

# Motivic decomposition of a generalized Severi-Brauer variety

K.Zainoulline

July 14, 2018

## Abstract

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two central simple algebras of a prime degree  $n$  over a field  $F$  generating the same subgroup in the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(F)$ . We show that the Chow motive of a Severi-Brauer variety  $\text{SB}(A)$  is a direct summand of the motive of a generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $\text{SB}_d(B)$  if and only if  $[A] = \pm d[B]$  in  $\text{Br}(F)$ . The proof uses methods of Schubert calculus and combinatorial properties of Young tableaux, e.g., the Robinson-Schensted correspondence.

Keywords: Severi-Brauer variety, Chow motive, Robinson-Schensted correspondence, Grassmannian

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a twisted flag  $G$ -variety for a linear algebraic group  $G$ . The main result of paper [CPSZ] says that under certain restrictions the Chow motive of  $X$  can be expressed in terms of motives of “minimal” flag varieties, i.e., those which correspond to maximal parabolic subgroups of  $G$ . A natural question arises: is it possible to decompose the motive of such a “minimal” flag?

A particular case of such a decomposition was already provided in [CPSZ]. More precisely, a “minimal flag”  $X$  was a generalized Severi-Brauer variety of ideals of reduced dimension 2 in a division algebra  $B$  of degree 5 and the decomposition was (see [CPSZ, Theorem 2.5])

$$\mathcal{M}(\text{SB}_2(B)) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(A)) \oplus \mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(A))(2),$$

where  $[B] = 2[A]$  in the Brauer group.

In the present paper we provide an affirmative answer on this question for any adjoint group  $G$  of inner type  $A_n$  of a prime rank  $n$ . Namely, we show that the motive of a generalized Severi-Brauer variety always contains (as a direct summand) the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety.

**1.1 Theorem.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two central simple algebras of a prime degree  $n$  over a field  $F$  generating the same subgroup in the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(F)$ . Then the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety  $\text{SB}(A)$  is a direct summand of the motive of a generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $\text{SB}_d(B)$  if and only if*

$$[A] = \pm d[B] \text{ in } \text{Br}(F). \quad (1)$$

**1.2 Remark.** Theorem 1.1 may also be considered as a generalization of the result by N. Karpenko (see [Ka00, Criterion 7.1]) which says that the motives of Severi-Brauer varieties  $\text{SB}(A)$  and  $\text{SB}(B)$  of central simple algebras  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic if and only if  $[A] = \pm[B]$  in  $\text{Br}(F)$ .

**1.3 Remark.** We expect that Theorem 1.1 holds in the case when  $d$  and  $n$  are coprime (see Section 7). Observe that if  $d$  and  $n$  are not coprime, then the theorem fails. It can be already seen on the level of generating functions. Namely, consider the Grassmann variety  $\mathbb{G}_2(4)$  of 2-planes in a 4-dimensional affine space ( $n = 4$  and  $d = 2$ ). Then for the generating functions we have

$$P(\mathbb{G}_2(4), t) = (t^2 + 1)(t^2 + t + 1) \text{ and } P(\mathbb{P}^3, t) = t^3 + t^2 + t + 1.$$

Obviously  $P(\mathbb{P}^3, t)$  doesn't divide  $P(\mathbb{G}_2(4), t)$ . Indeed, it can be shown that  $P(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, t)$  divides  $P(\mathbb{G}_d(n), t)$  if and only if  $d$  and  $n$  are coprime.

**1.4 Remark.** For motives with  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients there is the following decomposition (see [CPSZ, Proposition 2.4])

$$\mathcal{M}(\text{SB}_d(B)) \simeq \bigoplus_i \mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(B))(i)^{\oplus a_i}, \quad (2)$$

where the integers  $a_i$  are coefficients of the quotient of Poincaré polynomials  $\frac{P(\mathbb{G}_d(n), t)}{P(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, t)} = \sum_i a_i t^i$  (see 2.8). Note that in this case the motives of Severi-Brauer varieties corresponding to different classes of algebras generating the same subgroup in the Brauer group are isomorphic (see [Ka00, Section 7]), i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(A)) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(B))$ .

The proofs are based on Rost Nilpotence Theorem for projective homogeneous varieties proved by V. Chernousov, S. Gille and A. Merkurjev in [CGM05]. Briefly speaking, this result reduces the problem of decomposing the motive of a variety  $X$  over  $F$  into the question about algebraic cycles in the Chow ring  $\mathrm{CH}(X_s \times X_s)$  over the separable closure  $F_s$ . Namely, the motive of  $\mathrm{SB}(A)$  is a direct summand of the motive of  $\mathrm{SB}_d(B)$  if there exist two cycles  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_d(n))$  such that both cycles belong to the image of the restriction map  $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{SB}(A) \times \mathrm{SB}_d(B)) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_d(n))$ , and the correspondence product  $g^t \circ f$  is the identity.

We define  $f$  and  $g$  to be the Schur functions of total Chern classes of certain bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_d(n)$ . Using the language and properties of Schur functions we show that the identity  $g^t \circ f = \mathrm{id}$  is a direct consequence of the Robinson-Schensted correspondence, one of classical combinatorial facts about Young tableaux.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we remind several definitions and notation used in the proofs. These include Chow motives, rational cycles, and generalized Severi-Brauer varieties. In section 3 we describe the subgroup of rational cycles of the Chow group of the product of two generalized Severi-Brauer varieties. Indeed, we provide an explicit set of generators for this subgroup modulo  $n$  in terms of Schur functions. In section 4 we use this description for proving some known results on motives of Severi-Brauer varieties. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem. In section 6 we prove the crucial congruence used in the proof of the main theorem. In the last section we discuss the case of  $\mathbb{G}_2(n)$ , where  $n$  is an odd integer (not necessarily prime).

## 2 Preliminaries

In the present section we remind definition of the category of Chow motives over a field  $F$  following [Ma68] and [Ka01]. We recall the notion of a rational cycle and state the Rost Nilpotence Theorem for idempotents following [CGM05]. We recall several auxiliary facts concerning generalized Severi-Brauer varieties following [KMRT98].

**2.1 (Chow motives).** Let  $F$  be a field and  $\mathcal{V}ar_F$  be the category of smooth projective varieties over  $F$ . We define the category  $\mathcal{C}or_F$  of *correspondences* over  $F$ . Its objects are non-singular projective varieties over  $F$ . For morphisms, called correspondences, we set  $\mathrm{Mor}(X, Y) := \mathrm{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times Y)$ . For

two correspondences  $\alpha \in \text{CH}(X \times Y)$  and  $\beta \in \text{CH}(Y \times Z)$  we define the composition  $\beta \circ \alpha \in \text{CH}(X \times Z)$

$$\beta \circ \alpha = \text{pr}_{13*}(\text{pr}_{12}^*(\alpha) \cdot \text{pr}_{23}^*(\beta)),$$

where  $\text{pr}_{ij}$  denotes the projection on product of the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th factors of  $X \times Y \times Z$  respectively and  $\text{pr}_{ij*}$ ,  $\text{pr}_{ij}^*$  denote the induced push-forwards and pull-backs for Chow groups. The composition  $\circ$  induces a ring structure on the abelian group  $\text{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times X)$ . The unit element of this ring is the class of diagonal cycle  $\Delta_X$ .

The pseudo-abelian completion of  $\mathcal{C}or_F$  is called the category of *Chow motives* and is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_F$ . The objects of  $\mathcal{M}_F$  are pairs  $(X, p)$ , where  $X$  is a non-singular projective variety and  $p$  is a projector, that is,  $p \circ p = p$ . The motive  $(X, \Delta_X)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ .

By construction  $\mathcal{M}_F$  is a self-dual tensor additive category, where the duality is given by the transposition of cycles  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^t$  and the tensor product is given by the usual fiber product  $(X, p) \otimes (Y, q) = (X \times Y, p \times q)$ . Moreover, the contravariant Chow functor  $\text{CH} : \mathcal{V}ar_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathcal{A}b$  (to the category of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded abelian groups) factors through  $\mathcal{M}_F$ , i.e., one has the commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}ar_F & \xrightarrow{\text{CH}} & \mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathcal{A}b \\ & \searrow \Gamma & \nearrow R \\ & \mathcal{M}_F & \end{array}$$

where  $\Gamma : f \mapsto \Gamma_f$  is the (contravariant) graph functor and  $R : \mathcal{M}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathcal{A}b$  is the (covariant) realization functor given by  $R : (X, p) \mapsto \text{im}(p^*)$ , where  $p^*$  is the composition

$$p^* : \text{CH}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1^*} \text{CH}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{p} \text{CH}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{2*}} \text{CH}(X).$$

Consider the morphism  $(\text{id}, e) : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{pt\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . The image of the induced push-forward  $(\text{id}, e)_*$  doesn't depend on the choice of a point  $e : \{pt\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  and defines the projector in  $\text{CH}^1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  denoted by  $p_1$ . The motive  $L = (\mathbb{P}^1, p_1)$  is called *Lefschetz motive*. For a motive  $M$  and a nonnegative integer  $i$  we denote by  $M(i) = M \otimes L^{\otimes i}$  its *twist*. Observe that

$$\text{Mor}((X, p)(i), (Y, q)(j)) = q \circ \text{CH}^{\dim X + i - j}(X \times Y) \circ p.$$

**2.2 (Product of cellular varieties).** Let  $G$  be a split linear algebraic group over a field  $F$ . Let  $X$  be a projective  $G$ -homogeneous variety, i.e.,  $X = G/P$ , where  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . The abelian group structure of  $\mathrm{CH}(X)$ , as well as its ring structure, is well-known. Namely,  $X$  has a cellular filtration and the generators of Chow groups of the bases of this filtration correspond to the free additive generators of  $\mathrm{CH}(X)$  (see [Ka01]). Note that the product of two projective homogeneous varieties  $X \times Y$  has a cellular filtration as well, and  $\mathrm{CH}^*(X \times Y) \cong \mathrm{CH}^*(X) \otimes \mathrm{CH}^*(Y)$  as graded rings. The correspondence product of two cycles  $\alpha = f_\alpha \times g_\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}(X \times Y)$  and  $\beta = f_\beta \times g_\beta \in \mathrm{CH}(Y \times X)$  is given by

$$(f_\beta \times g_\beta) \circ (f_\alpha \times g_\alpha) = \deg(g_\alpha \cdot f_\beta)(f_\alpha \times g_\beta), \quad (3)$$

where  $\deg : \mathrm{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(\{pt\}) = \mathbb{Z}$  is the degree map.

**2.3 (Rational cycles).** Let  $X$  be a projective variety of dimension  $n$  over  $F$ . Let  $F_s$  denote the separable closure of  $F$ . Consider the scalar extension  $X_s = X \times_F F_s$ . We say a cycle  $J \in \mathrm{CH}(X_s)$  is *rational* if it lies in the image of the pull-back homomorphism  $\mathrm{CH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(X_s)$ . For instance, there is an obvious rational cycle  $\Delta_{X_s}$  on  $\mathrm{CH}^n(X_s \times X_s)$  that is given by the diagonal class.

Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over  $X$ . Then the total Chern class  $c(E_s)$  of the pull-back induced by the scalar extension  $F_s/F$  is rational. Let  $L/F$  be a finite separable field extension which splits  $X$ , i.e., there is an induced isomorphism  $\mathrm{CH}(X_L) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{CH}(X_s)$ . Then the cycle  $\deg(L/F) \cdot J$ ,  $J \in \mathrm{CH}(X_s)$ , is rational. Observe that all linear combinations, intersections and correspondence products of rational cycles are rational.

**2.4 (Rost nilpotence).** We will use the following fact (see [CGM05, Cor. 8.3]) that follows from the Rost Nilpotence Theorem. Let  $X$  be a twisted flag  $G$ -variety for a semisimple group  $G$  of inner type over  $F$ . Let  $p_s$  be a non-trivial rational projector in  $\mathrm{CH}^n(X_s \times X_s)$ , i.e.,  $p_s \circ p_s = p_s$ . Then there exists a non-trivial projector  $p$  on  $\mathrm{CH}^n(X \times X)$  such that  $p \times_F F_s = p_s$ . Hence, existence of a non-trivial rational projector  $p_s$  on  $\mathrm{CH}^n(X_s \times X_s)$  gives rise to the decomposition of the Chow motive of  $X$

$$\mathcal{M}(X) \cong (X, p) \oplus (X, \Delta_X - p) \quad (4)$$

**2.5 (Tautological and quotient bundles).** Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  over  $F$ . Consider a generalized Severi-Brauer variety

$\text{SB}_d(A)$  of ideals of reduced dimension  $d$  of  $A$ . Over the separable closure it becomes isomorphic to the Grassmannian  $\mathbb{G}_d(n)$  of  $d$ -dimensional planes in a  $n$ -dimensional affine space.

There is a tautological vector bundle over  $\text{SB}_d(A)$  of rank  $dn$  denoted by  $\tau_d^A$  and given by the ideals of  $A$  of reduced dimension  $d$  considered as vector spaces over  $F$ . Over the separable closure  $F_s$  this bundle becomes isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_n, \tau_d) = \tau_d^{\oplus n}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_n$  denotes the trivial bundle of rank  $n$  and  $\tau_d$  the tautological bundle over the Grassmannian  $\mathbb{G}_d(n)$ .

The universal quotient bundle over  $\text{SB}_d(A)$ , denoted by  $\kappa_d^A$ , is the quotient  $\mathcal{E}_A/\tau_d^A$  of a trivial bundle  $\mathcal{E}_A$  of rank  $n^2$  modulo the tautological bundle  $\tau_d^A$ . Clearly,  $\kappa_d^A$  is of rank  $(n-d)n$ . Over  $F_s$  this bundle becomes isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_n, \kappa_d) = \kappa_d^{\oplus n}$ , where  $\kappa_d$  is the universal quotient bundle over  $\mathbb{G}_d(n)$ .

We will extensively use the following fact

**2.6 Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$ ,  $r$  a positive integer and  $B$  a division algebra which represents the class of the  $r$ -th tensor power of  $A$  in the Brauer group. Let  $X = \text{SB}(A) \times \text{SB}_d(B^{\text{op}})$ . Then the bundle  $T_s = \text{pr}_1^*(\tau_1^{\otimes r}) \otimes \text{pr}_2^*(\tau_d)$  over  $X_s$  is a pull-back of some bundle  $T$  over  $X$ . As a consequence, any Chern class of  $T_s$  is a rational cycle.*

*Proof.* According to [Pa94, 10.2] the image of the restriction map on  $K_0$

$$K_0(\text{SB}(A) \times \text{SB}_d(B^{\text{op}})) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_d(n))$$

is generated by classes of bundles  $\text{ind}(A^i \otimes B^{-j}) \cdot [\text{pr}_1^*(\tau_1^{\otimes i}) \otimes \text{pr}_2^*(\tau_d^{\otimes j})]$ .  $\square$

**2.7 Remark.** Observe that the similar fact holds if one replaces the tensor power by an exterior (lambda) power. This is due to the fact that  $[\Lambda^r A] = [A^{\otimes r}]$  in  $\text{Br}(F)$  (see [KMRT98, 10.A.]).

**2.8 (Poincaré polynomial).** By [Fu97] the Poincaré polynomial of a Chow group of a Grassmannian  $\mathbb{G}_d(n)$  is given by the Gaussian polynomial

$$P(\mathbb{G}_d(n), t) = \binom{n}{d}(t) = \frac{(1-t^n)(1-t^{n-1}) \dots (1-t^{n-d+1})}{(1-t)(1-t^2) \dots (1-t^d)}.$$

Observe that for a projective space, i.e., for  $d = 1$ , the respective polynomial takes the most simple form

$$P(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, t) = \frac{1-t^n}{1-t}.$$

Observe also that if  $n$  is a prime integer, then the polynomial  $P(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, t)$  always divides  $P(\mathbb{G}_d(n), t)$ .

### 3 The subgroup of rational cycles

The goal of the present section is to provide an explicit set of generators for the image of the restriction map  $\mathrm{CH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(X_s)$  modulo  $n$ , where  $X$  is a product of a Severi-Brauer variety by a generalized Severi-Brauer variety corresponding to division algebras of a prime degree  $n$ .

**3.1 (Grassmann bundle structure).** Let  $A$  be a division algebra of degree  $n$  over  $F$ ,  $r$  a positive integer and  $B$  a division algebra which represents the class of the  $r$ -th tensor power of  $A$  in  $\mathrm{Br}(F)$ . According to [IK00, Proposition 4.3] the product  $\mathrm{SB}(A) \times \mathrm{SB}_d((A^{\otimes r})^{\mathrm{op}})$  can be identified with the Grassmann bundle  $\mathbb{G}_d(\mathcal{V})$  over  $\mathrm{SB}(A)$ , where  $\mathcal{V} = (\tau_1^A)^{\otimes r}$  is a locally free sheaf of (right)  $A^{\otimes r}$ -modules. By Morita equivalence we may replace  $A^{\otimes r}$  by  $B$  and, hence, obtain that the product  $X = \mathrm{SB}(A) \times \mathrm{SB}_d(B^{\mathrm{op}})$  is the Grassmann bundle  $\mathbb{G}_d(\mathcal{W})$  over  $\mathrm{SB}(A)$  for a locally free sheaf of (right)  $B$ -modules  $\mathcal{W}$ . The tautological bundle  $T$  over  $\mathbb{G}_d(\mathcal{W})$  is the bundle

$$T = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(\mathcal{W}) \otimes_B \mathrm{pr}_2^*(\tau_B^{\mathrm{op}}).$$

Let  $Q = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(\mathcal{W})/T$  denote the universal quotient bundle over  $\mathbb{G}_d(\mathcal{W})$ . Over the separable closure it can be identified with

$$Q_s = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(\tau_1^{\otimes r}) \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^*(\kappa_d)$$

where  $\tau_1$  and  $\kappa_d$  are the tautological and quotient bundles over  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_d(n)$  respectively. We shall write this bundle simply as  $\tau_1^{\otimes r} \otimes \kappa_d$  meaning the respective pull-backs.

**3.2 (Grassmann bundle theorem).** According to Grassmann bundle theorem the Chow ring  $\mathrm{CH}(X)$  is a free  $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{SB}(A))$ -module with the basis  $\Delta_\lambda(c(Q))$ , where  $\lambda$  runs through the set of all partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$  with  $n-d \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$ ,  $c(Q)$  is the total Chern class of the quotient bundle  $Q$  and  $\Delta_\lambda$  is the Schur function. In other words, for any  $k$  we have the decomposition

$$\mathrm{CH}^k(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} \Delta_\lambda(c(Q)) \cdot \mathrm{pr}_1^*(\mathrm{CH}^{k-|\lambda|}(\mathrm{SB}(A))) \quad (5)$$

**3.3.** Observe that the decomposition (5) is compatible with the scalar extension  $F_s/F$ . Hence, any rational cycle  $\alpha \in \text{CH}^k(X_s)$  can be represented uniquely as the sum of cycles

$$\alpha = \sum_{\lambda} \Delta_{\lambda}(c(Q_s)) \cdot \alpha_{\lambda}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha_{\lambda} \in \text{CH}^{k-|\lambda|}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  is rational. If  $n$  is a prime integer, then all rational cycles of positive codimensions in  $\text{CH}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  are divisible by  $n$  (see [Ka95, Corollary 4]). Hence, considering (6) modulo  $n$  we obtain

**3.4 Lemma.** *If  $n$  is a prime integer, the cycles  $\Delta_{\lambda}(c(Q_s))$ , where  $\lambda$  runs through the set of all partitions, generate the subgroup of rational cycles of  $\text{CH}(X_s)$  modulo  $n$ . In particular case  $d = 1$  the basis of the subgroup of rational cycles of  $\text{CH}^k(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  modulo  $n$  consist of Chern classes  $c_k(\tau_1^{\otimes r} \otimes \kappa_1)$ , where  $k = 0 \dots n - 1$ .*

## 4 Applications to Severi-Brauer varieties

The following two lemmas were proven by N. Karpenko (see [Ka96, Theorem 2.2.1] and [Ka00, Criterion 7.1]). In the present section we provide short proofs of these results restricting to algebras of prime degrees.

**4.1 Lemma.** *The motive of a Severi-Brauer variety of a division algebra  $A$  of a prime degree  $n$  is indecomposable.*

*Proof.* Consider the product  $X = \text{SB}(A) \times \text{SB}(A)$ . It can be identified with the product  $\text{SB}(A) \times \text{SB}(B^{\text{op}})$ , where  $[B] = [A^{n-1}]$ . Apply Lemma 3.4 to the case  $d = 1$  and  $r = n - 1$ . We obtain that in codimension  $k = n - 1$  there is only one basis element of the subgroup of rational cycles modulo  $n$

$$\Delta_{n-1} = c_{n-1}(\tau_1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \kappa_1) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (1-n)^i \cdot H^i \times H^{n-1-i} \in \text{CH}^{n-1}(X_s)$$

which is congruent modulo  $n$  to the diagonal cycle  $\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} H^i \times H^{n-1-i}$ .

If the motive of  $\text{SB}(A)$  splits, then there must exist a rational projector  $p \in \text{CH}^{n-1}(X_s)$  such that  $\Delta \pm p$  and  $p$  are non-trivial. By composition rule (3) if  $p$  is a projector, then  $p = \sum_i \pm H^i \times H^{n-1-i}$ , where the index  $i$  runs through a subset of  $\{0 \dots n - 1\}$ . From the other hand, since  $p$  is rational, it must be a multiple of  $\Delta$  modulo  $n$ . So the only possibility for  $p$  is to coincide either with  $\pm \Delta$  or with 0, contradiction.  $\square$



**4.2 Lemma.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two division algebras of a prime degree  $n$  generating the same subgroup in the Brauer group. Then the motives of  $\mathrm{SB}(A)$  and  $\mathrm{SB}(B^{\mathrm{op}})$  are isomorphic iff  $A = B$  or  $B^{\mathrm{op}}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $r$  such that  $[B] = [A^{\otimes r}]$  in  $\mathrm{Br}(F)$ . and apply Lemma 3.4 for  $X = \mathrm{SB}(A) \times \mathrm{SB}(B^{\mathrm{op}})$ . We obtain that the subgroup of rational cycles of codimension  $k = n - 1$  is generated by the cycle

$$\Delta_{n-1} = c_{n-1}(\tau_1^{\otimes r} \otimes \kappa_1) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-r)^i \cdot H^i \times H^{n-1-i} \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(X_s).$$

According to composition rule (3) and Rost nilpotence theorem (see 2.4) any motivic isomorphisms between  $\mathrm{SB}(A)$  and  $\mathrm{SB}(B^{\mathrm{op}})$  is given by a lifting of a rational cycle of the kind  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \pm H^i \times H^{n-1-i} \in \mathrm{CH}^{n-1}(X_s)$  and vice versa. To finish the proof observe that a rational cycle of this kind is a multiple of  $\Delta_{n-1}$  modulo  $n$  iff  $r \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ .  $\square$

## 5 Generalized Severi-Brauer varieties

In the present section we prove the main theorem of the paper which is formulated as follows

**5.1 Theorem.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two division algebras of a prime degree  $n$  generating the same subgroup in the Brauer group. Take an integer  $r$  such that  $[B] = [A^{\otimes r}]$ . Then the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety  $\mathrm{SB}(A)$  is a direct summand of the motive of a generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $\mathrm{SB}_d(B)$  if and only if*

$$d \cdot r \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}.$$

The cases  $d = 1$  and  $d = n - 1$  were considered in Lemma 4.2. From now on we assume  $1 < d < n - 1$ . Moreover, by duality we may assume  $d \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ )** Consider the product  $X = \mathrm{SB}(A) \times \mathrm{SB}_d(B^{\mathrm{op}})$ . According to Theorem 3.4 the subgroup of rational cycles of  $\mathrm{CH}^k(X_s)$  is generated (modulo prime  $n$ ) by the cycles

$$\Delta_\lambda = \Delta_\lambda(c(\tau_1^{\otimes r} \otimes \kappa_d)),$$

where  $\lambda$  runs through all partitions with  $|\lambda| = k$ . By [Fu98, Example A.9.1] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\lambda &= \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} d_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}} \cdot c_1(\tau_1^{\otimes r})^{k-|\mu|} \cdot \Delta_\mu(c(\kappa_d)) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-r)^{k-i} \cdot H^{k-i} \times \left( \sum_{\substack{\mu \subset \lambda \\ |\mu|=i}} d_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}} \omega_\mu \right),\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where  $\tilde{\lambda}$  denotes the conjugate partition for  $\lambda$ , i.e., obtained by interchanging rows and columns in the respective Young diagram,  $H$  is the class of a hyperplane section of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,  $\omega_\mu$  denotes the additive generator of  $\text{CH}^{|\mu|}(\mathbb{G}_d(n))$  corresponding to a partition  $\mu$  and the coefficients  $d_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}}$  are the binomial determinants

$$d_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}} = \left| \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\lambda}_i + n - d - i \\ \tilde{\mu}_j + n - d - j \end{pmatrix} \right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-d}$$

Let  $k = N$ , where  $N = d(n-d)$  is the dimension of  $\mathbb{G}_d(n)$ . In this codimension there is only one partition  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = N$ , namely, the maximal one  $\lambda = (n-d, \dots, n-d)$ . Let  $g$  denote the cycle (7) corresponding to this maximal partition, i.e.,

$$g = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-r)^m \cdot H^m \times \left( \sum_{|\mu|=N-m} d_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}} \omega_\mu \right),\tag{8}$$

where  $\tilde{\lambda} = (d, d, \dots, d)$  and the coefficients  $d_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}}$  are given by

$$d_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}} = \left| \begin{pmatrix} n - i \\ \tilde{\mu}_j + n - d - j \end{pmatrix} \right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-d}$$

From now on we denote the coefficient  $d_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}}$  by  $d_{\mu'}$ , where  $\mu'$  is the dual partition  $(n-d-\mu_d, \dots, n-d-\mu_1)$ . Observe that  $|\mu'| = N - |\mu|$ .

For an integer  $m$  denote by  $g^{(m)}$  the summand of (8) for the chosen index  $m$  and by  $d_{\mu'}^{(m)}$  the respective coefficients, i.e.,

$$g^{(m)} = (-r)^m \cdot H^m \times \left( \sum_{|\mu'|=m} d_{\mu'}^{(m)} \omega_\mu \right)$$

Consider the summands  $g^{(0)}$  and  $g^{(1)}$ . Since the Chow group  $\text{CH}(\mathbb{G}_d(n))$  has only one additive generator in the last two codimensions  $N$  and  $N - 1$  denoted by  $\omega_N$  and  $\omega_{N-1}$  respectively, we obtain that

$$g^{(0)} = 1 \times \omega_N \quad \text{and} \quad g^{(1)} = -rd \cdot H \times \omega_{N-1} \quad (9)$$

Now we are ready to finish the  $(\Rightarrow)$  part of the theorem.

Assume that the motive of  $\text{SB}_d(B^{\text{op}})$  contains the motive of  $\text{SB}(A)$  as a direct summand. Then there must exist two rational cycles

$$\alpha \in \text{CH}^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_d(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in \text{CH}^N(\mathbb{G}_d(n) \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$$

such that  $\beta \circ \alpha = \text{id}$ . According to the composition rule (3) this can happen only if the coefficients before the monomials  $\omega_N \times 1$  and  $\omega_{N-1} \times H$  of the cycle  $\beta$  are equal to  $\pm 1$ . But all rational cycles in codimension  $N$  are generated (modulo  $n$ ) by the transposed cycle  $g^t$  which has coefficients 1 and  $-rd$  before the respective monomials (see (9)). This can only be possible if  $rd \equiv \pm 1 \pmod n$ .

**Proof ( $\Leftarrow$ )** Assume that the congruence  $rd \equiv \pm 1 \pmod n$  holds. We want to produce two rational cycles

$$\alpha \in \text{CH}^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_d(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in \text{CH}^N(\mathbb{G}_d(n) \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$$

such that  $\beta \circ \alpha \in \text{CH}^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  is the identity morphism, i.e., the diagonal cycle  $\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} H^{n-1-m} \times H^m$ . This will show that  $\mathcal{M}(\text{SB}_d(B^{\text{op}}))$  contains  $\mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(A))$  as a direct summand.

Assume  $rd \equiv 1 \pmod n$ . Consider the bundle  $\kappa_1 \otimes \Lambda^d(\tau_d)$  of rank  $n - 1$  on the product  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_d(n)$  and define the cycle  $f$  as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= c_{n-1}(\kappa_1 \otimes \Lambda^d(\tau_d)) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} c_{n-1-m}(\kappa_1) c_1(\tau_d)^m = \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m \cdot H^{n-1-m} \times \omega_1^m = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m \cdot H^{n-1-m} \times \left( \sum_{|\rho|=m} c_\rho^{(m)} \omega_\rho \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $f$  is a rational cycle, since  $[A] = [B^{\otimes d}]$ . If  $rd \equiv -1 \pmod n$ , then we take the bundle  $\Lambda^{n-d}(\kappa_d)$  instead of  $\Lambda^d(\tau_d)$  and obtain the same formulae but without the coefficient  $(-1)^m$ .

The coefficients  $c_\rho^{(m)}$  appearing in the presentation of  $\omega_1^m$  in terms of additive generators  $\omega_\rho$  of  $\text{CH}^m(\mathbb{G}_d(n))$  have the following nice property

**5.2 Lemma.** *For any partition  $\rho$  with  $m = |\rho| < n$  the coefficient  $c_\rho^{(m)}$  is coprime with  $n$ .*

*Proof.* According to [Fu98, Example 14.7.11.(ii)] we have

$$c_\rho^{(m)} = \deg(a_0, \dots, a_{d-1}) = \frac{m!}{a_0! a_1! \dots a_{d-1}!} \prod_{i>j} (a_i - a_j), \quad (10)$$

where the set  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{d-1})$  is defined by  $a_i = \rho_{d-i} + i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, d-1$ . Observe that the set  $a$  has the property  $0 \leq a_0 < \dots < a_{d-1} \leq n-1$  and corresponds to a Schubert variety of dimension  $m$  which is dual to the Schubert variety of codimension  $m$  corresponding to the partition  $\rho$  (see [Fu98, 14.7]).  $\square$

**5.3 Remark.** The constructed cycle  $f \in \text{CH}^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_d(n))$  has the following geometric interpretation. Consider the Plücker embedding  $\text{SB}_d(B) \rightarrow \text{SB}(\Lambda^d(B))$ . Its graph defines a correspondence  $\Gamma \in \text{CH}(\text{SB}(\Lambda^d(B)) \times \text{SB}_d(B))$ . It is known that the motive of  $\text{SB}(\Lambda^d(B))$  splits as a direct sum of twisted motives of  $\text{SB}(A)$  (see [Ka96, Corollary 1.3.2]). Let  $i : \mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(\Lambda^d(B)))$  be the respective splitting. Then over the separable closure the composition  $\Gamma \circ i$  coincides with the cycle  $f$  corresponding to the case  $rd \equiv -1 \pmod n$ . After replacing  $B$  by  $B^{\text{op}}$  the respective composition  $\Gamma \circ i$  will give the cycle  $f$  corresponding to the case  $rd \equiv 1 \pmod n$ .

Consider the transposed cycle  $g^t$  introduced in the first part of the proof

$$g^t = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m \cdot \left( \sum_{|\mu'|=m} (r^m d_{\mu'}) \cdot \omega_\mu \right) \times H^m$$

Consider the  $m$ -th summand of the composition  $g^t \circ f$

$$(g^t \circ f)^{(m)} = \left( r^m \sum_{|\mu'|=m} c_{\mu'}^{(m)} \cdot d_{\mu'}^{(m)} \right) \cdot H^{n-1-m} \times H^m$$

Note that if  $rd \equiv -1 \pmod n$ , then the coefficient  $(-1)^m$  will appear on the right hand side.

Assume that the following formulae holds

$$\sum_{|\mu'|=m} c_{\mu'}^{(m)} \cdot d_{\mu'}^{(m)} \equiv d^m \pmod n. \quad (11)$$

Then the coefficient of  $(g^t \circ f)^{(m)}$  is congruent to 1 modulo  $n$ . We claim that it is possible to modify the cycles  $g^t$  and  $f$  by adding cycles divisible by  $n$  in such a way that the coefficient of  $(g^t \circ f)^{(m)}$  becomes equal to 1 for each  $m$ .

First, we modify the cycle  $f$ . For each  $m$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n-1$ , we do the following procedure. Consider the  $m$ -th summand

$$f^{(m)} = (-1)^m \sum_{|\mu'|=m} c_{\mu'} \cdot H^{n-1-m} \times \omega_{\mu'}$$

and its coefficients  $c_{\mu'}^{(m)}$ . For  $m = 0$  and  $1$  there is only one additive generator of  $\text{CH}^m(\mathbb{G}_d(n))$  ( $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$ ) and the respective coefficients are  $c^{(0)} = c^{(1)} = 1$ . So we set  $\alpha^{(0)} = f^{(0)}$  and  $\alpha^{(1)} = f^{(1)}$ . For  $1 < m \leq n-1$  the number of generators of  $\text{CH}^m(\mathbb{G}_d(n))$  is greater than 1 and all the coefficients  $c_{\mu'}^{(m)}$  are coprime with  $n$ , in particular, they are all non-zero. In this case we can modify each  $c_{\mu'}^{(m)}$  modulo  $n$  by adding cycles of the kind  $a \cdot n\omega_{\mu'}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , to the cycle  $f^{(m)}$  in such a way that the greatest common divisor of resulting coefficients, denoted by  $\alpha_{\mu'}^{(m)}$ , becomes equal to 1. As a result, we obtain a new cycle  $\alpha^{(m)}$  having the coefficients  $\alpha_{\mu'}$  instead of  $c_{\mu'}$ .

**5.4 Definition.** Define a cycle  $\alpha$  as  $\alpha = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{(m)}$ . By construction of  $\alpha^{(m)}$  we have

- $\alpha^{(0)} = \alpha^{(1)} = 1$ ;
- $\alpha$  is rational (congruent modulo  $n$  to  $f$ );
- all the coefficients  $\alpha_{\mu'}$  are coprime with  $n$ ;
- for each  $m$  the g.c.d of coefficients  $\alpha_{\mu'}^{(m)}$  is 1;
- for each  $m$  the coefficient of  $(g^t \circ \alpha)^{(m)}$  is congruent to 1 modulo  $n$ .

Next we modify the second cycle  $g^t$ . For each  $m$  we apply the following obvious observation

**5.5 Lemma.** *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_l$  be a finite set of integers with  $\text{g.c.d.} = 1$ . Assume  $\sum_i a_i b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for some integers  $b_i$ . Then there exist integers  $b'_i \equiv b_i \pmod{n}$  such that  $\sum_i a_i b'_i = 1$ .*

to the congruence

$$\sum_{|\mu'|=m} c_{\mu'}^{(m)} (r^m d_{\mu'}^{(m)}) \equiv 1 \pmod{n},$$

where  $a_i = \alpha_{\mu'}^{(m)}$  are coefficients of the cycle  $\alpha^{(m)}$  and  $b_i = r^m d_{\mu'}^{(m)}$  are coefficients of the cycle  $(g^t)^{(m)}$ . As a result, we obtain a new cycle  $\beta^{(m)}$  having coefficients  $b'_i$  instead of  $b_i$ .

**5.6 Definition.** Define a cycle  $\beta$  as  $\beta = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \beta^{(m)}$ . By construction of  $\beta^{(m)}$  we have

- $\beta$  is rational (congruent modulo  $n$  to  $g^t$ )
- for each  $m$  the coefficient of  $(\beta \circ \alpha)^{(m)}$  is equal to 1.

To finish the proof observe that the constructed cycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are rational and the composition  $\beta \circ \alpha$  is the diagonal cycle. This implies that the composition  $\alpha \circ \beta$  is a rational projector which gives rise to the decomposition of motives with integral coefficients (see (4))

$$\mathcal{M}(\text{SB}_d(B^{\text{op}})) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\text{SB}(A)) \oplus H$$

for some motive  $H$ .

## 6 The proof of (11)

In the present section we prove the following

**6.1 Lemma.**

$$\sum_{|\mu'|=m} c_{\mu'}^{(m)} \cdot d_{\mu'}^{(m)} \equiv d^m \pmod{n},$$

*Proof.* First, we express the coefficients  $c_{\mu'}$  and  $d_{\mu'}$  in terms of binomial determinants. According to [Fu98, Example 14.7.11] we have

$$c_{\mu'} = \deg(\omega_1^m \cdot \omega_{\mu}) = \frac{m! \cdot b_0! b_1! \dots b_{d-1}!}{a_0! a_1! \dots a_{d-1}!} \cdot \left| \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ b_j \end{pmatrix} \right|_{0 \leq i, j \leq d-1} \quad (12)$$

where  $a_i = n - d + i - \mu_{i+1}$  and  $b_j = j$  for  $i, j = 0 \dots d-1$ . Observe that the sets  $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{d-1})$  and  $b = (b_0, \dots, b_{d-1})$  with  $0 \leq a_0 < \dots < a_{d-1} \leq n-1$  and

$0 \leq b_0 < \dots < b_{d-1} \leq n-1$  correspond to the classes of Schubert varieties  $\omega_\mu$  and  $\omega_N = \{pt\}$  of dimensions  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (a_i - i) = m$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (b_j - j) = 0$  respectively.

From another hand side, we have

$$d_{\mu'} = \left| \binom{n-i}{\tilde{\mu}_j + n - d - j} \right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-d} = \left| \binom{\tilde{a}_i}{\tilde{b}_j} \right|_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-d-1}$$

where  $\tilde{a}_i = d + i$  and  $\tilde{b}_j = \tilde{\mu}_{n-d-j} + j$  for  $i, j = 0 \dots n-d-1$ . Here the sets  $\tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_0, \dots, \tilde{a}_{n-d-1})$  and  $\tilde{b} = (\tilde{b}_0, \dots, \tilde{b}_{n-d-1})$  with  $0 \leq \tilde{a}_0 < \dots < \tilde{a}_{n-d-1} \leq n-1$  and  $0 \leq \tilde{b}_0 < \dots < \tilde{b}_{n-d-1} \leq n-1$  correspond to the classes of Schubert varieties on the dual Grassmannian  $\mathbb{G}_{n-d}(n)$  of dimensions  $N$  and  $N-m$  respectively. Observe that the Schubert variety corresponding to the set  $\tilde{b}$  is dual to the Schubert variety corresponding to the partition  $\tilde{\mu}$ . Hence, we have

$$\omega_1^m = \frac{m! \cdot \tilde{b}_0! \tilde{b}_1! \dots \tilde{b}_{n-d-1}!}{\tilde{a}_0! \tilde{a}_1! \dots \tilde{a}_{n-d-1}!} d_{\mu'} \cdot \omega_{\tilde{b}}$$

And by duality we obtain

$$\frac{m! \cdot \tilde{b}_0! \tilde{b}_1! \dots \tilde{b}_{n-d-1}!}{\tilde{a}_0! \tilde{a}_1! \dots \tilde{a}_{n-d-1}!} d_{\mu'} = \frac{m! \cdot b_0! b_1! \dots b_{d-1}!}{a_0! a_1! \dots a_{d-1}!} \cdot \left| \binom{a_i}{b_j} \right|_{0 \leq i, j \leq d-1}$$

Since the integers  $a_0, \dots, a_{d-1}$  form the complement of  $\tilde{b}_0, \dots, \tilde{b}_{n-d-1}$  in the set of integers from 0 to  $n-1$  (see [Fu98, Example 14.7.5]), we obtain

$$d_{\mu'} = \left| \binom{a_i}{b_j} \right|_{0 \leq i, j \leq d-1} \quad (13)$$

According to [Fu98, Example 14.7.11] we express the binomial determinant appearing in (12) and (13) in terms of Vandermonde determinant  $D_a = \prod_{i < j} (a_j - a_i)$  and get

$$c_{\mu'} = \frac{m! \cdot D_a}{a_0! a_1! \dots a_{d-1}!}, \quad d_{\mu'} = \frac{D_a}{0! 1! \dots (d-1)!}. \quad (14)$$

Then the formulae (11) we want to prove turns into

$$\frac{m!}{0! 1! \dots (d-1)!} \cdot \sum_a \frac{D_a^2}{a_0! a_1! \dots a_{d-1}!} \equiv d^m \pmod{n}, \quad (15)$$

where the sum is taken over all sets of integers  $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{d-1})$  such that  $0 \leq a_0 < \dots < a_{d-1} \leq n-1$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i = m + \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ .

**The case  $d = 2$ .** In this case (15) follows from the following elementary fact

$$\frac{m!}{2} \cdot \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x_1, x_2 \\ x_1 + x_2 = m+1}} \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{x_1! x_2!} = 2^m \quad (16)$$

To prove it consider the following chain of identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m! \cdot (x_1 - x_2)^2}{2 \cdot x_1! x_2!} &= \frac{(m+1)!}{x_1! (m+1-x_1)!} \cdot \left( \frac{m+1}{2} - \frac{2x_1(m+1-x_1)}{m+1} \right) = \\ &= \frac{m+1}{2} \binom{m+1}{x_1} - 2m \binom{m-1}{x_1-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then taking the sum we obtain the desired equality

$$\sum_{x_1=0}^{m+1} \frac{m+1}{2} \binom{m+1}{x_1} - 2m \binom{m-1}{x_1-1} = \frac{m+1}{2} \cdot 2^{m+1} - 2m \cdot 2^{m-1} = 2^m$$

Observe that for  $m < n-1$  the left hand side of (15) coincides with the left hand side of (16), hence, we obtain the equality in (15) (not just a congruence modulo  $n$ ). For  $m = n-1$  the left hand side of (15) is equal to  $2^{n-1} - n$ .

**The general case.** It turns out that the identity (16) is a particular case of the following combinatorial identity known as Robinson-Schensted correspondence (see [Fu97, 4.3.(5)])

$$\sum_{|\xi|=m} d_\xi(d) \cdot f^\xi = d^m, \quad (17)$$

where the sum is taken over all partitions  $\xi = (\xi_1 \geq \xi_2 \geq \dots \geq \xi_d \geq 0)$  with  $|\xi| = m$ ,  $d_\xi(d)$  denote the number of Young tableaux on the shape  $\xi$  whose entries are taken from the set  $(1, \dots, d)$  and  $f^\xi$  denote the number of standard tableaux on the shape  $\xi$ .

By using Hook length formulae (see [Fu97, 4.3, Exercise 9]) we obtain

$$f^\xi = \frac{m! \cdot D_l}{l_0! \dots l_{d-1}!}, \quad (18)$$



where  $l = (l_0, \dots, l_{d-1})$  is a strictly increasing set of non-negative integers defined from the partition  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  by  $l_{d-i} = \xi_i + d - i$  and  $D_l$  is the Vandermonde determinant for  $l$ . By definition we have  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (l_i - i) = m$ .

By [GV85, Corollary 13] we have

$$d_\xi(d) = \left| \binom{l_i}{j} \right|_{0 \leq i, j \leq d-1} = \frac{D_l}{0! 1! \dots (d-1)!} \quad (19)$$

Observe that if the set  $l$  is bounded by  $n - 1$ , i.e.,  $l_{d-1} \leq n - 1$ , the expressions (18) and (19) coincide with the expressions (14) defining the coefficients  $c_{\mu'}$  and  $d_{\mu'}$  respectively (take  $\xi = \mu'$  and  $a = l$ ).

Assume that  $l_{d-1} \geq n$ . Then  $l_{d-2} \leq n - 1$ , i.e.,  $l_{d-1}$  is the only element of  $l$  which exceeds  $n - 1$ . Indeed, if this is not the case then we have a sequence of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} n - 1 + \frac{d(d-1)}{2} &\geq m + \frac{d(d-1)}{2} = 0 + 1 + \dots + l_{d-3} + l_{d-2} + l_{d-1} \geq \\ &\geq 0 + 1 + \dots + (d-3) + n + (n+1) = \frac{(d-3)(d-2)}{2} + 2n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$d \geq \frac{n+5}{2}.$$

But we have assumed from the beginning that  $d \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , contradiction.

Moreover, by the similar arguments one can check that  $l_{d-1} < 2n$ .

Now consider the product  $x = d_\xi(d) \cdot f^\xi$ , when  $\xi$  is a partition for which the respective  $l_{d-1} \geq n$ . Since  $n$  is prime, the denominator of  $x$  is divisible by  $n$  but not by  $n^2$ . Since  $x$  is an integer, the numerator of  $x$  must be divisible by  $n$  as well. From this we conclude that  $D_l$  is divisible by  $n$ . But the numerator of  $x$  is the product of the square of  $D_l$  by something, hence, it is divisible by  $n^2$ . So we obtain that  $x$  must be divisible by  $n$ . This means that modulo  $n$  the left hand side of (17) is congruent to the left hand side of (15).  $\square$

## 7 Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(2, n)$

The goal of the present is to extend Theorem 1.1 to the case of algebras of an arbitrary odd degree  $n \geq 5$  and  $d = 2$

**7.1 Theorem.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two central simple algebras of an odd degree  $n$  over a field  $F$  generating the same subgroup in the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(F)$ . Then the motive of a Severi-Brauer variety  $\text{SB}(A)$  is a direct summand of the motive of a generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $\text{SB}_2(B)$  if and only if*

$$[A] = \pm 2 [B] \text{ in } \text{Br}(F).$$

**Proof** Consider the cycles  $g$  and  $f$  defined in Section 5. Clearly,  $g$  and  $f$  are rational, since Lemma 2.6 holds for any algebras.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Repeating the arguments of 3.3 for an odd integer  $n$  and  $d = 2$  one obtains (in view of [Ka95, Corollary 4]) that the subgroup of rational cycles of  $\text{CH}(X_s)$  modulo  $n$  is generated in codimension  $N = \dim \mathbb{G}_d(n)$  by the cycles

$$\frac{n}{(n, N-|\lambda|)} \cdot H^{N-|\lambda|} \times 1 \cdot \Delta_\lambda(c(Q_s)),$$

for all partitions  $\lambda$  with  $N - (n - 1) \leq |\lambda| \leq N$ . Observe that in the case  $|\lambda| = N$  one obtains precisely the cycle  $g$ .

Since the coefficient  $\frac{n}{(n, N-|\lambda|)}$  is divisible by  $n$  when  $|\lambda| = N - 1$ , we may exclude the cycles with  $|\lambda| = N - 1$  from the set of generators. The latter implies that the last two non-trivial monomials (see (9)) of any rational cycle in  $\text{CH}^N(X_s)$  come only from the cycle  $g$ . And we finish the proof as in Section 5.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) We claim that it is still possible to modify cycles  $f$  and  $g^t$  modulo  $n$  in such a way that the obtained rational cycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  will satisfy the property  $\beta \circ \alpha = \text{id}$ , hence, providing the desired motivic decomposition for  $\mathcal{M}(\text{SB}_2(B))$ .

The following lemma allows us to take  $\alpha = f$  (see Definition 5.4).

**7.2 Lemma.** *Fix a codimension  $m$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$ . For any partition  $\rho$  with  $|\rho| = m$  let  $c_\rho^{(m)}$  be the coefficient appearing in Lemma 5.2. Then the greatest common divisor of all the coefficients  $c_\rho^{(m)}$  is 1.*

*Proof.* Since  $d = 2$ , for any codimension  $m$  which is less than  $n - 1$ , there is a partition  $\rho = (m, 0)$  with  $c_\rho^{(m)} = 1$ . In the last codimension  $m = n - 1$  consider two partitions  $\rho = (1, n - 2)$  and  $\rho' = (2, n - 3)$ . By (10) the respective coefficients  $c_\rho^{(n-1)}$  and  $c_{\rho'}^{(n-1)}$  are equal to  $\deg(1, n - 1) = n - 2$  and  $\deg(2, n - 2) = \frac{(n-1)(n-4)}{2}$ . Since  $n$  is odd,  $n - 2$  and  $\frac{(n-1)(n-4)}{2}$  are coprime.  $\square$

Choose  $\beta$  as in the Section 5. To finish the proof we have to prove the congruence (11). But this was done already in Section 6, where we treated the case  $d = 2$ . Namely, we proved that for  $m < n - 1$  there is an exact equality (not just a congruence modulo  $n$ ) and for  $m = n - 1$  the left hand side of (11) is, indeed, equal to  $2^{n-1} - n$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 7.1.

**Acknowledgements** I would like to thank B. Calmès, N. Karpenko, I. Panin, M. Rost and N. Semenov for stimulating discussions on the subject of the present paper. I am grateful to Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn and University of Bielefeld for hospitality and support. This work was partially supported by RTN-Network HPRN-CT-2002-00287.

## References

- [CPSZ] B. Calmès, V. Petrov, N. Semenov, K. Zainoulline, *Chow motives of twisted flag varieties*, Compositio Math. (to appear).
- [CGM05] V. Chernousov, S. Gille and A. Merkurjev, *Motivic decomposition of isotropic projective homogeneous varieties*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), no. 1, 137–159.
- [Fu98] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1998.
- [Fu97] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux. With applications to representation theory and geometry*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. x+260 pp.
- [GV85] I. Gessel, G. Viennot, *Binomial determinants, paths, and hook length formulae*. Adv. in Math. **58** (1985), no. 3, 300–321.
- [IK00] O. Izhboldin and N. Karpenko, *Some new examples in the theory of quadratic forms*, Math. Z. **234** (2000), 647–695.
- [Ka95] N. Karpenko, *On topological filtration for Severi-Brauer varieties.*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **58.2** (1995), 275–277.
- [Ka96] N. Karpenko, *Grothendieck Chow-motives of Severi-Brauer varieties*, St.-Petersburg Math. J. **7** (1996), no. 4, 649–661.

- [Ka00] N. Karpenko, *Criteria of motivic equivalence for quadratic forms and central simple algebras*, Math. Ann. **317** (2000), 585–611.
- [Ka01] N. Karpenko, *Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and isotropic flag varieties*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **12** (2001), no. 1, 1–50.
- [KMRT98] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, J.-P. Tignol. *The book of involutions*. AMS Colloquium Publications, vol. 44, 1998.
- [Ma68] Y. Manin, *Correspondences, motives and monoidal transformations*, Matematicheskij Sbornik **77** (**119**) (1968), no. 4, 475–507 (in Russian). Engl. transl.: Math. USSR Sb. **6** (1968), 439–470.
- [Pa94] I. Panin, *On the Algebraic K-Theory of Twisted Flag Varieties*. K-Theory J. **8** (1994), 541–585.