Hochschild (co)homology and fundamental groups of pullbacks

Juan Carlos Bustamante, Julie Dionne, and David Smith

ABSTRACT. We study the Hochschild and simplicial (co)homology groups of a certain family of pullbacks of algebras, called *oriented pullbacks*. We prove that for such a pullback, there exist Mayer-Vietoris long exact sequences of Hochschild and simplicial (co)homology groups. Finally, we study the fundamental groups of some pullbacks of algebras and infer consequences on the computation of their first Hochschild cohomology groups.

Introduction

Let k be a field, and A be a finite dimensional k-algebra (with unit). Given an A-A-bimodule M, the Hochschild homology and cohomology groups of A with coefficients in M are the groups $H_i(A, M) = \operatorname{Tor}_i^{A \otimes_k A^{op}}(M, A)$ and $H^i(A, M) =$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{A \otimes_k A^{op}}^i(A, M)$, respectively. In case ${}_AM_A = {}_AA_A$, we simply write $H_i(A)$, or $H^i(A)$. These groups are closely related: for every $i \ge 0$ there exists an isomorphism $\phi_i : \mathrm{DH}^i(A, \mathrm{D}M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_i(A, M)$ (see [6, p. 181]), where $\mathrm{D} = \operatorname{Hom}_k(-, k)$ is the usual duality. Then, the Yoneda product makes $\mathrm{H}^*(A) = \coprod_{i\ge 0} \mathrm{H}^i(A)$ a graded commutative ring (which can be also regarded as a graded Lie algebra, see [12], or (2.1) below).

On the other hand, let $A_1 = kQ_{A_1}/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_{A_2}/I_2$ and $C = kQ_C/I_C$ be bound quiver algebras such that each connected component of Q_C is a full and convex subquiver of Q_{A_1} and Q_{A_2} and $I_C = I_1 \cap kQ_{A_1} = I_2 \cap kQ_{A_2}$. Let e be the unit of C, e_i be the unit of A_i and set $e'_i = e_i - e$ (i = 1, 2). Consider the canonical projections of algebras $f_i: A_1 \longrightarrow C$ defined by $f_i(a) = eae$, for $a \in A_i$. The pullback R of f_1 and f_2 , which we simply call the pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C, is given by kQ_R/I_R , where $Q_R = Q_{A_1} \coprod_{Q_C} Q_{A_2}$ and I_R depends on I_1 and I_2 (see (1.2) for details). The pullback R is called oriented if, either $eRe'_1 = 0$ and $eRe'_2 = 0$, $e'_1Re = 0$ and $e'_2Re = 0$, or $e'_1Re = 0$ and $eRe'_2 = 0$ (see (2.2) for details).

The main purpose of this paper is to study the Hochschild cohomology groups of oriented pullbacks. It turns out that for this class of algebras there is a Mayer-Vietoris sequence of Hochschild cohomology groups. More precisely, we prove:

THEOREM 1. Let R be the oriented pullback of algebras A_1 and A_2 over an algebra C. Let X be an R-R-bimodule. There exists a long exact sequence of

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E40

Keywords: Pullbacks, Hochschild cohomology, Simplicial cohomology, Fundamental group

Hochschild cohomology groups :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(R, X) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{0}} \mathrm{H}^{0}(A_{1}, e_{1}Xe_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0}(A_{2}, e_{2}Xe_{2}) \xrightarrow{\beta^{0}} \mathrm{H}^{0}(C, eXe) \longrightarrow$$
$$\xrightarrow{\delta^{0}} \mathrm{H}^{1}(R, X) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{1}} \mathrm{H}^{1}(A_{1}, e_{1}Xe_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}(A_{2}, e_{2}Xe_{2}) \xrightarrow{\beta^{1}} \mathrm{H}^{1}(C, eXe) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

In addition, if X = R, then eXe = C, $e_iXe_i = A_i$ (i = 1, 2) and

- (a) the induced maps $\alpha_i^n : \mathrm{H}^n(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^n(A_i)$ and $\beta_i^n : \mathrm{H}^n(A_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^n(C)$ give ring homomorphisms $\alpha_i^* : \mathrm{H}^*(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(A_i)$ and $\beta_i^* : \mathrm{H}^*(A_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(C)$;
- (b) if R is a triangular algebra, the maps $\alpha_i^* : \mathrm{H}^*(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(A_i)$ and $\beta_i^* : \mathrm{H}^*(A_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(R)$ are also morphisms of Lie algebras.

In general, the Hochschild cohomology groups are difficult to compute. Direct formulæ exist in some particular cases (see [16, 8, 22]). Some long exact sequences of cohomology groups have also been obtained in case the algebra admits a homological ideal (see [27]), or is a triangular matrix algebra (see [16, 9, 25, 13, 14, 2]).

Using the aforementioned duality isomorphism between Hochschild cohomology and homology groups, we deduce from Theorem 1 a similar result for Hochschild homology (see (2.2.7)). Moreover, we show that Theorem 1 yields direct formulæ to compute the Hochschild cohomology groups when C is a core algebra (that is Q_C contains no oriented cycles and $H^n(C) = 0$ for n > 0). We have:

PROPOSITION 2. Let R be the oriented pullback of algebras A_1 and A_2 over a core algebra C. Then:

- (a) $\dim_k H^0(R) = \dim_k H^0(A_1) + \dim_k H^0(A_2) 1;$
- (b) $\dim_k \mathrm{H}^1(R) = \dim_k \mathrm{H}^1(A_1) + \dim_k \mathrm{H}^1(A_2) + \dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(C) 1;$
- (c) $\mathrm{H}^{i}(R) \cong \mathrm{H}^{i}(A_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{i}(A_{2})$ for $i \geq 2$.

If an algebra A admits a semi-normed basis, then one can define its simplicial homology and cohomology groups (see [4, 23]). In the vein of Theorem 1, we establish analogous results concerning the simplicial (co)homology groups arising from pullbacks, see (3.1).

Furthermore, given $A \cong kQ/I$, to the pair (Q, I) we can associate its fundamental group $\pi_1(Q, I)$ (see [24]). Again, considering pullbacks of algebras, we prove the following (see (3.2) for details).

PROPOSITION 3. Let $R = kQ_R/I_R$ be the pullback of algebras $A_1 = kQ_{A_1}/I_1$ and $A_2 = kQ_{A_2}/I_2$ over an algebra $C = kQ_C/I_C$. Assume that, for each fundamental minimal relation ω on (Q_R, I_R) , all the paths occuring in ω are either contained in Q_C or contain no arrows from Q_C . Let $Q_C = \coprod_{j=1}^m Q_{C_j}$ with each Q_{C_j} connected, and set $I_{C_j} = I_R \cap kQ_{C_j}$. Then,

$$\pi_1(Q_R, I_R) \cong \frac{\pi_1(Q_{A_1}, I_{A_1}) \coprod \pi_1(Q_{A_2}, I_{A_2}) \coprod L_{m-1}}{\coprod_{j=1}^m \pi_1(Q_{C_j}, I_{C_j})}$$

where \coprod denotes the free product of groups and L_{m-1} is the free group in m-1 generators.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we fix notation and terminology. In Section 2, we recall the relevant facts about Hochschild (co)homology, give the definition of oriented pullback of algebras and prove Theorem 1 and Proposition 2. Moreover, we give some examples and compare the sequence of Theorem 1 with other existing long exact sequences. Finally, in Section 3, we show the analogous results for simplicial (co)homology groups and prove Proposition 3. Finally, we deduce consequences on the computation of the first Hochschild cohomology group.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Quivers, algebras and categories. A quiver is a quadruple $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$, where Q_0 and Q_1 are two finite sets, respectively called the set of vertices and the set of arrows, and s and t are two maps from Q_1 to Q_0 associating to each arrow its source and its target, respectively. A quiver Q is connected if its underlying graph is connected.

A **path** is a sequence of arrows $\omega = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n$ such that $t(\alpha_i) = s(\alpha_{i+1})$ for each *i*, with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We denote by $l(\omega)$ the **length** of the path ω , that is, the number of arrows in ω . The **source** of such a path is $s(\alpha_1)$, and its **target** is $t(\alpha_n)$. Also, to each vertex *x* of *Q*, we associate the **trivial path** ε_x . An **oriented cycle** is a path ω such that $s(\alpha_1) = t(\alpha_n)$.

Given a commutative field k, we define the **path algebra** kQ to be the k-vector space having as basis the set of all paths in Q endowed with the following multiplication: let ω_1 and ω_2 be two basis elements, then $\omega_1\omega_2$ is their composition if $t(\omega_1) = s(\omega_2)$, and 0 otherwise. A **relation** from x to y, with $x, y \in Q_0$, is a linear combination $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \omega_i$ where, for each i, ω_i is a path of length at least two from x to y in Q and $\lambda_i \in k \setminus \{0\}$. Let J be the **radical** of kQ, that is the two-sided ideal of kQ generated by all arrows of Q. An ideal I of kQ is **admissible** if there exists $m \geq 2$ such that $J^m \subseteq I \subseteq J^2$. In this case, the pair (Q, I) is called a **bound quiver** and the algebra kQ/I is finite dimensional and basic. By abuse of notation, we simply denote the primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents $\varepsilon_x + I$ by ε_x . Moreover, kQ/I is **triangular** (or **connected**) if Q has no oriented cycles (or Q is connected, respectively).

Conversely, for every finite dimensional, connected and basic algebra A over an algebraically closed field k there exists a unique connected quiver Q and (usually several) surjective maps $\nu: kQ \longrightarrow A$ with $I = \text{Ker } \nu$ admissible. The pair (Q, I) is called a **presentation** of A. Any basic algebra A = kQ/I can equivalently be regarded as a locally bounded k-category [3] having as object class the set $A_0 = Q_0$ and as morphism set from x to y the k-vector space $A(x, y) = \varepsilon_x A \varepsilon_y$. In what follows, we use both terminologies, and pass from one to the other freely. A subcategory B of A is called **full** if, for all $x, y \in B_0$, we have B(x, y) = A(x, y), and **convex** if any vertex z which lies on a path in Q from $x \in B_0$ to $y \in B_0$ also belongs to B_0 .

In this paper, k always denotes a commutative field. Moreover, all the algebras considered are of the form kQ/I, for some bound quiver (Q, I).

1.2. Pullbacks of algebras. Let $A_1 = kQ_{A_1}/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_{A_2}/I_2$ and $C = kQ_C/I_C$ be algebras and $f_1: A_1 \longrightarrow C$ and $f_2: A_2 \longrightarrow C$ be morphisms of algebras. The pullback R of the morphisms f_1 and f_2 is the set of pairs (a_1, a_2) in $A_1 \times A_2$ such that $f_1(a_1) = f_2(a_2)$. Then R becomes an algebra with the natural operations.

We consider the particular case where each connected component of Q_C is a full and convex subquiver of Q_{A_1} and Q_{A_2} and $I_C = I_1 \cap kQ_C = I_2 \cap kQ_C$. In addition, if *e* denotes the unit element of *C*, we let $f_i : A_i \longrightarrow C$ be the canonical projection of algebras defined by $f_i(a) = eae$, for $a \in A_i$. In this case, we say that

R is the pullback of A_1 and A_2 over *C*. Also, for i = 1, 2, let $j_i : Q_C \rightarrow Q_{A_i}$ be the natural inclusions of quivers. From [20, 18, 19], we have:

PROPOSITION 1.2.1. Let R be the pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C. Then $R \cong kQ_R/I_R$, where $Q_R = Q_{A_1} \coprod_{Q_C} Q_{A_2}$ is the pushout of j_1 and j_2 and $I_R = I_1 + I_2 + \langle \rho \rangle$, where ρ is the set of paths linking $(Q_{A_1})_0 \setminus (Q_C)_0$ and $(Q_{A_2})_0 \setminus (Q_C)_0$ in Q_R . Moreover, Q_{A_1} and Q_{A_2} are convex in Q_R .

EXAMPLE 1.2.2. Let R be the radical square zero algebra given by the quiver



Then R is the pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C, where A_1 , A_2 and C are the full subcategories respectively generated by the sets of vertices $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

2. Hochschild (co)homology

2.1. Definitions and notations. Let R be a k-algebra and $_RX_R$ be an R-R-bimodule. Following [6], the Hochschild cohomology groups of R with coefficients in X are the groups $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{R\otimes_k R^{op}}(R, X)$ and we denote them as $\operatorname{H}^i(R, X)$. In the case where $_RX_R = _RR_R$, we simply write $\operatorname{H}^i(R)$.

Let $J = \operatorname{rad} R$ and E = R/J. By $J^{\otimes n}$ we denote the n^{th} tensor power of J with itself over E. With these notations, and following [7, (1.2)], the Hochschild cohomology groups $\operatorname{H}^{i}(R, X)$ are the cohomology groups of the following complex, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}_{R,X} = (\mathcal{C}^{i}_{R,X}, \delta^{i}_{R,X})$ in the sequel :

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(E,X) \xrightarrow{\delta_{R,X}^{0}} \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(J,X) \xrightarrow{\delta_{R,X}^{1}} \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(J^{\otimes 2},X) \xrightarrow{\delta_{R,X}^{2}} \cdots$$

where $(\delta_{R,X}^{0}f)(\alpha) = \alpha f(\varepsilon_{2}) - f(\varepsilon_{1})\alpha$, for $\alpha \in \varepsilon_{1}J\varepsilon_{2}$ and
 $(\delta_{R,X}^{n}f)(x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+1}) = x_{1}f(x_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+1})$

$$(b_{R,X}f)(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+1}) = x_1 f(x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i x_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} f(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) x_{n+1}$$

for $n \ge 1$ and $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+1} \in J^{\otimes n+1}$

REMARK 2.1.1. Since the tensor products are taken over E, if $x_1, x_2 \in J$, with, say $x_1 \in \varepsilon_i J \varepsilon_j$, and $x_2 \in \varepsilon_l J \varepsilon_m$ then, in $J^{\otimes 2}$, one has

$$x_1 \otimes x_2 = x_1 \varepsilon_i \otimes \varepsilon_l x_2 = x_1 \otimes \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_l x_2$$

and this vanishes if $j \neq l$. The same argument shows that $J^{\otimes n}$ is generated by elements of the form $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ with, $x_i \in \varepsilon_{i-1} J \varepsilon_i$ for some idempotents ε_i .

The Yoneda product in $\mathrm{H}^*(R) = \coprod_{i>0} \mathrm{H}^i(R)$ is induced by a cup-product defined at the cochain level as follows. Given $f \in \mathcal{C}^n_{R,R}$, $g \in \mathcal{C}^m_{R,R}$ we define $f \cup g \in \mathcal{C}^{n+m}_{R,R}$ by the rule:

$$(f \cup g)(r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{n+m}) = f(r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_n)g(r_{n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{n+m}).$$

On the other hand, if R is a triangular algebra, the elements $f \in \mathcal{C}_{R,R}^n$ take values in the radical of R. Thus, given $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we can define $f \circ_i g$ by the rule :

$$(f \circ_i g)(r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{n+m-1}) = f(r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g(r_i \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{i+m-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{n+m-1}).$$

Then we define the composition $f \circ g = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{(i-1)(m-1)} f \circ_{i} g, & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases}$ and finally the bracket of f and g as $[f, g] = f \circ g - (-1)^{(n-1)(m-1)} g \circ f.$

These two structures are related. In fact $H^*(R)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra (see [12]), that is a graded k-vector space endowed with a product \cup which makes $H^*(R)$ a graded commutative algebra, and a Lie bracket [-, -] of degree -1 that makes of $H^*(R)$ a graded Lie algebra, and such that $[x, y \cup z] = [x, y] \cup z + (-1)^{(|x|-1)|y|} y \cup [x, z]$, where |x| and |y| are the degrees of x and y, respectively.

On the other hand, the Hochschild homology groups of R with coefficients in X are the groups $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R\otimes_{k}R^{op}}(X,R)$ and we denote them as $\operatorname{H}_{i}(R,X)$. Following [6, p. 181], for $i \geq 0$, there exists an isomorphism $\phi_{i}: D\operatorname{H}^{i}(R, DX) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{H}_{i}(R,X)$, where $D = \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(-,k)$ is the usual duality.

2.2. Main results. In this section, we prove Theorem 1 and the analogous result for Hochschild homology, see (2.2.7). We begin with some definitions and preliminary results.

In the case where R is the pullback of algebras as described in (1.2), we denote by J_i the Jacobson radical of A_i and by E_i the quotient A_i/J_i , for i = 1, 2. Also, J_C denotes the Jacobson radical of C and $E_C = C/J_C$. Finally, A'_i denotes the full subcategory generated by $(A_i)_0 \setminus C_0$ and e_i , e'_i and e are the identities of the algebras A_i , A'_i and C, respectively. In this case, e_i is the sum of the idempotents associated to the vertices of A_i , that is $e_i = \sum_{x \in (O_i)_0} \varepsilon_x$.

REMARK 2.2.1. With the notations above, we have $e'_1 R e'_2 = e'_2 R e'_1 = 0$.

DEFINITION 2.2.2. Let $R = kQ_R/I_R$ be the pullback of algebras $A_1 = kQ_{A_1}/I_1$ and $A_2 = kQ_{A_2}/I_2$ over an algebra $C = kQ_C/I_C$ (see (1.2)). Then R is called **oriented pullback** if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(1) $eRe'_1 = 0$ and $eRe'_2 = 0$;

(2)
$$e'_1 Re = 0$$
 and $e'_2 Re = 0$;

(3) $e'_1 R e = 0$ and $e R e'_2 = 0$.

Using the decomposition $1_R = e'_1 + e + e'_2$, and keeping (2.2.1) in mind one can write the oriented pullback algebras in matrix forms. The next figure shows these forms together with their corresponding "quiver configurations". Note that the only nonzero paths in each case are indicated by the depicted arrows (and only these). Also, note that situation (3) is self-dual.



Moreover, one can see that if $_{R}X_{R} = _{R}R_{R}$ then eXe = C and $e_{i}Xe_{i} = A_{i}$, for i = 1, 2. Clearly, this definition generalizes that of the "Nakayama oriented pullbacks" introduced in [20]. For the remainder of this section, we suppose that R is an oriented pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C and we use the notations of (2.2.2). Also, note that oriented pullbacks are particular cases of extensions of algebras (compare with [9, 25, 13, 2]). We shall need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.2.3. Under the above assumptions, there is no nonzero path neither from $Q_{A'_2}$ to $Q_{A'_1}$ nor from $Q_{A'_1}$ to $Q_{A'_2}$ in (Q_R, I_R) . Moreover,

- (a) If $eRe'_i = 0$, then there is no nonzero path from C to A'_i ;
- (b) If $e'_i Re = 0$, then there is no nonzero path from A'_i to C;
- (c) The categories A_1 and A_2 are full and convex subcategories of R.

Proof: The first statement is just (2.2.1). To prove (a), let $eRe'_1 = 0$ and suppose that $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n$ is a path from a vertex x of C to a vertex y of A'_1 . Let $j = \min\{i\}$ $| s(\alpha_i) \notin (A'_1)_0$ and $t(\alpha_i) \in (A'_1)_0$. Then $\alpha_j \in \varepsilon_{s(\alpha_j)} R \varepsilon_{t(\alpha_j)}$, thus $eRe'_1 \neq 0$ or $e'_2 Re'_1 \neq 0$, a contradiction. Statement (b) is shown in the same way. For statement (c), fullness follows from the fact that $e_i Re'_i = e_i Re'_i = 0$, or $e'_i Re_i = e'_i Re = 0$ when $1 \le i \ne j \le 2$. Convexity follows from (a) and (b).

LEMMA 2.2.4. Under the above assumptions, we have:

- (a) $J_C = eJe$ and $eJ^{\otimes n}e = (eJe)^{\otimes n}$;
- (b) For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have $J_i = e_i J e_i$ and $e_i J^{\otimes n} e_i = (e_i J e_i)^{\otimes n}$.

Proof : We only prove (a) since the proof of (b) is similar.

(a). The fact that $J_C = eJe$ follows from the definition of J_C and the fact that each connected component of Q_C is a full and convex subquiver of Q_R . For the second statement, we first prove that $(eJe)^{\otimes n} \subseteq eJ^{\otimes n}e$. Clearly, eJe is included in J, whence $(eJe)^{\otimes n} \subseteq J^{\otimes n}$. Multiplying by e on both sides gives the result.

Now, let $\tau = e(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)e$ be a nonzero element of $eJ^{\otimes n}e$. By (2.1.1), we can assume without loss of generality that $x_j \in \varepsilon_{j-1} J \varepsilon_j$, for each j. Since $\tau \neq 0$ and each connected component of Q_C is convex in Q_R , the vertices corresponding to the idempotents ε_i belong to Q_C , thus $x_i = \varepsilon_{i-1} x_i \varepsilon_i = e x_i e$, so that $x_i \in e J e$ for every j. The conclusion follows. \square

LEMMA 2.2.5. Under the above assumptions, the following hold, for i = 1, 2:

- (a) If $eRe'_i = 0$, then $J_i^{\otimes n} = e'_i J^{\otimes n} e'_i \oplus e'_i J^{\otimes n} e \oplus eJ^{\otimes n} e$; (b) If $e'_i Re = 0$, then $J_i^{\otimes n} = e'_i J^{\otimes n} e'_i \oplus eJ^{\otimes n} e'_i \oplus eJ^{\otimes n} e$.

Proof : Since e'_1 , e and e'_2 are pairwise orthogonal idempotents, this follows from the equality $e_i = e'_i + e$ and the fact that $J_i^{\otimes n} = (e_i J e_i)^{\otimes n} = e_i J^{\otimes n} e_i =$ $(e'_i + e)J^{\otimes n}(e'_i + e).$

7

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1 : We only prove the existence of the long exact sequence in case (1) of the definition of oriented pullbacks (2.2.2), since the proofs in the other cases are similar.

We first observe that, since $1_R = e'_1 + e + e'_2$, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} J^{\otimes n} &=& e_1'J^{\otimes n}e_1' \oplus e_1'J^{\otimes n}e \oplus e_1'J^{\otimes n}e_2' \oplus eJ^{\otimes n}e_1' \oplus eJ^{\otimes n}e \\ & \oplus eJ^{\otimes n}e_2' \oplus e_2'J^{\otimes n}e_1' \oplus e_2'J^{\otimes n}e \oplus e_2'J^{\otimes n}e_2' \\ &=& e_1'J^{\otimes n}e_1' \oplus e_1'J^{\otimes n}e \oplus eJ^{\otimes n}e \oplus e_2'J^{\otimes n}e \oplus e_2'J^{\otimes n}e_2'. \end{array}$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(J^{\otimes n},X) &= \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(e_1'J^{\otimes n}e_1',X) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(e_1'J^{\otimes n}e,X) \\ &\oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(eJ^{\otimes n}e,X) \\ &\oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(e_2'J^{\otimes n}e_2',X) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(e_2'J^{\otimes n}e,X) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{E_1-E_1}(e_1'J^{\otimes n}e_1',e_1Xe_1) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E_1-E_1}(e_1'J^{\otimes n}e,e_1Xe_1) \\ &\oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E_C-E_C}(J_C^{\otimes n},eXe) \\ &\oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E_2-E_2}(e_2'J^{\otimes n}e_2',e_2Xe_2) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E_2-E_2}(e_2'J^{\otimes n}e,e_2Xe_2) \end{split}$$

where the isomorphism follows from immediate identifications. Similarly, for i = 1, 2, we obtain, from (2.2.5), that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{E_i - E_i}(J_i^{\otimes n}, e_i X e_i) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{E_i - E_i}(e_i' J^{\otimes n} e_i', e_i X e_i) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E_i - E_i}(e_i' J^{\otimes n} e, e_i X e_i) \\ \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{E_C - E_C}(J_C^{\otimes n}, e X e).$$

With these isomorphisms and identifications in mind, we define, for i = 1, 2, the natural projections

$$\alpha_i^n : \operatorname{Hom}_{E-E}(J^{\otimes n}, X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{E_i - E_i}(J_i^{\otimes n}, e_i X e_i)$$
$$f \longmapsto e_i f e_i$$

that is $\alpha_i^n(f)(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = e_i f(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) e_i$, for $x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \in J_i^{\otimes n}$. Clearly, $\alpha^n = (\alpha_1^n, \alpha_2^n)^t$ is injective for each n. Moreover, $\alpha^* = (\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*)^t$ is a morphism of complexes, as a straightforward computation shows.

On the other hand, for i = 1, 2, define the map

$$\beta_i^n : \operatorname{Hom}_{E_i - E_i}(J_i^{\otimes n}, e_i X e_i) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{E_C - E_C}(J_C^{\otimes n}, e X e)$$
$$f \longmapsto (-1)^{i+1} e f e$$

that is $\beta_i^n(f)(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = (-1)^{i+1} ef(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)e$, so that the cokernel of the map α^* is precisely the map $\beta^* = (\beta_1^*, \beta_2^*)$. Thus, with the notations of (2.1), we obtain the following short exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}_{R,X} \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}_{A_1,e_1Xe_1} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}_{A_2,e_2Xe_2} \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}_{C,eXe} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the result follows.

In order to prove (a), we need to show that α_i^* is compatible with the cup-product. But this follows from the fact that if $x \in J_i^{\otimes (n+m)}$, then $x = e_i x e_i$ and

$$(\alpha_i^n(f)) \cup (\alpha_i^m(g))(x) = (f \cup g)(x) = \alpha_i^{n+m}(f \cup g)(x),$$

for $f \in \mathcal{C}_{R,R}^n$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}_{R,R}^m$. A similar argument applies to β_i^* . In order to prove (b), we show that α_i^* is compatible with the Lie bracket. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{R,R}^n$, $g \in \mathcal{C}_{R,R}^m$ and $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+m-1} \in J_i^{\otimes n+m-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(f \circ_j g)(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+m-1}) \\ &= (f \circ_j g)(e_i x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+m-1}e_i) \\ &= f(e_i x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g(x_j \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{j+m-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+m-1}e_i) \\ &= f(e_i x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g(e_i x_j \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{j+m-1}e_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+m-1}e_i) \\ &= (\alpha_i(f)) \circ_j (\alpha_i(g))(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by linearity. Similarly, β_i^* is compatible with the Lie bracket. \Box

 e_i

We now give an example showing that Theorem 1 does not hold true when we drop the orientation hypothesis in the definition of oriented pullback.

EXAMPLE 2.2.6. Let R be the radical square zero algebra given by the quiver $1 \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\beta} 2$. Then R is the pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C, where A_1 and A_2 are the subcategories respectively generated by the arrows α and β and C is the semisimple algebra generated by the vertices. However, R is not an oriented pullback and, for each i > 0, we have $H^i(R) \neq 0$ by $[\mathbf{8}, (p. 96)]$, while $H^i(A_1) = H^i(A_2) = H^i(C) = 0$.

In (3.2.4) below, we apply the results of (3.2) to compute the first Hochschild cohomology group of the above algebra.

We now use the isomorphism $\phi_i : DH^i(R, DX) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_i(R, X)$ mentioned in (2.1) and prove the following analogous result for Hochschild homology.

THEOREM 2.2.7. Let R be the oriented pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C, and let X be a R-R-bimodule. Moreover, let e_1 , e_2 and e be the units of A_1 , A_2 and C respectively. There exists a long exact sequence of Hochschild homology groups :

Moreover, in case where X = R, we have eXe = C and $e_iXe_i = A_i$ (i = 1, 2).

Proof: For i = 1, 2, we clearly have an isomorphism $e_i \operatorname{Hom}_k(X, k) e_i \cong \operatorname{Hom}_k(e_i X e_i, k)$. Similarly, $e \operatorname{Hom}_k(X, k) e \cong \operatorname{Hom}_k(e X e, k)$. In addition, it follows from Theorem 1 that there exists a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i}(R, DX) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i}(A_{1}, e_{1}(DX)e_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{i}(A_{2}, e_{2}(DX)e_{2}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i}(C, e(DX)e) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and consequently a long exact sequence of homology groups

This establishes the statement.

9

2.3. The case where C is a core algebra. This section is devoted to the study of a particular case of oriented pullback. We show that if R is an oriented pullback as above and C satisfies some specific conditions, then the long exact sequence of Theorem 1 results in concrete formulæ to compute the Hochschild cohomology groups of R.

The conditions we impose on $C = kQ_C/I_C$ are the following:

- (1) Q_C contains no oriented cycles, and
- (2) $H^n(C) = 0$ for $n \ge 1$.

An algebra satisfying the above conditions is called a **core algebra**. There exists many important classes of core algebras.

Examples 2.3.1.

- (a) Let Q be a quiver without oriented cycles. Let A = kQ/I, where I is a two-sided **monomial** ideal in kQ (that is generated by paths of length at least two). Assume moreover that A is schurian (that is $\dim_k \varepsilon_x A \varepsilon_y \leq 1$ for all vertices x and y in Q) and semicommutative (that is if w and w' are paths having same source and same target in Q with $w \in I$, then $w' \in I$). Then, by [16, (2.2)], A is a core algebra if and only if Q is a tree.
- (b) The statement of Example (a) does not hold if we drop the schurian condition. However, for **hereditary** algebras (that is A = kQ for some finite quiver Q without oriented cycles), it follows from [16, (1.6)] that A is a core algebra if and only if Q is a tree.
- (c) Following [11], for an algebra A = kQ/I, we say that Hⁿ(A) strongly vanishes if Hⁿ(B) = 0 for every full convex subcategory B of A. Moreover, we recall that an algebra A is tame if, for any dimension d, there exists a finite number of k[x]-A-bimodules M_i, 1 ≤ i ≤ n_d, which are free of finite rank as left k[x]-modules and all but finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable A-modules of dimension d are of the form k[x]/(x-λ)⊗_{k[x]} M_i for some λ ∈ k and some i. Finally, following [11], we say that A has convex projectives (or convex injectives) if for every vertex x of Q, the set of vertices {y | ε_xAε_y ≠ 0} (or {y | ε_yAε_x ≠ 0}, respectively) is convex in Q. With those definitions, the following holds true by [11] : A is a core algebra provided
 - (i) A is schurian and $H^1(A)$ strongly vanishes, or
 - (ii) A is a triangular tame algebra with convex projectives and convex injectives such that $H^1(A)$ and $H^2(A)$ strongly vanish.
- (d) We recall that an algebra A is called **piecewise hereditary of type** H if there exists a hereditary algebra H such that the bounded derived categories of finitely generated A-modules and H-modules are equivalent as triangulated categories. By [17], this is equivalent to the fact that A is **tilting equivalent** to H, that is there is a sequence H = H₀, H₁,..., H_n = A of algebras and a sequence T₀, T₁,..., T_{n-1} where T_i is a tilting module for H_i with endomorphism ring isomorphic to H_{i+1}. In this case, it follows from [16, (4.2)] that Hⁿ(A) is isomorphic to Hⁿ(H) for n ≥ 0. Moreover, since, by [15, (IV.1.10)] the quiver of any piecewise hereditary algebra has no oriented cycles, then it follows from Example (a) that A is a core algebra if and only if the quiver of H is a tree.

We pursue with the following lemma concerning the center of an algebra.

LEMMA 2.3.2. Let A = kQ/I be a connected algebra, ε_i be the idempotent at the vertex *i* and ω be an element of the center Z(A) of A. Then, $\omega = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \omega_i + \lambda e_A$, where $\lambda \in k$, e_A is the unit element of A and $\omega_i \in \varepsilon_i \operatorname{rad} A \varepsilon_i$ for each *i*.

Proof: Since the classes of the paths of Q modulo I form a basis of kQ/I, ω can be written as $\omega = \sum_{i,j \in Q_0} \omega_{i,j}$, where $\omega_{i,j} \in \varepsilon_i A \varepsilon_j$ for all i, j. For each vertex l in Q_0 , the centrality of ω gives

$$\left(\sum_{j\neq l}\omega_{l,j}\right)+\omega_{l,l}=\varepsilon_{l}\omega=\omega\varepsilon_{l}=\left(\sum_{j\neq l}\omega_{j,l}\right)+\omega_{l,l}.$$

Hence $\sum_{j \neq l} \omega_{l,j} = 0 = \sum_{j \neq l} \omega_{j,l}$. Therefore, we have $\omega = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \omega_i + \sum_{i \in Q_0} \lambda_i \varepsilon_i$, where $\omega_i \in \varepsilon_i \operatorname{rad} A \varepsilon_i$ and $\lambda_i \in k$ for each $i \in Q_0$. To end the proof, it remains to show that $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for all $i, j \in Q_0$. Let $\alpha : l \longrightarrow m$ be an arrow in Q. Then, $\alpha \omega_m + \lambda_m \alpha = \alpha \omega = \omega \alpha = \omega_l \alpha + \lambda_l \alpha$. The admissibility of the ideal I gives $\alpha \omega_m = \omega_l \alpha$ and $\lambda_l \alpha = \lambda_m \alpha$. In particular, $\lambda_l = \lambda_m$. Since Q is connected, $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for all $i, j \in Q_0$.

REMARK 2.3.3. It follows from the lemma that if $C = kQ_C/I_C$ is an algebra such that Q_C contains no oriented cycles, then $\dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(C)$ is equal to the number of connected components of Q_C .

We can now prove Proposition 2.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2 : (a). Since the 0-Hochschild cohomology group $H^0(A)$ of an algebra A is isomorphic to its center Z(A), it is sufficient to show that $\dim_k Z(R) = \dim_k Z(A_1) + \dim_k Z(A_2) - 1$.

For j = 1, 2, let \mathbb{B}_j be a k-basis of $Z(A_j)$ containing e_j , the unit element of A_j . We claim that $\mathbb{B} = (e_R \cup \mathbb{B}_1 \cup \mathbb{B}_2) \setminus (\{e_1\} \cup \{e_2\})$ is a k-basis of Z(R), where e_R is the unit element of R. Since this set is linearly independent by (2.3.2), it remains to show that it generates Z(R). Let ω be an element of Z(R). By (2.3.2), we have $\omega = \sum_{i \in (Q_R)_0} \omega_i + \lambda e_R$, where $\lambda \in k$ and $\omega_i \in \varepsilon_i \operatorname{rad} R \varepsilon_i$ for each $i \in (Q_R)_0$. Moreover, since Q_C has no oriented cycles, we have $\omega = \sum_{i \in (Q_R)_0 \setminus (Q_C)_0} \omega_i + \lambda e_R$. Therefore, we can write without ambiguity $\omega = \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \lambda e_R$, where $\rho_j = \sum_{i \in (Q_{A'_j})_0} \omega_i$, $j = \sum_{i \in (Q_{A'_j})_0} \omega_i$.

1,2. It then remains to show that $\rho_j \in Z(A_j)$ for j = 1,2 since, by construction, $\rho_j \in Z(A_j)$ if and only if ρ_j belongs to the k-vector space generated by $\mathbb{B}_j \setminus \{e_j\}$. Let η_1 be a path in Q_{A_1} . We have :

$$\rho_{1}\eta_{1} + \lambda\eta_{1} = \rho_{1}\eta_{1} + 0 + \lambda\eta_{1} = \rho_{1}\eta_{1} + \rho_{2}\eta_{1} + \lambda e_{R}\eta_{1} = \omega\eta_{1} \\
= \eta_{1}\omega = \eta_{1}\rho_{1} + \eta_{1}\rho_{2} + \lambda\eta_{1}e_{R} = \eta_{1}\rho_{1} + 0 + \lambda\eta_{1} \\
= \eta_{1}\rho_{1} + \lambda\eta_{1}$$

whence $\rho_1\eta_1 = \eta_1\rho_1$. Therefore, $\rho_1 \in Z(A_1)$. Since, similarly, $\rho_2 \in Z(A_2)$, ω belongs to the k-vector space generated by \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{B} is a k-basis of Z(R). In particular, $\dim_k Z(R) = \dim_k Z(A_1) + \dim_k Z(A_2) - 1$, and this proves (a). (b). Since $\mathrm{H}^i(C) = 0$ for each $i \geq 1$, we have the following exact sequence :

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{0}(R) \to \mathrm{H}^{0}(A_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0}(A_{2}) \to \mathrm{H}^{0}(C) \to \mathrm{H}^{1}(R) \to \mathrm{H}^{1}(A_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}(A_{2}) \to 0$$

whence,

$$\dim_k H^1(R) = \dim_k H^1(A_1) + \dim_k H^1(A_2) + \dim_k H^0(C) + \dim_k H^0(R) - \dim_k H^0(A_1) - \dim_k H^0(A_2)$$

But $\dim_k H^0(R) - \dim_k H^0(A_1) - \dim_k H^0(A_2) = -1$ by (a).

(c). This follows directly from Theorem 1.

2.4. Examples and comparison. We now compute some examples and compare the sequence obtained with other ones existing in the litterature. First, let

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0\\ {}_BM_A & B \end{array}\right)$$

where A and B are arbitrary finitely generated k-algebras and M a finitely generated B-A-bimodule. Then R is a k-algebra under the usual matrix operations.

In the eighties, D. Happel proved in [16] the existence of a long exact sequence relating the Hochschild cohomology groups of R, A and B in the particular case where B = k. Over the years, several variations and generalizations of this sequence have been obtained. The most recent long exact sequences now hold for an arbitrary algebra B. One of them goes as follows, see [9, 25, 13, 14, 2], where e_A and e_B are the unit elements of A and B.

THEOREM 2.4.1. Let R be as above and let X be a R-R-bimodule. Then, there exists a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(R, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(A, e_{A}Xe_{A}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0}(B, e_{B}Xe_{B}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{0}_{B\otimes_{k}A^{op}}(M, e_{B}Xe_{A}) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(R, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(A, e_{A}Xe_{A}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}(B, e_{B}Xe_{B}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{1}_{B\otimes_{k}A^{op}}(M, e_{B}Xe_{A}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

The following examples show the difference between the exact sequence of Theorem 1 and the above sequence.

EXAMPLES 2.4.2.

(a) Let R be the algebra of (1.2.2). Then R is an oriented pullback satisfying condition (1) of (2.2.2). By [8, (p. 96)],

$$\mathbf{H}^{i}(A_{1}) \cong \mathbf{H}^{i}(A_{2}) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ k^{2}, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \text{ and } \mathbf{H}^{i}(R) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ k^{4}, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, since the quiver of C is a tree, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(C) = k$ and $\mathrm{H}^{i}(C) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. By Theorem 1 and Proposition 2, there exists an exact sequence : ${}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(A_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0}(A_{2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(A_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}(A_{2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(C) \rightarrow \cdots$

$$0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow k \oplus k \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow k^{4} \longrightarrow k^{2} \oplus k^{2} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0$$

On the other hand, if we respectively denote by S_x and P_x the simple module and the projective module associated to the vertex x in the quiver, one can see R as the one-point extension

$$A_1[M] = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_1 & 0\\ M & k \end{array}\right),$$

where $M = \operatorname{rad} P_6 = S_2 \oplus S_3 \oplus S_4$ is a semisimple module without self-extensions. In this case, the long exact sequence of (2.4.1) is given by

The two long exact sequences are clearly different.

(b) Let R be the algebra given by the quiver



bound by the relations $\alpha^2 = \alpha\beta = \beta\gamma = \delta\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2 = 0$. Then *R* is the oriented pullback (satisfying the condition (3) of (2.2.2)) of A_1 and A_2 over *C*, where A_1 , A_2 and *C* are the full subcategories generated by the sets of vertices $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{3, 4\}$ and $\{3\}$ respectively. A quick application of $[\mathbf{8}, (p. 96)]$ and (2.4.1) gives:

$$\mathbf{H}^{i}(A_{1}) \cong \mathbf{H}^{i}(A_{2}) \cong \begin{cases} k^{2}, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ k, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ k, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(C) = k$ and $\mathrm{H}^{i}(C) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. By Theorem 1 and Proposition 2, there exists an exact sequence

from which we obtain that $\mathrm{H}^1(R) \cong k^2$ and $\mathrm{H}^i(R) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(A_1) \oplus \mathrm{H}^i(A_2) \cong k \oplus k$ for $i \geq 2$. On the other hand, one can see R as the following matrix algebra

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_1 & 0\\ M & A_2' \end{array}\right),$$

where A'_2 is the full subcategory generated by the vertex 4 and M is the A'_2 - A_1 -bimodule given by the arrow β . In order to apply the sequence of (2.4.1), one needs to compute $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{A'_2 \otimes A_1^{op}}(M, M)$ for $i \geq 0$. A direct computation leads to the fact that $A'_2 \otimes A_1^{op}$ is given by the quiver



bound by the relations induced by those of R, that is $(\alpha \otimes 1)^2 = (\alpha \otimes 2)^2 = (\alpha \otimes 3)^2 = (4 \otimes \varepsilon)^2 = (4 \otimes \varepsilon)(4 \otimes \delta) = 0$, $(\alpha \otimes 1)(4 \otimes \delta) = (4 \otimes \delta)(\alpha \otimes 2)$ and $(\alpha \otimes 2)(4 \otimes \gamma) = (4 \otimes \gamma)(\alpha \otimes 3)$. Moreover, the $A'_2 \otimes A_1^{op}$ -module Mis the simple module $S_{4\otimes 3}$. However, since $(\alpha \otimes 3)^2 = 0$, it follows from $[\mathbf{21}, (1.4)]$ that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{A'_2 \otimes A_1^{op}}(M, M) \neq 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence, the long exact sequence of (2.4.1) cannot efficiently be used to compute the Hochschild cohomology groups of R. At least, it cannot be used to show that $\operatorname{H}^i(R) \cong$ $\operatorname{H}^i(A_1) \oplus \operatorname{H}^i(A_2) \cong k \oplus k$ for $i \geq 2$.

12

(c) Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer and A be the algebra given by the quiver



bound by the ideal,

 $I = \langle \eta_i^2, \rho_i \eta_i, \alpha_1 \rho_i, \gamma_1 \rho_i, \beta_j \alpha_j - \delta_j \gamma_j, \epsilon_j \beta_j, \alpha_{k+1} \epsilon_k, \gamma_{k+1} \epsilon_k \delta_k, \sigma_i \epsilon_n, \lambda_i \sigma_i, \lambda_i^2 \rangle$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$. We show that

$$\mathbf{H}^{m}(A) \cong \begin{cases} k^{5}, & \text{if } m = 0; \\ k^{4}, & \text{if } m \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Let T_n be the full and convex subcategory generated by the vertices $\{a_1, a_{n+1}\}$. We show by induction on n that:

$$\mathbf{H}^m(T_n) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } m = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } m \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

If n = 1, then T_n is the algebra given by the quiver:



bound by the ideal $\langle \beta_1 \alpha_1 - \delta_1 \gamma_1, \epsilon_1 \beta_1 \rangle$. Then T_n is piecewise hereditary of type \mathbb{D}_5 . Therefore, by (2.3.1)(d), $\mathrm{H}^0(T_n) \cong k$ and $\mathrm{H}^m(T_n) = 0$ for $m \geq 1$.

If n = 2, then T_n is the algebra given by the quiver:



bound by the ideal $\langle \beta_i \alpha_i - \delta_i \gamma_i, \epsilon_i \beta_i, \alpha_2 \epsilon_1, \gamma_2 \epsilon_1 \delta_1 \rangle$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let B, C, D, E be the full and convex subcategories generated the sets of vertices $\{d_1, a_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}, \{a_2, a_3\}$ and $\{a_2, d_2\}$ respectively. Then Bis the oriented pullback of C and D over E. Clearly, the Hochschild cohomology groups of C and D are isomorphic to those of T_1 (in the case n = 1). On the other hand, E is piecewise hereditary of type \mathbb{D}_4 , and so $\mathrm{H}^0(E) \cong k$ and $\mathrm{H}^m(E) = 0$ for $m \ge 1$. A direct application of Proposition 2 gives $\mathrm{H}^0(B) \cong k$ and $\mathrm{H}^m(B) = 0$ for $m \ge 1$. Finally, since T_n is the oriented pullback of B and F over G, where F and Gare the full and convex subcategories generated by the sets of vertices $\{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\{d_1, a_2\}$ respectively, another application of Proposition 2 gives $\mathrm{H}^0(T_n) \cong k$ and $\mathrm{H}^m(T_n) = 0$ for $m \ge 1$.

Assume now that the result holds for $n \leq k$ for a certain $k \geq 2$. Let n = k + 1. Let R and S be the full and convex subcategories generated by the sets of vertices $\{a_1, a_n\}$ and $\{a_2, a_{n+1}\}$. Clearly, $R \cong S \cong T_{n-1}$. Moreover, T_n is the oriented pullback of R and S over their common subcategory, which is isomorphic to T_{n-2} . Applying Proposition 2 gives $\mathrm{H}^0(T_n) \cong k$ and $\mathrm{H}^m(T_n) = 0$ for $m \geq 1$. This finishes the induction. Finally, let A_1 and A_2 be the full subcategories of A generated by the sets

of vertices $\{x_1, x_2, a_1\}$ and $\{a_{n+1}, y_1, y_2\}$ respectively. By [8, (p. 96)],

$$\mathbf{H}^{m}(A_{1}) \cong \mathbf{H}^{m}(A_{2}) \cong \begin{cases} k^{3}, \text{ if } m = 0; \\ k^{2}, \text{ if } m \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Then A can be obtained by gluing A_1 and A_2 on T_n at the vertices a_1 and a_{n+1} respectively. Then two other applications of Proposition 2 give the result.

3. Simplicial (co)homology and fundamental group

In this section, we study the simplicial (co)homology groups and the fundamental groups of pullbacks of algebras as defined in (1.2). In the vein of Theorem 1, we show the existence of Mayer-Vietoris long exact sequence relating the simplicial (co)homology groups of the algebras involved in such pullbacks. Then we prove Proposition 3 and use this result to compute the first Hochschild cohomology group of some (not necessarily oriented) schurian pullbacks of algebras.

3.1. Simplicial (co)homology. Given an algebra R = kQ/I and a pair (x, y) of vertices of Q, let ${}_{y}\mathbb{B}_{x}$ be a basis of the k-space R(x, y) and $\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{(x,y)\in Q_{0}\times Q_{0}} {}_{y}\mathbb{B}_{x}$. We say that \mathbb{B} is a **semi-normed basis [23]** if:

- (a) $\varepsilon_x \in {}_x \mathbb{B}_x$ for every vertex $x \in Q_0$;
- (b) $\alpha + I \in {}_{y}\mathbb{B}_{x}$ for every arrow $\alpha : x \longrightarrow y;$
- (c) For σ and σ' in \mathbb{B} , either $\sigma\sigma' = 0$ or there exist $0 \neq \lambda_{\sigma,\sigma'} \in k$, and $b(\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $\sigma\sigma' = \lambda_{\sigma,\sigma'}b(\sigma, \sigma')$.

Assume R = kQ/I admits a semi-normed basis. Following [24, 23], one can associate to R the chain complex (SC_•(R), d) as follows: SC₀(R) and SC₁(R) are the free abelian groups with basis Q_0 and \mathbb{B} , respectively. For $n \ge 2$, let SC_n(R) be the free abelian group with basis the set of n-tuples ($\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$) of \mathbb{B}^n such that $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n \ne 0$, and $\sigma_i \ne e_j$ for all $i, j \in Q_0$. The differential $d_n : SC_n(R) \longrightarrow SC_{n-1}(R)$ is induced by the rules $d_1(\sigma) = y - x$ for $\sigma \in {}_y\mathbb{B}_x$ and

$$d_n(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) = (\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j (\sigma_1, \dots, b(\sigma_j, \sigma_{j+1}), \dots, \sigma_n) + (-1)^n (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})$$

for $n \ge 1$ and $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n) \in \mathrm{SC}_n(R)$.

The i^{th} -simplicial homology group of R (with respect to the basis \mathbb{B}) is the i^{th} -homology group of the chain complex (SC_•(R), d), and we denote it by SH_i(R). Naturally, given an abelian group G, the i^{th} -simplicial cohomology group SHⁱ(R, G) of R with coefficients in G is the i^{th} -cohomology group of the complex obtained by applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-,G)$ on the chain complex $(\operatorname{SC}_{\bullet}(R), d)$.

Observe that the above chain complexes depend essentially on the way \mathbb{B} is related to *I*. Hence, as for fundamental groups, see (3.2), different presentations of *R* may lead to different simplicial (co)homology groups (with respect to \mathbb{B}).

If R is a pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C as defined in (1.2), with \mathbb{B}_1 and \mathbb{B}_2 seminormed bases of A_1 and A_2 , respectively, then $\mathbb{B}_R = \mathbb{B}_1 \cup \mathbb{B}_2$ and $\mathbb{B}_C = \mathbb{B}_1 \cap \mathbb{B}_2$ are semi-normed bases of R and C, respectively. This leads to the following results.

PROPOSITION 3.1.1. Let R be the pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C as defined in (1.2). Moreover, assume that A_1 and A_2 admit semi-normed basis. Then there exists a long exact sequence of simplicial homology groups:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{SH}_n(C) \longrightarrow \operatorname{SH}_n(A_1) \oplus \operatorname{SH}_n(A_2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{SH}_n(R) \longrightarrow \operatorname{SH}_{n-1}(C) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Proof : By the above discussion, R and C admit semi-normed bases. For $i \ge 0$, define

$$p_i: \qquad \operatorname{SC}_i(A_1) \oplus \operatorname{SC}_i(A_2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{SC}_i(R)$$
$$((\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i), (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i)) \longmapsto (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i) - (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i)$$

The map p_{\bullet} is a morphism of complexes. Moreover, let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i)$ be a generator of $SC_i(R)$. Since $e'_1Re'_2 = 0 = e'_2Re'_1$ by (2.2.1), then, for each j, we have $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{B}_1$ or $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{B}_2$. Consequently, $\alpha \in SC_i(A_1)$ or $\alpha \in SC_i(A_2)$, whence p_i is surjective. Moreover, the kernel of p_i is exactly $SC_i(C)$. We obtain a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{SC}_{\bullet}(C) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SC}_{\bullet}(A_1) \oplus \mathrm{SC}_{\bullet}(A_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SC}_{\bullet}(R) \longrightarrow 0$$

from which we deduce the result.

PROPOSITION 3.1.2. Let R be the pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C as defined in (1.2). Moreover, assume that A_1 and A_2 admit semi-normed basis. Then, for each abelian group G, there exists a long exact sequence of simplicial cohomology groups:

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{SH}^{n}(R,G) \to \operatorname{SH}^{n}(A_{1},G) \oplus \operatorname{SH}^{n}(A_{2},G) \to \operatorname{SH}^{n}(C,G) \to \operatorname{SH}^{n+1}(R,G) \to \cdots$$

Proof: By the proof of (3.1.1), we have an exact sequence of complexes

$$(*): 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{SC}_{\bullet}(C) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SC}_{\bullet}(A_1) \oplus \mathrm{SC}_{\bullet}(A_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SC}_{\bullet}(R) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $SC_i(R)$ is a free abelian group for all *i*, the sequence splits and so the short sequence of complexes obtained by applying $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, G)$ to (*) is exact. The result follows at once.

3.2. Fundamental group. Let (Q, I) be a bound quiver. Recall from [24] that, given two vertices $x, y \in Q_0$, a relation $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \omega_i \in I \cap kQ(x, y)$ is called **minimal** provided $n \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i \in J} \lambda_i \omega_i \notin I \cap kQ(x, y)$ for every proper subset J of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let Q'_1 be the union of Q_1 with the set of inverse arrows $\alpha^{-1} : y \longrightarrow x$ for each arrow $\alpha : x \longrightarrow y$ in Q_1 , a **walk** in (Q_0, Q_1) is a path in (Q_0, Q'_1) . The **homotopy relation** in the set of walks on Q is the smallest equivalence relation such that :

- (a) For each arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, $\alpha \alpha^{-1} \sim \epsilon_x$ and $\alpha^{-1} \alpha \sim \epsilon_y$;
- (b) If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \omega_i$ is a minimal relation, then $\omega_j \sim \omega_k$ for all $1 \leq j, k \leq n$;

(c) If u, v, w, w' are walks such that $w \sim w'$, then $uwv \sim uw'v$, whenever these compositions are defined.

A minimal relation ρ is **fundamental** if there do not exist a nontrivial path uand a minimal relation ω such that $\rho = \omega u$ or $\rho = u\omega$. One can see that the above homotopy relation does not change if we replace the condition (b) by

(b') If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \omega_i$ is a fundamental minimal relation, then $\omega_j \sim \omega_k$ for all $1 \leq j, k \leq n$.

When dealing with homotopy, it is thus sufficient to consider only the fundamental minimal relations. Here, we give examples of fundamental minimal relations.

EXAMPLE 3.2.1. Let Q be the quiver



bound by the relations $\beta_1\alpha_1 - \beta_2\alpha_2 = \varepsilon_1\delta_1 - \varepsilon_2\delta_2 = \delta_1\gamma\beta_1 = \delta_2\gamma\beta_2 = 0$. The minimal fundamental relations are $\beta_1\alpha_1 - \beta_2\alpha_2$ and $\varepsilon_1\delta_1 - \varepsilon_2\delta_2$, while the relations $\gamma(\beta_1\alpha_1 - \beta_2\alpha_2)$ and $(\varepsilon_1\delta_1 - \varepsilon_2\delta_2)\gamma$ are minimal but not fundamental.

For a fixed vertex $x \in Q_0$, let $\pi_1(Q, x)$ be the fundamental group of the underlying graph of Q at the vertex x, that is the set of homotopy clases of closed walks on Q starting and ending at x. It is well known (see [28], for instance) that $\pi_1(Q, x)$ is isomorphic to the free multiplicative group on $\chi(Q) = |Q_1| - |Q_0| + 1$ generators. Now, let N(Q, I, x) be the normal subgroup of $\pi_1(Q)$ generated by the elements of the form $wuv^{-1}w^{-1}$ where w is a path starting at x and u, v are two homotopic paths. The **fundamental group at** x of the bound quiver (Q, I) is defined to be $\pi_1(Q, I, x) = \pi_1(Q)/N(Q, I, x)$. Again, if Q is connected, this definition does not depend on the choice of x. Accordingly, we write $\pi_1(Q, I) = \pi_1(Q)/N(Q, I)$, and call it the **fundamental group** of the bound quiver (Q, I). Similarly, we write $\pi_1(Q)$ instead of $\pi_1(Q, x)$.

On other hand, following [5], to a bound quiver (Q, I), we associate a CW complex $\mathcal{B}(Q, I)$ (called its **classifying space**) in the following way: the 0-cells are given by Q_0 and the *n*-cells are given by *n*-tuples $(\overline{\sigma_1}, \ldots, \overline{\sigma_n})$ of homotopy classes of non trivial paths of (Q, I) such that the composition $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$ is a path of (Q, I) not in I. Then, by [5, (3.3)], there is an isomorphism of groups

$$\pi_1(Q,I) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(\mathcal{B}(Q,I))$$

We shall use this fact, together with Van Kampen's theorem, in the following proof.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3: Let n_1, n_2, n_R, n and n_j denote the number of vertices in $Q_{A_1}, Q_{A_2}, Q_R, Q_C$ and Q_{C_j} respectively. In particular, $n_R = n_1 + n_2 - n$. Let T_{C_j} be a maximal tree in Q_{C_j}, T_C be the disjoint union of the T_{C_j} and for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let T_i be a maximal tree in Q_{A_i} such that $T_1 \cap T_2 = T_C$. Such maximal trees always exist. In addition, $|(T_C)_1| = \sum_{j=1}^m |(T_{C_j})_1| = \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j - 1) = n - m$. For i = 1, 2, set

$$\hat{Q}_{A_i} = Q_{A_i} \prod_{T_C} T_k \text{ with } k \neq i \text{ and } \hat{I}_i = I_R \cap k \hat{Q}_{A_i}.$$

16

Observe that $Q_R = \hat{Q}_{A_1} \coprod_{Q_C} \hat{Q}_{A_2}$. In addition, $|(\hat{Q}_{A_1})_0| = n_R$ and

$$|(\hat{Q}_{A_1})_1| = |(Q_{A_1})_1| + |(T_2)_1| - |(T_C)_1| = |(Q_{A_1})_1| + (n_2 - 1) - (n - m)$$

and a similar expression holds for $|(\hat{Q}_{A_2})_1|$. So, $\pi_1(\hat{Q}_{A_i})$ is the free group in $\chi(\hat{Q}_{A_i})$ generators, where $\chi(\hat{Q}_{A_i}) = \chi(Q_{A_i}) + (m-1)$. Then, $\pi_1(\hat{Q}_{A_i}) \cong \pi_1(Q_{A_i}) \coprod L_{m-1}$.

Moreover, by our assumption on the fundamental minimal relations, we have an inclusion of groups $\iota_i : \pi_1(\hat{Q}_{A_i}, \hat{I}_i) \longrightarrow \pi_1(Q_R, I_R)$. Also, the restriction to (Q_{A_i}, I_i) of the homotopy relation on $(\hat{Q}_{A_i}, \hat{I}_i)$ coincides with the homotopy relation on (Q_{A_i}, I_i) . Thus $\hat{I}_i = I_i + J_i$ for some monomial ideal J_i and

$$\pi_1(\hat{Q}_{A_i}, \hat{I}_i) \cong \pi_1(\hat{Q}_{A_i})/N(\hat{Q}_{A_i}, \hat{I}_i)$$

$$\cong (\pi_1(Q_{A_i}) \coprod L_{m-1})/N(\hat{Q}_{A_i}, \hat{I}_i)$$

$$\cong [\pi_1(Q_{A_i})/N(Q_{A_i}, I_i)] \coprod L_{m-1}$$

$$\cong \pi_1(Q_{A_i}, I_i) \coprod L_{m-1}.$$

Now set $Q = \hat{Q}_{A_1} \cap \hat{Q}_{A_2}$ and $I = kQ \cap I_R$. We have

$$\begin{split} \chi(Q) &= (|(T_1)_1| + |(T_2)_1| - 2|(T_C)_1| + |(Q_C)_1|) - n_R + 1 \\ &= |(Q_C)_1| - (n - m) + (m - 1) \\ &= (\sum_{j=1}^m \chi(Q_{C_j})) + (m - 1). \end{split}$$

and so $\pi_1(Q) = (\coprod_{j=1}^m \pi_1(Q_{C_j})) \coprod L_{m-1}$. Moreover, by our assumption on the fundamental minimal relations, we have an inclusion of groups $\kappa_i : \pi_1(Q, I) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\hat{Q}_{A_i}, \hat{I}_i)$ and $I = I_C + J_I$ for some monomial ideal J_I . So, $\pi_1(Q, I) = (\coprod_{j=1}^m \pi_1(Q_{C_j}, I_{C_j})) \coprod L_{m-1}$.

The next step is to use Van Kampen's theorem. For this sake, let $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1$, $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$, \mathcal{B}_R and \mathcal{B} be the classifying spaces of $(\hat{Q}_{A_1}, \hat{I}_1), (\hat{Q}_{A_2}, \hat{I}_2), (Q_R, I_R), (Q, I)$, respectively. Then, $\mathcal{B}_R = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ and $\mathcal{B} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cap \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$, where \mathcal{B} is connected. Applying Van Kampen's theorem and the isomorphism of fundamental groups given in (3.2), we have

$$\pi_{1}(Q_{R}, I_{R}) \cong \pi_{1}(\mathcal{B}_{R})$$

$$\cong \frac{\pi_{1}(\mathcal{B}_{1}) \coprod \pi_{1}(\mathcal{B}_{2})}{\pi_{1}(\mathcal{B})}$$

$$\cong \frac{\pi_{1}(\hat{Q}_{A_{1}}, \hat{I}_{1}) \coprod \pi_{1}(\hat{Q}_{A_{2}}, \hat{I}_{2})}{\pi_{1}(Q, I)}$$

$$\cong \frac{(\pi_{1}(Q_{A_{1}}, I_{1}) \coprod L_{m-1}) \coprod (\pi_{1}(Q_{A_{2}}, I_{2}) \coprod L_{m-1})}{(\coprod_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{1}(Q_{C_{j}}, I_{C_{j}})) \coprod L_{m-1}}$$

$$\cong \frac{\pi_{1}(Q_{A_{1}}, I_{1}) \coprod \pi_{1}(Q_{A_{2}}, I_{2}) \coprod L_{m-1}}{\coprod_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{1}(Q_{C_{j}}, I_{C_{j}})}$$

We note that the main tool used in this proof is that the restriction of the homotopy relation on (Q_R, I_R) to (Q_C, I_C) coincides with the homotopy relation on (Q_C, I_C) . This proof may thus clearly be generalized to this more general context.

The above formula allows to compute the fundamental group of several pullbacks of algebras, but it does not include all oriented pullbacks. The following examples illustrate this point.

EXAMPLES 3.2.2.

- (a) Let R be the algebra given by the bound quiver of (3.2.1). Then R is the oriented pullback A_1 and A_2 over C, where A_1 , A_2 and C are the full subcategories generated by the sets of vertices $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ and $\{4, 5\}$ respectively. Moreover, R satisfies to the conditions of Proposition 3. Since straightforward computations show that $\pi_1(Q_{A_1}, I_1)$ and $\pi_1(Q_{A_2}, I_2)$ are trivial, so is $\pi_1(Q_R, I_R)$ by Proposition 3.
- (b) Let R be the algebra given by the quiver

$$1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} 3 \xleftarrow{\alpha_2}{\beta \bigvee \gamma} 2$$

bound by the relations $\alpha_i(\beta - \gamma)$, for i = 1, 2. Then R is the oriented pullback A_1 and A_2 over C, where A_1 , A_2 and C are the full subcategories generated by the sets of vertices $\{1,3,4\}$, $\{2,3,4\}$ and $\{3,4\}$ respectively. However, R does not satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3 because the fundamental minimal relations $\alpha_i(\beta - \gamma)$ contain arrows from A'_i and C. Moreover, $\pi_1(Q_R, I_R)$, $\pi_1(Q_{A_1}, I_1)$ and $\pi_1(Q_{A_2}, I_2)$ are trivial, while $\pi_1(Q_C, I_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. So the formula of Proposition 3 does not hold.

We end this section with an application of the above result to the computation of the first Hochschild cohomology group for some schurian pullbacks of algebras (see (2.3.1)(1)). Recall that if A = kQ/I is a schurian algebra, then, it follows from [23] that A admits a semi-normed basis and that , for any such basis, $SH^1(A)$ is the abelianisation of $\pi_1(Q, I)$. Also, by [26, 1], we have $H^1(A) \cong Hom(\pi_1(Q, I), k^+)$, where k^+ is the (abelian) additive group of k and the homomorphisms are group homomorphisms. This leads to the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.2.3. Assume R is a pullback of A_1 and A_2 over C satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3. If R is schurian and $\coprod_{i=1}^m \pi_1(Q_{C_i}, I_{C_i})$ is trivial, then $\mathrm{H}^1(R) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(A_1) \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(A_2) \oplus k^{m-1}$.

Proof: Since R is schurian, then so are A_1 , A_2 and C. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^{1}(R) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_{1}(Q_{R}, I_{R}), k^{+}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_{1}(Q_{A_{1}}, I_{A_{1}}) * \pi_{1}(Q_{A_{2}}, I_{A_{2}}) * L_{m-1}, k^{+}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{SH}_{1}(A_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{SH}_{1}(A_{2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}, k^{+}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{SH}_{1}(A_{1}), k^{+}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{SH}_{1}(A_{2}), k^{+}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{m-1}, k^{+}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_{1}(Q_{A_{1}}, I_{1}), k^{+}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_{1}(Q_{A_{2}}, I_{2}), k^{+}) \oplus k^{m-1} \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^{1}(A_{1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}(A_{2}) \oplus k^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLES 3.2.4.

(a) Let R be an articulation of A_1 and A_2 over C in the sense of [10]. Then, C is semisimple. So, if R is schurian, one can use Proposition 3 and (3.2.3) to compute the fundamental group and the first Hochschild cohomology group of R.

(b) Let R be the algebra of (2.2.6). The formula of Theorem 1 cannot be used to compute the Hochschild cohomology groups of R. However, R is schurian and clearly satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3 since C is semisimple. Thus H¹(R) ≅ H¹(A₁) ⊕ H(A₂) ⊕ k ≅ k by (3.2.3).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This paper was written while the first author visited the Universities of Sherbrooke and Bishop's under a post-doctoral fellowship, and while the second and the third author respectively had an ISM and NSERC graduate studies fellowship. The authors warmly thank Ibrahim Assem for his precious help, and Guillermo Cortiñas for pertinent questions during the XVI^{to} Coloquio Latinoamericano de Álgebra in Uruguay.

References

- I. Assem and J. A. de la Peña. The fundamental groups of a triangular algebra. Comm. Algebra, 24(1):187-208, 1996.
- [2] B. Bendiffalah and D. Guin. Cohomologie de l'algèbre triangulaire et applications. J. Algebra, 282(2):513-537, 2004.
- [3] K. Bongartz and P. Gabriel. Covering spaces in representation theory. Invent. Math, 65(3):331-378, 1981-1982.
- [4] O. Bretscher and P. Gabriel. The standard form of a representation-finite algebra. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 111:21-40, 1983.
- [5] J. C. Bustamante. The classifying space of a bound quiver. J. Algebra, 277(2):431-455, 2004.
- [6] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg. Homological Algebra. Number 19 in Princeton mathematical series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [7] C. Cibils. Cohomology of incidence algebras and simplicial complexes. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 56:221-232, 1989.
- [8] C. Cibils. Hochschild cohomology algebra of radical square zero algebras. In Algebras and Modules II, number 24 in CMS Conf. Proc., pages 93-101, Providence, RI, 1998. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [9] C. Cibils. Tensor Hochschild homology and cohomology. In Interactions between ring theory and representations of algebras (Murcia), volume 210 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., pages 35-51, New-York, 2000. Dekker.
- [10] J. Dionne and D. Smith. Articulation of algebras and their homological properties. J. Algebra Appl., 5(3):1-15, 2006.
- [11] S. Gastaminza, J.A. de la Peña, M.I. Platzeck, M.J. Redondo, and S. Trepode. Algebras with vanishing Hochschild cohomology. J. Algebra, 212(1):1-16, 1999.
- [12] M. Gerstenhaber. The Cohomology structure on an associative ring. Ann. of Math., 78(2):267-288, 1978.
- [13] E. Green and Ø. Solberg. Hochschild cohomology rings and triangular rings, Representations of algebra. *Beijing Norm. Univ. Press*, I:192-200, 2002.
- [14] E. L. Green, E. N. Marcos, and N. Snashall. The Hochschild cohomology ring of a one point extension. Comm. Algebra, 31(1):357-379, 2003.
- [15] D. Happel. Triangulated Categories in the Representation Theory of Finite-Dimensional Algebras. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 119. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1988.
- [16] D. Happel. Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras, pages 108-126. Number 1404 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1989.
- [17] D. Happel, J. Rickard, and A. Schofield. Piecewise hereditary algebras. Bull. London Math. Soc., 20(1):23-28, 1988.
- [18] K. Igusa, M. I. Platzeck, G. Todorov, and D. Zacharia. Auslander algebras of finite representation type. Comm. Algebra, 13(1-2):377-424, 1987.
- [19] S. Jagadeeshan and M. Kleiner. Projectively stable Artin algebras : representation theory and quivers with relations. Comm. Algebra, 27(5):2277-2316, 1999.
- [20] J. Lévesque. Nakayama oriented pullbacks and stably hereditary algebras. http://www.ubishops.ca/algebra/webE/publication.htm, Preprint, 2004.

- [21] S. Liu and J. P. Morin. The strong no loop conjecture for special biserial algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132(12):3513-3523, 2004.
- [22] A. C. Locateli. Hochschild cohomology of truncated quiver algebras. Comm. Algebra, 27(2):645-664, 1999.
- [23] Ma. I. R. Martins and J. A. de la Peña. Comparing the simplicial and the Hochschild cohomologies of a finite dimensional algebra. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 138(1):45-58, 1999.
- [24] R. Martínez-Villa and J. A. de la Peña. The universal cover of a quiver with relations. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 30(3):873-887, 1983.
- [25] S. Michelena and M. I. Platzeck. Hochschild cohomology of triangular matrix algebras. J. Algebra, 233(2):502-525, 2000.
- [26] J. A. de la Peña and M. Saorín. The first Hochschild cohomology group of an algebra. Manuscripta Math., 104:1–12, 2001.
- [27] J. A. de la Peña and C. C. Xi. Hochschild cohomology of algebras with homological ideals. *Tsukuba J. Math.*, to appear.
- [28] J.J. Rotman. An introduction to Algebraic topology. Number 119 in Graduate texts in MAthematics. Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1988.

J. C. Bustamante; Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador.

E-mail address: juan.bustamante@usfq.edu.ec

J. Dionne; Département de Mathématiques, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, J1K 2R1, Québec, Canada. *E-mail address: julie.dionne2@usherbrooke.ca*

D. Smith; Département de Mathématiques, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, J1K 2R1, Québec, Canada.

E-mail address: david.smith@usherbrooke.ca