

ON A CONJECTURE OF WILF

STEFAN DE WANNEMACKER, THOMAS LAFFEY, AND ROBERT OSBURN

Abstract. Let n and k be natural numbers and let $S(n; k)$ denote the Stirling numbers of the second kind. It is a conjecture of Wilf that the alternating sum

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j S(n; j)$$

is nonzero for all $n > 2$. We prove this conjecture for all $n \leq 2;2944838 \bmod 3145728$ and discuss applications of this result to graph theory, multiplicative partition functions, and the irrationality of p -adic series.

1. Introduction

Let n and k be natural numbers. The Stirling numbers $S(n; k)$ of the second kind are given by

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n; k) (x)_k;$$

where $(x)_k = x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-k+1)$ for $k \geq 1$ and $(x)_0 = 1$; $S(n; k)$ is the number of ways in which it is possible to partition a set with n elements into exactly k nonempty subsets. Consider the alternating sum

$$f(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j S(n; j).$$

The first few terms in the sequence of integers $f(n)$ are as follows:

$$1, -1, 0, 1, 1, -2, 9, -9, 50, 267, 413, -2180, 17731, -50533, 110176, \dots$$

This is sequence A000587 of Sloane [43]. This sequence appears in Example 5(ii), Section 8, Chapter 3 in Ramanujan's second notebook (see page 53 of [2]) and has been subsequently investigated by Beard [1], Harris and Subbarao [24], Uppuluri and Carpenter [44], Kolokolnikova [31], Layman and P rather [34], Subbarao and Verma [40], Yang [45], Kazar [29], and Murty and Sumner [39].

Wilf has conjectured (see [28]) that $f(n) \neq 0$ for all $n > 2$. So, the only value of n for which $f(n)$ vanishes would be $n = 2$. The best known result in this direction is that of Yang [45]. In [45], the author adapted an approach of de Bruijn [8] concerning the saddle point method and used exponential sum estimates from [32] to show that the number of $n \leq x$ with $f(n) = 0$ is $O(x^{2/3})$ where the implied constant is not explicitly computed. Recently, Murty and Sumner have taken a different approach in proving the non-vanishing of $f(n)$. In [39], the authors use the congruence

Date: August 3, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11B73 Secondary: 05C70, 11P83, 11J72.

$$f(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{X^n} S(n; j) \cdot B_n \pmod{2},$$

properties of the Bell numbers B_n , and of ω_3 , a cube root of unity, to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. If $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $f(n) \not\equiv 0$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend Theorem 1.1 as follows.

Theorem 1.2. If $n \not\equiv 2; 2944838 \pmod{3145728}$, then $f(n) \not\equiv 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first consider a certain set of recursively defined polynomials, relate these polynomials to $f(n)$, then prove properties of these polynomials. In Section 3, we use this relationship to prove a general congruence for $f(n)$. This congruence is the key to the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we study other congruences for $f(n)$, in particular we prove a general congruence for $f(n) \pmod{p}$ where p is a prime (see Corollary 4.4). This result generalizes the congruences given by Lemmas 9 and 10 in [39]. In Section 5, we discuss how Theorem 1.2 has applications in three distinct areas of mathematics, namely graph theory, multiplicative partition functions, and to the irrationality of p -adic series.

2. Preliminaries

The proof of Theorem 1.2 contains two key steps. We first recursively define a certain set of polynomials, then relate these polynomials to $f(n)$. Secondly, we prove properties of these polynomials which will yield a general congruence for $f(n)$ in Section 3. Theorem 1.2 then follows using this congruence combined with values in the sequence $ff(n)g_n = 0$.

We first require the following well-known properties of Stirling numbers of the second kind. For more details and basic results on Stirling numbers of the second kind we refer the reader to [7] and [22]. Recent applications of $S(n; k)$ include computing annihilating polynomials for quadratic forms [10]. Further information on these applications can be found in [11].

Proposition 2.1. Let n and k be natural numbers. Then

$$S(n; k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{X^k} (-1)^i \binom{k}{k-i} (k-i)^n;$$

$$S(n; k) = S(n-1; k-1) + kS(n-1; k)$$

where $S(n; 0) = S(0; k) = 0$ and $S(0; 0) = 1$.

Consider the set of polynomials defined in the following recursive way:

$$P_0(X) = 1$$

$$P_n(X) = X P_{n-1}(X) - P_{n-1}(X+1); n \geq 1:$$

Example 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned}P_1(X) &= X - 1; \\P_2(X) &= X^2 - 2X; \\P_3(X) &= X^3 - 3X^2 + 1; \\P_4(X) &= X^4 - 4X^3 + 4X + 1; \\P_5(X) &= X^5 - 5X^4 + 10X^2 + 5X - 2;\end{aligned}$$

The following proposition can be used as an alternative definition of the polynomials $P_n(X)$ once we set $P_0(X) = 1$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$P_n(X) = n \int_0^X P_{n-1}(X) dX$$

where $P_n(0) = P_{n-1}(1)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\frac{dP_n(X)}{dX} = nP_{n-1}(X):$$

The initial condition, determining the uniqueness of $P_n(X)$, follows from the recursive definition of these polynomials, i.e.

$$P_n(0) = 0P_{n-1}(0) - P_{n-1}(0+1) = -P_{n-1}(1):$$

We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 1$,

$$\frac{dP_1(X)}{dX} = \frac{d(X-1)}{dX} = 1 = P_0(X):$$

Assume, the Proposition is true for n . We will show it holds for $n+1$. Using the recursive definition of $P_n(X)$ and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dP_{n+1}(X)}{dX} &= \frac{d}{dX} (X P_n(X) - P_n(X+1)) \\&= P_n(X) + X \frac{dP_n(X)}{dX} - \frac{dP_n(X+1)}{dX} \\&= P_n(X) + nX P_{n-1}(X) - nP_{n-1}(X+1) \\&= P_n(X) + nP_n(X) \\&= (n+1)P_n(X): \end{aligned}$$

We now relate these polynomials to $f(n)$. Precisely, we have

Proposition 2.4. Let $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leq i \leq n$. We have

$$f(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j P_i(j) S(n-i; j):$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on i . For $i = 0$ this is trivial. Suppose the Proposition is true for i , we will show that it holds for $i+1$. By Proposition 2.1 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j P_i(j) S(n-i; j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j P_i(j) S(n-i-1; j-1) + \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j P_i(j) S(n-i-1; j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j P_i(j) S(n-i-1; j-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} P_i(j+1) S(n-i-1; j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} P_i(j+1) S(n-i-1; j) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j P_i(j) S(n-i-1; j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j (P_i(j+1) - P_i(j)) S(n-i-1; j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j P_{i+1}(j) S(n-(i+1); j): \end{aligned}$$

The last step follows from the recursive definition of $P_n(X)$:

We are now in a position to express $f(n)$ in terms of the polynomial $P_n(X)$, namely,

Corollary 2.5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$f(n) = P_n(0):$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, for $i = n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j P_n(j) S(n-n; j) \\ &= (-1)^0 P_n(0) S(0; 0) \\ &= P_n(0): \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of $P_n(X)$ can also be expressed as sums of the numbers $f(n)$. We have

Proposition 2.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$P_n(X) = \sum_{j=0}^n f(n-j)X^j:$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 0$,

$$P_0(X) = f(0)X^0 = 1:$$

Now, assume that the Proposition is true for n . We will show it holds for $n+1$. By Proposition 2.3 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1}(X) &= (n+1) \int_0^X P_n(X) dX \\ &= (n+1) \sum_{j=0}^n f(n-j) \frac{X^{j+1}}{j+1} + P_{n+1}(0) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{X^{j+1}}{j+1} f(n-j)X^{j+1} + f(n+1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{X^j}{j} f(n+1-j)X^j + f(n+1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \frac{X^j}{j} f(n+1-j)X^j: \end{aligned}$$

The following observation was made in the context of multiplicative partition functions (see [40]). We now give an alternative proof.

Corollary 2.7. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$f(n+1) = \sum_{j=0}^n f(n-j):$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.6,

$$P_n(1) = \sum_{j=0}^n f(n-j):$$

The result follows since

$$f(n+1) = P_{n+1}(0) = P_n(1):$$

Remark 2.8. It has been numerically verified that $P_n(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ for all $5 < n \leq 200$. We believe that $P_n(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ for all $n > 5$. It is not immediately clear that the methods of [6], [14], or [42] can be suitably adapted to prove this claim. Note that this claim implies Wilf's conjecture as the constant term of $P_n(X)$ is $f(n)$.

We now prove the following useful properties of the polynomials $P_n(X)$ which are the key results in proving congruences for $f(n)$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}; \quad n \geq 0$. We have

$$P_n(k) \equiv P_n(k+1) \pmod{1} :$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 0$, $P_0(k) = P_0(k+1) = 1$. Suppose the Proposition is true for n . We will prove it holds for $n+1$. Using the recursive definition of $P_n(X)$ and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1}(k) &= kP_n(k) - P_n(k+1) \pmod{1} \\ &= kP_n(k+1) - P_n(k+1+1) \pmod{1} \\ &= (k+1)P_n(k+1) - P_n(k+1+1) \pmod{1} \\ &= P_{n+1}(k+1) \pmod{1} : \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.10. Let k be a positive integer. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(X; Y) &:= (X - Y)(X + 1 - Y) \cdots (X + k - 1 - Y) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^k a_{r;k}(X) Y^r \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{r;k}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$: Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P_n(X+k) = \sum_{r=0}^k a_{r;k}(X) P_{n+r}(X) :$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . When $k = 1$, the result states $P_n(X+1) = X P_n(X) - P_{n+1}(X)$ and this is the basic recurrence relation for the polynomials $P_n(X)$: Assume the result holds for k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(X+k+1) &= \sum_{r=0}^k a_{r;k}(X+1) P_{n+r}(X+1) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^k a_{r;k}(X+1) (X P_{n+r}(X) - P_{n+r+1}(X)) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^k (X a_{r;k}(X+1) P_{n+r}(X) - a_{r;k}(X+1) P_{n+r+1}(X)) : \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq t \leq k$, the coefficient of $P_{n+t}(X)$ is

$$X a_{t;k}(X+1) - a_{t-1;k}(X+1) :$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{k+1}(X; Y) &= (X - Y)f_k(X + 1; Y) \\
&= (X - Y) \sum_{r=0}^{X^k} a_{r;k}(X + 1)Y^r \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{X^k} X a_{r;k}(X + 1)Y^r - \sum_{r=1}^{X^k+1} X a_{r-1;k}(X + 1)Y^r:
\end{aligned}$$

So $a_{t;k+1}(X) = X a_{t;k}(X + 1) - a_{t-1;k}(X + 1)$ and

$$P_n(X + k + 1) = \sum_{r=0}^{X^k+1} a_{r;k+1}(X)P_{n+r}(X):$$

Corollary 2.11. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$f(n) \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{X^k} a_{r;k}(0)f(n+r) \pmod{k}$$

where

$$(X - Y)(X + 1 - Y) \sum_{r=0}^{X^k} a_{r;k}(X)Y^r = \sum_{r=0}^{X^k+1} a_{r;k}(X)Y^r:$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.10 and $a_{0;k} = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_n(k) &= \sum_{r=1}^{X^k} a_{r;k}(0)P_{n+r}(0) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{X^k} a_{r;k}(0)f(n+r):
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Corollary 2.5 and the fact that

$$P_n(k) \equiv P_n(0) \pmod{k}:$$

Proposition 2.12. Let p be a prime and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$P_n(X) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{X-1} c_j(X + 1 + j)^n \pmod{p}$$

where $!$ is a solution of $z^p - z + 1 = 0$ in F_{p^p} and $fc_j g_{0-j-p-1}$ is a solution of

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j = 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (! + j)^{k+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (! + j + 1)^k = 0 \pmod{p}; \quad 0 \leq k < p-1:$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 0$, this follows from the first condition on the $fc_j g_{0-j-p-1}$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j = 1 \pmod{p},$$

and $P_0(X) = 1$. Let $Q_n(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (X + ! + j)^n$ and let us assume that $P_n(X) \equiv Q_n(X) \pmod{p}$. We will show that this congruence holds for $n+1$. By Proposition 2.3 and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1}(X) &= (n+1) \int_0^X P_n(X) dX + C \\ &\equiv (n+1) \int_0^X Q_n(X) dX + C \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv Q_{n+1}(X) + C \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

We now claim that $C \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By the initial condition on $P_n(X)$ as given in Proposition 2.3 and the induction hypothesis, we have the following equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} C &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (1) \quad P_{n+1}(0) \equiv Q_{n+1}(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ (1) \quad P_n(1) &\equiv Q_{n+1}(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ (1) \quad Q_n(1) + Q_{n+1}(0) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ (1) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (! + j)^{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (! + j + 1)^n &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}: \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed by proving the last congruence. For $n < p-1$ this congruence is satisfied, since this is exactly the way we have chosen the $fc_j g_{0-j-p-1}$. Now, suppose $n = p-1+k$ for $k \geq 0$. By Corollary 2.7, properties of binomial coefficients, and the

fact that $(p-1)! + 1 = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{p+k}(0) + P_{p+k-1}(1) &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (!+j)^{p+k} + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (!+j+1)^{p+k-1} \pmod{p} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (!+j)^p (!+j)^k + (!+j+1)^p (!+j+1)^{k-1} \pmod{p} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (!^p + j) (!+j)^k + (!^p + j+1) (!+j+1)^{k-1} \pmod{p} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (!+j-1) (!+j)^k + (!+j) (!+j+1)^{k-1} \pmod{p} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j (!+j)^{k+1} - (!+j)^k \\
 &\quad + (!+j) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (!+j)^{k-1-i} \pmod{p} \\
 f(k+1) - f(k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (!+j)^{k-1-i} \pmod{p} \\
 f(k+1) - f(k) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} f(k-i) \pmod{p} \\
 f(k+1) - f(k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k X^k \binom{k}{i} f(k-i) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} f(k-i-1) \pmod{p} \\
 f(k+1) - f(k) &= f(k+1) + f(k) \pmod{p} \\
 0 &\pmod{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

and the initial conditions are satisfied.

3. Congruences for $f(n)$ and the proof of Theorem 1.2

We are now able to prove Wilf's conjecture for infinitely many natural numbers. First, we would like to demonstrate how one can prove congruences for $f(n)$ using the recursive definition of $P_n(X)$ and Proposition 2.9. Secondly, we use properties of matrices to prove a general congruence for $f(n)$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 then follows.

Proposition 3.1. Let $n \geq 2$. Then

$$f(n) \equiv f(n+12) \pmod{4}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that $P_{n+12}(0) \equiv P_n(0) \pmod{4}$. By Proposition 2.9 $P_k(4) \equiv P_k(0) \pmod{4}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{n+12}(0) &\equiv 0P_{n+11}(0) + 3P_{n+11}(1) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 3P_{n+10}(1) + P_{n+10}(2) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 3P_{n+9}(1) + 3P_{n+9}(2) + 3P_{n+9}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 3P_{n+8}(1) + 3P_{n+8}(2) + 2P_{n+8}(3) + P_{n+8}(4) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv P_{n+8}(0) + 3P_{n+8}(1) + 3P_{n+8}(2) + 2P_{n+8}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 2P_{n+7}(0) + 2P_{n+7}(1) + 3P_{n+7}(2) + 3P_{n+7}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv P_{n+6}(0) + 2P_{n+6}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 2P_{n+5}(0) + 3P_{n+5}(1) + 2P_{n+5}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 2P_{n+4}(0) + P_{n+4}(1) + P_{n+4}(2) + 2P_{n+4}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 2P_{n+3}(0) + 3P_{n+3}(1) + P_{n+3}(2) + P_{n+3}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 3P_{n+2}(0) + P_{n+2}(1) + 3P_{n+2}(2) + 2P_{n+2}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv 2P_{n+1}(0) + 2P_{n+1}(1) + P_{n+1}(2) + 3P_{n+1}(3) \pmod{4} \\
 &\equiv P_n(0) \pmod{4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 can be extended in the following way.

Proposition 3.2. Let $n, h \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$f(n) \equiv f(n + 3 \cdot 4^{h-1}) \pmod{2^h}.$$

Proof. Corollary 2.11 for $k = 2^h$ gives

$$f(n) \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{X^h} a_{r;2^h(0)} f(n+r) \pmod{2^h}$$

and, in particular,

$$f(n + 2^h) \equiv f(n) \sum_{r=1}^{X^h} a_{r;2^h} f(n+r) \pmod{2^h}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(n+2^h) \\ f(n+2^{h+1}) \\ f(n+2^{h+2}) \\ \vdots \\ f(n+1) \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} f(n+2^h) \\ f(n+2^{h+1}) \\ f(n+2^{h+2}) \\ \vdots \\ f(n) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2^h}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{2^h;1;2^h(0)} & a_{2^h;2;2^h(0)} & a_{2^h;3;2^h(0)} & \dots & a_{0;2^h(0)} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that A is the companion matrix of the polynomial

$$c(Y) = Y(Y-1) \cdots (Y^{h+1/2} + 1) - 1:$$

Now

$$c(Y) = (Y(Y+1))^{2^{h-1}} + 1 = (Y^2 + Y + 1)^{2^{h-1}} \pmod{2}.$$

Over F_2 , A is non-derogatory (see [4], 7.20) and has Jacobson canonical form (see [27], p. 72)

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ X & N & & & \\ & X & N & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & N \\ & & & & & X \end{pmatrix}$$

where $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the companion matrix of $Y^2 + Y + 1$ and $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Some calculation shows that

$$c(J) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ 0 & I & & & \\ & 0 & I & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & I \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Z = J^3 - I = (J - I)c(J) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ 0 & X^2 & N & & \\ & 0 & X^2 & N & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 0 & X^2 \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & N \\ & & & & & & X^2 \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}:$$

The matrix Z has the property that

$$(1) \quad Z^{2^{h-1}} = 0$$

and the exponent 2^{h-1} is minimal. So over F_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} J^{3 \cdot 2^{h-1}} &= (I + Z)^{2^{h-1}} \\ &= I + 2^{h-1}Z + \cdots + Z^{2^{h-1}} \\ &= I: \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A^{3 \cdot 2^{h-1}}$ is similar to a matrix of the form $I + 2W$ for a matrix W over F_{2^h} . So

$$\begin{aligned} A^{3 \cdot 2^{h-1} \cdot 2^{h-1}} &= (I + 2W)^{2^{h-1}} \\ &= I + 2^h W + \frac{2^{h-1}}{2} 4W^2 + \cdots + (2W)^{2^{h-1}}: \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{t=0}^{2^h-1} 2^t = 2^h - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2^h}$, for all $1 \leq t \leq 2^h - 1$, we have

$$A^{3 \cdot 2^{h-2}} \equiv I \pmod{2^h}.$$

In other words, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} f(n+2^h) \\ f(n+2^{h-1}) \\ f(n+2^{h-2}) \\ \vdots \\ f(n+1) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ A \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} f(n+2^h) \\ f(n+2^{h-1}) \\ f(n+2^{h-2}) \\ \vdots \\ f(n) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ A \end{array} \pmod{2^h} \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} f(n+2^h) \\ f(n+2^{h-1}) \\ f(n+2^{h-2}) \\ \vdots \\ f(n-1) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ A \end{array} \pmod{2^h} \\ \\ \vdots \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} f(n+2^h-3) \\ f(n+2^h-3) \\ f(n+2^h-3) \\ \vdots \\ f(n-3) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ A \end{array} \pmod{2^h} \end{array}$$

Comparing the elements in the matrix yields the desired congruence

$$f(n) \equiv f(n+3 \cdot 2^{h-1}) \pmod{2^h}.$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Taking $h = 1$ in Proposition 3.2, we get $f(n) \equiv f(n+3) \pmod{2}$. As $f(1)$ and $f(3)$ are odd, we obtain Theorem 1.1. Taking $h = 2$, we get Proposition 3.1. Since $f(5) = 2$ and $f(8) = 50$, we have that $f(5) \equiv f(8) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Proposition 3.1 implies that $f(n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ for all $n \equiv 5, 8 \pmod{12}$, excluding the possibility that $f(n) = 0$ for these values of n . Thus if $n \equiv 5, 8 \pmod{12}$, then $f(n) \not\equiv 0$. If we take $h = 3$, then $f(n) \equiv f(n+48) \pmod{8}$. Since $f(11) \equiv f(23) \equiv f(35) \equiv f(47) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, we have that $f(n) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ for all $n \equiv 11, 23, 35, 47 \pmod{48}$, or equivalently, for $n \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$, excluding the possibility that $f(n) = 0$ for these values of n . Thus if $n \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$, then $f(n) \not\equiv 0$. In total, we have shown that if $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12}$, then $f(n) \not\equiv 0$.

In general, Proposition 3.2 gives the minimal period for $f(n) \pmod{2^h}$ for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$. For every fixed value of h one can compute the values of $n \in [0; 3 \cdot 2^{h-1} - 1]$ for which the 2-adic valuation of $f(n)$ is h . These values will yield the only possible cases $\pmod{3 \cdot 2^{h-1}}$ for which Wilf's conjecture might fail, the so-called "open" cases. For large values of h this is an arduous computation similar to the proof of Proposition 3.1 and the computer

program given in Appendix A can be used for this purpose. In particular, take $h = 22$ and consider the set

$$N_{22} = \{l \in \mathbb{N} : l \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } f(l) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{22}}\}.$$

The congruence

$$f(n) \equiv f(n + 3^{2^h}) \pmod{2^{2h}}$$

implies that

$$f(n) \equiv 0$$

for all $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3^{2^h}}$ where $l \in N_{22}$. In particular, since $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{22}}$ only for the values $n \equiv 2; 2944838 \pmod{3145728}$ where $n < 3^{2^h}$, this implies that if $n \equiv 2; 2944838 \pmod{3145728}$, then $f(n) \equiv 0$ and the result follows.

In Table 1 we have listed the "open" cases for values of $h = 22$.

h	Open cases	mod
1	2	3
2	2; 11	12
3	2	12
4	2	12
5	2	12
6	2; 38	48
7	2; 38	96
8	2; 134	192
9	2; 326	384
10	2; 326	768
11	2; 326	1536
12	2; 1862	3072
13	2; 1862	6144
14	2; 8006	12288
15	2; 20294	24576
16	2; 44870	49152
17	2; 94022	98304
18	2; 192326	196608
19	2; 192326	393216
20	2; 585542	786432
21	2; 1371974	1572864
22	2; 2944838	3145728

Table 1. Possible values for n for which $f(n) = 0$:

4. Other congruences

In this section, we would like to point out other interesting congruences which hold for $f(n)$ modulo a prime p . We begin with a result which was proven in [9].

Lem m a 4.1. Let $k; n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. W e have

$$_2(S(2^n; k)) = s_2(k) - 1;$$

where $v_2(\cdot)$ is the 2-adic valuation function and $s_2(k)$ the sum of the binary digits in the binary representation of k .

Proposition 4.2. Let n be an even natural number. Then

$$f(2^n) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

In particular, $f(2^n) \neq 0$ for n even.

Proof. By the definition of $f(n)$ and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2^n) \quad (1)^k S(2^n; k) \pmod{2} \\ & k=0 \\ & X^{2^n} \\ & S(2^n; k) \pmod{2} \\ & k=0 \\ & \# f(1-k-2^n) j S(2^n; k) \text{ is odd } g \pmod{2} \\ & \# f(1-k-2^n) j s_2(k) = 1 \pmod{2} \\ & \# f(1-k-2^n) j k \text{ is a 2-power } g \pmod{2} \\ & n+1 \pmod{2} \\ & 1 \pmod{2}: \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$f(n) \quad f(n + 26) \quad \text{mod } 3:$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that $P_n(0) \equiv P_{n+26}(0) \pmod{3}$. We will use the congruence $P_k(0) \equiv P_k(3) \pmod{3}$ in the following calculations.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{n+26}(0) &+ 2P_{n+25}(0) + 2P_{n+25}(1) \pmod{3} \\
2P_{n+24}(1) &+ P_{n+24}(2) \pmod{3} \\
2P_{n+23}(0) &+ 2P_{n+23}(1) \pmod{3} \\
P_{n+22}(2) &\pmod{3} \\
2P_{n+21}(0) &+ 2P_{n+21}(2) \pmod{3} \\
P_{n+20}(0) &+ P_{n+20}(1) + P_{n+20}(2) \pmod{3} \\
2P_{n+19}(0) &+ P_{n+19}(2) \pmod{3} \\
2P_{n+18}(0) &+ P_{n+18}(1) + 2P_{n+18}(2) \pmod{3} \\
P_{n+17}(0) &+ 2P_{n+17}(1) \pmod{3} \\
P_{n+16}(1) &+ P_{n+16}(2) \pmod{3} \\
2P_{n+15}(0) &+ P_{n+15}(1) + P_{n+15}(2) \pmod{3} \\
2P_{n+14}(0) &+ 2P_{n+14}(1) + P_{n+14}(2) \pmod{3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2P_{n+13}(0) \pmod{3} \\
& P_{n+12}(1) \pmod{3} \\
& P_{n+11}(1) + 2P_{n+11}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& P_{n+10}(0) + P_{n+10}(1) \pmod{3} \\
& 2P_{n+9}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& P_{n+8}(0) + P_{n+8}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& 2P_{n+7}(0) + 2P_{n+7}(1) + 2P_{n+7}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& P_{n+6}(0) + 2P_{n+6}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& P_{n+5}(0) + 2P_{n+5}(1) + P_{n+5}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& 2P_{n+4}(0) + P_{n+4}(1) \pmod{3} \\
& 2P_{n+3}(1) + 2P_{n+3}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& P_{n+2}(0) + 2P_{n+2}(1) + 2P_{n+2}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& P_{n+1}(0) + P_{n+1}(1) + 2P_{n+1}(2) \pmod{3} \\
& P_n(0) \pmod{3}:
\end{aligned}$$

In Table 2 below, we compute the minimal periods for $f(n) \pmod{N}$ for small values of N . The values in Table 2 follow from Propositions 3.2, 4.3, and extensive numerical work. For $N = 13$, we conjecture that the minimal period is $\frac{13^{13} - 1}{6}$.

N	Minimal period	N	Minimal period
2	3	10	398310
3	$26 = 3^3 - 1$	11	$57062334122 = \frac{11^{11} - 1}{5}$
4	12	12	1560
5	$1562 = \frac{5^5 - 1}{2}$	13	$\frac{13^{13} - 1}{6}?$
6	390	14	17294382
7	$274514 = \frac{7^7 - 1}{3}$	15	81091300290
8	48	16	192
9	234		

Table 2. Minimal period for $f(n) \pmod{N}$:

In general we have the following result on congruences $\pmod{p}$.

Corollary 4.4. Let p be a prime and let $N_p = p^p - 1$. We have

$$f(n) \equiv f(n + N_p) \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. We give two proofs of this result. First, let $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^p}$ be the finite field with p^p elements. By Fermat's Little Theorem for finite fields

$$a^{N_p} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}; \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^p}$$

and Proposition 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(n + N_p) &= P_{n+N_p}(0) \pmod{p} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N_p-1} c_j (1+j)^{n+N_p} \pmod{p} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N_p-1} c_j (1+j)^n \pmod{p} \\ &= P_n(0) \pmod{p} \\ &= f(n) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, Corollary 2.11 implies that

$$f(n) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{X^p} a_{r,p} f(n+r) \pmod{p}$$

where

$$Y^p + Y = Y(Y-1) \cdots (Y-p+1) = \sum_{r=0}^{X^p} a_{r,p} Y^r \pmod{p}.$$

So

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(n+p) \\ f(n+p-1) \\ f(n+p-2) \\ \vdots \\ f(n+1) \end{pmatrix} = A_p \begin{pmatrix} f(n+p-1) \\ f(n+p-2) \\ f(n+p-3) \\ \vdots \\ f(n) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$

where

$$A_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The companion matrix A_p has characteristic polynomial $Y^p - Y + 1$. So by Fermat's Little Theorem for finite fields, we have the following in $\mathbb{F}$:

$$A_p^{N_p} = I.$$

The result now follows from comparing the matrix entries in the congruence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} 0 \qquad \qquad 1 \\ f(n+p) \qquad 1 \\ \text{B} \begin{array}{c} f(n+p-1) \\ f(n+p-2) \\ \vdots \\ f(n+1) \end{array} \text{C} \\ \text{A} \end{array} &
\begin{array}{c} 0 \qquad \qquad 1 \\ f(n+p-N_p) \qquad 1 \\ \text{B} \begin{array}{c} f(n+p-1-N_p) \\ f(n+p-2-N_p) \\ \vdots \\ f(n+1-N_p) \end{array} \text{C} \\ \text{A}^{N_p} \end{array} &
\begin{array}{c} 0 \qquad \qquad 1 \\ f(n+p-N_p) \qquad 1 \\ \text{B} \begin{array}{c} f(n+p-1-N_p) \\ f(n+p-2-N_p) \\ \vdots \\ f(n+1-N_p) \end{array} \text{C} \\ \text{A} \end{array} \pmod p:
\end{array}$$

Remark 4.5. If we take a closer look at Table 2 we see that the period of Corollary 4.4 is not always the minimum alone. In general, we conjecture that the minimum period for $f(n) \pmod p$ is $2^{\frac{p-1}{p-1}}$. We should note that the periods where $N = 2^h$ are minimal for all h as can be seen by (1). Based on Table 2, one can ask for an explanation as to why the minimum periods of $f(n)$ are small (in relation to N) for $N = 2^h$ compared with the minimum periods for other values of N .

5. Applications

In this section we consider applications of Theorem 1.2 to graph theory, multiplicative partition functions, and to the irrationality of a p -adic series.

5.1. Graph Theory. A simple graph G consists of a non-empty finite set $V(G)$ of vertices and a finite set $E(G)$ of distinct unordered pairs of distinct elements of $V(G)$ called edges. We say that two vertices $v, w \in V(G)$ are adjacent if there is an edge $(v; w) \in E(G)$ joining them. A graph for which $E(G)$ is empty is called the null graph and is denoted by N_n where n is the number of vertices. A complete graph is a simple graph in which each pair of distinct vertices are adjacent. The complete graph on n vertices is denoted by K_n . If the vertex set of a graph G can be partitioned into two disjoint sets A and B so that each edge of G joins a vertex of A and a vertex of B , then G is called a bipartite graph. A complete bipartite graph is a bipartite graph in which each vertex of A is joined to each vertex of B by just one edge. The complete bipartite graphs are denoted by $K_{r;s}$ where r and s are the cardinalities of A and B respectively.

Let G be a simple graph with n vertices. One can associate to G many polynomials whose properties yield structure theorems of isomorphism classes of graphs. In the vast literature, one can study, for example, the chromatic polynomial, Tutte polynomial, interlace polynomials, cover polynomials of digraphs, and the matching polynomial of a graph. In this section we take a closer look at the matching polynomial of certain bipartite graphs.

A k -matching in a graph G is a set of k edges, no two of which have a vertex in common. We denote the number of k -matchings in G by $p(G; k)$. We set $p(G; 0) = 1$ and define the matching polynomial of G by

$$(G; X) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k p(G; k) X^{n-2k}.$$

Some examples of matchings polynomials are

$$\begin{aligned}
(N_n; X) &= X^n, \\
(K_n; X) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{n!}{k!(n-2k)! 2^k} X^{n-2k},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(K_{n,n}; X) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}^2 X^{n-2k}.$$

The study of matching polynomials has been a focus of research over the last twenty years. For further details regarding properties of matching polynomials, the reader should consult [3], [12], [13], [16], [19], [20], [18], or [33]. As we are interested in the roots of $(G; X)$, we recall some general results.

Proposition 5.1. Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then

- (i) The zeros of $(G; X)$ are real.
- (ii) The zeros of $(G; X)$ are symmetrically distributed about the origin.

Proof. For (i), see Corollary 1.2 or Lemma 4.3 in [16]. If n is even, then $(G; X)$ can be written as a polynomial in X^2 . If n is odd, then $X^{-1}(G; X)$ can be expressed as a polynomial in X^2 . Thus (ii) follows.

Further results on roots of matching polynomials can be found in [15], [17], [19], [20], [23], or [25]. For our purposes, we consider the following bipartite graph. Let $T(n)$ be the graph with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\} \cup \{1^0, \dots, n^0\}$ where i is adjacent to j^0 if and only if $i > j$. Thus $T(n)$ has $2n$ vertices. For $n = 3$, one can check that $p(T(3); 1) = 3$, $p(T(3); 2) = 1$, $p(T(3); 3) = 0$, and thus

$$(T(3); X) = X^2(X^2 - X - 1)(X^2 + X - 1):$$

We now relate the matching polynomial of $T(n)$ to Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(n; k)$.

Proposition 5.2. For the graph $T(n)$, we have

$$(T(n); X) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k S(n; n-k) X^{2n-2k}.$$

Proof. We briefly sketch the proof as given in [16]. For another proof, see the solution to Problem 4.31 in [35]. The idea is to consider a bijection from the set of k -matchings of $T(n)$ to a certain set of directed graphs. Thus counting the number of such directed graphs yields $p(T(n); k)$ and thus $(T(n); X)$. Each matching in $T(n)$ determines a directed graph with vertex set $N = \{1, \dots, n\} \cup \{1^0, \dots, n^0\}$ with arc $(i; j)$ for each edge ij^0 in the matching and a loop on each vertex j not in the matching. Now, each vertex component is a directed path with a loop on its last vertex. As there is an arc from i to j in the directed graph only if $i > j$, the graph is determined by the vertex set of each component. Thus the number of such directed graphs with c components is $S(n; c)$. Note that c equals the number of loops and decreases by 1 for each edge in the original matching. Hence $c = n - k$ where k equals the number of edges in the matching.

Each of the polynomials $(T(n); X)$ contains X^2 as a factor and thus is reducible. We thus consider the roots of the polynomial $\frac{1}{X^2}(T(n); X)$. This corresponds to removing the vertices 1 and n^0 in the graph $T(n)$. As a result of Theorem 1.2, we immediately have

Corollary 5.3. For $n \not\equiv 2; 2944838 \pmod{3145728}$, 1 is not a root of $\frac{1}{X^2}(T(n); X)$.

Remark 5.4. We conjecture that 1 is not a root of $\frac{1}{X^2} (T(n); X)$ for $n \equiv 2, 2944838 \pmod{3145728}$, and, more generally, that $\frac{1}{X^2} (T(n); X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ for every $n > 3$. This last statement has been numerically verified for all $3 < n \leq 500$. Note that this statement implies Wilf's conjecture.

5.2. Multiplicative partition functions. Multiplicative partition functions count the number of representations of a given positive integer m as a product of positive integers. For a well-written survey of techniques for enumerating product representations, please see [30]. Suppose the canonical prime factorization of m is given by

$$m = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_n^{r_n}.$$

The succession of integers $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$, when arranged in descending order of magnitude, specify a multipartite number

$$\overline{r_1 r_2 \cdots r_n}$$

associated to m . These multipartite numbers were first studied by MacMahon in [36]. Let b_m denote the number of multiplicative partitions of m . Note that there is a one-to-one correspondence between b_m and the number of additive partitions of the multipartite number associated to m . MacMahon [37] observed that the infinite product

$$\prod_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - k^{-s})^{-1}$$

is the generating function of the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_m}{m^s}.$$

Harris and Subbarao provide a recursion for b_m in [24] while Matticks and Dodd [38] have shown that $b_m \leq m (\log m)^c$ for each fixed $c > 0$ and for all sufficiently large m . This upper bound implies a conjecture of Hughes and Shallit [26]. More precise results of an asymptotic nature on the growth rate of b_m can be found in [5].

In this section we consider the reciprocal Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^s}$$

generated by the infinite product $\prod_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - k^{-s})$. The coefficients a_m count the number of (unordered) representations of m as a product of an even number of distinct integers

> 1 minus the number of representations of m as a product of an odd number of distinct integers > 1 . Note that for a positive integer $m > 1$, a_m depends only on the exponents $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ in the canonical prime factorization m . In particular, if m is squarefree, the value of a_m is a function of the number n of prime factors of m . Let $e(n)$ denote this function. Subbarao and Verma [40] studied the asymptotic behavior of $e(n)$ and showed that

$$\frac{\log j(n)}{n}$$

is unbounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, they prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log j(n)}{n \log n} = 1.$$

Note that if we identify the factors of $m = p_1 \cdots p_n$ with subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $e(n)$ counts the number of ways to partition a set S of n elements into an even number of non-empty subsets minus the number of ways to partition S into an odd number of non-empty subsets. Thus,

$$e(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k S(n; k).$$

As a result of Theorem 1.2, we have the following

Corollary 5.5. If m is squarefree and contains n prime factors, then $a_m = e(n) \not\equiv 0$ for all $n \not\equiv 2, 2944838 \pmod{3145728}$.

5.3. p -adic sums. Let p be a prime. For every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, put

$$v_p(a) = \max \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : p^m \mid a\}.$$

We extend v_p to $\mathbb{Q}$ by defining $v_p\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = v_p(a) - v_p(b)$ where $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{b}$. If we define

$$|x|_p = \begin{cases} p^{-v_p(x)} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0; \end{cases}$$

then $|x|_p$ is a norm on $\mathbb{Q}$ called the p -adic norm. The field of p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to $|x|_p$, i.e., p -adic numbers are convergent series of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k p^k;$$

where $i, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that a p -adic number $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is called a p -adic irrational.

It is a well-known result that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ converges if and only if $|a_n|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Corollary 4.1.2 in [21]). Thus the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n!$$

converges in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ as $|n!|_p \rightarrow 0$. The same is true for the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k n!$$

where k is a non-negative integer. Murty and Sumner [39] investigate the irrationality of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k n!$. Schikhof [41] was the first to ask whether $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n!$ is a p -adic irrational or not. Murty and Sumner conjecture that it is. They also use the fact that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! = (m+1)! - 1$$

and $|j(m+1)!|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ to deduce that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! = 1$. Moreover, they prove using an inductive argument that

$$x_k = v_k - u_k,$$

where $u_k, v_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, they show that if one assumes that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n!$ is irrational, then (see Lemma 4 in [39])

$$(- 1)^k u_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (- 1)^j S(k+1; j).$$

As a result of this expression for u_k and Theorem 1.2, we can extend Theorem 1 in [39] as follows.

Corollary 5.6. Let p be a prime. If α is a p -adic irrational and $k \not\equiv 2; 2944838 \pmod{3145728}$, then u_k is a p -adic irrational.

6. Appendix A . The code

The following code provides the possible zeros of $f(n)$ modulo N , as well as the minimal period.

```
#include <stdio.h>

#define N 'any number'

long Data1[N + 1]; long Data2[N + 1];

int main() {
    long I;
    long double Steps=0;
    Data1[1] = 1;
    Data2[1] = 0;
    for (I = 2; I < N + 1; ++I) {
        Data1[I] = 0;
        Data2[I] = 0;
    };
    long Sum=0;
    long l=0;
    printf("-----\n");
    printf("Possible zeros for f(n) modulo %i\n", N);
    printf("-----\n");
cont1:
    ++Steps;
    Sum = 0;
    for (I = N; I > 1; --I) {
        Data2[I] = (N+Data1[I] * (I - 1) - Data1[I - 1]) % N;
        Sum += Data2[I];
    };
    Data2[1] = (N - Data1[N]) % N;
    if (!(Sum + Data2[1]) % N) {
        printf("Possible zero is %Lf \n", Steps);
    }
    // Check if minimal period is reached
    if (Sum | (Data2[1]-1)) goto Transfer;
    printf("The minimal period is : %Lf \n", Steps);
    return 0;

```

Transfer:

```

for (I = 1; I < N + 1; ++I) {
    Data1[I]=Data2[I];
}
goto cont1;
}

```

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ram Murty for his comments on a preliminary version of this paper and Bruce Berndt for pointing out reference [2]. The first author would also like to thank Barbara Verdonck for many insightful discussions. The third author would like to mention that this paper owes its existence to a delightful talk given by Professor Murty in the Summer of 2004 at Queen's University in Kingston, Ontario, Canada.

References

- [1] R. E. Beard, On the coefficients in the expansion of e^{e^t} and $e^{e^{-t}}$, J. Inst. Actuar. 76 (1950), 152{163.
- [2] B. Berndt, Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [3] R. A. Beazer, E. J. Farrell, The matching polynomial of a regular graph, Discrete Math. 137 (1995), no. 1-3, 7{18.
- [4] W. C. Brown, Matrices over Commutative Rings, M. Dekker, New York, 1993.
- [5] E. Canakli, P. Erdos, and C. Pomerance, On a problem of Oppenheim concerning "Factorisation Numerorum" J. Number Th. 17 (1983), 1{28.
- [6] R. Coleman, On the Galois group of the exponential Taylor polynomial, L'Enseignement Math. 33 (1987), 183{189.
- [7] L. Comtet, Advanced Combinatorics, D. Reidel, 1974.
- [8] N. G. de Bruijn, Asymptotic methods in analysis, Corrected reprint of the third edition, Dover Publ. Inc., New York, 1981.
- [9] S. De Wannemacker, On 2-adic orders of Stirling numbers of the second kind, INTEGERS, 5 (1) (2005), A 21.
- [10] S. De Wannemacker, Annihilating polynomials for quadratic forms and Stirling numbers of the second kind, to appear in Math. Nachr.
- [11] S. De Wannemacker, Annihilating polynomials and Stirling numbers of the second kind, Ph.D. thesis, University College Dublin (2006).
- [12] P. Diaconis, A. Gamburd, Random matrices, magic squares, and matching polynomials, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004/06), no. 2, Research Paper 2, 26 pp.
- [13] E. J. Farrell, An introduction to matching polynomials, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 27 (1979), no. 1, 75{86.
- [14] M. Filaseta, O. Trifonov, The irreducibility of the Bessel polynomial, J. Reine Angew. Math. 550 (2002), 125{140.
- [15] D. C. Fisher, J. Ryan, Bounds on the largest root of the matching polynomial, Discrete Math. 110 (1992), no. 1-3, 275{278.
- [16] C. D. Godsil, Algebraic Combinatorics, Chapman & Hall, New York, 1993.
- [17] C. D. Godsil, Matchings and walks in graphs, J. Graph Theory 5 (1981), no. 3, 285{297.
- [18] C. D. Godsil, Hermite polynomials and a duality relation for matching polynomials, Combinatorica 1 (1981), no. 3, 257{262.
- [19] C. D. Godsil, I. Gutman, On the theory of the matching polynomial, J. Graph Theory 5 (1981), no. 2, 137{144.
- [20] C. D. Godsil, I. Gutman, On the matching polynomial of a graph, Algebraic methods in graph theory, Vol. I, II (Szeged, 1978), 241{249, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, 25, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981.
- [21] F. Q. Gouvêa, p-adic numbers: An introduction, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [22] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, a foundation for computer science, Addison Wesley, 1989.
- [23] I. Gutman, On some graphic polynomials whose zeros are real, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 37 (51) (1985), 29{32.

- [24] C. Harris, M. V. Subbarao, On product partitions of integers, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 34 (1991), 474{479.
- [25] O. J. Heilmann, E. H. Lieb, Theory of monomer-dimer systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 25 (1972), 190{232.
- [26] J. Hughes, J. Shallit, On the number of multiplicative partitions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 90 (1983), 468{471.
- [27] N. Jacobson, *Lectures in Abstract Algebra, Vol. II-Linear Algebra*, D. Van Nostrand, 1953.
- [28] M. K. Lazar, Counting even and odd partitions, *American Math. Monthly*, 110 (2003), no. 6, 527{532.
- [29] M. K. Lazar, Bell numbers, their relatives, and algebraic differential equations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 102 (2003), no. 1, 63{87.
- [30] A. Knopfmacher, M. Mays, A survey of factorization counting functions, *Inter. J. Number Th.* 1 (2005), no. 4, 563{581.
- [31] N. A. Kolokolnikova, Relations between sums of certain special numbers, in: G. P. Egorycev, M. L. Platonov (Eds.), *Asimptoticheskie iperechislitelnye zadachi kombinatoromogo analiza (Asymptotic and Enumeration Problems of Combinatorial Analysis)*, Krasnojarsk. Gos. Univ., Krasnoyarsk. 1976, 117{124.
- [32] L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York-London-Sydney, 1974.
- [33] B. Lass, The N -dimensional matching polynomial, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 15 (2005), no. 2, 453{475.
- [34] J. Layman, C. P. Rader, Generalized Bell numbers and zeros of successive derivatives of an entire function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 96 (1983), no. 1, 42{51.
- [35] L. Lovasz, *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [36] P. MacMahon, Memoir on the theory of the compositions of numbers, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London (A)* 184 (1893), 835{901.
- [37] P. MacMahon, Dirichlet series and the theory of partitions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) 22 (1924), 404{411.
- [38] L. Matthes, F. D. Odd, Estimating the number of multiplicative partitions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 17 (1987), 797{813.
- [39] M. Ram Murty, S. Sumner, On the p -adic series $\prod_{n=1}^p (1 + n^k x^n)$, *Number theory*, 219{227, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [40] M. V. Subbarao, A. Vemba, Some remarks on a product expansion: an unexplored partition function, F. G. Garvan, M. E. H. Ismail (Eds.), *Symplectic Computations, Number Theory, Special Functions, Physics and Combinatorics* (Gainesville, FL, 1999), Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 267{283.
- [41] W. S. Schikhof, *Ultrametric Calculus: An Introduction to p -adic Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [42] E. Sel, On a certain family of generalized Laguerre polynomials, *J. Number Th.* 107 (2004), no. 2, 266{281.
- [43] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, available at <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>
- [44] V. R. R. Uppuluri, J. A. Carpenter, Numbers generated by the function $\exp(1 - e^x)$, *Fibonacci Quart.* 7 (1969), 437{448.
- [45] Y. Yang, On a multiplicative partition function, *Electron. J. Combin.* 8 (2001) R19, 14pp.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland
 E-mail address: stefan.dewannemacker@ucd.ie
 E-mail address: thomas.laffey@ucd.ie
 E-mail address: robert.osburn@ucd.ie