

MIRROR DUALITY AND G_2 MANIFOLDS

SELMAN AKBULUT AND SEMA SALUR

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this note is to give a mathematical definition of the “mirror symmetry” and discuss its applications. More specifically we explain how to assign a G_2 manifold (M, φ, Λ) , with the calibration 3-form φ and an oriented 2-plane field Λ , a pair of parametrized tangent bundle valued 2 and 3-forms of M . These forms can then be used to define different complex and symplectic structures on certain 6-dimensional subbundles of $T(M)$. When these bundles integrated they give mirror CY manifolds. For example, in the special case of $M = \text{Calabi-Yau} \times S^1$, one of the 6-dimensional subbundles corresponds to the tangent bundle of the CY manifold. This explains the mirror duality between the symplectic and complex structures on the CY 3-folds inside of a G_2 manifold. One can extend these arguments to noncompact G_2 manifolds of the form $\text{CY} \times \mathbb{R}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M^7, φ) be a G_2 manifold with the calibration 3-form φ . If φ restricts to be the volume form of a 3-dimensional submanifold Y^3 , then Y is called an associative submanifold of M . Associative submanifolds are very interesting objects as they act very similar to the holomorphic curves of Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Two years ago in [AS], we began a program in order to understand the deformations of associative submanifolds. Our main goal was to construct Gromov-Witten like invariants for a G_2 manifold M from its associative submanifolds. One of our main observations was that oriented 2-plane fields on M always exist by a theorem of Thomas [T] and by using them one can split the tangent bundle $T(M) = \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{V}$ as a direct sum of an associative 3-plane bundle \mathbf{E} and a complex 4-plane bundle \mathbf{V} . This allows us to define ‘complex associative submanifolds’ of M , whose deformation equations may be reduced to the Seiberg-Witten equations, and hence we can assign local invariants to them, and assign various invariants to (M, φ, Λ) , where Λ is an oriented 2-plane field on M . It turns out that these Seiberg-Witten equations on the submanifolds are restrictions of global equations on M .

Date: January 30, 2019.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C38, 53C29, 57R57.

Key words and phrases. mirror duality, calibration.

First named author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0505638.

Recently, we realized that the rich geometric structures of G_2 manifolds with 2-plane fields (M, φ, Λ) provide complex and symplectic structures to certain 6-dimensional subbundles of $T(M)$. In this brief note we explain how these structures are related to the mirror phenomenon which is a natural consequence. More details of our claims will appear in the upcoming paper.

2. ASSOCIATIVE AND COMPLEX DISTRIBUTIONS OF A G_2 MANIFOLD

Let us go through quickly over the basic definitions about G_2 manifolds. The main references are the two foundational papers [HL] and [B1], as well as [S], [B2], [BS], and [J]. We also need some properties introduced in [AS]. Let $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^8$ be the octonions which is an 8 dimensional division algebra generated by $\langle 1, i, j, k, l, li, lj, lk \rangle$, and let $im\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}^7$ be the imaginary octonions with the cross product operation $\times : \mathbb{R}^7 \times \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, defined by $u \times v = im(u \cdot v)$. Then the exceptional Lie group G_2 is the linear automorphisms of $im\mathbb{O}$ preserving this cross product operation, it can also be defined in terms of the orthogonal 3-frames in \mathbb{R}^7 :

$$G_2 = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in (im\mathbb{O})^3 \mid \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \langle u_1 \times u_2, u_3 \rangle = 0\}.$$

Another very useful definition popularized in [B1] is the subgroup of $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ which fixes a particular 3-form $\varphi_0 \in \Omega^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$. Denote $e^{ijk} = dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k \in \Omega^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$, then

$$G_2 = \{A \in GL(7, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^* \varphi_0 = \varphi_0\}.$$

$$\varphi_0 = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}.$$

Definition 1. A smooth 7-manifold M^7 has a G_2 structure if its tangent frame bundle reduces to a G_2 bundle. Equivalently, M^7 has a G_2 structure if there is a 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^3(M)$ such that at each $x \in M$ the pair $(T_x(M), \varphi(x))$ is isomorphic to $(T_0(\mathbb{R}^7), \varphi_0)$ (pointwise condition). We call (M, φ) a manifold with G_2 structure.

A G_2 structure φ on M^7 gives an orientation $\mu \in \Omega^7(M)$ on M , and μ determines a metric $g = g_\varphi = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on M , and a cross product structure \times on the tangent bundle of M as follows: Let i_v denote the interior product with a vector v , then

$$\langle u, v \rangle = [i_u(\varphi) \wedge i_v(\varphi) \wedge \varphi] / \mu.$$

$$\varphi(u, v, w) = \langle u \times v, w \rangle.$$

Definition 2. A manifold with G_2 structure (M, φ) is called a G_2 manifold if the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection (of the metric g_φ) lies inside of G_2 . Equivalently (M, φ) is a G_2 manifold if φ is parallel with respect to the metric g_φ , that is $\nabla_{g_\varphi}(\varphi) = 0$; which is equivalent to $d\varphi = 0$, $d(*_{g_\varphi}\varphi) = 0$ (i.e. φ harmonic). Also equivalently, at each point $x_0 \in M$ there is a chart $(U, x_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^7, 0)$ on which φ equals to φ_0 up to second order term, i.e. on the image of U $\varphi(x) = \varphi_0 + O(|x|^2)$.

Remark 1. *One important class of G_2 manifolds are the ones obtained from Calabi-Yau manifolds. Let (X, ω, Ω) be a complex 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold with Kähler form ω and a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 3-form Ω , then $X^6 \times S^1$ has holonomy group $SU(3) \subset G_2$, hence is a G_2 manifold. In this case $\varphi = \text{Re } \Omega + \omega \wedge dt$. Similarly, $X^6 \times \mathbb{R}$ gives a noncompact G_2 manifold.*

Definition 3. *Let (M, φ) be a G_2 manifold. A 4-dimensional submanifold $X \subset M$ is called coassociative if $\varphi|_X = 0$. A 3-dimensional submanifold $Y \subset M$ is called associative if $\varphi|_Y \equiv \text{vol}(Y)$; this condition is equivalent to $\chi|_Y \equiv 0$, where $\chi \in \Omega^3(M, TM)$ is the tangent bundle valued 3-form defined by the identity:*

$$\langle \chi(u, v, w), z \rangle = * \varphi(u, v, w, z)$$

The equivalence of these conditions follows from the ‘associator equality’ of [HL]

$$\varphi(u, v, w)^2 + |\chi(u, v, w)|^2/4 = |u \wedge v \wedge w|^2$$

Similar to the definition of χ one can define a tangent bundle 2-form, which is just the cross product of M (nevertheless viewing it as a 2-form has its advantages).

Definition 4. *Let (M, φ) be a G_2 manifold. Then $\psi \in \Omega^2(M, TM)$ is the tangent bundle valued 2-form defined by the identity:*

$$\langle \psi(u, v), w \rangle = \varphi(u, v, w) = \langle u \times v, w \rangle$$

Now we have two useful properties from [AS], the first property basically follows from definitions, the second property applies happily when the first property fails to give anything useful.

Lemma 1. ([AS]) *To any 3-dimensional submanifold $Y^3 \subset (M, \varphi)$, χ associates a normal vector field, which vanishes when Y is associative.*

Lemma 2. ([AS]) *To any associative manifold $Y^3 \subset (M, \varphi)$ with a non-vanishing oriented 2-plane field, χ defines an almost complex structure on its normal bundle (notice in particular any coassociative submanifold $X \subset M$ has an almost complex structure if its normal bundle has a non-vanishing section).*

Proof. Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ be an associative 3-plane, that is $\varphi_0|_L = \text{vol}(L)$. Then to every pair of orthonormal vectors $\{u, v\} \subset L$, the form χ defines a complex structure on the orthogonal 4-plane L^\perp , as follows: Define $j : L^\perp \rightarrow L^\perp$ by

$$j(X) = \chi(u, v, X)$$

This is well defined i.e. $j(X) \in L^\perp$, because when $w \in L$ we have:

$$\langle \chi(u, v, X), w \rangle = * \varphi_0(u, v, X, w) = - * \varphi_0(u, v, w, X) = \langle \chi(u, v, w), X \rangle = 0$$

Also $j^2(X) = j(\chi(u, v, X)) = \chi(u, v, \chi(u, v, X)) = -X$. We can check the last equality by taking an orthonormal basis $\{X_j\} \subset L^\perp$ and calculating

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi(u, v, \chi(u, v, X_i)), X_j \rangle &= * \varphi_0(u, v, \chi(u, v, X_i), X_j) = - * \varphi_0(u, v, X_j, \chi(u, v, X_i)) \\ &= - \langle \chi(u, v, X_j), \chi(u, v, X_i) \rangle = -\delta_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds since the map j is orthogonal, and the orthogonality can be seen by polarizing the associator equality, and by noticing $\varphi_0(u, v, X_i) = 0$. Observe that the map j only depends on the oriented 2-plane $l = \langle u, v \rangle$ generated by $\{u, v\}$ (i.e. it only depends on the complex structure on l). \square

On a chart of a G_2 manifold (M, φ) , the form φ coincides with the form $\varphi_0 \in \Omega^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$ up to quadratic terms, we can express the corresponding tangent valued forms χ and ψ in terms of φ_0 in local coordinates. More generally, let e_1, \dots, e_7 be the orthonormal frame and e^1, \dots, e^7 be the dual frame, then from definitions we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi = & (e^{256} + e^{247} + \omega^{346} - e^{357})e_1 \\ & + (-e^{156} - e^{147} - e^{345} - e^{367})e_2 \\ & + (e^{157} - e^{146} + e^{245} + e^{267})e_3 \\ & + (e^{127} + e^{136} - e^{235} - e^{567})e_4 \\ & + (e^{126} - e^{137} + e^{234} + e^{467})e_5 \\ & + (-e^{125} - e^{134} - e^{237} - e^{457})e_6 \\ & + (-e^{124} + e^{135} + e^{236} + e^{456})e_7 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = & (e^{23} + e^{45} + e^{67})e_1 \\ & + (e^{46} - e^{57} - e^{13})e_2 \\ & + (e^{12} - e^{47} - e^{56})e_3 \\ & + (e^{37} - e^{15} - e^{26})e_4 \\ & + (e^{14} + e^{27} + e^{36})e_5 \\ & + (e^{24} - e^{17} - e^{35})e_6 \\ & + (e^{16} - e^{25} - e^{34})e_7 \end{aligned}$$

The forms χ and ψ induce complex and symplectic structures on certain subbundles of $T(M)$ as follows: Let ξ be a nonvanishing vector field of M . We can define a symplectic ω_ξ and a complex J_ξ structures on the 6-plane bundle $V_\xi := \xi^\perp$ by

$$(1) \quad \omega_\xi = \langle \psi, \xi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad J_\xi(X) = \xi \times X.$$

Now we can define

$$(2) \quad \text{Re } \Omega_\xi = \varphi|_{V_\xi} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im } \Omega_\xi = \langle \chi, \xi \rangle.$$

The reason for defining (2) is to pin down a Calabi-Yau like structure on any G_2 manifold. In case $(M, \varphi) = CY \times S^1$ these quantities are related to the ones in Remark 1. Also note that when $\xi \in \mathbf{E}$ then J_ξ is an extension of J of Lemma 2 from the 4-dimensional bundle \mathbf{V} to the 6-dimensional bundle V_ξ .

By choosing different directions, i.e. different ξ , one can find the corresponding complex and symplectic structures. In particular we will get two different complex structures if we choose ξ in the associative subbundle \mathbf{E} (where φ restricts to be 1), or if we choose ξ in the complementary subbundle \mathbf{V} , which we will call the coassociative subbundle. Note that φ restricts to zero on the coassociative subbundle.

In local coordinates, it is a straightforward calculation that if we choose $\xi = e_i$ for any i , then by equations (1) and (2), we can get the corresponding structures ω_ξ , J_ξ , $\text{Re } \Omega_\xi$, and $\text{Im } \Omega_\xi$. For example, let us assume that $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be the local orthonormal basis for the associative bundle \mathbf{E} , and $\{e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$ be the local orthonormal basis for the coassociative bundle \mathbf{V} . Then if we choose $\xi = e_3 = e_1 \times e_2$ then we get $\omega_\xi = e^{12} - e^{47} - e^{56}$ and $\text{Im } \Omega_\xi = e^{157} - e^{146} + e^{245} + e^{267}$ which determines the complex structure. On the other hand, if we choose $\xi = e_7$ then $\omega_\xi = e^{16} - e^{25} - e^{34}$ and $\text{Im } \Omega_\xi = -e^{124} + e^{135} + e^{236} + e^{456}$ which will give a different symplectic and complex structures.

To understand this better, take as an example, a Calabi-Yau 6-torus $\mathbb{T}^6 = \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3$, where $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the basis for one \mathbb{T}^3 and $\{e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ the basis for the other. We can take the product $M = \mathbb{T}^6 \times S^1$ as the corresponding G_2 manifold with the calibration 3-form $\varphi = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}$, and with the decomposition $T(M) = \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{V}$, where $\mathbf{E} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and $\mathbf{V} = \{e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$. Now, if we choose $\xi = e_7$, then $V_\xi = \langle e_1, \dots, e_6 \rangle$ then the symplectic form is $\omega_\xi = e^{16} - e^{25} - e^{34}$, and the complex structure is

$$J_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 & \mapsto & e_6 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 & \mapsto & e_5 \\ \mathbf{e}_3 & \mapsto & e_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the complex valued $(3, 0)$ form is $\Omega_\xi = (e^1 + ie^6) \wedge (e^2 - ie^5) \wedge (e^3 - ie^4)$, note that this is just $\Omega_\xi = (e^1 + iJ_\xi(e^1)) \wedge (e^2 + iJ_\xi(e^2)) \wedge (e^3 + iJ_\xi(e^3))$.

On the other hand, if we choose $\xi' = e_3$ then $V_{\xi'} = \langle e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_3, \dots, e_7 \rangle$ and the symplectic form is $\omega_{\xi'} = e^{12} - e^{47} - e^{56}$ and complex structure is

$$J_{\xi'} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 & \mapsto & \mathbf{e}_2 \\ e_4 & \mapsto & e_7 \\ e_5 & \mapsto & e_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

Also $\Omega_{\xi'} = (e^1 + ie^2) \wedge (e^4 - ie^7) \wedge (e^5 - ie^6)$, as above this can be expressed more tidily as $\Omega_{\xi'} = (e^1 + iJ_{\xi'}(e^1)) \wedge (e^4 + iJ_{\xi'}(e^4)) \wedge (e^5 + iJ_{\xi'}(e^5))$. In the expressions of J 's the basis of associative bundle \mathbf{E} is indicated by the bold face letters to indicate the differing complex structures on \mathbb{T}^6 . To sum up: If we choose ξ from the coassociative bundle \mathbf{V} we get the complex structure which decomposes the 6-torus as $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3$. On the other hand if we choose ξ from the associative bundle \mathbf{E} then the induced complex structure on the 6-torus corresponds to the decomposition as $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^4$. This is the phenomena known as ‘‘mirror duality’’. Here these two structures are different

but they come from the same φ hence they are dual. These examples suggests the following long sought-after definition of the “mirror duality”.

Definition 5. *Two Calabi-Yau manifolds are mirror pairs, if their complex structures are induced from the same calibration 3-form in a G_2 manifold (with a technical condition that the vector fields ξ and ξ' of Section 3 be deformations of each other).*

Remark 2. *The deformations of $\varphi = \text{Re } \Omega + \omega \wedge dt$ on G_2 manifold $CY \times S^1$ give the complex deformations on one underlying 6-dimensional submanifold and symplectic deformations on the other. That explains why mirror Calabi-Yau manifolds should have equal $H^{(2,1)}$ and $H^{(1,1)}$. Note that in the above example, if X_ξ and $X_{\xi'}$ are the two Calabi-Yau's corresponding to V_ξ and $V_{\xi'}$, then φ determines Ω_ξ on X_ξ , and $\omega_{\xi'}$ on $X_{\xi'}$. In fact they are different manifestations of the same calibration 3-form φ residing on one higher dimensional G_2 manifold M^7 .*

3. GENERAL SETTING

We start with a G_2 manifold with a non-vanishing oriented 2-plane field (M^7, φ, Λ) . As suggested in [AS] we can view (M^7, φ) as an analog of a symplectic manifold, and the 2-plane field Λ as an analog of a complex structure taming φ . This is because Λ gives the associative/complex bundle splitting $T(M) = \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{V}$. Now, the next object is a choice of a non-vanishing vector field $\xi \in \Omega^0(M, TM)$, which gives a codimension one distribution $V_\xi := \xi^\perp$ on M , which is equipped with the structures of (1) and (2) $(V_\xi, \omega_\xi, J_\xi)$ (they are symplectic and complex structures when ξ is in \mathbf{V} or in \mathbf{E}). Note that if θ_ξ is the dual 1-form of ξ , then the condition $d\theta_\xi \wedge \theta_\xi = 0$ implies that the distribution V_ξ is integrable (i.e. involutive); even when V_ξ is not integrable [Th] gives us a singular foliation of M . Let X_ξ be a page of this foliation; for simplicity assume that this 6-dimensional manifold is smooth. X_ξ and $X_{\xi'}$ are mirror duals of each other when $\xi \in \mathbf{V}$ and $\xi' \in \mathbf{E}$. In particular, from the same argument in Remark 2, $H^{(2,1)}(X_\xi) = H^{(1,1)}(X_{\xi'})$.

In order the mirror constructing process to work, we need a non-vanishing vector field ξ in $T(M) = \mathbf{E} \oplus \mathbf{V}$, moving from \mathbf{V} to \mathbf{E} . The bundle \mathbf{E} has always a non-vanishing vector field, in fact it has a non-vanishing orthonormal 3-frame field prescribed by Λ [AS]; but \mathbf{V} may not have a non-vanishing vector field. Nevertheless \mathbf{V} does have a non-vanishing section in the complement of a 3-manifold $Y \subset M$, which is a transverse self intersection of the zero section of the bundle $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow M$ (in [AS] Seiberg-Witten equations of such 3-manifolds were related to associative deformations). So we can use these partial sections ξ and ξ' , as a consequence X_ξ and $X_{\xi'}$ may not be closed manifolds. The following is a useful example:

Remark 3. *Let X_1, X_2 be two Calabi-Yau manifolds, where X_1 is the cotangent bundle of S^3 and X_2 is the $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ bundle of S^2 . They are conjectured to be*

the mirror dual of each other by the physicists (c.f. [Ma]). By using the approach of this paper, they should be seen as 6-dimensional submanifolds of same G_2 manifold. Let's choose $M = \wedge_+^2(S^4)$; this is a G_2 manifold by Bryant-Salamon [BS].




FIGURE 1.

Let $\pi : \wedge_+^2(S^4) \rightarrow S^4$ be the bundle projection. The sphere bundle of π (which is also $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3}$) is the so-called twistor bundle, let us denote it by $\pi_1 : Z(S^4) \rightarrow S^4$. It is known that the normal bundle of each fiber $\pi_1^{-1}(p) \cong S^2$ in $Z(S^4)$ can be identified by $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ [S]. Now we take \mathbf{E} to be the bundle of vertical tangent vectors of π , and $\mathbf{V} = \pi^*(TS^4)$, i.e. the connection distribution. Let ξ be the pull-back of the vector field on S^4 with two zeros (flowing from north pole \mathbf{n} to south pole \mathbf{s}), and let ξ' be the radial vector field of \mathbf{E} . Clearly $X_\xi = T^*(S^3)$ and $X_{\xi'} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$.

Note that ξ is non-vanishing in the complement of $\pi^{-1}\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s}\}$, whereas ξ' is non-vanishing in the complement of the zero section of π . Clearly on the set where they are both defined, ξ and ξ' are homotopic through nonvanishing vector fields ξ_t . This would define a cobordism between the complements of the zero sections of the bundles $T^*(S^3)$ and $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, if the distributions ξ_t^\perp were involutive.

Here the change of complex structures $X_{\xi'} \rightsquigarrow X_\xi$ happens as follows. Let $S_\lambda^3 \rightarrow S^2$ be the Hopf map with fibers consisting of circles of radius λ , clearly $S_\infty^3 = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$

$$(\mathbb{C}^2 - 0) \times S^2 \rightarrow (S_\lambda^3 \times \mathbb{R}) \times S^2 \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_\infty^3 \times S^3$$

where the complex structure on $S_\infty^3 \times S^3$ is the obvious one, induced from exchanging the factors. In general if we allow the vector fields ξ and ξ' be homotopic through vector fields ξ_t possibly with zeros, or the family ξ_t^\perp not remain involutive the cobordism between X_ξ and $X_{\xi'}$ will have singularities.

One can apply this technique to find mirror duals of various other Calabi-Yau manifolds by putting them inside of convenient G_2 manifolds. For example, we

expect that for the quintic in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4$, the likely G_2 candidate is among the manifolds constructed by Joyce [J] (since both are constructed by orbifold resolution process).

Remark 4. *Most of what we have discussed about G_2 manifolds here applies to manifolds with G_2 structures (i.e. when φ is non-integrable). In this general case we may conjecture that 6-manifolds X_ξ and $X_{\xi'}$ have Ricci flat metrics if and only if φ is integrable (which implies g_φ of M is Ricci flat). Of course to do this we might have to choose the initial plane field Λ in a special way.*

Remark 5. *Finally, note that φ and χ on a G_2 manifold induce the volume forms on the associative part of Calabi-Yau manifolds (roughly the 3-dimensional special Lagrangian fibers), and these forms vanish on the mirror side. This justifies the expectations of string theory where the mirror Calabi-Yau manifolds are related with T-duality transformations.*

REFERENCES

- [AS] S. Akbulut and S. Salur, *Calibrated Manifolds and Gauge Theory*, math.GT/0402368.
- [B1] R.L. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann of Math 126 (1987) 525-576.
- [B2] R.L. Bryant, *Some remarks on G_2 -structures*, GGT (to appear), DG/0305124 v3
- [BS] R.L. Bryant, R.L. and M.S. Salamon, *On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 58, no 3 (1989), 829-850.
- [HL] F.R. Harvey, and H.B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta. Math. 148 (1982), 47-157.
- [J] D.D. Joyce, *Compact Manifolds with special holonomy*, OUP, Oxford, 2000.
- [Ma] M. Marino, *Enumerative geometry and knot invariants*, Infinite dimensional groups and manifolds, 27–92, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., 5, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004. hep-th/0210145.
- [S] S. Salamon, *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups*, Pitman Res.Notes in Math. Series, no. 201.
- [T] E. Thomas, *Postnikov invariants and higher order cohomology operations*, vol 85 (1967), 184-217.
- [Th] W. Thurston *Existence of codimension-one foliations*, Ann of Math. (2) 104, (1976) 249-268

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, MI, 48824
E-mail address: akbulut@math.msu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, IL, 60208
E-mail address: salur@math.northwestern.edu