# ENDOMORPHISMS OF SUPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

YURI G. ZARHIN

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field of characteristic zero,  $n \geq 5$  an integer, f(x)an irreducible polynomial over K of degree n, whose Galois group contains a doubly transitive simple non-abelian group. Let p be an odd prime,  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  the ring of integers in the pth cyclotomic field,  $C_{f,p}: y^p = f(x)$  the corresponding superelliptic curve and  $J(C_{f,p})$  its jacobian. Assuming that either n = p + 1or p does not divide n(n-1), we prove that the ring of all endomorphisms of  $J(C_{f,p})$  coincides with  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . The same is true if n = 4, the Galois group of f(x) is the full symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_4$  and K contains a primitive pth root of unity.

2000 Math. Subj. Class: Primary 14H40; Secondary 14K05, 11G30, 11G10 Key words and phrases: abelian varieties, superelliptic jacobians, doubly transitive permutation groups

## 1. Definitions and statements

Throughout this paper K is a field and  $K_a$  its algebraic closure. We write  $\operatorname{Gal}(K)$  for the absolute Galois group  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_a/K)$  and  $\ell$  for a prime different from  $\operatorname{char}(K)$ . If X is an abelian variety of positive dimension over  $K_a$  then  $\operatorname{End}(X)$  stands for the ring of all its  $K_a$ -endomorphisms and  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$  for the corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $\operatorname{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . For every abelian variety over Y over  $K_a$  we write  $\operatorname{Hom}(X,Y)$  for the group of all  $K_a$ -homomorphisms from X to Y.

Let p be a prime and  $\zeta_p \in \mathbb{C}$  be a primitive pth root of unity,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \subset \mathbb{C}$  the pth cyclotomic field and  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  the ring of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ .

Let us assume that  $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq p$  and K contains a primitive pth root of unity  $\zeta$ . Let  $f(x) \in K[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 3$  without multiple roots,  $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K_a$ the (*n*-element) set of roots of f and  $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K_a$  the splitting field of f. We write  $\operatorname{Gal}(f) = \operatorname{Gal}(f/K)$  for the Galois group  $\operatorname{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$  of f; it permutes the roots of f and may be viewed as a certain permutation group of  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ , i.e., as a subgroup of the group  $\operatorname{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \cong \mathbf{S}_n$  of permutations of  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ .

Let  $C_{f,p}$  be a smooth projective model of the smooth affine K-curve  $y^p = f(x)$ ; its genus is equal to (n-1)(p-1)/2 if p does not divide n. The map  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, \zeta y)$ gives rise to a non-trivial birational K-automorphism  $\delta_p : C_{f,p} \to C_{f,p}$  of period p. The jacobian  $J(C_{f,p})$  of  $C_{f,p}$  is an abelian variety that is defined over K. By Albanese functoriality,  $\delta_p$  induces an automorphism of  $J(C_{f,p})$  which we still denote by  $\delta_p$ . It is known [9, p. 149], [12, p. 458]) (see also [30, 32]) that  $\delta_p$  satisfies the pth cyclotomic equation, i.e.,  $\delta_p^{p-1} + \cdots + \delta_p + 1 = 0$  in  $\text{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$ . This gives rise to the embeddings

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_K(J(C_{f,p})) \subset \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p})), \ \zeta_p \mapsto \delta_p,$$
$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_K^0(J(C_{f,p})) \subset \operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})), \ \zeta_p \mapsto \delta_p,$$

that send 1 to the identity automorphism of  $J(C_{f,p})$ . In particular, if  $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$  generated by  $\delta_p$  then the latter embedding establishes a canonical isomorphism

 $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$ 

that sends  $\zeta_p$  to  $\delta_p$ . In a series of papers [18, 21, 28, 25, 27, 23, 30, 32], the author discussed the structure of  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ . In particular, he proved that if  $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 5$  and  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  coincides either with full symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_n$  or with alternating group  $\mathbf{A}_n$  then  $\operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . (See also [19, 20, 24, 26, 33].)

The aim of this paper is to deal with arbitrary doubly transitive Galois groups for almost all p (if n is given). For example, we extend the result about  $\operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$  to the case when n = 4,  $\operatorname{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_4$ , a prime p is odd and K contains a primitive pth root of unity (see below).

The following statement is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that K has characteristic zero,  $n \ge 4$  and p is an odd prime that does not divide n. Suppose that K contains a primitive pth root of unity and  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  contains a doubly transitive subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  that enjoys the following property: if p divides n - 1 and  $n \ne p + 1$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  does not contain a proper subgroup, whose index divides (n - 1)/p.

Then:

- (i) End<sup>0</sup> $(J(C_{f,p}))$  is a simple Q-algebra, i.e.,  $J(C_{f,p})$  is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.
- (ii) Let us assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  does not contain a proper normal subgroup, whose index divides n-1. Then:
  - (a)  $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_p]$  is the center of  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$  is a central simple  $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$ -algebra.
  - (b) Suppose that either n = p + 1 or p does not divide n 1. Then

 $\operatorname{End}^{0}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p}), \ \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p}].$ 

**Remark 1.2.** See [2, 7] for the list of known doubly transitive permutation groups.

**Remark 1.3.** Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is doubly transitive, its order is divisible by n(n-1). In particular, every subgroup in  $\mathcal{G}$ , whose index divides n-1 is either proper or  $\mathcal{G}$  itself.

**Remark 1.4.** The case of n = 3 is discussed in [32]; see also [11]. (Notice that the only doubly transitive subgroup of  $\mathbf{S}_3$  is  $\mathbf{S}_3$  itself, which contains a normal subgroup of index 2 = 3 - 1.)

Let us consider the case of n = 4. The only doubly transitive subgroups of  $\mathbf{S}_4$  are  $\mathbf{S}_4$  and  $\mathbf{A}_4$ : they both contain a subgroup of index 3 = 4 - 1. The only subgroup in  $\mathbf{A}_4$  of index 3 is normal. However, none of the index 3 subgroups in  $\mathbf{S}_4$  is normal. (Indeed, an index 3 normal subgroup of  $\mathbf{S}_4$  is, obviously, a normal Sylow 2-subgroup and therefore must contain all the transpositions, which could not be the case.) Now Theorem 1.1 implies the following statement.

**Corollary 1.5.** Suppose that K has characteristic zero,  $f(x) \in K[x]$  is an irreducible quartic polynomial, whose Galois group is the full symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_4$ . If p is an odd prime and K contains a primitive pth root of unity then

 $\operatorname{End}^{0}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p}), \ \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p}].$ 

**Example 1.6.** Let p = 3 and  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} f_i x^i \in K[x]$  be an irreducible degree 4 polynomial over K with Galois group  $\mathbf{S}_4$ . Then  $f_0 \neq 0, f_4 \neq 0$  and the plane projective quartic

$$y^{3}z - \sum_{i=0}^{4} f_{i}x^{i}z^{4-i} = 0$$

is a smooth projective model of  $C_{f,3}$ , whose only *infinite* point is (0:1:0). In particular,  $C_{f,3}$  is not hyperelliptic. It follows from Corollary 1.5 that

$$\operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,3})) = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right]$$

if char(K) = 0 and K contains a primitive cubic root of unity.

For example, the polynomial  $f(x) = x^4 - x - 1$  has Galois group  $\mathbf{S}_4$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [13, p. 42]. Let L be the splitting field of f(x) over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so that  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is a Galois extension with  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbf{S}_4$ . Since  $\mathbf{S}_4$  has exactly one subgroup of index 2 (namely,  $\mathbf{A}_4$ ), the field L contains exactly one quadratic (sub)field, namely,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$  where  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of f(x). Recall that the discriminant of a quartic polynomial  $x^4 + ax + b$  is  $-27a^4 + 256b^3$  [17, Sect. 64]. It follows that  $\Delta = -283$  and L contains  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-283})$ . This implies that L does not contain  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$  and therefore Land K are linearly disjoint over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hence the Galois group of f(x) over K is still  $\mathbf{S}_4$ . Since K contains a primitive cubic root of unity, we conclude that if J is the jacobian of the smooth plane projective quartic

$$y^3z - x^4 + xz^3 + z^4 = 0$$

then  $\operatorname{End}(J) = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right].$ 

Notice that one may use degree seven polynomials with Galois group  $\mathbf{S}_7$  or  $\mathbf{A}_7$  in order to construct smooth plane quartics, whose jacobians have no nontrivial endomorphisms [31].

Recall that one may realize  $S_4$  as the group of affine transformations of the affine plane over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . This suggests the following generalization of Corollary 1.5.

**Theorem 1.7.** Suppose that there exists a prime  $\ell \neq p$  and positive integers r and d such that d > 1 and  $n = \ell^{rd}$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^r}$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}^d$  be the d-dimensional coordinate  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space and  $SA(d, \mathbb{F})$  be the group of all special affine transformation

$$v \mapsto A(v) + t, \ t \in \mathbb{F}^d, A \in SL(d, \mathbb{F})$$

of  $\mathbb{F}^d$ . Suppose that one may identify  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  and  $\mathbb{F}^d$  in such a way that  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  becomes a permutation group of  $\mathbb{F}^d$  that contains  $\operatorname{SA}(d,\mathbb{F})$ .

Suppose that char(K) = 0 and K contains a primitive pth root of unity. If either n = p + 1 or p does not divide n - 1 then

$$\operatorname{End}^{0}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p}), \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p}].$$

*Proof.* The permutation group  $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{SA}(d, \mathbb{F})$  is doubly transitive, because  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{F})$  is transitive on the set of all non-zero vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^d$  (recall that d > 1). The group  $T \cong \mathbb{F}^d$  of all translations of  $\mathbb{F}^d$  is normal in  $\mathrm{SA}(d, \mathbb{F})$  and  $\mathcal{G}/T = \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{F})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a proper normal subgroup say, H, whose index divides  $n-1 = \ell^{rd} - 1$ . It follows that H contains all elements, whose order is a power of  $\ell$ . This implies that H contains T and therefore H/T is a normal subgroup in

#### YURI G. ZARHIN

 $SL(d, \mathbb{F})$  that contains all elements of  $SL(d, \mathbb{F})$ , whose order is a power of  $\ell$ . Since  $SL(d, \mathbb{F})$  is generated by elementary matrices [6, Ch. XIII, Sect. 8. Lemma 8.1 and Sect. 9, Proposition 9.1] and every (non-identity) elementary matrix over  $\mathbb{F}$  has multiplicative order  $\ell$ , we conclude that  $H/T = SL(d, \mathbb{F})$  and therefore  $H = SA(d, \mathbb{F})$ . Since H is proper, we get a contradiction. So such H does not exist. Now Theorem 1.7 follows from Theorem 1.1.

Now let us discuss the case of  $n \geq 5$  and simple  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Corollary 1.8.** Suppose that  $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$  and  $n \geq 5$ . Suppose that p is an odd prime that does not divide n and such that either n = p + 1 or p does not divide n-1. Suppose that  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  contains a doubly transitive simple non-abelian subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{End}^{0}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p}), \ \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p}].$$

Proof of Corollary 1.8. Replacing K by a suitable finite algebraic extension, we may assume that  $\operatorname{Gal}(f) = \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is simple non-abelian,  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  would not change if we replace K by an abelian extension. So, we may assume that K contains a primitive *p*th root of unity. The simplicity of  $\mathcal{G}$  means that it does *not* have a proper normal subgroup. Now the assertion follows from Theorem 1.1.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls elementary but useful results from [30] concerning the endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties. These results are generalized in Section 3 to the case of the centralizer of a given field that acts on an abelian variety. We pay a special attention to the action of this field on the differentials of the first kind (Theorems 3.5 and 3.12). In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we discuss generalizations of our results to the case when p is replaced by its power q and the curve involved is  $y^q = f(x)$ .

This work was started during the special semester "Rational and integral points on higher-dimensional varieties" at the MSRI (Spring 2006). I am grateful to the MSRI and the organizers of this program.

#### 2. Endomorphism algebras of Abelian Varieties

Let X be an abelian variety of positive dimension over K. If n is a positive integer that is not divisible by char(K) then  $X_n$  stands for the kernel of multiplication by n in  $X(K_a)$ . It is well-known [8] that  $X_n$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $2\dim(X)$ . In particular, if  $n = \ell$  is a prime then  $X_\ell$  is a  $2\dim(X)$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ -vector space.

If X is defined over K then  $X_n$  is a Galois submodule in  $X(K_a)$  and all points of  $X_n$  are defined over a finite separable extension of K. We write  $\bar{\rho}_{n,X,K}$ :  $\operatorname{Gal}(K) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(X_n)$  for the corresponding homomorphism defining the structure of the Galois module on  $X_n$ ,

$$G_{n,X,K} \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(X_n)$$

for its image  $\bar{\rho}_{n,X,K}(\text{Gal}(K))$  and  $K(X_n)$  for the field of definition of all points of  $X_n$ . Clearly,  $K(X_n)$  is a finite Galois extension of K with Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K(X_n)/K) = \tilde{G}_{n,X,K}$ . If  $n = \ell$  then we get a natural faithful linear representation

$$G_{\ell,X,K} \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}(X_{\ell})$$

of  $\tilde{G}_{\ell,X,K}$  in the  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ -vector space  $X_{\ell}$ .

We write  $\operatorname{End}_K(X)$  for the ring of all K-endomorphisms of X. We have

$$\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1_X \subset \operatorname{End}_K(X) \subset \operatorname{End}(X)$$

4

where  $1_X$  is the identity automorphism of X. Since X is defined over K, one may associate with every  $u \in \text{End}(X)$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)$  an endomorphism  ${}^{\sigma}u \in \text{End}(X)$ such that  ${}^{\sigma}u(x) = \sigma u(\sigma^{-1}x)$  for  $x \in X(K_a)$  and we get the group homomorphism

$$\kappa_X : \operatorname{Gal}(K) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}(X)); \quad \kappa_X(\sigma)(u) = {}^{\sigma}u \quad \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K), u \in \operatorname{End}(X).$$

It is well-known that  $\operatorname{End}_K(X)$  coincides with the subring of  $\operatorname{Gal}(K)$ -invariants in  $\operatorname{End}(X)$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{End}_K(X) = \{u \in \operatorname{End}(X) \mid {}^{\sigma}u = u \; \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K)\}$ . It is also well-known that  $\operatorname{End}(X)$  (viewed as a group with respect to addition) is a free commutative group of finite rank and  $\operatorname{End}_K(X)$  is its *pure* subgroup, i.e., the quotient  $\operatorname{End}(X)/\operatorname{End}_K(X)$  is also a free commutative group of finite rank.

The following observation plays a crucial role in this paper.

**Remark 2.1.** It is known [16] that all the endomorphisms of X are defined over  $K(X_{\ell^2})$ ; in particular,

$$\operatorname{Gal}(K(X_{\ell^2})) \subset \ker(\kappa_X) \subset \operatorname{Gal}(K)$$

This implies that if  $\Gamma_K := \kappa_X(\operatorname{Gal}(K)) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}(X))$  then there exists a surjective homomorphism  $\kappa_{X,\ell^2,K} : \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_K$  such that the composition

$$\operatorname{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(K(X_{\ell^2})/K) = \tilde{G}_{\ell^2, X} \stackrel{\kappa_{X, \ell^2}}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Gamma_K$$

coincides with  $\kappa_X$ . Clearly,

$$\operatorname{End}_K(X) = \operatorname{End}(X)^{\Gamma_K}.$$

Clearly,  $\operatorname{End}(X)$  leaves invariant the subgroup  $X_{\ell} \subset X(K_a)$ . It is well-known that  $u \in \operatorname{End}(X)$  kills  $X_{\ell}$  (i.e.  $u(X_{\ell}) = 0$ ) if and only if  $u \in \ell \cdot \operatorname{End}(X)$ . This gives us a natural embedding

$$\operatorname{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \subset \operatorname{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(X_\ell).$$

Clearly, the image of  $\operatorname{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  lies in the centralizer of the Galois group, i.e., we get an embedding

$$\operatorname{End}_{K}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{Gal}(K)}(X_{\ell}) = \operatorname{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\ell}}(X_{\ell}).$$

It is well-known [8, §21], that  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$  is a semisimple finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ algebra. Clearly, the natural map  $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}(X)) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}^0(X))$  is an embedding. This allows us to view  $\kappa_X$  as a homomorphism

$$\kappa_X : \operatorname{Gal}(K) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}(X)) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}^0(X)),$$

whose image coincides with  $\Gamma_K \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}(X)) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}^0(X))$ . Clearly, the subalgebra  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)^{\Gamma_K}$  of  $\Gamma_K$ -invariants coincides with  $\operatorname{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** (i) Let us split the semisimple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$  into a finite direct product  $\operatorname{End}^0(X) = \prod_{s \in \mathcal{I}} D_s$  of simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras  $D_s$ . (Here  $\mathcal{I}$  is identified with the set of minimal two-sided ideals in  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$ .) Let  $e_s$  be the identity element of  $D_s$ . One may view  $e_s$  as an idempotent in  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$ . Clearly,

$$1_X = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{I}} e_s \in \operatorname{End}^0(X), \quad e_s e_t = 0 \,\,\forall s \neq t.$$

There exists a positive integer N such that all  $N \cdot e_s$  lie in End(X). We write  $X_s$  for the image  $X_s := (Ne_s)(X)$ ; it is an abelian subvariety in X of positive dimension. Clearly, the sum map

$$\pi_X : \prod_s X_s \to X, \quad (x_s) \mapsto \sum_s x_s$$

is an isogeny. It is also clear that the intersection  $D_s \cap \operatorname{End}(X)$  leaves  $X_s \subset X$  invariant. This gives us a natural identification  $D_s \cong \operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$ . One may easily check that each  $X_s$  is isogenous to a self-product of (absolutely) simple abelian variety. Clearly, if  $s \neq t$  then  $\operatorname{Hom}(X_s, X_t) = 0$ .

- (ii) We write  $C_s$  for the center of  $D_s$ . Clearly,  $C_s$  coincides with the center of  $\operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$  and the center C of  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$  coincides with  $\prod_{s \in \mathcal{I}} C_s = \bigoplus_{s \in S} C_s$ .
- (iii) Obviously, all the sets

$$\{e_s \mid s \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot e_s \subset \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{I}} C_s = C$$

are stable under the Galois action  $\operatorname{Gal}(K) \xrightarrow{\kappa_X} \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}^0(X))$ . In particular, there is a continuous homomorphism from  $\operatorname{Gal}(K)$  to the group  $\operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{I})$  of permutations of  $\mathcal{I}$  such that its kernel contains  $\ker(\kappa_X)$  and

$$e_{\sigma(s)} = \kappa_X(\sigma)(e_s) = {}^{\sigma}e_s, \; {}^{\sigma}(C_s) = C_{\sigma(s)}, \; {}^{\sigma}(D_s) = D_{\sigma(s)} \quad \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K), s \in \mathcal{I}.$$
  
By Remark 2.1, the homomorphism  $\operatorname{Gal}(K) \to \operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{I})$  factors through

 $\tilde{G}_{X,\ell^2,K}$ . It follows that  $X_{\sigma(s)} = Ne_{\sigma(s)}(X) = \sigma(Ne_s(X)) = \sigma(X_s)$ ; in particular, abelian subvarieties  $X_s$  and  $X_{\sigma(s)}$  have the same dimension. Clearly,  $u \mapsto {}^{\sigma}u$  gives rise to an isomorphism of Q-algebras  $\operatorname{End}^0(X_{\sigma(s)}) \cong \operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$ .

(iv) Assume that  $\operatorname{End}_K(X)$  has no zero divisors. Then the following conditions hold [29, remark 1.4(iv)]:  $\mathcal{I}$  consists of one Galois orbit. In particular,  $\tilde{G}_{X,\ell^2,K}$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{I}$  and therefore has a subgroup of index #(I).

# 3. Abelian varieties with multiplications

Let E be a number field. Let (X, i) be a pair consisting of an abelian variety X of positive dimension over  $K_a$  and an embedding  $i : E \hookrightarrow \text{End}^0(X)$ . Here  $1 \in E$  must go to  $1_X$ .

**Remark 3.1.** It is well known [15, Prop. 2 on p. 36]) that the degree  $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$  divides  $2\dim(X)$ , i.e.

$$d_{X,E} := \frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$$

is a positive integer.

Let us denote by  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$  the centralizer of i(E) in  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$ . Clearly, i(E) lies in the center of the finite-dimensional Q-algebra  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$  carries a natural structure of finite-dimensional *E*-algebra.

**Remark 3.2.** The *E*-algebra  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$  is semisimple. Indeed, in notations of Remark 2.2  $\operatorname{End}^0(X) = \prod_{s \in \mathcal{I}} D_s$  where all  $D_s = \operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$  are simple Q-algebras. If  $\operatorname{pr}_s : \operatorname{End}^0(X) \to D_s$  is the corresponding projection map and  $D_{s,E}$  is the centralizer of  $\operatorname{pr}_s i(E)$  in  $D_s$  then one may easily check that  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i) = \prod_{s \in \mathcal{I}} D_{s,E}$ . Clearly,  $\operatorname{pr}_s i(E) \cong E$  is a simple Q-algebra. It follows from Theorem 4.3.2 on p. 104 of [3] that  $D_{s,E}$  is also a simple Q-algebra. This implies that  $D_{s,E}$  is a simple *E*-algebra

and therefore  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$  is a semisimple *E*-algebra. We write  $i_s$  for the composition  $\operatorname{pr}_s i : E \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}^0(X) \twoheadrightarrow D_s \cong \operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$ . Clearly,  $D_{s,E} = \operatorname{End}^0(X_s, i_s)$  and

$$\operatorname{End}^{0}(X,i) = \prod_{s \in \mathcal{I}} \operatorname{End}^{0}(X_{s},i_{s})$$
(1)

It follows that  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(X, i)$  is a simple *E*-algebra if and only if  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(X)$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, i.e., X is isogenous to a self-product of (absolutely) simple abelian variety.

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers in E. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a non-zero ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$ . It is well known that the quotient  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$  is a finite commutative ring.

Let  $\lambda$  be a maximal ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$ . We write  $k(\lambda)$  for the corresponding (finite) residue field  $\mathcal{O}/\lambda$  and  $\ell$  for char $(k(\lambda))$ . We have

$$\mathcal{O} \supset \lambda \supset \ell \cdot \mathcal{O}.$$

**Remark 3.3.** Let us assume that  $\lambda$  is the only maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  dividing  $\ell$ , i.e.,  $\ell \cdot \mathcal{O} = \lambda^b$  where the positive integer b satisfies  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = b \cdot [k(\lambda) : \mathbb{F}_{\ell}]$ .

(i) We have  $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  where  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{O}$  with respect to  $\lambda$ -adic topology. It is well known that  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  is a local principal ideal domain, its only maximal ideal is  $\lambda \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  and

$$k(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}/\lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}/\lambda \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$$

One may easily check that  $\ell \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = (\lambda \mathcal{O}_{\lambda})^{b}$ . (ii) Let us choose an element  $c \in \lambda$  that does not lie in  $\lambda^{2}$ . Clearly,

$$\lambda \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = c \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, \ \ell \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = c^b \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}.$$

This implies that there exists a unit  $u \in \mathcal{O}^*_{\lambda}$  such that  $\ell = uc^b$ . It follows from the unique factorization of ideals in  $\mathcal{O}$  that

$$\lambda = \ell \cdot \mathcal{O} + c \cdot \mathcal{O}.$$

(iii) Notice that

$$E_{\lambda} = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$$

is the field coinciding with the completion of E with respect to  $\lambda$ -adic topology.

Suppose that X is defined over K and  $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{End}_K(X)$ . Then we may view elements of  $\mathcal{O}$  as K-endomorphisms of X. We write  $\operatorname{End}(X, i)$  for the centralizer of  $i(\mathcal{O})$  in  $\operatorname{End}(X)$  and  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i)$  for the centralizer of  $i(\mathcal{O})$  in  $\operatorname{End}_K(X)$ . Obviously,  $\operatorname{End}(X, i)$  is a pure subgroup in  $\operatorname{End}(X)$  and  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i) = \operatorname{End}(X, i) \cap \operatorname{End}_K(X)$ is a pure subgroup in  $\operatorname{End}(X, i)$ , i.e. the quotients  $\operatorname{End}(X)/\operatorname{End}(X, i)$  and  $\operatorname{End}(X, i)/\operatorname{End}_K(X, i)$  are torsion-free. We have

$$i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{End}_K(X, i) \subset \operatorname{End}(X, i) \subset \operatorname{End}(X).$$

It is also clear that

$$\operatorname{End}(X,i) = \operatorname{End}^{0}(X,i) \bigcap \operatorname{End}(X)$$

$$\operatorname{End}^{0}(X, i) = \operatorname{End}(X, i) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset \operatorname{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \operatorname{End}^{0}(X).$$

Clearly,  $\operatorname{End}(X, i)$  carries a natural structure of finitely generated torsion-free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module. Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Dedekind ring, it follows that there exist non-zero ideals  $\mathfrak{b}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{b}_t$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  such that

$$\mathbb{Z} \cdot 1_X \subset \operatorname{End}(X, i) \cong \mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{b}_t$$

(as  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules).

Clearly,  $\kappa(\sigma)(\operatorname{End}(X,i)) = \operatorname{End}(X,i)$  for all  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K)$  and  $\operatorname{End}_K(X,i) = \{ u \in \operatorname{End}(X,i) \mid \sigma u = u \quad \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K) \}.$ 

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose that X is defined over K and  $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{End}_K(X)$ . If  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i)$  has no zero divisors then, in notations of Remark 2.2,  $\mathcal{I}$  consists of one Galois orbit; in particular, all  $X_s$  have the same dimension equal  $\dim(X)/\#(\mathcal{I})$ .

Proof. Clearly,  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  also has no zero divisors. If  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}$  is a non-empty Galois-invariant subset then  $e_{\mathcal{J}} := \sum_{s \in \mathcal{J}} e_s$  is a non-zero element of  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Now, if  $\mathcal{I}$  contains two non-empty disjoint Galois-invariant subsets  $\mathcal{J}_1$  and  $\mathcal{J}_2$  then  $e_{\mathcal{J}_1}e_{\mathcal{J}_2} = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose that E is a number field that is normal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains a subfield isomorphic to E. Suppose that X is defined over K and  $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{End}_K(X)$ . Let  $\operatorname{Lie}_K(X)$  be the tangent space to X at the origin, which carries a natural structure of  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ module. For each field embedding  $\tau : E \hookrightarrow K$  we put

$$\operatorname{Lie}_{K}(X)_{\tau} = \{ z \in \operatorname{Lie}_{K}(X) \mid i(e)z = \tau(e)z \,\,\forall e \in E \},\$$
$$n_{\tau}(X, i) = \dim_{K}(\operatorname{Lie}_{K}(X)_{\tau}).$$

If  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i)$  has no zero divisors then every  $n_{\tau}$  is divisible by  $\#(\mathcal{I})$ . In particular, if the greatest common divisor of all  $n_{\tau}$  is 1 then  $\#(\mathcal{I}) = 1$ , i.e., both  $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$  and  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$  are simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras, and X is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.

**Remark 3.6.** The nonnegative integers  $n_{\tau}(X, i)$  do not depend on the choice of K.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. The embedding i gives rise to the embeddings

 $i_s: E \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}^0(X_s), \ i_s(1) = 1_{X_s}, i_s(\mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{End}(X_s)$ 

and

 $\operatorname{Lie}_{K_a}(X)_{\tau} = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{I}} \operatorname{Lie}_{K_a}(X_s)_{\tau}.$ 

On the other hand, if  $s = \sigma(t)$  for  $s, t \in \mathcal{I}, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)$  then

$$\operatorname{Lie}_{K_a}(X_s)_{\tau} = \sigma(\operatorname{Lie}_{K_a}(X_t)_{\tau}).$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we conclude that for each  $\tau$  (and s)

$$\dim_{K_a}(\operatorname{Lie}_{K_a}(X)_{\tau}) = \#(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \dim_{K_a}(\operatorname{Lie}_{K_a}(X_s)_{\tau})$$

is divisible by #(I). In order to finish the proof, one has only to notice that

$$\operatorname{Lie}_{K_a}(X)_{\tau} = \operatorname{Lie}_K(X)_{\tau} \otimes_K K_a$$

since E is normal and K contains the subfield isomorphic to E,

**Lemma 3.7.** In the notation and assumptions of Theorem 3.5, let us assume that  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(X,i)$  is a central simple *E*-algebra. Let us define the positive integer *m* as the square root of  $\dim_{E}(\operatorname{End}^{0}(X,i))$ . Then all  $n_{\tau}(X,i)$  are divisible by *m*. In particular, if the greatest common divisor of all  $n_{\tau}(X,i)$  is 1 then m = 1, i.e.,  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(X,i) = i(E) \cong E$ .

8

*Proof.* We may assume that  $K = K_a$  is algebraically closed. Then for each field embedding  $\tau : E \hookrightarrow K$  the K-algebra  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i) \otimes_{E,\tau} K$  is isomorphic to the matrix algebra  $\operatorname{M}_m(K)$ . On the other hand, every  $\operatorname{Lie}_K(X)_{\tau}$  carries a natural structure of  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i) \otimes_{E,\tau} K$ -module. It follows that the K-dimension of  $\operatorname{Lie}_K(X)_{\tau}$  is divisible by m.

Let us assume that  $\operatorname{char}(K)$  does not divide the order of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$  and put

$$X_{\mathfrak{a}} := \{ x \in X(K_a) \mid i(e)x = 0 \quad \forall e \in \mathfrak{a} \}.$$

For example, assume that  $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(K)$ . Then the order of  $\mathcal{O}/\lambda = k(\lambda)$  is a power of  $\ell$  and

$$X_{\lambda} \subset X_{\ell}.$$

Assume in addition that  $\lambda$  is the only maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  dividing  $\ell$  and pick

$$c \in \lambda \setminus \lambda^2 \subset \lambda \subset \mathcal{O}.$$

By Remark 3.3(ii),  $\lambda$  is generated by  $\ell$  and c. Therefore

$$X_{\lambda} = \{ x \in X_{\ell} \mid cx = 0 \} \subset X_{\ell}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

Clearly,  $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$  is a Galois submodule of  $X(K_a)$ . It is also clear that  $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$  carries a natural structure of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$ -module. (It is known [14, Prop. 7.20] that this module is free.)

Obviously, every endomorphism from  $\operatorname{End}(X, i)$  leaves invariant the subgroup  $X_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset X(K_a)$  and induces an endomorphism of the  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$ -module  $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$ . This gives rise to a natural homomorphism

$$\operatorname{End}(X,i) \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}}(X_{\mathfrak{a}})_{\mathfrak{f}}$$

whose kernel contains  $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \operatorname{End}(X, i)$ . We claim that actually, the kernel coincides with  $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \operatorname{End}(X, i)$ , i. e. there is an embedding

$$\operatorname{End}(X,i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}} X_{\mathfrak{a}}$$
 (3).

In order to prove it, let us denote by n the order of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$ . We have  $n\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Dedekind ring, there exists a non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{a}'$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}'\mathfrak{a} = n\mathcal{O}$ . Clearly

$$\mathfrak{a}'X_n \subset X_\mathfrak{a}.$$

It follows that if  $u \in \text{End}(X, \mathcal{O})$  kills  $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$  then hu = uh kills  $X_n$  for each  $h \in \mathfrak{a}'$ . This implies that

 $\mathfrak{a}' u \subset n \operatorname{End}(X) \bigcap \operatorname{End}(X, \mathcal{O}) = n \operatorname{End}(X, \mathcal{O}) = n(\mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{b}_t) = n\mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus n\mathfrak{b}_t.$ Since

Since

 $n\mathfrak{b}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus n\mathfrak{b}_t=\mathfrak{a}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{a}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}_t,$ 

we have  $u \in \mathfrak{ab}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{ab}_t = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \operatorname{End}(X, \mathcal{O})$  and we are done.

Now we concentrate on the case of  $\mathfrak{a} = \lambda$ , assuming that

$$\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(K).$$

Then  $X_{\lambda}$  carries the natural structure of a  $k(\lambda)$ -vector space provided with the structure of Galois module and (3) gives us the embedding

$$\operatorname{End}(X,i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda}).$$
 (4)

Further we will identify  $\operatorname{End}(X, i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k(\lambda)$  with its image in  $\operatorname{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda})$ . We write

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X} : \operatorname{Gal}(K) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda})$$

for the corresponding (continuous) homomorphism defining the Galois action on  $X_{\lambda}$ . It is known [14, Prop. 7.20] (see also [10]) that

$$\dim_{k(\lambda)} X_{\lambda} = \frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]} := d_{X,E}$$

Let us put

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X} = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K} := \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X}(\operatorname{Gal}(K)) \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda}).$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$  coincides with the Galois group of the field extension  $K(X_{\lambda})/K$ where  $K(X_{\lambda})$  is the field of definition of all points in  $X_{\lambda}$ .

It is also clear that the image of  $\operatorname{End}_{K}(X,i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k(\lambda)$  lies in the centralizer  $\operatorname{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}}(X_{\lambda})$  of  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$  in  $\operatorname{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_{\lambda})$ . This implies the following statement.

**Lemma 3.8.** Suppose that  $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{End}_K(X)$ . If  $\lambda$  is a maximal ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(K)$  and  $\operatorname{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}}(X_{\lambda}) = k(\lambda)$  then  $\operatorname{End}_K(X,i) = \mathcal{O}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i)$  is a finitely generated torsion free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module and therefore is isomorphic to a direct sum of say, r (non-zero) ideals in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Clearly, r = 1 and therefore  $\mathcal{O} \subset \operatorname{End}_K(X, i) \subset E$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is the maximal order in E, we conclude that  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i) = \mathcal{O}$ .

Since  $X_{\lambda} \subset X_{\ell} \subset X_{\ell^2}$ , we get the inclusion  $K(X_{\lambda}) \subset K(X_{\ell^2})$ , which gives rise to the surjective group homomorphism

$$\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}: \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}.$$

**Remark 3.9.** Recall [4] (see also [22, p. 199]) that a surjective homomorphism of finite groups  $\pi : \mathcal{G}_1 \to \mathcal{G}$  is called a *minimal cover* if no proper subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  maps onto  $\mathcal{G}$ . One may easily check that if H is a subgroup in  $\mathcal{G}_1$  then  $(\mathcal{G} : \pi(H))$  divides  $(\mathcal{G}_1 : H)$  (and the ratio is the index of ker $(\pi) \cap H$  in ker $(\pi)$ ). Also, if H is normal in  $\mathcal{G}_1$  then  $\pi(H)$  is normal in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

The following notion is a natural generalization of Definition 2.2 in [5].

**Definition 3.10.** Suppose that  $\lambda$  is the only maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  dividing  $\ell$ . We say that K is  $\lambda$ -balanced with respect to X if  $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(K)$  and

$$\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}:G_{\ell^2,X,K}\twoheadrightarrow G_{\lambda,X,K}$$

is a minimal cover.

**Remark 3.11.** There always exists a subgroup  $H \subset \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$  such that  $H \to \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$  is surjective and a minimal cover. (Indeed, one has only to choose a subgroup  $H \subset \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$  of smallest possible order such that  $\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}(H) = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ .) Let us put  $L = K(X_{\ell^2})^H$ . Clearly,

$$K \subset L \subset K(X_{\ell^2}), \ L \bigcap K(X_{\lambda}) = K$$

and L is a maximal overfield of K that enjoys these properties. It is also clear that

$$K(X_{\lambda}) \subset L(X_{\lambda}), \ L(X_{\ell^2}) = K(X_{\ell^2}), \ H = \tilde{G}_{\ell^2, X, L}, \tilde{G}_{\lambda, X, L} = \tilde{G}_{\lambda, X, K}$$

and L is  $\lambda$ -balanced with respect to X.

In order to describe  $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X}$  explicitly, let us assume for the sake of simplicity that  $\lambda$  is the only maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  dividing  $\ell$ . We write b for the corresponding ramification index and pick  $c \in \lambda \setminus \lambda^2$ .

Let  $T_{\ell}(X)$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -Tate module of X. Recall that  $T_{\ell}(X)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -module of rank  $2\dim(X)$  provided with the continuous action

$$\rho_{\ell,X} : \operatorname{Gal}(K) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(T_{\ell}(X))$$

and the natural embedding

$$\operatorname{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(X)),$$

whose image commutes with  $\rho_{\ell,X}(\operatorname{Gal}(K))$ . In particular,  $T_{\ell}(X)$  carries the natural structure of an  $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ -module; it is known [10, Prop. 2.2.1 on p. 769] that the  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ -module  $T_{\ell}(X)$  is free of rank  $d_{X,E}$ . There is also the natural isomorphism of Galois modules

$$X_{\ell} = T_{\ell}(X)/\ell T_{\ell}(X)$$

which is also an isomorphism of  $\operatorname{End}_K(X) \supset \mathcal{O}$ -modules. Applying (2) and Remark 3.3(ii), we conclude that the  $\mathcal{O}[\operatorname{Gal}(K)]$ -module  $X_{\lambda}$  coincides with

$$c^{-1}\ell T_{\ell}(X)/\ell T_{\ell}(X) = c^{b-1}T_{\ell}(X)/c^{b}T_{\ell}(X) = T_{\ell}(X)/cT_{\ell}(X) = T_{\ell}(X)/c\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}T_{\ell}(X) = T_{\ell}(X)/(\lambda\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})T_{\ell}(X).$$

Hence

$$X_{\lambda} = T_{\ell}(X) / (\lambda \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) T_{\ell}(X) = T_{\ell}(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}} k(\lambda).$$

**Theorem 3.12.** Suppose that E is a number field that is normal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains a subfield isomorphic to E. Suppose that X is defined over K and  $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{End}_K(X)$ . We write  $n_{X,E}$  for the greatest common divisor of all  $n_{\tau}(X, i)$  where  $\tau$  runs through the set of embeddings  $\tau : E \hookrightarrow K$ . Suppose that  $\lambda$  is the only maximal ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$  that lies above prime  $\ell$ , the group  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$  does not contain a subgroup, whose index divides  $n_{X,E}$ , except  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$  itself and  $\operatorname{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}}(X_{\lambda}) = k(\lambda)$ . Then:

- (i) End<sup>0</sup>(X) is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, i.e., X is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.
- (ii) Suppose that G<sub>λ,X</sub> does not contain a normal subgroup, whose index divides d<sub>X,E</sub> except G<sub>λ,X</sub> itself. Then:
  - (1) i(E) is the center of  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(X, i)$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(X, i)$  is a simple central *E*-algebra. In particular, i(E) contains the center of  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(X)$ .
  - (2) If  $n_{X,E} = 1$  then  $\operatorname{End}^0(X,i) = i(E) \cong E$  and  $\operatorname{End}(X,i) = i(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{O}$ .

Proof. Using Remark 3.11, we may assume (extending if necessary the ground field) that K is  $\lambda$ -balanced with respect to X. In particular, there is no proper subgroup  $H \subset \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$  with  $\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}(H) = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ . Since  $\operatorname{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}}(X_{\lambda}) = k(\lambda)$ , it follows from Lemma 3.8 that  $\operatorname{End}_K(X,i) = i(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{O}$  and therefore has no zero divisors. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $\mathcal{I}$  consists of one Galois orbit. Assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is not a singleton. By Remark 2.2,  $\tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$  contains a subgroup H of index  $\#(\mathcal{I})$ . It follows from Remarks 3.11 and 3.9 that  $H_0 := \tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}(H) \neq \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ ; in addition the index of  $H_0$  in  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$  divides  $\#(\mathcal{I})$ . By Theorem 3.5,  $\#(\mathcal{I})$  divides  $n_{X,E}$ . It follows that the index of  $H_0$  in  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$  divides  $n_{X,E}$ . But such  $H_0$  does not exist: the obtained contradiction proves that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a singleton, i.e.,  $X = X_s$ ,  $\operatorname{End}^0(X) = \operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra and  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i) = \operatorname{End}^0(X_s, i_s)$  is a simple *E*-algebra. This proves (i).

Let us prove (ii). The center C of the simple E-algebra  $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$  is a field that contains  $i(E) \cong E$ . By Remark 3.1,  $[C : \mathbb{Q}]$  divides  $2\dim(X)$ . This implies that [C : E] divides  $d_{X,E}$ . Suppose that  $C \neq E$ , i.e., [C : E] > 1. Since  $\operatorname{End}_K(X, i) = \mathcal{O}$ , we have

$$E = \operatorname{End}_{K}^{0}(X, i) = C^{\operatorname{Gal}(K)} = E^{G_{\ell^{2}, X, K}}$$

i.e., C/K is a Galois extension and there is a surjective homomorphism  $\tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K} \twoheadrightarrow$  Gal(C/E), whose kernel  $H_1$  is a normal subgroup in  $\tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$  of index [C:E] > 1. Clearly,  $H_2 := \tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}(H_1)$  is a normal subgroup in  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$  and its index is greater than 1 but divides [C:E]. Since [C:E] divides  $d_{X,E}$ , the index of  $H_2$  in  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$  divides  $d_{X,E}$ . But such  $H_2$  does not exist: the obtained contradiction proves that [C:E] = 1, i.e., C = E and  $\operatorname{End}^0(X,i)$  is a central simple E-algebra. This proves (ii)(a). It follows from Lemma 3.7 that  $\operatorname{End}^0(X,i) = i(E) \cong E$ . Since  $\mathcal{O} \cong i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \operatorname{End}(X,i) \subset i(E) \cong E$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  is the maximal order in E, we conclude that  $\mathcal{O} \cong i(O) = \operatorname{End}(X,i)$ .

## 4. Superelliptic Jacobians

We keep notation of Section 1. In particular, K is a field of characteristic zero that contains  $\zeta$ : a primitive *p*th root of unity;  $f(x) \in K[x]$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 3$  and without multiple roots,  $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K_a$  is the (*n*-element) set of roots of f and  $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K_a$  is the splitting field of f. We assume that p does not divide n and write  $\operatorname{Gal}(f) = \operatorname{Gal}(f/K)$  for the Galois group  $\operatorname{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$  of f; it permutes roots of f and may be viewed as a certain permutation group of  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ , i.e., as a subgroup of the group  $\operatorname{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \cong \mathbf{S}_n$  of permutation of  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ .

We write  $C_{f,p}$  for the superelliptic K-curve  $y^p = f(x)$  and  $J(C_{f,p})$  for its jacobian. Recall that  $J(C_{f,p})$  is an abelian variety that is defined over K and

$$\dim(J(C_{f,p})) = \frac{(n-1)(p-1)}{2}.$$

Let us denote by d(n, p) the greatest common divisor of all integers [ni/p] where *i* runs through the set of integers

$$\{i \mid 0 < i < p\}.$$

**Remark 4.1.** (i) Suppose that p divides n-1, i.e., n = pk + 1 with positive integer k. Then [ni/p] = ik and therefore d(n,p) = k = (n-1)/p.

(ii) Suppose that p does not divide n - 1, i.e., n = pk + r with nonnegative integer k and 1 < r < p. Then p > 2, since p does not divide n. Let us put  $j := [p/r] \le [p/2] . We have <math>[n \cdot 1/p] = k$ , [n(j+1)/p] = (j+1)k + 1 and therefore d(n, p) = 1.

Let us put

$$E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p), \ \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p], \ \ell = p, \ \lambda = (1 - \zeta_p)\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p], \ k(\lambda) = \mathbb{F}_p$$

and

$$X = J(C_{f,p}), \ i : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_K(J(C_{f,p})), \ i(\zeta_p) = \delta_p.$$

We have  $d_{J(C_{f,p}),\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)} = n - 1$ . It is known [9, 12] that  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\tilde{G}_{J(C_{f,p}),\lambda,K}$ . It is also known [25, 30], [32, Theorem 4.14] that the

centralizer of  $\tilde{G}_{J(C_{f,p}),\lambda,K}$  in  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(J(C_{f,p})_{\lambda})$  coincides with  $\mathbb{F}_p$  if  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  is doubly transitive. Also, if p > 2 and  $\tau_i : E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \hookrightarrow K$  is an embedding that sends  $\zeta_p$ to  $\zeta^{-i}$  then  $n_{\tau_i}(J(C_{f,p}), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = [ni/p]$  if  $1 \le i < p$  [27, Remark 3.7]. This implies that  $n_{J(C_{f,p}),\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)} = d(n,p)$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Extending the ground field, we may and will assume that  $\operatorname{Gal}(f) = \mathcal{G}$ . Recall that p > 2. Now the assertion (i) follows from Theorem 3.12(i) combined with Remarks 4.1 and 1.3. The assertion (ii)(a) follows from Theorem 3.12(ii)(1) combined with Remark 1.3. In particular,  $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$  contains the center of  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ . It follows from [27, Theorem 3.6] and [32, Theorem 3.2] that  $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$  coincides with the center of  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ . This means that the centralizer of  $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$  in  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$  is the whole  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ . Now Theorem 3.12(ii)(2) combined with Remark 1.3 implies that  $\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\delta_p]$  is the maximal order in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\delta_p] \subset \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$ , we conclude that  $\mathbb{Z}[\delta_p] = \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that p is odd and there exists a prime  $\ell \neq p$  and positive integers r and d such that  $n = \ell^{2rd}$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^r}$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$  be the 2d-dimensional coordinate  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space and ASP(2d,  $\mathbb{F}$ ) be the group of all symplectic affine transformation

$$v \mapsto A(v) + t, \ t \in \mathbb{F}^d, A \in \operatorname{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$$

of  $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$ . Suppose that one may identify  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$  in such a way that  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  becomes a permutation group of  $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$  that contains  $\operatorname{ASP}(2d, \mathbb{F})$ .

Suppose that char(K) = 0 and K contains a primitive pth root of unity. If either n = p + 1 or p does not divide n - 1 then

$$\operatorname{End}^{0}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p}), \ \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\delta_{p}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p}].$$

Proof. The permutation group  $\mathcal{G} = \operatorname{ASP}(d, \mathbb{F})$  is doubly transitive, because the symplectic group  $\operatorname{Sp}(d, \mathbb{F})$  is transitive on the set of all non-zero vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$ . The group  $T \cong \mathbb{F}^{2d}$  of all translations of  $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$  is normal in  $\operatorname{ASP}(2d, \mathbb{F})$  and  $\mathcal{G}/T = \operatorname{Sp}(d, \mathbb{F})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a proper normal subgroup say, H, whose index divides  $n-1=\ell^{2rd}-1$ . It follows that H contains all elements, whose order is a power of  $\ell$ . This implies that H contains T and therefore H/T is a normal subgroup in  $\operatorname{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$  that contains all elements of  $\operatorname{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$ , whose order is a power of  $\ell$ . Since  $\operatorname{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$  is generated by symplectic transvections [1, Theorem 3.25] and every (non-identity) symplectic transvection over  $\mathbb{F}$  has multiplicative order  $\ell$ , we conclude that  $H/T = \operatorname{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$  and therefore  $H = \operatorname{ASP}(2d, \mathbb{F})$ . Since H is proper, we get a contradiction. So such H does not exist. Now Theorem 4.2 follows from Theorem 1.1.

In the case of hyperelliptic jacobians (i.e., when p = 2), we still can get some information about the structure of the endomorphism algebra.

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose that K has characteristic zero, n is an odd integer that is greater or equal than 5 and Gal(f) contains a doubly transitive subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  that does not contain a proper subgroup, whose index divides (n-1)/2. Then:

(i) End<sup>0</sup>( $J(C_{f,2})$ ) is a simple Q-algebra, i.e.,  $J(C_{f,2})$  is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.

#### YURI G. ZARHIN

(ii) If  $\mathcal{G}$  does not contain a subgroup of index 2 then  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(J(C_{f,p}))$  is a central simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

*Proof.* Since p = 2, we have  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_2) = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\dim(J(C_{f,2})) = (n-1)/2$  and the assertion follows readily from Theorem 1.6 in [29].

### 5. Complements

Let r > 1 be a positive integer and  $q = p^r$ . Let  $\zeta_q \in \mathbb{C}$  be a primitive qth root of unity,  $E := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q) \subset \mathbb{C}$  the qth cyclotomic field and  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$  the ring of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$ . Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero than contains a primitive qth root of unity  $\zeta$ . Let  $f(x) \in K[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 4$ without multiple roots. Let us assume that p does not divide n. We write  $C_{f,q}$ for the smooth projective model of smooth affine K-curve  $y^q = f(x)$ . The map  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y^q)$  gives rise to the surjective regular map  $\pi : C_{f,q} \to C_{f,q/p}$ . By Albanese functoriality,  $\pi$  induces the surjective homomorphism of corresponding jacobians  $J(C_{f,q}) \to J(C_{f,q/p})$ , which we still denote by  $\pi$ . We write  $J^{(f,q)}$  for the identity component of the kernel of

$$\pi: J(C_{f,q}) \to J(C_{f,q/p}).$$

It is known [30, pp. 355–356], [32, Theorem 5.13 and Remark 5.14 on p. 383] that:

(i) The automorphism

$$\delta_q: C_{f,q} \to C_{f,q}, \ (x,y) \mapsto (x,\zeta y)$$

gives rise to an embedding

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_K(J^{(f,q)}) \subset \operatorname{End}(J^{(f,q)})$$

that sends 1 to the identity automorphism of  $J^{(f,q)}$ .

- (ii) The Galois modules  $J_{(1-\zeta_q)}^{(f,q)}$  and  $J(C_{f,p})_{(1-\zeta_p)}$  are isomorphic. Here  $(1-\zeta_q)$ (resp.  $(1-\zeta_p)$ ) is the corresponding principal maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$  (resp. in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ ). In particular, if  $\lambda = (1-\zeta_q)\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q] \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$  then  $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,J^{(f,q)},K} =$ Gal(f); if Gal(f) is doubly transitive then its centralizer in  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(J_{\lambda}^{(f,q)})$ coincides with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (iii) Let us consider the induced action of  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$  on  $\operatorname{Lie}(J^{(f,q)})$ . Let *i* be a positive integer such that  $1 \leq i < q$  and *p* does not divide *i*. If  $\tau_i : E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q) \hookrightarrow K$  is an embedding that sends  $\zeta_q$  to  $\zeta^{-i}$  then  $n_{\tau_i}(J(C^{(f,q)}), E) = [ni/q]$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Let p be a prime, r > 1 an integer and  $q = p^r$ . Let  $n \ge 4$  be an integer that is not divisible by p. Let n = kq + c where k and c are nonnegative integers and 0 < c < q.

Let d(n,q) be the greatest common divisor of all integers [ni/q] where i runs through the set of positive integers i that are not divisible by p and strictly less than q. Then:

- (1) d(n,q) divides k. In particular, if q < n < 2q then d(n,q) = 1.
- (2) If n-1 is divisibly by q, i.e., c = 1, then d(n,q) = k = (n-1)/q.
- (3) If p is odd and n-1 is not divisible by q then d(n,q) = 1.
- (4) Suppose that p = 2 and q does not divide n − 1. Then:
  (a) d(n,q) = 1 or 2.

(b) If either k is odd or c < q/2 then d(n,q) = 1. In particular, if n < q/2 then d(n,q) = 1.

We prove Lemma 5.1 at the end of this Section.

Combining observations (i)-(iii) of this Section with Theorem 3.12(i), Lemma 5.1 and Remark 1.3, we obtain the following "generalization" of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose that K has characteristic zero,  $n \ge 4$  and p is a prime that does not divide n. Let r > 1 be a positive integer,  $q = p^r$  and suppose that K contains a primitive qth root of unity. Suppose that Gal(f) contains a doubly transitive subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  that enjoys the following property: if q divides n - 1 and  $n \ne q + 1$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  does not contain a proper subgroup, whose index divides (n - 1)/q. If p = 2 then we assume additionally that  $\mathcal{G}$  does not contain a subgroup of index 2. Then:

- (i)  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(J^{(f,q)})$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, i.e.,  $J^{(f,q)}$  is isogenous over  $K_{a}$  to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.
- (ii) Let us assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  does not contain a proper normal subgroup, whose index divides n-1. Then:
  - (a)  $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_q] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$  is the center of  $\operatorname{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$  is a central simple  $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_q]$ -algebra.
  - (b) Suppose that either n = q + 1 or q does not divide n 1. If p = 2 then we assume additionally that n = kq + c with nonnegative integers kand c < q such that either k is odd or c < q/2. Then

$$\operatorname{End}^{0}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q}), \ \operatorname{End}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{q}].$$

**Corollary 5.3.** Suppose that K has characteristic zero,  $f(x) \in K[x]$  is an irreducible quartic polynomial, whose Galois group is the full symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_4$ . Let p be an odd prime, r > 1 a positive integer and  $q = p^r$ . Suppose that K contains a primitive qth root of unity. Then

$$\operatorname{End}^{0}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q}), \operatorname{End}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{q}].$$

Proof of Corollary 5.3. The same proof as for Corollary 1.5 works in this case, provided one replaces the reference to Theorem 1.1 by a reference to Theorem 5.2.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 5.4.** Suppose that  $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$  and  $n \geq 5$ . Suppose that p is a prime that does not divide n. Let r > 1 be a positive integer and  $q = p^r$ . Assume also that either n = q + 1 or q does not divide n - 1. If p = 2 then we assume additionally that n = kq + c with nonnegative integers k and c < q such that either k is odd or c < q/2. Suppose that  $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$  contains a doubly transitive simple non-abelian subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{End}^{0}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q}), \ \operatorname{End}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{q}].$$

Proof of Corollary 5.4. The same proof as for Corollary 1.8 works in this case, provided one replaces the reference to Theorem 1.1 by a reference to Theorem 5.2 and notices that simple non-abelian  $\mathcal{G}$  does not contain a subgroup of index 2.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Clearly, p does not divide c. We have  $[n \cdot 1/q] = k$  and therefore d(n,q) divides k. This proves (1). If c = 1 then k = (n-1)/q and [ni/q] = ik. This implies that d(n,q) = k = (n-1)/q. This proves (2).

Now assume that c > 1. Let m be the smallest integer such that mc > q. Clearly,

 $q/2 > m \ge 2, \ (m-1)c < q < mc < 2q$ 

and therefore

$$(km+1)q < nm < (km+2)q.$$

It follows that [nm/q] = km + 1 and therefore if m is not divisible by p then d(n,q) = 1.

Now let us assume that m is divisible by p. Then m+1 is not divisible by p and still m+1 < q. Clearly,

$$c \neq q/2.$$

If c < q/2 then mc = (m-1)c + c < q + q/2 and

$$(m+1)c = mc + c < (q+q/2) + q/2 = 2q.$$

This implies that

$$(km+1)q < n(m+1) < (km+2)q$$

and therefore [n(m+1)/q] = km + 1, which, in turn, implies that d(n,q) = 1.

If c > q/2 then m = 2. If p is odd, 2 is not divisible by p and (as we have already seen)  $[n \cdot 2/q] = 2k + 1$  and therefore d(n, q) = 1. This proves (3).

So, the only remaining case is when p = 2 and c > q/2. Since  $q \ge 4$ , we may take i = 3 < q. We have  $n \cdot 3 = 3kq + 3c$  and either q < 3c < 2q or 2q < 3c < 3q. In the former case  $[n \cdot 3/q] = 3k + 1$  and d(n, q) = 1.

In the latter case  $[n \cdot 3/q] = 3k + 2$  and d(n,q) divides both k and 3k + 2. This implies that d(n,q) = 1 or 2; in addition, if k is odd then d(n,q) = 1. This proves (4).

### 6. Corrigendum to [30] and [33]

[30], Remark 4.1 on p. 351: the condition  $n \ge 5$  was inadvertently omitted. [33], p. 538, Theorem 1.1, condition (ii): the *n* should be *m*.

#### References

- [1] Artin, E.: Geometric Algebra. Interscience Publishers, New York (1957)
- [2] Dixon, J. D., Mortimer, B.: Permutation Groups. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg (1996).
- [3] Herstein, I. N.: Noncommutative rings. John Wiley and Sons (1968)
- [4] Feit, W., Tits, J.: Projective representations of minimum degree of group extensions. Canad. J. Math. 30, 1092–1102 (1978)
- [5] Elkin, A., Zarhin, Yu. G.: Endomorphism algebras of hyperelliptic jacobians and finite projective lines. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 21, 169–187 (2006)
- [6] Lang, S.: Algebra. 3rd edn. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA (1993)
- [7] Mortimer, B.: The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 41, 1–20 (1980)
- [8] Mumford D.: Abelian varieties. 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, London (1974)
- [9] Poonen, B., Schaefer, E.: Explicit descent for jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line. J. reine angew. Math. 488, 141–188 (1997)
- [10] Ribet, K.: Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications. Amer. J. Math. 98, 751–804 (1976)
- [11] Ribet, K.: Endomorphisms of semi-stable abelian varieties over number fields. Ann. Math.
   (2) 101, 555–562 (1975)
- [12] Schaefer, E.: Computing a Selmer group of a jacobian using functions on the curve. Math. Ann. 310, 447–471 (1998)
- [13] Serre, J.-P.: Topics in Galois Theory. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston-London (1992)

16

- [14] Shimura, G.: Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971)
- [15] Shimura, G.: Abelian varieties with complex multiplication and modular functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1997)
- [16] Silverberg, A.: Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 77, 253–262 (1992)
- [17] van der Waerden, B.L.: Algebra I. Achte Auflage. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1971).
- [18] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication. Math. Res. Letters 7, 123–132 (2000)
- [19] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians and modular representations. In: Moduli of abelian varieties (C. Faber, G. van der Geer, F. Oort, editors). Progress in Math. 195, 473–490. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001)
- [20] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication in positive characteristic. Math. Res. Letters 8, 429–435 (2001)
- [21] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Very simple 2-adic representations and hyperelliptic jacobians. Moscow Math. J. 2 (2002), issue 2, 403-431.
- [22] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians without Complex Multiplication, Doubly Transitive Permutation Groups and Projective Representations. Contemp. Math. 300, 195–210 (2002)
- [23] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Endomorphism rings of certain jacobians in finite characteristic. Matem. Sbornik 193, issue 8, 39–48 (2002); Sbornik Math. 193 (8), 1139-1149 (2002)
- [24] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians and simple groups  $U_3(2^m)$ . Proc. AMS **131**, 95–102 (2003)
- [25] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Cyclic covers, their Jacobians and endomorphisms. J. reine angew. Math. 544, 91–110 (2002).
- [26] Zarhin, Yu. G.:Homomorphisms of hyperelliptic jacobians. In: Number Theory, Algebra, and Algebraic Geometry (Shafarevich Festschrift). Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Math. 241, 79–92 (2003)
- [27] Zarhin, Yu. G.: The endomorphism rings of jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 136, 257–267 (2004).
- [28] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Non-supersingular hyperelliptic jacobians. Bull. Soc. Math. France 132, 617–634 (2004)
- [29] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Homomorphisms of abelian varieties. In: Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory (AGCT 2003) (Y. Aubry, G. Lachaud, editors). Séminaires et Congrès 11, 189–215 (2005).
- [30] Zarhin, Yu. G., Endomorphism algebras of superelliptic Jacobians. In: Geometric methods in Algebra and Number Theory (F. Bogomolov, Yu. Tschinkel, editors). Progress in Math. 235, 339–362, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin (2005)
- [31] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 and jacobians without complex multiplication (Russian). Trudy St. Petersburg Mat. Obsch. 11, 81–91 (2005); AMS Translations - Series 2, vol. 218, 67–75 (2006)
- [32] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Superelliptic jacobians. In: "Diophantine Geometry" Proceedings (U. Zannier, ed.), Edizioni Della Normali, Pisa, pp. 363-390 (2007)
- [33] Zarhin, Yu.G.: Non-isogenous superelliptic jacobians. Math. Z. 253, 537–554 (2006)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL PROBLEMS IN BIOLOGY, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, PUSHCHINO, MOSCOW REGION, RUSSIA

E-mail address: zarhin@math.psu.edu