

Mild solutions and Hölder regularity for stochastic Volterra equations

Anna Karczewska and Carlos Lizama

Department of Mathematics, University of Zielona Góra

ul. Szafrana 4a, 65-246 Zielona Góra, Poland, e-mail: A.Karczewska@im.uz.zgora.pl

Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias

Casilla 307-Correo 2, Santiago, Chile, e-mail: clizama@lauca.usach.cl

Abstract

In the paper stochastic Volterra equations admitting exponentially bounded resolvents are studied. Regularity results for stochastic convolutions arising in those equations are given. The paper provides a natural extension of the C_0 -semigroup case.

1 Introduction

In this paper we deal with the following stochastic Volterra equation in a separable Hilbert space H

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t a(t-\tau) AX(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where A is a closed unbounded linear operator in H with a dense domain $D(A)$ and $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. For $h \in D(A)$ we define the graph norm $|h|_{D(A)} := (|h|_H^2 + |Ah|_H^2)^{1/2}$, then the space $(D(A), |\cdot|_{D(A)})$ is a separable Hilbert space.

Equation (1) arises, in the deterministic case, in a variety of applications as model problems. Well-known techniques like localization, perturbation, and coordinate transformation allow to transfer results for such model problems to parabolic integro-differential equations on smooth domains, see [11, Chapter I, Section 5]. Equation (1) and the problems under (1) are abstract stochastic versions of those model problems.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: primary: 60H20; secondary: 60H05, 45D05.

Key words and phrases: stochastic linear Volterra equation, resolvent, mild solution, strong solution, stochastic convolution.

In this work equation (1) is driven by a stochastic process W , which will be a genuine Wiener process in the first part of the paper. In the remaining part the noise will be a cylindrical Wiener process. (The difference between such processes is as follows. Denote by Q the covariance operator of the process W . When $\text{Tr } Q < +\infty$, then W is called a **genuine Wiener process** and if $\text{Tr } Q = +\infty$, then W is a **cylindrical Wiener process**.) The process Ψ is an appropriate process defined later.

Our main results concerning (1), rely essentially on techniques using a strongly continuous family of operators $S(t), t \geq 0$ defined on the Hilbert space H and called the **resolvent** (precise definition will be given below). Hence, in what follows, we assume that the equation (1) is **well-posed**, that is, admits a resolvent $S(t), t \geq 0$. Our aim is to study mild solution as well as temporal regularity for the stochastic equation (1) by means of the convolution $W_S^\Psi(t) := \int_0^t S(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau) dW(\tau)$. By temporal regularity we understand that the trajectories of W_S^Ψ are Hölder continuous. We would like to emphasize that the operator A is unbounded and the obtained results are extension of the C_0 -semigroup case.

2 Convergence of resolvents

In this section we recall some definitions connected with the deterministic version of the equation (1), that is, the equation

$$u(t) = \int_0^t a(t-\tau) Au(\tau) d\tau + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

in a Banach space B . In (2), the operator A and the kernel function $a(t)$ are the same like previously and f is a B -valued function.

By $S(t)$, $t \geq 0$, we denote the family of resolvent operators corresponding to the Volterra equation (2), if it exists, and defined as follows.

Definition 1 (see, e.g. [11])

A family $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded linear operators in B is called **resolvent** for (2) if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $S(t)$ is strongly continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ and $S(0) = I$;
2. $S(t)$ commutes with the operator A , that is, $S(t)(D(A)) \subset D(A)$ and $AS(t)x = S(t)Ax$ for all $x \in D(A)$ and $t \geq 0$;
3. the following **resolvent equation** holds

$$S(t)x = x + \int_0^t a(t-\tau)AS(\tau)x d\tau \quad (3)$$

for all $x \in D(A)$, $t \geq 0$.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the resolvent family has been studied in [11]. Let us emphasize that the resolvent $S(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is determined by the operator A and the function a , so we also say that the pair (A, a) admits a resolvent family. Moreover, as a consequence of the strong continuity of $S(t)$ we have:

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \|S(t)\| < +\infty \quad \text{for any } T \geq 0.$$

We shall use the abbreviation $(a \star f)(t) = \int_0^t a(t-s)f(s)ds$, $t \in [0, T]$, for the convolution of two functions.

Definition 2 *We say that function $a \in L^1(0, T)$ is completely positive on $[0, T]$ if for any $\mu \geq 0$, the solutions of the convolution equations*

$$s(t) + \mu(a \star s)(t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r(t) + \mu(a \star r)(t) = a(t) \quad (4)$$

satisfy $s(t) \geq 0$ and $r(t) \geq 0$ on $[0, T]$.

Kernels with this property have been introduced by Clément and Nohel [2]. We note that the class of completely positive kernels appears naturally in the theory of viscoelasticity. Several properties and examples of such kernels appears in [11, Section 4.2].

Definition 3 *Suppose $S(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a resolvent for (2). $S(t)$ is called exponentially bounded if there are constants $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

(M, ω) is called a type of $S(t)$.

Let us note that in contrary to the case of semigroups, not every resolvent needs to be exponentially bounded even if the kernel function a belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. The Volterra equation version of the Hille–Yosida theorem (see, e.g., [11, Theorem 1.3]) provides the class of equations that admit exponentially bounded resolvents. An important class of kernels providing such class of resolvents are $a(t) = t^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ or the class of completely monotonic functions. For details, counterexamples and comments we refer to [3].

In the paper the following result concerning convergence of resolvents for the equation (1) in Banach space B will play the key role. The below proposition is a generalization of the results of Clément and Nohel obtained in [2] for contraction semigroups.

Proposition 1 *Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup in B and suppose $a(t)$ is a completely positive function. Then (A, a) admits an exponentially bounded resolvent $S(t)$.*

Moreover, there exist bounded operators A_n such that (A_n, a) admit resolvent families $S_n(t)$ satisfying $\|S_n(t)\| \leq Me^{w_0 t}$, $w_0 \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$S_n(t)x \rightarrow S(t)x \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty \quad (5)$$

for all $x \in B$, $t \geq 0$. Moreover, the convergence is uniform in t on every compact subset of \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof The first assertion follows directly from [10, Theorem 5] (see also [11, Theorem 4.2]). Since A generates a C_0 -semigroup, the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of A contains the ray $[w, \infty)$ and

$$\|R(\lambda, A)^k\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - w)^k} \quad \text{for } \lambda > w, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Define:

$$A_n := nAR(n, A) = n^2 R(n, A) - nI, \quad n > w \quad (6)$$

the Yosida approximation of A .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{tA_n}\| &= e^{-nt} \|e^{n^2 R(n, A)t}\| \leq e^{-nt} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k} t^k}{k!} \|R(n, A)^k\| \\ &\leq Me^{(-n + \frac{n^2}{n-w})t} = Me^{\frac{nw}{n-w}t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $n > 2w$ we obtain

$$\|e^{A_n t}\| \leq Me^{2wt}. \quad (7)$$

Next, observe that

$$H_n(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\lambda \hat{a}(\lambda)} R\left(\frac{1}{\hat{a}(\lambda)}, A_n\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda \hat{a}(\lambda)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{(-1/\hat{a}(\lambda))t} e^{A_n t} dt.$$

Taking into account the estimate (7) and following the same steps as in [10, Theorem 5] we obtain that there exist constants $M_1 > 0$ and $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ (independent of n , due to (7)) such that

$$\|[H_n(\lambda)]^{(k)}\| \leq \frac{M_1}{\lambda - w_1} \quad \text{for } \lambda > w_1.$$

Hence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the pair (A_n, a) admits resolvent families $S_n(t)$ such that

$$\|S_n(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{w_1 t} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8)$$

In particular, the Laplace transform $\hat{S}_n(\lambda)$ exists and satisfies

$$\hat{S}_n(\lambda) = H_n(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} S_n(t) dt, \quad \lambda > w_1.$$

Since $\hat{a}(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that $\lambda\hat{a}(\lambda)I + \lambda(1 - \lambda\hat{a}(\lambda))R(\lambda, A_n) = \lambda\hat{a}(\lambda)[I + (\frac{1}{\hat{a}(\lambda)} + \lambda)R(\lambda, A_n)]$ is invertible for all λ sufficiently large, say $\lambda > w_2$.

Then, from the identity

$$H_n(\lambda) = R(\lambda, A_n)(\lambda\hat{a}(\lambda)I + \lambda(1 - \lambda\hat{a}(\lambda))R(\lambda, A_n))^{-1}, \quad \lambda > \max\{w, w_1, w_2\}$$

and the fact that $R(\lambda, A_n) \rightarrow R(\lambda, A)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all λ sufficiently large (see e.g. [9, Lemma 7.3]), we conclude that

$$H_n(\lambda) \rightarrow H(\lambda) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{9}$$

where $H(\lambda) := (\lambda - \lambda\hat{a}(\lambda)A)^{-1}$. Finally, from (8) and (9) we can use the Trotter-Kato theorem for resolvent families of operators (cf. [8, Theorem 2.1]) and the conclusion follows. ■

Remarks

(i) An analogous result holds in case A generates a strongly continuous cosine family. In that case we have to assume that $a(t)$ is e.g. a creep function with $a_1(t)$ log-convex (cf. [11, Theorem 4.3] or [10, Theorem 6] for other conditions concerning the kernel $a(t)$.)

(ii) Other examples of the convergence (5) for the resolvents are given, e.g., in [2] and [4]. In the first paper, the operator A generates a linear continuous contraction semigroup. In the second of the mentioned papers, A belongs to some subclass of sectorial operators and the kernel a is an absolutely continuous function fulfilling some technical assumptions.

3 Solutions to stochastic Volterra equations

Assume that (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a probability space equipped with an increasing family of σ -fields (\mathcal{F}_t) , $t \in I$, where $I = [0, T]$, called filtration.

Although stochastic convolution with cylindrical Wiener process will be treated in section 6, we formulate definitions of solutions to (1) in the general case already here. Stochastic convolutions with genuine Wiener process, studied in sections 4 and 5, may be obtained by taking $U = H$ and $\Psi = I$.

In the paper we shall use stochastic processes Ψ and W having properties as follows. We consider two separable Hilbert spaces H and U and a Wiener process W on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$, having values in U with the positive covariance operator $Q \in L(U)$.

This is apparently well-known that the construction of the stochastic integral with respect to cylindrical Wiener process requires some particular terms. We will need the subspace $U_0 := Q^{1/2}(U)$ of the space U , which endowed with the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_{U_0} := \langle Q^{-1/2}u, Q^{-1/2}v \rangle_U$ forms a Hilbert space. Among others, an important role is played by

the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators. The set $L_2^0 := L_2(U_0, H)$ of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from U_0 into H , equipped with the norm $|A|_{L_2(U_0, H)} := (\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |Au_k|_H^2)^{1/2}$, is a separable Hilbert space.

According to the theory of stochastic integral with respect to cylindrical Wiener process we have to assume that Ψ belongs to the class of measurable L_2^0 -valued processes.

Let us introduce the norms

$$\|\Psi\|_t := \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t |\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left[\text{Tr}(\Psi(\tau)Q^{\frac{1}{2}})(\Psi(\tau)Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \right] d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By $\mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$ we denote a Hilbert space of all L_2^0 -predictable processes Ψ such that $\|\Psi\|_T < +\infty$.

It is possible to consider a more general class of integrands, see, e.g. [6], but it is not worthwhile to study the general case. So, we shall study the equation (1) under the below **PROBABILITY ASSUMPTIONS** (abbr. (PA)):

1. X_0 is an H -valued, \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable;
2. $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$ and the interval $[0, T]$ is fixed.

Definition 4 Assume that (PA) hold. An H -valued predictable process $X(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is said to be a **strong solution** to (1), if X takes values in $D(A)$, P -a.s.,

$$\int_0^T |a(t-\tau)AX(\tau)|_H d\tau < +\infty, \quad P - a.s.$$

and for any $t \in [0, T]$ the equation (1) holds P -a.s.

Remark The above definition has sense if $\int_0^t \Psi(\tau)dW(\tau)$ is an H -valued process.

Let A^* denote the adjoint of A with dense domain $D(A^*) \subset H$ and the graph norm $|\cdot|_{D(A^*)}$.

Definition 5 Let (PA) hold. An H -valued predictable process $X(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is said to be a **weak solution** to (1), if $P(\int_0^t |a(t-\tau)X(\tau)|_H d\tau < +\infty) = 1$ and if for all $\xi \in D(A^*)$ and all $t \in [0, T]$ the following equation holds

$$\langle X(t), \xi \rangle_H = \langle X_0, \xi \rangle_H + \left\langle \int_0^t a(t-\tau)X(\tau) d\tau, A^*\xi \right\rangle_H + \left\langle \int_0^t \Psi(\tau)dW(\tau), \xi \right\rangle_H, \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

Remark This definition has sense for a cylindrical Wiener process because the scalar process $\langle \int_0^t \Psi(\tau)dW(\tau), \xi \rangle_H$, for $t \in [0, T]$, is well-defined.

Definition 6 Assume that X_0 is \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable such that $P(X_0 \in D(A)) = 1$. An H -valued predictable process $X(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is said to be a **mild solution** to the stochastic Volterra equation (1), if $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^t |S(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau) < +\infty$ for $t \leq T$ and, for arbitrary $t \in [0, T]$,

$$X(t) = S(t)X_0 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad P - a.s. \quad (10)$$

where $S(t)$ is the resolvent for the equation (2).

In many cases weak solutions of equation (1) coincides with mild solutions of (1), see e.g. [6]. In consequence, having results for the convolution on the right hand side of (10) we obtain results for weak solutions.

4 Properties of convolutions

In this section W is an H -valued genuine Wiener process defined in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ with the covariance operator Q of the trace class and $\Psi = I$. Then the equation (1) reads

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t a(t-\tau) AX(\tau) d\tau + W(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

Assume that $\{e_k\}$ is a basis in the space H consisting of eigenfunctions of Q . The process W may be extended in the form

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e_k \beta_k(t), \quad (12)$$

where $\{\beta_k\}$ are real-valued, mutually independent Wiener processes such that $\mathbb{E}(\beta_k^2(t)) = \lambda_k t$, with $Qe_k = \lambda_k e_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

We introduce the following convolutions

$$W_S(t) := \int_0^t S(t-\tau) dW(\tau), \quad (13)$$

$$W_{S_n}(t) := \int_0^t S_n(t-\tau) dW(\tau), \quad (14)$$

where $S(t), S_n(t)$, $t \geq 0$, are resolvents corresponding to the operators A and A_n given by (6) in Proposition 1. Our main result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup in H . Suppose $a(t)$ is a completely positive function and $\text{Tr } Q < +\infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |W_S(t) - W_{S_n}(t)|_H^p = 0 \quad (15)$$

for any $p > 2$.

Proof Let us denote $\Delta W_n(t) := |W_S(t) - W_{S_n}(t)|$, $t \geq 0$. Then from (13), (14) and the representation (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta W_n(t))^2 &= \left| \int_0^t [S(t-\tau) - S_n(t-\tau)] dW(\tau) \right|_H^2 \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_0^t [S(t-\tau) - S_n(t-\tau)] e_k d\beta_k(\tau) \right|_H^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left| \int_0^t [S(t-\tau) - S_n(t-\tau)] e_k d\beta_k(\tau) \right|_H^2 =: \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |I_n^k(t)|_H^2, \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_n^k(t) := \int_0^t [S(t-\tau) - S_n(t-\tau)] e_k d\beta_k(\tau)$.

Then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\Delta W_n(t))^2 \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |I_n^k(t)|_H^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |I_n^k(T)|_H^2$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\Delta W_n(t))^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E} |I_n^k(T)|_H^2 \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_k \left(\int_0^T |S(T-\tau)e_k - S_n(T-\tau)e_k|_H^2 d\tau \right).$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > p$. Because the Gaussianity of I_n^k , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\Delta W_n(t))^{2m} \leq \tilde{C} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_k \left(\int_0^T |S(T-\tau)e_k - S_n(T-\tau)e_k|_H^2 d\tau \right) \right\}^m.$$

Thus, by Proposition 1 (the convergence (5)), and the dominated convergence theorem, (15) is satisfied for any $m > p$ and then, in consequence, for any $p > 2$. \blacksquare

Remark We noted (see Remark 2) that the convergence (5) holds true for the operators considered in [2] and [4]. In consequence, Theorem 1 seems to be true for the convolutions built with resolvents corresponding to those operators, too.

Proposition 2 *Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup in H . Suppose $a(t)$ is a completely positive function and $\text{Tr } Q < +\infty$. Then*

$$W_S(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t S(t-\tau) W(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (16)$$

Proof Let us recall that, by [6, Corollary 1], the convolution $W_{S_n}(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$W_{S_n}(t) = \int_0^t a(t-\tau) A_n W_{S_n}(\tau) d\tau + W(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad P - a.s. \quad (17)$$

This means that $W_{S_n}(\cdot)$ is a strong solution to (11) with $X_0 = 0$ and the operator A_n .

From the resolvent equation (3) (see Definition 1) for $S_n(t)$, we have for each $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \star W_{S_n}(t) &= (S_n - A_n(a \star S_n)) \star W_{S_n}(t) = S_n \star W_{S_n}(t) - A_n S_n \star (a \star W_{S_n})(t) \\ &= S_n \star W_{S_n}(t) - S_n \star (a A_n \star W_{S_n})(t) = S_n \star (W_{S_n} - a A_n \star W_{S_n})(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (17) and the properties of the convolution,

$$1 \star W_{S_n}(t) = S_n \star W(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

This means that the convolution $S_n \star W$ is continuously differentiable. Hence, we have

$$W_{S_n}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t S_n(t - \tau) W(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By Theorem 1, we have that $W_{S_n}(t) \rightarrow W_S(t)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, P-a.s., uniformly on bounded intervals. Hence, the formula (16) holds. \blacksquare

Recall from [11, Theorem 3.1] that if (1) (or (11)) is *parabolic* and the function $a(t)$ is *2-regular*, then there exists a resolvent $S \in C^1((0, \infty); \mathcal{B}(H))$ for (1) (resp. (11)).

Corollary 1 *Assume that (11) is parabolic and $a(t)$ is 2-regular. Then the formula (16) reads*

$$W_S(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \dot{S}(t - \tau) W(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (18)$$

Remark The formula (18) may be directly rewritten in the form

$$W_S(t) = S(t)W(t) + \int_0^t \dot{S}(t - \tau)[W(\tau) - W(t)]d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

The proof of Proposition 2 indicates the following result.

Proposition 3 *Assume that $\text{Tr } Q < +\infty$ and $X_0 = 0$. Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup and the kernel function $a(t)$ is a completely positive function. Then the equation (11) has a strong solution. Moreover, the convolution $W_S(t)$ is the strong solution to (11).*

Proof By [6, Corollary 1], for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the convolution $W_{S_n}(t)$ fulfills (17), where $W_{S_n}(t)$ and A_n are like previously.

Taking into account Theorem 1 we can assume that $W_{S_n}(t) \rightarrow W_S(t)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, P-a.s. (if necessary, passing to a subsequence of convolutions).

Now, from the properties of stochastic integral and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} |W_{S_n}(t) - W_S(t)|_H^2 = 0.$$

Next, using the above results and the properties of Yosida approximation (that is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A_n x = Ax$ for any $x \in D(A)$), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} |A_n W_{S_n}(t) - A W_S(t)|_H^2 = 0.$$

This implies that $W_S(t) = \int_0^t a(t - \tau) A W_S(\tau) d\tau + W(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, P-a.s., and the proposition is proved. \blacksquare

5 Hölder regularity of trajectories

In this section we shall use the following version of the well-known Kolmogorov's criterion for continuity (so-called Kolmogorov test).

Theorem 2 (See, e.g., [5, Th. 2.8])

Assume that $Z(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is an H -valued stochastic process such that for some constants $\delta > 1$, $C > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $s, t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E} |Z(t) - Z(s)|_H^\delta \leq C |t - s|^{1+\varepsilon}. \quad (19)$$

Then there exists a version of the process Z with P -almost all trajectories being Hölder continuous functions with an exponent $\gamma \in (0, \varepsilon/\delta)$. (In particular, Z has a continuous version).

Remark For Wiener process $\delta = 2$, $\varepsilon = 1$ in (19), so $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

We still consider the equation (11) with W a genuine Wiener process, $U = H$ and $\Psi = I$.

Now, we are able to formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 3 Let A be the generator of an analytic semigroup in H and $\text{Tr } Q < +\infty$. Suppose $a(t) \in C(0, \infty) \cap L^1(0, 1)$ is a completely monotonic function. Then the trajectories of the stochastic convolution $W_S(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, defined by (13), are Hölder continuous with an exponent $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Proof Observe that by [11, Corollary 2.4], the equation (1) (particularly (11)) admits an analytic resolvent. We use the definition (13) of the stochastic convolution $W_S(t)$ and the decomposition of $W_S(t) - W_S(s)$ which is a version of the classical result ([7, Lemma 1.28, Chapter IX]).

Then for $0 \leq s < t$, $h := t - s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|W_S(t) - W_S(s)|_H^2 &= \mathbb{E}|(S(t) - S(s))W(s) + S(t)(W(t) - W(s)) \\ &\quad + \int_s^t S'(t - \tau)(W(\tau) - W(t)) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^s (S'(h + \tau) - S'(\tau))(W(s - \tau) - W(s)) d\tau|_H^2 \\ &\leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &:= \mathbb{E}|(S(t) - S(s))W(s)|_H^2, \\ I_2 &:= \mathbb{E}|(S(t) - S(s))W(s)|_H |Z(s)|_H, \\ I_3 &:= \mathbb{E}|Z(s)|_H^2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}Z(s) &:= S(h)(W(t) - W(s)) + \int_s^t S'(t - \tau)(W(\tau) - W(t)) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^s (S'(h + \tau) - S'(\tau))(W(s - \tau) - W(s)) d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Let us observe, that $I_2 = 0$, because the processes W and Z are stochastically independent and $\mathbb{E}W(s) = 0$ for any $s \geq 0$. Next, hölderianity of the term I_3 comes from the fact that Wiener process W has Hölder continuous trajectories. So, we have to study only the term I_1 .

From [11, Corollary 2.1] and properties of Wiener process

$$I_1 \leq \|S(t) - S(s)\| \mathbb{E}|W(s)|_H^2 \leq M_T^2 (\log(t/s))^2 C s^2,$$

for some $C > 0$, with $M_T := \sup\{\|S(t)\|, t\|S'(t)\| : t \in [0, T]\}$.

The standard inequality $\log(1 + \varrho) \leq \varrho^\alpha / \alpha$ for all $\varrho > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, implies $\log(1 + \frac{h}{s}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (\frac{h}{s})^\alpha$.

Then, we have $(\log(1 + \frac{h}{s}))^2 s^2 \leq h^2$, so, the estimate $I_1 \leq \widetilde{M} |t - s|^2$ with $\widetilde{M} := M_T^2 C$, $C \geq 0$, holds.

Hence, from Kolmogorov's criterion, the convolution W_S has Hölder continuous trajectories with $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. ■

Remarks

(i) Let us note that Clément and Da Prato [1] have already obtained hölderianity of the convolution $W_S(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ but under different conditions. They assumed several technical assumptions on the operator A .

(ii) An important class of kernels a providing an analytic resolvent is the class of completely monotonic kernels. Such kernels may be represented in the form

$$a(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-st} d\alpha(s), \quad t \geq 0,$$

with α nondecreasing and such that $\int_1^{+\infty} d\alpha(s)/s < +\infty$.

Let us note that the latter condition is equivalent to $\alpha \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. For more details and examples we refer to [11].

Theorem 3 may be reformulated as follows.

Corollary 2 *Assume that A is the generator of an analytic semigroup in H , $\text{Tr } Q < +\infty$ and $a(t)$ is completely positive function. Then the trajectories of the stochastic convolution $W_S(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, are Hölder continuous with the exponent $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.*

6 Solutions for cylindrical Wiener process

In this section W is a cylindrical Wiener process, that is, $\text{Tr } Q = +\infty$ and the spaces U_0 , L^0_2 , $\mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L^0_2)$ are the same like previously (see definitions in section 2).

Let us introduce the stochastic convolution:

$$W^\Psi(t) := \int_0^t S(t - \tau) \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad (20)$$

where Ψ belongs to the space $\mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L^0_2)$.

As we have already written, under some conditions every weak solution to (1) is a mild solution to (1) and vice versa, see [6, Propositions 4 and 5]. Let us recall one of the results.

Proposition 4 ([6, Prop. 5]) *If $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L^0_2)$ and $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot)(U_0) \subset D(A)$, P -a.s., then the stochastic convolution W^Ψ fulfills the equation*

$$\langle W^\Psi(t), \xi \rangle_H = \int_0^t \langle a(t - \tau) W^\Psi(\tau), A^* \xi \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle \xi, \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau) \rangle_H$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $\xi \in D(A^*)$.

Remark Proposition 4 states that a mild solution to (1) is a weak solution to (1), too. Now, we may say more for the convolution W^Ψ .

Proposition 5 *Let A be the generator of C_0 -semigroup in H and suppose $a(t)$ is a completely positive function. If Ψ and $A\Psi$ belong to $\mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L^0_2)$ and in addition $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot)(U_0) \subset D(A)$, P -a.s., then the following equality holds*

$$W^\Psi(t) = \int_0^t a(t - \tau) A W^\Psi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau). \quad (21)$$

Proof We prove Proposition 5 analogously like Corollary 2 using Proposition 1. Let us recall, that the formula (21) holds for any bounded operator. Then it holds for the operators A_n , too:

$$W_n^\Psi(t) = \int_0^t a(t-\tau)A_nW_n^\Psi(\tau)d\tau + \int_0^t \Psi(\tau)dW(\tau),$$

where

$$W_n^\Psi(t) := \int_0^t S_n(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau)dW(\tau).$$

Because $A_nx = AJ_nx$ for $x \in D(A)$, where $J_n = nR(n, A)$, cf. (6), then

$$A_nW_n^\Psi(t) = A_n \int_0^t S_n(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau)dW(\tau) = J_n \int_0^t S_n(t-\tau)A\Psi(\tau)dW(\tau).$$

Now, from the properties of stochastic integral and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}|W_n^\Psi(t) - W^\Psi(t)|_H^2 = 0.$$

Next, following the same steps as above, using the properties of Yosida approximation, we have analogously

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}|A_nW_n^\Psi(t) - AW^\Psi(t)|_H^2 = 0,$$

what gives the required result. ■

Corollary 3 *Suppose that assumptions of Proposition 5 hold. Then the equation (1) has a strong solution. Precisely, the convolution W^Ψ defined by (11) is the strong solution to (1).*

References

- [1] Clément Ph., Da Prato G., Some results on stochastic convolutions arising in Volterra equations perturbed by noise, *Rend. Math. Acc. Lincei* s. 9, **7** (1996), 147-153.
- [2] Clément Ph., Nohel J.A., Abstract linear and nonlinear Volterra equations preserving positivity, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* Vol. 10, (1979), 365-388.
- [3] Desch W., Prüss J., Counterexamples for abstract linear Volterra equations, *J. Integral Eqns. Appl.* **5** (1993), 29-45.
- [4] Friedman A., Monotonicity of solutions of Volterra integral equations in Banach space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **198** (1969), 129-148.
- [5] Karatzas I., Shreve S.E., *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, Springer, New York, 1991.

- [6] Karczewska A., Properties of convolutions arising in stochastic Volterra equations, preprint:
<http://xxx.lanl.gov/ps/math.PR/0509012>
- [7] Kato T., *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [8] Lizama C., On an extension of the Trotter-Kato theorem for resolvent families of operators,
J. Integral Eqns. Appl. **2** (2) (1990), 269-280.
- [9] Pazy A., *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*,
Applied Math. Sciences **44**, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, 1983.
- [10] Prüss J., Positivity and regularity of hiperbolic Volterra equations in Banach spaces, *Math.*
Ann. **279** (1987), 317-344.
- [11] Prüss J., *Evolutionary integral equations and applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.