

DIFFERENTIAL CALCULI ON QUANTUM PREHOMOGENEOUS VECTOR SPACES

S. Sinel'shchikov[†]A. Stolin[‡]L. Vaksman[†]

[†]*Mathematics Division, Institute for Low Temperature Physics & Engineering
47 Lenin Ave, 61103 Kharkov, Ukraine*

[‡]*Chalmers Tekniska Högskola, Matematik, 412 96, Göteborg, Sweden*

This work deals with differential calculi over quadratic algebras which arise in quantum theory of bounded symmetric domains [35]. We prove that the dimensions of homogeneous components for graded vector spaces of k -forms coincide with their classical values. In a special case this result is well known [31, p. 296].

The quadratic algebras in question constitute q -analogues for polynomial algebras on prehomogeneous vector spaces of a special class [34]. This allows one to prove that the dual de Rham complex is isomorphic to a quantum analogue of the generalized Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution [25].

Authors are grateful to S. Kolb for helpful discussions.

1 The $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$

Consider a simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with the Chevalley generators $\{H_i, E_i, F_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$. Let $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,l}$ be a system of simple roots and $\mathbf{a} = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,\dots,l}$ the Cartan matrix: $a_{ij} = \alpha_j(H_i)$. The maximal root of \mathfrak{g} is given by a linear combination of its simple roots

$$\sum_{j=1}^l n_j \alpha_j, \quad n_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (1.1)$$

Fix a simple root α_{l_0} which is present in the above sum with coefficient 1: $n_{l_0} = 1$. The tables in [3] allow one to distinguish easily all such simple roots.

Let H_0 be the linear combination of H_1, H_2, \dots, H_l determined by

$$\alpha_j(H_0) = \begin{cases} 2, & j = l_0 \\ 0, & j \neq l_0. \end{cases}$$

Introduce the notation \mathfrak{p}^- , \mathfrak{k} , \mathfrak{p}^+ for the eigenspaces of ad_{H_0} which correspond to the eigenvalues $-2, 0, 2$, respectively. Thus we get a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}^- \oplus \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^+,$$

in which \mathfrak{p}^\pm are commutative Lie algebras. They are called prehomogeneous vector spaces of commutative parabolic type [34].

We are interested in a quantum analogue for the algebra of differential forms with polynomial coefficients on the vector space \mathfrak{p}^- .

Let Q be the root lattice, P the weight lattice, and $s = \text{card}(P/Q)$. Assume $\mathbb{C}(q^{\frac{1}{s}})$, the rational functions in the indeterminate $q^{\frac{1}{s}}$, to be the ground field. All the algebras under consideration are supposed to be unital.

Consider the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum universal enveloping algebra $U_q\mathfrak{g}$. It is determined by its generators $\{K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$ and the well known relations [18, p. 52]. It is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication

$$\Delta(K_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\pm 1} \otimes K_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i.$$

As in [35], we begin the construction with producing a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$, which is a quantum analogue for the polynomial algebra on \mathfrak{p}^- . Let $\{d_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,l}$ be coprime numbers which make symmetric the Cartan matrix ($d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$), and set $q_j = q^{d_j}$. All the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules we deal with are weight modules:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l} V_\lambda, \quad V_\lambda = \left\{ v \in V \mid K_j^{\pm 1} v = q_j^{\pm \lambda_j} v, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, l \right\}.$$

This allows one to introduce the linear operators $\{H_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,l}$, (hence also H_0) via setting

$$H_j|_{V_\lambda} = \lambda_j, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l,$$

and to equip V with a gradation

$$V = \bigoplus_r V[r], \quad V[r] = \{v \in V \mid H_0 v = 2rv\}.$$

In what follows all the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules we deal with are equipped with this gradation; by definition we set $V^* = \bigoplus_r V[r]^*$ in the category of graded vector spaces.

We need generalized Verma modules $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$. Let $U_q\mathfrak{q}^+$ be the Hopf subalgebra generated by $\{K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i, F_i\}_{i \neq l_0} \cup \{K_{l_0}^{\pm 1}, E_{l_0}\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_+ = \mathbb{Z}_+^{l_0-1} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+^{l-l_0} \hookrightarrow P$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$. Consider the simple finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{q}^+$ -module $L(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ with highest weight λ , together with the induced $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) = U_q\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{U_q\mathfrak{q}^+} L(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$. It is easy to demonstrate (see [26]) that $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ admits a description in terms of a generator $v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ and defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} E_i v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &= 0, & K_i^{\pm 1} v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &= q_i^{\pm \lambda_i} v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda), & i &= 1, 2, \dots, l, \\ F_j^{\lambda_j+1} v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &= 0, & j &\neq l_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$ be the Hopf algebra which differs from $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ by replacement of the comultiplication with the opposite one. The morphism

$$\Delta_0 : N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0), \quad \Delta_0 : v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \mapsto v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0)$$

in the tensor category of $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$ -modules equips the generalized Verma module $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0)$ with a structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$ -module coalgebra. The dual graded vector space $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebra which constitutes a q -analogue for the polynomial algebra on \mathfrak{p}^- .

It can be proved that $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is a quadratic algebra, see Proposition 4.7. One should note that the works of Joseph and his team (see, e.g., [20]) deal with a more general class of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebras.

2 Covariant differential calculi

In the classical case $q = 1$ the linear map adjoint to a morphism of generalized Verma modules appears to be a covariant differential operator on \mathfrak{p}^- [12]. We follow [35] in using duality in the quantum case to produce a first order differential calculus over $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$. Recall the definitions [23].

Let F be an algebra. A first order differential calculus over F is an F -bimodule M , together with a linear map $d : F \rightarrow M$ such that

1. for all $f_1, f_2 \in F$

$$d(f_1 \cdot f_2) = df_1 \cdot f_2 + f_1 \cdot df_2; \quad (2.1)$$

2. M is a linear span of the vectors $f_1 \cdot df_2 \cdot f_3$ with $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F$.

Let A be a Hopf algebra and F an A -module algebra. A first order differential calculus (M, d) over F is called covariant if M is an A -module F -bimodule and d is a morphism of A -modules.

We are about to produce a first order differential calculus over $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$. Given any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$, the generalized Verma module $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ is a $U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$ -module $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0)$ -bicomodule:

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &\rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda), & v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &\mapsto v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda), \\ N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &\rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0), & v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &\mapsto v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the dual graded vector space is a $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodule. In particular,

$$\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})^*$$

is a $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -module. It is a q -analogue for the space of 1-forms with polynomial coefficients.

We call a differential the linear operator dual to a morphism of generalized Verma modules

$$N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0), \quad v(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}) \mapsto F_{l_0} v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0).$$

Our definitions imply (2.1), together with the claim that the differential $d : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \rightarrow \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ is a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules. It is demonstrated in the sequel that $\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ is a linear span of $\{f_1 \cdot df_2 \cdot f_3 \mid f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q\}$.

Consider a graded algebra $\Omega = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \Omega_i$ along with a linear degree 1 map $d : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$.

The pair (Ω, d) is called a differential graded algebra if $d^2 = 0$ and

$$d(\omega' \cdot \omega'') = d\omega' \cdot \omega'' + (-1)^n \omega' \cdot d\omega'', \quad \omega' \in \Omega_n, \omega'' \in \Omega. \quad (2.2)$$

A differential calculus over an algebra F is a differential graded algebra (Ω, d) such that $\Omega_0 = F$ and Ω is generated by $\Omega_0 \oplus d\Omega_0$.

Suppose that a first order differential calculus (M, d) over F , is given. By a definition, the associated universal differential calculus $(\Omega^{\text{univ}}, d^{\text{univ}})$ over this algebra should have the following properties:

1. $\Omega_1^{\text{univ}} = M$;
2. $d^{\text{univ}}|_F = d$;

3. given any differential calculus (Ω', d') over F which satisfy the above two properties $(\Omega'_1 = M, d'|_F = d)$, there exists a homomorphism of differential graded algebras $\Omega^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \Omega'$ which is the identity when restricted to $\Omega_0^{\text{univ}} \oplus \Omega_1^{\text{univ}}$.

Consider a Hopf algebra A and an A -module algebra F . A differential calculus (Ω, d) is said to be covariant if Ω is an A -module algebra and d an endomorphism of the A -module Ω . It is known [23, p. 463 – 464] that the universal differential calculus exists, is unique up to isomorphism; it is covariant if the original first order differential calculus is.

REMARK. The covariance notion we use here is more general than that in [23] where it is implicit that all the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules in question are $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -finite. This difference does not affect the proof of covariance for the universal enveloping differential calculus expounded in [23, p. 464].

In what follows we obtain a series of results on the universal differential calculus $(\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q, d)$ associated to the first order differential calculus $(\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q, d)$. In particular, it is demonstrated that the dimensions of homogeneous components for weight $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ are the same as in the classical case $q = 1$.

3 PBW-bases and a universal R-matrix

Recall the standard notation and well-known results.

Let \mathfrak{h} , \mathfrak{n}^+ , \mathfrak{n}^- be the Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} generated by $\{H_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$, $\{E_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$, and $\{F_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$, respectively. In a similar way, let $U_q\mathfrak{h}$, $U_q\mathfrak{n}^+$, $U_q\mathfrak{n}^-$ be the subalgebras of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\{K_i^{\pm 1}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$, $\{E_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$, and $\{F_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$, respectively. The linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} U_q\mathfrak{n}^- \otimes U_q\mathfrak{h} \otimes U_q\mathfrak{n}^+ &\rightarrow U_q\mathfrak{g}, & u^- \otimes u^0 \otimes u^+ &\mapsto u^- u^0 u^+, \\ U_q\mathfrak{n}^+ \otimes U_q\mathfrak{h} \otimes U_q\mathfrak{n}^- &\rightarrow U_q\mathfrak{g}, & u^+ \otimes u^0 \otimes u^- &\mapsto u^+ u^0 u^- \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms of vector spaces [18, p. 66].

We are about to construct bases for the vector spaces $U_q\mathfrak{h}$, $U_q\mathfrak{n}^\pm$, together with the associated bases for $U_q\mathfrak{g}$, similar to Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt bases. Obviously, the elements $K_1^{j_1} K_2^{j_2} \dots K_l^{j_l}$, with $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}$, form a basis of $U_q\mathfrak{h}$. What remains is to produce bases of $U_q\mathfrak{n}^+$, $U_q\mathfrak{n}^-$.

Obviously,

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^l, \quad \lambda \mapsto (\lambda(H_1), \lambda(H_2), \dots, \lambda(H_l)).$$

The set of fundamental weights $\{\bar{\omega}_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,l}$ forms the standard basis in \mathbb{C}^l and the simple roots are given by $\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^l a_{ij} \bar{\omega}_i$. We choose a non-degenerate invariant form in \mathfrak{g} so that

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

In this setting, $(\bar{\omega}_i, \alpha_j) = d_i \delta_{ij}$.

The decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ determines an associated decomposition $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ for the set of roots of \mathfrak{g} .

The Weyl group W is generated by simple reflections

$$s_i : \lambda \mapsto \lambda - \lambda(H_i) \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l$$

in \mathfrak{h}^* . W is a Coxeter group with defining relations

$$s_i^2 = 1, \quad (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1, \quad i \neq j.$$

Choose a reduced expression

$$w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} \dots s_{i_M} \quad (3.1)$$

of the longest element w_0 of W . It determines an associated linear order relation on Φ^+

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \quad \beta_2 = s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}), \quad \dots, \quad \beta_M = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{M-1}}(\alpha_{i_M}). \quad (3.2)$$

To obtain q -analogues for the root vectors $E_{\beta_1}, E_{\beta_2}, \dots, E_{\beta_M}$ associated to those roots, we elaborate the automorphisms T_1, T_2, \dots, T_l of the algebra $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ introduced by G. Lusztig. Their action on the generators is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(K_j) &= K_j K_i^{-a_{ij}}, \\ T_i(E_i) &= -F_i K_i, \quad T_i(F_i) = -K_i^{-1} E_i, \\ T_i(E_j) &= \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} \frac{(-1)^s q_i^{-r}}{[s]_{q_i}! [r]_{q_i}!} E_i^s E_j E_i^r, \quad i \neq j, \\ T_i(F_j) &= \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} \frac{(-1)^s q_i^r}{[s]_{q_i}! [r]_{q_i}!} F_i^r F_j F_i^s, \quad i \neq j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Here $[n]_q! = [1]_q [2]_q \dots [n]_q$, $[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$. It follows from (3.3) that T_i permute the weight subspaces: $T_i(U_q \mathfrak{g})_\lambda = (U_q \mathfrak{g})_{s_i(\lambda)}$, with

$$(U_q \mathfrak{g})_\lambda = \{ \xi \in U_q \mathfrak{g} \mid K_i \xi K_i^{-1} = q_i^{\lambda_i} \xi \}, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l.$$

It was demonstrated by Lusztig that these automorphisms satisfy the braid relations:

$$\underbrace{T_i T_j T_i \dots}_{m_{ij}} = \underbrace{T_j T_i T_j \dots}_{m_{ij}}, \quad i \neq j$$

(the number of multipliers in each hand side is m_{ij}).

Define ‘ q -analogues of root vectors’ by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\beta_k} &= \begin{cases} T_{i_1} T_{i_2} T_{i_3} \dots T_{i_{k-1}}(E_{i_k}), & k \geq 2 \\ E_{i_1}, & k = 1 \end{cases}, \\ F_{\beta_k} &= \begin{cases} T_{i_1} T_{i_2} T_{i_3} \dots T_{i_{k-1}}(F_{i_k}), & k \geq 2 \\ F_{i_1}, & k = 1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

The following result is also due to Lusztig.

Proposition 3.1 *The monomials*

$$E_{\beta_1}^{j_1} E_{\beta_2}^{j_2} \dots E_{\beta_M}^{j_M}, \quad j_1, j_2, \dots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (3.4)$$

form a basis in $U_q \mathfrak{n}^+$, and the monomials

$$F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_1}^{j_1}, \quad j_1, j_2, \dots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (3.5)$$

form a basis in $U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$.

We present here commutation relations obtained by Levendorski [4, p.261].

Proposition 3.2 1. For all $i < j$

$$E_{\beta_i} E_{\beta_j} - q^{(\beta_i, \beta_j)} E_{\beta_j} E_{\beta_i} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^M} C'_{\mathbf{m}}(q) \cdot E^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$F_{\beta_i} F_{\beta_j} - q^{-(\beta_i, \beta_j)} F_{\beta_j} F_{\beta_i} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^M} C''_{\mathbf{m}}(q) \cdot F^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad (3.7)$$

with $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_M)$, $E^{\mathbf{m}} = E_{\beta_1}^{m_1} E_{\beta_2}^{m_2} \dots E_{\beta_M}^{m_M}$, $F^{\mathbf{m}} = F_{\beta_M}^{m_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{m_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_1}^{m_1}$. The coefficients $C'_{\mathbf{m}}(q)$, $C''_{\mathbf{m}}(q)$ could be non-zero only when $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_i = 0$ and $m_j = m_{j+1} = \dots = m_M = 0$.

2. $C'_{\mathbf{m}}(q)$, $C''_{\mathbf{m}}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$, and these functions have no poles in $(0, 1]$.

Corollary 3.3 The algebra $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ is a domain.

Corollary 3.4 The monomials

$$E_{\beta_M}^{j_M} E_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots E_{\beta_1}^{j_1}, \quad j_1, j_2, \dots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

form a basis in $U_q \mathfrak{n}^+$, and the monomials

$$F_{\beta_1}^{j_1} F_{\beta_2}^{j_2} \dots F_{\beta_M}^{j_M}, \quad j_1, j_2, \dots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

form a basis in $U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$.

Introduce the Hopf algebras $U_q \mathfrak{b}^+$, $U_q \mathfrak{b}^-$ generated by $\{K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$ and $\{K_i^{\pm 1}, F_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,l}$, respectively. The $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules we consider in this work are weight and $U_q \mathfrak{b}^+$ -finite: $\dim(U_q \mathfrak{b}^+ \cdot v) < \infty$ for each vector v .

The naive permutation of tensor multiples

$$\sigma_{V', V''} : V' \otimes V'' \rightarrow V'' \otimes V', \quad \sigma_{V', V''} : v' \otimes v'' \mapsto v'' \otimes v'$$

in general fails to be a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Drinfeld introduced a notion of universal R-matrix and applied it to define linear maps $\check{R}_{V', V''} : V' \otimes V'' \rightarrow V'' \otimes V'$ that work as permutations $\sigma_{V', V''}$ in the theory of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules: $\check{R}_{V', V''}$ is invertible and appears to be a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

Proposition 3.5 Let V' , V'' , W' , W'' be weight $U_q \mathfrak{b}^+$ -finite $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules and $f' : V' \rightarrow W'$, $f'' : V'' \rightarrow W''$ morphisms of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

1. The linear map $\check{R}_{V', V''}$ is invertible and appears to be a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules.
2. $(f'' \otimes f') \cdot \check{R}_{V', V''} = \check{R}_{W', W''} \cdot (f' \otimes f'')$.

Proposition 3.6 Let V , V' , V'' be weight $U_q \mathfrak{b}^+$ -finite $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

1. $\check{R}_{V' \otimes V'', V} = (\check{R}_{V', V} \otimes \text{id}_{V''}) (\text{id}_{V'} \otimes \check{R}_{V'', V})$,
2. $\check{R}_{V, V' \otimes V''} = (\text{id}_{V'} \otimes \check{R}_{V, V''}) (\check{R}_{V, V'} \otimes \text{id}_{V''})$,

$$3. \check{R}_{V,\mathbb{C}} = \check{R}_{\mathbb{C},V} = \text{id}_V.$$

Recall an explicit form for $\check{R}_{V',V''}$. We are about to use q -analogues for the root vectors $E_{\beta_i}, F_{\beta_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Let

$$\exp_q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^i \frac{1-q}{1-q^j} \right) t^i, \quad q_{\beta} = q^{\frac{(\beta,\beta)}{2}}, \quad \beta \in \Phi^+.$$

Consider the product

$$R = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^+}^{\widehat{}} \exp_{q_{\beta}^2} \left((q_{\beta}^{-1} - q_{\beta}) E_{\beta} \otimes F_{\beta} \right) q^{-t_0}, \quad (3.8)$$

with $t_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ being given by

$$(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda \otimes \mu(t_0), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

and the multiples being written in decreasing order of indices of the roots β :

$$\exp_{q_{\beta_M}^2} \left((q_{\beta_M}^{-1} - q_{\beta_M}) E_{\beta_M} \otimes F_{\beta_M} \right) \dots \exp_{q_{\beta_1}^2} \left((q_{\beta_1}^{-1} - q_{\beta_1}) E_{\beta_1} \otimes F_{\beta_1} \right) q^{-t_0}.$$

Proposition 3.7 ([22],[27]) *Let V', V'' be weight $U_q \mathfrak{b}^+$ -finite $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules and $R_{V',V''} : V' \otimes V'' \rightarrow V' \otimes V''$ a linear map determined by the right hand side of (3.8). Then*

$$\check{R}_{V',V''} = \sigma_{V',V''} \cdot R_{V',V''}. \quad (3.9)$$

Notice that

$$t_0 = \sum_k \frac{I_k \otimes I_k}{(I_k, I_k)} \quad (3.10)$$

for any orthogonal basis $\{I_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,l}$ of $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Turn to a background on Verma modules and finite dimensional weight $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules (these are called type 1 $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules). Recall that

$$P \cong \mathbb{Z}^l, \quad P_+ \cong \mathbb{Z}_+^l, \quad Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i, \quad Q_+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_i.$$

Let $\lambda \in P$. Just as in the classical case $q = 1$, the Verma module $M(\lambda)$ admits a description in terms of its generator $v(\lambda)$ and the defining relations

$$E_i v(\lambda) = 0, \quad K_i^{\pm 1} v(\lambda) = q_i^{\pm \lambda_i} v(\lambda), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l. \quad (3.11)$$

The weight vectors

$$v_J(\lambda) = F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_1}^{j_1} v(\lambda), \quad J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_M) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^M, \quad (3.12)$$

form a basis of the vector space $M(\lambda)$. Hence $M(\lambda)$ is a weight $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module with the dimensions of weight subspaces being just as in the classical case $q = 1$.

The Verma module $M(\lambda)$ possesses a largest proper submodule $K(\lambda)$; obviously, the quotient module $L(\lambda) = M(\lambda)/K(\lambda)$ is simple.

$L(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional if and only if $\lambda \in P_+$. In this case $K(\lambda)$ is the only proper finite dimensional submodule, and $L(\lambda)$ admits a description in terms of its generator $v(\lambda)$, the relations (3.11), together with the additional relations $F_i^{\lambda_i+1} v(\lambda) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

The simple weight $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules $L(\lambda), \lambda \in P_+$, are pairwise non-isomorphic, and every simple weight finite dimensional $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module is isomorphic to one of those.

Proposition 3.8 (cf. p. 76 – 77 of [18]) *Given any non-zero vector $\xi \in U_q \mathfrak{g}$, there exists $\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q$ such that $\xi L(\lambda) \neq 0$.*

Proposition 3.9 (p. 81 of [18]) *The family of weights for $L(\lambda)$ and their multiplicities remain intact under passage from $U \mathfrak{g}$ to $U_q \mathfrak{g}$, that is from the classical case to the quantum case.*

Proposition 3.10 (p. 82 of [18]) *Every weight finite dimensional $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module is semisimple.*

It follows from these results that

$$L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu) \approx \sum_{\nu \in P_+} c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu L(\nu) \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \mu \in P_+,$$

and the multiplicities $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ of $L(\nu)$ in $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)$ are the same as those in the classical case $q = 1$.

Let $P_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ be the projection in $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)$ onto the isotypic component which is the multiple of $L(\nu)$, parallel to the sum of the rest of isotypic components.

Proposition 3.11 (p. 39 of [8]) *For all $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$*

$$\check{R}_{L(\mu), L(\lambda)} \check{R}_{L(\lambda), L(\mu)} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in P_+} q^{(\lambda, \lambda+2\rho) + (\mu, \mu+2\rho) - (\nu, \nu+2\rho)} P_{\lambda\mu}^\nu. \quad (3.13)$$

Below we sketch a standard method to reduce some problems related to $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules to problems of the classical theory of $U \mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

The principal observation here is that many properties of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules could be formulated and proved in terms of their distinguished submodules over the ring $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Q}[q^{1/s}, q^{-1/s}]$ of Laurent polynomials in the indeterminate $q^{1/s}$ with rational coefficients.

In fact, consider the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}$, E_i , F_i , $h_i = \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$. It is known from [5] that a list of defining relations between these generators could be derived from the standard list of relations for $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ via replacing therein the relation $E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ with $E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} h_i$ and adding the relation

$$(q_i - q_i^{-1}) h_i = K_i - K_i^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

Certainly, the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ inherits a structure of Hopf algebra.

Consider the homomorphisms $j : U_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow U \mathfrak{g}$, $i : U_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow U_q \mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} j(K_i^{\pm 1}) &= 1, & j(E_i) &= E_i, & j(F_i) &= F_i, & j(h_i) &= H_i, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, l, \\ i(K_i^{\pm 1}) &= K_i^{\pm 1}, & i(E_i) &= E_i, & i(F_i) &= F_i, & i(h_i) &= \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, l. \end{aligned}$$

The first homomorphism allows one to elaborate the classical theory of $U \mathfrak{g}$ -modules in studying $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules, and the second one makes it possible to transfer the results on $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules onto $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

4 Generators and defining relations for the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$

The main results of this Section have been obtained by Heckenberger and Kolb in [14]. The auxiliary statements present in the Section are new and will be used in the sequel.

Start with well known properties of the Weyl group W . Let $\mathbb{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, l\} \setminus \{l_0\}$ and $W_{\mathbb{S}} \subset W$ be the subgroup generated by simple reflections s_i , $i \in \mathbb{S}$. Moreover, define

$$W^{\mathbb{S}} = \{w \in W \mid l(vw) \geq l(w) \text{ for all } v \in W_{\mathbb{S}}\}.$$

It was demonstrated by Kostant [24] that any element $w \in W$ can be decomposed uniquely in the form $w = w_{\mathbb{S}} \cdot w^{\mathbb{S}}$ where $w_{\mathbb{S}} \in W_{\mathbb{S}}$, $w^{\mathbb{S}} \in W^{\mathbb{S}}$, and $l(w) = l(w_{\mathbb{S}}) + l(w^{\mathbb{S}})$. In particular, one has such decomposition for the longest element w_0 of the Weyl group W :

$$w_0 = w_{0,\mathbb{S}} \cdot w_0^{\mathbb{S}}, \quad l(w_0) = l(w_{0,\mathbb{S}}) + l(w_0^{\mathbb{S}}).$$

In the above setting, $w_{0,\mathbb{S}}$ is the longest element of the subgroup $W_{\mathbb{S}}$. Fix reduced expressions

$$w_{0,\mathbb{S}} = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{M'}}, \quad w_0^{\mathbb{S}} = s_{i_{M'+1}} s_{i_{M'+2}} \dots s_{i_M}. \quad (4.1)$$

Their concatenation $w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_M}$ constitutes a reduced expression for w_0 .

Use it to produce a basis in $U_q \mathfrak{g}$. The algebra $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ is a free right $U_q \mathfrak{q}^+$ -module with a basis

$$F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}}, \quad (j_M, j_{M-1}, \dots, j_{M'+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{M-M'}.$$

Thus one has

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d\}$ be a basis of the vector space $L(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$. Then the homogeneous elements*

$$F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v_i, \quad j_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}, \quad (4.2)$$

form a basis of the graded vector space $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$.

The homogeneity of the elements (4.2) follows from the fact that F_{β} are weight vectors of the $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module $U_q \mathfrak{g}$, whose weights are just as in the classical case.

Let $U_q \mathfrak{k} \subset U_q \mathfrak{g}$ be the Hopf subalgebra generated by $\{E_i, F_i\}_{i \neq l_0} \cup \{K_j^{\pm 1}\}_{j=1,2,\dots,l}$.

Corollary 4.2 *The homogeneous components of the graded vector space $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ are finite dimensional weight $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -modules. The multiplicities of weights for these $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -modules and their dimensions are the same as in the classical case $q = 1$.*

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is a domain, and the homogeneous component $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ generates $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$, see [14]. We are about to find relations between these generators.

The definition of multiplication

$$m : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q, \quad m : f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto f_1 f_2$$

implies the relation

$$\varphi \psi = m \check{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q}(\varphi \otimes \psi), \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q, \quad (4.3)$$

to be treated as commutativity for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ in the braided tensor category of weight $U_q \mathfrak{b}^-$ -finite $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules [32].

Let \mathcal{L} be the kernel of the linear map

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,2}, \quad \varphi \otimes \psi \mapsto \varphi\psi - m\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q}(\varphi \otimes \psi).$$

In the classical limit case $q = 1$ this appears to be the subspace of all the antisymmetric tensors.

Let $U_q\mathfrak{k}_{ss} \subset U_q\mathfrak{g}$ be the Hopf subalgebra generated by $\{K_j^{\pm 1}, E_j, F_j\}_{j \neq l_0}$. It follows from (4.3) that $m\mathcal{L} = 0$. To be rephrased, the elements of \mathcal{L} constitute quadratic relations. We are about to provide a description of this subspace in terms of the morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{k}$ -modules $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}} : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ determined by the action of the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q\mathfrak{k}_{ss}$ and a subsequent ‘naive’ permutation of tensor multiples.

We are going to arrange multiple passages from the classical case to the quantum case. It is convenient to replace temporarily the ground field $\mathbb{C}(q^{\frac{1}{s}})$ with the field of complex numbers, assuming instead that $q \in (0, 1)$. Such q are not roots of unity.

Proposition 4.3 *There exists a unique negative eigenvalue of the linear map $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$. This eigenvalue is $-q^{\frac{4}{(H_0, H_0)}}$, and its multiplicity is $\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}$.*

Proof. As $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ generates the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ and $\dim \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,2} = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- + 1)}{2}$, one deduces that

$$\dim \mathcal{L} \leq \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}.$$

Hence the desired statement is a consequence of the following Lemmas.

Lemma 4.4 *\mathcal{L} contains all the eigenvectors of $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$ with negative eigenvalues.*

Lemma 4.5 *The dimension of the eigenspace of $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$ with the eigenvalue $-q^{\frac{4}{(H_0, H_0)}}$ is at least $\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Let \mathcal{L}' be the spectral subspace of the linear map $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$ associated to the negative semiaxis $(-\infty, 0)$. Obviously, \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' are $U_q\mathfrak{k}$ -submodules, so it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}'$. In the classical case $q = 1$ the multiplicities of simple weight $U\mathfrak{k}$ -modules in $(\mathfrak{p}^-)^* \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^-)^*$ do not exceed 1 since the weight subspaces of the $U\mathfrak{k}$ -module \mathfrak{p}^- are one dimensional [37]. Thus by Proposition 3.9, these multiplicities are just 1 in the quantum case as well. Hence the subspaces \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' are determined by the respective $U_q\mathfrak{k}$ -spectra, i.e. the sets of highest weights of their simple $U_q\mathfrak{k}$ -submodules.

In the case $q = 1$ those sets of highest weights coincide. What remains now is to trace their dependence on $q \in (0, 1]$. It follows from (3.13) that the spectrum of $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$ is on the real axis and does not contain 0. Thus the analytic dependence of the spectral projection associated to the negative semiaxis follows from the analytic dependence of $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$ itself.

Now track the dependence on q of the operators

$$E_j, F_j, \quad j \neq l_0, \quad H_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l,$$

acting in \mathcal{L} , and the operator $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$. For that, choose the basis of weight vectors in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ dual to that described in Proposition 4.1. This way we get also bases in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,2}$. The desired statement $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}'$ follows from the fact that the matrix elements of the operators m and $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$ with respect to the above bases depend analytically on $q \in (0, 1]$. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Consider the subspace

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \left\{ a \in N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1} \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1} \mid \check{R}_{N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})} a = -a \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

It suffices to prove the inequality

$$\dim \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \geq \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^- (\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}. \quad (4.5)$$

In fact, the restriction \check{R} of the linear map $\check{R}_{N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})}$ onto the subspace $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1} \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1}$ differs from $\tilde{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}}$ only by the scalar multiple $q^{-\frac{4}{(H_0, H_0)}}$ (while comparing the universal R-matrices for the Hopf algebras $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ and $U_q \mathfrak{k}_{ss}$, we use the reduced expression for $w_0 \in W$ as above).

In order to prove (4.5), consider morphisms of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w\rho - \rho) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), \quad w \in W^S \text{ \& } l(w) = 2,$$

and the images of homogeneous components $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w^{-1}\rho - \rho)_{-2}$. It suffices to prove that the sum of images has dimension $\dim \mathfrak{p}^- (\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)/2$, and the linear map $\check{R}_{N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})}$ when restricted onto each of those is -1 .

We are about to elaborate the following result of Kostant [24, p. 359 – 360], [33, p. 348] with $r = 2$.

Lemma 4.6 *Consider the $U\mathfrak{k}$ -module $(\mathfrak{p}^-)^{\wedge r}$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{p}^-$. Its isotypic components are simple $U\mathfrak{k}$ -modules whose weight subspaces are one dimensional, and the set of weights is*

$$\{w\rho - \rho \mid w \in W^S \text{ \& } l(w) = r\}.$$

A similar result is also valid for $q \in (0, 1)$, as the multiplicities in decompositions of tensor products remain intact under passage from the classical case $q = 1$ to the quantum case [18]. This implies the desired estimate for dimension of the sum of homogeneous components $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w\rho - \rho)_{-2}$. What remains is to show that the linear map $\check{R}_{N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})}$ when restricted to an image of any morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w\rho - \rho) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0}), \quad w \in W^S \text{ \& } l(w) = 2,$$

is -1 . It suffices to prove that it is ± 1 since it follows from continuity in q that we are still inside the spectral subspace associated to the non-negative part of the spectrum.

Take a closer look at (3.13). It follows from the proof of this relation expounded in [8, p. 239] that the linear map $\check{R}_{N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \mu), N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)} \check{R}_{N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda), N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \mu)}$ when restricted to an image of a morphism $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \nu) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \mu)$ is just the scalar multiple

$$q^{(\mu, \mu+2\rho) + (\lambda, \lambda+2\rho) - (\nu, \nu+2\rho)} = q^{(\mu+\rho, \mu+\rho) + (\lambda+\rho, \lambda+\rho) - (\nu+\rho, \nu+\rho) - (\rho, \rho)}.$$

Substitute to the right hand side $\lambda = \mu = -\alpha_{l_0}$, $\nu = w\rho - \rho$ to get 1, as the weights $-\alpha_{l_0} = \rho$, $\nu + \rho$, ρ are in the same W -orbit, hence have the same length.

The proof of Proposition 4.3 is finished. \square

Proposition 4.7 $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is a quadratic algebra with the space of generators $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ and the space of relations \mathcal{L} .

Proof. Consider the quadratic algebra

$$F = T(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1})/(\mathcal{L})$$

whose space of generators is $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ and the space of relations is \mathcal{L} . The natural homomorphism of graded algebras $\mathcal{J} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is onto since $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ generates $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$. The injectivity of \mathcal{J} is due to the fact that the dimensions of the graded components are the same:

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,j} = \binom{j + \dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1}{\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1}, \quad \dim F_j = \binom{j + \dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1}{\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1}. \quad (4.6)$$

The first equality in (4.6) is deducible via the monomial basis (4.2) in $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0)$, and the second equality is due to the monomial basis in F , to be described as follows.

Just as in the classical case $q = 1$, the weights of the $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ are of the form $-\alpha_{l_0} - \sum_{i \neq l_0} n_i \alpha_i$, and all the weight subspaces are one dimensional. Introduce a linear order relation on the set of weights of this $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -module corresponding to the lexicographical order on the set of strings $(-n_1, -n_2, \dots, -n_{l_0-1}, -1, -n_{l_0+1}, \dots, -n_l)$ made up of the decomposition coefficients in simple roots. Choose a basis $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}\}$ of weight vectors in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ dual to the monomial basis in $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0)_{-1}$, and impose an order on its elements corresponding to growing weights. In view of (3.8), it is easy to prove that the tensors

$$z_i \otimes z_j + q^{-\frac{4}{(H_S, H_S)}} \sum_{k < m} \tilde{R}_{ij}^{km} z_k \otimes z_m, \quad i > j,$$

form a non-commutative Gröbner basis, hence

$$\left\{ z_1^{j_1} z_2^{j_2} z_3^{j_3} \dots z_{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}^{j_{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}} \mid j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-} \right\} \quad (4.7)$$

form a basis of F [1]. □

REMARK 4.8 In the basis (4.7), the action of generators $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ is given by matrices whose elements belong to the field of rational functions $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ and have no poles at $q \in (0, 1]$. This follows from the definitions and Proposition 3.2.

5 A first order differential calculus

A $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodule $\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ of 1-forms on the quantum vector space \mathfrak{p}^- has been introduced in Section 2, together with a differential $d : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \rightarrow \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$. This Section presents a description of $\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ in terms of generators and relations which implies, in particular, that $\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ is a linear span of the set $\{f_1 df_2 f_3 \mid f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q\}$.

Take a look at the problem in a more general context. We are about to introduce quantum analogues of fiberwise linear functions on spaces of holomorphic vector bundles. In the case of a tangent bundle for \mathfrak{p}^- , one gets 1-forms this way.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$. Consider the following morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{left}, \lambda}^+ &: N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda), \\ \Delta_{\text{right}, \lambda}^+ &: N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \end{aligned}$$

in the category of $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$ -modules, defined by their action on the generators:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ &: v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \rightarrow v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda), \\ \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+ &: v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \rightarrow v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0).\end{aligned}$$

The following relations are immediate consequences of the definitions:

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+) \Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ = (\Delta^+ \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+, \quad (5.1)$$

$$(\Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+ \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+ = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta^+) \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+ \quad (5.2)$$

$$(\varepsilon^+ \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon^+) \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+ = \text{id}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+) \Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ = (\Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+. \quad (5.4)$$

In particular, the latest relation follows from

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+) \Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &= v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0), \\ (\Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+ v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &= v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0).\end{aligned}$$

Consider the category of graded vector spaces and the dual to $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ space $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q$ in this category. Equip this space with a structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module, together with left and right actions of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$

$$m_{\text{left},\lambda} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+)^*, \quad m_{\text{right},\lambda} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+)^*.$$

The relations (5.1) – (5.4) imply the following statement.

Proposition 5.1 *For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ the graded vector space $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q$ is a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodule.*

Let $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}}$ be the highest homogeneous component of the graded vector space $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ and P_{highest} the projection in $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ onto the subspace $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}}$ parallel to the sum of the rest of homogeneous components.

Lemma 5.2 *The linear maps $(\text{id} \otimes P_{\text{highest}}) \Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ : N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}}$, $(P_{\text{highest}} \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+ : N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}} \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0)$ are injective.*

Proof. Elaborate the same notation as in the statement of Proposition 4.1. Injectivity of the linear maps in question follows from that Proposition, the isomorphism $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}} \cong L(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$, and the fact that for any weight vector $v \in N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)_{\text{highest}}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{id} \otimes P_{\text{highest}}) \Delta_{\text{left},\lambda}^+ \left(F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v \right) &= \text{const}' \cdot F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes v, \\ (P_{\text{highest}} \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\text{right},\lambda}^+ \left(F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v \right) &= \text{const}'' \cdot v \otimes F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} F_{\beta_{M-1}}^{j_{M-1}} \dots F_{\beta_{M'+1}}^{j_{M'+1}} v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0),\end{aligned}$$

with the scalar multiples const' , const'' being non-zero. \square

Proposition 5.3 *1. The bimodule $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q$ over $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is a free left and a free right $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -module.*

2. With $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}}$ being the lowest homogeneous component of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q$, one has the following isomorphisms of $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -modules:

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \otimes \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q, \quad f \otimes v \mapsto fv, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q, \quad v \otimes f \mapsto vf. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. The first claim follows from the second one. The morphisms of $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -modules (5.5), (5.6) are morphisms of graded vector spaces. Proposition 4.1 implies by duality that dimensions of the related homogeneous components are finite and equal. Thus (5.5), (5.6) are one-to-one because they are onto, which is in turn due to Lemma 5.3. \square

Of course, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q$ is not a free $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodule. We are about to find relations between the generators from $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}}$. Since $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q$ is a lowest weight $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module, one has a well defined morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$\check{R}_{0, \lambda} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \check{R}_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q, \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q} : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \otimes \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q.$$

Proposition 5.4 1. $m_{\text{left}, \lambda} = m_{\text{right}, \lambda} \cdot \check{R}_{0, \lambda}$, $m_{\text{right}, \mu} = m_{\text{left}, \mu} \cdot \check{R}_{\mu, 0}$.

$$2. \check{R}_{0, \lambda} : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q, 1} \otimes \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q, 1}.$$

Proof. The first claim in 1) is accessible via passage to adjoint operators. In fact, it is equivalent to coincidence of the morphisms of $U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$ -modules:

$$(\check{R}_{0, \lambda})^* \Delta_{\text{right}, \lambda}^+ = \Delta_{\text{left}, \lambda}^+. \quad (5.7)$$

In turn, (5.7) follows from the fact that the vector $v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ generates the $U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$ -module $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$, together with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{right}, \lambda}^+ v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &= v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0), & \Delta_{\text{left}, \lambda}^+ v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) &= v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda), \\ \check{R}_{0, \lambda}^* v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) &= v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \otimes v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The second claim in 1) can be proved in a similar way. The second statement of the Proposition is due to the fact that $\check{R}_{0, \lambda}$ is a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules, hence it commutes with the linear map $H_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H_0$. \square

Corollary 5.5 Let $\{z_i\}$ be a basis of the finite dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q, 1}$ and $\{\gamma_i\}$ a basis of the finite dimensional vector space $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}}$. There exists a unique matrix $(\check{R}_{ij}^{km}(\lambda))$ such that

$$\check{R}_{0, \lambda}(z_i \otimes \gamma_j) = \sum_{k, m} \check{R}_{ij}^{km}(\lambda) \gamma_k \otimes z_m, \quad (5.8)$$

with $i, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, \dim \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q, 1}\}$, $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \dim \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q, \text{lowest}}\}$.

REMARK 5.6 Finding out the functions $\check{R}_{ij}^{km}(\lambda)$ seems to be an intricate problem, as their definition involves actions of the universal R-matrix in tensor products of infinite dimensional $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules. We are about to demonstrate that actually this does not make a problem. Just as in Section 4, consider the Hopf subalgebra $U_q \mathfrak{k}_{\text{ss}} \subset U_q \mathfrak{g}$ generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}$, E_i , F_i with $i \neq l_0$. The action of the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ on

vectors from $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1} \otimes \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q,\text{lowest}}$ differs from the action of the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q \mathfrak{k}_{\text{ss}}$ only by a multiplier

$$\text{const} = q_{l_0}^{\frac{(\lambda, \bar{\omega}_{l_0})}{(\bar{\omega}_{l_0}, \bar{\omega}_{l_0})}}. \quad (5.9)$$

To prove (5.9), it suffices to use (3.8), the reduced expression of w_0 as in Section 4, and the relations

$$(\alpha_{l_0}, \lambda) = (\alpha_{l_0}|_{\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}}, \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}}) + \frac{(\alpha_{l_0}, \bar{\omega}_{l_0})(\bar{\omega}_{l_0}, \lambda)}{(\bar{\omega}_{l_0}, \bar{\omega}_{l_0})}, \quad (\alpha_{l_0}, \bar{\omega}_{l_0}) = d_{l_0},$$

which allows one to compare the Cartan multipliers q^{-t_0} .

The next statement follows from Propositions 5.4, 5.5.

Proposition 5.7 *The set $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots,\dim \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_{q,\text{lowest}}}$, generates the $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodule $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^-, \lambda)_q$, and*

$$z_i \gamma_j = \sum_{k,m} \check{R}_{ij}^{km}(\lambda) \gamma_k z_m \quad (5.10)$$

are defining relations.

Corollary 5.8 *For all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{p}^-\}$ one has*

$$z_i dz_j = \sum_{k,m=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-} \check{R}_{ij}^{km} dz_k z_m \quad (5.11)$$

with $\check{R}_{ij}^{km} = \check{R}_{ij}^{km}(-\alpha_{l_0})$, and this constitutes a defining list of relations between the generators of the $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodule $\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$.

6 Universal enveloping differential calculus

It has been mentioned in Section 2 that every first order differential calculus determines a universal differential calculus over the algebra F . Here are some examples.

EXAMPLE 6.1 (No relations.) Consider a vector space V and produce a differential calculus over its tensor algebra $T(V)$. Choose a vector space V' isomorphic to V , together with an isomorphism $d : V \rightarrow V'$. Equip the tensor algebra $\Omega' = T(V \oplus V')$ with a gradation as follows:

$$\deg(v) = 0, \quad v \in V; \quad \deg(v') = 1, \quad v' \in V'.$$

Obviously, $\Omega' = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \Omega'_j$, with $\Omega'_j = \{t \in \Omega' \mid \deg t = j\}$. Define a linear operator d' in Ω' recursively: $d'1 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} d'v &= dv, \quad v \in V; & d'v' &= 0, \quad v' \in V', \\ d'(v \otimes t) &= dv \otimes t + v \otimes d't, & v &\in V, \quad t \in \Omega', \\ d'(v' \otimes t) &= -v' \otimes d't, & v' &\in V', \quad t \in \Omega'. \end{aligned}$$

The pair $(\Omega'_1, d'|_{\Omega'_0})$ is a first order differential calculus over the tensor algebra $T(V)$, and the pair (Ω', d') is its universal enveloping differential calculus.

EXAMPLE 6.2 (Relations between coordinates are imposed.) Consider the two-sided ideal $J_0 = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2} (J_0 \cap V^{\otimes j})$ of the tensor algebra $T(V) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V^{\otimes j}$. We are about to produce a differential calculus over the algebra $F = T(V)/J_0$. Let J_F be the two-sided ideal of Ω' generated by J_0 and $d'J_0$. The algebra $\Omega^F = \Omega'/J_F$ inherits the gradation: $\Omega^F = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \Omega_j^F$.

Obviously, $V \hookrightarrow F$. As $d'J_F \subset J_F$, the differential d' transfers onto $\Omega^F = \Omega'/J_F$. Thus we get a linear map d_F and a differential calculus (Ω^F, d^F) over the algebra $F = \Omega_0^F$. It is the universal enveloping differential calculus, given the first order differential calculus $(\Omega_1^F, d^F|_F)$, and is well known in the quantum group theory [23, p. 462].

EXAMPLE 6.3 (Relations between coordinates and differentials are imposed.)

We have described above the free first order differential calculus over the algebra $F = T(V)/J_F$. Turn to a more realistic example via introducing R-matrix commutation relations between the elements $v \in V$ and $v' \in V'$. Consider an invertible linear map $\check{\mathcal{R}} : V \otimes V' \rightarrow V' \otimes V$. Let J_1 be the subbimodule of the F -bimodule Ω_1^F generated by

$$\{vv' - v'v \mid v \otimes v' = \check{\mathcal{R}}(v \otimes v'), \quad v \in V, v' \in V'\} \subset \Omega_1^F. \quad (6.1)$$

Factorization of $(\Omega_1^F, d^F|_F)$ by J_1 leads to a first order differential calculus over F . To obtain the associated universal enveloping differential calculus, consider the homogeneous two-sided ideal J_M of Ω^F generated by J_1 , $d^F J_1$, and divide out Ω^F by J_M . It is possible to transfer the differential onto Ω^F/J_M since $d^F J_M \subset J_M$.

Sections 5, 2 provide a first order differential calculus $(\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q, d)$ over the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$, together with the associated universal differential calculus $(\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q, d)$.

It is easy to obtain its description in terms of generators and relations, in view of the results of Section 5 and Example 6.3. For that, it suffices to complete the list of relations for the $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodule $\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ with those deducible from (5.11) by differentiation which applies the Leibnitz rule:

$$dz_i \wedge dz_j = - \sum_{k,m=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-} \check{R}_{ij}^{km} dz_k \wedge dz_m. \quad (6.2)$$

Prove that $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ is a free left $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -module of rank $\binom{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}{j}$ and a free right $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -module of rank $\binom{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}{j}$, just as in the classical case $q = 1$.

Note that the linear span of j -forms with constant coefficients

$$dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_j}, \quad i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{p}^-\}$$

is a $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -module. For it, the notation $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$ will be used.

Proposition 6.4 *There exist isomorphisms of $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -modules*

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \otimes \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q, \quad f \otimes \omega \mapsto f\omega, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q, \quad \omega \otimes f \mapsto \omega f. \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. It suffices to use Proposition 5.4 and the definition of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$. \square

Turn to a computation of $\dim \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$.

Lemma 6.5 *The dimension of the vector space $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$ does not exceed its value in the classical case $q = 1$:*

$$\dim \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \leq \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}. \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. While deducing (6.5), we may replace the ground field $\mathbb{C}(q^{\frac{1}{s}})$ with the ground field \mathbb{C} assuming $q \in (0, 1)$ to be transcendental. Consider the linear map

$$\tilde{R} : dz_i \otimes dz_j \mapsto \sum_{k,m=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-} \check{R}_{ij}^{km} dz_k \otimes dz_m$$

in $\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \otimes \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$. One has a natural isomorphism

$$\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \cong \left\{ v \in \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \otimes \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \mid \tilde{R}v = -v \right\}.$$

It is easy to demonstrate that all the eigenvalues of this linear map are real and non-zero. A proof reduces to replacement of the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ by the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q \mathfrak{k}_{\text{ss}}$, with a subsequent application of (3.13). One may assume a basis $\{z_i\}$ of the vector space $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ to be chosen as in Section 4. Under that choice, the functions \check{R}_{ij}^{km} in the indeterminate q are analytic on the half-interval $(0, 1]$, hence are continuous for $0 < q \leq 1$. Thus for all $q \in (0, 1]$ the number of negative eigenvalues of \tilde{R} according to their multiplicities is $\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}$. Hence the dimension of the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue -1 does not exceed $\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}$. \square

The converse inequality to (6.5) will be established as soon as -1 is proved to be an eigenvalue of \tilde{R} with multiplicity at least $\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}$. It suffices to get such estimate for the adjoint linear map. The latter may be identified to the restriction of $\check{R}_{-\alpha_{l_0}, -\alpha_{l_0}}$ onto the tensor product of highest homogeneous components $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1} \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, -\alpha_{l_0})_{-1}$. The desired inequality follows from (4.5). Thus we have proved

Lemma 6.6 *The dimension of the vector space $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$ is at least its value for $q = 1$:*

$$\dim \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \geq \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}. \quad (6.6)$$

Turn to higher order differential forms.

Lemma 6.7 *For all $j \geq 3$*

$$\dim \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \leq \binom{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}{j}. \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. Consider the basis of weight vectors $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}\}$ of the $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,1}$ formed in the final part of Section 4. Impose the lexicographic order relation on the set $\{dz_i \otimes dz_k\}_{i,k=1,2,\dots,\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}$. The action of the universal R-matrix of the Hopf algebra $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ with respect to this basis is given by a triangular matrix with positive entries on the principal diagonal (see (3.8)). Thus only the terms $dz_k \otimes dz_m$ with $k \leq m$ contribute to the right hand side of

$$dz_i \otimes dz_j = - \sum_{k,m=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-} \check{R}_{ij}^{km} dz_k \otimes dz_m, \quad i \geq j, \quad (6.8)$$

and every element of $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$ belongs to the linear span of

$$dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_j}, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq \dim \mathfrak{p}^-. \quad \square$$

A proof of the next Lemma reproduces that of a similar result from the work by I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb on de Rham complex [13].

Lemma 6.8 *For all $j \geq 3$*

$$\dim \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \geq \binom{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}{j}. \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. If (6.9) holds for $j = 3$, it follows from the diamond lemma [1] that G is a Gröbner basis for a two-sided ideal I . In this context, the desired inequality holds also for all $j \geq 3$. This means that we may restrict ourselves to the special case $j = 3$ while proving Lemma 6.8.

We identify $(\mathfrak{p}^-)^*$ to $\Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$ and introduce the notation $(\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \wedge 2}$ for the subspace of solutions of (6.8) in $(\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 2}$. It follows from Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6 that

$$\dim(\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 2} = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-(\dim \mathfrak{p}^- - 1)}{2}. \quad (6.10)$$

Consider the subspaces $L_1 = (\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 2} \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^-)^*$, $L_2 = (\mathfrak{p}^-)^* \otimes (\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 2}$ of the vector space $(\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 3}$, together with a complex of linear maps

$$0 \rightarrow L_1 \cap L_2 \rightarrow L_1 \oplus L_2 \xrightarrow{j} (\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,3} \rightarrow 0, \\ j : v_1 \oplus v_2 \mapsto v_1 - v_2, \quad v_j \in L_j \subset (\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 3},$$

which is exact in all terms except, possibly, $(\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 3}$. After computing the Euler characteristic for this complex, we deduce that

$$-\dim(L_1 \cap L_2) + \dim(L_1 \oplus L_2) - \dim((\mathfrak{p}^-)^{* \otimes 3}) + \dim \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_{q,3} \leq 0.$$

Now use equalities (6.10), (4.6) to get

$$\dim(L_1 \cap L_2) \geq n^2(n-1) - n^3 + \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6},$$

with $n = \dim \mathfrak{p}^- = \dim(\mathfrak{p}^-)^*$. From a description of the universal differential calculus as in Example 6.1 one has

$$\dim \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}} \geq \dim(L_1 \cap L_2) \geq \binom{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}{3}. \quad \square$$

The following statement follows from the Lemmas proved in this Section.

Proposition 6.9 *The homogeneous components $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ of the differential graded algebra $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ vanish for $j > \dim \mathfrak{p}^-$. Each of those is a free left and a free right $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -module of rank $\binom{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}{j}$.*

The following statement is well known in the classical case $q = 1$ and can be proved by reducing to that case.

Proposition 6.10 *In $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ one has $\text{Ker } d = \text{Im } d$.*

Proof. Consider the monomial bases (4.7) in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ and

$$\{dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{i_k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq \dim \mathfrak{p}^-\}$$

in $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$ introduced above. Use these bases, together with the isomorphism of vector spaces $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q \otimes \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q^{\text{const}}$ to get a monomial basis in $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$.

The matrix elements of d with respect to this basis are rational functions from $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ and have no poles in $(0, 1]$, see (3.8) and Proposition 3.2. The relation $d^2 = 0$ at transcendental q follows from the fact that it holds for $q = 1$. Actually, equip the algebra $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ with a gradation $\deg(z_j) = \deg(dz_j) = 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{p}^-$. Observe that d preserves the homogeneity degree of differential forms, and the homogeneous components of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ are finite dimensional. What remains is to use the following well known statement.

Let $A(q)$ be a matrix with entries from $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ which satisfies $A(q)^2 = 0$. Then the associated operator function satisfies $\dim \text{Ker } A(q) = \dim \text{Im } A(q)$ on a Zariski open subset. In fact, the function $\dim \text{Ker } A(q) - \dim \text{Im } A(q)$ takes values in \mathbb{Z}_+ and is upper semicontinuous since both $\dim \text{Ker } A(q)$ and $-\dim \text{Im } A(q)$ are upper semicontinuous. \square

7 A BGG resolution of the trivial $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module

This Section expounds well known results [9, Section 4.5], [30]. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l$. The Verma module $M(\lambda)$ with highest weight λ is generated by the highest weight vector $v(\lambda)$ and admits a description in terms of the following defining relations:

$$E_i v(\lambda) = 0, \quad K_i^{\pm 1} v(\lambda) = q_i^{\pm \lambda_i} v(\lambda), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

The affine action of the Weyl group W is introduced by $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$, with ρ being the half-sum of positive roots.

A non-zero vector $v \in M(\lambda)$ is said to be singular if it is a weight vector and $E_i v = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between singular vectors of weight μ in $M(\lambda)$ and non-zero morphisms of Verma modules $M(\mu) \rightarrow M(\lambda)$. Every non-zero morphism of Verma modules is injective and $\dim \text{Hom}_{U_q\mathfrak{g}}(M(\mu), M(\lambda)) \leq 1$ since a similar result is valid in the classical case $q = 1$. Details of that argument can be found in [9, Section 4.5].

The next claim is well known in the classical case $q = 1$ [6] and is an easy consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 7.1 (cf. [6, Proposition 7.1.15]) *If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, then the vector $v_i = F_i^{\lambda_i+1} v(\lambda) \in M(\lambda)$ is a singular vector of weight $s_i \cdot \lambda$.*

An application of this Lemma while reproducing the argument of [6] yields

Proposition 7.2 ([6, Proposition 7.6.8]) *For any $w \in W$*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{U_q \mathfrak{g}}(M(w \cdot 0), M(0)) = 1.$$

In what follows we fix embeddings $i_w : M(w \cdot 0) \hookrightarrow M(0)$, that is, singular vectors which are images of $v(w \cdot 0)$ under i_w . We choose those singular vectors so that the coefficients of their decomposition with respect to the basis

$$F_{\beta_1}^{j_1} F_{\beta_2}^{j_2} \dots F_{\beta_M}^{j_M} v(0), \quad j_1, j_2, \dots, j_M \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

belong to the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ and have no poles at $q = 1$. The formulas for singular vectors of Verma modules have been obtained in [30, 10, 17] and in [7]; formulas for projections onto subspaces of singular vectors with fixed weight have been obtained by Tolstoy [36].

It has been demonstrated in [9, Section 4.5], [30] that the BGG resolution of the trivial $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module \mathbb{C} has the same form as in the classical case $q = 1$:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0, \quad C_j = \bigoplus_{\{w \in W \mid l(w)=j\}} M(w \cdot 0). \quad (7.1)$$

Here $\epsilon : M(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\epsilon : v(0) \mapsto 1$ is an obvious onto morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

The construction of differentials d_j elaborates a partial order relation on the Weyl group W , the Bruhat order. Recall a definition [16].

Consider the oriented graph \mathbb{G} whose vertexes are the elements of W and edges are such ordered pairs $w' \rightarrow w''$ of vertexes that $l(w'') = l(w') + 1$, and $w'' = w' s_\gamma$ with s_γ being a reflection corresponding to a root $\gamma \in \Phi$. By definition, $w' \leq w''$ iff there exists a path from w' to w'' . This partial order relation is called the Bruhat order.

The above order relation remains intact if one replaces in its definition $w'' = w' s_\gamma$ by $w'' = s_\gamma w'$ [16, p. 119].

Also, if one replaces in the definition of the graph \mathbb{G} the equality $l(w'') = l(w') + 1$ by the inequality $l(w'') > l(w')$, one gets a different but equivalent definition of the Bruhat order [16, p. 118, 122].

We present a description of the Bruhat order in terms of reduced expressions for elements of the Weyl group W .

Proposition 7.3 ([16, p. 120]) *Let*

$$w = s_1 s_2 \dots s_{l(w)} \quad (7.2)$$

be a reduced expression of an element $w \in W$. The set $\{w' \in W \mid w' \leq w \text{ \& } w' \neq w\}$ coincides to the set of elements produced by omitting some (possibly all) multiples in the right hand side of (7.2):

$$w' = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_r}, \quad 1 \leq i(1) < i(2) < \dots < i(r) \leq l(w).$$

The next well known result provides a correspondence between the Bruhat order on W and the standard order relation on the subset $\{w \cdot 0 \mid w \in W\}$ of the weight lattice $P \cong \mathbb{Z}^l$.

Lemma 7.4 (cf. [6], **proposition 7.7.2**) *Let $w \in W$, $\gamma \in \Phi$. Then*

- i) $(s_\gamma w) \cdot 0 = w \cdot 0 - n\gamma$, with n a non-zero integer;*
- ii) if $l(s_\gamma w) > l(w)$, then $(s_\gamma w) \cdot 0 < w \cdot 0$;*
- iii) if $l(s_\gamma w) < l(w)$, then $(s_\gamma w) \cdot 0 > w \cdot 0$.*

Impose morphisms of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$i_{w_1, w_2} : M(w_1 \cdot 0) \rightarrow M(w_2 \cdot 0), \quad w_1, w_2 \in W,$$

such that $i_{w_2} i_{w_1, w_2} = i_{w_1}$.

Existence and uniqueness of i_{w_1, w_2} under the assumption $i_{w_1} M(w_1 \cdot 0) \subset i_{w_2} M(w_2 \cdot 0)$ are obvious. The inclusion holds iff $w_1 \geq w_2$. This fact can be established in the same way as in the classical case $q = 1$: it suffices to reproduce proofs of Lemmas 7.6.10, 7.6.11 of [6], with a reference to Lemma 7.6.9 being replaced by a reference to the following statement.

Lemma 7.5 1. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $u \in U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$ there exists such $N \in \mathbb{N}$ that $F_i^N u \in U_q \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot F_i$.

2. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, $u \in U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$ there exists such $N \in \mathbb{N}$ that $u F_i^N \in F_i \cdot U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$.

Proof. Start with proving the first claim. It suffices to prove it in the special case $u = F_j$ with $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, since F_j generate $U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$. Even more, one may assume $j \neq i$. It follows from the defining relations between F_i, F_j in $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ that $F_i^{1-a_{ij}} F_j$ is a linear combination of $F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} F_j F_i^k$ with $1 \leq k \leq 1 - a_{ij}$. Set $N = 1 - a_{ij}$.

The second claim can be proved in a similar way. \square

This Lemma means that the multiplicative subset $F_i^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ of $U_q \mathfrak{n}^-$ satisfy both the right and the left Ore conditions.

The following result of [2] is crucial.

Lemma 7.6 Consider the oriented graph \mathbb{G} introduced above.

1. Let $w, w'' \in W$, $w \leq w''$, and $l(w'') = l(w) + 2$. Then the number of such $w' \in W$ that there exist edges $w \rightarrow w'$, $w' \rightarrow w''$, is either zero or two (in the latter case one has a quadruple of elements of the Weyl groups W , called a square).
2. It is possible to associate to every edge $w' \rightarrow w$ a number $\epsilon(w, w') = \pm 1$ in such a way that the product of those numbers corresponding to edges of each square is -1 .

A differential $d_j : C_j \rightarrow C_{j-1}$ is defined by

$$d_j|_{M(w \cdot 0)} = \bigoplus_{\{w' \in W \mid w' \rightarrow w\}} \epsilon(w, w') i_{w, w'}. \quad (7.3)$$

Obviously, $d_j \circ d_{j-1} = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, the submodule $\text{Ker } \epsilon$ of the Verma module $M(0)$ is generated by $F_i v(0)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Thus $\text{Im } d_1 = \text{Ker } \epsilon$, and (7.3) is a complex (extended by ϵ) in the category of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Its exactness for transcendental q follows from the result of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand on exactness of a similar complex in the classical case $q = 1$. In fact, the weight subspaces of Verma modules are finite dimensional, and in the bases introduced in Section 3 the matrix elements of the linear maps $d_j|_{M(w \cdot 0)}$ belong to the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ of rational functions and have no poles at $q = 1$.

Just as in the classical case $q = 1$, (7.1) is a resolution of the trivial $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module in the category \mathcal{O} , the full subcategory of finitely generated weight $U_q \mathfrak{b}^+$ -finite modules.

REMARK 7.7 While producing a BGG resolution, a function on the set of edges of the oriented graph \mathbb{G} with values ± 1 such that product of its values on edges of each square is -1 , was used. It is easy to demonstrate via an argument similar to that of [33, p. 355, 356] that a function with such properties is essentially unique. More precisely, for any two such functions $\epsilon(w_1, w)$, $\varepsilon(w_1, w)$ one has

$$\epsilon(w_1, w) = \gamma(w_1)^{-1} \varepsilon(w_1, w) \gamma(w) \quad (7.4)$$

with a function $\gamma : W \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$. In fact, for each $w \in W^{(k)}$, $k \geq 1$, fix such $w' \in W^{(k-1)}$ that $w' \rightarrow w$ and $w = w' s_\alpha$ for some simple root α . Define a function $\gamma(w)$ recursively:

$$\gamma(e) = 1, \quad \gamma(w) = \gamma(w') \frac{\epsilon(w', w)}{\varepsilon(w', w)}. \quad (7.5)$$

(7.4) can be proved by an induction argument in k which uses the properties of $\epsilon(w_1, w)$, $\varepsilon(w_1, w)$ (Lemma 7.6 and Lemma 11.3 of [2]). It follows from (7.4) that BGG resolutions corresponding to $\epsilon(w, w')$ and $\varepsilon(w, w')$ are isomorphic in the category of complexes of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules. One can use a family of linear maps $\mu_k : C_k \rightarrow C_k$ with $\mu_k|_{M(w \cdot 0)} = \gamma(w) \text{id}_{M(w \cdot 0)}$ as an isomorphism of complexes.

8 A generalized BGG resolution

The proofs of some results of this work do not use the assumption $\mathbb{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, l\} \setminus \{l_0\}$, but those results hold for any subset $\mathbb{S} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ and the associated Hopf algebras $U_q \mathfrak{k}$, $U_q \mathfrak{q}^+$, a lattice \mathcal{P}_+ and generalized Verma modules $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$, see [33]. Among those results one should mention Proposition 4.1 and those of the present Section.

We are about to produce a resolution of the trivial module \mathbb{C} in the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{S}}$, the full subcategory formed by $U_q \mathfrak{k}$ -finite $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules of the category \mathcal{O} . We follow the ideas of [25], [33] where this problem has been solved in the classical case $q = 1$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ and p_λ be the canonical onto morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$p_\lambda : M(\lambda) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda), \quad p_\lambda : v(\lambda) \mapsto v(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda).$$

Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_+$ and $f : M(\lambda) \rightarrow M(\mu)$ be a non-zero morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules. If there exists a morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules $\hat{f} : N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \mu)$ such that $\hat{f} p_\lambda = p_\mu f$, it is unique and is called the standard morphism associated to f .

The following result is a q -analogue of [25, Proposition 3.1] and can be proved in the same way as the result of Lepowsky referred to.

Proposition 8.1 *Let $\lambda \in P$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_+$, and $f : M(\lambda) \rightarrow M(\mu)$ be a morphism of Verma modules. If $p_\mu f \neq 0$ then $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_+$ and there exists a standard morphism of generalized Verma modules $\hat{f} : N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \mu)$.*

The subset $W^{\mathbb{S}}$ was used in Section 4, and the graph \mathbb{G} with W as the set of vertexes was an important subject of the previous Section. We are going to demonstrate that a passage to generalized Verma modules (as well as to generalized BGG resolution) reduces to replacement of that graph by its subgraph determined by the set of vertexes $W^{\mathbb{S}}$.

It is known from [25, p. 502] that $w \cdot \mu \in \mathcal{P}_+$ for any $\mu \in P_+$ and $w \in W^{\mathbb{S}}$. Similarly to (7.1), consider the complex of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{l(w_0^{\mathbb{S}})}^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{d_{l(w_0^{\mathbb{S}})}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (8.1)$$

with $\epsilon : N(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\epsilon : v(\mathfrak{q}^+, 0) \mapsto 1$, being the obvious onto morphism,

$$C_j^{\mathbb{S}} = \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^{\mathbb{S}} \mid l(w)=j\}} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0),$$

and $w_0^{\mathbb{S}}$ being the longest element in $W^{\mathbb{S}}$.

Define the differentials d_j just as in (7.3):

$$d_j|_{N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)} = \bigoplus_{\{w' \in W^{\mathbb{S}} \mid w' \rightarrow w\}} \epsilon(w, w') \tilde{i}_{w, w'}, \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$\tilde{i}_{w, w'} : N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w' \cdot 0), \quad \tilde{i}_{w, w'} : v(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0) \mapsto p_{w' \cdot 0} i_{w, w'} v(w \cdot 0).$$

The relation $d_j \circ d_{j+1} = 0$ follows from a similar relation for (7.1), together with the following statement whose proof is similar to that of [25, p. 503].

Lemma 8.2 *Let $w, w' \in W^{\mathbb{S}}$ and $l(w) = l(w') + 1$. A non-zero morphism of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -modules $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w' \cdot 0)$ exists iff $w' \rightarrow w$.*

Exactness of (8.1) can be proved in the same way as that of (7.1) in Section 7, that is, via referring to exactness of the generalized BGG resolution in the classical case $q = 1$ (see [25, 33]).

It has been demonstrated in Section 5 that the dual to $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, \lambda)$ graded vector space is a **graded** $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodule.

Pass in (8.1) to dual **graded** vector spaces and to adjoint linear maps to get a complex of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (C_0^{\mathbb{S}})^* \xrightarrow{d_1} (C_1^{\mathbb{S}})^* \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{l(w_0^{\mathbb{S}})}} (C_{l(w_0^{\mathbb{S}})}^{\mathbb{S}})^* \longrightarrow 0. \quad (8.3)$$

Proposition 8.3 *The complex of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodules (8.3) is exact.*

It should be noted that, while producing the complex of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodules (8.3), a specific choice of the function $\epsilon(w, w')$ on the set of edges of the graph \mathbb{G} has been used implicitly. It follows from the observations of the previous Section that the isomorphism class in the category of complexes of $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodules does not depend on this choice.

9 A de Rham complex

In this Section we turn back to the assumption $\mathbb{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, l\} \setminus \{l_0\}$, with α_{l_0} being a simple root whose coefficient in (1.1) is 1.

Proceed with studying the differential calculus $(\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q, d)$ introduced in Section 6.

Start with the classical case $q = 1$. Consider the complex of graded $U \mathfrak{g}$ -modules dual to the de Rham complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \Lambda^0(\mathfrak{p}^-) \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-) \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}(\mathfrak{p}^-) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This complex is known to be isomorphic to a generalized BGG resolution. (To prove this, use the results of Rocha-Caridi [33, p. 364], Lemma 4.6, and the duality of the relative Koszul complex and the de Rham complex of differential forms with polynomial coefficients. This duality is well known and is discussed in the case of differential forms with coefficients from the algebra of formal series at the origin in [2]).

Turn to quantum analogues. Consider the universal enveloping differential calculus $(\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q, d)$ (see Section 6), linear maps $d_j = d|_{\Lambda^{j-1}(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q}$, and the de Rham complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \Lambda^0(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}} \Lambda^{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \longrightarrow 0. \quad (9.1)$$

Consider the complex in the category of graded $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules which is dual to (9.1), and prove that it is isomorphic to the complex (8.1).

Lemma 9.1 *In the category of weight $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules one has*

$$(\Lambda^k(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q)^* \approx \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^{\mathbb{S}} \mid l(w)=k\}} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{p}^-. \quad (9.2)$$

Proof. Use the fact that this statement is valid for $q = 1$. Choose weight monomial bases in $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)$, $(\Lambda^k(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q)^*$ just as this has been done before in Sections 4, 6. The weights of vectors in this basis do not change under quantization. This allows one to use Proposition 3.2, the results of Section 6, and the universal property of generalized Verma modules to prove the existence of such morphisms of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$\mathcal{J}_k(q) : \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^{\mathbb{S}} \mid l(w)=k\}} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0) \rightarrow (\Lambda^k(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q)^*,$$

that, firstly, their matrix elements with respect to the chosen bases are rational functions from $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with no poles at $q = 1$, and, secondly, the linear map $\mathcal{J}_k(q)$ is on-to-one for $q = 1$. Since the homogeneous components of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules in question are finite dimensional, it follows that the morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules $\mathcal{J}_k(q)$ is on-to-one. \square

Pass in (9.1) to dual graded vector spaces and adjoint linear maps to get the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{l(w_0^{\mathbb{S}})}^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-}} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_2} C_1^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} C_0^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (9.3)$$

with ϵ being the obvious onto morphism, $\delta_j = d_j^*$, and

$$C_j^{\mathbb{S}} = \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^{\mathbb{S}} \mid l(w)=j\}} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0).$$

Lemma 9.2 *The morphisms of generalized Verma modules $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w' \cdot 0) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w'' \cdot 0)$ involved in (9.3) are standard.*

Proof. The desired morphisms of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules $M(w' \cdot 0) \rightarrow M(w'' \cdot 0)$ are to be obtained by a duality argument. First, introduce a $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebra Λ and an embedding of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebras $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \hookrightarrow \Lambda$.

The Verma module $M(0)$ with zero highest weight is a graded $U_q\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cop}}$ -module coalgebra. The dual graded $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q$ is a quantum analogue for the polynomial algebra on the vector space \mathfrak{n}^- . Set

$$\Lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q} \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q.$$

Equip Λ with a structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebra and demonstrate that the linear map

$$\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \rightarrow \Lambda, \quad \omega \mapsto 1 \otimes \omega, \quad (9.4)$$

is an embedding of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebras.

Use the notion of dyslectic module over a commutative algebra in a braided tensor category, see the Appendix.

Let \mathcal{C}^- be the full subcategory of weight $U_q\mathfrak{b}^-$ -finite dimensional $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. This is an Abelian braided tensor category. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is commutative in \mathcal{C}^- , and the $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodules $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q$ are dyslectic modules over $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$. By Proposition A.8, the category of dyslectic modules over $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ is an Abelian braided tensor category whose tensor product is $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q}$. This allows one to equip $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q} \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ with a structure of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module algebra

$$\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$$

in a way which is standard in quantum group theory [29, p. 438]. In this setting $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ and

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}^-} \Lambda^j, \quad \Lambda^j = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q} \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q.$$

Prove that in the category of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules one has

$$\Lambda^j \approx \bigoplus_{\{w \in W^{\mathbb{S}} \mid l(w)=j\}} M(w \cdot 0)^*. \quad (9.5)$$

In fact, in the category of weight $U_q\mathfrak{h}$ -modules one has

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)^* \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \otimes (N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)^*)_{\text{lowest}},$$

with $(N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)^*)_{\text{lowest}}$ being the lowest homogeneous component of the graded vector space $N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)^*$. Thus there exists the least weight among the weights of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)^*$, and the associated eigenspace is one-dimensional. The universal property of the Verma module $M(w \cdot 0)$ implies the existence of a non-zero morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$M(w \cdot 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q} N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)^*)^*.$$

Such morphism is unique up to a scalar multiple. Use a duality argument to obtain a morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q} \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\{w^{-1} \in W^{\mathbb{S}} \mid l(w)=j\}} M(w \cdot 0)^*. \quad (9.6)$$

It is one-to-one. In fact, this is true for $q = 1$, and it suffices to prove the isomorphism of the weight $U_q\mathfrak{h}$ -modules involved into (9.6). What remains is to use the isomorphism of weight $U_q\mathfrak{h}$ -modules

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \approx M(\mathfrak{k}, 0)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q, \quad M(w \cdot 0)^* \approx M(\mathfrak{k}, 0)^* \otimes N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w \cdot 0)^*, \quad (9.7)$$

with $M(\mathfrak{k}, 0)$ being the Verma module with zero highest weight over the algebra $U_q\mathfrak{k}$. The isomorphisms (9.7) are derivable via Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt bases. Thus we get the isomorphism (9.5).

To complete the proof of the Lemma, extend the endomorphism $d : \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$ up to an endomorphism $d_{\text{ext}} : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ of the $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module Λ . Use a covariant first order differential calculus $(M(-\alpha_{l_0})^*, d_{\mathfrak{p}^-})$ over the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q$, which could be derived just as in Section 5 by a duality argument from the morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$M(-\alpha_{l_0}) \rightarrow M(0), \quad v(-\alpha_{l_0}) \mapsto F_{l_0}v(0).$$

The above isomorphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q$ -bimodules $\Lambda^1 \approx M(-\alpha_{l_0})^*$ is determined up to a scalar multiple, which can be chosen in such a way that the associated operator $d_{\mathfrak{p}^-} : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \rightarrow \Lambda^1$ satisfies

$$d_{\mathfrak{p}^-}\varphi = d\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q. \quad (9.8)$$

We get the desired extension d_{ext} of d by setting

$$d_{\text{ext}}(f\omega) = (d_{\mathfrak{p}^-}f)\omega + fd\omega$$

for all $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q \subset \Lambda$, $\omega \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \subset \Lambda$. This extension is well defined due to the universal property of tensor product in the category of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$ -bimodules, together with the relation

$$(d_{\mathfrak{p}^-}(f\varphi))\omega + f\varphi d\omega = (d_{\mathfrak{p}^-}f)\varphi\omega + fd(\varphi\omega),$$

with $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{n}^-]_q$, $\omega \in \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}^-]_q$. This relation is an easy consequence of (9.8).

It follows from the definition that d_{ext} is a morphism of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules whose restriction onto $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p}^-)_q \subset \Lambda$ coincides with the differential d . \square

Proposition 9.3 *In the category of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules the complex (9.3) is isomorphic to a generalized resolution of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 9.1, 9.2 that the complexes under comparison may be treated as being formed by the same $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, and that their differentials have a similar form

$$d_j|_{N(\mathfrak{q}^+, w, 0)} = \bigoplus_{\{w' \in W^{\mathbb{S}} \mid w' \rightarrow w\}} \epsilon(w, w') \tilde{i}_{w, w'},$$

being different only in values of the scalar multiples $\epsilon(w, w')$. However, the argument of Section 7 (see Remark 7.7) makes sure that a replacement of scalar multiples reduces to a replacement of a complex of $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules by an isomorphic complex. \square

REMARK 9.4 1. I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb communicated us some preliminary notes.

Therein, the de Rham complex of [13], that is very similar to ours, realized as a dual of a BGG resolution. Their methods are quite different, and the precise relation between the two resulting de Rham complexes still remains to be investigated.

2. With some abuse of terminology, one can say that the main results of this work have been obtained under the assumption of q being transcendental. The recent bright results of Heckenberger and Kolb [15] allow one to take a hope to extend our results for all q which are not roots of unity.

Appendix. Algebras and modules in tensor categories

Consider the Abelian braided tensor category \mathcal{C}^- , a full subcategory of weight $U_q\mathfrak{b}^-$ -finite $U_q\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. The braiding $\check{R}_{V_1, V_2} : V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V_2 \otimes V_1$ is defined as usual in terms of the universal R-matrix [19].

An algebra F in the category \mathcal{C}^- is said to be commutative in this category if $m = m\check{R}_{FF}$, with $m : F \otimes F \rightarrow F$ being the multiplication in F .

Consider a bimodule E over a commutative algebra F in the category \mathcal{C}^- :

$$m_{\text{left}} : F \otimes E \rightarrow E, \quad m_{\text{right}} : E \otimes F \rightarrow E.$$

We follow [28] in calling it symmetric if $m_{\text{right}} = m_{\text{left}}\check{R}_{EF}$.

EXAMPLE A.5 An algebra F in the category \mathcal{C}^- is a bimodule over any its subalgebra F' . If F is commutative in \mathcal{C}^- , this bimodule is symmetric.

Proposition A.6 ([32], Remark 1.1) *If E_1, E_2 are symmetric bimodules over a commutative algebra F in the category \mathcal{C}^- , then the bimodule $E_1 \otimes_F E_2$ is also symmetric.*

Proposition A.7 ([32], Remark 1.2) *Let E be a left module $m_{\text{left}} : F \otimes E \rightarrow E$ over a commutative algebra F in \mathcal{C}^- . The morphism $m_{\text{right}} = m_{\text{left}}\check{R}_{EF}$ equips E with a structure of symmetric bimodule over F in \mathcal{C}^- .*

This means that every left module E over a commutative algebra F in \mathcal{C}^- is a symmetric bimodule over F in \mathcal{C}^- .

A bimodule E over a commutative algebra F in the category \mathcal{C}^- is said to be dyslectic if

$$m_{\text{right}} = m_{\text{left}}\check{R}_{EF}, \quad m_{\text{left}} = m_{\text{right}}\check{R}_{FE}.$$

Proposition A.8 ([32], Proposition 2.4, Theorem 2.5) *Let E_1, E_2 be dyslectic bimodules over a commutative algebra F in the category \mathcal{C}^- and j a canonical onto morphism $E_1 \otimes E_2 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes_F E_2$. Then*

1. $E_1 \otimes_F E_2$ is a dyslectic bimodule over F ;
2. there exists a unique such morphism $\overline{R}_{E_1 E_2} : E_1 \otimes_F E_2 \rightarrow E_2 \otimes_F E_1$ in the category \mathcal{C}^- that $\overline{R}_{E_1 E_2} j = j\check{R}_{E_1 E_2}$.

This means that the category of dyslectic bimodules over a commutative algebra in the category \mathcal{C}^- is an Abelian braided tensor category.

Proofs of Propositions A.8 and A.7 have been obtained by Pareigis using methods of category theory in a very wide generality. Note that modules over commutative algebras in braided Abelian tensor categories are used in algebraic K-theory [28] and arise naturally in conformal quantum field theory [21, 11].

References

- [1] G. M. Bergman. *The diamond lemma for ring theory*. Adv. Math. **29** (1978), 178–218.

- [2] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand. *Differential operators on the base affine space and a study of \mathfrak{g} -modules*. In I. M. Gelfand, editor, *Lie Groups and Their Representations* (Summer School of the Bolyai Janos Mathematical Society), pages 21–64. Halsted Press, New York, 1975.
- [3] N. Bourbaki. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*. Chap. 4-6. Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [4] V. Chari and A. Pressley. *A Guide to Quantum Group*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [5] C. De Concini and V. Kac. *Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1*. In A. Connes, M. Duflo, and R. Rentschler, editors, *Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras and Invariant Theory*, pages 472 – 506. Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1990.
- [6] J. Dixmier. *Enveloping algebras*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1977.
- [7] V. K. Dobrev. *Singular vectors of quantum group representations for straight Lie algebra roots*. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22** (1991), 251 – 266.
- [8] V. G. Drinfeld. *On almost cocommutative Hopf algebras*. *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1989), 321 – 342.
- [9] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel. *Integrals of motion and quantum groups*. *Lecture Notes Math.* **1620** (1995), 349 – 418.
- [10] B. Feigin and F. Malikov. *Integral intertwining operators and complex powers of differential (q -difference) operators*. arXiv:hep-th/9306137, 1993.
- [11] J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert. *Category theory for conformal boundary conditions*. In: *Vertex Operator Algebras in Mathematics and Physics*, S. Berman, Y. Billig, Yi-Zhi Huang, and J. Lepowsky, editors, *Fields Institute Communications* **39**, 25 – 70. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2003.
- [12] M. Harris and H. P. Jakobsen. *Covariant differential operators*. In M. Serdaroğlu and E. İnönü, editors, *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, Istanbul 1982, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **180**, 16 – 41. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [13] I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb. *De Rham complex for quantized irreducible flag manifolds*. (to appear in *J. Algebra*).
- [14] I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb. *The locally finite part of the dual coalgebra of quantized irreducible flag manifolds*. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **89** (2004), 457 – 484.
- [15] I. Heckenberger and S. Kolb. *On the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution for Kac-Moody algebras and quantized enveloping algebras*. arXiv:math.QA/0605460, 2006.
- [16] J. E. Humphreys. *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [17] K. Iohara and F. Malikov. *Rings of skew polynomials and Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture for quantum groups*. arXiv:hep-th/9306138, 1993.
- [18] J. C. Jantzen. *Lectures on Quantum Groups*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1996.

- [19] Ch. Kassel. *Quantum Groups*. Springer, New York, 1995.
- [20] M. Kébé. *Sur la classification des \mathcal{O} -algèbres quantiques*. *J. Algebra* **212** (1999), 626 – 659.
- [21] A. A. Kirillov and V. Ostrik. *On q -analog of McKay correspondence and ADE classification of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$ conformal field theory*. *Adv. Math.* **171** (2002), 183 – 227.
- [22] A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin. *q -Weyl group and a multiplicative formula for universal R -matrices*. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **134** (1990), 421 – 431.
- [23] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen. *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*. Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [24] B. Kostant. *Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil theorem*. *Ann. Math.* **74**(2) (1961), 329 – 387.
- [25] J. Lepowsky. *A generalization of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution*. *J. Algebra* **49** (1977), 496 – 511.
- [26] J. Lepowsky. Generalized Verma modules, the Cartan-Helgason theorem, and the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. *J. Algebra*, 49:470–495, 1977.
- [27] S. Levendorskii and Y. Soibelman. *Algebras of functions on compact quantum groups, Schubert cells and quantum tori*. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **139** (1991), 141 – 170.
- [28] V. Lychagin and A. V. Prasolov. *Products and quantization in K -theory of braiding-commutative algebras*. In: H. Bass, A. Kuku, and C. Pedrini, editors, *Algebraic K -theory and its applications*, TCTP Trieste 1997, **132**, 450 – 489. World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.
- [29] S. Majid. *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [30] F. Malikov. *Quantum groups: singular vectors and BGG resolution*. In: *Infinite Analysis, International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **7**, Suppl. 1B, 623 – 643. World Scientific, 1992.
- [31] G. Maltsiniotis. *Le langage des espaces et des groupes quantiques*. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **151** (1993), 275 – 302.
- [32] B. Pareigis. *On braiding and dyslexia*. *J. Algebra* **171** (1995), 413 – 425.
- [33] A. Rocha-Caridi. *Splitting criteria for \mathfrak{g} -modules induced from a parabolic and Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution of a finite dimensional, irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **262** (1980), 335 – 366.
- [34] H. Rubenthaler. *Algèbres de Lie et espaces préhomogènes*. Hermann, Paris, 1992.
- [35] S. Sinel'shchikov and L. Vaksman. *On q -analogues of bounded symmetric domains and Dolbeault complexes*. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, **1**(1) (1998), 75 – 100.
- [36] V. N. Tolstoy. *Projection operator method for quantum groups*. arXiv:math.QA/0104045, 2001.

- [37] D. P. Zhelobenko. Compact Lie groups and their representations. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1973.