{\nu-2} \\ &= 2B_{\nu-1} + B_{\nu-1} - B_{\nu-2} \\ &= 3B_{\nu-1} - B_{\nu-2}. \end{split}$$

Hence $A_v = B_v$, for all $v \ge 0$ as these satisfy the same initial conditions and the same recurrence relation.

(ii) By comparing generating functions for b_n and c_n in §4 and considering the remark below Theorem 3.1, we get (ii) easily.

The following theorem provides a combinatorial interpretation of Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 6.2.

- (a) For $v \ge 0$, let A(v) denote the number of self-inverse n-colour compositions of 2v + 1. Let $B(v) = \sum_{k=0}^{v} d_{v,k}$, where $d_{v,k}$ is the number of lattice paths from (0,0) to (2v + 1-k,k) under the following conditions:
- (b) The paths do not have two vertical steps in succession which ensures that they do not cross y = x + 1.
- (c) Both the first and last steps can not be vertical. Then A(v) = B(v) for all v.
- (d) The number of n-colour self-inverse compositions of 2v equals three times the number of n-colour compositions of v.

Proof of Theorem 6.2.

(a) In Theorem 3.1 we have proved that A(v) satisfies the following recurrence relation

A(0) = 1, A(1) = 4 and A(v) = 3A(v-1) - A(v-2), $v \ge 2$.

Agarwal [1] proved that $L_{2\nu+1}$ also satisfy the same initial conditions and recurrence relation. This shows that $A(\nu) = L_{2\nu+1}$. He also showed that $L_{2\nu+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} d_{\nu,k}$, and it is known that the right-hand side of (i) of Theorem 6.1 equals $L_{2\nu+1}$ (see p. 185 of [5]). This leads to the first part of the theorem.

(b) From Theorem 4.1, we have $d_v = 3b_v$. Now (b) follows from the fact that b_v also equals the number of *n*-colour compositions of *v* in view of (1.2) and Theorem 4(ii).

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the referee for his suggestions which led to a better presentation of the paper. One of the authors (GN) is supported by CSIR Award No. F.No. 9/135(468)/2k3-EMR-I and the other author (AKA) is supported by CSIR Research Grant No. 25(0128)/02/EMR-II.

References

- [1] Agarwal A K, Properties of a recurring sequence, *The Fibonacci Quart.* **27(2)** (1989) 169–175
- [2] Agarwal A K, *n*-colour compositions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **31(11)** (2000) 1421–1427
- [3] Agarwal A K, An analogue of Euler's identity and new combinatorial properties of *n*-colour compositions, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **160** (2003) 9–15
- [4] Agarwal A K and Andrews G E, Rogers-Ramanujan identities for partitions with 'N copies of N', J. Combin. Theory A45(1) (1987) 40–49
- [5] Carlson B C, Special function of applied mathematics (1977) (New York: Academic Press)
- [6] MacMahon P A, Combinatory analysis (2001) (New York: AMS Chelsea Publishing) vol. I, II