

Multisymmetric syzygies

M. Domokos

Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,
P.O. Box 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary, E-mail: domokos@renyi.hu

Abstract

Inspired by the theory of trace identities of matrices, we introduce a very simple approach to the algebra of multisymmetric functions, yielding both generators and relations in an explicit form under the assumption that the order of the symmetric group is invertible in the base field. The method works on the multilinear level regardless of the characteristic. As an application, a consequence is drawn on the defining equations of the commuting variety.

MSC : 13A50, 14L30, 16R30

1 Introduction

Let K be an arbitrary field. The symmetric group S_n acts on $V = K^n$ by permuting coordinates. Write V^m for the m -fold direct sum of this representation, and write $K[V^m]$ for the coordinate ring of V^m (an mn -variable polynomial algebra). The algebra of multisymmetric polynomials is the ring of invariants $K[V^m]^{S_n}$. It is an old result proved by Schläi [29], Noether [23], MacMahon [22], Weyl [32], that when K has characteristic zero, this algebra is generated by the polarizations of the elementary symmetric polynomials. Extensions and counterexamples for base fields of positive characteristic were given by Richmond [28], Stepanov [30], Fleischmann [9], Briand [2]. The relations among the generators had been studied classically

Partially supported by the Bolyai Fellowship, OTKA K61116, and the Leverhulme Trust.

by Junker [16] [17], [18], and recently by Dalbec [4], Bukhshtaber and Rees [3], and Vaccarino [31]. In characteristic two, a finite presentation of the ring of multisymmetric functions was given by Feshbach [8].

We introduce here a very simple approach to the presentation of the algebra of multisymmetric functions, inspired by the theory of trace identities of matrices. The key step in our work is Theorem 2.1, giving a new basis in the algebra of multisymmetric functions. This yields quickly and simultaneously both the generators (first fundamental theorem) and the relations (second fundamental theorem) in an explicit form, under the assumption that the order of the symmetric group is invertible in K , see Theorem 2.6. In particular, applying recent results of Derksen [5] we derive Theorem 4.1, a finite presentation for the algebra of multisymmetric functions. We note also that our methods work on the multilinear level regardless of the characteristic of K . To illustrate the results we work out concrete examples in Section 5.

Furthermore, we point out a connection to the work of Procesi [26] on the scheme of n -dimensional representations of an algebra, and derive a consequence on the defining equations of the commuting variety: our Theorem 3.3 says that the scheme of semisimple representations of the m -variable polynomial algebra is reduced (when $m = 2$ this was proved earlier by Gan and Ginzburg [12]).

The main new results in the paper are Theorem 2.1, the case $\text{char}(K) > n$ of Theorem 2.6 (ii), Theorems 2.8, 3.2, 3.3, and 4.1.

2 Trace identities of diagonal matrices

Identify V^m with the space of m -tuples of diagonal matrices. Write

$$x(i) = \text{diag}(x(i)_1; \dots; x(i)_n); \quad i = 1; \dots; m$$

for the generic diagonal matrices, where $x(i)_j$ is the j th coordinate function on the i th summand of V^m . The algebra $K[V^m]^{S_n}$ contains the traces $\text{Tr}(w)$ of non-trivial monomials $w = x(1)^{a_1} \dots x(m)^{a_m}$ in the generic diagonal matrices; these invariants in other words are the polarized power sums. There is a natural N_0^m -grading on $K[V^m]$: the multidegree of the entries of $x(i)$ is the i th standard basis vector in N_0^m . The multidegree of $\text{Tr}(w)$ (for w as above) is a . We say that an element of $K[V^m]$ is multilinear if it is multihomogeneous of multidegree $(1; \dots; 1)$.

Theorem 2.1 Assume that $\text{char}(K) > n$ or $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Then the products $\text{Tr}(u)\text{Tr}(v)\cdots\text{Tr}(w)$ of at most n traces of monomials form a basis in $K[V^m]^{S_n}$.

Proof. We are dealing with a permutation action, so the orbit sums of monomials form a vector space basis in the algebra of invariants. An arbitrary monomial w in the m variables $x(i)_j$ uniquely factors as $w = w[1]\cdots w[n]$, where $w[j]$ is a (possibly empty) monomial depending only on the m variables $x(i)_j, i = 1;\dots;m$ with fixed lower index j . Call the height of w the number of non-empty submonomials $w[i]$. Denote by $w[j]$ the monomial in the variables $x(1);\dots;x(m)$ obtained by deleting the lower index j from the variables $x(i)_j$. Clearly, two monomials $w, u \in K[V^m]$ belong to the same S_n -orbit if and only if the multisets $\{w[1];\dots;w[n]\}$ and $\{u[1];\dots;u[n]\}$ coincide. Therefore the dimension of the multihomogeneous component of $K[V^m]^{S_n}$ with multidegree α equals the number of multisets $\{w_1;\dots;w_r\}$ of monomials in $x(1);\dots;x(m)$ such that $r \leq n$ and $w_1 \cdots w_r = x(1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots x(m)^{\alpha_m}$. On the other hand, this is also the number of products of at most n traces having multidegree α . Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the products of at most n traces are linearly independent.

Assume on the contrary that a non-trivial linear combination of products of at most n traces is zero. Choose a term $\text{Tr}(w_1)\cdots\text{Tr}(w_r)$ with non-zero coefficient such that $r \leq n$ is maximal. Given $j \in \{1;\dots;n\}$ and a monomial z in the m variables $x(1);\dots;x(m)$, define $z[j] \in K[V^m]$ as the monomial in the variables $x(i)_j$ obtained from z by putting the lower index j to all the variables $x(i)$ in z . One can easily see that the expansion of $\text{Tr}(w_1)\cdots\text{Tr}(w_r)$ in the variables $x(i)_j$ contains the monomial $w_1[j]\cdots w_r[j]$ with coefficient $r_1! \cdots r_d!$, where there are d distinct monomials in the multiset $\{w_1;\dots;w_r\}$, and the i th occurs with multiplicity r_i . Since $r_1 + \dots + r_d = r \leq n$, this coefficient is non-zero. Moreover, a product of at most $r-1$ traces contains only monomials with height $< r$, and the only product of r traces containing the above monomial is $\text{Tr}(w_1)\cdots\text{Tr}(w_r)$. Hence expanding our non-trivial linear combination of products of at most n traces in the m variables $x(i)_j$ contains the monomial $w_1[j]\cdots w_r[j]$ with a non-zero coefficient. This is a contradiction.

Example. Take $n = m = 2$, write $x = x(1)$ and $y = x(2)$, then the multihomogeneous component of $K[V^2]^{S_2}$ of multidegree $(3;2)$ has the following

basis (provided that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}(x^3y^2); \quad \text{Tr}(x^3y)\text{Tr}(y); \quad \text{Tr}(x^2y^2)\text{Tr}(x); \\ & \text{Tr}(x^3)\text{Tr}(y^2); \quad \text{Tr}(x^2y)\text{Tr}(xy); \quad \text{Tr}(xy^2)\text{Tr}(x^2); \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2 It is stated in [14] that one gets the multigraded Hilbert series of $K[N^m]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ by substituting $z_1^{-1} \dots z_m^{-1} z_2^{-2} \dots z_n^{-n}$ into the n th complete symmetric function in infinitely many variables. This can be seen by counting the S_n -orbits of monomials. Theorem 2.1 gives a basis different from the orbit sums of monomials that corresponds naturally to this formula for the Hilbert series.

Next we introduce a fundamental $(n+1)$ -linear relation among the traces of monomials. Set

$$e_{n+1}(x(1); \dots; x(n+1)) = \sum_{\substack{w_1 \cdots w_r = x(1) \cdots x(n+1)}} \prod_{j=1}^r (\deg(w_j) - 1) \text{Tr}(w_j); \quad (1)$$

where the summation ranges over all factorizations of $x(1) \cdots x(n+1)$ into the product of non-empty submonomials.

Proposition 2.3 We have the identity

$$e_{n+1}(x(1); \dots; x(n+1)) = 0 \quad (2)$$

in $K[N^{n+1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$.

Proof. This follows by specializing the fundamental trace identity of $n \times n$ matrices to diagonal matrices. Indeed, let $Y(1); \dots; Y(n+1)$ be generic $n \times n$ matrices (so their entries generate an $(n+1)n^2$ -variable commutative polynomial ring). For a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ with cycle decomposition

$$= (i_1 \dots i_d) i_{d+1} \dots (j_1 \dots j_e)$$

set

$$\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}(Y(i_1) \cdots Y(i_d)) \text{Tr}(Y(i_{d+1}) \cdots Y(i_{d+e}))$$

Then we have the equality

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1}} (\text{sgn } \sigma) \text{Tr} = 0 \quad (3)$$

called the fundamental trace identity of $n \times n$ matrices. This can be obtained by multilinearizing the Cayley-Hamilton identity to get an identity multilinear in the n matrix variables $Y(1); \dots; Y(n)$, and then multiplying by $Y(n+1)$ and taking the trace; see [11] for details. The substitution $Y(i) \mapsto x(i)$ ($i = 1; \dots; n+1$) in (3) yields (2).

Remark 2.4 An alternative way to deduce (2) is to express the $(n+1)$ th elementary symmetric function in $n+1$ variables in terms of the power sums using the Newton formulae, then polarize, and specialize the last coordinates to zero.

A monomial $w = x(1)^{a_1} \dots x(m)^{a_m}$ can be thought of as an S_n -equivariant polynomial map $V^m \rightarrow V$. A k -tuple $\underline{w} = (w_1; \dots; w_k)$ of such monomials gives an S_n -equivariant polynomial map $V^m \rightarrow V^k$, inducing a K -algebra homomorphism

$$\underline{w} : K[V^k]^{S_n} \rightarrow K[V^m]^{S_n}; \quad f(x(1); \dots; x(k)) \mapsto f(w_1; \dots; w_k) \quad (4)$$

So Proposition 2.3 immediately implies the following class of relations among the traces contained in $K[V^m]^{S_n}$.

Corollary 2.5 Let $w_1; \dots; w_{n+1}$ be arbitrary non-empty monomials in the variables $x(1); \dots; x(m)$. Then we have the relation

$$w_{n+1}(w_1; \dots; w_{n+1}) = 0 \text{ in } K[V^m]^{S_n}. \quad (5)$$

Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.5 essentially contain both the first fundamental theorem (generators) and the second fundamental theorem (relations) for $K[V^m]^{S_n}$ (when $n!$ is invertible in K). To state the second fundamental theorem in a form always we need some more notation. Denote by $M^{(m)}$ the set of non-trivial monomials in the (commuting) variables $x(1); \dots; x(m)$. To each $w \in M^{(m)}$ associate an indeterminate t_w , and take the polynomial ring

$$F^{(m)} = K[t_w \mid w \in M^{(m)}]$$

in infinitely many commuting variables. One may think of the elements of $F^{(m)}$ as formal trace expressions, into which one can substitute arbitrary m pairwise commuting $n \times n$ matrices over some commutative K -algebra. In particular, we have the K -algebra homomorphism

$$\iota^{(m)} : F^{(m)} \rightarrow K[V^m]; \quad f \mapsto f(x(1); \dots; x(m))$$

induced by $t_w \in \text{Tr}(w)$. Its image is contained in the ring of multisymmetric functions. Note that $F^{(m)}$ is naturally multigraded, the multidegree of t_w being the multidegree of w , and that $\phi^{(m)}$ is multihomogeneous. Later we shall speak about the multilinear component of $F^{(m)}$, which is the multihomogeneous component with multidegree $(1; \dots; 1)$. In the case $m = n + 1$, $F^{(m)}$ contains the multilinear element

$$x_{n+1} = \sum_{r=1}^X \prod_{j=1}^r (x_{n+1})^{Y^r} (\deg(w_j) - 1) t_{w_j};$$

where the sum ranges over all factorizations of the monomial x_{n+1} as a product of non-empty submonomials (compare with (1)). Proposition 2.3 says that x_{n+1} belongs to the kernel of $\phi^{(n+1)}$. Given a k -tuple $\underline{w} = (w_1; \dots; w_k)$, $w_i \in M^{(m)}$ and a k -variable monomial $u \in M^{(k)}$ denote by $u_{\underline{w}}$ the monomial in $M^{(m)}$ obtained by substituting in u the variable $x(j)$ by w_j . The K -algebra homomorphism (4) lifts to

$$\underline{w} : F^{(k)} \rightarrow F^{(m)}; \quad t_u \mapsto t_{u_{\underline{w}}}. \quad (6)$$

Obviously, \underline{w} commutes with ϕ ; that is, we have

$$\phi^{(m)}(f_{\underline{w}}) = \phi^{(k)}(f)_{\underline{w}} \quad (8f \in F^{(k)}).$$

In particular, \underline{w} maps $\ker(\phi^{(k)})$ into $\ker(\phi^{(m)})$, and we say that the relation $(f_{\underline{w}})(x(1); \dots; x(m)) = 0$ (where $f \in \ker(\phi^{(k)})$) is a consequence of the relation $f(x(1); \dots; x(k)) = 0$.

Theorem 2.6 Assume that $\text{char}(K) > n$ or $\text{char}(K) = 0$.

- (i) The algebra $K[V^m]^S_n$ of multisymmetric functions is minimally generated by the traces of monomials of degree n in $x(1); \dots; x(m)$.
- (ii) All relations among the traces of monomials are consequences of the fundamental relation (2). Formally speaking, the kernel of the surjection $\phi^{(m)} : F^{(m)} \rightarrow K[V^m]^S_n$ is generated as an ideal by the elements of the form $x_{n+1} \underline{w}$, where \underline{w} is an $(n+1)$ -tuple of monomials in $M^{(m)}$.

Proof. Theorem 2.1 shows in particular that the algebra of multisymmetric polynomials is generated by the traces of monomials (with no bound on

the degree). Given a monomial of degree $n + 1$, write it as a product $w_1 \cdots w_{n+1}$ of $n + 1$ non-empty monomials. The relation (5) tells us that $n! \text{Tr}(w_1 \cdots w_{n+1})$ can be expressed in terms of traces of monomials of strictly smaller degree. This obviously implies that the traces of degree n generate the algebra of multisymmetric functions.

Regardless of the characteristic of the base field, (5) shows that an arbitrary product $\text{Tr}(w_1) \cdots \text{Tr}(w_l)$ of $n + 1$ traces of monomials can be expressed as a linear combination of products of at most n traces (of monomials obtained by multiplying together some of the $w_1; \dots; w_{n+1}$). Therefore the relations (5) in Corollary 2.5 are clearly sufficient to reduce an arbitrary product of traces into a normal form (i.e. as a linear combination of the elements of the basis provided by Theorem 2.1), hence this is a complete system of defining relations.

Moreover, since there is no relation of degree n among the traces of monomials, the traces of degree n form a minimal generating set of $K[\mathbb{V}^m]^S_n$.

Remark 2.7 One can replace the polarized power sums of degree n by the polarized elementary symmetric functions to get an equivalent generating system. Theorem 2.6 (i) in characteristic zero is the well known classical result of Schläi [29], Noether [23], MadMahon [22], Weyl [32], which was generalized to the case $\text{char}(K) > n$ by Richmond [28] (see also [30], [2], [10] for other proofs). In the case $\text{char}(K) = 0$, Theorem 2.6 (ii) appears in a recent paper by Bukhshtaber and Rees [3] (using a different language and motivation), and can be derived also from statements of Dalbec [4] (partly reformulating results of Junker [17]) and Vaccarino [31]. The case $\text{char}(K) > n$ of Theorem 2.6 (ii) is new. In our point of view, (ii) is a close relative of the result of Razmyslov [27] and Procesi [25] saying that all trace identities of $n \times n$ matrices are consequences of the fundamental trace identity. The original approach in [27] and [25] is based on Schur-Weyl duality. Kemmer in [19] gave an elementary combinatorial proof valid on the multilinear level in all characteristic. Our Proposition 2.10 below is inspired by an analogous statement of Kemmer.

Our method works on the multilinear level in arbitrary characteristic.

Theorem 2.8 Let K be an arbitrary base field.

- (i) The multilinear products $\text{Tr}(u)\text{Tr}(v)\dots\text{Tr}(w)$ of at most n traces of monomials form a basis in the multilinear component of $K[V^m]^{S_n}$.
- (ii) All multilinear relations among the traces of monomials are consequences of the fundamental identity (2). Formally speaking, any multilinear element in the kernel of $\phi^{(m)} : F^{(m)} \rightarrow K[V^m]^{S_n}$ is contained in the ideal generated by the elements of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} w_i$, where w is an $(n+1)$ -tuple of monomials such that the multidegree of $w_1 \dots w_{n+1}$ belongs to $f_0; 1g^m$.

Proof. (i) Note that the only point in the proof of Theorem 2.1 where the assumption on $\text{char}(K)$ was used is that $(r_i!)$ is non-zero. Now in the multilinear case, all the r_i are equal to 1.

(ii) Given the statement (i), the proof of (ii) is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.6 (ii) above.

Remark 2.9 As a consequence, standard arguments show that Theorem 2.8 holds also on the integral level, i.e. when K is replaced by the ring Z of integers. Moreover, (ii) gives a new proof of the known fact that if $0 < \text{char}(K) \leq n$, then the invariant $\text{Tr}(x(1) \dots x(m)) \in K[V^n]$ is indecomposable (can not be expressed by lower degree invariants); see [7] for the relevance of this latter fact for matrix invariants.

For sake of completeness we include a combinatorial characterization of the multilinear relations. There is a natural partial ordering on the set P_m of factorizations $w_1 \dots w_r$ of the monomial $x(1) \dots x(m)$ into the product of non-empty submonomials. Namely, for multisets $w = fw_1; \dots; w_r \in P_m$ and $u = fu_1; \dots; u_s \in P_m$ set $w \leq u$ if the second is obtained from the first by breaking up the w_i into products of 1 monomials. The multilinear component of $F^{(m)}$ has as a basis the products $ft^w = t_{w_1} \dots t_{w_r}$, $w \in P_m$. In particular, the dimension of the multilinear component is the m th Bell number, i.e. the number of partitions of m objects.

Proposition 2.10 The multilinear element $\sum_{w \in P_m} a_w t^w$ (where $a_w \in K$) belongs to the kernel of $\phi^{(m)}$ if and only if for all $u = fu_1; \dots; u_r \in P_m$ with $r \leq n$ we have that $\sum_{w \leq u} a_w = 0$.

Proof. One may think of a relation $\sum_{w \in P_m} a_w \sum_{i=1}^Q \text{Tr}(w_i) = 0$ as a trace identity for diagonal matrices. Thanks to multilinearity it holds if and only

if any substitution $x(j) \in E_{b(j)b(j)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) sends the left hand side to zero (where E_{ii} stand for the diagonal matrix units). Thus $\sum_{w \in P_m} a_w t^w \in \ker(\rho^{(m)})$ if and only if

$$\sum_{w = fw_1 \dots w_k \in P_m} a_w \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Tr} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m E_{b(j)b(j)} x(j)^{2w_i} \right) = 0 \quad (7)$$

holds for all functions $b : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$. The function b determines a $u = fu_1 \dots u_r$ with $r \leq n$: namely, u_i is the product of the variables $x(j)$ with $b(j) = i$. It is clear that $\sum_{i=1}^r \text{Tr} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m E_{b(j)b(j)} x(j)^{2w_i} \right) = 0$ unless w is a repetition of u , when it equals 1. Hence the value of the left hand side of (7) is $\sum_u a_w$.

3 Simple commutative representations

The results of Section 2 can be reinterpreted in terms of representation varieties.

First denote by $\text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V)$ the algebra of S_n -equivariant polynomial maps $V^m \rightarrow V$. The algebra structure comes from the algebra structure of the space V of diagonal matrices. As we pointed out earlier, the monomials in the generic matrices $x(i)$ can be viewed as elements of $\text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V)$. Similarly, the algebra $\text{diag}(K[V^m])$ of diagonal matrices over the coordinate ring of V^m is identified with the algebra of polynomial maps $V^m \rightarrow V$.

Proposition 3.1 Assume that $\text{char}(K) > n$ or $\text{char}(K) = 0$. The algebra $\text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V)$ of S_n -equivariant polynomial maps from V^m to V coincides with the Tr -stable K -subalgebra of $\text{diag}(K[V^m])$ generated by the generic diagonal matrices $x(1), \dots, x(m)$.

Proof. The argument is a straightforward modification of the corresponding reasoning for the case of the algebra of matrix concomitants (cf. [25]): the linear map $\text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V) \rightarrow K[V^{m+1}]^{S_n}$ given by $f \mapsto \text{Tr}(f \cdot x(m+1))$ is obviously injective, and the image is contained in the component of $K[V^{m+1}]^{S_n}$ having degree 1 in $x(m+1)$. This component is spanned by elements of the form $\text{Tr}(u) \text{Tr}(v) \text{Tr}(w \cdot x(m+1))$, where $u, \dots, v, w \in M^{(m)}$. The preimage of this element is $\text{Tr}(u) \text{Tr}(v)w$.

Theorem 2.6 on the relations among the polarized power sums can be paraphrased as follows: the free m -generated commutative algebra with trace satisfying the n th Cayley-Hamilton identity is isomorphic to $\text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V)$. To make this statement precise we need to recall some terminology from [26]. A K -algebra (where we still assume that $n!$ is invertible in K) R with a trace is equipped with a K -linear map $\text{tr} : R \rightarrow K$ satisfying for all $a, b \in R$ that (i) $\text{tr}(a)b = b\text{tr}(a)$; (ii) $\text{tr}(ab) = \text{tr}(ba)$; (iii) $\text{tr}(\text{tr}(a)b) = \text{tr}(a)\text{tr}(b)$. For example, $\text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V)$ or $\text{diag}(K[V^m])$ endowed with the usual Tr are examples of algebras with trace (we identify scalars with scalar matrices, so $K[V^m]$ is identified with a subalgebra of $\text{diag}(K[V^m])$ and $K[V^m]^{S_n}$ is identified with a subalgebra of $\text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V)$). Working in the category of algebras with trace we consider only trace preserving morphisms. Given a K -algebra with trace one can define for $a \in R$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ the element $\tau_i(a)$ as $f(\text{tr}(a), \text{tr}(a^2), \dots, \text{tr}(a^n))$ where f is the unique n -variable polynomial over K for which the i th coefficient of the characteristic polynomial of a general $n \times n$ matrix y is $f(\text{Tr}(y), \text{Tr}(y^2), \dots, \text{Tr}(y^n))$. The formal n th characteristic polynomial of $a \in R$ is $\chi_a^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \tau_i(a) t^{n-i}$. We say that R satisfies the n th Cayley-Hamilton identity if $\text{tr}(1) = n$ and $\chi_a^{(n)}(a) = 0$ for all $a \in R$. Consider the polynomial algebra $A^{(m)}$ in m commuting indeterminates $x(1), \dots, x(m)$ over the ring $F^{(m)}$. Endow this algebra with the formal trace

$$\text{tr} : A^{(m)} = K[t(w) \mid w \in M^{(m)}][x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow K[t_w \mid w \in M^{(m)}]$$

given by $\text{tr}(w) = t_w$ (for $w \in M^{(m)}$) and $\text{tr}(1) = n$. Clearly, $A^{(m)}$ is the free object generated by m elements in the category of commutative algebras with a trace satisfying $\text{tr}(1) = n$.

Theorem 3.2 Assume that $\text{char}(K) > n$ or $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Then the kernel of the natural trace preserving surjection

$$\chi^{(m)} : A^{(m)} \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V); \quad x(i) \mapsto x(i)$$

is the tr -stable ideal generated by $\chi_a^{(n)}(a)$, where a ranges over $A^{(m)}$. In other words, $\text{Mor}_{S_n}(V^m; V)$ is the free object with m generators in the category of commutative algebras with a trace satisfying the n th Cayley-Hamilton identity.

Proof. Again the proof is a straightforward modification of the proof of the corresponding result on matrix concomitants in [27] and [25], so we give

only a sketch. One can think of an element of $\ker(\text{tr}^m)$ as an identity with trace for diagonal $n \times n$ matrices. Just like in the proof of Proposition 3.1, multiplying by an extra matrix variable x_{m+1} and taking trace we get an equivalent pure trace identity. Now we can apply Theorem 2.6 (ii) to conclude that the latter is a consequence of the fundamental trace identity. Since the identity (3) (and hence (2)) arises that way from the multilinearization of the Cayley-Hamilton identity (see for example [20] for the polarization process of classical invariant theory, or see [11] for the same principle in the context of polynomial identities) when we translate back the pure trace identity in $m+1$ variables to an identity with trace in m variables we see that the latter follows from the Cayley-Hamilton identity.

For the rest of this section we assume that $K = \mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. Write $M_{n,m}$ for the space of m -tuples of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries. A point of $M_{n,m}$ determines an n -dimensional representation of the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$. Moreover, $M_{n,m}$ is an affine $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ -variety under the simultaneous conjugation action. The algebraic quotient $M_{n,m} // GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ parameterizes the isomorphism classes of semisimple n -dimensional representations of the free algebra, see [1]. Now let $C_{n,m}$ be the subvariety of $M_{n,m}$ consisting of those m -tuples of matrices whose components pairwise commute. This is the so-called commuting variety. It is a closed $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ -subvariety, and the quotient $C_{n,m} // GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ can be thought of as the variety of isomorphism classes of semisimple representations of the m -variable commutative polynomial algebra. The space V^m of m -tuples of diagonal matrices is a subvariety of $C_{n,m}$, and it is easy to see that the natural surjection $\mathbb{C}[C_{n,m}] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}[V^m]$ between the coordinate rings restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[C_{n,m}]^{GL(n; \mathbb{C})} = \mathbb{C}[V^m]^{S_n} \quad (8)$$

(this isomorphism is explained in Proposition 6.2.1 of [13]).

The coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,m}]$ is the m^2 -variable commutative polynomial algebra generated by the entries of the generic $n \times n$ matrices $Y(1); \dots; Y(m)$. Denote by J the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,m}]$ generated by the entries of the commutators $Y(i)Y(j) - Y(j)Y(i)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Write $R_{n,m}$ for the quotient $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,m}]/J$. The ideal J is stable with respect to the simultaneous conjugation action of $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}[M_{n,m}]$, so there is an induced action of $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ on $R_{n,m}$. By definition the algebra $R_{n,m}$ is the coordinate ring of the scheme of n -dimensional representations of the m -variable commutative polynomial algebra. It is a long standing open problem in commutative

algebra whether this scheme is reduced or not, see for example [15]. It is obvious that the common zero locus of J is the commuting variety $C_{n,m}$, hence $R_{n,m} = \text{rad}(R_{n,m}) = \mathbb{C}[C_{n,m}]$.

There is a unique homomorphism from the m -variable commutative polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x(1); \dots; x(m)]$ to the algebra $M(n; R_{n,m})$ of $n \times n$ matrices over $R_{n,m}$, given by $x(i) \mapsto Y(i)$ (we keep the same notation for the images of the generic matrices $Y(i)$ in the quotient module J). This is the universal map of [26]; that is, in a categorical sense this map is universal among the homomorphisms from $\mathbb{C}[x(1); \dots; x(m)]$ into an $n \times n$ matrix algebra over a commutative ring. The universal map extends uniquely to a trace preserving homomorphism $\pi : A^{(m)} \rightarrow M(n; R_{n,m})$, where $M(n; R_{n,m})$ is endowed with the usual trace function. Clearly, π is a universal map in the category of algebras with trace. Being an algebra of matrices over a commutative ring, $M(n; R_{n,m})$ satisfies the n th Cayley-Hamilton identity. Therefore π factors through

$$\pi : A^{(m)} \rightarrow (A^{(n)}(a) \text{ ja } 2 A^{(m)})_{\text{tr}} \rightarrow M(n; R_{n,m})$$

(where $(S)_{\text{tr}}$ stands for the trace stable ideal generated by S). Theorem 2.6 of [26] (see also [21] for a reformulation) can be applied in this situation to conclude that π maps $A^{(m)} \rightarrow (A^{(n)}(a) \text{ ja } 2 A^{(m)})_{\text{tr}}$ isomorphically onto $M(n; R_{n,m})^{GL(n; \mathbb{C})}$, the algebra of $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant polynomial maps from $\text{Spec}(R_{n,m})$ to $M(n; \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, the subalgebra $\text{tr}(A^{(m)}) = (A^{(n)}(a) \text{ ja } 2 A^{(m)})_{\text{tr}}$ is mapped onto $R_{n,m}^{GL(n; \mathbb{C})}$. So we have the chain of homomorphisms

$$\text{tr}(A^{(m)}) = (A^{(n)}(a) \text{ ja } 2 A^{(m)})_{\text{tr}} \xrightarrow{\pi} R_{n,m}^{GL(n; \mathbb{C})} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[C_{n,m}]^{GL(n; \mathbb{C})}.$$

Both the first and the third algebras can be identified with the algebra of multisymmetric functions by Theorem 3.2 and (8), respectively, implying that the composition π of the above two homomorphisms is the identity map on $\mathbb{C}[V^m]^{S_n}$. So we have proved the following result supporting the conjecture that $R_{n,m}$ is reduced:

Theorem 3.3 The natural surjection $\pi : R_{n,m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[C_{n,m}]$ from the coordinate ring of the scheme of n -dimensional representations of the m -variable polynomial algebra to the coordinate ring of the commuting variety restricts to an isomorphism $R_{n,m}^{GL(n; \mathbb{C})} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}[C_{n,m}]^{GL(n; \mathbb{C})}$ between the subalgebras of $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ -invariants. In other words, $\text{rad}(R_{n,m})$ contains no non-zero $GL(n; \mathbb{C})$ -invariants.

Remark 3.4 In the special case $m = 2$ the above result is due to Gan and Ginzburg, see Theorem 1.2.1 in [12]. One may paraphrase it by saying that "the scheme of n -dimensional semisimple representations of the commutative m -variable polynomial algebra is reduced". The above argument shows in the reverse direction that if the scheme of n -dimensional representations of the m -variable polynomial algebra is reduced, then at least in characteristic zero, Theorem 2.6 (ii) on the multisymmetric syzygies can be considered as a consequence of Theorem 2.6 in [26].

4 A finite presentation

Throughout this section we assume that $n!$ is invertible in K , so the group algebra KS_n is semisimple. Combining Theorem 2.6 (ii) with a general result of Derksen [5] we derive a finite presentation of the algebra of multisymmetric functions in terms of generators and relations. Namely, to each m -variable monomial of degree $n^2 - n + 2$ we associate a generator (the Tr of the corresponding monomial in the generic diagonal matrices $x(1); \dots; x(m)$), and to each factorization of a monomial of degree $n^2 - n + 2$ into the product of $n + 1$ non-empty submonomials we associate a relation (namely, we substitute the $n + 1$ factors into the identity (2)) expressing the trace of the long monomial as a linear combination of products of $n + 1$ shorter traces.

We need some more notation to state the result. For a natural number d , set

$$F_d^{(m)} = K[t_w \mid w \in M^{(m)}; \deg(w) \leq d]$$

(an $\frac{m+d}{m}$ -variable polynomial subalgebra of $F^{(m)}$), and consider the restriction

$$\rho_d^{(m)} : F_d^{(m)} \rightarrow K[V^m]^{S_n}; \quad t_w \mapsto \text{Tr}(w)$$

of the surjection $\rho^{(m)} : F^{(m)} \rightarrow K[V^m]^{S_n}$.

Theorem 4.1 Assume that $\text{char}(K) > n$ or $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Then the kernel of the surjection

$$\rho_{n^2 - n + 2}^{(m)} : F_{n^2 - n + 2}^{(m)} \rightarrow K[V^m]^{S_n}$$

is generated as an ideal by

$$S = \{f_{n+1}(w_1; \dots; w_{n+1}) \mid w_i \in M^{(m)}; \deg(w_1 \dots w_{n+1}) = n^2 - n + 2g;$$

Proof. We have the chain of polynomial algebras

$$F_n^{(m)} \subset F_{n^2-n+2}^{(m)} \subset F^{(m)}:$$

The $\text{Tr}(w)$ with $\deg(w) = n$ is a minimal set of homogeneous generators of $K[V^m]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ by Theorem 2.6 (i). Apply Theorem 2 in [5] in this special case to conclude that the ideal $\ker(\iota_n^{(m)})$ of relations among these generators is generated in degree $\leq s_n(V^m)$, where for a G -module W , the number $s_G(W)$ is defined as the minimal natural number d such that all homogeneous polynomials of degree d are contained in the ideal of $K[W]$ generated by the homogeneous invariants of positive degree (the Hilbert ideal). It was shown by N. Wallach that $s_n(V^m) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, see Corollary 3.2.2 in [13] (the result is stated there for $m = 2$ and in characteristic zero, but the argument attributed to A. M. Garsia obviously works for an arbitrary m and also for $\text{char}(K) > n$). Consequently, $\ker(\iota_n^{(m)})$ is generated in degree $\leq n^2 - n + 2$. By Theorem 2.6, these generators are contained in the ideal of $F_n^{(m)}$ generated by S . Note that S is contained in $F_{n^2-n+2}^{(m)}$, and denote by L the ideal of $F_{n^2-n+2}^{(m)}$ generated by S . Clearly, $L \setminus F_n^{(m)} = \ker(\iota_n^{(m)})$, and L contains for each $w \in M^{(m)}$ with $n < \deg(w) \leq n^2 - n + 2$ a homogeneous element of the form $t_w = f_w$, where $f_w \in F_n^{(m)}$ (i.e. a relation expressing $\text{Tr}(w)$ in terms of traces of degree $\leq n$), again by Theorem 2.6. Therefore the natural surjection $F_{n^2-n+2}^{(m)} \twoheadrightarrow F_{n^2-n+2}^{(m)} = L$ restricts to a surjection $F_n^{(m)} \twoheadrightarrow F_{n^2-n+2}^{(m)} = L$ with kernel $L \setminus F_n^{(m)} = \ker(\iota_n^{(m)})$, implying $F_{n^2-n+2}^{(m)} = L = K[V^m]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$.

5 Calculations

Throughout this section we assume that $n!$ is invertible in K , so $K[V^m]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is Cohen-Macaulay (see for example [6] as a general reference for the invariant theory of finite groups). For illustrative purposes we do calculations in concrete examples. To simplify notation, write $[x^i y^j \dots^k]$ to denote the trace $\text{Tr}(x^i y^j \dots^k)$ of a monomial in generic diagonal matrices. An obvious homogeneous system of parameters (primary generators) in $K[V^m]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is

$$P = f[x(i)]; [x(i)^2]; \dots; [x(i)^n] \quad j=1; \dots; m g:$$

Write \mathfrak{hP} for the ideal of $K[V^m]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ generated by P , and write $K[P]$ for the polynomial subalgebra of $K[V^m]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ generated by P . Note that to find secondary generators we need to get a vector space basis modulo \mathfrak{hP} in $K[V^m]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$.

Lemma 5.1 Assume that $n!$ is invertible in K . Let w be a monomial having degree n in one of the variables $x(1); \dots; x(m)$, and having total degree $n+1$. Then $\text{Tr}(w)$ belongs to \mathfrak{hP} .

Proof. Assume for example that w has degree n in $x(1)$. Then w can be written as a product of $n+1$ factors as $x(1)x(1)\dots x(1)u$ for some non-empty monomial u . The relation $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (x(1); x(1); \dots; x(1); u) = 0$ shows the claim, since each nontrivial partition of the multiset $\{x(1); \dots; x(1); u\}$ contains a part consisting solely of $x(1)$ s.

Remark 5.2 From Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 2.1 we immediately get the upperbound $n(n-1)m$ for the degrees of the secondary generators. However, the general method of Broer (see Theorem 3.9.8 in [6]) yields the better bound $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)m$.

5.1 The case $n = 2$

In this subsection we assume $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. The fundamental multilinear trace identity for diagonal 2×2 matrices is

$$[xyz] = \frac{1}{2} ([xy][z] + [xz][y] + [yz][x] - [x][y][z]) \quad (9)$$

Substitute $z = zw$ in (9), and eliminate traces of degree 3 using (9) to get

$$\begin{aligned} [xyzw] &= \frac{1}{4} ([xz][y][w] + [xw][y][z] + [yz][x][w] + [yw][x][z]) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [xy][zw] - \frac{1}{2} [x][y][z][w] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Exchanging the variables y and z in (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [xzyw] &= \frac{1}{4} ([xy][z][w] + [xw][y][z] + [yz][x][w] + [zw][x][y]) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [xz][yw] - \frac{1}{2} [x][y][z][w] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The difference of (10) and (11) yields

$$[xz][yw] - [xy][zw] = \frac{1}{2} ([xy][z][w] + [zw][x][y] - [xz][y][w] - [yw][x][z]) \quad (12)$$

(compare with (4.17) in [24]). The specialization $z = w$ in (12) leads to

$$[xz][yz] = [xy][zz] + \frac{1}{2}([xy][z][z] + [zz][x][y] - [xz][y][z] - [yz][x][z]) \quad (13)$$

The N_0^m -graded Hilbert series of $K[V^m]^{S^2}$ can be written as a rational function by Molien's formula:

$$H(K[V^m]^{S^2}; t_1, \dots, t_m) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} e_{2i}(t_1, \dots, t_m)}{\prod_{j=1}^m (1 - t_j)(1 - t_j^2)};$$

where $e_i(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ is the i th elementary symmetric function in t_1, \dots, t_m . It is easy to describe the Hirshon decomposition of $K[V^m]^{S^2}$. The secondary generators are

$$S = \{ [x(i_1)x(i_2)] - [x(i_1)x(i_{2k})] \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq m \}$$

The substitution $x \mapsto x(i)$, $y \mapsto x(j)$, $z \mapsto x(k)$ in (13) gives a relation showing that $[x(i)x(k)][x(j)x(k)]$ is contained in \mathfrak{hP} for all i, j, k . Substitutions $fx; y; z; wg \mapsto fx(j_1); \dots; x(j_{2k})g$ in the relation (12) show that a product $[x(j_1)x(j_2)] \cdots [x(j_1)x(j_{2k})]$ is congruent modulo \mathfrak{hP} to $[x(j_{(1)})x(j_{(2)})] \cdots [x(j_{(1)}x(j_{(2k)}))]$ for an arbitrary permutation. These relations imply that the algebra of multisymmetric functions is generated by S as a module over the polynomial ring $K[P]$. The Hilbert series shows that it is a free module. These considerations show also that the specializations $fx; y; z; wg \mapsto fx(1); \dots; x(m)g$ in (12) generate the ideal of relations among the generators $[x(i)]; [x(k)x(l)]$, and that a minimal system of relations consists of relations of degree 4.

5.2 The case $n = 3, m = 2$

In this subsection we assume $\text{char}(K) \notin 2, 3$. The fundamental identity $\mathfrak{f}_4(x; y; z; w) = 0$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} [xyzw] &= \frac{1}{3}([xyz][w] + [xyw][z] + [xzw][y] + [yzw][x]) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}([xy][zw] + [xz][yw] + [xw][yz] + [x][y][z][w]) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}([xy][z][w] + [xz][y][w] + [xw][y][z] + \\ &\quad + [yz][x][w] + [yw][x][z] + [zw][x][y]) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following consequences:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
{}_4(x;x;x;x) = 0 & {}_4(y;y;y;y) = 0 & {}_4(x;x;x;y) = 0 \\
{}_4(y;y;y;x) = 0 & {}_4(x;x;x;xy) = 0 & {}_4(y;y;y;xy) = 0 \\
{}_4(x;x;y;y) = 0 & {}_4(x;x;x;y^2) = 0 & {}_4(y;y;y;x^2) = 0 \\
{}_4(x;x;x;y^3) = 0 & {}_4(x;x;x;xy^2) = 0 & {}_4(y;y;y;yx^2) = 0
\end{array} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll}
{}_4(x^2;x;y;y) = 0 & {}_4(y^2;y;x;x) = 0 \\
{}_4(x^2;x^2;y;y) = 0 & {}_4(y^2;y^2;x;x) = 0 \\
{}_4(x^2;x;y^2;y) = 0 &
\end{array} \quad (15)$$

Let us use the notation $f \sim g$ to indicate that $f, g \in K[V^2]^{S_3}$ are congruent modulo \mathfrak{hP} . The above 17 relations in the order of listing imply the following:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
[x^4] = 0 & [y^4] = 0 & [x^3y] = 0 \\
[xy^3] = 0 & [x^4y] = 0 & [xy^4] = 0 \\
[x^2y^2] = \frac{1}{3}[xy][xy] & [x^3y^2] = 0 & [y^3x^2] = 0 \\
[x^3y^3] = 0 & [x^4y^2] = 0 & [y^4x^2] = 0 \\
[x^3y^2] = \frac{1}{3}[x^2y][xy] & [y^3x^2] = \frac{1}{3}[y^2x][yx] & \\
[x^4y^2] = \frac{1}{3}[x^2y][x^2y] & [x^2y^4] = \frac{1}{3}[xy^2][xy^2] & \\
[x^3y^3] = \frac{1}{6}[x^2y][xy^2] + \frac{1}{6}[x^2y^2][xy] & &
\end{array}$$

Recall that $K[V^2]^{S_3}$ is minimally generated as an algebra by $P = \{f[xy]; [x^2y]; [xy^2]\}$. The above congruences obviously show that as a module over $K[P]$, the algebra $K[V^2]^{S_3}$ is spanned by

$$S = \{1; [xy]; [x^2y]; [xy^2]; [xy]^2; [x^2y][xy^2]\}.$$

Using Molien's formula one can easily compute

$$H(K[V^2]^{S_3}; t_1, t_2) = \frac{1 + t_1t_2 + t_1^2t_2 + t_1t_2^2 + t_1^2t_2^2 + t_1^3t_2^3}{(1-t_1)(1-t_1^2)(1-t_1^3)(1-t_2)(1-t_2^2)(1-t_2^3)};$$

and this implies that $K[V^2]^{S_3}$ is a free $K[P]$ -module generated by S . The above considerations imply that the ideal of relations among the 21 generators

$$fx^i y^j \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq 4; \quad i+j \leq 6$$

is generated by the 17 relations (14) and (15). The relations (14) can be used to eliminate the superfluous generators of degree ≤ 4 in the relations (15). This way we get 5 defining relations for them in a generating system $k[x^i y^j]_{j_i + j \leq 3}$. The bidegrees of these relations are $(3;2)$, $(2;3)$, $(4;2)$, $(2;4)$, $(3;3)$. It is easy to see that these 5 relations minimally generate the ideal of relations.

References

- [1] M. Artin, On Azumaya algebras and finite dimensional representations of rings, *J. Algebra* 11 (1969), 532–563.
- [2] E. Briand, When is the algebra of multisymmetric polynomials generated by the elementary multisymmetric polynomials? *Beitrage Algebra Geom.* 45 (2004), no. 2, 353–368.
- [3] V. M. Bukhshtaber and E. G. Rees, Rings of continuous functions, symmetric products, and Frobenius algebras (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 59 (2004), no. 1 (355), 125–144; translation in Russian *Math. Surveys* 59 (2004), no. 1, 125–145.
- [4] J. Dalbec, Multisymmetric functions, *Beitrage Algebra Geom.* 40, No. 1 (1999), 27–51.
- [5] H. Derksen, Degree bounds for syzygies of invariants, *Adv. Math.* 185 (2004), no. 2, 207–214.
- [6] H. Derksen and G. Kemper, *Computational Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [7] M. Domokos, Matrix invariants and the failure of Weyl's theorem, in "Polynomial Identities and Combinatorial Methods" (ed. A. Giambruno, A. Regev, M. Zaicev), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics* 235 (2003), 215–236, Marcel Dekker.
- [8] M. Feshbach, The mod 2 cohomology rings of the symmetric groups and invariants, *Topology* 41 (2002), no. 1, 57–84.
- [9] P. Fleischmann, A new degree bound for vector invariants of symmetric groups, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 350 (1998), no. 4, 1703–1712.

- [10] P. Fleischmann, On invariant theory of finite groups, in: Invariant Theory in All Characteristics (editors: H. E. A. Campbell, D. L. Wehlau), CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, Vol 35, 2004, pp. 43-69.
- [11] E. Formanek, The polynomial identities and invariants of $n \times n$ matrices, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 78, Providence, RI; American Math. Soc., 55 p., 1991.
- [12] Wee Liang Gan and V. Ginzburg, Almost-commuting variety, Demodules, and Cherednik algebras, Int. Math. Research Notices, to appear; arXiv math.RT/0409262.
- [13] M. D. Haiman, Conjectures on the quotient ring by diagonal invariants, J. Algebraic Combin. 3, No. 1 (1994), 17-76.
- [14] M. Haiman, Commutative algebra of n points in the plane (with an Appendix by E. Miller), Trends in Commutative Algebra, MSRI Publications 51 (2004), 153-180.
- [15] F. Hreinsdóttir, Conjectures on the ring of commuting matrices, arXiv math.AC/0501465
- [16] Fr. Junker, Die Relationen, welche zwischen den elementaren symmetrischen Functionen bestehen (German), Math. Ann. 38 (1891), 91-114.
- [17] Fr. Junker, Ueber symmetrische Functionen von mehreren Reihen von Veranderlichen (German), Math. Ann. 43 (1893), 225-270.
- [18] Fr. Junker, Die symmetrischen Functionen und die Relationen zwischen den Elementarfunctionen derselben. (German) Math. Ann. 45 (1894), 1-84.
- [19] A. Kemmer, Multilinear identities of the algebras over a field of characteristic p , International J. Algebra and Computation 5, No. 2 (1995), 189-197.
- [20] H. Kraft and C. Procesi, Classical Invariant Theory, a Primer, available at <http://www.math.unibas.ch/kraft/Papers/KP-Primer.pdf>, 1996.
- [21] L. Le Bruyn, Noncommutative smoothness and coadjoint orbits, J. Algebra 258 (2002), 60-70.

- [22] P. A. M adMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Vol II, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1916.
- [23] E. Noether, Der Endlichkeitssatz der Invarianten endlicher Gruppen, *Math. Ann.* 77 (1915), 89–92.
- [24] P. J. Olver, *Classical Invariant Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [25] C. Procesi, The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices, *Adv. Math.* 19 (1976), 306–381.
- [26] C. Procesi, A formal inverse to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, *J. Algebra* 107 (1987), 63–74.
- [27] Yu. P. Razmyslov, Trace identities of full matrix algebras over a field of characteristic zero, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* 38 (1974), 723–756.
- [28] D. R. Richardson, Explicit generators of the invariants of finite groups, *Adv. Math.* 124 (1996), no. 1, 49–76.
- [29] L. Schläfli, Über die Resultante eines Systems mehrerer algebraischer Gleichungen, *Vienna Academy Denkschriften* 4 (1852).
- [30] S. A. Stepanov, Polynomial invariants of finite groups over fields of prime characteristic (Russian) *Diskret. Mat.* 11 (1999), no. 3, 3{14; translation in *Discrete Math. Appl.* 9 (1999), no. 4, 343{354
- [31] F. Vaccarino, The ring of multisymmetric functions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 55 (2005), no. 3, 717–731.
- [32] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1939.