

Gravitational interpretation of the Hitchin equations

Gábor Etesi

*Department of Geometry, Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Science,
Budapest University of Technology and Economics,
Egry J. u. 1, H ép., H-1111 Budapest, Hungary **

and

*Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica,
Universidade Estadual de Campinas,
C.P. 6065, 13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brazil†*

December 2, 2024

Abstract

By referring to theorems of Donaldson and Hitchin, we exhibit a rigorous AdS/CFT-type correspondence between classical $2 + 1$ dimensional vacuum general relativity theory on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin theory (regarded as a classical conformal field theory) on the spacelike past boundary Σ , a compact, oriented Riemann surface of genus greater than one.

More precisely, we prove that if over Σ with a fixed conformal class a real solution of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations with induced flat $\mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$ connection is given, then there exists a certain cohomology class of non-isometric, singular, flat Lorentzian metrics on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ whose Levi-Civita connections are precisely the lifts of this induced flat connection and the conformal class induced by this cohomology class on Σ agrees with the fixed one.

Conversely, given a singular, flat Lorentzian metric on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ the restriction of its Levi-Civita connection gives rise to a real solution of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations on Σ with respect to the conformal class induced by the corresponding cohomology class of the Lorentzian metric.

Within this framework we can interpret the $2 + 1$ dimensional vacuum Einstein equation as a decoupled “dual” version of the 2 dimensional $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations.

AMS Classification: Primary: 53C50; Secondary: 58J10, 83E99

1 Introduction

Recently there has been an interest among physicists in understanding the celebrated Maldacena conjecture or AdS/CFT correspondence [7]. Broadly speaking, this conjecture states the existence of a duality equivalence between some quantum gravitational theories on an anti-de

*etes@math.bme.hu

†etes@ime.unicamp.br

Sitter space M and quantum conformal field theories on the boundary at conformal infinity ∂M . At the semi-classical level and using a (Wick rotated) pure gravity theory in the bulk the correspondence was formulated by Witten [9] and states that

$$Z_{CFT}([\gamma]) = \sum e^{-I(g)} \quad (1)$$

where Z_{CFT} is the partition function of some conformal field theory attached to a conformal structure $[\gamma]$ on ∂M and I is the (renormalized) Einstein–Hilbert action of an Einstein metric on M with conformal infinity $[\gamma]$. The formal sum is taken over all manifolds and metrics (M, g) with given boundary data $(\partial M, [\gamma])$.

The problem at least in its strict classical form has attracted some attention from the mathematician’s side as well and led to nice geometrical results (cf. [1] for a survey and references therein). Our aim in this paper is to extend further its understanding and check a natural generalization of this correspondence on a very concrete example: the classical *Lorentzian* vacuum general relativity over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ on the gravitational side and $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin system—regarded as a classical conformal field theory—over Σ , a compact orientable Riemann surface on the conformal side. The paper may be viewed as an arch spanned by Witten’s ideas [10] between two massive bearers: a theorem of Hitchin [5] and another one by Donaldson [3] respectively, as follows.

The relationship between $2 + 1$ dimensional general relativity and gauge theory is not new. For example, Witten argued that Lorentzian vacuum general relativity theory should be equivalent to $\mathrm{ISO}(2, 1)$ Chern–Simons theory at the full quantum level; thereby general relativity in $2 + 1$ dimensions is not only exactly soluble at the classical level but also renormalizable as a quantum field theory [10]. The key technical tool here is formulating general relativity in terms of a connection and a “dreibein”, instead of a metric. This observation is remarkable because establishing a relationship between general relativity and Yang–Mills theory apparently fails in any other dimensions, despite the efforts made over the past thirty years. In the holographic approach however, Chern–Simons theory may play a role in finding self-dual fields in various dimensions [2].

Nevertheless in the present paper we point out another link: As we already have mentioned, $2 + 1$ dimensional vacuum general relativity induces a 2 dimensional gauge theory on the past boundary in a natural way. This link is probably not surprising because in our opinion three dimensional gravity in a *vacuum* is a two rather than three dimensional theory in its nature as can be seen by a simple topological argument. In three dimensions a Ricci flat space is flat. Although every compact, orientable three-manifold has zero Euler characteristic i.e., admits Lorentzian structures, only six of them are flat: These are the six orientable compact flat three-manifolds and are not interesting examples because all of them are just finitely covered by the three-torus. Consequently we have to seek non-compact spaces such as the annulus $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$; however these are rather two dimensional objects from a topological viewpoint.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove that a real solution of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations over a compact oriented Riemann surface Σ of genus $g > 1$ induces a certain cohomology class of singular solutions of the Lorentzian vacuum Einstein equations over the annulus $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. This solutions appear naturally expressed via a connection and a dreibein. This construction is based on a theorem of Hitchin [5] (cf. Theorem 2.1 here) on the relationship between real $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin pairs and flat $\mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$ connections. Conversely, in Section 3, by referring to a theorem of Donaldson [3] (cf. Theorem 3.1 here) which states the equivalence between flat $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections and $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin pairs, we present the reversed construction namely, starting from a flat singular Lorentzian metric on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ one can recover a unique solution of the Hitchin equations on Σ , interpreted as the past boundary of the annulus.

We present our main results in Section 4. On the one hand we exhibit the correspondence in a precise form using the field equations (cf. Theorem 4.1). Then rephrase the correspondence in terms of the metric on the gravitational side. This way we can see that this correspondence generalizes the usual geometric AdS/CFT situation because the conformal geometry on the boundary emerges in a rather abstract way by exploiting the conformal properties of a massless Dirac-like equation.

On the other hand as a remarkable consequence we make the observation that there is an interesting similarity between Hitchin equations and vacuum Einstein equation. Namely, this later one can be regarded as a decomposition of the former by interpreting the flat $SO(2,1)$ connection as dual to the original (non-flat) $SO(3)$ connection and the dreibein as a dual to the original Higgs field.

Finally, we conclude with some speculations on the subject in Section 5.

2 From Hitchin pairs to flat metrics

The embedding $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ induces the factorized embedding $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \subset PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and we will write $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \cong SO(2, 1)$. Let $(\Sigma, [\gamma])$ be a compact, oriented Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$ with the conformal equivalence class of a smooth Riemannian metric γ that is, a complex structure on it. Moreover let P be an $SO(3)$ principal bundle over Σ with either $w_2(P) = 0$ or $w_2(P) = 1$ and denote by $P^{\mathbb{C}}$ the corresponding complexified $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ principal bundle. Regarding $SO(3)$ as real form of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ there is an associated anti-involution $*$ of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. If ∇_A is an $SO(3)$ connection with curvature F_A on P and $\Phi \in \Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma, \text{ad}(P^{\mathbb{C}}))$ is a complex Higgs field then the Hitchin equations over $(\Sigma, [\gamma])$ read as follows:

$$\begin{cases} F_A + [\Phi, \Phi^*] &= 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \Phi &= 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Recall that these equations are the dimensional reduction of the four dimensional $SO(3)$ self-duality equations hence the reason for their conformal invariance.

Consider a solution (∇_A, Φ) of (2) associated to a fixed $SO(3)$ principal bundle P . If $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is the affine space of $SO(3)$ connections over P then a map $\alpha : \mathcal{A}(P) \times \Omega^{1,0}(\Sigma, \text{ad}(P^{\mathbb{C}})) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(P^{\mathbb{C}})$ is defined as follows:

$$\alpha(\nabla_A, \Phi) = \nabla_A + \Phi + \Phi^*. \quad (3)$$

Clearly the map descends to the gauge equivalence classes. Locally, on an open subset the resulting $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connection ∇_B looks like $\nabla_B|_U = d + B_U$ with $B_U = A_U + \Phi + \Phi^*$. It is easy to see that ∇_B is flat. Indeed, one quickly calculates

$$F_B = dB + B \wedge B = F_A + [\Phi, \Phi^*] + \bar{\partial}_A \Phi + \partial_A \Phi^* = 0$$

via (2). One may raise the question if ∇_B is moreover real valued i.e., if takes its value in $SO(2, 1)$. This is answered by a theorem of Hitchin (cf. Proposition 10.2 and Theorem 10.8 in [5]) which is the starting point of our discussion:

Theorem 2.1 (Hitchin, 1987) *Let $(\Sigma, [\gamma])$ be a compact, oriented Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$ endowed with a conformal equivalence class $[\gamma]$ of a smooth Riemannian metric γ . Let P be a principal $SO(3)$ bundle over Σ satisfying either $w_2(P) = 0$ or $w_2(P) = 1$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(P)$*

the moduli space of gauge equivalence classes of smooth solutions to the $SO(3)$ Hitchin equations over P with respect to $[\gamma]$. Consider a map $\sigma : \mathcal{M}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$ given by

$$\sigma([(\nabla_A, \Phi)]) := [(\nabla_A, -\Phi)].$$

The fixed point set of σ has connected components $\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_4, \dots, \mathcal{M}_{2g-2}$ for $w_2(P) = 0$ and $\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_3, \dots, \mathcal{M}_{2g-3}$ for $w_2(P) = 1$. The subset \mathcal{M}_0 is the space of flat $SO(3)$ connections on P while \mathcal{M}_k with $k > 0$ can be identified with the $6g - 6$ dimensional moduli of smooth, flat, irreducible $SO(2, 1)$ connections of the form (3) on certain principal $SO(2, 1)$ bundles Q_k of Euler class k over Σ . \diamond

Remark. Putting a suitable complex structure J onto $\mathcal{M}(P)$ the map σ can be regarded as an anti-holomorphic involution i.e., a *real structure* on $(\mathcal{M}(P), J)$. This explains why complex flat connections of the form (3) corresponding to the fixed point set of σ inherit a real nature in the sense above (cf. [5], Section 10 for details). Notice that *all* irreducible, flat $SO(2, 1)$ connections over Σ with non-zero Euler class arise this way.

We wish to use these real, flat, irreducible connections to construct certain flat Lorentzian metrics over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ with a fixed orientation induced by the orientation of Σ . Consider the standard 3 dimensional real, irreducible representation $\varrho : SO(2, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and take the associated real rank 3 vector bundles $E_k := Q_k \times_{\varrho} \mathbb{R}^3$. We restrict attention to the bundle E_{2g-2} for which we have an isomorphism $E_{2g-2} \cong T\Sigma \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}$. For simplicity we shall denote this bundle as E and the associated irreducible, flat $SO(2, 1)$ connections of Theorem 2.1 on E as ∇_B .

Let $\pi : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the obvious projection and consider the pullback bundle π^*E . This bundle admits an irreducible, flat $SO(2, 1)$ connection $\pi^*\nabla_B$ by pulling back ∇_B from E . In the remaining part of the paper we will study the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E) \xrightarrow{\pi^*\nabla_B} \Omega^1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E) \xrightarrow{\pi^*\nabla'_B} \Omega^2(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

and in particular its first cohomology

$$H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B) = \frac{\text{Ker}(\pi^*\nabla'_B)}{\text{Im}(\pi^*\nabla_B)}$$

which will turn out to be of central importance to us.

Let $\widehat{\pi^*E}$ be an affine vector bundle over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ whose underlying vector bundle is π^*E . Fix an element $\xi \in \Omega^1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E)$. We can regard ξ as a fiberwise translation in $\widehat{\pi^*E}$ by writing $\xi_X(v) := v + \xi(X)$ with X a vector field on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in \Omega^0(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \widehat{\pi^*E})$. Therefore we have an embedding

$$\Omega^1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E) \subset \Omega^1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \text{End}(\widehat{\pi^*E})).$$

Consequently if $\pi^*\nabla_B$ is a flat $SO(2, 1)$ connection on π^*E then

$$\hat{\nabla}_{B,\xi} := \pi^*\nabla_B + \xi \quad (5)$$

is an $ISO(2, 1)$ connection on $\widehat{\pi^*E}$ where $ISO(2, 1)$ denotes the $2+1$ dimensional Poincaré group. Its curvature is

$$\hat{F}_{B,\xi} = \pi^*F_B + (\pi^*\nabla'_B)\xi + \xi \wedge \xi = (\pi^*\nabla'_B)\xi$$

since translations commute. We obtain that $\hat{\nabla}_{B,\xi}$ is flat if and only if

$$(\pi^*\nabla'_B)\xi = 0. \quad (6)$$

Observe that *all* irreducible, flat $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ connections arise this way. Clearly the gauge equivalence class of $\hat{\nabla}_{B, \xi}$ is unchanged if $\pi^*\nabla_B$ is replaced by an $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ gauge equivalent connection and ξ by $\xi + (\pi^*\nabla_B)v$ with an arbitrary section $v \in \Omega^0(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E)$. Therefore on the one hand we identify the space $H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ with the underlying vector space of gauge equivalence classes of smooth flat $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ connections on $\widehat{\pi^*E}$ with fixed $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ part $\pi^*\nabla_B$.

On the other hand out of a flat $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ connection one can construct a singular Lorentzian metric on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ as follows. Fix once and for all a smooth $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ metric h on π^*E (notice that this bundle is an $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ bundle) and pick up a flat connection of the form (5) on $\widehat{\pi^*E}$. Via the isomorphism

$$\Omega^1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E) \cong \Gamma(\text{Hom}(T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}), \pi^*E))$$

we can interpret ξ as a “dreibein” $\xi : T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \pi^*E$ (since π^*E and $T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$ are isomorphic bundles). Assume that ξ is invertible as a bundle map that is, $\xi_x^{-1} : (\pi^*E)_x \rightarrow T_x(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$ exists for all $x \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. Using ξ we can construct a smooth Lorentzian metric $g_\xi := h \circ (\xi \times \xi)$ on $T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$. That is, locally $g_{ij} = \xi_i^p \xi_j^q h_{pq}$. We can suppose that the metric constructed this way is inextensible. The connection $\xi^{-1} \circ (\pi^*\nabla_B) \circ \xi$ is compatible with g_ξ hence it represents the Levi-Civita connection of g_ξ if it is torsion free. However this is provided by (6) since this equation is just the Cartan equation for the metric g_ξ and the connection $\xi^{-1} \circ (\pi^*\nabla_B) \circ \xi$. This shows that g_ξ is flat. We obtain that a flat connection (5) gives rise to the pair of a Lorentzian metric on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ and its Levi-Civita connection

$$g_\xi = h \circ (\xi \times \xi), \quad \nabla_{B, \xi} = \xi^{-1} \circ (\pi^*\nabla_B) \circ \xi. \quad (7)$$

Let us say that two metrics are equivalent if they dreibeins differ only by a transformation $\xi \mapsto \xi + (\pi^*\nabla_B)v$. In other words we assign a metric to the cohomology class $[\xi]$ of ξ only. Identifying metrics this way has the advantage that although a particular metric (7) can be singular in the sense that its Levi-Civita connection hence curvature may not exist if ξ is not invertible everywhere, within the equivalence class however we can always pass to a smooth representant describing an ordinary metric on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$.

Notice that $g_{\pm\xi}$ are identical metrics (accordingly, $\nabla_{B, \pm\xi}$ are equivalent). Define an action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ via $[\xi] \mapsto [-\xi]$. Then the quotient $H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is identified with the space of equivalence classes of flat Lorentzian metrics on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form (7).

If G is a Lie group, consider the space

$$\text{Hom}_0(\pi_1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}), G)/G,$$

where Hom_0 denotes the discrete embeddings of $\pi_1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}) \cong \pi_1(\Sigma)$ into G . It can be identified with one connected component of the space of gauge equivalence classes of flat G connections on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ and has real dimension $(2g-2) \dim G$. From our construction it is clear that $H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ can be described as the space of flat $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ connections modulo flat $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ connections showing its real dimension is $h^1 = 12g - 12 - (6g - 6) = 6g - 6$. Therefore, putting all these things together, we have proved:

Proposition 2.2 *The first cohomology $H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ of the complex (4) admits the following two interpretations.*

First $H^1(\pi^\nabla_B)$ can be identified with the underlying vector space of gauge equivalence classes of those flat $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ connections on $\widehat{\pi^*E}$, an affine vector bundle with underlying vector bundle π^*E , which are of the form (5).*

Secondly the quotient $H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ can be identified with the space of equivalence classes of inextensible, flat, singular Lorentzian metrics on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form (7).

We have $h^1 = 6g - 6$ for the corresponding Betti number. \diamond

In light of Proposition 2.2 we can assign many inequivalent Lorentzian metrics on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$, solutions to the 2+1 dimensional vacuum Einstein equations, to a given flat, irreducible $SO(2, 1)$ connection of maximal Euler class. In order to achieve a more explicit description of these singular metrics, we have to analyze the solutions of the Cartan equation (6) on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. We can do this by carrying out a suitable $ISO(2, 1)$ gauge transformation on the connections in (5).

The splitting $T^*(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \pi^*E \cong (T^*\Sigma \otimes \pi^*E) \oplus (T^*\mathbb{R} \otimes \pi^*E)$ allows us to decompose a dreibein $\xi \in \Omega^1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E)$ as $\xi = \pi^*\xi_t + u_t dt$ with $\xi_t \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, E)$, $u_t \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, E)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In the obvious temporal gauge (see the next section) for $\pi^*\nabla_B$ we have $\pi^*\nabla'_B = \nabla'_B + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}dt$ and then (6) reads as

$$\nabla'_B \xi_t + \left(\frac{\partial \xi_t}{\partial t} + \nabla_B u_t \right) \wedge dt + \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} dt \wedge dt = 0$$

or simply

$$\nabla'_B \xi_t = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \xi_t}{\partial t} + \nabla_B u_t = 0 \quad (8)$$

over $\Sigma \times \{t\}$. By fixing a Coulomb gauge on the inhomogeneous part ξ as a next step, we can adjust the first equation in (8) into an elliptic one as follows. Consider the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(\Sigma, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla_B} \Omega^1(\Sigma, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla'_B} \Omega^2(\Sigma, E) \rightarrow 0, \quad (9)$$

whose pullback is (4). Using the orientation and picking up a metric γ on Σ take the associated elliptic complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^1(\Sigma, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla'_B} \Omega^0(\Sigma, E) \oplus \Omega^2(\Sigma, E) \rightarrow 0.$$

We claim that

Proposition 2.3 *Consider a compact, oriented Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$ and fix a metric γ on it. Let $\pi^*\nabla_B$ be an arbitrary flat $SO(2, 1)$ connection on $\pi^*E \cong T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$. Then there is a natural vector space isomorphism, depending only on the conformal class $[\gamma]$*

$$H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B) \cong \text{Ker}(\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla'_B).$$

That is, for all $[\xi] \in H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ there is a unique gauge transformation $\xi' := \xi + (\pi^*\nabla_B)v$ with $v \in \Omega^0(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E)$ such that all solutions of (6) take the shape $\xi' = \pi^*\eta_{[\xi]}$ with

$$\eta_{[\xi]} = a_1\eta_1 + a_2\eta_2 + \dots + a_{6g-6}\eta_{6g-6}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants, $\eta_i \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, E)$ with $i = 1, \dots, 6g - 6$ form a fixed basis for the kernel of the elliptic operator $\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla'_B$. That is, in this gauge ξ' is independent of time.

Notice that this gauge transformation keeps ξ within its cohomology class therefore indeed all solutions of the original Cartan equation (6) over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ are of this form up to a gauge transformation.

Proof. For technical reasons we temporally put an auxiliary Riemannian metric onto E to carry out the calculations in the course of this proof. We shall denote by h^0 , h^1 and h^2 the corresponding Betti numbers of (9). The index of this complex is equal to

$$\text{Index}(\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla_B') = - \int_{\Sigma} (3 + c_1(E^{\mathbb{C}})) \wedge (1 + e(T\Sigma)) = -3 \cdot (2 - 2g) = 6g - 6$$

since $E^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ bundle consequently its first Chern class vanishes (in fact $E^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a trivial bundle). On the other hand $\text{Index}(\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla_B') = -h^0 + h^1 - h^2$ and Proposition 2.2 shows that $h^1 = 6g - 6$ hence we find $h^0 = 0$ that is, $\text{Ker} \nabla_B = \text{Coker} \nabla_B^* = \{0\}$ and $h^2 = 0$ hence $\text{Coker} \nabla_B' = \{0\}$ showing that actually

$$\text{Index}(\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla_B') = \dim \text{Ker}(\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla_B') = 6g - 6.$$

A gauge transformation $\xi'_t := \xi_t + \nabla_B v_t$ and $u'_t := u_t + \partial v_t / \partial t$ with $v_t = v|_{\Sigma \times \{t\}} \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, E)$ such that ξ'_t satisfies the *elliptic* equation

$$(\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla_B') \xi'_t = 0 \tag{10}$$

exists if and only if $\Delta_B v_t = -\nabla_B^* \xi_t$ for the gauge parameter v_t where $\Delta_B = \nabla_B^* \nabla_B$ is the trace Laplacian of ∇_B . This equation has solution if $\nabla_B^* \xi_t$ is orthogonal to the cokernel of Δ_B that is, the kernel of Δ_B . However $\text{Ker} \Delta_B \cong \text{Ker} \nabla_B$ which is trivial as we have seen hence $\nabla_B^* \xi_t$ is certainly orthogonal to the trivial cokernel of Δ_B . Moreover this gauge transformation is unique.

Therefore picking up a fixed basis in the kernel of the elliptic operator and observing that $H^1(\pi^* \nabla_B)$ and $\text{Ker}(\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla_B')$ are of equal dimensions we can write all solutions of the first equation of (8) as

$$\xi'_t = f(t)(a_1 \eta_1 + a_2 \eta_2 + \dots + a_{6g-6} \eta_{6g-6})$$

with a universal function $f(t)$, independent of $\pi^* \nabla_B$. The concrete shape of this function emerges by observing that in this gauge, taking into account the second equation of (8) too, we find

$$\xi'_t \in \text{Ker} \nabla_B^*, \quad \frac{\partial \xi'_t}{\partial t} \perp_{L^2(\Sigma)} \text{Ker} \nabla_B^*$$

by referring to an L^2 scalar product over Σ . Consequently

$$0 = \left\langle \xi'_t, \frac{\partial \xi'_t}{\partial t} \right\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi'_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2$$

implying ξ'_t is independent of time in this gauge therefore we have to set $f(t) = 1$. We denote this ξ'_t as $\eta_{[\xi]}$. Furthermore (8) yields $\nabla_B u'_t = 0$ hence $u'_t = 0$ by uniqueness as claimed. Taking into account the conformal invariance of (10), which is essentially the massless Dirac equation, the result follows. \diamond

Remarks. From the viewpoint of $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ gauge theory, we interpret this result as the existence of temporal gauge for a connection (5). Indeed, its $\pi^* \nabla_B$ part is time-independent (see next section) as well as the translation ξ' as we have seen. In light of this proposition a generic representant $\xi \in [\xi] \in H^1(\pi^* \nabla_B)$ looks like

$$\xi = \pi^*(\eta_{[\xi]} + \nabla_B v_t) + \frac{\partial v_t}{\partial t} dt \tag{11}$$

and we can suppose that its characteristic part $\eta_{[\xi]}$ is always smooth by elliptic regularity. Concerning the vector field v_t we only know *a priori* that it somehow diverges as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ because the corresponding metric is inextensible by assumption, but otherwise arbitrary. For simplicity we suppose it is smooth (we could relax this regularity).

We provide a further description of $H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ which points out its relationship with the Teichmüller space of Σ . First we carry out a further splitting of the dreibein as follows. Take an arbitrary dreibein as in (11) with its relevant part $\eta_{[\xi]} \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, E)$, a fixed, time-independent element. Taking into account the (non-canonical) isomorphism $E \cong T\Sigma \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}$ we can uniquely write $\Omega^1(\Sigma, E) \cong \Omega^1(\Sigma, V) \oplus \Omega^1(\Sigma)$ with a vector bundle $V \cong T\Sigma$ (non-canonical isomorphism). Consequently we have a unique decomposition

$$\eta_{[\xi]} = \alpha_{[\xi]} + \beta_{[\xi]}$$

where $\alpha_{[\xi]} \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, V)$ can be identified with the “zweibein” of a Riemannian metric on Σ if it is invertible. Observe however that this splitting is not obeyed by the connection ∇'_B . Nevertheless we fix an orientation on Σ and set

$$V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B) := \{[\xi] \in H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B) \mid \det \alpha_{[\xi]} > 0\}.$$

Then we assert that

Proposition 2.4 *Let $\pi^*\nabla_B$ be a smooth, irreducible flat $SO(2,1)$ connection on the bundle $\pi^*E \cong T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$ over a compact oriented Riemann surface Σ of $g > 1$. Consider its cohomology group $H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$. Then there is a natural homeomorphism*

$$V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B) \cong \mathcal{T}$$

for its regular part where \mathcal{T} denotes the Teichmüller space of Σ . (Instead of V^+ one can use V^- , defined similarly to get a homeomorphism with the Teichmüller space of Σ with reversed orientation.)

Proof. It is very simple. Fix an orientation on Σ and define a map $\phi : V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ as follows:

$$\phi([\xi]) := [\gamma_{[\xi]}] \tag{12}$$

where $[\gamma_{[\xi]}]$ is the conformal class of a smooth Riemannian metric on Σ whose zweibein is $\alpha_{[\xi]}$ with $\det \alpha_{[\xi]} > 0$, uniquely given by $[\xi]$. Clearly the map ϕ is injective and since $V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ and \mathcal{T} are of equal dimensions, it is surjective as well.

The inverse map $\phi^{-1} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ is constructed as follows. Given $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{T}$ take a representant $\gamma \in [\gamma]$. This defines an elliptic operator $\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla'_B$ and a zweibein $\alpha_\gamma \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, V)$ with $\det \alpha_\gamma > 0$. The metric γ is fixed within its conformal class so that there exists a $\beta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$ providing a solution of the elliptic equation (depending only on $[\gamma]$)

$$(\nabla_B^* \oplus \nabla'_B)(\alpha_\gamma + \beta) = 0.$$

Letting $\eta_{[\gamma]} := \alpha_\gamma + \beta$ define $[\xi_{[\gamma]}]$ via (11). Then

$$\phi^{-1}([\gamma]) := [\xi_{[\gamma]}] \tag{13}$$

which is indeed the desired inverse.

Finally observe that reversing the orientation of Σ the space $V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ is mapped into $V^-(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ and vice versa. \diamond

Remark. We find that $V^\pm(\pi^*\nabla_B) \cong \mathbb{R}^{6g-6}$ since the same is true for the Teichmüller space (cf. e.g. Corollary 11.10 in [5]). This implies that actually $\det \alpha_{[\xi]}$ is either identically zero or never vanishes over Σ .

Let us check some examples. The zero dreibein $0 \in [0] \in H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ corresponds to the totally degenerated “metric” $g_0 = 0$ on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ for an arbitrary flat connection.

A less trivial example: Let $(\pi^*\nabla_B)v \in [0] \in H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ be another representant, a pure gauge still within the zero cohomology class. The corresponding dreibein arises by taking $\eta_{[0]} = 0$ in (11) with a vector field v_t . We put very simply $v_t := ta$ with $t > 0$ and the constant $a = 0 + 1 \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, V) \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma) \cong \Omega^0(\Sigma, E)$. Write γ for the metric whose zweibein is $\nabla_B 1$ then the resulting metric is the incomplete cone metric

$$-dt^2 + t^2\gamma$$

over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^+$ as in [4] (cf. also [1] and [10]) and in particular γ is of constant -1 curvature.

Summing up we have the following characterization of singular Lorentzian metrics; the straightforward verification is left to the reader.

Proposition 2.5 *Let $\pi^*\nabla_B$ be a smooth, irreducible, flat $SO(2,1)$ connection on the bundle $\pi^*E \cong T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$ over a compact, oriented Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$. Consider a cohomology class $[\xi] \in H^1(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ representing an equivalence class of singular metrics g_ξ as in (7). Then*

- (i) *A generic element g_ξ is an inextensible, smooth tensor field on $T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$;*
- (ii) *If $\xi \in [\xi]$ is moreover invertible everywhere then g_ξ is an inextensible, smooth, flat, globally hyperbolic Lorentzian metric on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\Sigma \times \{t\}$ representing Cauchy surfaces. The metric g_ξ may fail to be complete;*
- (ii) *The regular part $V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ is parameterized by the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T} of the oriented Riemann surface. \diamond*

Remark. We emphasize that the cohomology class $[\xi]$ is quite immense from a geometric viewpoint: The corresponding non-isometric flat metrics have rich asymptotics, depending on the gauge parameter v in (11). It is remarkable that nonetheless we have been able to assign a unique boundary conformal class at least to each class in $V^+(\pi^*\nabla)$ in a natural way via Propositions 2.3 and 2.4. However this assignment is less geometric in its nature: The conformal class to $[\xi]$ does not arise by simply restricting a particular metric within $[\xi]$ to some boundary at infinity.

Before closing this section let us summarize what is known at this point. Starting with an irreducible, real solution of the $SO(3)$ Hitchin equations over Σ which belongs to the connected component \mathcal{M}_{2g-2} of Theorem 2.1, we have been able to find an associated $6g - 6$ dimensional moduli of inequivalent singular solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ via Proposition 2.2. However if we take into account not only the Hitchin pair itself but the orientation and the conformal class $[\gamma]$ on Σ as well—which are implicitly present—then we can assign a *unique* cohomology class of singular metrics using Propositions 2.3 and 2.4. This distinguished class of solutions simply arises by picking up *that* equivalence class on the bulk whose corresponding

boundary conformal class in the sense of Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 yields precisely the conformal class $[\gamma]$ on the Σ regarded as the spacelike past boundary of $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$.

In the next section we are looking for the inverse construction.

3 The inverse construction

Next we focus our attention to the reverse construction. This will turn out to be simple by referring to a powerful theorem of Donaldson. We continue to consider compact, orientable Riemann surfaces of genus greater than one.

Assume a smooth, flat, probably incomplete singular Lorentzian metric g is given on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ stemming from an irreducible, smooth, flat $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ connection on an affine bundle $\hat{\tilde{E}}$ whose underlying vector bundle is $\tilde{E} \cong T(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$. We claim that any flat $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ connection is of the form (5) hence this singular metric and its Levi–Civita connection look like (7) with a flat connection ∇_B over the $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ bundle E over Σ whose principal bundle is Q_{2g-2} and $\tilde{E} = \pi^*E$ and a translation ξ .

Indeed, let Γ be a discretely embedded subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, isomorphic to $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Since $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the isometry group of the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 we can construct a model for Σ as the quotient $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma \cong \Sigma$ together with the projection $p : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \Sigma$. We extend this to a map $p : \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ acting as the identity on \mathbb{R} . Then given a flat $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ connection $\tilde{\nabla}_B$ on \tilde{E} its pullback can be written as $p^*\tilde{\nabla}_B = d + f^{-1}df$ with a Γ -periodic function $f : \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. We can always gauge away the \mathbb{R} -component of the pullback connection i.e., we can assume that $f^{-1}\partial_t f = 0$ yielding f is independent of t . Consequently in this “temporal gauge” the connection $p^*\tilde{\nabla}_B$ hence $\tilde{\nabla}_B$ looks like $\pi^*\nabla_B$ on $\pi^*E \cong \tilde{E}$ over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ i.e., gives rise to a flat $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ connection ∇_B on $E \cong T\Sigma \oplus \mathbb{R}$. We conclude that a flat $\text{ISO}(2, 1)$ connection is of the form (5) with ∇_B a flat connection and ξ a translation. Consequently the associated singular metric g possesses the properties summarized in Proposition 2.5 hence we shall denote it as g_ξ .

In particular if ξ is a representant of a cohomology class in $V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ then via Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 it gives rise to a unique boundary conformal class $[\gamma]$ on Σ , regarded as the spacelike past boundary of $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ with induced orientation. Moreover the restriction of its Levi–Civita connection to Σ yields a unique, irreducible flat $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ connection on E . Given these data: $[\gamma]$ and ∇_B on Σ one can raise the question whether or not they correspond to a (real) solution of the $\text{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations. If yes, then we have $w_2(P) = 0$ for the corresponding $\text{SO}(3)$ principal bundle over Σ since the Euler class of the underlying $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ principal bundle of $E = E_{2g-2}$ is even.

The question is answered in the affirmative by the following theorem [3]:

Theorem 3.1 (Donaldson, 1987) *Let P be an $\text{SO}(3)$ principal bundle over a compact, oriented Riemann surface $(\Sigma, [\gamma])$. Assume ∇_B is an irreducible flat $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ connection on $P^\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists an $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ gauge transformation on the complexified bundle $P^\mathbb{C}$ taking the flat connection into the form $\nabla_A + \Phi + \Phi^*$ where the pair (∇_A, Φ) satisfies the $\text{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations (2) with respect to the conformal class $[\gamma]$ and the orientation on Σ . \diamond*

Remark. If the flat, irreducible $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ connection is real then the resulting Hitchin pair is also real in the sense of Theorem 2.1 and in particular our real solutions are mapped into the \mathcal{M}_{2g-2} component.

4 An AdS/CFT-type correspondence

The time has come to bring all of our findings together. These lead us to an AdS/CFT-type correspondence between classical 2 + 1 dimensional vacuum general relativity on the bulk space-time $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ and 2 dimensional $SO(3)$ Hitchin theory—regarded as a classical conformal field theory—on the spacelike past boundary Σ .

We find the most expressive way to present the duality equivalence by formulating it in terms of the corresponding field equations. Then we can rephrase it by referring to the solutions themselves. For notational simplicity we continue to denote a real Hitchin pair on the principal $SO(3)$ bundle P with $w_2(P) = 0$ as (∇_A, Φ) while $\nabla_A + \Phi + \Phi^*$ is the associated flat connection on the $SO(2, 1)$ vector bundle E of the $SO(2, 1)$ principal bundle Q_{2g-2} of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $(\Sigma, [\gamma])$ be an oriented, compact Riemann surface Σ of genus $g > 1$ with a fixed conformal class. Consider $[(\nabla_A, \Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_{2g-2}$, an irreducible, real solution of the Hitchin equations on the $SO(3)$ principal bundle P over Σ . Then this pair, consisting of the gauge equivalence class of an $SO(3)$ connection ∇_A and a complex Higgs field Φ , satisfies the Hitchin equations over Σ :*

$$\begin{cases} F_A + [\Phi, \Phi^*] &= 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \Phi &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Then there is a unique associated pair $[(\nabla_B, \xi)]$ consisting of the gauge equivalence class of a flat $SO(2, 1)$ connection $\nabla_B := \nabla_A + \Phi + \Phi^$ on E and the cohomology class of a dreibein $\xi \in \Omega^1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E)$ defined by $[\xi] := \phi^{-1}([\gamma])$ (cf. (13)) such that they satisfy the real vacuum Einstein equation over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ with induced natural orientation:*

$$\begin{cases} \pi^* F_B &= 0 \\ (\pi^* \nabla'_B) \xi &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Conversely, given a real solution $[(\pi^ \nabla_B, \xi)]$ of the vacuum Einstein equation over the naturally oriented $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ with ξ representing an element of $V^+(\pi^* \nabla_B)$ then there exists a unique irreducible, real solution $[(\nabla_A, \Phi)]$ of the Hitchin equations on the $SO(3)$ principal bundle P over $(\Sigma, [\gamma])$ with induced orientation such that $\nabla_A + \Phi + \Phi^*$ is $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ gauge equivalent to ∇_B on E and $[\gamma] := \phi([\xi])$ (cf. (12)). \diamond*

This implies that there is a kind of correspondence between certain smooth, real, irreducible solutions of 2 dimensional $SO(3)$ Hitchin equations and solutions of the 2 + 1 dimensional vacuum Einstein equation expressed in the more usual form of a metric as follows.

Associated to $[(\nabla_A, \Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_{2g-2}$ over the oriented $(\Sigma, [\gamma])$ there are singular solutions g_ξ of the Lorentzian vacuum Einstein equation on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ with natural induced orientation such that

- (i) The metric and its Levi–Civita connection are of the form (7) with $\nabla_B = \nabla_A + \Phi + \Phi^*$ and some ξ . The isometry classes of these singular metrics are parameterized by the cohomology class $[\xi] \in V^+(\pi^* \nabla_B)$;
- (ii) The conformal class $\phi([\xi])$ induced by g_ξ in the sense of Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 on Σ , regarded as the spacelike past boundary of $(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, g_\xi)$, is equal to $[\gamma]$.

Conversely, given a singular solution g_ξ of the Lorentzian vacuum Einstein equation on the naturally oriented $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form (7) with ξ representing an element in $V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B)$ then there is a unique real solution $[(\nabla_A, \Phi)] \in \mathcal{M}_{2g-2}$ of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations over the spacelike past boundary $(\Sigma, [\gamma])$ with induced orientation such that

- (i) The connection $\nabla_A + \Phi + \Phi^*$ is $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ gauge equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection of g_ξ restricted to Σ in temporal gauge;
- (ii) The conformal class $[\gamma]$ on Σ is equal to $\phi([\xi])$ induced by g_ξ .

We can see at this point that this correspondence can be interpreted as a sort of *generalized* AdS/CFT correspondence between these theories. By “generalized” we mean the kind of rendering boundary conformal classes to bulk metrics: They do *not* arise geometrically by taking the conformal class of the bulk metric and then restricting one of its representant to the past or future boundary of the bulk. Rather we associate the same conformal geometry to metrics of probably very different asymptotics, parameterized by a cohomology class and the conformal geometry arises in an abstract way exploiting the conformal properties of a massless Dirac-like equation as explained in Propositions 2.3 and 2.4.

We decided to present the main result in terms of the field equations not only because of their impressive form but in this way we can also point out that the $2 + 1$ dimensional vacuum Einstein equation, if formulated in terms of a connection and a dreibein, can be viewed as a sort of “decoupled” version of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations: It is challenging to view the flat $\mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$ connection ∇_B as the “dual” connection to the non-flat $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ connection ∇_A and the dreibein ξ as “dual” Higgs field to Φ and vice versa. The straightforward advantage of the Einstein equation over the Hitchin equations is that the former is decoupled. Observe that at least formally we have no reason to restrict this description to real solutions hence this duality can in principle continue to hold for a generic complex solution of the Hitchin equations (in the sense that the associated flat connection belongs to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$) and complex dual Higgs field $\xi^\mathbb{C} \in \Omega_\mathbb{C}^1(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E^\mathbb{C})$.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we presented a natural classical AdS/CFT-type duality between three dimensional Lorentzian vacuum general relativity and two dimensional Hitchin conformal field theory. This correspondence might be considered as a physical interpretation of at least the real solutions of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations (cf. the Introduction of [5]).

One may try to probe this correspondence beyond the classical level by calculating (1) in this context. Fix a cohomology class $[\xi] \in V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B)$. Then on the conformal side we have the unique data $([\gamma_{[\xi]}], [(\nabla_A, \Phi)])$ on Σ with a real Hitchin pair while on the gravitational side we find Lorentzian metrics g_ξ on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ parametrized by $[\xi]$. Then the partition function of the Hitchin conformal field theory is formally equal to

$$Z_{CFT}([\gamma_{[\xi]}], [(\nabla_A, \Phi)]) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}([\xi])} \int_{[\xi]} e^{iI(g_\xi)} D\xi$$

where the integral is taken over the cohomology class $[\xi] \in V^+(\pi^*\nabla_B)$. A generic element is given as in (11) consequently $[\xi] \cong \Omega^0(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \pi^*E)$, an infinite dimensional space. This integral shares some similarities with those considered in [8]. Bearing in mind that probably both sides of the

above integral expression make no sense mathematically, we can calculate it formally as follows. The Einstein–Hilbert action on a Lorentzian manifold with vanishing cosmological constant and spacelike boundary looks like

$$I(g) = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_M s(g) dg - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial M} \text{tr} k(g) d(g|_{\partial M})$$

with $s(g)$ being the scalar curvature and $k(g)$ the second fundamental form of the boundary. In our case $s(g_\xi) = 0$ where ξ is invertible hence the first term vanishes for regular representants however the second term may not exist for certain asymptotics of v_t in (11). We can overcome this difficulty if replace the action by its holographically renormalized form $I^{ren}(g_\xi)$ as in [9] (also cf. [1]); this gives simply $I^{ren}(g_\xi) = 0$ in our case for all invertible representants. Consequently, by arguing that non-invertible elements of the cohomology class form a “set of measure zero” we formally find for the particular Hitchin pairs in \mathcal{M}_{2g-2} that

$$Z_{CFT}([\gamma_{[\xi]}], [(\nabla_A, \Phi)]) = 1.$$

Interesting questions can be raised for future work. For instance, what is the physical interpretation of generic complex solutions of the $\text{SO}(3)$ Hitchin equations? At first sight one can declare without problem that they correspond to complex flat metrics on $T^\mathbb{C}(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$ but this sounds rather unphysical. Taking into account that $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{SO}(3, 1)$, the identity component of the *four* dimensional Lorentz group, one may try to regard the complex solutions as real flat metrics on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^2$; however in four dimensions flat metrics do not exhaust solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations therefore this interpretation would not be “tight” enough. Perhaps it is possible that a complex solution can be projected somehow to a *non-flat* real three dimensional connection therefore representing a non-vacuum solution or rather a solution with non-zero cosmological constant in $2 + 1$ dimensions. The presence of $\text{SO}(3, 1)$, the de Sitter isometry group, suggests this later possibility.

Finally, notice that in fact the whole construction proceeds through a complexification phase which cancels out the information of the original real group we began with; this was $\text{SO}(3)$ in our case just because of convenience: Both the Hitchin and the Donaldson theorems are formulated with this group. However recently new smooth solutions of the $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ Hitchin equations have been discovered [6] pointing toward the possibility that even $\text{SO}(2, 1)$ Hitchin theory is interesting and can be used to formulate a duality if a Donaldson-type theorem could be worked out.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful for the stimulating discussions with M. Jardim and R.A. Mosna (IMECC-UNICAMP, Brazil) which finally led to the results of this paper and L. Fehér (Eötvös University, Hungary) for some remarks on topology.

The work was supported by CNPq grant No. 150854/2005-6 (Brazil) and OTKA grants No. T43242 and No. T046365 (Hungary).

References

- [1] Anderson, M.T.: *Geometric aspects of the ADS/CFT correspondence*, for proceedings of the Strasbourg meeting on ADS/CFT, arXiv: [hep-th/0403087](#) v2 (2004);
- [2] Belov, D.M., Moore, G.W.: *Holographic action for the self-dual field*, preprint, arXiv: [hep-th/0605038](#) v1 (2006);

- [3] Donaldson, S.K.: *Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55**, 127-131 (1987);
- [4] Fefferman, C., Graham, C.R.: *Conformal invariants*, in: Élie Cartan et les Mathématiques d'Aujourd'hui, Astérisque, Numéro hors serie, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 95-116 (1985);
- [5] Hitchin, N.J.: *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55**, 59-126 (1987);
- [6] Jardim, M., Mosna, R.A.: *Nonsingular solutions of Hitchin's equations for noncompact gauge groups*, IMECC preprint (2006);
- [7] Maldacena, J.: *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravities*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231-252 (1998);
- [8] Moore, G.W., Nekrasov, N., Shatashvili, S.: *Integrating over Higgs branches*, Commun. Math. Phys. **209**, 97-121 (2000);
- [9] Witten, E.: *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253-291 (1998);
- [10] Witten, E.: *2+1 dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system*, Nucl. Phys. **B311**, 46-78 (1988/89).