

# THE GROMOV-WITTEN AND DONALDSON-THOMAS CORRESPONDENCE FOR TRIVIAL ELLIPTIC FIBRATIONS

DAN EDIDIN<sup>1</sup> AND ZHENBO QIN<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We study the Gromov-Witten and Donaldson-Thomas correspondence conjectured in [MNOP1, MNOP2] for trivial elliptic fibrations. In particular, we verify the Gromov-Witten and Donaldson-Thomas correspondence for primary fields when the threefold is  $E \times S$  where  $E$  is a smooth elliptic curve and  $S$  is a smooth surface with numerically trivial canonical class.

## 1. Introduction

The correspondence between the Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory for threefolds was conjectured and studied in [MNOP1, MNOP2]. Since then, it has been investigated extensively (see [MP, JLi, Kat, KLQ, Beh, BF2] and the references there). A relationship between the quantum cohomology of the Hilbert scheme of points in the complex plane and the Gromov-Witten and Donaldson-Thomas correspondence for local curves was proved in [OP2, OP3]. The equivariant version was proposed and partially verified in [BP, GS]. In this paper, we study the Gromov-Witten and Donaldson-Thomas correspondence when the threefold admits a trivial elliptic fibration.

To state our results, we introduce some notation and refer to Subsect. 2.1 and Subsect. 3.1 for details. Let  $X$  be a complex threefold,  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ,

$$\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\},$$

$k_1, \dots, k_r$  be nonnegative integers, and  $u, q$  be formal variables. Let

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta}, \quad \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta}$$

be the reduced degree- $\beta$  partition functions for the descendent Gromov-Witten invariants and Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $X$  respectively.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14C05; Secondary: 14D20, 14D21.

*Key words and phrases.* Donaldson-Thomas theory, Gromov-Witten theory.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by an NSA grant.

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by an NSF grant.

*Conjecture 1.1.* ([MNOP1, MNOP2]) Let  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{d} = -\int_{\beta} K_X$ .

Then after the change of variables  $e^{iu} = -q$ , we have

$$(-iu)^{\mathfrak{d}} \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_0(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} = (-q)^{-\mathfrak{d}/2} \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_0(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta}. \quad (1.1)$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f : X = E \times S \rightarrow S$  be the projection where  $E$  is an elliptic curve and  $S$  is a smooth surface. Then the Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas correspondence (1.1) holds if either  $\int_{\beta} K_X = \int_{\beta} f^* K_S = 0$ , or*

$$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in f^* H^*(S; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 3.6 when

$$\int_{\beta} K_X = \int_{\beta} f^* K_S = 0.$$

It follows from Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 3.7 when

$$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in f^* H^*(S; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve and  $S$  be a smooth surface with numerically trivial canonical class  $K_S$ . Then the Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas correspondence (1.1) holds for the threefold  $X = E \times S$ .*

In fact, when  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in f^* H^*(S; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ , Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 3.7 state that after the change of variables  $e^{iu} = -q$ ,

$$(-iu)^{\mathfrak{d} - \sum_i k_i} \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} = (-q)^{-\mathfrak{d}/2} \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta}.$$

This is consistent with (and partially sharpens) the Conjecture 4 in [MNOP2] which is about the Gromov-Witten and Donaldson-Thomas correspondence for descendent fields. It would be interesting to see whether this sharpened version holds for general cohomology classes  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ .

Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 3.7 are proved in Sect. 2 and Sect. 3 respectively. The idea is to view the elliptic curve  $E$  as an algebraic group and to use the action of  $E$  on the moduli space  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  of stable maps and the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$  of ideal sheaves. The  $E$ -action on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  has no fixed points when  $r \geq 1$ , or  $g \neq 1$ , or  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that the corresponding Gromov-Witten invariants are zero. The only exception is  $\langle \rangle_{1, d\beta_0}$  which can be computed directly by using the work of Okounkov-Pandharipande [OP1] on the Gromov-Witten invariants of an elliptic curve and Göttsche's formula for the Euler characteristics of the Hilbert scheme  $S^{[d]}$  of points on a smooth surface  $S$ . Similarly, the  $E$ -action on  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$  has no fixed points when  $n \geq 1$  or  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$ . It follows from Lemma 3.5 that the corresponding Donaldson-Thomas invariants are also zero. The only exception is  $\langle \rangle_{0, d\beta_0}$  which can be computed directly by determining the obstruction bundle over the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}_0(X, d\beta_0) \cong S^{[d]}$ .

It is expected that our approach can be used to handle the *relative* Gromov-Witten and Donaldson-Thomas correspondence (see [MNOP2]) for trivial elliptic fibrations. In another direction, one might attempt to study the (absolute and relative) Gromov-Witten and Donaldson-Thomas correspondence for nontrivial elliptic fibrations. We leave these to the interested readers.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank Professors Robert Friedman, Yuan-Pin Lee, and Wei-Ping Li for valuable help.

## 2. Gromov-Witten theory

### 2.1. Gromov-Witten invariants.

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective complex variety. Fix  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  be the moduli space of stable maps from connected genus- $g$  curves with  $r$  marked points to  $X$  representing the class  $\beta$ . The virtual fundamental class  $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$  has been constructed in [BF1, LT]. By ignoring the extra notation of stacks, the virtual fundamental class  $[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$  is defined by the element

$$R(\pi_{g,r})_*(\text{ev}_{r+1})^*T_X \quad (2.1)$$

in the derived category  $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{coh}}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta))$  of coherent sheaves on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$ , where

$$\text{ev}_i : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r+1}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X$$

is the  $i$ -th evaluation map, and  $\pi_{g,r}$  stands for the morphism:

$$\pi_{g,r} : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r+1}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta) \quad (2.2)$$

forgetting the  $(r+1)$ -th marked point. Let  $\mathcal{L}_i$  be the cotangent line bundle on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  associated to the  $i$ -th marked point. Put

$$\psi_i = c_1(\mathcal{L}_i).$$

For  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  and nonnegative integers  $k_1, \dots, k_r$ , define

$$\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{g,\beta} = \int_{[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^r \psi_i^{k_i} \text{ev}_i^*(\gamma_i). \quad (2.3)$$

Define the *reduced* Gromov-Witten potential of  $X$  by

$$\mathbf{F}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u, v \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right) = \sum_{\beta \neq 0} \sum_{g \geq 0} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2} v^\beta \quad (2.4)$$

omitting the constant maps. For  $\beta \neq 0$ , the *reduced partition function*

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_\beta$$

of degree- $\beta$  Gromov-Witten invariants is defined by setting:

$$1 + \sum_{\beta \neq 0} \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_\beta v^\beta = \exp \mathbf{F}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u, v \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right). \quad (2.5)$$

Alternatively, let  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  be the moduli space of stable maps from *possibly disconnected* curves  $C$  of genus- $g$  with  $r$  marked points and with no collapsed connected components. Here the genus of a possibly disconnected curve  $C$  is

$$1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = 1 - \ell + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_{C_i}$$

where  $C_1, \dots, C_{\ell}$  denote all the connected components of  $C$ . For  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 0$ , define the reduced Gromov-Witten invariant by

$$\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle'_{g,\beta} = \int_{[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_{g,r}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^r \psi_i^{k_i} \text{ev}_i^*(\gamma_i). \quad (2.6)$$

Then the reduced partition function of degree- $\beta$  invariants is also given by

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle'_{g,\beta} u^{2g-2}. \quad (2.7)$$

When  $\dim(X) = 3$ , the expected dimensions of  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  are

$$- \int_{\beta} K_X + r. \quad (2.8)$$

*Remark 2.1.* By the Fundamental Class Axiom, Divisor Axiom and Dilation Axiom of the descendent Gromov-Witten invariants, if  $\beta \neq 0$  and  $\int_{\beta} K_X = 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta}$$

can be reduced to the case  $r = 0$ , i.e., to the reduced partition function

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}}(X; u)_{\beta}. \quad (2.9)$$

## 2.2. The computations.

We begin with the Gromov-Witten invariants of a smooth elliptic curve  $E$ . Let  $d \geq 1$  and  $[E] \in H_2(E; \mathbb{Z})$  be the fundamental class. We use

$$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(E, d), \quad \overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_{g,r}(E, d)$$

to denote the moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(E, d[E])$ ,  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_{g,r}(E, d[E])$  respectively. The expected dimension of the moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(E, d)$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_{1,0}(E, d)$  is zero. So

$$\langle \rangle_{1,d[E]} = \deg[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(E, d)]^{\text{vir}}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\langle \rangle'_{1,d[E]} = \deg[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_{1,0}(E, d)]^{\text{vir}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Note that if  $C$  is the (possibly disconnected) domain curve of a stable map in  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}'_{1,0}(E, d)$ , then every connected component of  $C$  must be of genus-1. Therefore,

as in (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7), we obtain the following relation:

$$1 + \sum_{d=1}^{+\infty} \langle \rangle'_{1,d[E]} v^d = \exp \sum_{d=1}^{+\infty} \langle \rangle_{1,d[E]} v^d. \quad (2.12)$$

By the Theorem 5 in [OP1] (replacing  $n$  and  $q$  there by 0 and  $v$  respectively),

$$1 + \sum_{d=1}^{+\infty} \langle \rangle'_{1,d[E]} v^d = \frac{1}{\prod_{m=0}^{+\infty} (1 - v^m)}. \quad (2.13)$$

In the rest of this section, we adopt the following notation.

*Notation 2.2.* (i) Let  $X = E \times S$  where  $E$  is an elliptic curve and  $S$  is a smooth surface. Let  $\beta_0 \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  be the fiber class of the fibration

$$f : X = E \times S \rightarrow S.$$

We use  $K_X$  to denote both the canonical class and the canonical line bundle of  $X$ .

(ii) For  $d \geq 0$ , let  $S^{[d]}$  be the Hilbert scheme which parametrizes the length- $d$  0-dimensional closed subschemes of the surface  $S$ .

(iii) Fix  $O \in E$  as the zero element for the group law on  $E$ . For  $p \in E$ , let

$$\phi_p : E \rightarrow E \quad (2.14)$$

be the automorphism of  $E$  defined via translation  $\phi_p(e) = p + e$ . We have an action of  $E$  on  $X = E \times S$  via the automorphisms  $\phi_p \times \text{Id}_S$ ,  $p \in E$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be from Notation 2.2 and  $d \geq 1$ . Then, we have*

$$\langle \rangle'_{1,d\beta_0} = \chi(S^{[d]}).$$

*Proof.* First of all, let  $\mathcal{H}_1^E$  be the rank-1 Hodge bundle over  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(E, d)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_1^E = (\pi_{1,0})_* \omega_{1,0}$$

where  $\omega_{1,0}$  is the relative dualizing sheaf of the forgetful map  $\pi_{1,0}$  in (2.2).

Next, by the universal property of moduli spaces, we have

$$\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(X, d\beta_0) \cong \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(E, d) \times S. \quad (2.15)$$

By the definitions of virtual fundamental classes and the Hodge bundle,

$$[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(X, d\beta_0)]^{\text{vir}} = e(\pi_1^*(\mathcal{H}_1^E)^\vee \otimes \pi_2^* T_S) \cap \pi_1^* [\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(E, d)]^{\text{vir}} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the two projections of  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(X, d\beta_0)$  via the isomorphism (2.15), and  $e(\cdot)$  denotes the Euler class (or the top class). Note that

$$e(\pi_1^*(\mathcal{H}_1^E)^\vee \otimes \pi_2^* T_S) = \pi_2^* e(S) + \pi_2^* K_S \cdot \pi_1^* c_1(\mathcal{H}_1^E) + \pi_1^* c_1(\mathcal{H}_1^E)^2.$$

By (2.16),  $\langle \rangle_{1,d\beta_0} = \chi(S) \cdot \langle \rangle_{1,d[E]}$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + \sum_{d=1}^{+\infty} \langle \rangle'_{1,d\beta_0} v^d &= \exp \sum_{d=1}^{+\infty} \langle \rangle_{1,d\beta_0} v^d \\
&= \exp \left( \chi(S) \cdot \sum_{d=1}^{+\infty} \langle \rangle_{1,d[E]} v^d \right) \\
&= \left( 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{+\infty} \langle \rangle'_{1,d[E]} v^d \right)^{\chi(S)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{m=0}^{+\infty} (1 - v^m)^{\chi(S)}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

by (2.12) and (2.13). By Göttsche's formula in [Got] for  $\chi(S^{[d]})$ , we have

$$\sum_{d=0}^{+\infty} \chi(S^{[d]}) v^d = \frac{1}{\prod_{m=0}^{+\infty} (1 - v^m)^{\chi(S)}}.$$

Combining this with (2.17), we conclude that  $\langle \rangle'_{1,d\beta_0} = \chi(S^{[d]})$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  be from Notation 2.2 and  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ . For any  $p \in E$ ,

$$(\phi_p \times \text{Id}_S)_* \beta = \beta \tag{2.18}$$

since  $\{\phi_p \times \text{Id}_S\}_{p \in E}$  form a connected algebraic family of automorphisms of  $X$ . Thus the algebraic group  $E$  acts on the stack of  $r$ -pointed degree- $\beta$  stable maps to  $X$  (see [Kon]). The universal properties of moduli spaces imply that there is a corresponding action of  $E$  on the moduli space  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$ . For  $p \in E$ , let

$$\Psi_p : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$$

be the corresponding automorphism. Then we see that the automorphism  $\Psi_p$  maps a point  $[\mu : (C; w_1, \dots, w_r) \rightarrow X] \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  to the point

$$[(\phi_p \times \text{Id}_S) \circ \mu : (C; w_1, \dots, w_r) \rightarrow X] \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta). \tag{2.19}$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *With the notation as above, the algebraic group  $E$  acts without fixed points on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  if  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$ , or  $r \geq 1$ , or  $g \neq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $[\mu : (C; w_1, \dots, w_r) \rightarrow X] \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  is fixed by the action of  $E$ . By definition, for every  $p \in E$ , there is an automorphism  $\tau_p$  of  $C$  such that

$$\mu \circ \tau_p = (\phi_p \times \text{Id}_S) \circ \mu \tag{2.20}$$

and  $\tau_p(w_i) = w_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . In particular, for every  $p \in E$ , we have

$$\mu(C) = (\phi_p \times \text{Id}_S)(\mu(C)).$$

So  $\mu(C)$  is a fiber of the elliptic fibration  $f$ , and  $\beta = d\beta_0$  for some  $d \geq 1$ . By our assumption, either  $r \geq 1$  or  $g \geq 2$ . By (2.20), we get

$$\mu \circ \tau_p(C) = \phi_p(\mu(C)). \tag{2.21}$$

Since  $\phi_p$  acts freely on the fiber  $\mu(C)$ , (2.21) implies that the automorphisms  $\tau_p$  of the marked curve  $(C; w_1, \dots, w_r)$  are different for different points  $p \in E$ . Hence the automorphism group of the marked curve  $(C; w_1, \dots, w_r)$  is infinite. This is impossible since either  $g \geq 2$  or  $g = 1$  and  $r \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume that  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in f^*H^*(S; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . If  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$ , or  $r \geq 1$ , or  $g \neq 1$ , then we have*

$$\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle'_{g, \beta} = 0.$$

*Proof.* First of all, note that it suffices to show that

$$\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{g, \beta} = 0 \quad (2.22)$$

if  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$ , or  $r \geq 1$ , or  $g \neq 1$ . In the following, we prove (2.22).

By Lemma 2.4,  $E$  acts without fixed points on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$ . Since  $E$  is an elliptic curve, any proper algebraic subgroup is finite. Thus the stabilizer of any point for the  $E$  action on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  is finite. Since  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  is finite type, the order of the stabilizer subgroup at any point is bounded by some number  $N$ . Thus, if  $G$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $E$  of prime order  $p > N$ , then  $G$  acts freely on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$ . We fix such a cyclic subgroup  $G$  of  $E$  in the rest of the proof.

The complex  $R(\pi_{g,r})_*(\text{ev}_{r+1})^*T_X$  from (2.1) is equivariant for the action of any algebraic automorphism group of  $X$ . Thus for some positive integer  $m$  (independent of  $G$ ), the cycle  $m[\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$  defines an element of the integral equivariant Borel-Moore homology group  $H_*^G(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta))$ . Likewise if  $\gamma_i \in f^*H^*(S; \mathbb{Q})$ , then the cycle  $\gamma_i$  is invariant under the action of  $E$  on  $X$ . Hence some positive multiple  $m_i\gamma_i$  defines an element of  $H_G^*(X)$ , where  $m_i$  is independent of  $G$ . Note from (2.19) that the evaluation map  $\text{ev}_i : \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X$  is  $G$ -equivariant, so the pullback  $\text{ev}_i^*(m_i\gamma_i)$  determines an element of  $H_G^*(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta))$ . In addition, the cotangent line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) over  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$  are equivariant for the action of  $G$ . It follows from the definition (2.3) that the cycle

$$mm_1 \cdots m_r \langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{g, \beta}$$

defines an element in the degree-0 Borel-Moore homology  $H_0^G(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta))$ .

Since  $G$  is a cyclic subgroup of order  $p$  which acts freely on  $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta)$ , any element of  $H_0^G(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,r}(X, \beta))$  is represented by a  $G$ -invariant 0-cycle whose degree is a multiple of  $p$  (possibly 0). Since  $p$  can be taken to be arbitrarily large,

$$mm_1 \cdots m_r \langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{g, \beta} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{g, \beta} = 0$ . This completes the proof of (2.22).  $\square$

We define the cohomology degree  $|\gamma| = \ell$  when  $\gamma \in H^\ell(X; \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume  $\int_{\beta} K_X = \int_{\beta} f^* K_S = 0$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_0(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} \\ &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{\beta} \gamma_i \cdot \chi(S^{[d]}) & \text{if } |\gamma_i| = 2 \text{ for every } i \text{ and } \beta = d\beta_0 \text{ for some } d \geq 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By (2.8) and the degree condition on Gromov-Witten invariants,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r |\gamma_i| = 2r.$$

By the Fundamental Class Axiom and Divisor Axiom of Gromov-Witten invariants,

$$\langle \tau_0(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_0(\gamma_r) \rangle_{g, \beta} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{\beta} \gamma_i \cdot \langle \rangle_{g, \beta} & \text{if } |\gamma_i| = 2 \text{ for every } i; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So by Lemma 2.3 and by taking  $r = 0$  in (2.22), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tau_0(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_0(\gamma_r) \rangle'_{g, \beta} \\ &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{\beta} \gamma_i \cdot \chi(S^{[d]}) & \text{if } |\gamma_i| = 2 \text{ for every } i, g = 1, \beta = d\beta_0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now our proposition follows directly from the identity (2.7).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be from Notation 2.2 and  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume that  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in f^* H^*(S; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Then,*

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{GW}} \left( X; u \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} = \begin{cases} \chi(S^{[d]}) & \text{if } r = 0 \text{ and } \beta = d\beta_0 \text{ with } d \geq 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Follows from the identity (2.7), Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

### 3. Donaldson-Thomas theory

#### 3.1. Donaldson-Thomas invariants.

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective complex threefold. For a fixed class  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  and a fixed integer  $n$ , following the definition and notation in [MNOP1, MNOP2], we define  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$  to be the moduli space parametrizing the ideal sheaves  $I_Z$  of 1-dimensional closed subschemes  $Z$  of  $X$  satisfying the conditions:

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = n, \quad [Z] = \beta \tag{3.1}$$

where  $[Z]$  is the class associated to the dimension-1 component (weighted by their intrinsic multiplicities) of  $Z$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$  is a special case of the moduli spaces of Gieseker semistable torsion-free sheaves over  $X$ . When the anti-canonical divisor  $-K_X$  is effective, perfect obstruction theories on the moduli spaces  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$



have been constructed in [Tho]. This result has been generalized in [MP]. By the Lemma 1 in [MNOP2], the virtual dimension of  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$  is

$$- \int_{\beta} K_X. \quad (3.2)$$

The Donaldson-Thomas invariant is defined via integration against the virtual fundamental class  $[\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$  of the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$ . More precisely, let  $\gamma \in H^\ell(X; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  be the universal ideal sheaf over  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta) \times X$ . Let

$$\mathbf{ch}_{k+2}(\gamma) : H_*(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{*-2k+2-\ell}(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta); \mathbb{Q}) \quad (3.3)$$

be the operation on the homology of  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$  defined by

$$\mathbf{ch}_{k+2}(\gamma)(\xi) = \pi_{1*}(\mathbf{ch}_{k+2}(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \pi_2^* \gamma \cap \pi_1^* \xi) \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be the two projections on  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta) \times X$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{\tau}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{n, \beta} \\ &= \int_{[\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{k_i+1} \mathbf{ch}_{k_i+2}(\gamma_i) \\ &= (-1)^{k_1+1} \mathbf{ch}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1) \circ \cdots \circ (-1)^{k_r+1} \mathbf{ch}_{k_r+2}(\gamma_r) ([\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The partition function for these descendent Donaldson-Thomas invariants is

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \tilde{\tau}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{n, \beta} q^n. \quad (3.6)$$

The partition function for the degree-0 Donaldson-Thomas invariants of  $X$  is

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\text{DT}}(X; q)_0 = M(-q)^{\chi(X)} \quad (3.7)$$

by [JLi, BF2] (this formula was conjectured in [MNOP1, MNOP2]), where

$$M(q) = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)^n}$$

is the McMahon function. The *reduced partition function* is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} &= \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\text{DT}}(X; q \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i))_{\beta}}{\mathbf{Z}_{\text{DT}}(X, q)_0} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\text{DT}}(X; q \mid \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i))_{\beta}}{M(-q)^{\chi(X)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

In the next two lemmas, we study the operators  $\mathbf{ch}_2(\gamma)$  and  $\mathbf{ch}_3(1_X)$  respectively, where  $1_X \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  is the fundamental cohomology class. The results will be used in Subsect. 3.2. Note that the first lemma is the analogue to the Fundamental Class Axiom and Divisor Axiom of Gromov-Witten invariants, while the second one is the analogue to the Dilaton Axiom of Gromov-Witten invariants. By (3.3),

$$\mathbf{ch}_2(\gamma) : H_b(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{b-2+|\gamma|}(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta); \mathbb{Q}),$$

$$\mathrm{ch}_3(1_X) : H_b(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_b(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let  $cl : A_*(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_*(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta); \mathbb{Q})$  be the cycle map. Put

$$H_*^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)) = \mathrm{im}(cl).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** (i) *Let  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\gamma \in H^\ell(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Then,*

$$\mathrm{ch}_2(\gamma)|_{H_*^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta))} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell = 0 \text{ or } 1; \\ -\int_\beta \gamma \cdot \mathrm{Id} & \text{if } \ell = 2. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$  is smooth, then*

$$\mathrm{ch}_2(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell = 0 \text{ or } 1; \\ -\int_\beta \gamma \cdot \mathrm{Id} & \text{if } \ell = 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$ . By [FG], there is a proper morphism

$$p : \tilde{\mathfrak{I}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{I}$$

with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}}$  smooth and  $p_* : H_*^{\mathrm{alg}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{I}}) \rightarrow H_*^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathfrak{I})$  surjective. Such a morphism  $p$  is called a *nonsingular envelope* (see p.299 of [FG]). Let  $\tilde{\pi}_1$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_2$  be the projections from  $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}} \times X$  to the first and second factors respectively.

Let  $\xi \in H_*^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathfrak{I})$ . Then  $\xi = p_* \tilde{\xi}$  for some  $\tilde{\xi} \in H_*^{\mathrm{alg}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{I}})$ . Define

$$\tilde{\mathrm{ch}}_2(\gamma)(\tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{\pi}_{1*} \left( \mathrm{ch}_2((p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^* \mathcal{I}) \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma \cap \tilde{\pi}_1^* \tilde{\xi} \right) \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the universal ideal sheaf over  $\mathfrak{I} \times X$ . Using the projection formula and the fact that  $(p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)_* \tilde{\pi}_1^* \tilde{\xi} = \pi_1^* p_* \tilde{\xi} = \pi_1^* \xi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_*(\tilde{\mathrm{ch}}_2(\gamma)(\tilde{\xi})) &= p_* \tilde{\pi}_{1*} \left( \mathrm{ch}_2((p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^* \mathcal{I}) \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma \cap \tilde{\pi}_1^* \tilde{\xi} \right) \\ &= \pi_{1*} (p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)_* \left( (p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^* (\mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{I}) \pi_2^* \gamma) \cap \tilde{\pi}_1^* \tilde{\xi} \right) \\ &= \pi_{1*} \left( \mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{I}) \pi_2^* \gamma \cap (p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)_* \tilde{\pi}_1^* \tilde{\xi} \right) \\ &= \mathrm{ch}_2(\gamma)(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since  $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}}$  is smooth, the Poincaré duality holds and we see from (3.9) that

$$\tilde{\mathrm{ch}}_2(\gamma)(\tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{\pi}_{1*} (\mathrm{ch}_2((p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^* \mathcal{I}) \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma) \cap \tilde{\xi}$$

where  $\tilde{\pi}_{1*} (\mathrm{ch}_2((p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^* \mathcal{I}) \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma)$  is the cohomology class Poincaré dual to

$$\tilde{\pi}_{1*} \left( \mathrm{ch}_2((p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^* \mathcal{I}) \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma \cap [\tilde{\mathfrak{I}} \times X] \right).$$

Thus by (3.10), to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that

$$\tilde{\pi}_{1*} \left( \mathrm{ch}_2((p \times \mathrm{Id}_X)^* \mathcal{I}) \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma \cap [\tilde{\mathfrak{I}} \times X] \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell = 0 \text{ or } 1; \\ -\int_\beta \gamma \cdot [\tilde{\mathfrak{I}}] & \text{if } \ell = 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathfrak{I} \times X$  be the universal closed subscheme. Set-theoretically,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{(I_Z, x) \in \mathfrak{I} \times X \mid x \in \mathrm{Supp}(Z)\}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} = (p \times \text{Id}_X)^{-1}\mathcal{Z}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{I} = I_{\mathcal{Z}}$ ,  $(p \times \text{Id}_X)^*\mathcal{I} = (p \times \text{Id}_X)^*I_{\mathcal{Z}} = I_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}$ , and

$$\text{ch}_2((p \times \text{Id}_X)^*\mathcal{I}) = \text{ch}_2(I_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}) = -c_2(I_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}) = c_2(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}). \quad (3.12)$$

If  $\beta = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{Z}$  is of codimension-3 in  $\mathfrak{J} \times X$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$  is of codimension-3 in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}} \times X$  as well. By (3.12),  $\text{ch}_2((p \times \text{Id}_X)^*\mathcal{I}) = 0$ . Therefore, (3.11) holds.

Next, we assume  $\beta \neq 0$ . Then,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is of codimension-2 in  $\mathfrak{J} \times X$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$  is of codimension-2 in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}} \times X$ . By (3.12),  $\text{ch}_2((p \times \text{Id}_X)^*\mathcal{I}) = -[\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}]$ . So

$$\tilde{\pi}_{1*} \left( \text{ch}_2((p \times \text{Id}_X)^*\mathcal{I}) \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma \cap [\tilde{\mathfrak{J}} \times X] \right) = -\tilde{\pi}_{1*}([\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}] \cdot \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma). \quad (3.13)$$

When  $\ell = 0$  or  $1$ , we get  $\tilde{\pi}_{1*}([\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}] \cdot \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma) = 0$  by degree reason. Hence (3.11) holds.

We are left with the case  $\ell = 2$ . In this case,  $\tilde{\pi}_{1*}([\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}] \cdot \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma)$  is a multiple of  $[\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}]$ . Let  $m$  be the multiplicity, and  $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}$  be a point. Then, we have

$$m = \deg ([\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}] \cdot \tilde{\pi}_2^* \gamma)|_{\{\tilde{w}\} \times X} = \int_{\beta} \gamma.$$

Therefore, we conclude from (3.13) that (3.11) holds when  $\ell = 2$ .

(ii) Follows from the proof of (i) by taking  $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}} = \mathfrak{J}$  and  $p = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{J}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** (i) *Let  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then, we have*

$$\text{ch}_3(1_X)|_{H_*^{\text{alg}}(\mathfrak{J}_n(X, \beta))} = - \left( n + \int_{\beta} K_X \right) \cdot \text{Id}.$$

(ii) *If the moduli space  $\mathfrak{J}_n(X, \beta)$  is smooth, then*

$$\text{ch}_3(1_X) = - \left( n + \int_{\beta} K_X \right) \cdot \text{Id}. \quad (3.14)$$

*Proof.* Note that (i) follows from the proof of (ii) and the similar trick of using a nonsingular envelope as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 (i). To prove (ii), we adopt the notation in (3.4). Using the projection formula, we get

$$\text{ch}_3(1_X)(\xi) = \pi_{1*}(\text{ch}_3(\mathcal{I}) \cap \pi_1^* \xi) = \pi_{1*} \text{ch}_3(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \xi \quad (3.15)$$

since our moduli space  $\mathfrak{J}_n(X, \beta)$  is smooth. Note that  $\pi_{1*} \text{ch}_3(\mathcal{I})$  is a multiple of the fundamental cycle of  $\mathfrak{J}_n(X, \beta)$ . Let  $m$  be the multiplicity. Then,

$$m = \deg \text{ch}_3(\mathcal{I})|_{[I_Z] \times X} = \deg \text{ch}_3(I_Z) = -\deg \text{ch}_3(\mathcal{O}_Z) = -\frac{1}{2} \deg c_3(\mathcal{O}_Z)$$

where  $[I_Z]$  denotes a point in  $\mathfrak{J}_n(X, \beta)$ . Since  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$  and  $c_2(\mathcal{O}_Z) = -[Z] = -\beta$ , we see from (3.1) and the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch Theorem that

$$m = -\frac{1}{2} \deg c_3(\mathcal{O}_Z) = - \left( n + \int_{\beta} K_X \right).$$

Now combining this with (3.15), we immediately obtain formula (3.14).  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Let  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\gamma \in H^\ell(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . We expect that both Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 can be sharpened, i.e., we expect in general that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_2(\gamma) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell = 0 \text{ or } 1; \\ -\int_\beta \gamma \cdot \mathrm{Id} & \text{if } \ell = 2; \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{ch}_3(1_X) &= -\left(n + \int_\beta K_X\right) \cdot \mathrm{Id}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3.2. The computations.

In the rest of this section, we adopt the notation in Notation 2.2. We begin with the case when  $n = 0$  and  $\beta = d\beta_0$  with  $d \geq 0$ . Note that

$$\mathfrak{I}_0(X, d\beta_0) \cong S^{[d]}. \quad (3.16)$$

However, the expected dimension of  $\mathfrak{I}_0(X, d\beta_0)$  is zero by (3.2).

**Lemma 3.4.** (i) *The obstruction bundle over the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}_0(X, d\beta_0) \cong S^{[d]}$  is isomorphic to the tangent bundle  $T_{S^{[d]}}$  of the Hilbert scheme  $S^{[d]}$ .*

(ii) *The Donaldson-Thomas invariant  $\langle \rangle_{0, d\beta_0}$  is equal to  $\chi(S^{[d]})$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that (ii) follows from (i). To prove (i), let

$$\psi = \mathrm{Id}_{S^{[d]}} \times f : S^{[d]} \times X \rightarrow S^{[d]} \times S$$

and  $\phi : S^{[d]} \times S \rightarrow S^{[d]}$  be the projections. Let  $\pi = \phi \circ \psi : S^{[d]} \times X \rightarrow S^{[d]}$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the universal ideal sheaf over  $S^{[d]} \times S$ . Then the universal ideal sheaf over

$$\mathfrak{I}_0(X, d\beta_0) \times X \cong S^{[d]} \times X$$

is  $\mathcal{I} = \psi^* \mathcal{J}$ . The Zariski tangent bundle and obstruction bundle over the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}_0(X, d\beta_0) \cong S^{[d]}$  are given by the rank- $2d$  bundles

$$\mathcal{E}xt_\pi^1(\psi^* \mathcal{J}, \psi^* \mathcal{J})_0, \quad \mathcal{E}xt_\pi^2(\psi^* \mathcal{J}, \psi^* \mathcal{J})_0$$

respectively (see, for instance, the Theorem 3.28 in [Tho] for the obstruction bundle). Here  $\mathcal{E}xt_\pi^*$  denotes the right derived functors of  $\mathcal{H}om_\pi = \pi_* \mathcal{H}om$ . We claim

$$\mathcal{E}xt_\pi^1(\psi^* \mathcal{J}, \psi^* \mathcal{J})_0 \cong \mathcal{E}xt_\pi^2(\psi^* \mathcal{J}, \psi^* \mathcal{J})_0. \quad (3.17)$$

In the following, we will prove the local version of (3.17), i.e., for every point  $I_{f*\xi} \in \mathfrak{I}_0(X, d\beta_0)$  with  $\xi \in S^{[d]}$ , we show that there exists a canonical isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(I_{f*\xi}, I_{f*\xi})_0 \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2(I_{f*\xi}, I_{f*\xi})_0. \quad (3.18)$$

The argument for the global version (3.17) follows from that for the local version (3.18) and the isomorphisms via relative duality (see the Proposition 8.14 in [LeP]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}xt_\pi^2(\psi^* \mathcal{J}, \psi^* \mathcal{J})_0 &\cong \mathcal{E}xt_\pi^1(\psi^* \mathcal{J}, \psi^* \mathcal{J} \otimes \tilde{\rho}^* K_S)_0^\vee \\ \mathcal{E}xt_\phi^1(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J})_0 &\cong \mathcal{E}xt_\phi^1(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J} \otimes \rho^* K_S)_0^\vee \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\rho} : S^{[d]} \times X = S^{[d]} \times S \times E \rightarrow S$  and  $\rho : S^{[d]} \times S \rightarrow S$  are the projections.

Here is an outline for (3.18). We apply the Serre duality twice: once on  $X$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^2(I_{f*\xi}, I_{f*\xi})_0 &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(I_{f*\xi}, I_{f*\xi} \otimes K_X)_0^\vee \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(I_{f*\xi}, I_{f*\xi} \otimes f^* K_S)_0^\vee, \end{aligned}$$

and the other on  $S$  with  $Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)_0 \cong Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)_0^\vee$ . Note from (3.16) that

$$Ext^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0 \cong Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)_0. \quad (3.19)$$

The main part of our argument is to prove that there is a natural isomorphism:

$$Ext^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi} \otimes f^*K_S)_0 \cong Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)_0.$$

For simplicity, we assume that  $\text{Supp}(\xi) = \{s\} \subset S$ . Note that the vector spaces  $Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)_0, Ext^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0, Ext^2(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0$  all have dimension  $2d$ .

Applying the local-to-global spectral sequence to  $Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)$ , we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S).$$

It follows that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)_0 \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S). \quad (3.20)$$

Since the second term can be computed locally, by taking  $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ , we see that

$$h^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)) = 2d$$

for an arbitrary surface  $S$ . So we conclude from (3.20) that

$$Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)_0 \cong H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)) \quad (3.21)$$

since  $\dim Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)_0 = 2d$ . Similarly, we have canonical isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} Ext^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0 &\cong H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})) \\ &\cong H^0(S, f_*\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

As in (3.20), we have an injection

$$0 \rightarrow Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)_0 \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)).$$

Note that  $H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)) \cong H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_S|_s$  since  $\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)$  is supported at  $\text{Supp}(\xi) = \{s\}$ , where  $K_S|_s$  is the fiber of  $K_S$  at  $s \in S$ . So we get

$$0 \rightarrow Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)_0 \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_S|_s.$$

By (3.21) and the Serre duality,  $Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)_0$  and  $H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi))$  have the same dimension. Hence, we get an isomorphism

$$Ext^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)_0 \cong H^0(S, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_S|_s. \quad (3.23)$$

Again as in (3.20), we have another injection:

$$0 \rightarrow Ext^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi} \otimes f^*K_S)_0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi} \otimes f^*K_S)).$$

By the Serre duality,  $Ext^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi} \otimes f^*K_S)_0 \cong Ext^2(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0^\vee$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi} \otimes f^*K_S)) &\cong H^0(S, f_*\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi} \otimes f^*K_S)) \\ &\cong H^0(S, f_*\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi}) \otimes K_S) \\ &\cong H^0(S, f_*\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_S|_s \end{aligned}$$

since  $f_*\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})$  is supported on  $\text{Supp}(\xi) = \{s\}$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow Ext^2(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0^\vee \rightarrow H^0(S, f_*\mathcal{E}xt^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_S|_s. \quad (3.24)$$

Since  $\text{Ext}^2(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0$  and  $\text{Ext}^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0$  have the same dimension, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^2(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0^\vee &\cong H^0(S, f_* \mathcal{E} \text{Ext}^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_S|_s \\ &\cong \text{Ext}^1(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_S|_s \end{aligned}$$

from (3.22) and (3.24). Combining this with (3.19) and (3.21), we get

$$\text{Ext}^2(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0^\vee \cong H^0(S, \mathcal{E} \text{Ext}^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_S|_s. \quad (3.25)$$

In view of (3.23), the Serre duality and (3.19), we conclude that

$$\text{Ext}^2(I_{f^*\xi}, I_{f^*\xi})_0 \cong \text{Ext}^1(I_\xi, I_\xi \otimes K_S)_0^\vee \cong \text{Ext}^1(I_\xi, I_\xi)_0 \cong \text{Ext}^1(f^*I_\xi, f^*I_\xi)_0.$$

This completes the proof of the isomorphism (3.18).  $\square$

Next, we consider the case when either  $n \neq 0$  or  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$  with  $d \geq 0$ . We further assume that the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$  is nonempty. For simplicity, put

$$\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta).$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the universal ideal sheaf over  $\mathfrak{I} \times X$ . Denote the trace-free part of the element  $R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$  in the derived category  $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{coh}}(\mathfrak{I} \times X)$  by

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})_0.$$

Let  $\pi : \mathfrak{I} \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{I}$  be the projection. By [Tho], the virtual fundamental class  $[\mathfrak{I}]^{\text{vir}}$  is defined via the following element in the derived category  $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{coh}}(\mathfrak{I})$ :

$$\mathcal{E} = R\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})_0). \quad (3.26)$$

Let  $p \in E$ , and consider the sheaf  $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{I}} \times \phi_p \times \text{Id}_S)^* \mathcal{I}$  over

$$\mathfrak{I} \times X = \mathfrak{I} \times E \times S.$$

We see from (2.18) that  $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{I}} \times \phi_p \times \text{Id}_S)^* \mathcal{I}$  is a flat family of ideal sheaves whose corresponding 1-dimensional closed subschemes satisfy (3.1). By the universal property of the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}$ , there is an automorphism

$$\Phi_p : \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{I} \quad (3.27)$$

such that  $(\Phi_p \times \text{Id}_X)^* \mathcal{I} = (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{I}} \times \phi_p \times \text{Id}_S)^* \mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{I}$ . In particular,  $E$  acts on  $\mathfrak{I}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $n \neq 0$  or  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$  with  $d \geq 0$ . Then,*

$$\langle \tilde{\tau}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{n, \beta} = 0 \quad (3.28)$$

*whenever  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in f^*H^*(S; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.5. Assume that the moduli space

$$\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_n(X, \beta)$$

is nonempty. If  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$  with  $d \geq 0$ , then the algebraic group  $E$  acts on  $\mathfrak{I}$  with finite stabilizers. If  $\beta = d\beta_0$  with  $d \geq 0$  and if  $I_Z \in \mathfrak{I}$ , then  $Z$  consists of a curve  $f^*(\xi)$  for some  $\xi \in S^{[d]}$  and of some (possibly embedded) points of length  $n \neq 0$ . So again  $E$  acts on the moduli space  $\mathfrak{I}$  with finite stabilizers.

As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, there exists some number  $N$  such that if  $G$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $E$  of prime order  $p > N$ , then  $G$  acts freely on  $\mathfrak{I}$ . Fix such

cyclic subgroups  $G$  of  $E$ . Since the complex  $R\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})_0)$  from (3.26) is equivariant for the action of any algebraic automorphism group of  $X$ , the cycle  $[\mathfrak{J}]^{\text{vir}}$  defines an element of the equivariant Borel-Moore homology group  $H_*^G(\mathfrak{J})$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , choose a positive integer  $m_i$  such that the multiple  $m_i \gamma_i$  defines an element of  $H_G^*(X)$ . It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that the cycle

$$m_1 \cdots m_r \langle \tilde{\tau}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{n, \beta}$$

defines an element in the degree-0 Borel-Moore homology  $H_0^G(\mathfrak{J})$ . Again as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we conclude that  $\langle \tilde{\tau}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{n, \beta} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume  $\int_{\beta} K_X = \int_{\beta} f^* K_S = 0$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Z}'_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_0(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} \\ &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{\beta} \gamma_i \cdot \chi(S^{[d]}) & \text{if } |\gamma_i| = 2 \text{ for every } i \text{ and } \beta = d\beta_0 \text{ for some } d \geq 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* First of all, since  $\chi(X) = 0$ , we see from (3.8) and (3.6) that

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \tilde{\tau}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{n, \beta} q^n. \quad (3.29)$$

Next, in view of (3.2) and the condition on degrees, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r |\gamma_i| = 2r, \quad |\gamma_r| \leq 2.$$

Therefore, we conclude from (3.5) and Lemma 3.1 (i) that

$$\langle \tilde{\tau}_0(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_0(\gamma_r) \rangle_{n, \beta} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{\beta} \gamma_i \cdot \langle \rangle_{n, \beta} & \text{if } |\gamma_i| = 2 \text{ for every } i; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.4 (ii) and Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{\tau}_0(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_0(\gamma_r) \rangle_{n, \beta} \\ &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^r \int_{\beta} \gamma_i \cdot \chi(S^{[d]}) & \text{if } |\gamma_i| = 2 \text{ for every } i, n = 0, \beta = d\beta_0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now the proposition follows immediately from (3.29).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be from Notation 2.2 and  $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume that  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in f^* H^*(S; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Then,*

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{\beta} = \begin{cases} \chi(S^{[d]}) & \text{if } r = 0 \text{ and } \beta = d\beta_0 \text{ with } d \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $\beta \neq d\beta_0$ , then the proposition follows from (3.29) and Lemma 3.5. In the rest of the proof, we let  $\beta = d\beta_0$  with  $d \geq 1$ . By (3.29) and Lemma 3.5 again,

$$\mathbf{Z}'_{\text{DT}} \left( X; q \middle| \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{\tau}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right)_{d\beta_0} = \langle \tilde{\tau}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{0, d\beta_0}.$$

Thus we see from Lemma 3.4 (ii) that the proposition holds if  $r = 0$ .

To prove our proposition, it remains to verify that if  $r \geq 1$ , then

$$\langle \tilde{\tau}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{k_r}(\gamma_r) \rangle_{0, d\beta_0} = 0. \quad (3.30)$$

Since the expected dimension of  $\mathfrak{J}_0(X, d\beta_0)$  is zero, (3.30) holds unless

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (2k_i - 2 + |\gamma_i|) = 0, \quad (2k_r - 2 + |\gamma_r|) \leq 0. \quad (3.31)$$

W.l.o.g., we may assume that  $k_{\tilde{r}+1} = k_{\tilde{r}+2} = \dots = k_r = 0$  and

$$k_1, \dots, k_{\tilde{r}-1}, k_{\tilde{r}} \geq 1 \quad (3.32)$$

for some  $\tilde{r}$  with  $0 \leq \tilde{r} \leq r$ . Then we see from (3.5), Lemma 3.1 (i) and (3.31) that (3.30) holds unless  $\tilde{r} = r$ ,  $k_1 = \dots = k_r = 1$ , and  $|\gamma_1| = \dots = |\gamma_r| = 0$ . When

$$k_1 = \dots = k_r = 1, \quad |\gamma_1| = \dots = |\gamma_r| = 0,$$

(3.30) follows from Lemma 3.2 (ii) since the moduli space  $\mathfrak{J}_0(X, d\beta_0)$  is smooth.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Beh] K. Behrend, *Donaldson-Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry*. Preprint.
- [BF1] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), 45-88.
- [BF2] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds*. Preprint.
- [BP] J. Bryan, R. Pandharipande, *The local Gromov-Witten theory of curves*. Preprint.
- [DT] S.K. Donaldson, R.P. Thomas, *Gauge theory in higher dimensions*, In: The geometric universe (Oxford, 1996), 31-47. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [FG] W. Fulton, H. Gillet, *Riemann-Roch for general algebraic varieties*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **111** (1983), 287-300.
- [GS] A. Gholampour, Y. Song, *Evidence for the Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas Correspondence*. Preprint.
- [Got] L. Göttsche, *The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 193-207.
- [Kat] S. Katz, *Gromov-Witten, Gopakumar-Vafa, and Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Preprint.
- [KLQ] S. Katz, W.-P. Li, Z. Qin, *On certain moduli spaces of ideal sheaves and Donaldson-Thomas invariants*. Preprint.
- [Kon] M. Kontsevich, *Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions in The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, 335-368, Progr. Math. **129**, Birkhäuser Boston (1995).
- [LeP] J. Le Potier, *Systèmes cohérents et structures de niveau*, Astérisque **214** (1993).
- [JLi] J. Li, *Dimension zero Donaldson-Thomas invariants of threefolds*. In preparation.
- [LT] J. Li, G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), 119-174.
- [MNOP1] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory*. Preprint.



- [MNOP2] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, II*. Preprint.
- [MP] D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande, *Foundation of Donaldson-Thomas theory*, In preparation.
- [OP1] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz theory, and completed cycles*. Preprint.
- [OP2] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Quantum cohomology of the Hilbert schemes of points in the plane*. Preprint.
- [OP3] A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *The local Donaldson-Thomas theory of curves*. Preprint.
- [Tho] R.P. Thomas, *A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and bundles on K3 fibrations*, J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), 367-438.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA  
*E-mail address:* edidin@math.missouri.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA  
*E-mail address:* zq@math.missouri.edu