

FUSION PRODUCTS OF KIRILLOV-RESHETIKHIN MODULES AND FERMIONIC MULTIPLICITY FORMULAS

EDDY ARDONNE AND RINAT KEDEM

ABSTRACT. We describe the dual space of the fusion product of graded Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules for all simple Lie algebras. We give a formula for the graded multiplicities of irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules in the graded tensor product of the Feigin-Loktev type for these modules. In the case of A_r [AKS] the multiplicities are generalized Kostka polynomials, and in other cases, they are the fermionic side of the $X = M$ conjecture [HKO⁺99]. In the cases where the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture has been proven in its original form, this provides a proof of the conjectures of Feigin and Loktev regarding the fusion product multiplicities in the non level-restricted case.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper generalizes to general simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ the ideas introduced in [AKS] for computing the Feigin-Loktev fusion coefficients by describing the dual space of functions. We consider the tensor product of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules and relate the fusion coefficients to the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture [KR90] and to the fermionic sums of [HKO⁺99].

The term Kirillov-Reshetikhin (KR) modules originally referred to evaluation modules of the Yangian [KR90]. The Yangian is a deformation of the current algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is any simple Lie algebra. Thus, one can define KR-modules for the current algebra as a limiting case of the Yangian modules.

In [Cha01] this idea is used to provide a definition of KR-modules for $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ in terms of current generators and relations. The result is a finite-dimensional evaluation module of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$, corresponding to some highest weight of the form $a\omega_i$ where ω_i is one of the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $a \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote such a module by $\text{KR}_{a\omega_i}$.

Unless $\mathfrak{g} = A_r$, $\text{KR}_{a\omega_i}$ is not, in general, irreducible as a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. The formula for the decomposition of KR-modules as $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules was addressed in the original work on the subject [KR90], and subsequently was investigated by [Kle97, Cha01, HKO⁺99, Her, Nak03] among others.

There is a conjectured formula for the decomposition coefficients as a sum of products of binomial coefficients (see equation (2.10)). This type of formula is what is known in the literature as a fermionic formula. The formula is of similar complexity for the decomposition of the tensor product of several KR-modules as for the decomposition of a single one. It is known as the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture, and its proof is equivalent to proving the completeness of the Bethe states in the generalized Heisenberg model [KKR86].

A closely related conjecture was recently proven for all $\mathfrak{g}$ by Hernandez [Her]. Instead of binomial coefficients defined as the “choose” function, this second form of the KR-conjecture uses the extended (alternating) binomial coefficients, defined in terms of gamma functions. The two conjectures are known to be equal in special

cases [Sch]. Although there is no combinatorial proof of the equivalence of the two forms in general, it is conjectured that the two forms are equal [HKO⁺99].

It is the original form of the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture which is related to the question of the completeness of Bethe ansatz states, and also to the tensor multiplicities which we compute in this paper.

We address the graded analogue of the KR-conjecture. Our main purpose is to prove the conjectures of Feigin and Loktev [FL99] regarding the fusion product, or graded tensor product, of finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. The conjectures concern the relation of the graded fusion coefficients with “generalized Kostka polynomials” (if $\mathfrak{g} \neq A_n$, the coefficients are the fermionic sums $M(\lambda, W, q^{-1})$ of [HKO⁺99]), and their independence on the localization parameters (see below). We proved this conjecture for the fusion product of A_r KR-modules in [AKS].

Our approach in this paper is to explicitly compute fermionic formulas for the fusion coefficients. We are led to consider KR-modules, rather than irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules [FJK⁺04], because these are precisely the ones for which a fermionic construction is possible, since their definition in terms of current generators and relations is particularly simple. For this reason we do not obtain the “fusion Kostka polynomials” of [FJK⁺04], which are the graded tensor coefficients of irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

The techniques used in this paper are almost identical to the techniques introduced in our previous paper [AKS] for the case of $\mathfrak{g} = A_r$. In that case, the relations for the KR-modules are precisely the highest-weight conditions for the irreducible representations of A_r with a highest weight which is a positive integral multiple of one of the fundamental weights. Therefore, we were able to compute precisely the fusion Kostka polynomials [FJK⁺04], and show that they are equal to the generalized Kostka polynomials [KSS02]. For $\mathfrak{g} \neq A_r$, the relations for a KR-module are in general necessary but not sufficient.

We consider KR-modules as graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules, localized at a point $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, denoted by $\text{KR}_{a\omega_i}(\zeta)$ or $\text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta)$. It turns out that it is just as simple to consider the graded coefficients for the decomposition of the graded tensor product (“fusion product”) [FL99] of several KR-modules into irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, as it is to compute the decomposition of a single module. We define the graded multiplicities as

$$\text{KR}_{\mu_1}(\zeta_1) * \cdots * \text{KR}_{\mu_N}(\zeta_N) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}^{\otimes M_{\lambda, \{\mu_p\}}[n]},$$

where λ label finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, and n labels the graded components. Here, the notation $*$ corresponds to the tensor product ($\otimes$) together with a grading, as in [FL99].

The fermionic formula for the multiplicities which we obtain depends only on the highest weights $\mu_p = a_p \omega_{i_p}$ and on the Cartan matrix C of $\mathfrak{g}$, and is independent of the localization parameters ζ_p , as conjectured by [FL99]. The generating function

$$M_{\lambda, \{\mu_p\}}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n M_{\lambda, \{\mu_p\}}[n]$$

is a q -deformation of the original coefficients appearing in the conjecture of Kirillov and Reshetikhin [KR90], rather than the alternating binomial form of e.g. [Kir83, Her].

We describe explicitly the space dual to the multiplicity space

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\lambda}, \text{KR}_{\mu_1}(\zeta_1) * \cdots * \text{KR}_{\mu_N}(\zeta_N)).$$

It can be expressed as a space of rational functions with a natural grading. We can then compute a fermionic formula for the upper bound of $M_{\lambda, \{\mu_p\}}(q)$. In the cases where the Kirillov Reshetikhin conjecture has been proven in its original form, we then have an equality of graded multiplicities. This provides a proof, in these cases, of the conjectures of Feigin and Loktev and of [FJK⁺04]. Previous proofs of special cases were found in [FJK⁺04, Ked04, CL, AKS].

In [FJK⁺04], we presented a definition of “fusion Kostka polynomials”. We conjectured that these were related to the generalized Kostka polynomials of [KSS02, HKO⁺99]. This is true in the case that $\mathfrak{g} = A_r$, and was proven in [AKS]. The fusion Kostka polynomials are the fusion coefficients of irreducible, finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. In general there is no known fermionic formula for these coefficients. However, in this paper, we show that if the fusion product is the product of KR-modules, then the fusion multiplicities are the fermionic polynomials $M(\lambda, W, q)$ of [HKO⁺99].

The paper is organized as follows. The notation and graded KR-modules localized at ζ are introduced in Section 2. In section 3 we remind the reader of the notion of the graded tensor product of cyclic $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules. In Section 4, we describe the dual space to the current algebra $U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t, t^{-1}])$ where $\mathfrak{n}_- \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the nilpotent subalgebra. This is similar to the ideas introduced in [SF94] for simple Lie algebras, and the proofs are almost identical to our proofs in [AKS] in the case of A_r . We therefore defer most of the details to the Appendix. In Section 5, we describe the subspace of matrix elements dual to the multiplicity space of the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules in the tensor product of KR-modules. We compute a fermionic formula for the associated graded space, which is an upper bound on these multiplicities. We compare the result at $q = 1$ to the known results regarding the KR-conjectures, giving an equality of characters where the KR conjecture has been proven.

Acknowledgements: We are indebted to Masato Okado and Anne Schilling for illuminating remarks. RK is funded by NSF grant DMS-05-00759. EA thanks the KITP for hospitality.

2. NOTATION

2.1. Finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra of rank r , $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ the set of simple roots and Δ the set of all roots. Let $I_r = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and define the Cartan matrix C with entries $C_{i,j} = 2\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_j\rangle/\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_i\rangle$, $i, j \in I_r$. Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$ denote the fundamental weights and P^+ the set of dominant integral weights. Irreducible, finite-dimensional highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules are parameterized by $\lambda \in P^+$ and denoted by V_λ .

The Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$, the generators of $\mathfrak{n}_-$ corresponding to simple roots are denoted by f_i , $i \in I_r$, where $f_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$. Similarly, the elements of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ are denoted by e_i and the generators of $\mathfrak{h}$ by h_i . Given $\lambda \in P^+$, the module V_λ is generated by the action of $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ on a highest weight vector v_λ , which satisfies the properties

$$e_i v_\lambda = 0, \quad h v_\lambda = \lambda(h) v_\lambda, (h_i \in \mathfrak{h}), \quad f_i^{l_i+1} v_\lambda = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\lambda(h_i) = l_i$, and the l_i are determined by $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \omega_i$. We refer to the last condition in (2.1) as the integrability condition.

2.2. Evaluation modules. Any finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V can be extended to a module of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ by the evaluation of the formal variable

t at a complex number $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. We refer to this as a module localized at ζ , $V(\zeta)$, or the evaluation module at ζ .

If $V(\zeta)$ has a cyclic vector v with respect to $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ (for example, if V is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module), then it can be given a graded structure as follows. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ is graded by homogeneous degree in t . The action of $U(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ on v inherits a filtration associated with this grading. The associated graded space has the same dimension as $V(\zeta)$, and it is a graded space. Note that the graded structure depends on the choice of cyclic vector v .

Another way to view evaluation modules localized at $\zeta \neq 0$ is to consider them to be the *top component* (the subspace generated by the action of $\mathfrak{g} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on the highest weight vector) of a highest weight affine algebra module localized at ζ . Let us explain this here.

First, consider the loop algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}, t]$ (Laurent series in t with values in $\mathfrak{g}$) and its central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with central element c . The Cartan decomposition is $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ with $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_{\pm} = \mathfrak{n} \pm \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{\pm 1} \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. We denote the generators of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $x[n] = x \otimes t^n$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

On any irreducible or cyclic $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, c acts as a scalar multiple k of the identity, called the *level* of the representation. Integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules have level $k \in \mathbb{N}$. They are completely reducible, and the irreducible components are indexed by k and $\lambda \in P_k^+$, where P_k^+ are those dominant weights which have $\langle \lambda, \theta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r l_i a_i^{\vee} \leq k$, where θ is the highest root and the $a_i^{\vee}$ are the co-marks.

Let $V_{k,\lambda}(0)$ be an irreducible, integrable highest weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules generated by a the action of $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ on a highest-weight vector $v_{k,\lambda}$, which is annihilated by $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_+$, with the properties (2.1) (where we regard $\mathfrak{g} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by setting $x = x[0]$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$), and

$$e_{\theta}[-1]^{k-\langle \lambda, \theta \rangle + 1} v_{k,\lambda} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

More generally, let V be a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module, which is a highest weight module with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$, and which is annihilated by positive currents, $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \cap \mathfrak{g}[t]$. Then the induced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is denoted by $\widehat{V}(0)$. We assume all $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules have a fixed integer level k which is sufficiently large (see below), but we will not have a need to use the integrability condition (2.2) in this paper. Therefore we will not make a distinction between the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module induced from the top component V , and its integrable quotient.

Now let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}P$ and choose a local variable, $t_{\zeta} = t - \zeta$ if $\zeta \neq \infty$, or $t_{\infty} = t^{-1}$ if $\zeta = \infty$. Define the loop algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\zeta} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_{\zeta}^{-1}, t_{\zeta}]$. The usual loop algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is identified with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. The loop algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\zeta}$ has a central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\zeta}$ with the cocycle

$$\langle x \otimes f(t), y \otimes g(t) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \oint_{t=\zeta} f'(t)g(t) dt, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad f, g \in \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}, t].$$

Define $x[n]_{\zeta} = x \otimes t_{\zeta}^n$ where $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. By a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module localized at $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}P$, denoted by $V_{k,\lambda}(\zeta)$, we mean the integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\zeta}$ -module, with relations as above (see (2.2)), where we replace the currents $x[n]$ by $x[n]_{\zeta}$. In particular, the current $x[n]_0 = x \otimes t^n$ acts on $V_{k,\lambda}(\zeta)$ as $x \otimes (t_{\zeta} + \zeta)^n$. Similarly, let $V(\zeta)$ be a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}[t_{\zeta}]$ -module, which is a highest weight module with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$ and is annihilated by positive currents in t_{ζ} . Then the induced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\zeta}$ -module is denoted by $\widehat{V}(\zeta)$. Since $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts on $\widehat{V}(\zeta)$ by expansion of the currents at ζ , $\widehat{V}(\zeta)$ is a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, and it is called the module localized at ζ .

We thus have an interpretation of the evaluation $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module $V_{\lambda}(\zeta)$ as the top component of a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module localized at ζ . It is the $\mathfrak{g}[t]_{\zeta}$ -module, annihilated by

positive currents, $x[n]_\zeta$ with $n > 0$. Accordingly, $x[n]_0$ acts as $\zeta^n x$ on $V_\lambda(\zeta)$, since by definition,

$$x[n]_0 v = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x[j]_\zeta \zeta^{n-j} v = \zeta^n x[0] v, \quad v \in V_\lambda(\zeta), \quad n \geq 0.$$

In terms of the generating functions

$$x(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x[n]_0 z^{-n-1}, \quad (2.3)$$

where z is a formal variable, one can write

$$x[n]_\zeta = \sum_j x[n-j]_0 (-\zeta)^j \binom{n}{j} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\zeta} (z-\zeta)^n x(z) dz.$$

If $\zeta = \infty$, then

$$x[n]_\infty = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{z=\infty} z^{-n} x(z) dz.$$

We will use this below.

2.3. Kirillov-Reshetikhin Modules. Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules are evaluation modules of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$. They are finite-dimensional modules, highest-weight with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$, and cyclic with respect to $\mathfrak{g}[t]$. However they are not, in general, irreducible as $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules unless $\mathfrak{g} = A_r$.

We use a definition similar to that found in [Cha01, CM], but we generalize it to the case where the module is localized at an arbitrary value of $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The definition found in [Cha01] corresponds to the special case $\zeta = 1$. Note the point $\zeta = 0$ is not sufficiently generic to be used with the definition below in terms of generators and relations, since the module is not, in general, cyclic at this point. We exclude this point from consideration.

Definition 2.1 (Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules). *Fix $(\zeta, a, i) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{N} \times I_r$. The $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module $\text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta) := \text{KR}_{a\omega_i}(\zeta)$ is the module generated by the action of $U(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ on the vector $v \in \text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta)$ with the properties*

$$e_j[n]_\zeta v = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad n \geq 0; \quad (2.4)$$

$$f_j[n]_\zeta v = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad n \geq \delta_{i,j}; \quad (2.5)$$

$$f_i[0]_\zeta^{a+1} v = 0; \quad (2.6)$$

$$h_j[0]_\zeta v = \delta_{i,j} a v. \quad (2.7)$$

This implies, for example, that $f_i[n]_0$ acts on v as

$$f_i[n]_0 v = \zeta^n f_i[0] v.$$

Due to the highest weight condition (2.4) and the PBW theorem, it is clear that $\text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta) = U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t])v$.

The module $\text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta)$ is finite-dimensional and is equal to an evaluation module at ζ of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t]$. It is not necessarily irreducible as a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module unless $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_r . In that case, $\text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta) = V_{a\omega_i}(\zeta)$, the evaluation module corresponding to the irreducible highest-weight module of A_r with highest weight $a\omega_i$. In general, the irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$ -module $V_{a\omega_i}$ is a component in the KR-module: $V_{a\omega_i} \subset \text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta)$ as $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. The weight $a\omega_i$ is the highest $\mathfrak{g}$ -weight in $\text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta)$.

2.3.1. Grading on KR-modules. The space $U := U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t])$ is a graded space, where the grading is by homogeneous degree in t . Let $U^{(n)}$ be the n -th graded component, and $U^{(\leq n)} = \cup_{i \leq n} U^{(i)}$. The space Uv is a filtered space, where $\mathcal{F}^{(n)} = U^{(\leq n)}v$ is the n -th filtered component, where v is the cyclic vector of $\text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta)$. Thus we can define the graded the KR-module $\text{KR}_{a,i}(\zeta)$ to be the associated graded space. Henceforth, when referring to KR-modules, we will assume they carry this grading.

2.4. The Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture. The Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture [KR90] is a formula for the decomposition of KR-modules as $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Given the notational complexity, it is most efficient to present the conjecture for the decomposition of the tensor product of several KR-modules. The decomposition of a single module is a special case of this.

Let $\mathbf{R}$ denote a collection of highest weights of the form $\{(a_p, i_p) : 1 \leq p \leq N\}$, and let $\{\zeta_p : 1 \leq p \leq N\}$ be a collection of non-zero complex numbers. Define $M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}$ to be the multiplicity of the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V_λ in the tensor product of N KR-modules corresponding to the weights in $\mathbf{R}$, localized at ζ_p :

$$M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}} = \dim \left(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\bigotimes_{p=1}^N \text{KR}_{a_p, i_p}(\zeta_p), V_\lambda \right) \right) \quad (2.8)$$

Define the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{m} = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!}, & n \geq m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Given $\mathbf{R}$, define $n_a^{(i)}$ to be the number of elements of $\mathbf{R}$ equal to (a, i) and define $l^{(i)}$ via $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r l^{(i)} \omega_i$.

Conjecture 2.2 (The Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture).

$$M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}} = \sum_{m_a^{(i)} \geq 0} \prod_{a, i} \binom{P_a^{(i)} + m_a^{(i)}}{m_a^{(i)}} \quad (2.10)$$

where the sum is taken over $m_a^{(i)}$ such that

$$\sum_a a m_a^{(i)} = \sum_{j, a} C_{i, j}^{-1} a n_a^{(j)} - \sum_j C_{i, j}^{-1} l^{(j)},$$

and the “vacancy numbers” $P_a^{(i)}$ are

$$P_a^{(i)} = \sum_b \min(a, b) n_b^{(i)} + \sum_{b, j \neq i} \min(|C_{i, j}|b, |C_{j, i}|a) m_b^{(j)} - 2 \sum_b \min(a, b) m_b^{(i)},$$

with $C_{i, j}$ being the Cartan matrix.

This conjecture first appeared in [KR90] for the classical Lie algebras. It has been proven for the following cases: For the case of $\mathfrak{g} = A_r$ [KSS02], for the case of $\mathfrak{g} = D_r$ and $\mathbf{R}$ consisting of weights of the form $\{(1, i_p) : i_p \in I_r\}$ [Sch05], and for $\mathfrak{g}$ nonexceptional and $\mathbf{R} = \{(a_p, 1) : a_p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ [OSS03, SS] (see the review [Sch] for details). In each case, the proof involves a bijection between crystal bases and rigged configurations [KKR86].

The modified KR-conjecture [HKO⁺99, KNT02], with the binomial coefficient replaced by

$$\binom{n}{m}' = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(n-m+1)} \quad (2.11)$$

can be proven for any $\mathfrak{g}$ [Her], using recursion relations [Kir83, Nak03]. However, it remains a conjecture [HKO⁺99] that the two sums are equal in general.

3. THE FUSION PRODUCT OF KR-MODULES

The idea of the fusion product comes from the fusion product of integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules in conformal field theory [BPZ84]. It was reformulated as a graded tensor product of finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules in [FL99]. Strictly speaking, the latter is the restriction of the [BPZ84] fusion product to the top component, or product of primary fields, in the case where the level (the value of the central charge) is sufficiently large. If the last condition is not met, we have the level-restricted fusion product, which will be discussed in a future publication.

3.1. The Feigin-Loktev graded tensor product. Let us recall the definition of the [FL99]-fusion product, specialized to the current context. Let $\{V_i(\zeta_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ be cyclic $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules localized at distinct points $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and let v_i be the cyclic vectors of the respective modules. Then the tensor product $V_1(\zeta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N(\zeta_N)$ is generated by the action of $U(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ on the tensor product of cyclic vectors $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_N$, where the action is by the usual coproduct on the product of evaluation modules.

If v_i are chosen to be highest weight with respect to the $\mathfrak{g}$ -action, then the tensor product is generated by the action of the subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t])$ on the tensor product of highest weight vectors.

The algebra $U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t])$ is graded by degree in t . Therefore, the vector space $U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t])v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_N$ inherits a filtration from this grading. We assign the vector $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_N$ to have degree 0. The associated graded space is the graded tensor product, the so-called fusion product of [FL99]:

$$V_1 * \cdots * V_N := \text{Gr}(U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t])v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_N). \quad (3.1)$$

Note that the filtration, and hence the grading, is $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant. Therefore, the graded components are $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

Feigin and Loktev conjectured that in certain cases, the graded tensor product should be independent of the complex numbers ζ_i . Our purpose in this paper is to prove this conjecture, in the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra and the modules V_i are KR-modules. We shall do so by computing explicit formulas for the multiplicities.

We are interested in the graded multiplicities of the irreducible components in the decomposition of the tensor product. Let $V[n]$ be the graded component in the graded tensor product:

$$V_1 * \cdots * V_N \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V[n], \quad (3.2)$$

where $V[n]$ are finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Define the multiplicities of the irreducible components as follows. Let

$$V[n] \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} V_\lambda^{\otimes M_{\lambda, \{V_i\}}[n]} \quad (3.3)$$

as $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. The generating function for the multiplicities

$$M_{\lambda, \{V_i\}}(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} M_{\lambda, \{V_i\}}[n] q^n \quad (3.4)$$

is called the graded multiplicity of V_λ in the graded tensor product. For example, in [FJK⁺04], if $V_i(\zeta_i)$ are finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, the graded multiplicity was called the fusion Kostka polynomial.

Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules are cyclic $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules with a cyclic vector which is a highest weight vector with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$. Choose $\mathbf{R}$ to be a collection of dominant integral highest weights as before, and choose $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N\}$ to be distinct non-zero complex numbers. Define $M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}(q)$ to be the graded multiplicity of the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V_λ in the graded tensor product of KR-modules:

$$\text{ch}_q(\text{KR}_{m_1, i_1}(\zeta_1) * \dots * \text{KR}_{m_N, i_N}(\zeta_N)) = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}(q) \text{ch}(V_\lambda).$$

Our goal in this paper is to compute the polynomials $M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}(q)$.

3.2. Fusion products and matrix elements. It is useful to understand the relation of the graded tensor product (3.1) of finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules to the fusion product of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules: The product (3.1) is the graded top component, or the space of conformal blocks, of the fusion product of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules, at sufficiently large level k , together with a grading. This point of view is taken below in order to compute the character. See also the Appendix of [FKL⁺01] and the introduction in [FJK⁺04].

Let $V(\zeta)$ be a graded finite-dimensional cyclic $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module, and let $\widehat{V}(\zeta)$ be the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module induced from it at level k . Note that $V(\zeta)$ need not be an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Given a collection of distinct complex numbers $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N\}$ and a collection of finite-dimensional, cyclic $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules $\{V_1(\zeta_1), \dots, V_N(\zeta_N)\}$, consider the set of induced modules $\{\widehat{V}_1(\zeta_1), \dots, \widehat{V}_N(\zeta_N)\}$. Their fusion product is denoted by

$$\widehat{V}_1(\zeta_1) \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \widehat{V}_N(\zeta_N). \quad (3.5)$$

This is a $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\zeta$ -module, where $\mathcal{A}_\zeta$ is the space of rational functions in t with possible poles at ζ_p for each p . Given a vector $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_N$, an element $x \otimes g(t)$ ($x \in \mathfrak{g}, g(t) \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta$) acts on the tensor product by the coproduct, where the action on the p -th component is given by the expansion of $g(t)$ about ζ_p .

The algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\zeta \subset \mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}, t]$ has a central extension, with the cocycle given by the sum of the residues at each point. The level of the fusion product is k if the level of each module $\widehat{V}_p(\zeta_p)$ is k . Its decomposition into irreducible level- k -modules of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by the fusion coefficients or Verlinde numbers. If k is sufficiently large, these coefficients are equal to the multiplicities of the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules in the tensor product of the top components $V_p(\zeta)$:

$$\text{mult}_{\widehat{V}_\lambda(0)}(\widehat{V}_1(\zeta_1) \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \widehat{V}_N(\zeta_N)) = \text{mult}_{V_\lambda}(V_1(\zeta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_N(\zeta_N)), \quad k \gg 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Remark 3.1. *The only role which the level plays here is the following. It is known that any integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is completely reducible, and we use this fact here to argue that the fusion product is completely reducible. Hence, it is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules localized at $\zeta = 0$. In fact, we can take $k \rightarrow \infty$ in all of the calculations below.*

Thus, the coefficient of the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V_λ in the decomposition is the dimension of the space $\text{Hom}(V_\lambda, V_1(\zeta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_N(\zeta_N))$. Suppose V_p is generated by a highest weight vector v_p for each p . Then in terms of matrix elements, since $V_1(\zeta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_N(\zeta_N) = U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t])v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_N$, the coefficient of V_λ is given by the inner product with the lowest weight vector $w_{\lambda^*} \in V_\lambda^*$

$$\text{mult}_{V_\lambda}(V_1(\zeta_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_N(\zeta_N)) = \dim \{ \langle w_{\lambda^*}, U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t])v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_N \rangle \}. \quad (3.7)$$

The graded multiplicity of V_λ in graded tensor product is equal to the associated graded space of this space of matrix elements, where the highest weight vectors are taken to have degree 0 and the grading is inherited from the degree in t .

4. THE DUAL SPACE TO THE ALGEBRA

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra with Cartan matrix C with entries given by $C_{\alpha,\beta} = 2(\alpha, \beta)/(\alpha, \alpha)$. The universal enveloping algebra $U = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-) = U(\mathfrak{n}_-[t, t^{-1}])$ is generated by the coefficients of products of generating currents $f_\alpha(x)$ ($\alpha \in \Pi$). These generating currents satisfy two types of operator product expansion (OPE) relations. The first comes from the commutation relation between currents:

$$f_\alpha(x_1)f_\beta(x_2) = \frac{f_{\alpha+\beta}(x_1)}{(x_1 - x_2)} + \text{regular terms} \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta \quad (4.1)$$

implying, in particular, that $[f_\alpha(x_1), f_\alpha(x_2)] = 0$. The second relation is a result of the Serre relation for $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\text{ad}(f_\alpha)^{m_{\alpha,\beta}} f_\beta = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Pi$$

where $m_{\alpha,\beta} = 1 - C_{\alpha,\beta}$. In terms of currents, this means that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m_{\alpha,\beta}} (x_i^{(\alpha)} - x^{(\beta)}) f_\alpha(x_1^{(\alpha)}) \cdots f_\alpha(x_{m_{\alpha,\beta}}^{(\alpha)}) f_\beta(x^{(\beta)}) \Big|_{x_1^{(\alpha)} = \dots = x_{m_{\alpha,\beta}}^{(\alpha)} = x^{(\beta)}} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Since U is a graded space with respect to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{g}$, define $U[\mathbf{m}]$ be the subspace consisting of homogeneous components of weight $-C\mathbf{m}$ (in the basis of fundamental weights). Here, $\mathbf{m} = (m^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, m^{(\alpha_r)})$. That is, $U[\mathbf{m}]$ consists of sums of products of $m^{(\alpha)}$ of each of the currents $f_\alpha(x^{(\alpha)})$, where $\alpha \in \Pi$.

We want to compute the generating function for the d -graded components of $U[\mathbf{m}]$, where $d = t \frac{d}{dt}$ is the homogeneous degree in t . To do this, we introduce the dual space of the algebra, and then introduce a filtration on it. This will be the standard technique for computing characters in everything that follows, and was described in detail for the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$ in [AKS]. It follows the general idea described in [SF94] for simple $\mathfrak{g}$.

The following is a description of the dual space $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ to $U[\mathbf{m}]$. It is a space of functions in the set of formal variables $\{x_i^{(\alpha)} | \alpha \in \Pi, 1 \leq i \leq m^{(\alpha)}\}$ with the pairing $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}] \times U[\mathbf{n}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as follows. The pairing is 0 if $\mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n}$, and otherwise it is defined inductively by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1, 1 \rangle &= 1 \\ \langle g(\mathbf{x}), W f_\beta[n] \rangle &= \left\langle \oint_{x_1^{(\beta)}=0} dx_1^{(\beta)} (x_1^{(\beta)})^n g(\mathbf{x}), W \right\rangle, \quad W \in U[\mathbf{m} - \epsilon_\beta], \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ_β is a standard basis vector. The contour integral is taken counter-clockwise around the origin in a contour excluding the singularities at $x_i^{(\alpha)}$ with $(\alpha, i) \neq (\beta, 1)$. Similarly,

$$\langle g(\mathbf{x}), f_\beta[n] W \rangle = \left\langle \oint_{x_1^{(\beta)}=\infty} dx_1^{(\beta)} (x_1^{(\beta)})^n g(\mathbf{x}), W \right\rangle, \quad W \in U[\mathbf{m} - \epsilon_\beta],$$

where this time the contour is taken clockwise around the point at infinity, excluding all the other points $x_i^{(\alpha)}$.

With this pairing, the OPE relation (4.1) shows that functions in the dual space $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ may have a simple pole whenever $x_i^{(\alpha)} = x_j^{(\beta)}$ if $C_{\alpha,\beta} < 0$. Thus, the dual space is a subspace of the space of rational functions of the form (we impose the

usual ordering on the roots)

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{g_1(\mathbf{x})}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha < \beta \\ C_{\alpha,\beta} < 0}} \prod_{i,j} (x_i^{(\alpha)} - x_j^{(\beta)})}. \quad (4.3)$$

The function $g(\mathbf{x})$ is a Laurent polynomial in each of the variables, symmetric with respect to the exchange of variables of the same root type α , as a result of the fact that generators of the same root type commute.

Moreover, due to the Serre-type relation (4.2), the function $g_1(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies the following vanishing condition:

$$g_1(\mathbf{x}) \Big|_{x_1^{(\alpha)} = \dots = x_{m_{\alpha,\beta}}^{(\alpha)} = x_1^{(\beta)}} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Since these are the only relations among the generating currents corresponding to simple roots in $\mathfrak{n}_-$, this completes the description of the dual space $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$.

4.1. Filtration of the dual space. We introduce a filtration on the space of rational functions $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$. The description is very similar to that explained in e.g. [AKS] for the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$, except for the vanishing conditions resulting from the Serre relation.

Due to the proliferation of indices, we denote the simple roots by their corresponding index in I_r from this point on. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(r)})$ be a multipartition of $\mathbf{m} = (m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(r)})$, that is, $\mu^{(\alpha)}$ is a partition of $m^{(\alpha)}$. Define

$$m_a^{(\alpha)} = \#\{\mu_i^{(\alpha)} = a\} \quad (4.5)$$

that is, the number of parts of length a in the partition $\mu^{(\alpha)}$.

Pick a tableau T of shape $\mu^{(\alpha)}$ on the letters $1, \dots, m^{(\alpha)}$. Let $a(j)$ be the length of the row in T in which j appears, and $i(j) - 1$ be the number of rows above the row in which j appears of the same length $a(j)$. Define the evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} : \quad \mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}] &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}[\boldsymbol{\mu}] \\ x_j^{(\alpha)} &\mapsto y_{a(j), i(j)}^{(\alpha)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

extended by linearity, where $\mathcal{H}[\boldsymbol{\mu}]$ is a space of functions in the variables

$$\{y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in I_r; 1 \leq a \leq m^{(\alpha)}; 1 \leq i \leq m_a^{(\alpha)}\}. \quad (4.7)$$

There is a bijective correspondence between the pairs (a, i) for fixed α and the parts of the partition $\mu^{(\alpha)}$. We order the pairs (a, i) accordingly:

$$(a, i) < (b, j) \quad \text{if } a > b \text{ or if } a = b \text{ and } i < j. \quad (4.8)$$

The vanishing properties (4.4) and poles (4.3) of functions in $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ are independent of the ordering of the variables $x_i^{(\alpha)}$ with the same index α , and therefore the vanishing properties and poles of the functions in the image in $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ under the evaluation map are independent of the particular tableau T chosen. Note that the evaluation maps preserve the homogeneous degree.

A lexicographical ordering on multipartitions $\boldsymbol{\mu} \vdash \mathbf{m}$ is defined in the usual way: partitions are ordered lexicographically, and multipartitions are similarly ordered, $\boldsymbol{\nu} > \boldsymbol{\mu}$ if there exists some $\beta \in I_r$ such that $\nu^{(\alpha)} = \mu^{(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha < \beta$ and $\nu^{(\beta)} > \mu^{(\beta)}$. Let

$$\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\nu} > \boldsymbol{\mu}} \ker \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \quad \Gamma'_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \geq \boldsymbol{\mu}} \ker \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \subset \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \subset \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ if $\boldsymbol{\mu} < \boldsymbol{\nu}$, $\Gamma'_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \{0\}$ if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = ((1^{m^{(1)}}), \dots, (1^{m^{(r)}}))$, and $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ if $\boldsymbol{\mu} = ((m^{(1)}), \dots, (m^{(r)}))$. Thus we have a filtration $\mathcal{F}$ on the space $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ parametrized by all multipartitions of $\mathbf{m}$:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\{0\} \subset \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1} \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_t} = \mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]\},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i < \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i+1}$ for all i . We can describe the properties of functions in the image of the associated graded space

$$\text{Gr } \mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \vdash \mathbf{m}} \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} / \Gamma'_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$$

under the induced evaluation maps.

Theorem 4.1. *The image $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}[\boldsymbol{\mu}]$ of functions in $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} / \Gamma'_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ under the evaluation map $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, is the space of rational functions of the form*

$$h(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in I_r} \prod_{(a,i) < (b,j)} (y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} - y_{b,j}^{(\alpha)})^{2 \min(a,b)}}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha < \beta \\ C_{\alpha,\beta} < 0}} \prod_{i,j,a,b} (y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} - y_{b,j}^{(\beta)})^{\min(|C_{\alpha,\beta}|b, |C_{\beta,\alpha}|a)}} h_1(\mathbf{y}), \quad (4.10)$$

where $h_1(\mathbf{y})$ is an arbitrary Laurent polynomial in the variables (4.7), symmetric with respect to the exchange of variables $y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} \leftrightarrow y_{a,j}^{(\alpha)}$. The induced map

$$\bar{\varphi}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} : \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} / \Gamma'_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}[\boldsymbol{\mu}]$$

is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces.

We provide a sketch of the proof in the Appendix, since it is very similar to the proof for the given in [AKS] in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{r+1}$ (in the context of integrable modules).

Thus, we have an isomorphism of graded vector spaces,

$$\text{Gr } \mathcal{F} \simeq \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \vdash \mathbf{m}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}[\boldsymbol{\mu}].$$

We will use this fact below to compute the character of a subspace of $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$.

5. THE DUAL SPACE TO THE FUSION PRODUCT OF KR-MODULES

Our goal is to compute the decomposition of the graded tensor product of several KR-modules. In order to do this, we introduce the space of matrix elements, or conformal blocks corresponding to the fusion product of KR-modules.

5.1. The space of conformal blocks. Once more, let $\{\zeta_p \mid 1 \leq p \leq N\}$ be distinct, non-zero complex numbers, and let $\mathbf{R}$ be a collection of labels (highest weights) for KR-modules

$$\mathbf{R} = \{(a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_N, \alpha_N)\}, \quad a_p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \alpha_p \in I_r.$$

For each p , let $\text{KR}_p := \text{KR}_{a_p, \alpha_p}(\zeta_p)$ be the KR-module localized at the point ζ_p , with highest $\mathfrak{g}$ -weight $a_p \omega_{\alpha_p}$. We denote by $n_a^{(\alpha)}$ the number of KR-modules with a highest weight equal to $a \omega_{\alpha}$. Define $n^{(\alpha)} = \sum_a a n_a^{(\alpha)}$, and $\mathbf{n} = (n^{(1)}, \dots, n^{(r)})$.

The q -multiplicities in the decomposition into irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules of the graded tensor product of N KR-modules are defined as above:

$$\text{KR}_1 * \dots * \text{KR}_N \simeq \bigoplus M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}(q) V_{\lambda}, \quad (5.1)$$

where the notation is understood to mean that a term $q^n V_\lambda$ denotes an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V_λ appearing in the graded component of degree n .

To find the multiplicity of V_λ in the tensor product, we can take the inner product with a distinguished vector w in the dual space V_λ^* which we know to have multiplicity 1. For our purposes here, it is sufficient to take the lowest weight vector $w_{\lambda^*} \in V_\lambda^*$ (see [AKS]), which is the lowest weight vector of the module $V_{-\omega_0(\lambda)}$ where ω_0 is the longest element in the Weyl group. The vector w_{λ^*} has weight $-\lambda$.

Let us consider the space of matrix elements of the form

$$\langle w_{\lambda^*} f_{\alpha_1}[d_1] \cdots f_{\alpha_r}[d_r] v_1 \otimes \cdots v_N \rangle. \quad (5.2)$$

where $\alpha_j \in I_r$, and v_p is the highest weight vector of $\mathrm{KR}_p(\zeta_p)$.

It is convenient to consider the space of generating functions for such matrix elements:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}] = \left\{ \langle w_{\lambda^*} | f_{\alpha_1}(x_1^{(\alpha_1)}) \cdots f_{\alpha_r}(x_{i_m(\alpha_r)}^{(\alpha_r)}) v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_N \rangle \right\}, \quad (5.3)$$

Here, the variables $x_i^{(\alpha)}$ are formal variables, and the set includes all possible orderings of the currents corresponding to simple roots. Coefficients of fixed powers of each of the variables $x_i^{(\alpha)}$ correspond to matrix elements of the form (5.2). The set of all linearly independent functions in $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$ corresponds to distinct matrix elements. We are therefore interested in the graded dimension of the space of such functions, which give the polynomials $M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}$.

In order to understand such matrix elements, one should consider KR_p to be the highest component of a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\zeta$ -module, similar to the “top component” of an irreducible $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\zeta_p}$ -module sitting at ζ_p . The induced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\zeta_p}$ -module is a (graded) direct sum of several irreducible modules. The graded tensor product of KR -modules is then the top component of the graded fusion product of several integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules in the usual sense of fusion product of integrable modules.

In this paper, we do not consider level restriction, so we always assume that k is sufficiently large. In practice, this means $k \geq \sum_\alpha n^{(\alpha)} a_\alpha^\vee$ where $a_\alpha^\vee$ are the comarks. We will consider the more general, “level-restricted” case in a later publication.

In this sense, it is clear that one should view w_{λ^*} not just as the lowest weight vector of a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V_λ^* , but as a vector in the representation $V_{k, \lambda^*}(\infty)$, the irreducible $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\infty$ -module, which is annihilated by the right action of $\mathfrak{n}_-[t]_\infty$. This module can also be considered to be a lowest weight right $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ -module with lowest weight vector w_{λ^*} . That means that

$$w_{\lambda^*} f_\alpha[n]_0 = w_{\lambda^*} f_\alpha[-n]_\infty = 0 \quad \text{if } n \leq 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Recall that for a module localized at infinity, the local variable is $t_\infty = t^{-1}$.

The space of matrix elements of the form (5.3) is a space of functions, and we analyze the structure of this space below.

5.2. The dual space of functions. For convenience, we note the formula for the pairing of a function $g(\mathbf{x})$ in the dual space $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ with a generator localized at $\zeta \neq 0$:

$$\langle g(\mathbf{x}), W f_\beta[n]_\zeta \rangle = \left\langle \oint_{x_1^{(\beta)} = \zeta} dx_1^{(\beta)} (x_1^{(\beta)} - \zeta)^n g(\mathbf{x}), W \right\rangle, \quad W \in U[\mathbf{m} - \epsilon_\beta] \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\langle g(\mathbf{x}), f_\beta[n]_\infty W \rangle = \left\langle \oint_{x_1^{(\beta)} = \infty} dx_1^{(\beta)} (x_1^{(\beta)})^{-n} g(\mathbf{x}), W \right\rangle, \quad W \in U[\mathbf{m} - \epsilon_\beta]. \quad (5.6)$$

The second integral excludes all poles but the one at infinity. These formulas result from the definitions $x[n]_\zeta = x \otimes (t - \zeta)^n$ and $x[n]_\infty = x \otimes t^{-n}$.

If $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$ then it should be in the orthogonal complement to all of the relations in the algebra, the left ideal of the algebra acting on the tensor product to the left and the right ideal of the algebra acting on the module at infinity to the right. These relations imply the following.

- (1) **Zero weight condition.** Clearly, the correlation function (5.3) will vanish unless the total weight with respect to $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is 0. Denoting $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha} l^{(\alpha)} \omega_{\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{l} = (l^{(1)}, \dots, l^{(r)})$, this means that $\mathbf{m} = (m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(r)})$ is determined by the equation

$$\mathbf{m} = C^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{l}). \quad (5.7)$$

Thus, $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}] \subset \mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ with $\mathbf{m}$ fixed by (5.7).

- (2) **Relations in the algebra.** Since the space $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$, any function $g(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$ is of the form

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{g_1(\mathbf{x})}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha < \beta \\ C_{\alpha, \beta} < 0}} \prod_{i, j} (x_i^{(\alpha)} - x_j^{(\beta)})}, \quad (5.8)$$

where $g_1(\mathbf{x})$ is a Laurent polynomial which satisfies the Serre-type relations

$$g_1(\mathbf{x}) \Big|_{x_1^{(\alpha)} = \dots = x_{m_{\alpha, \beta}}^{(\alpha)} = x_1^{(\beta)}} = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

and which is symmetric with respect to exchange of variables of the same type.

- (3) **Lowest weight condition.** The vector w_{λ^*} is a lowest weight vector with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$ of the the module sitting at infinity. The condition at infinity is that $w_{\lambda^*} f_{\alpha}[n]_{\infty} = 0$ if $n \geq 0$, for any α . This means that

$$\oint_{x_1^{(\alpha)} = \infty} dx_1^{(\alpha)} (x_1^{(\alpha)})^{-n} g(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Changing variables to $x^{-1} = x_1^{(\alpha)}$, we have

$$\oint_{x=0} dx x^{-2+n} g(x^{-1}, \dots) = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

thus, if $\deg_x(g(x, \dots)) = m$, then $m \leq -2$ if g is the orthogonal complement of the relations. Therefore we have the degree restriction for $g(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$:

$$\deg_{x_i^{(\alpha)}} g(\mathbf{x}) \leq -2. \quad (5.10)$$

- (4) **Highest weight conditions.** Each vector v_p is a highest weight vector with weight $\mu_p = m\omega_{\alpha_p}$ sitting at ζ_p . Thus, $f_{\alpha}[n]_{\zeta_p}$ with $n \geq \delta_{\alpha, \alpha_p}$ generate a left ideal which acts trivially on the product $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_N$. This means that for functions $g(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$,

$$0 = \oint_{x_1^{(\alpha)} = \zeta_p} dx_1^{(\alpha)} (x_1^{(\alpha)} - \zeta_p)^n g(\mathbf{x}) \text{ if } n \geq \delta_{\alpha, \alpha_p}. \quad (5.11)$$

Here, the contours are taken so that all other poles are excluded. This shows that the function $g(\mathbf{x})$ may have no poles at $x_1^{(\alpha)} = \zeta_p$ if $\alpha \neq \alpha_p$. It may have a simple pole if $\alpha = \alpha_p$. In particular, $g(\mathbf{x})$ has no pole at $x_1^{(\alpha)} = 0$ since there is no module localized at 0.

- (5) **Integrability condition.** If $\alpha \neq \alpha_p$ then the function $g(\mathbf{x})$ may have a pole at $x_i^{(\alpha)} = \zeta_p$. However the condition that

$$(f_{\alpha_p}[0]_{\zeta_p})^{a_p+1} v_p = 0 \quad (5.12)$$

implies that

$$\oint_{x_1^{(\alpha)}=\zeta_p} dx_1^{(\alpha)} \cdots \oint_{x_{a+1}^{(\alpha)}=\zeta_p} dx_{a+1}^{(\alpha)} g(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

This shows that if we define $g(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$ by (5.8) with

$$g_1(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{g_2(\mathbf{x})}{\prod_{\alpha} \prod_p \prod_{i=1}^{m(\alpha)} (x_i^{(\alpha)} - \zeta_p)^{\delta_{\alpha_p, \alpha}}}, \quad (5.14)$$

then the function $g_2(\mathbf{x})$ is a polynomial which vanishes on the following diagonal:

$$g_2(\mathbf{x}) \Big|_{x_1^{(\alpha)}=\cdots=x_{a_p+1}^{(\alpha)}=\zeta_p} = 0 \quad (5.15)$$

for p such that $\alpha = \alpha_p$.

The space $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$ described above has a filtration by homogeneous degree in $x_i^{(\alpha)}$, where we take the degree of the factors $(x_i^{(\alpha)} - \zeta_p)$ to have degree -1 . (This is equivalent to setting $\zeta_p = 0$.) Let $\text{ch}_q \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$ denote the graded dimension of the associated graded space. It is related to the polynomial $M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}(q)$ which we wish to compute in the following way. Due to the definition of the currents $f_{\alpha}(x_i^{(\alpha)})$, each generator $f_{\alpha}[n]$ corresponds to a factor $(x_i^{(\alpha)})^{-n-1}$. Thus, if

$$\text{ch}_q \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}] = \chi_{\mathbf{m}}(q),$$

then

$$M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}(q) = q^{-|\mathbf{m}|} \chi_{\mathbf{m}}(q^{-1}). \quad (5.16)$$

Here, $|\mathbf{m}| = \sum_{\alpha} m^{(\alpha)}$.

5.3. Filtration of the space of matrix elements. Consider the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ of the space $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}]$ defined using the evaluation mapping, which is inherited from the filtration of $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ introduced in Section 4.1. That is,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu} = \Gamma_{\mu} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}], \quad \tilde{\Gamma}'_{\mu} = \Gamma'_{\mu} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}].$$

Functions in the image of the associated graded space of $\mathcal{F}$ under the evaluation maps φ_{μ} can be described explicitly, in a manner similar to Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 5.1. *The image of the space $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ under the evaluation map φ_{μ} satisfies*

$$\varphi_{\mu}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}[\mu] \subset \mathcal{H}[\mu],$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}[\mu]$ is the space of rational functions $h(\mathbf{y})$ of the form (4.10), where $h_1(\mathbf{y})$ is a function of the form

$$h_1(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_p \prod_{a, i} (y_{a, i}^{(\alpha_p)} - \zeta_p)^{-\min(a_p, a)} \bar{h}(\mathbf{y}), \quad (5.17)$$

where $\bar{h}(\mathbf{y})$ is a polynomial in the variables $\mathbf{y}$, symmetric under the exchange $y_{a, i}^{(\alpha)} \leftrightarrow y_{a, j}^{(\alpha)}$, such that the total degree of the function $h(\mathbf{y})$ in the variable $y_{a, i}^{(\alpha)}$ is less than or equal to $-2a$.

Again, the proof of this Theorem follows the same arguments as the proof in the case of A_r . In fact, the reason one can describe the space dual to the fusion product of KR-modules is that the highest-weight conditions on KR-modules are identical to the highest-weight conditions on A_r -modules with rectangular highest weights (see equations (5.8) and (5.9) in [AKS]). We note that, as in the case of A_r , we do not know how to prove the surjectivity of the map $\varphi_\mu : \tilde{\Gamma}_\mu / \tilde{\Gamma}'_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}[\mu]$. Hence, we can only make a statement about the inclusion of spaces.

5.4. Character of the fusion product. The space of functions $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}[\mu]$ is a filtered space by homogeneous degree in $y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}$. The associated graded space is obtained simply by setting $\zeta_p = 0$ for all p in equation (5.17). That is, it is isomorphic to the space of functions of the form

$$h(\mathbf{y}) = h_0(\mathbf{y})h_1(\mathbf{y}), \quad (5.18)$$

with

$$\deg_{y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}} h(\mathbf{y}) \leq -2a,$$

where

$$h_0(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha} \prod_{(a,i) < (b,j)} (y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} - y_{b,j}^{(\alpha)})^{2 \min(a,b)}}{\prod_{p,a,i} (y_{a,i}^{(\alpha_p)})^{\min(a,a_p)} \prod_{\substack{\alpha < \beta \\ C_{\alpha,\beta} < 0}} \prod_{a,i,b,j} (y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} - y_{b,j}^{(\beta)})^{\min(|C_{\alpha,\beta}|b, |C_{\beta,\alpha}|a)}}. \quad (5.19)$$

Define

$$A_{a,b}^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \min(a,b)$$

and

$$B_{a,b}^{\alpha,\beta} = \min(|C_{\alpha,\beta}|b, |C_{\beta,\alpha}|a) \delta_{\alpha \neq \beta}.$$

We have

$$\deg_{y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}} h_0(\mathbf{y}) = -2a - P_a^{(\alpha)}$$

where

$$P_a^{(\alpha)} = [(B - 2A)\vec{\mathbf{m}} + A\vec{\mathbf{n}}]_a^{(\alpha)} \quad (5.20)$$

where $[\vec{\mathbf{m}}]_a^{(\alpha)} = m_a^{(\alpha)}$, so that $[A\vec{\mathbf{m}}]_a^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\beta,b} A_{a,b}^{\alpha,\beta} m_b^{(\beta)}$, etc.. Recall that $m_a^{(\alpha)}$ is the number of parts of $\mu^{(\alpha)}$ equal to a , whereas $n_a^{(\alpha)}$ is the number of elements in $\mathbf{R}$ of the form (a, α) . The numbers $P_a^{(\alpha)}$ are called vacancy numbers in the literature.

From this, we see that the function $h(\mathbf{y})$ in equation (5.18) is a polynomial, symmetric in each set of variables $\{y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} : i = 1, \dots, a\}$, subject to the degree restriction

$$\deg_{y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}} h(\mathbf{y}) \leq P_a^{(\alpha)}.$$

Moreover the homogeneous degree of $h_0(\mathbf{y})$ is $Q(\vec{\mathbf{m}}, \vec{\mathbf{n}}) - \|\vec{\mathbf{m}}\|$, where

$$Q(\vec{\mathbf{m}}, \vec{\mathbf{n}}) = \vec{\mathbf{m}}^t A \vec{\mathbf{m}} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\mathbf{m}}^t B \vec{\mathbf{m}} - \vec{\mathbf{m}}^t A \vec{\mathbf{n}}$$

and $\|\vec{\mathbf{m}}\| = |\mathbf{m}| = \sum_{a,\alpha} a m_a^{(\alpha)}$. Thus, we have shown that we have the following upper bound on the character of the fusion product:

Theorem 5.2.

$$M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}(q^{-1}) = q^{|\mathbf{m}|} \text{ch}_q \mathcal{C}_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{m}] \leq \sum_{\substack{|\vec{\mathbf{m}}| = \mathbf{m} \\ C\mathbf{m} = (\mathbf{n}-1)}} q^{Q(\vec{\mathbf{m}}, \vec{\mathbf{n}})} \left[\begin{matrix} \vec{\mathbf{m}} + \vec{\mathbf{P}} \\ \vec{\mathbf{m}} \end{matrix} \right]_q, \quad (5.21)$$

where the q -binomial coefficient of vectors is the product of q -binomial coefficients over the entries of the vector,

$$\left[\begin{matrix} \vec{\mathbf{n}} \\ \vec{\mathbf{m}} \end{matrix} \right]_q := \prod_{a, \alpha} \left[\begin{matrix} n_a^{(\alpha)} \\ m_a^{(\alpha)} \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

The notation $|\vec{\mathbf{m}}| = \mathbf{m}$ means that $\sum_a m_a^{(\alpha)} = m^{(\alpha)}$ for all α .

By an upper bound on a polynomial we mean that each of the coefficients is bounded from above by the expression on the right.

Since we have chosen to have no representation localized at 0, the function $h(\mathbf{y})$ has no poles at 0. Thus, the q -binomial coefficient which describes the character of the space is the usual q -binomial coefficient,

$$\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right] = \begin{cases} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_m (q)_{n-m}}, & n \geq m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $(q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^i)$.

The right hand side of (5.21) is the M -side (that is, the fermionic sum side) of the $X = M$ conjecture in its original form [HKO⁺99]. The fusion coefficients are therefore bounded by $M(\lambda, W, q^{-1})$ in general, where W is the collection of KR-modules labelled by $\mathbf{R}$.

When $q = 1$, the right hand side is the fermionic sum of the original Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture. The conjecture is that the multiplicity of the irreducible module V_λ in the tensor product of KR-modules is equal to the right hand side, when $q = 1$. This conjecture has been proven to hold, in this form, for several special cases [KSS02, Sch05, OSS03, SS]. Thus, we have the equality at least in these cases:

Theorem 5.3. *The equality holds in equation (5.21) for the cases of $\mathfrak{g} = A_r$ and $\mathbf{R}$ consisting of arbitrary KR-modules; for the case of $\mathfrak{g} = D_r$ and $\mathbf{R}$ consisting of fundamental weights; and for nonexceptional $\mathfrak{g}$ if the weights in $\mathbf{R}$ are multiples of the weight ω_1 .*

In general, the KR conjecture has not yet been proven in this form. In [Her], the following modified formula is proven:

$$M_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}(1) = \sum \left(\begin{matrix} \vec{\mathbf{m}} + \vec{\mathbf{P}} \\ \vec{\mathbf{m}} \end{matrix} \right)', \quad (5.22)$$

with the same restrictions on the summation variables as in (5.21), where

$$\left(\begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right)' = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(n-m+1)}. \quad (5.23)$$

That is, the extended binomial coefficients do not vanish if $P_a^{(\alpha)} < 0$. It is conjectured in [HKO⁺99] that this sum is equal to the one containing the usual binomial coefficients (the original KR-conjecture). However, there is no proof available in general. If the conjecture of [HKO⁺99] is correct, then we have the equality in (5.21).

It is an interesting open question to find an interpretation for the extended identity in terms of function spaces. A combinatorial proof seems to be unavailable.

6. CONCLUSION

By studying the space dual to the fusion product of arbitrary rectangular Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ for any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we were able to give an upper bound for the fusion coefficients. This upper bound is an equality in the cases where the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture has been proven. We expect that the upper bound provided in this paper is in fact realized. One way to prove this claim is to show that the evaluation map φ_μ is surjective. Another way is to prove the conjecture of [HKO⁺99] that the two forms of the KR-conjecture, with the usual and alternating binomial coefficients, are in fact equal.

It is clear from the construction we used that the fusion product of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules does not depend on the complex numbers ζ_i (the ‘location’ of the modules). Thus, in the cases the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture is proven (see the list in section 2.4), we have proven the conjecture by Feigin and Loktev, which states that the fusion product of KR-modules is independent of the ζ_i . In the other cases, the Feigin-Loktev conjecture follows from the ‘modified’ Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture (see [HKO⁺99]) by using the results of Hernandez [Her].

The results obtained in this paper can be used to provide explicit character formulas for arbitrary highest weight modules of arbitrary (non-twisted) affine Lie algebras. In a previous paper [AKS], we showed how this can be done in the case of general $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{r+1}$ modules. It is possible to generalize the argument of [AKS] to arbitrary $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. We will provide the details in a forthcoming publication.

In this paper, we did not consider level restriction, that is, we considered $k \gg 0$. However, the level restricted fusion products of KR-modules are very interesting. We will study these using methods similar to [AKS] in a future publication.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1

First, we must prove that the evaluation map $\varphi_\mu : \Gamma_\mu \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}[\mu]$, where the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}[\mu]$ is described in Theorem 4.1, is well-defined.

The first factor in equation (4.10) describes the vanishing properties of functions in $\varphi_\mu(\Gamma_\mu)$.

Lemma A.1. *Let $g(\mathbf{x}) \in \Gamma_\mu$. Then the function $\varphi_\mu(g(\mathbf{x}))$ has a zero of order at least $2 \min(a, b)$ whenever $y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} = y_{b,j}^{(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. The proof of this Lemma is identical to the proof of Lemma (3.7) of [AKS]. Consider the two sets of variables, corresponding to two different parts of $\mu^{(\alpha)}$, denoted by R_a and R_b , of a lengths a and b , respectively. Denote the variables corresponding to R_a by $\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq a\}$ and those corresponding to R_b by $\{x'_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq b\}$. Without loss of generality, assume $a \geq b$.

Let $\varphi_\mu = \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$, where φ_1 is the evaluation of all the variables except the set corresponding to R_b . Under φ_1 , the variables x_i are all evaluated at y_a . The map φ_2 is the evaluation of the variables x'_j of R_b at y_b .

The image $\bar{g} = \varphi_1(g(\mathbf{x}))$ vanishes whenever $y_a = x'_j$ for all j , because the evaluation $x'_j = y_a$ corresponds to an evaluation map $\varphi_{\mu'}$ for some $\mu' > \mu$. Functions

in $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ are in the kernel of all such evaluation maps, by definition. Thus,

$$\bar{g} = \prod_{j=1}^b (y_a - x'_j) \bar{g}_1.$$

Moreover, since $g(\mathbf{x})$ is symmetric under the exchange of variables corresponding to the same root, it is easy to see that $\bar{g}_1$ also vanishes whenever $y_a - x'_j$ for each j . It follows that $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(g(\mathbf{x})) = \varphi_2(\bar{g})$ has a zero of order at least $2 \min(a, b)$ whenever $y_a = y_b$. The factor $\min(a, b)$ appears because we assumed that $a \geq b$. $\square$

The second factor in equation (4.10) describes the pole structure of functions in the image of the graded component.

Lemma A.2. *The image under the evaluation map $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ of any function in $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ has a pole of order at most $\min(|C_{\alpha,\beta}|b, |C_{\beta,\alpha}|a)$ whenever $y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)} = y_{b,j}^{(\beta)}$*

Proof. The order of the pole is limited by the Serre-type relation (4.4). Let α and β be two connected roots in the Dynkin diagram with $|\alpha| \geq |\beta|$. Then $C_{\beta,\alpha} = -t$ and $C_{\alpha,\beta} = -1$, where $t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The Serre relation determines the maximal order of the pole of products of the form

$$f_{\alpha}(z_1) \cdots f_{\alpha}(z_a) f_{\beta}(w_1) \cdots f_{\beta}(w_b). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Note that the order of the pole is independent of the ordering of the currents in the product.

We assume that α is the longer root, hence the Serre relation implies that

$$(z_1 - w)(z_2 - w) f_{\alpha}(z_1) f_{\alpha}(z_2) f_{\beta}(w) \Big|_{z_1=z_2=w} = 0$$

and

$$(z - w_1) \cdots (z - w_{t+1}) f_{\alpha}(z) f_{\beta}(w_1) \cdots f_{\beta}(w_{t+1}) \Big|_{z=w_1=\dots=w_{t+1}} = 0.$$

Let $R^{(\alpha)}$ denote a row in $\mu^{(\alpha)}$ of length a , and $R^{(\beta)}$ a row in $\mu^{(\beta)}$ of length b . We visualize a pole as a line connecting box i in $R^{(\alpha)}$ with box j in $R^{(\beta)}$ (corresponding to the factor $z_i - w_j$ in the denominator). The allowed configurations of lines connecting boxes are: Each box in $R^{(\beta)}$ has only one line connected to it, and each box in $R^{(\alpha)}$ may be connected to up to t boxes in $R^{(\beta)}$.

With these allowed configurations, it is easy to see that the maximal number of lines connecting $R^{(\alpha)}$ with $R^{(\beta)}$ is $\min(b, ta)$. To see this, first, connect each box in $R^{(\alpha)}$ to one box in $R^{(\beta)}$. This gives $\min(a, b)$ lines. If $t > 1$ and $b > a$ then connect each box in $R^{(\alpha)}$ to an unconnected box in $R^{(\beta)}$. This gives $\min(2a, b)$ lines. Finally, if $b > 2a$ and $t = 3$, then connect each box in $R^{(\alpha)}$ to an unconnected box in $R^{(\beta)}$. This gives $\min(3a, b)$ connecting lines.

After evaluating the variables in row $R^{(\alpha)}$ to $y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}$ and the variables in $R^{(\beta)}$ to $y_{b,j}^{(\beta)}$, the maximal pole when these two variables are equal to each other is of order $\min(|C_{\alpha,\beta}|b, |C_{\beta,\alpha}|a)$. $\square$

Lemma A.3. *The map $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} : \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}[\boldsymbol{\mu}]$ is well defined.*

Proof. The vanishing properties and pole structure in equation (4.10) follow from Lemmas A.1 and A.2. Furthermore, since functions in $\mathcal{U}[\mathbf{m}]$ are symmetric with respect to exchange of variables with the same root index α , it follows that their

evaluation under the map φ_μ is symmetric under the exchange of variables corresponding to the same row of $\mu^{(\alpha)}$. $\square$

This shows that the functions described in Theorem 4.1 are in the image $\varphi_\mu(\Gamma_\mu)$. To show that the map $\varphi_\mu : \Gamma_\mu \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}[\mu]$ is surjective, we give a function in the pre-image of each function in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}[\mu]$. The proof of surjectivity is almost identical to the proof of Lemma 3.16 in [AKS], with the an appropriate adjustment made in the pre-image function to account for the different Serre relations for each $\mathfrak{g}$.

Denote the variables in the preimage of $y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}$ as follows:

$$\varphi_\mu^{-1}(y_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}) = \{x_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}[1], \dots, x_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}[a]\} \subset \{x_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, x_{m(\alpha)}^{(\alpha)}\}.$$

Define

$$g_0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha} \prod_{(a,i) < (a',i')} \prod_{j=1}^{a'} (x_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}[j] - x_{a',i'}^{(\alpha)}[j])(x_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}[j+1] - x_{a',i'}^{(\alpha)}[j])}{\prod_{\substack{\alpha < \beta \\ C_{\alpha,\beta} < 0}} \prod_{a,i,a',i'} \prod_{j=1}^{\min(|C_{\alpha,\beta}|b, |C_{\beta,\alpha}|a)} (x_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}[j] - x_{a',i'}^{(\beta)}[j])} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where in both the numerator and denominator, we take the argument j to be a cyclic label: That is,

$$x_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}[j+a] := x_{a,i}^{(\alpha)}[j].$$

Recall that according to the ordering (4.8), if $(a,i) < (a',i')$, then $a \geq a'$.

Theorem A.4. *The function $g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{Sym}(g_0(\mathbf{x})g_1(\mathbf{x}))$, where $g_1(\mathbf{x})$ is an arbitrary Laurent polynomial in the variables $\mathbf{x}$ and the symmetrization is over each set of variables with the same label α , is in Γ_μ . Moreover, given a symmetric Laurent polynomial $h_1(\mathbf{y})$, there exists a Laurent polynomial $g_1(\mathbf{x})$ such that*

$$\varphi_\mu(g(\mathbf{x})) = \xi h(\mathbf{y}) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h(\mathbf{y})$ is the function of equation (4.10).

Proof. See Lemmas 3.11, 3.13 and 3.15 of [AKS]. The only difference is in the vanishing condition due to the Serre relations. $\square$

By definition, the induced map $\bar{\varphi}_\mu : \Gamma_\mu / \Gamma'_\mu$ is injective, since $\ker(\varphi_\mu) \cap \Gamma_\mu = \Gamma'_\mu$. Thus, there is an isomorphism:

Lemma A.5. *The induced map*

$$\bar{\varphi}_\mu : \text{Gr}_\mu \Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}[\mu] \quad (\text{A.4})$$

is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces.

This concludes the proof of theorem 4.1.

REFERENCES

- [AKS] Eddy Ardonne, Rinat Kedem, and Michael Stone. Fermionic characters and arbitrary highest-weight integrable affine sl_{r+1} modules. math.RT/0504364.
- [BPZ84] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov. Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 241(2):333–380, 1984.
- [Cha01] Vyjayanthi Chari. On the fermionic formula and the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (12):629–654, 2001.

- [CL] Vyjayanthi Chari and Sergei Loktev. Weyl, fusion and Demazure modules for the current algebra of sl_{r+1} . math.QA/0502165.
- [CM] Vyjayanthi Chari and Adriano Moura. The restricted Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules for the current and twisted current algebras. math.RT/0507584.
- [FJK⁺04] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, S. Loktev, and T. Miwa. Spaces of coinvariants and fusion product. I. From equivalence theorem to Kostka polynomials. *Duke Math. J.*, 125(3):549–588, 2004.
- [FKL⁺01] B. Feigin, R. Kedem, S. Loktev, T. Miwa, and E. Mukhin. Combinatorics of the $\widehat{sl}_2$ spaces of coinvariants. *Transform. Groups*, 6(1):25–52, 2001.
- [FL99] B. Feigin and S. Loktev. On generalized Kostka polynomials and the quantum Verlinde rule. In *Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and applications*, volume 194 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 61–79. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Her] David Hernandez. The Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture and solutions of t -systems. math.QA/0501202.
- [HKO⁺99] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, and Y. Yamada. Remarks on fermionic formula. In *Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998)*, volume 248 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 243–291. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Ked04] Rinat Kedem. Fusion products, cohomology of GL_N flag manifolds, and Kostka polynomials. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (25):1273–1298, 2004.
- [Kir83] A. N. Kirillov. Combinatorial identities and completeness of states of the Heisenberg magnet. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 131:88–105, 1983. Questions in quantum field theory and statistical physics, 4.
- [KKR86] S. V. Kerov, A. N. Kirillov, and N. Yu. Reshetikhin. Combinatorics, the Bethe ansatz and representations of the symmetric group. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 155(Differentsialnaya Geometriya, Gruppy Li i Mekh. VIII):50–64, 193, 1986.
- [Kle97] Michael Kleber. Combinatorial structure of finite-dimensional representations of Yangians: the simply-laced case. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (4):187–201, 1997.
- [KNT02] Atsuo Kuniba, Tomoki Nakanishi, and Zengo Tsuboi. The canonical solutions of the Q -systems and the Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 227(1):155–190, 2002.
- [KR90] A.N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin. Representations of Yangians and multiplicities of the occurrence of the irreducible components of the tensor product of representations of simple lie algebras. *J. Soviet Math.*, 52(3):3156–3164, 1990.
- [KSS02] Anatol N. Kirillov, Anne Schilling, and Mark Shimozono. A bijection between Littlewood-Richardson tableaux and rigged configurations. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 8(1):67–135, 2002.
- [Nak03] Hiraku Nakajima. t -analogs of q -characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules of quantum affine algebras. *Represent. Theory*, 7:259–274 (electronic), 2003.
- [OSS03] Masato Okado, Anne Schilling, and Mark Shimozono. A crystal to rigged configuration bijection for nonexceptional affine algebras. In *Algebraic combinatorics and quantum groups*, pages 85–124. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [Sch] Anne Schilling. $X = M$ theorem: Fermionic formulas and rigged configurations under review. math.QA/0512161.
- [Sch05] Anne Schilling. A bijection between type $D_n^{(1)}$ crystals and rigged configurations. *J. Algebra*, 285(1):292–334, 2005.
- [SF94] A. V. Stoyanovskii and B. L. Feigin. Functional models of the representations of current algebras, and semi-infinite Schubert cells. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 28(1):68–90, 96, 1994.
- [SS] Anne Schilling and Mark Shimozono. $X = M$ for symmetric powers. math.QA/0412376.

EA: MICROSOFT STATION Q, KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106 AND INSTITUTE FOR QUANTUM INFORMATION, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, CA 91125, USA. ARDONNE@KITP.UCSB.EDU

RK: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801, USA. RINAT@UIUC.EDU