

Sufficient conditions for the invertibility of adapted perturbations of identity on the Wiener space

Ali Süleyman Üstünel and Moshe Zakai

Abstract: Let (W, H, μ) be the classical Wiener space. Assume that $U = I_W + u$ is an adapted perturbation of identity, i.e., $u : W \rightarrow H$ is adapted to the canonical filtration of W . We give some sufficient analytic conditions on u which imply the invertibility of the map U . In particular it is shown that if $u \in \mathbb{D}_{p,1}(H)$ is adapted and if $\exp(\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \delta u) \in L^q(\mu)$, where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, then $I_W + u$ is almost surely invertible. As a consequence, if, there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $\|\nabla^k u\|_{H^{\otimes(k+1)}} \in L^\infty(\mu)$, then $I_W + u$ is again almost surely invertible.

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the search of sufficient conditions for the invertibility of a certain class of mappings on the Wiener space. This class consists of the mappings of the form of perturbation of identity, where the perturbation part is a mapping which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and the corresponding density is adapted and almost surely square integrable. To make the things more precise, let $W = C_0([0, 1])$ be the Banach space of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, with its Borel sigma field denoted by \mathcal{F} . We denote by H the Cameron-Martin space, namely the space of absolutely continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with square integrable Lebesgue density:

$$H = \left\{ h \in W : h(t) = \int_0^t \dot{h}(s) ds, |\dot{h}|_H^2 = \int_0^1 |\dot{h}(s)|^2 ds < \infty \right\}.$$

μ denotes the classical Wiener measure on (W, \mathcal{F}) , $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, 1])$ is the filtration generated by the paths of the Wiener process $(t, w) \rightarrow W_t(w)$, where $W_t(w)$ is defined as $w(t)$ for $w \in W$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Assume that $U : W \rightarrow W$ is a map of the form

$$U_t(w) = W_t(w) + \int_0^t \dot{u}_s(w) ds, \text{ or in short, } U = I_W + u \quad (1.1)$$

where \dot{u} is adapted to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, 1])$. For simplicity we consider in this paper the Banach space of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, taking values in \mathbb{R} ;

the results, however, go over directly to the infinite dimensional case, including the Wiener space corresponding to the cylindrical Wiener process based on a Hilbert space.

To illustrate a situation where the addressed problem comes up, consider the question of the absolute continuity of the measure $U\mu$, i.e., the image of μ under U and the calculation of the corresponding Radon-Nikodym derivative in case of absolute continuity. The celebrated Girsanov theorem yields the change of variables formula, i.e. setting

$$\rho_U(w) = \exp \left(- \int_0^1 \dot{u}_s dw_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{u}_s|^2 ds \right)$$

and assuming that $E[\rho_U] = 1$, then, for smooth f , it holds true that

$$E[f \circ U \rho_U] = E[f].$$

Hence the image measure $U\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Let λ be the corresponding Radon-Nikodym derivative:

$$E[f \circ U] = E[f \lambda],$$

then under “suitable conditions”

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\rho_U \circ U^{-1}} \tag{1.2}$$

where U^{-1} is the inverse to U (cf. e.g. Section 1.3 of [11]). Therefore the invertibility of U plays a fundamental role in the evaluation of the Radon-Nikodym derivative λ .

Curiously enough there are very few cases in the literature which give sufficient conditions for the invertibility of the mappings as defined above. On the other hand there is a well-known counter example given by Tsirelson (cf. [5] and the references there). A well-known condition for the existence of an inverse is the case where the drift term has a delay with respect to the Wiener path, namely

$$U_t(w) = W_t(w) + \int_0^t 1_{[\varepsilon, 1]}(s) \dot{u}_{s-\varepsilon} ds,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a fixed constant or a stopping time. As indicated in [11], Chapter 2.7, due to this delay we can recover all the trajectory $(W_t(w), t \in [0, 1])$ if $(U_t(w), t \in [0, 1])$ is known. The second situation is the case where the drift coefficient is Lipschitz continuous in the Cameron-Martin space direction. Namely

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |\dot{u}_s(w+h) - \dot{u}_s(w+k)| \leq K \sup_{s \leq t} |h(s) - k(s)|,$$

μ -a.s., for any $h, k \in H, t \in [0, 1]$, where K is a constant. In this case, using the usual fixed point techniques, one can prove that the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t &= -\dot{u}_t \circ V + dW_t \\ V_0 &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

has a unique adapted solution. Then it is clear that $V\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and that $U \circ V = V \circ U = I_W$ almost surely. In the sequel, between other things we shall also surpass this frame.

Let us summarize the contents of the paper: the basic notions of functional analysis on the Wiener space and the stochastic calculus of variations (the Malliavin calculus) are reviewed in Section 2. Section 3 presents basic results on the invertibility of $U = I + u$ with $u : W \rightarrow H$ adapted¹. The main results are obtained by the regularization of the drift with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. In fact, let $(P_\tau, \tau \geq 0)$ denote the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup (cf. the formula (2.6)) and set $e^{-\tau} P_\tau u = u_\tau$. It is shown that under reasonable assumptions on u , the map $U_\tau = I + u_\tau$ is invertible. Its inverse is of the form $V_\tau = I_W + v_\tau$, where v_τ is H -valued and adapted. Besides the following identities are satisfied almost everywhere:

$$v_\tau = -u_\tau \circ V_\tau \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$u_\tau = -v_\tau \circ U_\tau. \tag{1.5}$$

If we can show that $v = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} v_\tau$ exists in probability and if it satisfies the relations (1.4) and (1.5) where u_τ, U_τ and v_τ, V_τ are replaced respectively by u, U and v, V , then the invertibility of $I + u$ follows. This program, which is realized in Section 3, is not so evident, in fact we need the Carleman inequality (cf. [1, 2]) to find useful sufficient conditions to show the existence of this limit. Section 4 extends these results using some localization techniques. As a corollary we prove that, for any $k \geq 1$, if the k -th order Sobolev derivative $\nabla^k u$ of u is essentially bounded as a Hilbert-Schmidt tensor, then U is almost surely invertible. Although this is not the most general sufficient condition for invertibility that we find, even the case for $k = 1$ requires strictly weaker hypothesis than the Lipschitz hypothesis, which is generally used for the construction of the inverse via the stochastic differential equations as illustrated with the formulae (1.3) (cf. Remark 1 for the details). In this section, as an application, we prove that, if the Sobolev derivative of u is an essentially bounded Hilbert-Schmidt operator, then the measure whose Radon-Nikodym density with respect to μ is given by its Girsanov exponential ρ_U of $-u$, satisfies the logarithmic Sobolev inequality of L. Gross with a proper constant.

¹For practical reasons, we call u adapted whenever its Lebesgue density \dot{u} , called sometimes the drift, is adapted.

Finally we underline the fact that the results of this paper can be extended to the abstract Wiener spaces where the notion of adaptedness can be defined with respect to any continuous resolution of identity of the associated Cameron-Martin space as indicated in [10] or sections 2.6 and 3.6 of [11].

A preliminary version of these results have been announced in the note [12], however the contents of this paper are considerably more general and hopefully useful.

2 Preliminaries

Let $W = C_0([0, 1])$ be the Banach space of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, with its Borel sigma field denoted by \mathcal{F} . We denote by H the Cameron-Martin space, namely the space of absolutely continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with square integrable Lebesgue density:

$$H = \left\{ h \in W : h(t) = \int_0^t \dot{h}(s) ds, |\dot{h}|_H^2 = \int_0^1 |\dot{h}(s)|^2 ds < \infty \right\}.$$

μ denotes the classical Wiener measure on (W, \mathcal{F}) , $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, 1])$ is the filtration generated by the paths of the Wiener process $(t, w) \rightarrow W_t(w)$, where $W_t(w)$ is defined as $w(t)$ for $w \in W$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. We shall recall briefly some well-known functional analytic tools on the Wiener space, we refer the reader to [6, 3, 7] or to [8] for further details: $(P_\tau, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ denotes the semi-group of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck on W , defined as

$$P_\tau f(w) = \int_W f(e^{-\tau} w + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2\tau}} y) \mu(dy) \quad (2.6)$$

Let us recall that $P_\tau = e^{-\tau \mathcal{L}}$, where \mathcal{L} is the number operator. We denote by ∇ the Sobolev derivative which is the extension (with respect to the Wiener measure) of the Fréchet derivative in the Cameron-Martin space direction. The iterates of ∇ are defined similarly. Note that, if f is real valued, then ∇f is a vector and if u is an H -valued map, then ∇u is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator (on H) valued map whenever defined. If Z is a separable Hilbert space and if $p > 1, k \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\mathbb{D}_{p,k}(Z)$ the μ -equivalence classes of Z -valued measurable mappings ξ , defined on W such that $(I + \mathcal{L})^{k/2} \xi$ belongs to $L^p(\mu, Z)$ and this set, equipped with the norm

$$\|\xi\|_{p,k} = \|(I + \mathcal{L})^{k/2} \xi\|_{L^p(\mu, Z)} \quad (2.7)$$

becomes a Banach space. From the Meyer inequalities, we know that the norm defined by

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \|\nabla^k \xi\|_{L^p(\mu, Z \otimes H^{\otimes k})}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

is equivalent to the norm $\|\xi\|_{p,n}$ defined by (2.7). We denote by δ the adjoint of ∇ under μ and recall that, whenever $u \in \mathbb{D}_{p,0}(H)$ for some $p > 1$ is adapted, then δu is equal to the Itô integral of the Lebesgue density of u :

$$\delta u = \int_0^1 \dot{u}_s dW_s.$$

Let X be a separable Hilbert space and let $f : W \rightarrow X$ be a measurable map. We say that f is an $H - C$ -map if f has a modification (denoted again as f) such that the mapping $h \rightarrow f(w + h)$ is continuous for μ -almost all $w \in W$. Similarly, we say that f is $H - C^k$, $k \geq 1$ or that it is H -real analytic, if $h \rightarrow f(w + h)$ is k -times differentiable or real analytic μ -almost surely. In the sequel, we shall use the same notation for the H -derivative and for the Sobolev derivative since the latter is the L^p -extension of the former. Note that the set $A = \{w \in W : h \rightarrow f(w + h) \in C^k(H)\}$ is H -invariant, i.e., $A + H \subset A$, hence A^c has zero capacity as soon as $\mu(A) > 0$ (cf. [8]). The following result is well-known (cf. [11], Lemma 3.3.2):

Lemma 1 *Assume that $f \in L^p(\mu, X)$, where X is a separable Hilbert space. Then, for any $\tau > 0$, $P_\tau f$ has a modification f_τ , such that $h \rightarrow f_\tau(w + h)$ is almost surely analytic on H , in other words $P_\tau f$ is H -analytic. In particular it is $H - C^\infty$.*

Another important result that we shall need is the following one (cf. [11], Theorem 3.5.3 where a more general case is treated and Theorem 4.4.1 in the $H - C^1$ -case):

Theorem 1 *Assume that $\xi : W \rightarrow H$ is an $H - C^1$ -map and denote by T the map $T = I_W + \xi$. Then*

1. *The set $T^{-1}\{w\}$ is countable μ -almost surely. Let $N(w)$ be its cardinal.*
2. *For any $f, g \in C_b(W)$, we have the change of variables formula:*

$$E[f \circ T g \rho_T] = E \left[f \sum_{y \in T^{-1}\{w\}} g(y) \right],$$

in particular

$$E[f \circ T \rho_T] = E[f N],$$

where

$$\rho_T = \det_2(I_H + \nabla \xi) \exp \left[-\delta \xi - \frac{1}{2} |\xi|_H^2 \right]$$

and $\det_2(I_H + \nabla \xi)$ denotes the modified Carleman-Fredholm determinant.

Remark: If A is a nuclear operator on a separable Hilbert space, then $\det_2(I_H + A)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\det_2(I_H + A) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda_i) e^{-\lambda_i} \\ &= \det(I_H + A) e^{-\text{trace } A},\end{aligned}$$

where (λ_i) denotes the spectrum of A and each eigenvalue is counted with respect to its multiplicity. Afterwards, one can show that $A \rightarrow \det_2(I_H + A)$ extends continuously (even analytically) to the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, cf. [2]. If A is a quasi-nilpotent operator, then by definition the spectrum of A is equal to the singleton $\{0\}$, hence, in this case we always have $\det_2(I_H + A) = 1$.

Theorem 2 *In particular, if $\xi \in \mathbb{D}_{p,1}(H)$ for some $p > 1$ and if the Lebesgue density of ξ , called drift and denoted by $\dot{\xi}$ is adapted to the filtration of the canonical Wiener process, then ρ_T reduces to the usual exponential martingale:*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_T &= \exp \left[-\delta\xi - \frac{1}{2} |\xi|_H^2 \right] \\ &= \exp \left[-\int_0^1 \dot{\xi}_s \cdot dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\dot{\xi}_s|^2 ds \right].\end{aligned}$$

In this case we have always $N(w) \in \{0, 1\}$ almost surely and $N = 1$ a.s. if $E[\rho_T] = 1$.

Proof: The proof follows from the fact that $\delta\xi$ coincides with the Itô integral of $\dot{\xi}$ if the latter is adapted. In this case, we always have, from the Fatou lemma $E[\rho_T] \leq 1$ and if $E[\rho_T] = 1$, then it follows from Theorem 1 and from the Girsanov theorem that $N = 1$ almost surely. □

A simple, nevertheless important corollary of Theorems 1 and 2 is

Corollary 1 *Assume that ξ is adapted and $H-C^1$. Assume moreover that $E[\rho_T] = 1$. Then, there exists a map S of the form $S = I_W + \eta$ with $\eta : W \rightarrow H$ adapted, such that*

$$\mu(\{w \in W : S \circ T(w) = T \circ S(w) = w\}) = 1.$$

In other words T is almost surely invertible.

Proof: Let $\tilde{W} = \{w \in W : N(w) = 1\}$, it follows from Theorem 1 and from the hypothesis about $\rho(-\delta\xi)$ that $\mu(\tilde{W}) = 1$. Consequently, for any $w \in \tilde{W}$, there exists a unique $S(w) \in W$ such that $T(S(w)) = w$. Let us define S on \tilde{W}^c by I_W . Then, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $S^{-1}(A) = S^{-1}(A \cap \tilde{W}) \cup S^{-1}(A \cap \tilde{W}^c)$. Moreover $S^{-1}(A \cap \tilde{W}) = T(A \cap \tilde{W})$, which is an element of the completion of \mathcal{F} (it is even

a Souslin set, hence an element of the universal completion of \mathcal{F} , cf. [11], Theorem 4.2.1) and this settles the measurability of S . Moreover, from Theorem 1, for any $f, g \in C_b(W)$, we have on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} E[\rho(-\delta\xi) g] &= E \left[\sum_{y \in T^{-1}\{w\}} g(y) \right] \\ &= E[g \circ S], \end{aligned}$$

hence $S(\mu)$ is equivalent to μ and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} E[f \circ S \circ T \rho(-\delta\xi) g] &= E \left[f \circ S \sum_{y \in T^{-1}\{w\}} g(y) \right] \\ &= E[f \circ S g \circ S] \\ &= E[f g \rho(-\delta\xi)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $S \circ T = I_W$ almost surely. In particular S is of the form $I_W + \eta$ and η is an adapted and H -valued mapping. \square

2.1 Carleman inequality

In the sequel we shall use the inequality of T. Carleman which says that (cf. [1] or [2], Corollary XI.6.28)

$$\|\det_2(I_H + A)(I_H + A)^{-1}\| \leq \exp \frac{1}{2} (\|A\|_2^2 + 1),$$

for any Hilbert-Schmidt operator A , where the left hand side is the operator norm, $\det_2(I_H + A)$ denotes the modified Carleman-Fredholm determinant and $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Let us remark that if A is a quasi-nilpotent operator, i.e., if the spectrum of A consists of zero only, then $\det_2(I_H + A) = 1$, hence in this case the Carleman inequality reads

$$\|(I_H + A)^{-1}\| \leq \exp \frac{1}{2} (\|A\|_2^2 + 1).$$

This case happens when A is equal to the Sobolev derivative of some $u \in \mathbb{D}_{p,1}(H)$ whose drift \dot{u} is adapted to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, 1])$, cf. [7, 8].

3 A sufficient condition for invertibility

In the sequel, for a given $u \in \mathbb{D}_{2,0}(H)$ adapted we shall denote $e^{-\tau} P_\tau u$ and $e^{-\kappa} P_\kappa u$ by u_τ and u_κ respectively, the reason for that is simply the identity $P_\tau \delta u = \delta u_\tau$ is more

practical for controlling the Girsanov exponential. Besides we shall suppose that u satisfies the following identity:

$$E \left[\exp \left(-\lambda \delta u_\tau - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} |u_\tau|_H^2 \right) \right] = E[\rho(-\lambda \delta u_\tau)] = 1, \quad (3.8)$$

for any $\lambda, \tau \in [0, 1]$. Let us remark that the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow \rho(-\lambda \delta u_\tau)$ is monotone decreasing, hence the hypothesis (3.8) is satisfied if it is satisfied for $\lambda = 1$. We note also that this hypothesis is satisfied as soon as u satisfies either Novikov or Kazamaki condition, cf. [5].

Theorem 1 implies then that $U_\tau = I_W + u_\tau$ and $U_\kappa = I_W + u_\kappa$ are invertible and their inverses are of the form $V_\tau = I_W + v_\tau$, $V_\kappa = I_W + v_\kappa$ respectively. Moreover v_τ and v_κ are H -valued and adapted. For $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, let

$$u_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa} = \alpha u_\tau + (1 - \alpha) u_\kappa.$$

Then $u_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}$ is again an $H - C^1$ -map, it is adapted and it inherits all the integrability properties of u . Consequently the map $U_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}$, defined by

$$w \rightarrow w + u_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}(w)$$

is invertible and its inverse is of the form $V_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa} = I_W + v_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}$ where $v_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}$ is adapted, H -valued, $H - C^1$ and it satisfies the relation

$$v_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa} = -u_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa} \circ V_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}$$

a.s. Moreover, $v_\tau = v_1^{\tau, \kappa}$ and $v_\kappa = v_0^{\tau, \kappa}$.

We need the following result:

Lemma 2 *The mapping $\alpha \rightarrow v_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}$ is almost surely continuously differentiable on the interval $(0, 1)$.*

Proof: Define the partial map $t_w^\alpha : H \rightarrow H$ as

$$t_w^\alpha(h) = h + u_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}(w + h)$$

for $w \in W$ fixed. Note that from the $H - C^1$ -property of u_τ and u_κ , this map is C^1 on H for all $w \in W$ outside a set of zero capacity. Define the map γ from $(0, 1) \times H$ to itself as $\gamma(\alpha, h) = (\alpha, t_w^\alpha(h))$. Then the differential of γ has a Carleman-Fredholm determinant which is equal to one. Consequently it is invertible as an operator, hence the inverse function theorem implies the existence of a differentiable inverse γ^{-1} of γ . Besides this inverse can be written as $\gamma^{-1}(\alpha, h) = (\alpha, s_w^\alpha(h))$ where s_w^α satisfies the identity

$$t_w^\alpha \circ s_w^\alpha = I_H,$$

where $\alpha \rightarrow s_w^\alpha(h)$ is C^1 on $(0, 1)$. It is easy to see that $v_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}(w) = s_w^\alpha(0)$ and this completes the proof.

□

Hence, due to Lemma 2 we have the following obvious relation

$$v_\tau - v_\kappa = \int_0^1 \frac{dv_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}}{d\alpha} d\alpha.$$

Theorem 3 *We have the following inequality:*

$$E[|v_\tau - v_\kappa|_H] \leq E \left[|u_\tau - u_\kappa|_H \int_0^1 \exp \frac{1}{2} (\|\nabla u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}\|_2^2 + 1) \rho(-\delta u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}) d\alpha \right] \quad (3.9)$$

Proof: From Lemma 2, it follows immediately via the chain rule that

$$\frac{dv_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}}{d\alpha} = -(u_\tau - u_\kappa) \circ V_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa} - \nabla u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa} \circ V_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa} \frac{dv_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}}{d\alpha}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{dv_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}}{d\alpha} = -[(I_H + \nabla u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa})^{-1}(u_\tau - u_\kappa)] \circ V_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}.$$

Since

$$\frac{dV_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}\mu}{d\mu} = \rho(-\delta u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[|v_\tau - v_\kappa|_H] &\leq E \int_0^1 \left| \frac{dv_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}}{d\alpha} \right|_H d\alpha \\ &= E \int_0^1 |(I_H + \nabla u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa})^{-1}(u_\tau - u_\kappa)|_H \circ V_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa} d\alpha \\ &= E \int_0^1 |(I_H + \nabla u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa})^{-1}(u_\tau - u_\kappa)|_H \rho(-\delta u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Remarking that $\nabla u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}$ is quasi-nilpotent and applying the Carleman inequality in the last line of the above inequalities, we get

$$E[|v_\tau - v_\kappa|] \leq E \left[|u_\tau - u_\kappa|_H \int_0^1 \exp \frac{1}{2} (\|\nabla u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}\|_2^2 + 1) \rho(-\delta u_\alpha^{\tau,\kappa}) d\alpha \right]$$

and this completes the proof. □

Theorem 4 *Assume that $u \in \mathbb{D}_{p,1}(H)$ for some $p > 1$ and that it is adapted with $E[\rho(-\delta u)] = 1$. Suppose moreover that u satisfies the following condition:*

$$E \left[\exp q \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \delta u \right) \right] < \infty,$$

where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. Then $U = I_W + u$ is almost surely invertible.

Proof: From Theorem 3, using the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E[|v_\tau - v_\kappa|_H] &\leq E \left[|u_\tau - u_\kappa|_H \int_0^1 \exp \frac{1}{2} (\|\nabla u_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}\|_2^2 + 1) \rho(-\delta u_\alpha^{\tau, \kappa}) d\alpha \right] \\
&\leq E \left[|u_\tau - u_\kappa|_H \int_0^1 \exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla u_\tau\|_2^2 - \alpha \delta u_\tau + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \|\nabla u_\kappa\|_2^2 - (1-\alpha) \delta u_\kappa \right) d\alpha \right] \\
&\leq E[|u_\tau - u_\kappa|_H^p]^{1/p} \\
&\quad \times \left[E \int_0^1 \exp q \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla u_\tau\|_2^2 - \alpha \delta u_\tau + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \|\nabla u_\kappa\|_2^2 - (1-\alpha) \delta u_\kappa \right) d\alpha \right]^{1/q} \\
&\leq E[|u_\tau - u_\kappa|_H^p]^{1/p} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^1 E \left[\exp q \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_\tau\|_2^2 - \delta u_\tau \right) \right]^\alpha \times E \left[\exp q \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_\kappa\|_2^2 - \delta u_\kappa \right) \right]^{1-\alpha} d\alpha \right)^{1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

From the Jensen inequality and from the relation

$$\delta u_\tau = P_\tau \delta u ,$$

we obtain

$$E \left[\exp q \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_\tau\|_2^2 - \delta u_\tau \right) \right] \leq E \left[\exp q \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \delta u \right) \right] .$$

Consequently

$$E[|v_\tau - v_\kappa|_H] \leq E[|u_\tau - u_\kappa|_H^p]^{1/p} E \left[\exp q \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \delta u \right) \right]^{1/q} \rightarrow 0$$

since $E[|u_\tau - u_\kappa|_H^p] \rightarrow 0$ as $\kappa, \tau \rightarrow 0$ and this implies the existence of some adapted $v : W \rightarrow H$ which is the limit in $L^1(\mu, H)$ of $(v_\tau, \tau \in (0, 1))$. To complete the proof we have to show that $v \circ U = -u$ and $u \circ V = -v$ almost surely, where $V = I_W + v$. For $c > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \{ |v_\tau \circ U_\tau - v \circ U|_H > c \} &\leq \mu \left\{ |v_\tau \circ U_\tau - v \circ U_\tau| > \frac{c}{2} \right\} \\
&\quad + \mu \left\{ |v \circ U_\tau - v \circ U| > \frac{c}{2} \right\} \\
&= E \left[\rho(-\delta v_\tau) 1_{\{|v_\tau - v|_H > c/2\}} \right] \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \mu \left\{ |v \circ U_\tau - v \circ U| > \frac{c}{2} \right\} \tag{3.11}$$

Since

$$E[\rho(-\delta v_\tau) \log \rho(-\delta v_\tau)] = \frac{1}{2} E[|u_\tau|_H^2] ,$$

the set $(\rho(-\delta v_\tau), \tau \in [0, 1])$ is uniformly integrable, hence the first term (3.10) can be made arbitrarily small by the convergence of $v_\tau \rightarrow v$ in probability. Moreover, we know that $(\rho(-\delta u_\tau), \tau \in [0, 1])$ converges in probability to $\rho(-\delta u)$ and they have all the same expectation which is equal to one. Consequently the set $(\rho(-\delta u_\tau), \tau \in [0, 1])$ is also uniformly integrable. To control the term (3.11), recall that, by the Lusin theorem, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set K_ε in W such that $\mu(K_\varepsilon) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and that the restriction of v to K_ε is uniformly continuous. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \left\{ |v \circ U_\tau - v \circ U| > \frac{c}{2} \right\} \\ & \leq \mu \left\{ |v \circ U_\tau - v \circ U| > \frac{c}{2}, U_\tau \in K_\varepsilon, U \in K_\varepsilon \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

$$+ \mu\{U_\tau \in K_\varepsilon^c\} + \mu\{U \in K_\varepsilon^c\} \quad (3.13)$$

The last two terms (3.13) can be made arbitrarily small (uniformly w.r. to τ) by the uniform integrability of $(\rho(-\delta u_\tau), \tau \in [0, 1])$. To control the term (3.12), let $\beta > 0$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \left\{ |v \circ U_\tau - v \circ U| > \frac{c}{2}, U_\tau \in K_\varepsilon, U \in K_\varepsilon \right\} \\ & \leq \mu \left\{ |v \circ U_\tau - v \circ U| > \frac{c}{2}, U_\tau \in K_\varepsilon, U \in K_\varepsilon, \|U_\tau - U\| > \beta \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

$$+ \mu \left\{ |v \circ U_\tau - v \circ U| > \frac{c}{2}, U_\tau \in K_\varepsilon, U \in K_\varepsilon, \|U_\tau - U\| \leq \beta \right\} \quad (3.15)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of W . Since v is uniformly continuous on K_ε , the term (3.15) can be made arbitrarily small by choosing β small enough and the term (3.14) is bounded by

$$\mu\{\|U_\tau - U\| > \beta\}$$

which can be made arbitrarily small by choosing τ small enough and this proves the relation $v \circ U = -u$ which implies that $V \circ U = I_W$ almost surely. To prove $u \circ V = -v$, recall that

$$\frac{dV_\tau \mu}{d\mu} = \rho(-\delta u_\tau)$$

and as we have indicated above $(\rho(-\delta u_\tau), \tau \in [0, 1])$ is uniformly integrable. Hence we can repeat the same reasoning as above by interchanging u and v in the above lines and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2 *Assume that $u \in \mathbb{D}_{p,1}(H)$ is adapted. If u satisfies the following condition*

$$E \left[\exp \left(q \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + 2q^2 |u|_H^2 \right) \right] < \infty,$$

then $U = I_W + u$ is almost surely invertible.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 1$, we have, using the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[\exp q \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \delta u \right) \right] &= E \left[\exp \left(q \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - q \delta u - q^2 \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon} |u|_H^2 + q^2 \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon} |u|_H^2 \right) \right] \\
&\leq E \left[\exp \left(\frac{(1+\varepsilon)q}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + q^2 \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{2\varepsilon} |u|_H^2 \right) \right]^{1/1+\varepsilon} \\
&\quad \times E \left[\exp \left(-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} q \delta u - q^2 \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} |u|_H^2 \right) \right]^{\varepsilon/1+\varepsilon} \\
&\leq E \left[\exp \left(\frac{(1+\varepsilon)q}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + q^2 \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{2\varepsilon} |u|_H^2 \right) \right]^{1/1+\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

since the expectation of third line is upperbounded by one. The proof follows when we take $\varepsilon = 1$ for which the last line attains its minimum with respect to $\varepsilon > 0$. \square

4 Extensions and applications

In this section we reduce some of the hypothesis utilized in the preceding theorems. We start with

Theorem 5 *Let $u : W \rightarrow H$ be adapted with $u \in \mathbb{D}_{2,0}(H)$ such that $E[\rho(-\delta u)] = 1$. Assume that $(\Omega_n, n \geq 1)$ is a measurable covering of W and that $u = u_n$ a.s. on Ω_n where $u_n : W \rightarrow H$ is in $\mathbb{D}_{2,0}(H)$, adapted, $E[\rho(-\delta u_n)] = 1$ and $U_n = I_W + u_n$ is almost surely invertible with the inverse denoted by $V_n = I_W + v_n$. Then $U = I_W + u$ is almost surely invertible and the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $U\mu$ with respect to μ belongs to the space $L \log L(\mu)$.*

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume that the sets $(\Omega_n, n \geq 1)$ are disjoint. Note also that by the hypothesis, $U\mu$ is equivalent to μ . We have

$$\frac{dU_n\mu}{d\mu} = \rho(-\delta v_n).$$

Then, for any $f \in C_b(W)$

$$\begin{aligned}
E[f \circ U \rho(-\delta u)] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[f \circ U_n 1_{\Omega_n} \rho(-\delta u_n)] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[f 1_{U_n(\Omega_n)}].
\end{aligned}$$

By the Girsanov theorem we also have

$$E[f \circ U \rho(-\delta u)] = E[f],$$

hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{U_n(\Omega_n)} = 1$$

almost surely. This means that $(U_n(\Omega_n), n \geq 1)$ is an almost sure partition of W . Define v on $U_n(\Omega_n)$ as to be v_n and let $V = I_W + v$. Then V is defined almost everywhere, moreover

$$\begin{aligned} E[f \circ V] &= \sum_n E[f \circ V_n 1_{U_n(\Omega_n)}] \\ &= E[f \rho(-\delta u)], \end{aligned}$$

therefore $V\mu$ is equivalent to μ and V is well-defined. Evidently, for almost all $w \in \Omega_n$,

$$V \circ U(w) = V \circ U_n(w) = V_n \circ U_n(w) = w,$$

hence $V \circ U = I_W$ almost surely, i.e., V is a left inverse of U . Since

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(\Omega_n) \right) = 1,$$

and since

$$\{w \in W : U \circ V(w) = w\} \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(\Omega_n),$$

we also have

$$\mu(\{w \in W : U \circ V(w) = w\}) = 1$$

and this completes the proof of the invertibility of U . Clearly, the map v is adapted to the filtration of the Wiener space, hence the stochastic integral of the drift $(\dot{v}_t, t \in [0, 1])$, with respect to the Wiener process is well-defined (using the localization techniques with the help of the stopping times) and we shall denote its value at $t = 1$ by $\delta^0 v$. Since U is adapted, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^0 v) \circ U &= \delta^0(v \circ U) + (v \circ U, u)_H \\ &= -\delta^0 u - |u|_H^2 \\ &= -\delta u - |u|_H^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\rho(-\delta^0 v) \circ U \rho(-\delta u) = 1,$$

and similarly

$$\rho(-\delta u) \circ V \rho(-\delta^0 v) = 1$$

almost surely. Therefore, on the one hand

$$\frac{dU\mu}{d\mu} = \rho(-\delta^0 v)$$

and on the other hand

$$E [\rho(-\delta^0 v) \log \rho(-\delta^0 v)] = \frac{1}{2} E[|u|_H^2] < \infty$$

by the hypothesis and the proof is completed. □

As a consequence of Theorem 5, we have

Corollary 3 *Assume that $u \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}(H)$ is adapted, $E[\rho(-\delta u)] = 1$ and that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_2 \in L^\infty(\mu).$$

Then $U = I_W + u$ is almost surely invertible.

Proof: Let θ_n be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} , equal to one on $[-n, n]$ and zero outside the interval $[-(n+1), n+1]$. Let

$$u^n(t) = \theta_n(|u|_t^2) \int_0^t \dot{u}_s ds,$$

where $|u|_t^2 = \int_0^t |\dot{u}_s|^2 ds$. Let also $\Omega_n = \{w \in W : |u(w)|_H \leq \sqrt{n}\}$. Then it is easy to see that $u^n = u$ a.s. on the set Ω_n and u^n satisfies

$$E \left[\exp \left\{ q_n \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u^n\|_2^2 - \delta u_\tau^n \right) \right\} \right] \leq e^{K_n} E[e^{-q_n \delta u_n}] < \infty$$

since u_n is bounded. Hence, by Theorem 4, $U^n = I_W + u^n$ is invertible, then by Theorem 5, $U = I_W + u$ is invertible. □

Remark 1 *In terms of the stochastic differential equations, the question of finding an inverse to $U = I_W + u$, where $u \in \mathbb{D}_{2,0}(H)$ is adapted, amounts to solving the following stochastic differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t(w) &= -\dot{u}_t(V(w))dt + dW_t(w) \\ V_0(w) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

and this problem is solved only under a Lipschitz hypothesis imposed to \dot{u} , which can be expressed as follows

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |\dot{u}_s(w+h) - \dot{u}_s(w+k)| \leq K \sup_{s \leq t} |h(s) - k(s)|, \tag{4.17}$$

μ -a.s., for any $h, k \in H$, $t \in [0, 1]$, where K is a constant. Since

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |h(s) - k(s)| \leq |h - k|_H,$$

the Lipschitz condition (4.17) implies that

$$|\nabla \dot{u}_s|_H \leq K,$$

hence

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 |\nabla \dot{u}_s|_H^2 ds \leq K^2$$

μ -almost surely. Therefore, the Lipschitz condition (4.17) is stronger than the hypothesis of Corollary 3. For example, assume that the drift \dot{u} has a Sobolev derivative which satisfies

$$|\nabla \dot{u}_s|_H \leq K s^{-\alpha},$$

almost surely, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$. Then the Lipschitz property fails although the stochastic differential equation (4.16) has a unique solution by Corollary 3 since $\|\nabla u\|_2 \in L^\infty(\mu)$.

The technique that we have used in Corollary 3 can be iterated as follows:

Corollary 4 Assume that $u \in \mathbb{D}_{2,k}(H)$ is adapted with $k \geq 1$ such that $E[\rho(-\delta u)] = 1$ and that

$$\|\nabla^k u\|_{H^{\otimes(k+1)}} \in L^\infty(\mu).$$

Then the mapping $U = I_W + u$ is almost surely invertible.

Proof: Let θ_n be the function used in the proof of Corollary 3. Denote by π_t , $t \in [0, 1]$, the projection operator defined on H as

$$\pi_t h(\tau) = \int_0^\tau 1_{[0,t]}(s) \dot{h}_s ds,$$

for $h \in H$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$. Define u_n , $n \geq 1$ as

$$u_n(t) = u(t) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \theta_n \left(\|\nabla^i \pi_t u\|_{H^{\otimes(i+1)}}^2 \right)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then it is easy to see that $\|\nabla u_n\|_2 \in L^\infty(\mu)$, hence, from Corollary 3, $U_n = I_W + u_n$ is almost surely invertible for any $n \geq 1$. Besides $u = u_n$ almost surely on the set

$$\Omega_n = \bigcap_{i=0}^{k-1} \{w \in W : \|\nabla^i u\|_{H^{\otimes(i+1)}} \leq \sqrt{n}\}$$

and the union of $(\Omega_n, n \geq 1)$ is equal to W almost surely, hence the proof follows from Theorem 5. \square

In Theorem 5, we have supposed that $u = u_n$ on a set Ω_n , where u_n is also adapted. However, we can construct easily examples where u_n is not adapted but still $I_W + u_n$

is invertible and equal to $I_W + u$ almost surely on Ω_n such that the union of the sets $(\Omega_n, n \geq 1)$ is equal to W almost surely. In such a situation the hypothesis of Theorem 5 are not satisfied. To study this kind of situations, we need to define some more regularity concepts which are studied in detail in [11]:

Definition 1 *Let X be a separable Hilbert space, then*

1. *a measurable map $\xi : W \rightarrow X$ is called $H - C_{\text{loc}}^1$ if there exists a measurable $q : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $q > 0$ a.s., such that the map $h \rightarrow \xi(w + h)$ is a C^1 -map on the ball $\{h \in H : |h|_H < q(w)\}$.*
2. *ξ is called representable by locally $H - C^1$ -functions or $RH - C_{\text{loc}}^1$ in short, if there is a sequence of measurable sets $(B_n, n \geq 1)$ whose union is of full measure and a sequence of $H - C_{\text{loc}}^1$ -functions $(u_n, n \geq 1)$ such that $u = u_n$ on B_n almost surely.*

Let us recall Theorem 3.5.3 of [11] which is valid for not necessarily adapted perturbations of identity:

Theorem 6 *If $u : W \rightarrow H$ is $RH - C_{\text{loc}}^1$, then, there exists a set \tilde{W} of full-measure such that, for any $f, g \in C_b(W)$, one has*

$$E[f \circ U | \Lambda_u | g] = E \left[f(w) \sum_{y \in U^{-1}\{w\}} g(y) 1_{M \cap \tilde{W}}(y) \right],$$

where $M = \{w \in W : \det_2(I_H + \nabla u(w)) \neq 0\}$ and

$$\Lambda_u = \det_2(I_H + \nabla u) \exp \left(-\delta u - \frac{1}{2} |u|_H^2 \right).$$

In particular, the multiplicity of U on the set $M \cap \tilde{W}$ is almost surely (atmost) countable.

The next theorem answers to the question that we have asked above:

Theorem 7 *Let $u \in \mathbb{D}_{2,1}(H)$ be adapted and assume $E[\rho(-\delta u)] = 1$. Suppose that there exists $(\Omega_n, n \geq 1) \subset \mathcal{B}(W)$, whose union is of full measure and a sequence $(u_n, n \geq 1)$ of $RH - C_{\text{loc}}^1$ -functions such that $u = u_n$ almost surely on Ω_n for any $n \geq 1$. Then $U = I_W + u$ is almost surely invertible.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, we can assume that the sets $(\Omega_n, n \geq 1)$ are disjoint. Then the following change of variables formula is a consequence of Theorem 6 and of the fact that u is adapted:

$$E[f \circ U \rho(-\delta u) g] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \left[f(w) \sum_{y \in U_n^{-1}\{w\}} g(y) 1_{\Omega_n \cap \tilde{W}_n}(y) \right].$$

In particular, taking $g = 1$, we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{y \in U_n^{-1}\{w\}} 1_{\Omega_n \cap W_n}(y) = 1$$

almost surely. Let us denote the set $\Omega_n \cap W_n$ by Ω'_n and the double sum above by $N_n(w, \Omega'_n)$. Since each N_n is an integer and since their sum is equal to one almost surely, we should have $N_n(w, \Omega'_n) \in \{0, 1\}$ almost surely. Let

$$\tilde{\Omega}_n = \{w : N_n(w, \Omega'_n) = 1\}.$$

If $w \in \tilde{\Omega}_n$, then $N_n(w, \Omega'_n) = 1$, i.e., the cardinal of the set, denoted by $|U_n^{-1}\{w\} \cap \Omega'_n|$ is equal to one. Consequently, there exists a unique $y \in \Omega'_n$ such that $U_n(y) = w$. This means that $U_n : \Omega'_n \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_n$ is surjective. Denote the map $w \rightarrow y$ by $V_n(w)$, hence $V_n(\tilde{\Omega}_n) \subset \Omega'_n$. Define V on $\cup_n \tilde{\Omega}_n$ as $V = V_n$ on $\tilde{\Omega}_n$. Since the sets Ω'_n and $\tilde{\Omega}_n$ are measurable, V is measurable with respect to the completed Borel sigma algebra of W . Taking $g = 1$ in the change of variables formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E[g \rho(-\delta u)] &= E \left[\sum_n \sum_{y \in U_n^{-1}\{w\}} g(y) 1_{\Omega'_n}(y) \right] \\ &= E \left[\sum_n 1_{\tilde{\Omega}_n}(w) g \circ V_n(w) \right] \\ &= E[g \circ V]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies in particular that the measure $V(\mu)$ is equivalent to μ . To show that V is also a left inverse, choose any two $f, g \in C_b(W)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[f \circ V \circ U \rho(-\delta u) g] &= \sum_n E \left[f \circ V \sum_{y \in U_n^{-1}\{w\}} g(y) 1_{\Omega'_n}(y) \right] \\ &= \sum_n E[f \circ V(w) 1_{\tilde{\Omega}_n}(w) g \circ V_n(w) 1_{\Omega'_n} \circ V_n(w)] \\ &= \sum_n E[f \circ V(w) 1_{\tilde{\Omega}_n}(w) g \circ V_n(w)] \\ &= \sum_n E[f \circ V(w) 1_{\tilde{\Omega}_n}(w) g \circ V(w)] \\ &= E[f \circ V g \circ V] \\ &= E[f g \rho(-\delta u)], \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from the fact that the sum on the set $U_n^{-1}\{w\}$ is zero unless $w \in \tilde{\Omega}_n$, in which case $1_{\Omega'_n} \circ V_n(w) = 1$ since $V_n(\tilde{\Omega}_n) \subset \Omega'_n$ by the construction

of V_n . Consequently $V \circ U = I_W$ μ -almost surely, hence V is a two sided inverse, it is of the form $V = I_W + v$ and $v : W \rightarrow H$ is adapted to the (completed) filtration of the Wiener space. Moreover δv is well-defined as local martingales final value (using the stopping techniques), in particular the Radon-Nikodym density of $U\mu$ with respect to μ is $\rho(-\delta v)$. \square

4.1 Derivation of a logarithmic Sobolev inequality

Proposition 1 *Assume that L is a probability density with respect to μ which has an Itô representation*

$$L = \rho(-\delta u) = \exp \left[-\delta u - \frac{1}{2} |u|_H^2 \right],$$

where $u \in \mathbb{D}_{2,0}(H)$ is adapted. If $\|\nabla u\|_2 \in L^\infty(\mu)$, then the measure ν , defined as

$$d\nu = L d\mu$$

satisfies the logarithmic Sobolev inequality, i.e.,

$$E_\nu \left[f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{E_\nu[f^2]} \right] \leq K E_\nu[|\nabla f|_H^2],$$

for any cylindrical Wiener function f , where

$$K = 2 \left\| \exp \left(1 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mu)}.$$

Proof: We shall use a reasoning analogous to that of [9]. Let $u_\tau = P_\tau u$, then $U_\tau = I_W + u_\tau$ is invertible and its inverse V_τ is of the form $I_W + v_\tau$. Moreover v_τ is $H - C^1$ and adapted. Consequently

$$\frac{dV_\tau \mu}{d\mu} = \rho(-\delta u_\tau).$$

Hence, using the log-Sobolev inequality of L. Gross for μ , cf. [4], and the Carleman inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\nu_\tau} \left[f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{E_{\nu_\tau}[f^2]} \right] &= E \left[(f \circ V_\tau)^2 \log \frac{f^2 \circ V_\tau}{E_\nu[f^2]} \right] \\ &\leq 2 E \left[|\nabla(f \circ V_\tau)|_H^2 \right] \\ &\leq 2 E \left[|\nabla f \circ V_\tau|_H^2 \|I_H + \nabla v_\tau\|^2 \right] \\ &= 2 E \left[\rho(-\delta u_\tau) |\nabla f|_H^2 \|(I_H + \nabla u_\tau)^{-1}\|^2 \right] \\ &\leq E \left[\rho(-\delta u_\tau) |\nabla f|_H^2 \exp \left(1 + \|\nabla u_\tau\|_2^2 \right) \right] \\ &\leq 2 \left\| \exp \left(1 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} E \left[\rho(-\delta u_\tau) |\nabla f|_H^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof it suffices to take the limit of this inequality as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and remark that $(\rho(-\delta u_\tau), \tau \in [0, 1])$ is uniformly integrable because of the invertibility of U_τ for any $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$. \square

References

- [1] T. Carleman: “Zur Theorie der linearen Integralgleichungen”. Math. Zeit. 9, 196-217, 1921.
- [2] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz: *Linear Operators, Vol. 2*, New York, Interscience, 1967.
- [3] D. Feyel and A. de La Pradelle: “Capacités gaussiennes”. Annales de l’Institut Fourier, **41**, f. 1, 49-76, 1991.
- [4] L. Gross: “Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities”. Amer. J. Math. **97**, no. 4, p.1061–1083, 1975.
- [5] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe: *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*. North Holland, Amsterdam (Kodansha Ltd., Tokyo), 1981.
- [6] P. Malliavin: *Stochastic Analysis*. Springer, 1997.
- [7] A. S. Üstünel: *Introduction to Analysis on Wiener Space*. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1610. Springer, 1995.
- [8] A. S. Üstünel: *Analysis on Wiener Space and Applications*. Electronic text at the site <http://www.finance-research.net/>.
- [9] A. S. Üstünel: “Damped logarithmic Sobolev inequality on the Wiener space”. Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics VII. The Silivri Workshop. Progress in Probability, Vol.48, 245-249. Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [10] A. S. Üstünel and M. Zakai: “The construction of filtrations on abstract Wiener space”. J. Funct. Anal. **143**, p. 10–32, 1997.
- [11] A. S. Üstünel and M. Zakai: *Transformation of Measure on Wiener Space*. Springer Verlag, 1999.
- [12] A. S. Üstünel and M. Zakai: “The invertibility of adapted perturbations of identity on the Wiener space”. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, **342**, p. 689-692, 2006.

A. S. Üstünel
 ENST, Paris
 Dept. Infres, 46, rue Barrault
 75013 Paris,
 France
 ustunel@enst.fr

M. Zakai,
 Technion, Haifa
 Dept. Electrical Eng.,
 32000 Haifa
 Israel
 zakai@ee.technion.ac.il