

On multidimensional generalization of the Lagrange theorem on continued fractions.

Oleg N. German. *

1 Introduction

The Lagrange theorem on continued fractions states that *a number α is a quadratic surd if and only if its continued fraction expansion is eventually periodic.*

This fact has the following geometric interpretation. Consider two lines in \mathbb{R}^2 generated by vectors $(1, \alpha)$ and $(1, \beta)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\alpha \neq \beta$. These lines divide the plane into four angles (which we will call cones minding the future multidimensional generalizations). In the interior of each cone consider the convex hull of integer points. The four unbounded convex polygons are called *Klein polygons*. There is a remarkable correspondence between the partial quotients of the numbers α and β and the edges of the Klein polygons (see [1], [2]): the integer lengths of a Klein polygon's edges and the integer angles between adjacent edges are equal to the respective partial quotients of the numbers α and β . The *integer length* of a segment with endpoints in \mathbb{Z}^n is defined as the number of integer points contained in the interior of this segment plus one. And the *integer angle* between two such segments with a common endpoint is defined as the area of the parallelogram spanned by them divided by the product of their integer lengths. When the segments are non-parallel the integer angle is obviously equal to the index of the sublattice spanned by the primitive integer vectors parallel to these two segments. The described construction allows to give a geometric analog of the Lagrange theorem (see [2]):

Statement 1. *The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *a cone \mathcal{C} is invariant under the action of some $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -operator with distinct real positive eigenvalues;*
- (2) *the combinatorial structure of the boundary of the Klein polygon related to a cone \mathcal{C} equipped with integer lengths of edges and integer angles between adjacent edges is periodic.*

A few years ago V.I. Arnold proposed to find an analog of this statement for so called *Klein polyhedra*, a natural multidimensional generalization of Klein polygons.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional simplicial cone with its vertex in the origin $\mathbf{0}$. The convex hull K of nonzero integer points contained in \mathcal{C} is called a *Klein polyhedron* related to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n and the cone \mathcal{C} .

For generalization of the Statement 1 it is natural to consider the case when the cone \mathcal{C} is irrational, i.e. when the planes spanned by the cone's faces do not contain lattice points except $\mathbf{0}$. Then, as was shown in [3], the Klein polyhedron is a generalized polyhedron, which means that its intersection with an arbitrary bounded polyhedron is itself a polyhedron. Hence the boundary of K is in this

*This research was supported by RFBR (grant N° 06-01-00518), INTAS (grant N° 03-51-5070) and grant of the President of Russian Federation N° MK-6370.2006.1

case an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional polyhedral surface homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , consisting of convex $(n - 1)$ -dimensional (generalized) polyhedra. Some of these polyhedra may be unbounded, but anyway, each point of the boundary of K belongs only to a finite number of K 's faces.

Definition 2. The boundary Π of a Klein polyhedron K is called a *sail*.

Due to the correspondence described above we can consider faces of a sail and edge stars of its vertices as multidimensional analogs of partial quotients. V. I. Arnold conjectured that there exist some local affine invariants of a sail, the periodicity of which implies the existence of a nonidentity $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -operator preserving the cone (and hence the sail). Soon after the problem was posed results started appearing in this direction (see [4], [5], [6], [7], and also [8]). Especially interesting is the paper [5], in which the author proposes (without proof) a multidimensional analog of the Statement 1. However, there is an inaccuracy in its formulation. The current paper is devoted to an accurate formulation and proof of the correspondent theorem from [5].

2 Formulation of the main result

For each vertex \mathbf{v} of a sail Π we will denote by $St_{\mathbf{v}}$ the edge star of \mathbf{v} , i.e. the union of all the sail's edges incident to \mathbf{v} .

An ordered set (finite or infinite) of vertices of a sail Π , such that every two consequent vertices are connected with an edge, will be called a *chain* of vertices of a sail Π . For each positive integer k consider the chains of length k of the sail's vertices and denote by $\mathcal{V}_k(\Pi)$ the set of all such chains. Consider also a graph $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$ such that the set of its vertices is equal to the set $\mathcal{V}_k(\Pi)$, and such that a pair $(V, W) \in \mathcal{V}_k(\Pi) \times \mathcal{V}_k(\Pi)$ is an edge of $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$ if and only if $V \cup W \in \mathcal{V}_{k+1}(\Pi)$. A graph $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}(\Pi)$ is obviously isomorphic to the edge graph of the graph $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$. Same as in the case of a sail's vertices, an ordered set (finite or infinite) of vertices of a graph $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$, such that every two consequent vertices are connected with an edge, will be called a *chain* of vertices of a sail $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$. It is obvious that if a chain of vertices of a graph $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$ has length $l \geq 2$, then it corresponds naturally to a chain in the graph $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}(\Pi)$ of length $l - 1$. In particular, each vertex chain of length l in a sail Π corresponds to a chain of length $l + 1 - k$ in the graph $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$, for every $k \leq l$.

Consider the group of all the integer affine operators $Aff_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and a subset $\mathfrak{A} \subset Aff_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We define an \mathfrak{A} -coloring of the graph $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$ as follows. Two distinct vertices V and W of this graph are said to be of the same color if there exists an operator in \mathfrak{A} , mapping $\bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in V} St_{\mathbf{v}}$ onto $\bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in W} St_{\mathbf{v}}$. Note that we do not require the operator to preserve the order of the sail's vertices.

Definition 3. Let $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a chain of vertices of a sail Π . Suppose that every $n - 1$ consequent vertices are affinely independent (i.e. do not belong to any $(n - 3)$ -dimensional plane) and lie in an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional face of a sail. Suppose that the images of this chain in $\mathcal{G}_n(\Pi)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}(\Pi)$ have periodic \mathfrak{A} -colorings, and suppose also that for any two distinct operators $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ "establishing" the coloring, the operator AB^{-1} also belongs to \mathfrak{A} . Then we call the chain $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of vertices of the sail Π \mathfrak{A} -periodic.

Denote by \mathfrak{A}_0 the set of affine operators \mathbf{A} such that $\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{x} \mapsto A(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{a}$, $A \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and A satisfies the following two conditions:

- (P1) : All the eigenvalues of the operator A are different from 1.
- (P2) : If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of the operator A , then all the other eigenvalues except for the complex conjugate of α , have absolute values different from that of α .

The following theorems (especially Theorems 1, 4 and 5) refine the result of the paper [5]:

Theorem 1. *Let the sail Π correspond to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n and an irrational cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists a nonidentity operator $A \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, such that $A(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$;*
- (2) *there exists an unbounded in both directions (as a subset of \mathbb{R}^n) \mathfrak{A}_0 -periodic chain $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of vertices of the sail Π .*

The implication (1) \implies (2) is obvious since if $A(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$, then $A(\Pi) = \Pi$. The implication (2) \implies (1) follows from Theorems 2 and 3, which are proved in the current paper.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathfrak{A} be an arbitrary subset of $\text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an \mathfrak{A} -periodic chain of vertices of a sail $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists an operator in \mathfrak{A} establishing a nontrivial shift of the set $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_i}$ along itself.*

Definition 4. An operator $A \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is called *hyperbolic* if its characteristic polynomial is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} with all the roots real and positive.

Theorem 3. *Let a sail Π correspond to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n and an irrational cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an unbounded in both directions (as a subset of \mathbb{R}^n) sequence (not necessarily a chain) of vertices of Π . Suppose that there exists an operator $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{A}_0$, such that $\mathbf{A}(\text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_i}) = \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_{i+1}}$. Then $\mathbf{A} = A \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $A(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. Besides that, if all the eigenvalues of the operator A are pairwise distinct, then A is a hyperbolic operator.*

Remark 1. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3 that if the operator establishing the shift of the chain is linear, then we may confine our requirements to the property (P2), and neglect the property (P1).

Let us denote by \mathfrak{A}_1 the set of operators from \mathfrak{A}_0 with linear component having pairwise distinct eigenvalues. Then we obtain another theorem, which is also a corollary of Theorems 2 and 3:

Theorem 4. *Let a sail Π correspond to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n and an irrational cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists a hyperbolic operator $A \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, such that $A(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$;*
- (2) *there exists an unbounded in both directions (as a subset of \mathbb{R}^n) \mathfrak{A}_1 -periodic chain $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of vertices of the sail Π .*

It is worth mentioning that due to the hyperbolicity of the operator A the implication (1) \implies (2) in Theorem 4 also follows from the Dirichlet theorem on algebraic units (see [9] and [10]).

Note also that in Theorem 1 we cannot keep \mathfrak{A}_0 and add the requirement of hyperbolicity to the statement (1). For even n it is easy to find an operator $A \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ having an irrational invariant (simplicial) cone and such that its characteristic polynomial equals, for instance, the square of a polynomial with integer coefficients and positive real roots, irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . For $n = 4$ we can consider the operator with the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This operator has two invariant irrational two-dimensional planes; in each of these planes we can choose two vectors in such a way that the four vectors thus obtained generate an irrational cone \mathcal{C} , invariant under the action of the operator A , but at the same time not invariant under the action of any hyperbolic integer operator.

On the other hand we cannot add the requirement of hyperbolicity in the statement (1) of Theorem 1, having only replaced the property (P2) with the property of an operator to have pairwise distinct eigenvalues. It can be seen from the following example kindly provided by E. I. Korkina (Pavlovskaya):

Consider a quadratic equation $\lambda^2 - p\lambda + 1 = 0$ with integer $p \geq 3$. It has two distinct real irrational positive roots λ_1 and λ_2 . After replacing λ with μ^3 we get an equation of 6-th degree: $\mu^6 - p\mu^3 + 1 = 0$. Consider an extension of the field \mathbb{Q} with a root of this equation. It is a 6-dimensional vector space M over \mathbb{Q} with the basis $1, \mu, \dots, \mu^5$. Consider an $\text{SL}_6(\mathbb{Z})$ -operator A acting on M as multiplication by μ . Let us imbed naturally M into \mathbb{R}^6 and extend the action of the operator A from the image of the space M to \mathbb{R}^6 .

The operator A has 6 eigenvalues: $\sqrt[3]{\lambda_1}, \zeta\sqrt[3]{\lambda_1}, \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{\lambda_1}$ and $\sqrt[3]{\lambda_2}, \zeta\sqrt[3]{\lambda_2}, \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{\lambda_2}$, where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$. For each $i = 1, 2$ let us denote by V_i the three-dimensional A -invariant subspace of \mathbb{R}^6 correspondent to the eigenvalues $\sqrt[3]{\lambda_i}, \zeta\sqrt[3]{\lambda_i}, \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{\lambda_i}$ and consider an arbitrary vector $\omega_i \in V_i$ not belonging to any 1- or 2-dimensional invariant subspace of the operator A . Let $\omega_i^0 = \omega_i, \omega_i^1 = A\omega_i, \omega_i^2 = A^2\omega_i$. The vectors $\omega_1^0, \omega_1^1, \omega_1^2, \omega_2^0, \omega_2^1, \omega_2^2$ are obviously linearly independent. Consider the cone \mathcal{C} generated by these vectors. Its 3-dimensional faces generated by the first three and the last three vectors are invariant under the action of the operator A , since $A\omega_i^2 = A^3\omega_i^0 = \lambda_i\omega_i^0$ and $\lambda_i > 0$. Hence the cone \mathcal{C} and the sail correspondent to it are invariant under the action of the operator A . However, the operator A is not hyperbolic. Moreover, the vectors ω_1 and ω_2 can be chosen so that the directions generated by them are transcendental and the cone \mathcal{C} is therefore not invariant for any hyperbolic integer operator.

For $n = 3$ a stronger statement than Theorems 1 and 4 can be proved. We can substitute the set \mathfrak{A}_0 with $\text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ keeping the requirement of hyperbolicity. It is also clear that if $n = 3$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ the technical conditions of Definition 3 are automatically satisfied and $\text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -periodicity of a chain of vertices means exactly the periodicity of the $\text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -coloring of the chain's images in $\mathcal{G}_n(\Pi)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}(\Pi)$.

Theorem 5. *Let a sail Π correspond to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 and an irrational cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists a hyperbolic operator $A \in \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$, such that $A(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$;*
- (2) *there exists an unbounded in both directions (as a subset of \mathbb{R}^3) $\text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -periodic chain $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of vertices of the sail Π .*

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{W_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ denote the images of the chain $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathcal{G}_n(\Pi)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}(\Pi)$, respectively. Suppose that $V_0 \setminus V_1 = W_0 \setminus W_1 = \{\mathbf{v}_0\}$. Set

$$\tilde{V}_i = \bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in V_i} \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{W}_i = \bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in W_i} \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

Consider the periods of the colorings of the graphs $\mathcal{G}_n(\Pi)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}(\Pi)$, and set t to be equal to their least common multiple. Then, there exist operators $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{A}$, such that

$$\mathbf{A}(\tilde{V}_0) = \tilde{V}_t, \quad \mathbf{B}(\tilde{V}_1) = \tilde{V}_{t+1}, \quad \mathbf{C}(\tilde{W}_0) = \tilde{W}_t.$$

Suppose that the operator \mathbf{A} inverts the order of the vertices, i.e. $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{v}_{t+n-1-i}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Then, either the operator \mathbf{A}' , such that $\mathbf{A}'(\tilde{V}_t) = \tilde{V}_{2t}$, or the operator $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$ (for which, obviously, $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}(\tilde{V}_0) = \tilde{V}_{2t}$) preserves the order of the vertices. Therefore we may assume that

the operator \mathbf{A} preserves the order of the vertices, doubling t , if necessary, or shifting all the indices by t .

Suppose now that the operator \mathbf{B} inverts the order of the vertices. Then, it is easy to see that either \mathbf{C} , or $\mathbf{BC}^{-1}\mathbf{AC}^{-1}\mathbf{B}$ maps \tilde{V}_1 onto \tilde{V}_{t+1} , preserving the order of the vertices. Therefore we may assume that the operator \mathbf{B} also preserves the order of the vertices.

Assuming that the operators \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} preserve the order of the vertices, let us consider the operator $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$. It is obvious that $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{v}_i$ and $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}(\text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_i}) = \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_i}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Let \mathbf{r}_0 denote the sum of the vectors parallel to the edges of the star $\text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_1}$, and let $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{v}_{i+1} - \mathbf{v}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$. It is clear that $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}_i) = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}_i$ for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$. It follows from the assumption of the theorem that the vectors $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{n-2}$ are linearly independent and parallel to some $(n-1)$ -dimensional face, incident to the vertex \mathbf{v}_1 . Hence the vectors $\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{n-2}$ are also linearly independent. Thus, the affine hull of the points $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}_{n-2}$ is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional invariant plane of the operator $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$. This plane divides the edges of the star $\text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_1}$ into two invariant subsets, since $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ preserves the orientation. Let \mathbf{r}'_0 and \mathbf{r}''_0 denote the sums of vectors, parallel to the edges from these two subsets. Then, the operator $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ preserves the points $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}'_0$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}''_0$ and at least one of them does not belong to the affine hull of the points $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{r}_{n-2}$. We get $n+1$ points, invariant under the action of $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$, which do not belong simultaneously to any n -dimensional plane. Hence $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ is an identity operator and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}$.

Continuing these arguments in both directions of the chain $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we get that the operator \mathbf{A} shifts the chain of stars $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_i}$ along itself. \square

4 A theorem on an edge star and integer distance

In this section we formulate and prove Theorem 6, which claims that if we can “see” a convex integer polyhedron from a point of the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n , then this point cannot be too “far” from the polyhedron, and the “distance” is bounded from above by a constant depending only on the integer-combinatorial structure of the edge stars of the polyhedron. We will use this theorem in the proof of Theorem 3, and also in the end of this paper for reformulation of the Oppenheim conjecture.

Let $\text{conv}(M)$ and $\text{aff}(M)$ denote the convex and the affine hulls of a set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 5. A (generalized) polyhedron with all its vertices in \mathbb{Z}^n is called *integer*.

Definition 6. Suppose that a vertex \mathbf{v} of an integer convex n -dimensional (generalized) polyhedron P is incident to m edges. Let $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m$ denote the primitive vectors of the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n , parallel to these edges. Then we define the *determinant* of the edge star $\text{St}_{\mathbf{v}}$ of the vertex \mathbf{v} as

$$\det \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq m} |\det(\mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i_n})|.$$

In other words, $\det \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}}$ is equal to the volume of the Minkowski sum of the segments $[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}_1], \dots, [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}_m]$.

Definition 7. Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional integer polyhedron and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The index of the minimal (with respect to inclusion) sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n containing the set $\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \text{aff}(F) - \mathbf{a}$, is called an *integer distance* from F to \mathbf{a} and is denoted by $\rho_{\text{int}}(F, \mathbf{a})$.

Theorem 6. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional integer convex polyhedron, not containing $\mathbf{0}$. Let \mathbf{v} be a vertex of P , contained in the interior of the set $\text{conv}(P \cup \{\mathbf{0}\})$, let \mathbf{v} be incident to m edges of P and let F be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of P , incident to the vertex \mathbf{v} . Let also

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \text{conv}(P \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}) \setminus P = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Then,

$$\rho_{\text{int}}(F, \mathbf{0}) < (nm)^{4n!} \det \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

When proving Theorem 3 we will actually use a corollary of Theorem 6, rather than itself:

Corollary 1. *Let \mathbf{v} be a vertex of a sail Π and let F be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of Π , incident to the vertex \mathbf{v} . Then,*

$$\rho_{\text{int}}(F, \mathbf{0}) < (n \det \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}})^{8n!}.$$

We will also mention another corollary of Theorem 6, which can be useful for an explicit description of a Klein polyhedron's faces, though we do not make any use of it in the current paper.

Corollary 2. *Let $P \subset \Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional integer convex polyhedron contained in an arbitrary polyhedron Δ (for instance, in a simplex), and*

$$P = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}_i \rangle \geq D_i\},$$

where \mathbf{f}_i are primitive vectors of the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n . Let every vertex of P be incident to not more than m edges, let D be a constant bounding from above the determinants of all the edge stars of P and

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^k \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}_i \rangle > D_i - D(nm)^{4n!}\} = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap P.$$

Then, $\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \Delta = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap P$.

To prove Theorem 6 we will need a few auxiliary statements.

Lemma 1. *Let n be a natural and V, A, B — real numbers, such that $V > 0$, $A > V/n$, $0 < B < 1$. Let $f(x) = A(B-x)^{n-1}(1-x) - x$. Then, $f(x) > 0$ for all $x : 0 \leq x \leq B^{n-1}n^{-1}(1+V^{-1})^{-1}$.*

Proof. The root of the equation of the tangent line for $f(x)$ in zero equals

$$\frac{AB^{n-1}}{1+AB^{n-2}(n-1+B)} > \frac{B^{n-1}}{n(1+V^{-1})}$$

and bounds from below the minimal positive root of $f(x)$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let Λ be an n -dimensional lattice in \mathbb{R}^n with determinant equal to 1. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional simplex with vertices $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in \mathbb{Q}\Lambda$. Let the point $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda$ belong to its interior. Let Δ_0 denote the simplex with vertices $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$. Suppose that there exists a constant V , such that for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the k -dimensional volume of every k -dimensional face of the simplex Δ_0 is at least V times greater than the determinant of the k -dimensional (affine) lattice consisting of the points of the lattice Λ contained in the affine hull of this face. Suppose also that*

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \left(\mathbf{v}_0 + \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_n(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}_n(\Delta)} \right) (\Delta - \mathbf{v}_0) \right) \setminus \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0\} = \emptyset$$

(i.e. the hyperplane containing \mathbf{v} and parallel to the plane $\text{aff}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$, cuts off a simplex from Δ , which does not contain any lattice points, except for \mathbf{v} and maybe \mathbf{v}_0).

Then,

$$\text{vol}_n(\Delta) \leq \text{vol}_n(\Delta_0) \prod_{k=1}^n k \frac{n!}{k!} (1+V^{-1})^{\frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!}}.$$

Proof. Let us set

$$c_n(V) = \prod_{k=1}^n k^{\frac{n!}{k!}} (1 + V^{-1})^{\frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!}}$$

and apply induction by n . For $n = 1$ the statement of the lemma is obvious. Suppose that the statement of the lemma is true in dimension $(n - 1)$. Let us prove it for dimension n . Due to the affinity of the problem, it is sufficient to prove the statement of the lemma in the case when the vectors $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_0$ are pairwise orthogonal and have absolute values equal to some number l .

Without loss of generality, we will assume that among the points $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ the point \mathbf{v}_n is farthest from \mathbf{v} . This means that among the faces $\text{conv}(\{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\} \setminus \{\mathbf{v}_i\})$ the face $\text{conv}(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1})$ is closest to \mathbf{v} . Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \in \text{conv}(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1})$ is the closest point to \mathbf{v} , such that the vector $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$ is parallel to the plane $\pi = \text{aff}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$. Then, the set

$$\Omega = \text{conv}(\{\mathbf{v}_0\} \cup (\pi \cap B_{|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}|}(\mathbf{v}))),$$

where $B_{|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}|}(\mathbf{v})$ is the ball of radius $|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|$ centered at \mathbf{v} , does not contain any points of Λ , except for \mathbf{v} and maybe \mathbf{v}_0 . Consequently, the volume of Ω , due to the Minkowski theorem on convex bodies, does not exceed 2^{n-1} . This means that

$$\frac{|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|^{n-1}}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_n(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}_n(\Delta)}\right) \frac{l}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 2^{n-1} \frac{\text{vol}_n(\Delta_0)}{V}. \quad (1)$$

Set

$$\Delta'_0 = \text{conv}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1})$$

and

$$\Delta' = \text{aff}(\Delta'_0) \cap \Delta.$$

The vertices of the simplex Δ' are the points $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$ and some point \mathbf{v}'_0 from the segment $[\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_n]$. By the induction assumption, $\text{vol}_{n-1}(\Delta') \leq c_{n-1}(V) \text{vol}_{n-1}(\Delta'_0)$, which, due to elementary geometric consideration, implies that

$$|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}| \geq \sqrt{n} \left(c_{n-1}^{-1}(V) \cdot \frac{l}{\sqrt{n-1}} - \frac{\text{vol}_n(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}_n(\Delta)} \cdot \frac{l}{\sqrt{n-1}} \right). \quad (2)$$

Using 1, 2 and the fact that $\text{vol}_n(\Delta) = l^n/n!$, we get the following:

$$\frac{V(n-1)! (\sqrt{n-1})^{n-1}}{2^{n-1} \sqrt{n} (\sqrt{n-1})^{n-1}} \left(c_{n-1}^{-1}(V) - \frac{\text{vol}_n(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}_n(\Delta)} \right)^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{\text{vol}_n(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}_n(\Delta)} \right) - \frac{\text{vol}_n(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}_n(\Delta)} \leq 0.$$

Hence, due to Lemma 1,

$$\frac{\text{vol}_n(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}_n(\Delta)} > \frac{(c_{n-1}(V))^{1-n}}{n(1+V^{-1})} = c_n(V)^{-1},$$

which completes the proof. \square

We will denote by $\text{int } P$ and $\text{ext } P$ the relative interior and the vertex set of a polyhedron P . If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a finite set and to each point $\mathbf{x} \in M$ a positive mass $\nu_{\mathbf{x}}$ is assigned, then for each subset $M' \subseteq M$ of cardinality $\sharp(M')$ we will denote by $c(M')$ the point $(\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in M'} \nu_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}) / \sharp(M')$, i.e. the center of mass of the set M' .

Lemma 3. *Let P be a convex $(n-1)$ -dimensional polyhedron with arbitrary positive masses assigned to its vertices. Let \mathfrak{T} be an arbitrary partition of the (relative) boundary of P into (closed) simplexes with vertices from $\text{ext } P$. Then,*

$$\text{int } P = \bigcup_{\Delta \in \mathfrak{T}} \text{int}(\text{conv}(\Delta \cup \{c(\text{ext } P \setminus \text{ext } \Delta)\})).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int } P$. Then there obviously exists a simplex $\Delta \in \mathfrak{T}$, such that $\mathbf{x} \in \text{conv}(\Delta \cup \{c(P)\})$. It remains to notice that the set $\text{conv}(\Delta \cup \{c(P)\}) \cap \text{int } P$ is contained in the interior of the set $\text{conv}(\Delta \cup \{c(\text{ext } P \setminus \text{ext } \Delta)\})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m$ be the primitive vectors of the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n , parallel to the edges, incident to the vertex \mathbf{v} . Take arbitrary positive numbers k_1, \dots, k_m , such that the points $\mathbf{r}'_i = k_i \mathbf{r}_i$ lie on a same hyperplane, and set $P = \text{conv}(\mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_m)$. Consider a number λ , such that $\lambda \mathbf{v} \in P$.

Assign masses k_i^{-1} to the points \mathbf{r}'_i . Then, by Lemma 3 we can renumerate the vectors $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m$ (changing respectively numerations of numbers k_1, \dots, k_m and vectors $\mathbf{r}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}'_m$) so that $\lambda \mathbf{v} = \lambda'_0 \mathbf{r}'_0 + \dots + \lambda'_{n-1} \mathbf{r}'_{n-1}$ with strictly positive λ'_i and $\mathbf{r}'_0 = (\mathbf{r}_n + \dots + \mathbf{r}_m)/(m-n+1)$. Setting $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{r}'_0$, $\lambda_0 = \lambda'_0$ and $\lambda_i = k_i \lambda'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ we get that $\lambda \mathbf{v} = \lambda_0 \mathbf{r}_0 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \mathbf{r}_{n-1}$ with strictly positive λ_i . The point \mathbf{v} is therefore contained in the interior of the simplex Δ with vertices $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r}_{n-1}$. It follows from the definition of \mathbf{r}_0 that for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the k -dimensional volume of every k -dimensional face of the simplex $\Delta_0 = \text{conv}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r}_{n-1})$ is at least $n^{-1}(m-n+1)^{-1}$ times greater than the determinant of the k -dimensional lattice of integer points contained in the affine hull of this face. Moreover, it is clear that the hyperplane containing the point \mathbf{v} and parallel to the plane $\text{aff}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r}_{n-1})$ is a support plane for the polyhedron P and, therefore, cuts off a simplex from Δ , which has no integer points other than $\mathbf{0}$ and \mathbf{v} . Hence the simplexes Δ and Δ_0 satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2, which means that the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{vol}_n(\Delta) \leq \text{vol}_n(\Delta_0) \prod_{k=1}^n k \frac{n!}{k!} (mn - n^2 + n + 1)^{\frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!}} < \text{vol}_n(\Delta_0) \prod_{k=1}^n (nmk) \frac{n!}{k!} < (nm)^{4n!} \text{vol}_n(\Delta_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\rho_{\text{int}}(F, \mathbf{0}) \leq n \cdot \text{vol}_n(\text{conv}(F \cup \{\mathbf{0}\})) < n \cdot \text{vol}_n(\Delta) < (nm)^{4n!} \det \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}},$$

which proves the theorem. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 3

To prove Theorem 3 we will need the following fact, which we give without proof, since it is simple:

Lemma 4. *Let $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be an n -dimensional Jordan cell with eigenvalue λ . Let $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector with the i -th coordinate equal to 1, and all the others equal to zero. Let $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector with nonzero coordinates. Then, $\langle A^m \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{e} \rangle \asymp \lambda^m m^{n-i}$ when $m \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof of Theorem 3. Due to the condition (P1) the operator $E - A$ is invertible. Set $\mathbf{b} = (E - A)^{-1} \mathbf{a}$. Then, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} + A(\mathbf{x})$. Thus, we can assume that instead of an affine operator \mathbf{A} a linear operator A is acting, but the vertex of the cone \mathcal{C} is in the point $-\mathbf{b}$.

If F is an arbitrary $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of the sail Π , incident to \mathbf{v}_0 , then by Corollary 1 of Theorem 6 the integer distance from $A^m(F)$ to $-\mathbf{b}$ is bounded for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by a constant not depending on m . Hence, if $\langle \mathbf{h}_F, \cdot \rangle$ is a linear form, such that $\text{aff}(F) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{h}_F, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 1\}$, then

$$\max_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}_F, -\mathbf{b} \rangle| < \infty. \quad (3)$$

Consider a Jordan basis $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ of the operator A and a linear form $\langle \mathbf{h}, \cdot \rangle$, such that neither of the components of the vector \mathbf{h} in the basis \mathcal{E}^* , dual to the basis \mathcal{E} , is equal to zero, and such that the plane $\pi_{\mathbf{h}} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 1\}$ cuts the edges of the star $\text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_0}$ by inner points. It follows from (3) that

$$\max_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, -\mathbf{b} \rangle| < \infty.$$

This, together with Lemma 4 applied in the cases $m \rightarrow +\infty$ and $m \rightarrow -\infty$, implies that

$$|A(\mathbf{b})| = |\mathbf{b}|. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, the fact that the plane $\pi_{\mathbf{h}}$ cuts the edges of the star $\text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_0}$ by inner points implies that

$$\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{vol}_n(\text{conv}(-\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{C} \cap A^m(\pi_{\mathbf{h}}))) > 0. \quad (5)$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\omega}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\omega}_n$ denote the unit vectors generating the edges of the cone \mathcal{C} . Then,

$$A^m(\pi_{\mathbf{h}} \cap \text{aff}(-\mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_i)) = \left\{ -\mathbf{b} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \mid \langle (A^*)^{-m} \mathbf{h}, -\mathbf{b} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle = 1 \right\} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \frac{1 + \langle (A^*)^{-m} \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\langle (A^*)^{-m} \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Hence the inequality (5) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 + \langle (A^*)^{-m} \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{b} \rangle}{\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle} > 0,$$

which, in virtue of (4), implies that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{i=1}^n \langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle < \infty. \quad (7)$$

We will assume that \mathbf{e}_i corresponds to the eigenvalue λ_i and that $|\lambda_1| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_n|$. We denote by $\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_k$ ($k \leq n$) the set of absolute values of these eigenvalues, and for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we denote by M_i the invariant subspace of the operator A , corresponding to μ_i . We have a graduation: $\mathbb{R}^n = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$.

Let us prove the following two statements:

- (i) M_i contains exactly $\dim M_i$ edges of the cone \mathcal{C} ;
- (ii) the matrix of the operator A does not have any nontrivial Jordan cells.

Set

$$L_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^i M_j \quad \text{and} \quad L'_i = \bigoplus_{j=i}^k M_j.$$

We have filtrations: $\mathbb{R}^n = L_k \supset L_{k-1} \supset \dots \supset L_1 = M_1$ and $\mathbb{R}^n = L'_1 \supset L'_2 \supset \dots \supset L'_k = M_k$. Set $r_i = \min\{r : \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \in L_r\}$. Then, by Lemma 4, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists an $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l_i \geq 0$, such that

$$\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle \asymp \mu_{r_i}^m m^{l_i} \quad \text{when } m \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (8)$$

Hence

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle \asymp \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \mu_{r_i}^m \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n m^{l_i} \right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu_{r_i}}{|\lambda_i|} \right)^m \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n m^{l_i} \right) \quad \text{when } m \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (9)$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$. Then, $i \leq \dim L_{r_i}$, which implies that $\mu_{r_i} \geq |\lambda_i|$, and the equality holds only if $i > \dim L_{r_i-1}$. Thus, it follows from (7) and (9) that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\dim L_{r_i-1} < i \leq \dim L_{r_i}.$$

Hence for every $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and every $i \leq \dim L_j$

$$\dim L_{r_i-1} < i \leq \dim L_j,$$

i.e. $r_i \leq j$. Consequently, if $i \leq \dim L_j$, then $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i \in L_j$. This means that L_j contains exactly $\dim L_j$ vectors $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$. Therefore, if A^* has a nontrivial Jordan cell, then there exists an i , such that we have $l_i > 0$ in (8), which, in virtue of (9), contradicts (7). Hence neither A , nor A^* have nontrivial Jordan cells, and (ii) is proved.

Set $r'_i = \min\{r : \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \in L'_r\}$. Then,

$$\langle (A^*)^{-m} \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle \asymp \mu_{r'_i}^{-m} \quad \text{when } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Renumbering, if necessary, the $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$, we will assume that $r'_1 \leq r'_2 \leq \dots \leq r'_n$. Arguments, similar to those for L_j , show that each L'_j contains exactly $\dim L'_j$ vectors $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$. But $L_j \cap L'_j = M_j$ and $\dim L_j + \dim L'_j = n + \dim M_j$, hence M_j contains exactly $\dim M_j$ vectors $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$. This proves (i).

If $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then due to (P1), (P2) and (4) the point \mathbf{b} is contained in some M_{j_0} , corresponding to exactly two complex-conjugate eigenvalues with their absolute values equal to 1. At the same time, by (i), there are some $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i_2}$ contained in M_{j_0} , i.e. M_{j_0} contains a 2-dimensional face \mathcal{F} of the cone \mathcal{C} . But since $\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}_{-m} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have either $\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in K$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, or $\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in K$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, all the quantities $\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ should have same signs. But this does not hold, since the restriction of the operator A to M_{j_0} is an operator of rotation, distinct from the unity. Hence $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$.

Due to (ii) and (P2) each space M_j corresponds either to a totally real λ , and then all the vectors in M_j are eigenvectors, or to a pair of complex-conjugate λ and $\bar{\lambda}$. If λ is not a positive real number, then the arguments, similar to those for M_{j_0} , lead to a contradiction with the fact that all the quantities $\langle (A^*)^m \mathbf{h}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \rangle$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ have same signs. Therefore, all the eigenvalues of the operator A are real and positive, and the vectors $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$ are eigenvectors of A . Hence $A(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. If the characteristic polynomial of the operator A is reducible, then A has a nontrivial invariant integer subspace. In case all the eigenvalues of A are pairwise distinct, this contradicts the irrationality of the cone \mathcal{C} . Thus, if the eigenvalues of the operator A are pairwise distinct, then A is a hyperbolic operator. \square

6 Three-dimensional case

In this section we explain how to improve Theorems 2 and 3 in the three-dimensional case and obtain Theorem 5.

The implication (1) \implies (2) in Theorem 5, same as in Theorems 1 and 4, is obvious.

Suppose that the statement (2) of Theorem 5 holds. Then, by Theorem 2 there exists an operator $\mathbf{A} \in \text{Aff}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, establishing a nontrivial shift of the set $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{St}_{\mathbf{v}_i}$ along itself. To prove (1) it is sufficient to show that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ and that the eigenvalues of the linear component of the operator \mathbf{A} are pairwise distinct, and apply Theorem 3 afterwards.

Let $\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{x} \mapsto A(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{a}$, $A \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Suppose that A does not satisfy at least one of the statements (P1) and (P2), or have two equal eigenvalues. Then, it is clear that all the eigenvalues of

A have absolute values equal to 1, i.e. the matrix of the operator A in the Jordan basis has one of the following forms:

$$1) A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad 2) A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad 3) A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad 4) A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$5) A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}; \quad 6) A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ 0 & -\sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}; \quad 7) A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ 0 & -\sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{v}_m = \mathbf{A}^m(\mathbf{v}_0) = A^m(\mathbf{v}_0) + (A^{m-1} + \dots + A + E)\mathbf{a}$. In each case this relation allows to write down the asymptotic of coordinates of points \mathbf{v}_m and come to a contradiction either with the irrationality of the cone \mathcal{C} , or with the fact that the points \mathbf{v}_i lie in the interior of the cone \mathcal{C} and tend to its boundary when $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$. The only difficulty of this argument is the number of possibilities, so we will skip the details.

7 A relation to the Littlewood and Oppenheim conjectures

The following two conjectures are classical:

Littlewood conjecture. *If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} m \|m\alpha\| \|m\beta\| = 0$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer.*

Oppenheim conjecture on linear forms. *If $n \geq 3$ and $\langle \mathbf{L}_1, \cdot \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{L}_n, \cdot \rangle$ are n linearly independent linear forms on \mathbb{R}^n , such that*

$$\inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\langle \mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{x} \rangle \cdot \dots \cdot \langle \mathbf{L}_n, \mathbf{x} \rangle| > 0,$$

then the lattice $\{(\langle \mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{x} \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{L}_n, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is algebraic (i.e. similar modulo the action of the group of diagonal $n \times n$ matrices to the lattice of a complete module of a totally real algebraic field of degree n).

As is known (see [11]), the three-dimensional Oppenheim conjecture implies the Littlewood conjecture. In [12] and [13] an attempt was made to prove the Oppenheim conjecture, however, there was an essential gap in the proof. Thus, both conjectures remain unproved.

The results of the current paper together with those of [14] and [15] allow to reformulate the Oppenheim conjecture in terms of Klein polyhedra. In the papers [14] and [15] along with the concept of determinant of an edge star (see Definition 6) is considered the concept of determinant of a face:

Definition 8. Let F be an arbitrary $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of a sail $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ be the vertices of F . Then we define the *determinant* of the face F as

$$\det F = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq m} |\det(\mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i_n})|.$$

In the papers [14] and [15] the following theorem is also proved:

Theorem 7. Let $\langle \mathbf{L}_1, \cdot \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{L}_n, \cdot \rangle$ be n linearly independent irrational linear forms on \mathbb{R}^n and

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{L}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\langle \mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{x} \rangle \cdot \dots \cdot \langle \mathbf{L}_n, \mathbf{x} \rangle| > 0$;
- (2) The faces and the edge stars of the vertices of the sail Π generated by the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n and the cone \mathcal{C} have uniformly bounded determinants.

Due to Corollary 1 of Theorem 6 the statement (2) of Theorem 7 is equivalent to the fact that the complete stars of vertices of the sail Π are of finitely many affine types (a *complete star* of a vertex is the union of all the faces incident to this vertex).

Using Theorem 4, we get the following reformulation of the Oppenheim conjecture:

Reformulated Oppenheim conjecture. Let $\langle \mathbf{L}_1, \cdot \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{L}_n, \cdot \rangle$ be n linearly independent irrational linear forms on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{L}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

Suppose that the sail Π corresponding to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n and the cone \mathcal{C} has finitely many affine types of complete stars of vertices. Then, there exists an unbounded in both directions (as a subset of \mathbb{R}^n) \mathfrak{A}_1 -periodic chain $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of vertices of the sail Π .

We remind that for $n = 3$ (and that is the most interesting case, since the three-dimensional Oppenheim conjecture implies the Littlewood conjecture) \mathfrak{A}_1 can be substituted by $\text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. This allows to reformulate the Oppenheim conjecture for $n = 3$ as follows.

First, we define a graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$: as the set of its vertices we take the set of pairs (F, \mathbf{v}) , where F is a face of the sail Π and \mathbf{v} is a vertex of F ; we connect two distinct vertices (F, \mathbf{v}) and (G, \mathbf{w}) of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$ with an edge, if, firstly, the segment $[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}]$ is a common edge of the faces F and G , and secondly, the bypass of the face F from \mathbf{v} to \mathbf{w} is counter-clockwise (relatively the outer normal to the Klein polyhedron). The graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$ is obviously planar and each of its vertices is incident to exactly three edges.

Next, we define a coloring of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$. In contrast to the considered above colorings of graphs $\mathcal{G}_k(\Pi)$, we color both the vertices and the edges of $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$. Let (F, \mathbf{v}) and (G, \mathbf{w}) be arbitrary vertices of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$. Let \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} be the vertices of the face F , neighboring with \mathbf{v} , and let \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{d} be the vertices of the face G , neighboring with \mathbf{w} . Suppose that there exists an $\text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -operator mapping the union of the faces of the sail Π , incident to at least one of the vertices \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{b} , onto the union of the faces of the sail Π , incident to at least one of the vertices \mathbf{c} , \mathbf{w} , and \mathbf{d} . Then, we color (F, \mathbf{v}) and (G, \mathbf{w}) identically. With the edges we do a similar thing: let $((F_1, \mathbf{v}_1), (F_2, \mathbf{v}_2))$ and $((G_1, \mathbf{w}_1), (G_2, \mathbf{w}_2))$ be arbitrary edges of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$. Let \mathbf{a}_1 be the vertex of the face F_1 , neighboring with \mathbf{v}_1 and distinct from \mathbf{v}_2 , let \mathbf{a}_2 be the vertex of the face F_2 , neighboring with \mathbf{v}_2 and distinct from \mathbf{v}_1 , let \mathbf{b}_1 be the vertex of the face G_1 , neighboring with \mathbf{w}_1 and distinct from \mathbf{w}_2 , and let \mathbf{b}_2 be the vertex of the face G_2 , neighboring with \mathbf{w}_2 and distinct from \mathbf{w}_1 . Suppose that there exists an $\text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -operator mapping the union of the faces of the sail Π , incident to at least one of the vertices \mathbf{a}_1 , \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 , \mathbf{a}_2 onto the union of the faces of the sail Π , incident to at least one of the vertices \mathbf{b}_1 , \mathbf{w}_1 , \mathbf{w}_2 , \mathbf{b}_2 . Then, we color $((F_1, \mathbf{v}_1), (F_2, \mathbf{v}_2))$ and $((G_1, \mathbf{w}_1), (G_2, \mathbf{w}_2))$ identically.

It follows from Theorem 5 that the fact that there exists a chain of vertices of the sail Π with its images in $\mathcal{G}_2(\Pi)$ and $\mathcal{G}_3(\Pi)$ having periodic $\text{Aff}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ -colorings is equivalent to the fact that there exists a chain of vertices of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$ having periodic ‘‘vertex–edge’’ coloring. Hence for $n = 3$ the Oppenheim conjecture is equivalent to the following statement:

Reformulated three–dimensional Oppenheim conjecture. Let $\langle \mathbf{L}_1, \cdot \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{L}_2, \cdot \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{L}_3, \cdot \rangle$ be linearly independent irrational linear forms on \mathbb{R}^3 and

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle \mathbf{L}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \}.$$

Suppose that the coloring of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$ of the sail Π corresponding to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^3 and the cone \mathcal{C} uses only a finite number of colors. Then, there exists an unbounded in both directions (in the natural metric of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$) periodically colored chain of vertices of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\Pi)$.

References

- [1] Erdős P., Gruber P., Hammer J. *Lattice Points*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **39**. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1989.
- [2] Korkina E. I. *Two–dimensional continued fractions. The simplest examples*, Proc. Steklov Math. Inst. RAS, **209** (1995), 143–166.
- [3] Moussafir J.–O. *Convex hulls of integral points*, Zapiski nauch. sem. POMI, **256** (2000).
- [4] Lachaud G. *Polyèdre d’Arnol’d et voile d’un cône simplicial: analogues du théorème de Lagrange*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 317, 1993, Série I, p. 711–716.
- [5] Korkina E. *La périodicité des fractions continues multidimensionnelles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 319, 1994, Série I, p. 777–780.
- [6] Lachaud G. *Sails and Klein Polyhedra*, Contemporary Mathematics, Volume 210, 1998, p. 373–385.
- [7] Arnold V. I. *Higher dimensional continued fractions*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, v. 3, N° 3, 1998.
- [8] Lachaud G. *Voiles et Polyèdres de Klein*, Act. Sci. Ind., Hermann, 2002.
- [9] Borevich Z. I., Shafarevich I. R. *Number theory*, NY Academic Press, 1966.
- [10] Tsuchihashi H. *Higher dimensional analogues of periodic continued fractions and cusp singularities*, Tohoku Math. Journal, 35, 1983, p. 607–639.
- [11] Cassels J. W. S., Swinnerton–Dyer H. P. F. *On the product of three homogeneous linear forms and indefinite ternary quadratic forms*, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London, 1955, vol. A 248, p. 73–96.
- [12] Skubenko B. F. *Minima of a decomposable cubic form of three variables*, Zapiski nauch. sem. LOMI, **168**, 1988.
- [13] Skubenko B. F. *Minima of decomposable forms of degree n of n variables for n ≥ 3*, Zapiski nauch. sem. LOMI, **183**, 1990.
- [14] German O. N. *Sails and norm minima of lattices*, Mat. Sb. **196:3** (2005), 31–60; English transl.: Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. **196:3** (2005), 337–367.
- [15] German O. N. *Klein polyhedra and norm minima of lattices*, Doklady Mathematics **406:3** (2006), 38–41.