Central extensions of Steinberg Lie superalgebras of small rank

Hongjia Chen, Yun Gao and Shikui Shang¹

Abstract

It was shown by A.V.Mikhalev and I.A.Pinchuk in [MP] that the second homology group $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R))$ of the Steinberg Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$ is trivial for $m+n \geq 1$. In this paper, we will work out $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R))$ explicitly for m+n=3, 4.

Introduction

Steinberg Lie algebras $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ play an important role in (additive) algebraic K-theory. They have been studied by many people (see [L] and [GS], and the references therein). The point is that for any unital associative algebra R over a field the Steinberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{st}_n(R)$ is the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(R)$ with the kernel isomorphic to the first cyclic homology group $HC_1(R)$ except when both n and the characteristic of the field are small. As seen in [GS], if $n = 3, 4, H_2(\mathfrak{st}_n(R))$ is not necessarily equal to 0.

Recently, A.V.Mikhalev and I.A.Pinchuk [MP] studied the Steinberg Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{st}(m,n,R)$ which are central extensions of Lie superalgebras sl(m,n,R). They further showed that when $m+n\geq 5$, $\mathfrak{st}(m,n,R)$ is the universal central extension of sl(m,n,R) whose kernel is isomorphic to $(HC_1(R))_{\bar{0}}\oplus (0)_{\bar{1}}$, here we would like to emphasize the \mathbb{Z}_2 -gradation of the kernel.

In this paper, we shall work out $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R))$ explicitly for m + n = 3, 4 without any assumption on char K by adopting the definition for Lie superalgebras (including char K = 2 case) introduced by Neher [N]. It is equivalent to work on the Steinberg Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$ for small m + n. This completes the determination of the universal central extensions of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(m, n, R)$ as well.

Research of the second author was partially supported by NSERC of Canada and Chinese Academy of Science.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B55, 17B60.

¹The corresponding author.

For any non-negative integer m, set

$$\mathcal{I}_m = mR + R[R, R]$$
 and $R_m = R/\mathcal{I}_m$.

Our main result of this paper is the following.

Main Theorem Let K be a unital commutative ring and R be a unital associative K-algebra. Assume that R has a K-basis containing the identity element. Then

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)) = (0);$$

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)) = (0)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (R_2^6)_{\bar{1}};$$

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)) = (R_2^4 \oplus R_0^2)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (0)_{\bar{1}}$$

where R_m^q is the direct sum of q copies of R_m .

It may be noteworthy to point out that $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)) = (0)$ unlike the Lie algebra case in which $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_3(\mathfrak{R}))$ is not necessarily zero.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 1, we review some basic facts on Steinberg Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{st}(m,n,R)$. Section 2 will treat the m=2,n=1 case. Section 3 and 4 will handle the m=3,n=1 case and the m=2,n=2 case respectively. Finally in Section 5 we make a few concluding remarks.

§1 Basics on $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$

Let K be a unital commutative ring. The following definition was given in [N].

Definition A K-superalgebra $L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}}$ with product [,] is a Lie superalgebra if for any homogenous $x, y, z \in L, w \in L_{\bar{0}}$,

$$[y,x] = -(-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}[y,x]$$
(S1)

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}[y, [x, z]]$$
(S2)

$$[w, w] = 0. (S3)$$

Note that (S3) is not needed if char $K \neq 2$ and this definition works well for K of any characteristic.

Let R be a unital associative K-algebra. We always assume that R has a K-basis $\{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}(\Lambda \text{ is an index set})$, which contains the identity element 1 of R, i.e. $1\in\{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}$.

 $\Omega = \{1, \ldots, m, m+1, \ldots, m+n\}$ has a partition $\Omega = \Omega_0 \uplus \Omega_1$, where $\Omega_0 = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\Omega_1 = \{m+1, \ldots, m+n\}$. We define a map $\omega : \Omega \to \mathbb{Z}_2$, such that

$$\omega(i) = \begin{cases} \bar{0} & \text{for } i \in \Omega_0 \\ \bar{1} & \text{for } i \in \Omega_1 \end{cases}$$

The K-Lie superalgebra of $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ matrices with coefficients in R is denoted by gl(m,n,R), such that $deg(e_{ij}(a)) = \omega(i) + \omega(j)$ for $a \in R$, $1 \le i, j \le m+n$. For $m+n \ge 3$, the elementary Lie superalgebra sl(m,n,R) is the subalgebra of gl(m,n,R) generated by the elements $e_{ij}(a)$, $1 \le i \ne j \le m+n$. Note that sl(m,n,R) can be equivalently defined as sl(m,n,R) = [gl(m,n,R), gl(m,n,R)], the derived subalgebra of gl(m,n,R), or $sl(m,n,R) = \{X \in gl(m,n,R) | str(X) \in [R,R] \}$, where str(X) is the supertrace of $X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{m+n}(R)$ given by $str(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ii} - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} x_{jj}$.

Clearly, for any $a, b \in R$,

$$[e_{ij}(a), e_{jk}(b)] = e_{ik}(ab)$$
 (1.1)

if i, j, k are distinct and

$$[e_{ij}(a), e_{kl}(b)] = 0 (1.2)$$

if $j \neq k, i \neq l$.

For $m + n \geq 3$, the Steinberg Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$ is defined to be the Lie superalgebra over K generated by the homogeneous elements $X_{ij}(a)$, with $\deg(X_{ij}(a)) = \omega(i) + \omega(j)$ for any $a \in R$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m + n$, subject to the relations(see [MP]):

$$a \mapsto X_{ij}(a)$$
 is a K-linear map, (1.3)

$$[X_{ij}(a), X_{jk}(b)] = X_{ik}(ab), \text{ for distinct } i, j, k,$$
(1.4)

$$[X_{ij}(a), X_{kl}(b)] = 0, \text{ for } j \neq k, i \neq l,$$
 (1.5)

where $a, b \in R$, $1 \le i, j, k, l \le m + n$.

Both Lie superalgebras sl(m, n, R) and $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$ are perfect (a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} over K is called perfect if $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$). The Lie superalgebra epimorphism:

$$\phi: \mathfrak{st}(m, n, R) \to sl(m, n, R), \tag{1.6}$$

such that $\phi(X_{ij}(a)) = e_{ij}(a)$, is a central extension and the kernel of ϕ is isomorphic to $HC_1(R)$ (called $HC_2(R)$ in [MP]), which is the first cyclic homology group of R. Eventually, $HC_1(R)$ is the even part of $\ker(\phi)$ and the odd part is equal to 0. So the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{sl}(m,n,R)$ is also the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(m,n,R)$ denoted by $\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(m,n,R)$. Our purpose is to calculate $\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(m,n,R)$ for any ring K and $m+n\geq 3$.

Setting

$$T_{ij}(a,b) = [X_{ij}(a), X_{ji}(b)],$$
 (1.7)

$$t(a,b) = T_{1j}(a,b) - T_{1j}(1,ba), (1.8)$$

for $a, b \in R, 1 \le i \ne j \le m + n$. Both $T_{ij}(a, b)$ and t(a, b) are even elements. Then t(a, b) does not depend on the choices of j(see [MP]). Note that $T_{ij}(a, b)$ is K-bilinear, and so is t(a, b).

Lemma 1.9 For any $a, b, c \in R$, and distinct i, j, k, we have

$$T_{ij}(a,b) = -(-1)^{\omega(i) + \omega(j)} T_{ji}(b,a)$$
(1.10)

$$[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{kl}(c)] = 0 \text{ for distinct } i, j, k, l$$

$$(1.11)$$

$$[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ik}(c)] = X_{ik}(abc), \quad [T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ki}(c)] = -X_{ki}(cab)$$
 (1.12)

$$[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{jk}(c)] = -(-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)} X_{jk}(bac), \quad [T_{ij}(a,b), X_{kj}(c)] = (-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)} X_{kj}(cba)$$
(1.13)

 $[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ij}(c)] = X_{ij}(abc + (-1)^{\omega(i) + \omega(j)}cba)$ (1.14)

$$[t(a,b), X_{1i}(c)] = X_{1i}((ab-ba)c), \quad [t(a,b), X_{i1}(c)] = -X_{i1}(c(ab-ba))$$
(1.15)

$$[t(a,b), X_{jk}(c)] = 0 \text{ for } j, k \ge 2$$
 (1.16)

Proof: By super-antisymmetry, one has:

$$T_{ij}(a,b) = -(-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)} [X_{ji}(b), X_{ij}(a)] = -(-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)} T_{ji}(b,a)$$

From the super-Jacobi identity, we have

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}[y, [x, z]]$$

which is equivalent to

$$[[x,y],z] = [x,[y,z]] + (-1)^{\deg(y)\deg(z)}[[x,z],y].$$

So (1.11) is obvious, and

$$[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ik}(c)] = [[X_{ij}(a), X_{ji}(b)], X_{ik}(c)] = [X_{ij}(a), [X_{ji}(b), X_{ik}(c)]] = X_{ik}(abc)$$

$$[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ki}(c)] = [[X_{ij}(a), X_{ji}(b)], X_{ki}(c)] = (-1)^{(\omega(i)+\omega(j))(\omega(k)+\omega(i))} [[X_{ij}(a), X_{ki}(c)], X_{ji}(b)]$$

$$= -(-1)^{2(\omega(i)+\omega(j))(\omega(k)+\omega(i))} [[X_{ki}(c), X_{ij}(a)], X_{ji}(b)] = -X_{ki}(cab)$$

which gives (1.12).

Replaced $T_{ij}(a, b)$ by $-(-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)}T_{ji}(b, a)$ and exchanging i and j, we can obtain (1.13) from (1.12).

For (1.14), we have

$$[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ij}(c)] = [T_{ij}(a,b), [X_{ik}(c), X_{kj}(1)]]$$

$$= [[T_{ij}(a,b), X_{ik}(c)], X_{kj}(1)] + [X_{ik}(c), [T_{ij}(a,b), X_{kj}(1)]]$$

$$= [X_{ik}(abc), X_{kj}(1)] + (-1)^{\omega(i) + \omega(j)} [X_{ik}(c), X_{kj}(ba)]$$

$$= X_{ij}(abc + (-1)^{\omega(i) + \omega(j)} cba).$$

From (1.8) we obtain

$$[t(a,b), X_{1i}(c)] = [T_{1j}(a,b), X_{1i}(c)] - [T_{1j}(1,ba), X_{1i}(c)]$$
$$= X_{1i}(abc) - X_{1i}(bac) = X_{1i}((ab-ba)c)$$

and $[t(a,b),X_{i1}(c)] = -X_{i1}(c(ab-ba))$, which show that (1.15) holds true.

(1.16) is easy and the proof is completed. \square

By the above Lemma, we have

Lemma 1.17 Let $\mathfrak{T} := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+n} [X_{ij}(R), X_{ji}(R)]$. Then \mathfrak{T} is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$ containing the center \mathfrak{Z} of $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$ with $[\mathfrak{T}, X_{ij}(R)] \subseteq X_{ij}(R)$. Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{st}(m,n,R) = \mathfrak{T} \oplus_{1 \le i \ne j \le m+n} X_{ij}(R). \tag{1.18}$$

As for the decomposition of $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$, we take $\{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}$, the fixed K-basis of R, then $\{X_{ij}(r)\}\ (r \in \{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}, 1 \le i \ne j \le m+n)$ can be extended to a K-basis Γ of $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$.

In fact, the subalgebra $\mathfrak T$ has a more refined structure.

One can easily prove the following lemma (see [MP]).

Lemma 1.19 Every element $x \in \mathfrak{T}$ can be written as

$$x = \sum_{i} t(a_i, b_i) + \sum_{2 \le j \le m+n} T_{1j}(1, c_j),$$

where $a_i, b_i, c_j \in R$.

The following result is known(see [MP, Theorem 2]).

Theorem 1.20 If $m+n \geq 5$, then $\phi : \mathfrak{st}(m,n,R) \to sl(m,n,R)$ gives the universal central extension of sl(m,n,R) and the second homology group of Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{st}(m,n,R)$ is $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(m,n,R)) = 0$.

§2 Central extensions of $\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)$

In this section we shall treat $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R))$.

Theorem 2.1 $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)) = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)$ is centrally closed.

Proof: Suppose that

$$0 \to \mathcal{V} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(2,1,R) \overset{\tau}{\to} \mathfrak{st}(2,1,R) \to 0$$

is a central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)$. We must show that there exists a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\eta:\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)\to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(2,1,R)$ so that $\tau\circ\eta=\mathrm{i} d$.

Using the K-basis $\{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}$ of R, we choose a preimage $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ of $X_{ij}(a)$ under τ , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3, a \in \{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}$. Let $\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b) = [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a),\widetilde{X}_{ji}(b)]$, then

$$[\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1),\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a) + \mu_{ij}(a)$$

where $\mu_{ij}(a) \in \mathcal{V}$ and i, j, k are distinct. Replacing $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ by $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a) + \mu_{ij}(a)$, then the elements $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(b)$ still satisfy the relations (1.3). By super-Jacobi identity (S2), we have

$$\left[\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)\right]\right] = \left[\left[\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(a)\right], \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)\right] + \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \left[\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)\right]\right]$$

which yields

$$[\widetilde{T}_{ik}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab)] = [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a + (-1)^{\omega(i) + \omega(k)}a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] - (-1)^{\omega(i) + \omega(k)} [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)]$$

$$= [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)].$$

We thus have

$$\widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab) = [\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)]. \tag{2.2}$$

For $k \neq i, k \neq j$, we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(b)]$$

$$= \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right]\right]$$

$$= \left[\left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)\right], \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right] + \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b), (-1)^{(\omega(i) + \omega(j))(\omega(i) + \omega(k))}\left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right]\right]$$

$$= 0 + 0 = 0.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Next, we show that both of $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)]$ and $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)]$ are equal to 0.

Since there is always one element between $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ and $\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)$ which is odd, we can assume that it is $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$, i.e. $\omega(i) + \omega(j) = \overline{1}$, then

$$0 = \left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)\right]\right]$$

$$= \left[\left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)\right], \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)\right] + \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)\right]\right]$$

$$= 0 + \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)\right] = \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)\right]$$

$$(2.4)$$

The other cases are similar. Therefore we have

$$[X_{ij}(a), X_{kl}(b)] = 0$$
, for $j \neq k, i \neq l, a, b \in R, 1 \le i, j, k, l \le 3$. (2.5)

By our choices, we know that $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ satisfy the relation (1.3)-(1.5). Since we have (2.2) and (2.5), by universal property of $\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)$ there exists a (unique) Lie superalgebra homomorphism

$$\eta:\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)\to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(2,1,R)$$

such that $\eta(X_{ij}(a)) = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$. Evidently, $\tau \circ \eta = \mathrm{i} d$ which implies that the original sequence splits. So $\mathfrak{st}(2,1,R)$ is centrally closed. \square

Remark 2.6 This result is very different from the one of $\mathfrak{st}_3(R)$ (See [GS]). In that case, $H_2(\mathfrak{st}_3(R)) = R_3^6$.

§3 Central extensions of $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$

In this section, we shall compute the universal central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R)$ of $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$. We don't put any assumption on the characteristic of K.

For any nonnegative integer m, let \mathcal{I}_m be the ideal of R generated by the elements: ma and ab - ba, for $a, b \in R$. Immediately, we have ([GS, Lemma 2.1])

Lemma 3.1 $\mathcal{I}_m = mR + R[R, R]$ and [R, R]R = [R, R]R.

Let

$$R_m := R/\mathcal{I}_m$$

be the quotient algebra over K which is commutative. Write $\bar{a} = a + \mathcal{I}_m$ for $a \in R$. Note that if m = 2, $\bar{a} = -\bar{a}$ in R_m .

Definition 3.2 $W = R_2^6$ is the direct sum of six copies of R_2 and $\epsilon_m(\overline{a}) = (0, \dots, \overline{a}, \dots, 0)$ is the element of W, of which the m-th component is \overline{a} and others are zero, for $1 \le m \le 6$.

Let S_4 be the symmetric group of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

$$P = \{(i, j, k, l) | \{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$$

is the set of all the quadruple with the distinct components. S_4 has a natural transitive action on P given by $\sigma((i, j, k, l)) = (\sigma(i), \sigma(j), \sigma(k), \sigma(l))$, for any $\sigma \in S_4$.

$$H = \{(1), (13), (24), (13)(24)\}$$

is a subgroup of S_4 with $[S_4:H]=6$. Then S_4 has a partition of cosets with respect to H, denoted by $S_4=\bigsqcup_{m=1}^6\sigma_mH$. We can obtain a partition of P, $P=\bigsqcup_{m=1}^6P_m$, where $P_m=(\sigma_mH)((1,2,3,4))$. We define the index map

$$\theta: P \to \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

by

$$\theta((i,j,k,l)) = m \text{ if } (i,j,k,l) \in P_m$$

for $1 \le m \le 6$.

Using the decomposition (1.18) of $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$, we take a K-basis Γ of $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$, which contains $\{X_{ij}(r)|r\in\{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda},1\leq i\neq j\leq 4\}$. Define $\psi:\Gamma\times\Gamma\to\mathcal{W}$ by

$$\psi(X_{ij}(r), X_{kl}(s)) = \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{rs}) \in \mathcal{W},$$

for $r, s \in \{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda} \in {\Lambda}}$ and distinct i, j, k, l and $\psi = 0$, otherwise. Then we obtain the K-bilinear map $\psi : \mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R) \times \mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R) \to \mathcal{W}$ by linearity.

Recall that a Lie superalgebra over K is defined to be an \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra satisfying $[x,y] = -(-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}[y,x],$

$$(-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(z)}[[x,y],z] + (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}[[y,z],x] + (-1)^{\deg(y)\deg(z)}[[z,x],y] = 0$$

and [w, w] = 0 for the homogenous elements $x, y, z \in L$ and $w \in L_{\bar{0}}$.

We now have

Lemma 3.3 The bilinear map ψ is a (super) 2-cocycle.

Proof: A bilinear map ψ is called a (super) 2-cocycle, if it is (super) skew-symmetric and

$$(-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(z)}\psi([x,y],z) + (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}\psi([y,z],x) + (-1)^{\deg(y)\deg(z)}\psi([z,x],y) = 0$$

for homogenous elements $x, y, z \in L$ and $\psi(w, w) = 0$ for $w \in L_{\bar{0}}$.

Since $R_2 = R/\mathcal{I}_2$, $\overline{ab} = \overline{ab} = \overline{ba} = \overline{ba}$ and $\overline{a} = -\overline{a}$ for $a, b \in R$. Thus the order of factors and \pm sign don't play any role. We can follow the same arguments as in [GS, Lemma 2.3] for Steinberg Lie algebra $st_4(R)$ to complete the proof. \square

Since

$$W = span_K\{\psi(X_{ij}(a), X_{kl}(b)) | a, b \in R \text{ and } i, j, k, l \text{ are distinct}\}\$$

and

$$\omega(i) + \omega(j) + \omega(k) + \omega(l) = \bar{1}$$
 for distinct i, j, k, l ,

we obtain a central extension of Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$, satisfying that \mathcal{W} is the odd part of the kernel:

$$0 \to (0)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (\mathcal{W})_{\bar{1}} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R) \stackrel{\pi}{\to} \mathfrak{st}(3,1,R) \to 0, \tag{3.4}$$

i.e.

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R) = ((0)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (\mathcal{W})_{\bar{1}}) \oplus \mathfrak{st}(3,1,R), \tag{3.5}$$

with bracket

$$[(c, x), (c', y)] = (\psi(x, y), [x, y])$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)$ and $c, c' \in \mathcal{W}$, where $\pi : \mathcal{W} \oplus \mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R) \to \mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)$ is the second coordinate projection map. Then, $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3, 1, R), \pi)$ is a central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)$. We will show that $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3, 1, R), \pi)$ is the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)$. To do this, we define a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)^{\sharp}$ to be the Lie superalgebra generated by the symbols $X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a)$, $i \neq j, a \in R$ and the K-linear space \mathcal{W} , with $\deg(X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a)) = \omega(i) + \omega(j)$ and $\deg(w) = \overline{1}$ for any $w \in \mathcal{W}$, satisfying the following relations:

$$a \mapsto X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a)$$
 is a K-linear mapping, (3.6)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{jk}^{\sharp}(b)] = X_{ik}^{\sharp}(ab), \text{ for distinct } i, j, k,$$
(3.7)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), \mathcal{W}] = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, \tag{3.8}$$

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{ij}^{\sharp}(b)] = 0$$
, for distinct i, j , (3.9)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{ik}^{\sharp}(b)] = 0$$
, for distinct i, j, k , (3.10)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{kj}^{\sharp}(b)] = 0$$
, for distinct i, j, k , (3.11)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{kl}^{\sharp}(b)] = \epsilon_{\theta((i,j,k,l))}(\overline{ab}), \text{ for distinct } j, k, i, l,$$
(3.12)

where $a, b \in R, 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 4$. As $1 \in R$, $\mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)^{\sharp}$ is perfect. Clearly, there is a unique Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)^{\sharp} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3, 1, R)$ such that $\rho(X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a)) = X_{ij}(a)$ and $\rho|_{\mathcal{W}} = id$.

Remark 3.13: Comparing with the relations of $\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R)$ (1.3)-(1.5), we separate the case $[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{kl}^{\sharp}(b)](j \neq k, i \neq l)$ into four subcases (3.9)-(3.12).

We claim that ρ is actually an isomorphism.

Lemma 3.14 $\rho: \mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)^{\sharp} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R)$ is a Lie superalgebra isomorphism.

Proof: Let $T_{ij}^{\sharp}(a,b) = [X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{ji}^{\sharp}(b)]$. Then one can easily check that for $a,b \in R$ and distinct i,j,k, one has

$$T_{ij}^{\sharp}(a,b) = -(-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)} T_{ji}^{\sharp}(b,a)$$
(3.15)

$$T_{ij}^{\sharp}(ab,c) = T_{ik}^{\sharp}(a,bc) + (-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(k)} T_{kj}^{\sharp}(b,ca). \tag{3.16}$$

Indeed, the proof of (3.16) is the same as the proof in [MP, Lemma 4.1]. Put $t^{\sharp}(a,b) = T_{1j}^{\sharp}(a,b) - T_{1j}^{\sharp}(1,ab)$ for $a,b \in R, 2 \leq j \leq 4$. Then $t^{\sharp}(a,b)$ does not depend on the choice of j. Also, one can easily check (as in the proof of Lemma 2.15 in [GS]) that

$$\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)^{\sharp} = \mathfrak{T}^{\sharp} \oplus_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4} X_{ij}^{\sharp}(R)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{T}^{\sharp} = \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l \text{ are distinct}} [X_{ij}^{\sharp}(R), X_{kl}^{\sharp}(R)] \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} [X_{ij}^{\sharp}(R), X_{ji}^{\sharp}(R)] \right).$$

It then follows from (3.15) and (3.16) above that

$$\mathfrak{T}^{\sharp} = \mathcal{W} \oplus \left(t^{\sharp}(R,R) \oplus T_{12}^{\sharp}(1,R) \oplus T_{13}^{\sharp}(1,R) \oplus T_{14}^{\sharp}(1,R) \right)$$
(3.17)

where $t^{\sharp}(R,R)$ is the linear span of the elements $t^{\sharp}(a,b)$. So by Lemma 1.19, it suffices to show that the restriction of ρ to $t^{\sharp}(R,R)$ is injective.

Now the similar argument as given in [AG, Lemma 6.18] shows that there exists a linear map from t(R,R) to $t^{\sharp}(R,R)$ so that $t(a,b) \mapsto t^{\sharp}(a,b)$ for $a,b \in R$. This map is the inverse of the restriction of ρ to $t^{\sharp}(R,R)$. \square

The following theorem is the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.18 $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R),\pi)$ is the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$ and hence

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)) \cong (0)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (\mathcal{W})_{\bar{1}}.$$

Proof: We imitate the method of proving the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{st}_4(R)$ in [GS]. Suppose that

$$0 \to \mathcal{V} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R) \stackrel{\tau}{\to} \mathfrak{st}(3,1,R) \to 0$$

is a central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$. We must show that there exists a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\eta: \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R) \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R)$ so that $\tau \circ \eta = \pi$. Thus, by Lemma 3.14, it suffices to show that there exists a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\xi: \mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)^{\sharp} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R)$ such that $\tau \circ \xi = \pi \circ \rho$.

Using the K-basis $\{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}$ of R, we choose a preimage $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ of $X_{ij}(a)$ under τ , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4$, $a \in \{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}$, so that the elements $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ satisfy the relations (3.6)-(3.12). For distinct i, j, k, let

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab) + \mu_{ij}^k(a,b)$$

where $\mu_{ij}^k(a,b) \in \mathcal{V}$. Take distinct i,j,k,l, then

$$\left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \left[\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)\right]\right] = \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(cb)\right].$$

But the left side is, by super-Jacobi identity,

$$\left[\left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kl}(c) \right], \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b) \right] + (-1)^{(\omega(i) + \omega(k))(\omega(k) + \omega(l))} \left[\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b) \right] \right] = \left[\widetilde{X}_{il}(ac), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b) \right].$$
as $\left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b) \right] \in \mathcal{V}$. Thus

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(cb)] = [\widetilde{X}_{il}(ac), \widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)].$$

In particular, $\mu_{ij}^k(a,cb) = \mu_{ij}^l(ac,b)$ and $[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = [\widetilde{X}_{il}(a),\widetilde{X}_{lj}(b)]$. It follows that $\mu_{ij}^k(a,b) = \mu_{ij}^l(a,b) = \mu_{ij}(a,b)$ which show $\mu_{ij}^k(a,b)$ is independent of the choice of k and $\mu_{ij}(c,b) = \mu_{ij}(1,cb)$, we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab) + \mu_{ij}(a, b).$$

Taking a = 1, we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(1), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ij}(b) + \mu_{ij}(1, b).$$

Now, we replace $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(b)$ by $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(b) + \mu_{ij}(1,b)$. Then the elements $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(b)$ still satisfy the relations (3.6). Moreover we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ki}(b)] = \widetilde{X}_{ii}(ab) \tag{3.19}$$

for $a, b \in R$ and distinct i, j, k. So the elements $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ satisfy (3.7).

Next for $k \neq i, k \neq j$, we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(b)]$$

$$= \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right]\right]$$

$$= \left[\left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)\right], \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right] + (-1)^{(\omega(i) + \omega(j))(\omega(i) + \omega(k))} \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(b), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right]\right]$$

$$= 0 + 0 = 0$$

$$(3.20)$$

as both $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)]$ and $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)]$ are in \mathcal{V} . Thus, we get the relation (3.9). For (3.10), taking $l \notin \{i, j, k\}$

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ik}(b)]$$

$$= \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \left[\widetilde{X}_{il}(b), \widetilde{X}_{lk}(1)\right]\right]$$

$$= \left[\left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{il}(b)\right], \widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right] + (-1)^{(\omega(i) + \omega(j))(\omega(i) + \omega(l))} \left[\widetilde{X}_{il}(b), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{lk}(1)\right]\right]$$

$$= 0 + 0 = 0$$

$$(3.21)$$

with $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{il}(b)], [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{lk}(1)] \in \mathcal{V}$. Similarly, we have

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kj}(b)] = 0 (3.22)$$

for distinct i, j, k, which is the relation (3.11).

To verify (3.12) one needs a few more steps. First, set $\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b) = [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{ji}(b)]$. The following brackets are easily checked by the super-Jacobi identity.

$$[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{ik}(c)] = \widetilde{X}_{ik}(abc), \quad [\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(c)] = (-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)}\widetilde{X}_{kj}(cba)$$
and
$$[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{kl}(c)] = 0.$$
(3.23)

Then we have

$$[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{ij}(c)]$$

$$= \left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(c),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right]\right]$$

$$= \left[\left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{ik}(c)\right],\widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right] + \left[\widetilde{X}_{ik}(c), \left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b),\widetilde{X}_{kj}(1)\right]\right]$$

$$= \widetilde{X}_{ij}(abc) + (-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)}\widetilde{X}_{ij}(cba)$$

$$= \widetilde{X}_{ij}(abc + (-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)}cba)$$

$$(3.24)$$

for $a, b, c \in R$ and distinct i, j, k, l.

Next for distinct i, j, k, l, let

$$[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)] = \nu_{kl}^{ij}(a, b)$$

where $\nu_{kl}^{ij}(a,b) \in \mathcal{V}$.

Since one and only one between $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ and $\widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)$ is even, we can assume $\deg(\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)) = \overline{0}$. By (3.23) and (3.24),

$$2\nu_{kl}^{ij}(a,b) = \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(2a), \widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)\right] = \left[\left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)\right], \widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)\right]$$
$$= \left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), \left[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)\right]\right] + \left[\left[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(1,1), \widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)\right], \widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)\right]$$
$$= 0$$

which yields

$$\nu_{kl}^{ij}(a,b) = -\nu_{kl}^{ij}(a,b). \tag{3.25}$$

for any distinct $1 \le i, j, k, l \le 4$ and $a, b \in R$.

Thus, with the universal property of $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)^{\sharp}$, we can obtain the Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\xi:\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)^{\sharp}\to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(3,1,R)$ so that $\tau\circ\xi=\pi\circ\rho$ (as was done in the proof of [GS, Theorem 2.19]). \square

Remark 3.26 If 2 is an invertible element of K, then R = 2R. Thus $\mathcal{I}_2 = R$ and $\mathcal{W} = R_2^6 = 0$. In this case, $\mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)$ is centrally closed.

If the characteristic of K is 2, we display the following two examples which are two extreme cases.

Example 3.27 Let R be an associative commutative K-algebra where char K = 2, then we have $\mathcal{I}_2 = 0$ and $R_2 = R$. Therefore $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)) = R^6$.

Example 3.28 Let K be a field of characteristic two. $R = W_k$ is the Weyl algebra which is a unital associative algebra over K generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k$ subject to the relations $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$, $y_i y_j = y_j y_i$, $x_i y_j - y_j x_i = \delta_{ij}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_2 = R$, $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{st}(3, 1, R)$ is centrally closed.

§4 Central extensions of $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)$

In this section, we compute the universal central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R)$ of $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)$.

Definition 4.1 $\mathcal{U} = R_2^4 \oplus R_0^2$ is the direct sum of four copies of R_2 and two copies of R_0 , $\epsilon_m(\bar{a}) = (0, \dots, \bar{a}, \dots, 0)$ is the element of \mathcal{U} , of which the m-th component is \bar{a} and others are zero, for $1 \le m \le 6$

Recall the set of all the quadruple with the distinct components P and the action of S_4 on P. $H = \{(1), (13), (24), (13)(24)\}$ is the subgroup of S_4 , and P have the partition $P = \bigsqcup_{m=1}^6 P_m$, where $P_m = (\sigma_m H)((1, 2, 3, 4))$ (cf. Section 3).

Since the index set of $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)$ is $\Omega = \{1,2\} \uplus \{3,4\}$, we need to classify the subsets P_m of P in this partition.

Proposition 4.2 If an element $(i, j, k, l) \in P_m$ satisfies $\omega(i) = \omega(k)$, then all the elements of P_m have this property.

Proof: In fact, $\omega(i) = \omega(k)$ induces $\omega(j) = \omega(l)$. It is easy to see that it is preserved under the action of H. The result is obvious. \square

One can easily see that the ones of P_m satisfying the above property are:

$$\{(1,3,2,4),(1,4,2,3),(2,3,1,4),(2,4,1,3)\}$$

and

$$\{(3,1,4,2),(3,2,4,1),(4,1,3,2),(4,2,3,1)\}.$$

We denote them by P_5 and P_6 respectively. Fix the index map $\theta: P \to \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ satisfying $\theta((1, 3, 2, 4)) = 5$, $\theta((3, 1, 4, 2)) = 6$. For $(i, j, k, l) \in P_5 \sqcup P_6$, we always have $\omega(i) + \omega(j) = \bar{1}$ and $\omega(k) + \omega(l) = \bar{1}$.

Here we single out P_5 and P_6 from the others. This is because for $1 \le m \le 4$, there exist elements (i, j, k, l) of P_m such that $\omega(i) + \omega(j) = \bar{0}$, but it is not true for P_5 and P_6 .

Now we take a K-basis Γ of $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$, which contains $\{X_{ij}(r)|r\in\{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}, 1\leq i\neq j\leq 4\}$. Define $\psi:\Gamma\times\Gamma\to\mathcal{W}$ by

$$\psi(X_{ij}(r), X_{kl}(s)) = sign((i, j, k, l))\epsilon_{\theta((i, j, k, l))}(\overline{rs})$$

for $r, s \in \{r_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}$, $(i, j, k, l) \in P$ and $\psi = 0$, otherwise.

We take the symbols sign((i, j, k, l)) = 1 for $(i, j, k, l) \in \bigsqcup_{m=1}^{4} P_m$, and

$$sign((i, j, k, l)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j, k, l) = (1, 3, 2, 4), (2, 4, 1, 3), (3, 1, 4, 2), (4, 2, 3, 1) \\ -1 & \text{if } (i, j, k, l) = (1, 4, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1, 4), (3, 2, 4, 1), (4, 1, 3, 2) \end{cases}$$

on $P_5 \sqcup P_6$

We then have

Lemma 4.3 The bilinear map ψ is a (super) 2-cocycle.

Proof: By the definition, one can check the (super) skew-symmetry of ψ .

In fact, if $1 \leq m \leq 4$, $\epsilon_m(\bar{a}) = -\epsilon_m(\bar{a})$, thus the \pm sign don't play any role for $(i, j, k, l) \in \bigsqcup_{m=1}^4 P_m$. On the other hand,

$$\psi(X_{ij}(r), X_{kl}(s)) = \psi(X_{kl}(r), X_{ij}(s)) = -(-1)^{(\omega(i) + \omega(j))(\omega(k) + \omega(l))} \psi(X_{kl}(r), X_{ij}(s)),$$

for $(i, j, k, l) \in P_5 \sqcup P_6$, $\omega(i) + \omega(j) = \omega(k) + \omega(l) = \bar{1}$ and the definition of sign((i, j, k, l)).

Moreover, ψ is skew-symmetric on $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)_{\bar{0}}$ and it is clear that $\psi(\gamma,\gamma)=0$ for γ is contained in the fixed K-basis Γ of $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)_{\bar{0}}$, which implies $\psi(w,w)=0$, for any $w\in \mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)_{\bar{0}}$.

Next, we should show J(x, y, z) = 0, where

$$J(x,y,z) = (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(z)} \psi([x,y],z) + (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)} \psi([y,z],x) + (-1)^{\deg(y)\deg(z)} \psi([z,x],y) + (-1)^{\deg(y)\deg(y)} \psi([z,x],y) + (-1)^{\deg(y)} \psi([z,x],y) + (-$$

for the homogenous elements x, y, z. According to Lemma 1.17 and Lemma 1.19, the Steinberg Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)$ has the decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R) = t(R,R) \oplus T_{12}(1,R) \oplus T_{13}(1,R) \oplus T_{14}(1,R)$$

$$\oplus_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} X_{ij}(R), \tag{4.4}$$

where t(R, R) is the K-linear span of the elements t(a, b).

We will show the following two possibilities:

Case 1: Clearly, the number of elements of x, y, z belonging to the subalgebra \mathfrak{T} such that $\psi([x, y], z) \neq 0$ is at most one. Thus we can suppose that $x = X_{ij}(a), y = X_{kl}(b)$ and

 $z \in \mathfrak{T}$. If $(i, j, k, l) \in \bigsqcup_{m=1}^4 P_m$, it is similar with the proof of [GS, Lemma2.3]. Therefore, we only should consider $(i, j, k, l) \in P_5 \bigsqcup P_6$. Fix $x = X_{13}(a), y = X_{24}(b)$ and omit the other similar cases. By (4.4), we can assume that either z = t(c, d), where $c, d \in R$, or $z = T_{1j}(1, c)$, where $2 \le j \le 4$ and $c \in R$. Note that $\deg(z) = \bar{0}$, $\theta((1, 3, 2, 4)) = \theta((2, 4, 1, 3)) = 5$ and $\operatorname{sign}((1, 3, 2, 4)) = \operatorname{sign}((2, 4, 1, 3)) = 1$. By Lemma 1.9, when z = t(a, b), we have

$$J(x, y, z)) = \psi([t(c, d), X_{13}(a)], X_{24}(b))$$

$$= \psi(X_{13}((cd - dc)a), X_{24}(b))$$

$$= \epsilon_5(\overline{(cd - dc)ab}) = 0;$$

when $z = T_{12}(c)$,

$$J(x, y, z) = -\psi([X_{24}(b), T_{12}(1, c)], X_{13}(a)) + \psi([T_{12}(1, c), X_{13}(a)], X_{24}(b))$$

$$= \psi([T_{12}(1, c), X_{24}(b)], X_{13}(a)) + \psi(X_{13}(ca), X_{24}(b))$$

$$= -\psi(X_{24}(cb), X_{13}(a)) + \psi(X_{13}(ca), X_{24}(b))$$

$$= -\epsilon_5(\overline{cba}) + \epsilon_5(\overline{cab}) = \epsilon_5(\overline{c(ab - ba)}) = 0;$$

when $z = T_{13}(c)$,

$$J(x, y, z) = -\psi([X_{24}(b), T_{13}(c)], X_{13}(a)) + \psi([T_{13}(1, c), X_{13}(a)], X_{24}(b))$$

$$= 0 + \psi(X_{13}(ac + (-1)^{\omega(1) + \omega(3)}ca), X_{3,4}(b))$$

$$= \epsilon_5((\overline{ac - ca})\overline{b}) = 0;$$

when $z = T_{14}(c)$,

$$J(x,y,z) = -\psi([X_{24}(b), T_{14}(c)], X_{13}(a)) + \psi([T_{14}(1,c), X_{13}(a)], X_{2,4}(b))$$

$$= \psi([T_{14}(c), X_{24}(b)], X_{13}(a)) + \psi(X_{13}(ca), X_{24}(b))$$

$$= -\psi(X_{24}(bc), X_{13}(a)) + \psi(X_{13}(ca), X_{24}(b))$$

$$= -\epsilon_5(\overline{bca}) + \epsilon_5(\overline{cab}) = \epsilon_5(\overline{cab} - \overline{bca}) = 0.$$

Case 2: If there is none of $\{x, y, z\}$ belonging to \mathfrak{T} , the nonzero terms of J(x, y, z) must be $\psi([X_{ik}(a), X_{kj}(b)], X_{kl}(c))$ or $\psi([X_{il}(a), X_{lj}(b)], X_{kl}(c))$, for distinct i, j, k, l and $a, b, c \in R$.

If $(i, j, k, l) \in \bigsqcup_{m=1}^{4} P_m$, it is the same as Case 2 in the proof of [GS, Lemma 2.3]. Thus, it is enough to check the following two subcases.

One is:
$$x = X_{12}(a), y = X_{23}(b), z = X_{24}(c),$$
 and

$$J(x, y, z) = \psi(X_{13}(ab), X_{24}(c)) - \psi(-X_{14}(ac), X_{23}(b))$$

$$= sign((1, 3, 2, 4))\epsilon_{\theta((1,3,2,4))}(\overline{abc}) + sign((1, 4, 2, 3))\epsilon_{\theta((1,4,2,3))}(\overline{acb})$$

$$= \epsilon_{5}(\overline{a(bc - cb)}) = 0.$$

The other is: $x = X_{14}(a), y = X_{43}(b), z = X_{24}(c)$, and

$$J(x, y, z) = -\psi(X_{13}(ab), X_{24}(c)) + \psi(-X_{23}(cb), X_{14}(a))$$

$$= -sign((1, 3, 2, 4))\epsilon_{\theta((1, 3, 2, 4))}(\overline{abc}) - sign((2, 3, 1, 4))\epsilon_{\theta((2, 3, 1, 4))}(\overline{acb}))$$

$$= -\epsilon_{5}(\overline{a(bc - cb)}) = 0$$

as
$$sign((1,3,4,2)) = 1$$
, $sign((1,4,2,3)) = sign((2,3,1,4)) = -1$ and

$$\theta((1,3,4,2)) = \theta((1,4,2,3)) = \theta((2,3,1,4)) = 5$$

for any $a, b, c \in R$. The proof is completed. \square

Remark 4.5 In view of the proof, for m = 5, 6, the m-th coordinate doesn't need modular 2R. In this case, ψ has already become a (super) 2-cocycle.

Since

$$\mathcal{U} = span_K\{\psi(X_{ij}(a), X_{kl}(b))| a, b \in R \text{ and } i, j, k, l \text{ are distinct}\}$$

and $deg(X_{ij}(a)) = deg(X_{kl}(b))$ for distinct $1 \le i, j, k, l \le 4$, we obtain a central extension of Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{st}(2, 2, R)$ satisfying that \mathcal{U} is the even part of the kernel:

$$0 \to (\mathcal{U})_{\bar{0}} \oplus (0)_{\bar{1}} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R) \stackrel{\pi}{\to} \mathfrak{st}(2,2,R) \to 0, \tag{4.6}$$

i.e.

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R) = ((\mathcal{U})_{\bar{0}} \oplus (0)_{\bar{1}}) \oplus \mathfrak{st}(2,2,R). \tag{4.7}$$

 $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R),\pi)$ is a central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)$. It is similar to the $\mathfrak{st}(3,1,R)$ case, we define a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)^{\sharp}$ to be the Lie superalgebra generated by the symbols $X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), a \in R$ and the K-linear space \mathcal{U} , with $\deg(X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a)) = \omega(i) + \omega(j)$ and $\deg(u) = \bar{0}$ for any $u \in \mathcal{U}$, satisfying the following relations:

$$a \mapsto X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a)$$
 is a K-linear mapping, (4.8)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{jk}^{\sharp}(b)] = X_{ik}^{\sharp}(ab), \text{ for distinct } i, j, k,$$
 (4.9)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), \mathcal{U}] = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, \tag{4.10}$$

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{ij}^{\sharp}(b)] = 0$$
, for distinct i, j , (4.11)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{ik}^{\sharp}(b)] = 0$$
, for distinct i, j, k , (4.12)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{kj}^{\sharp}(b)] = 0$$
, for distinct i, j, k , (4.13)

$$[X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a), X_{kl}^{\sharp}(b)] = sign((i, j, k, l))\epsilon_{\theta((i, j, k, l))}(\overline{ab}), \text{ for distinct } j, k, i, l,$$

$$(4.14)$$

where $a, b \in R, 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 4$. As $1 \in R$, $\mathfrak{st}(2, 2, R)^{\sharp}$ is perfect. Clearly, there is a unique Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathfrak{st}(2, 2, R)^{\sharp} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(2, 2, R)$ such that $\rho(X_{ij}^{\sharp}(a)) = X_{ij}(a)$ and $\rho|_{\mathcal{U}} = id$.

As was done in Lemma 3.14, we have

Lemma 4.15 $\rho: \mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)^{\sharp} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R)$ is a Lie superalgebra isomorphism.

Now we can state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.16 $(\widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R),\pi)$ is the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)$ and hence

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)) \cong (\mathcal{U})_{\bar{0}} \oplus (0)_{\bar{1}}.$$

Proof: Suppose that

$$0 \to \mathcal{V} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R) \overset{\tau}{\to} \mathfrak{st}(2,2,R) \to 0$$

is a central extension of $\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)$. We must show that there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\eta: \widehat{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R) \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R)$ so that $\tau \circ \eta = \pi$. Thus, by Lemma 4.15, it suffices to show that there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\xi: \mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)^{\sharp} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R)$ so that $\tau \circ \xi = \pi \circ \rho$.

We choose an appropriate preimage $\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a)$ of $X_{ij}(a)$ under τ , and check them satisfying (4.8)-(4.14). The difference from the proof of Theorem 3.18 is to treat $[\widetilde{X}_{ij}(a), \widetilde{X}_{kl}(b)]$, which is also denoted by $\nu_{kl}^{ij}(a,b)$.

We first have

$$\nu_{kj}^{il}(bc, a) = (-1)^{(\omega(k) + \omega(l))(\omega(k) + \omega(j))} \nu_{kl}^{ij}(ba, c).$$

Then taking b = 1 or c = 1,

$$\nu_{kj}^{il}(b,a) = (-1)^{(\omega(k)+\omega(l))(\omega(k)+\omega(j))} \nu_{kl}^{ij}(a,b) = (-1)^{(\omega(k)+\omega(l))(\omega(k)+\omega(j))} \nu_{kl}^{ij}(ba,1)$$
(4.17)

where $a, b \in R$ and i, j, k, l are distinct.

For $1 \leq m \leq 4$, there exists an element $(i, j, k, l) \in P_m$, such that $\omega(i) + \omega(j) = \bar{0}$, by (3.24), we obtain

$$2\nu_{kl}^{ij}(a,b) = 0 (4.18)$$

where $a, b \in R$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.18, one has

$$\nu_{kl}^{ij}(\mathcal{I}_2, 1) = 0. (4.19)$$

By (4.16), the equation holds for any $(i, j, k, l) \in \bigsqcup_{m=1}^{4} P_m$.

On the other hand, if m = 5, 6, for all $(i, j, k, l) \in P_m$, $\omega(i) + \omega(j) = \overline{1}$, then

$$\begin{split} \nu_{kl}^{ij}(c(ab-ba),1) &= \nu_{kl}^{ij}(ab-ba,c) = \nu_{kl}^{ij}(ab+(-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)}ba,c) \\ &= [\widetilde{X}_{ij}(ab+(-1)^{\omega(i)+\omega(j)}ba), \widetilde{X}_{kl}(c)] \\ &= \left[[\widetilde{T}_{ij}(a,b), \widetilde{X}_{ij}(1)], \widetilde{X}_{kl}(c) \right] \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

for $a, b, c \in R$, which shows

$$\nu_{kl}^{ij}(\mathcal{I}_0, 1) = 0 (4.20)$$

for $(i, j, k, l) \in P_5 \sqcup P_6$.

The rest of the proof is similar to Theorem 3.18, we can obtain $\xi : \mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)^{\sharp} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{st}}(2,2,R)$. The only difference is that we need paying attention to the sign of the restriction of ξ on \mathcal{U} as the 5-th and 6-th coordinate component of \mathcal{U} is R_0 . Let $\xi(\epsilon_m(\bar{a})) = sign((i,j,k,l))\nu_{kl}^{ij}(1,a)$, where sign(i,j,k,l) is defined before Lemma 4.3. It is easy to see that the choice of sign coincides with the (super) skew-symmetry and (4.17). Thus, the Lie homomorphism ψ is well defined on \mathcal{U} . \square

Remark 4.20 Note that $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)) \cong R_2^4 \oplus R_0^2$. Even 2 is an invertible element of K so that R = 2R and $R_2 = 0$, R_0 is not necessarily equal to 0. Particularly, if R is commutative, then $\mathcal{I}_0 = R[RR] = 0$ and $R_0 = R$. In this case, $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(2,2,R)) \cong R^2$ which is not trivial.

§5 Concluding remarks

Combining Theorem 1.19, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.18 and Theorem 4.15, we completely determined $H_2(\mathfrak{st}(m, n, R))$ for $m + n \geq 3$.

Theorem 5.1 let K be a unital commutative ring and R be a unital associative K-algebra. Assume that R has a K-basis containing the identity element. Then

$$H_2(\mathfrak{st}(m,n,R)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } m+n=3 \text{ and } m+n \geq 5 \\ (0)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (R_2^6)_{\bar{1}} & \text{for } m=3, n=1 \\ (R_2^4 \oplus R_0^2)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (0)_{\bar{1}} & \text{for } m=2, n=2 \end{cases}$$

which are \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded spaces.

It then follows from [MP] that

Theorem 5.2 let K be a unital commutative ring and R be a unital associative K-algebra. Assume that R has a K-basis containing the identity element. Then

$$H_2(sl_n(R)) = \begin{cases} (HC_1(R))_{\bar{0}} \oplus (0)_{\bar{1}} & \text{for } m+n=3 \text{ and } m+n \geq 5 \\ (HC_1(R))_{\bar{0}} \oplus (R_2^6)_{\bar{1}} & \text{for } m=3, n=1 \\ (R_2^4 \oplus R_0^2 \oplus HC_1(R))_{\bar{0}} \oplus (0)_{\bar{1}} & \text{for } m=2, n=2 \end{cases}$$

where $HC_1(R)$ is the first cyclic homology group of the associative K-algebra R (See [L]).

References

- [ABG] B. N. Allison, G. M. Benkart, Y. Gao, Central extensions of Lie algebras graded by finite root systems, Math. Ann. 316 (2000) 499–527.
- [AF] B. N. Allison and J. R. Faulkner, Nonassociative coefficient algebras for Steinberg unitary Lie algebras, J. Algebra 161 (1993) 1–19.
- [AG] B. N. Allison and Y. Gao, Central quotients and corverings of Steinberg unitary Algebras, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1996),261–304.
- [BeM] G. M. Benkart and R. V. Moody, *Derivations, central extensions and affine Lie algebras*, Algebras, Groups and Geometries 3 (1986) 456–492.
- [Bl] S. Bloch, The dilogarithm and extensions of Lie algebras, Alg. K-theory, Evanston 1980, Springer Lecture Notes in Math 854 (1981) 1–23.
- [G1] Y. Gao, Steiberg Unitary Lie Algebras and Skew-Dihedral Homology, J.Algebra,17 (1996),261–304.
- [G2] Y. Gao, On the Steinberg Lie algebras $st_2(R)$, Comm. in Alg. 21 (1993) 3691–3706.
- [GS] Y. Gao and S. Shang, Universal coverings of Steinberg Lie algebras of small characteristic, math.QA/0512188.
- [K] V. Kac, Lie superalgebras, Adv. Math., 26, No. 1, (1977) 8–96.
- [Ka] C. Kassel, Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie algebras extended over a commutative ring, J. Pure and Appl. Alg. 34 (1984) 265–275.
- [KL] C. Kassel and J-L. Loday, Extensions centrales d'algèbres de Lie, Ann. Inst. Fourier 32 (4) (1982) 119–142.
- [L] J-L. Loday, Cyclic homology, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 301,
 Springer 1992.

- [MP] A. V. Mikhalev and I. A. Pinchuk, Universal central extensions of the matrix Lie superalgebras sl(m, n, A), Int. Conf. in H.K.U., AMS, (2000) 111–125.
- [N] E. Neher, An introduction to universal central extensions of Lie superalgebras, Groups, rings, Lie and Hopf algebras (St. John's, NF, 2001), 141–166 Math. Appl., 555, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.

Department of Mathematics

University of Science and Technology of China

Hefei, Anhui

P. R. China 230026

hjchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn,

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

York University

Toronto, Ontario

Canada M3J 1P3

ygao@yorku.ca

and

Department of Mathematics

University of Science and Technology of China

Hefei, Anhui

P. R. China 230026

skshang@mail.ustc.edu.cn