On dlog image of K_2 of elliptic surface minus singular fibers

Masanori Asakura

Abstract

Let $\pi: X \to C$ be an elliptic surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $D_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i)$ the singular fibers. Put $U = X - \bigcup_i D_i$. Our objective in this paper is the image of the dlog map $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{K}_2) \to \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^2(\log \sum D_i))$. In particular, we give an upper bound of the rank of the dlog image, which is computable in many cases. This also allows us to construct indecomposable parts of Bloch's higher Chow groups $\operatorname{CH}^2(X, 1)$ in special examples.

1 Introduction

Let U be a nonsingular variety over a field of characteristic zero. Let X be a smooth compactification of U such that D := X - U is a normal crossing divisor. Then there is the dlog map

dlog : $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log D))$

from the K-cohomology group to the space of the algebraic differential 2-forms on X with logarithmic poles along D. When the base field is \mathbb{C} , the dlog image is contained in the Betti cohomology group $H^2_B(U, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ modulo torsion:

 $\mathrm{dlog}\Gamma(U,\mathcal{K}_2) \subseteq \Gamma(X,\Omega_X^2(\log D)) \cap H^2_B(U,\mathbb{Z}(2))/\mathrm{torsion}.$ (1.1)

In the paper [2] Beilinson conjectured that the equality always holds true in (1.1) (see also [10] 5.18, 5.20). However very little is known about it.

In the present paper we study the dlog image in a different way from the above when U is the complement of the singular fibers in an elliptic surface. The main results are Theorems A and B.

Theorem A concerns K_2 of Tate curves. Let K be a finite unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and R its integer ring. Let E_q be the Tate curve over the ring $R((q)) := R[[q]][q^{-1}]$ of formal power series with coefficient in R. Then Theorem A gives a criterion for 2-forms on E_q to be contained in dlog image of $K_2(E_q)$. It also gives a bounding space for K_2 of elliptic surface over R. Namely let $\pi_R : X_R \to C_R$ be an elliptic surface over R as in §2.3 below, and U_R the complement of the singular fibers. Then we introduce a \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $\Gamma(X_R, \Omega^2_{X_R/R}(\log D_R))$ and show that the dlog image of $\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is contained in $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (Theorem 3.3). I expect that $\operatorname{dlog}\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ as is so in Beilinson's conjecture, though I have no idea how to attack this problem. See Conjecture 3.5. Theorem B concerns an upper bound of the rank of the dlog image of K_2 of elliptic surface minus singular fibers. The dlog image is isomorphic to the image of the boundary map ∂ (cf. Lemma 2.3). Theorem B (1) asserts $\partial \Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \partial \Gamma(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ if p satisfies mild conditions. Thus we get $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ thanks to Theorem A. However this is not enough to compute an explicit bound as it is usually difficult to compute the \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Theorem B (2) enables us to replace $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ with a more computable space $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. In §6.1 we will summarize how to obtain the upper bound of $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathcal{K}_2)$. Note that if the conjecture "dlog $\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p =$ $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ " is true, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is not an upper bound but the exact rank of the dlog image (Conjecture 6.2).

We will apply the above results to examples in $\S8$.

Corollary 1.1 (Theorem 8.1) Let $\pi : V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the minimal elliptic surface over \mathbb{C} such that the general fiber $\pi^{-1}(t)$ is the elliptic curve defined by $Y^2 = X^3 + X^2 + t^n$. Let U be the complement of the singular fibers in V. Then we have

rank $dlog \Gamma(U, \mathcal{K}_2) = 2$ for n = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29.

The dlog image is generated by

dlog
$$\left\{\frac{Y-X}{Y+X}, -\frac{t^n}{X^3}\right\}$$
, dlog $\left\{\frac{iY-(X+2/3)}{iY+(X+2/3)}, -\frac{t^n+4/27}{(X+2/3)^3}\right\}$.

Using the above result together with Stiller's computations on Néron-Severi groups ([24], [25]), we can obtain the indecomposable parts of the Adams weight piece $K_1(V)^{(2)}$ of V (which is isomorphic to Bloch's higher Chow group $CH^2(V, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$):

Corollary 1.2 (Theorem 8.5) Let $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be as above and Y_i $(1 \le i \le n+1)$ the multiplicative fibers. Let $K_1^{\text{ind}}(V)^{(2)}$ denotes the indecomposable K_1

$$K_1^{\text{ind}}(V)^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_1(V)^{(2)} / (\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \text{NS}(V))$$

where NS(V) denotes the Néron-Severi group. Then we have

dim Image
$$(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} K'_1(Y_i) \longrightarrow K^{\text{ind}}_1(V)^{(2)}) = n-1$$
 for $n = 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29.$

Since X and Y_i are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, it seems difficult to obtain Corollary 1.1 or Corollary 1.2 without using $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (at least I don't know). For example I do not know how to show the non-vanishing of the regulator image of $\bigoplus_i K'_1(Y_i)$ in the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology $H^3_D(V, \mathbb{Q}(2))/(\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \mathrm{NS}(V))$ modulo decomposable part.

Acknowledgements. I express special thanks to Dr. Seidai Yasuda who pointed out that Theorem A in an earlier version can be improved by using Kato's explicit reciprocity law. Before his comments, I used the Artin-Hasse formula for the proof and was dissatisfied with a half-finished statement (cf. Remark 3.2). I also thank Professor Kazuya Kato who informed me his papers [13] and [14] with many valuable suggestions. Most parts of this paper was written during my stay at the University of Chicago in September 2005 supported by JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad.

2 Preliminaries

For an abelian group M, we denote by M[n] (resp. M/n) the kernel (resp. cokernel) of multiplication by n. M_{tor} denotes the torsion subgroup of M.

2.1 Algebraic *K*-theory and *K*-cohomology

Let X be a separated noetherian scheme. Let P(X) be the exact category of locally free sheaves, and BQP(X) the simplicial set attached to P(X) by Quillen ([17], [23]). Quillen's higher K-groups of X are defined as the homotopy groups of BQP(X):

$$K_i(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_{i+1} BQP(X), \quad i \ge 0.$$

We refer [23] for the general properties of higher K-theory such as, products, localization exact sequences, norm maps (also called transfer maps) etc.

Let \mathcal{K}_i denote the Zariski sheaf on X associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto K_i(U) \quad (U \subset X).$$

The Zariski cohomology groups $H^j_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{K}_i)$ are called the *K*-cohomology groups ([23] §5 etc.). Assume that X is a regular irreducible scheme of dimension d. We denote by X^j the set of points of height j. We write by $\kappa(x)$ the residue field of a point $x \in X$ and $i_x : \{x\} \to X$ the inclusion. Then we have the complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}_i \to K_i(\kappa(\eta)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^1} i_{x*} K_{i-1}(\kappa(x)) \to \dots \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^d} i_{x*} K_{i-d}(\kappa(x)) \to 0$$
(2.1)

of Zariski sheaves where η is the generic point.

Theorem 2.1 (Gersten conjecture) The complex (2.1) is exact in either of the following cases.

- 1. $i \geq 0$ and X is a nonsingular variety over a field,
- 2. i = 2 and X is smooth over a Dedekind domain.

Proof. The former is due to Quillen [17] Thm. 5.11, and the latter is due to Bloch [4]. Q.E.D.

Suppose that X is either of the cases in Theorem 2.1. Then (2.1) gives the flasque resolution of the sheaf \mathcal{K}_i so that we have the isomorphism

$$H^{j}_{\operatorname{Zar}}(X,\mathcal{K}_{i}) \cong \frac{\operatorname{ker}(\bigoplus_{x \in X^{j}} K_{i-j}(\kappa(x)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{j+1}} K_{i-j-1}(\kappa(x)))}{\operatorname{Image}(\bigoplus_{x \in X^{j-1}} K_{i-j+1}(\kappa(x)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{j}} K_{i-j}(\kappa(x)))}$$
(2.2)

Hereafter, we often use the identification (2.2). In particular, we identify $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_2) = H^0_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{K}_2)$ with the kernel of the *tame symbol*

$$\tau = \bigoplus_{x \in X^1} \tau_x : K_2^M(\kappa(\eta)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^1} \kappa(x)^*, \quad \{f, g\} \mapsto \sum_{x \in X^1} (-1)^{\operatorname{ord}_x(f)\operatorname{ord}_x(g)} \frac{f^{\operatorname{ord}_x(g)}}{g^{\operatorname{ord}_x(f)}}.$$
 (2.3)

Here K_2^M denotes Milnor's K_2 .

Let X be a regular scheme of dimension d. There is the natural map $K_2(X) \to \ker \tau$, which is surjective if tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}[1/(d+1)!]$ ([22] Théorème 4 iv)). In particular, if X is either of the cases in Theorem 2.1, we have a natural surjection

$$K_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{(d+1)!}] \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{(d+1)!}].$$
 (2.4)

2.2 Regulator and dlog maps on $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_2)$

Let W_k be a nonsingular variety over a field k. There are the *regulator maps* (also called the *Chern class maps*)

$$c_B: \Gamma(W_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow H^2_B(W_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(2))$$
(2.5)

to the Betti cohomology group when $k = \mathbb{C}$,

$$c_{\text{\acute{e}t}}: \Gamma(W_k, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(W_k, \mathbb{Z}/n(2))$$
 (2.6)

to the étale cohomology group when n is invertible in k, and

$$c_{\mathrm{dR}}: \Gamma(W_k, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow H^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(W_k/k)$$
 (2.7)

to the de Rham cohomology group when k is of characteristic zero (cf. [26] §23. See also [8], [18] for the general Chern class maps on Quillen's K-groups).

The following theorem will play an essential role in the proof of Theorem B.

Theorem 2.2 (Suslin's exact sequence ; [26] Corollary 23.4) Suppose that n is invertible in k. There is the natural exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(W_k, \mathcal{K}_2)/n \xrightarrow{c_{\acute{e}t}} H^2_{\acute{e}t}(W_k, \mathbb{Z}/n(2)) \longrightarrow H^1_{\operatorname{Zar}}(W_k, \mathcal{K}_2)[n] \longrightarrow 0.$$
(2.8)

Suppose that k is of characteristic zero. The de Rham regulator c_{dR} factors through the subspace $F^2 H^2_{dR}(W_k/k) = \Gamma(\overline{W}_k, \Omega^2_{\overline{W}_k}(\log D_k))$ where $\overline{W}_k \supset W_k$ is a smooth compactification such that $D_k := \overline{W}_k - W_k$ is a NCD. Thus it gives rise to the *dlog map*

dlog :
$$\Gamma(W_k, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\overline{W}_k, \Omega^2_{\overline{W}_k}(\log D_k)),$$
 (2.9)

which is written as $\sum \{f, g\} \mapsto \sum df / f \cdot dg / g$ under the identification (2.2).

Let A be a discrete valuation ring with the uniformizer π , and K its quotient field. Let W_A be a smooth scheme over A and $\overline{W}_A \supset W_A$ smooth over A such that $D_A = \sum D_{i,A} := \overline{W}_A - W_A$ is a *relative* normal crossing divisor over A, which means that all $D_{i,A}$ are smooth over A and any intersection locus of D_A are also smooth. Then we also have the dlog map

dlog :
$$\Gamma(W_A, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\overline{W}_A, \Omega^2_{\overline{W}_A/A}(\log D_A)).$$
 (2.10)

Although the existence of dlog (2.10) is folklore, I give the definition for the convenience of the reader. Let $W_K := W_A \times_A K$. Put

$$\Omega^{j}_{\overline{W}_{A}/A}(\log) := \varinjlim_{E} \Gamma(\overline{W}_{A} - E_{\operatorname{sing}}, \Omega^{j}_{\overline{W}_{A}/A}(\log E)) \subset \Omega^{j}_{K(W)/K}$$

where E runs over all divisors on \overline{W}_A such that each irreducible component is flat over A and E_{sing} denotes the singular locus. We claim that the image of the dlog map

$$K_2^M(K(W)) \longrightarrow \Omega^2_{K(W)/K}, \quad \{f,g\} \longmapsto \frac{df}{f} \frac{dg}{g}$$

is contained in $\Omega^2_{\overline{W}_A/A}(\log)$. In fact let $f \in K(W)^*$. Since d(cf)/(cf) = df/f for $c \in K^*$ and \overline{W}_A is smooth over A, we may replace f with $\pi^m f$ so that all irreducible components of the divisor of f are flat over A. Thus we have $df/f \in \Gamma(\overline{W}_A - E_{\text{sing}}, \Omega^1_{\overline{W}_A/A}(\log E))$ for all $f \in K(W)^*$ and hence $df/f \cdot dg/g \in \Omega^2_{\overline{W}_A/A}(\log E)$. Let

$$\operatorname{Res}_x : \Omega^j_{\overline{W}_A/A}(\log) \longrightarrow \Omega^{j-1}_{\kappa(x)/K}$$

be the residue map at x. Then we have a commutative diagram

The kernel of the bottom arrow is

$$\varinjlim_{E} \Gamma(\overline{W}_{A} - E_{\text{sing}}, \Omega^{2}_{\overline{W}_{A}/A}(\log D_{A})) \subset \varinjlim_{\text{codim}Z \ge 2} \Gamma(\overline{W}_{A} - Z, \Omega^{2}_{\overline{W}_{A}/A}(\log D_{A}))$$
$$= \Gamma(\overline{W}_{A}, \Omega^{2}_{\overline{W}_{A}/A}(\log D_{A})).$$

The last equality follows from the fact that $\Omega^2_{\overline{W}_A/A}(\log D_A)$ is locally free of finite rank. Thus we get the dlog map

dlog :
$$\Gamma(W_K, \mathcal{K}_2) = \ker \tau \longrightarrow \Gamma(\overline{W}_A, \Omega^2_{\overline{W}_A/A}(\log D_A))$$
 (2.12)

and hence (2.10).

2.3 Elliptic surfaces over fields or discrete valuation rings

Let A be a field or a discrete valuation ring. In this paper, we mean by an *elliptic surface* over A a projective flat morphism $\pi_A : X_A \to C_A$ of A-schemes such that

- (i). X_A and C_A are projective and smooth schemes over A of relative dimension 2 and 1 respectively,
- (ii). The general fiber of π_A is an elliptic curve,
- (iii). π_A has a section $e_A : C_A \to X_A$.
- (iv). Let $\Sigma_A \subset C_A$ be the closed subscheme such that π_A is smooth outside Σ_A . Then Σ_A consists of disjoint union of $P_{i,A} \cong \text{Spec}A$.

Let K be the quotient field of A and \overline{K} the algebraic closure. Put $X_K := X_A \times_A K$ and $X_{\overline{K}} := X_A \times_A \overline{K}$ etc. If all fibers of $\pi_{\overline{K}} : X_{\overline{K}} \to C_{\overline{K}}$ are free from (-1)-curves, we call it *minimal*. There are a unique minimal elliptic surface $\pi'_{\overline{K}} : V_{\overline{K}} \to C_{\overline{K}}$ over \overline{K} and a surjective map $\rho_{\overline{K}} : X_{\overline{K}} \to V_{\overline{K}}$ such that $\pi'_{\overline{K}} \rho_{\overline{K}} = \pi_{\overline{K}}$:



The classification of the singular fibers of minimal elliptic surface is well-known thanks to works of Kodaira and Néron. I refer the reader to the book [20] IV §8. Of particular interest to us is the type I_m , $m \ge 1$ which is also called the *multiplicative type*. We say a fiber $D_{i,A}$ is of type I_m etc. if so is $Y_{i,\overline{K}} := \rho_{\overline{K}}(D_{i,\overline{K}})$ in the language of Kodaira and Néron (cf. loc.cit). Note that if π_A has a section, then there is no multiple fiber (also called ${}_mI_n$).

For convenience, we put the numbering of $D_{i,A}$ in the following way.

$$D_{i,A} = \begin{cases} \text{type } I_{r_i} \text{ (multiplicative fiber)} & 1 \le i \le s, \\ \text{other types} & i > s. \end{cases}$$

Since Lemma 2.3 below, we only consider the case $s \ge 1$, namely there is at least one multiplicative fiber, which is also equivalent to that the functional *j*-invariant is not constant. If the multiplicative fibers satisfy the following condition, we say that they are *A*-rational.

(Rat) For each $1 \leq i \leq s$ there is a closed subscheme $D_{i,A}^0 \subset D_{i,A}$ such that $D_{i,A}^0 \times_A \overline{K}$ is the rational curves arranged in the shape of r_i -gon. Moreover if $r_i \geq 2$, then $D_{i,A}^0$ consists of r_i -irreducible components $D_{i,A}^{(k)} \cong \mathbb{P}_A^1$ which intersect transversally and all intersection locus are A-rational points. If $r_i = 1$, then $D_{i,A}$ is the geometrically irreducible rational curve over A with a A-rational node.

We say that $\pi_A : X_A \to C_A$ has relative normal crossing divisors (abbreviated NCD's) in singular fibers if

(NCD) the reduced schemes $(D_{i,A})_{red}$ of $D_{i,A}$ are relative NCD's over SpecA for all i.

2.4 Boundary maps

Let $\pi_A : X_A \to C_A$ be an elliptic surface satisfying **(Rat)**. Let $D_{i,A}$ be a multiplicative fiber. Fix an irreducible component $Z_{i,A} \subset D^0_{i,A}$ and a singular point $Q_{i,A}$ of $D^0_{i,A}$ such that $Q_{i,A} \in Z_{i,A}$. Put $Z^0_{i,A} = Z_{i,A} \cap D^{0,\text{reg}}_{i,A}$ where $D^{0,\text{reg}}_{i,A}$ denotes the regular locus. Then $Z_{i,A}^0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{m,A}$. Let $\tau_i : \Gamma(U_A, \mathcal{K}_2) \to \Gamma(Z_{i,A}^0, \mathcal{K}_1)$ be the tame symbol (2.3) at $Z_{i,A}^0$ and $\operatorname{ord}_i : \Gamma(Z_{i,A}^0, \mathcal{K}_1) \to \mathbb{Z}$ the map of order at $Q_{i,A}$. Put $\partial_i := \operatorname{ord}_i \cdot \tau_i$. We call the following map the *boundary map* in algebraic K-theory:

$$\partial = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \partial_i : \Gamma(U_A, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus s}.$$
 (2.13)

It is easy to see that ∂_i does not depend on the choices of $Z_{i,A}$ nor $Q_{i,A}$ up to sign (cf. [19] 1.5 or §4.2.1 below). (Since our objective is the image of the boundary, the sign ambiguity does not matter.) There are the corresponding maps (which we also call the *boundary maps*)

$$\partial_B : H^2_B(U_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus s}$$
(2.14)

on the Betti cohomology when $A = \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\partial_{\text{\acute{e}t}} : H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Z}/n(2)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n^{\oplus s}$$
 (2.15)

on the étale cohomology when $A = \overline{k}$ is an algebraically closed field in which n is invertible. The map ∂_B is defined as the composition of the following maps

$$H^2_B(U_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H^1_B(Z^0_{i,\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z}$$

The definition of $\partial_{\text{\acute{e}t}}$ is similar. Note that ∂_B (or $\partial_{\text{\acute{e}t}}$) is also defined as the composition of $H^2_B(U_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \to H^3_{Y,B}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ and the Poincare-Lefschetz duality $H^3_{Y,B}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong$ $H_1(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus s}$.

Suppose further the condition (NCD). Then there is the Poincare residue map

$$\partial_{\mathrm{DR}} : \Gamma(X_A, \Omega^2_{X_A/A}(\log D_A)) \longrightarrow A^{\oplus s}$$
 (2.16)

on the de Rham cohomology group. The boundary maps ∂_B , $\partial_{\text{\acute{e}t}}$ and ∂_{DR} are compatible with ∂ under the dlog or regulator maps. In particular we have a commutative diagram

Lemma 2.3 Suppose that A = k is a field of characteristic zero.

- (1) $\operatorname{dlog}\Gamma(U_k, \mathcal{K}_2) \cong \partial\Gamma(U_k, \mathcal{K}_2)$. In particular, $0 \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{dlog}\Gamma(U_k, \mathcal{K}_2) \leq s$.
- (2) Let $K \supset k$ be a field. Then $\partial \Gamma(U_k \times_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{K}_2) = \partial \Gamma(U_k \times_k \overline{K}, \mathcal{K}_2).$

Proof. (1). Due to the commutative diagram (2.17), it is enough to show that ∂_{dR} is injective on the image dlog $\Gamma(U_k, \mathcal{K}_2)$. It follows from an easy argument that we may

assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. Then the dlog image is contained in $H^2_B(U_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(2))/(\text{torsion})$ and ∂_{dR} is compatible with ∂_B . Thus it is enough to show that the following map

is injective. Since ∂_B is a homomorphism of mixed Hodge structures, the injectivity of (2.18) follows from the Hodge symmetry.

(2). Easy. Q.E.D.

3 Theorem A and $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$

3.1 Tate curves over complete rings

Let A be a noetherian local ring which is complete with respect to an ideal qA. Then the *Tate curve* $E_q = E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}$ is defined to be the proper smooth scheme over $A[q^{-1}]$ defined by the equation

$$y^{2} + xy = x^{3} + a_{4}(q)x + a_{6}(q)$$
(3.1)

with

$$a_4(q) = -5\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^3 q^n}{1-q^n}, \quad a_6(q) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(5n^3 + 7n^5)q^n}{12(1-q^n)}.$$
(3.2)

Let O be the infinity point of E_q . The series

$$x(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} - 2 \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}$$
(3.3)

$$y(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(q^n u)^2}{(1 - q^n u)^3} + \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}$$
(3.4)

converge for all $u \in A[q^{-1}]^* - q^{\mathbb{Z}}$. They induce a homomorphism

$$A[q^{-1}]^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow E_q(A[q^{-1}]), \quad u \longmapsto \begin{cases} (x(u), y(u)) & \text{if } u \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ O & \text{if } u \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}, \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

which is bijective if A is a complete discrete valuation ring. We often use the uniformization (3.5). In particular, we have

$$\frac{dx}{2y+x} = \frac{du}{u}$$

which we call the canonical invariant 1-form.

Definition 3.1 (Theta function)

$$\theta(u) = \theta(u,q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1-u) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n u)(1-q^n u^{-1})$$

 $\theta(u)$ converges for all $u \in A[q^{-1}]^*$ and satisfy

$$\theta(qu) = \theta(u^{-1}) = -u^{-1}\theta(u) \tag{3.6}$$

$$\theta(q^{-n}u) = (-u)^n q^{-n(n+1)/2} \theta(u) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$
(3.7)

In particular a function

$$f(u) = c \prod_{i} \frac{\theta(\alpha_{i}u)}{\theta(\beta_{i}u)}$$
(3.8)

is q-periodic if $\prod_i \alpha_i / \beta_i = 1$. Suppose that $A = \mathcal{R}$ is a complete discrete valuation ring. We denote by \mathcal{K} its quotient field. Then, for any rational function f(u) on $E_{a,\overline{\mathcal{K}}}$, one can find c, α_i , $\beta_i \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}^*$ such that f(u) is given as in (3.8). Thus we have the one-one correspondence

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}}(E_q)^* \xleftarrow{1:1} \left\{ c \prod_i \frac{\theta(\alpha_i u)}{\theta(\beta_i u)} \; ; \; c, \; \alpha_i, \; \beta_i \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}^* \text{ with } \prod_i \alpha_i / \beta_i = 1 \right\}, \tag{3.9}$$

by which we often identify the both sides.

3.2 Statement of Theorem A

Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime number, and $r \geq 1$ an integer. Let R_0 be the integer ring of a finite unramified extension K_0 of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree d. There is a n-th root ζ of unity with $p \not| n$ such that $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$ and $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta]$. Let q_0 be an indeterminate and put $q := q_0^r$. Let us consider the Tate curve $E_q = E_{q,R_0((q_0))}$ over $R_0((q_0)) := R_0[[q_0]][q_0^{-1}]$. The dlog map $\mathcal{K}_2 \to \Omega^2_{E_q/R_0}$ of Zariski sheaves on E_q gives rise to

dlog:
$$\Gamma(E_q, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E_q, \Omega^2_{E_q/R_0}).$$
 (3.10)

We also denote by dlog the composite map

$$K_2(E_q) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E_q, \mathcal{K}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{dlog}} \Gamma(E_q, \Omega^2_{E_q/R_0}) \longrightarrow R_0((q_0)) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{du}{u},$$

where the last map is the natural map due to the fact that the relative dimension of E_q/R_0 is two and the fiber is the elliptic curve. Define a map

$$\phi = \phi_{\zeta} : R_0((q_0)) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{du}{u} \longrightarrow \prod_{k \ge 1} (\mathbb{Z}_p / k^2 \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\oplus d}$$
(3.11)

in the following way. Express

$$f(q_0)\frac{dq_0}{q_0}\frac{du}{u} = \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} c_j q_0^j\right)\frac{dq_0}{q_0}\frac{du}{u}$$
(3.12)

$$= \left(\sum_{j \le 0} c_j q_0^j + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{a_k q_0^k}{1 - q_0^k} + \frac{b_k \zeta q_0^k}{1 - \zeta q_0^k} + \dots + \frac{l_k \zeta^{d-1} q_0^k}{1 - \zeta^{d-1} q_0^k}\right) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{du}{u}$$
(3.13)

with $a_k, b_k, \dots, l_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that a_k, \dots, l_k are uniquely determined. Then we define

$$\phi: f(q_0) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{du}{u} \longmapsto (a_k \mod k^2, \cdots, l_k \mod k^2,)_{k \ge 1}.$$

Theorem A. Suppose that p is prime to 2r. Then the composition

$$K_2(E_q) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dlog}} R_0((q_0)) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{du}{u} \xrightarrow{\phi} \prod_{k \ge 1} (\mathbb{Z}_p/k^2 \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\oplus d}$$
(3.14)

is zero.

This is the most important result in this paper. It gives a criterion for 2-forms to be contained in the dlog image. We note that we can replace $K_2(E_q)$ with $\Gamma(E_q, \mathcal{K}_2)$ in Theorem A if $p \geq 5$. In fact the map $K_2(E_q) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/6] \longrightarrow \Gamma(E_q, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/6]$ is surjective as dim $E_q = 2$ ([22] Théorème 4 iv)). The map ϕ depends on the choice of ζ . However we will later see that the kernel of ϕ does not depend on it (Remark 5.2).

We will prove Theorem A in §4 and §5. The outline is as follows. We first construct the following commutative diagram



for $\nu \geq 1$ where h is the natural map from the ring homomorphism $R_0((q_0)) \to R_0((q_0))[p^{-1}]$ and ι is given by $h \mapsto \frac{dh}{h} \frac{du}{u}$. The map $\tau_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}$ is defined from the étale regulator and the weight exact sequence on the étale H^1 of Tate curve. The map τ_{∞} is defined in the language of algebraic K-theory, which turns out to be an analogue of the Beilinson regulator, though our construction is completely different from Beilinson's one (and it is rather simple). Although the three maps dlog, τ_{∞} and $\tau_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}$ are defined in different ways, it turns out that they are compatible. In particular we can reduce the problem to the characterization of the image of τ_{∞} . It follows from the definition that the image of $\tau_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}$ is contained in the kernel of the map $x \mapsto x \cup q$ of cup-product in étale cohomology groups of $R_0((q_0))[p^{-1}]$. Thus we get a vanishing

$$\{\tau_{\infty}(\xi), q\} = 0$$
 in $K_2^M(R_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu}$ (3.15)

for all $\xi \in K_2(E_q)$ where $R_0\langle q_0 \rangle$ denotes the *p*-adic completion of $R_0((q_0))$ (cf. §4.1.1). Finally we apply Kato's explicit reciprocity law to (3.15) and obtain the desired result.

Remark 3.2 In an earlier version, I proved Theorem A only in the case of $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and only for $k \leq p(2p-2)$. The outline of the proof was the same, but I used the Artin-Hasse formula instead of Kato's explicit reciprocity law. Dr. Yasuda informed me Kato's work and pointed out that we can get more general statement.

3.3 $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$: a bounding space of dlog image

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime number. Let K be a finite unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and R its integer ring. Let $\pi_R : X_R \to C_R$ be an elliptic surface over R as in §2.3 satisfying (**Rat**) and (**NCD**). Assume that p is prime to $r_1 \cdots r_s$. Let $t_i \in \mathcal{O}_{C_R}$ be the uniformizer of $P_{i,R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $\iota_i : \operatorname{Spec} R((t_i)) \longrightarrow S_R$ be the punctured neighborhood of $P_{i,R}$ and X_i the fiber product:



Then X_i is isomorphic to the Tate curve over $R((t_i))$. More precisely let $q \in R((t_i))$ be the unique power series such that $\operatorname{ord}_{t_i}(q) = r_i$ and

$$j(X_i) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + \cdots .$$
(3.16)

Then there is an isomorphism

$$X_i \cong E_{q,R((t_i))} \tag{3.17}$$

of $R((t_i))$ -schemes. The isomorphism (3.17) is unique up to the translation and the involution morphism. Put $a_i := t_i^{r_i}/j(X_i)|_{t_i=0} = t_i^{r_i}/q|_{t_i=0} \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Let $K_i = K(a_i^{1/r_i})$ and R_i its integer ring. Since p is prime to r_i , K_i is also unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p . There is $q_i \in R_i((t_i))$ such that $q_i^{r_i} = q$ and we have $R_i((t_i)) = R_i((q_i))$. Put $\Gamma(X_R, \Omega^2_{X_R/R}(\log D_R))_{\mathbb{Z}_p} :=$ $\partial_{\mathrm{dR}}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus s})$ where ∂_{dR} is the boundary map (2.16). We have the composition of natural maps

$$\Gamma(X_R, \Omega^2_{X_R/R}(\log D_R))_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \longrightarrow \Gamma(X_i, \Omega^2_{X_i/R}) \cong \Gamma(E_{q, R((t_i))}, \Omega^2_{E_{q, R((t_i))}/R}) \longrightarrow R_i((q_i)) \frac{dq_i}{q_i} \frac{du}{u} \quad (3.18)$$

where the isomorphism in the middle is due to (3.17). Let $d_i = [K_i : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. There are the corresponding maps on K-cohomology to (3.18) which give rise to a commutative diagram

Let ϕ_i be the composition of the right vertical arrows. Thanks to Theorem A and remark after it we have $\phi d\log = 0$ in (3.19). Thus we have

Theorem 3.3 Suppose $p \not| 6r_1 \cdots r_s$. Then the composition

$$\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dlog}} \Gamma(X_R, \Omega^2_{X_R/R}(\log D_R))_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \xrightarrow{\oplus \phi_i} \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \prod_{k \ge 1} (\mathbb{Z}_p/k^2 \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\oplus d_i}$$
(3.20)

is zero.

Definition 3.4 $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := \ker(\oplus \phi_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \ker \phi_i.$

Note that since ker ϕ_i does not depend on the choice of ζ_i such that $R_i = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_i]$ (Remark 5.2), so does not $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

Although the sequence (3.14) is far from exact, I expect that (3.20) is exact (modulo torsion), namely the dlog image is characterized by the numerical conditions on the Fourier coefficients:

Conjecture 3.5 (characterization of dlog image)

$$\mathrm{dlog}\Gamma(U_R,\mathcal{K}_2)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p=\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$$

$\ \ \, {\bf 4} \quad {\bf Construction \ of} \ \langle \ , \ \rangle \ {\bf and} \ \tau_\infty \\$

In this section we construct maps

$$\langle , \rangle : K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^\flat) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^*,$$

$$(4.1)$$

$$\tau_{\infty}: K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}] \longrightarrow A[q^{-1}]^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}], \tag{4.2}$$

where \mathcal{K} is a complete discrete valuation field (see §4.1.1 below for the definition of $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$), (A, q, r) is as in the beginning of §4.2, and $E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}$ is the Tate curve over $A[q^{-1}]$. Both maps play important roles in the proof of Theorem A. I keep in mind the cases of $\mathcal{K} = L$ a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or $\mathcal{K} = k((q_0))$ for (4.1) and the case that $A = R_0((q))$ or R_0 for (4.2).

4.1 Construction of the pairing $\langle , \rangle : K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}) \to \mathcal{K}^*$

Let \mathcal{R} be a complete discrete valuation ring with a uniformizer $\pi_{\mathcal{K}}$ and \mathcal{K} the quotient field of \mathcal{R} . Let $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the valuation such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\pi_{\mathcal{K}}) = 1$. By convention, $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(0) = +\infty$. This map is uniquely extended on $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ the algebraic closure of \mathcal{K} , which we also write by $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}$. We put $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{R}/\pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n$, $U_n = 1 + \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n R$ $(n \ge 1)$ and $k = \mathcal{R}/\pi_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{R}$ the residue field.

4.1.1 $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle$ and $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$

Letting u be an indeterminate, we define a ring $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle$ to be the $\pi_{\mathcal{K}}$ -adic completion of $\mathcal{R}((u)) := \mathcal{R}[[u]][u^{-1}]$:

$$\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim_{i} \left(\mathcal{R} / \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^{i}((u)) \right).$$

 $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle$ is the subring of the ring $\mathcal{R}[[u, u^{-1}]]$ of formal Laurent series which is generated by

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i u^i \quad (a_i \in \mathcal{R})$$

such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(a_i) \to +\infty$ as $i \to -\infty$. Since $\mathcal{R}((u))$ is a PID, $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle$ is a discrete valuation ring with a uniformizer $\pi_{\mathcal{K}}$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle$ the fractional field : $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle = \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle = \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle [\pi_{\mathcal{K}}^{-1}]$. The valuation on $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle$ is given by

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle}\left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty}a_{i}u^{i}\right) = \min(\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(a_{i}); i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Any $f \in \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle$ has the following expression

$$f = a_0 u^n \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - a_{-i} u^{-i}) (1 - a_i u^i),$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_0 \in \mathcal{K}^* \\ a_i \in \mathcal{R} & i \neq 0 \\ \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(a_{-i}) > 0 & i > 0 \\ \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(a_{-i}) \to +\infty & \operatorname{as} i \to +\infty. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.3)$$

Then $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle}(f) = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(a_0)$. The residue field of $\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle$ is the field k((u)) of formal power series with coefficient in k. Note that the field $\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle$ contains $\mathcal{K}(u)$, however neither $\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle \subset \mathcal{K}((u))$ nor $\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle \supset \mathcal{K}((u))$.

Lemma 4.1 Let $f \in \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle$. The following are equivalent.

(1) For any $n \ge 1$, there are $f_n \in \mathcal{K}(u)$ and $\phi_n \in \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R}(u)$ such that $f = f_n(1 + \phi_n)$.

(2) For any $n \ge 1$, there are $f'_n \in \mathcal{K}(u)$ and $\phi'_n \in \pi^n_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle$ such that $f = f'_n + \phi'_n$.

Proof. Assume that (1) holds. Put $N = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(f)$. We have $N = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(f_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $n_1 \geq \max(n-N, 1)$. Put $f'_n = f_{n_1}$ and $\phi'_n = f_{n_1}\phi_{n_1}$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(\phi_n) \geq n_1 + N$, we have (2). Conversely, assume that (2) holds. Then for all n > N we have $N = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(f'_n)$. Put $f_n = f'_{n+N}$ and $\phi_n = \phi'_{n+N}/f'_{n+N}$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(\phi_n) \geq (n+N) - N = n$, we have (1). Q.E.D.

Definition 4.2 We define

 $\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle^{\flat} \subset \mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle$

as the subset of the elements such that the equivalent conditions in Lemma 4.1 hold. Moreover, we define

$$\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat} \stackrel{def}{=} \{ f \in \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}; \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(f) \ge 0 \}.$$

Lemma 4.3 $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ is a complete discrete valuation field with $\pi_{\mathcal{K}}$ a uniformizer, and $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ is its valuation ring. The residue field is k(u).

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ and $g \in (\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat})^*$ then $fg^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ by Lemma 4.1 (1) and $f - g \in \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ by Lemma 4.1 (2). Therefore $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ is a field. The map $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}$ gives the discrete valuation on $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$. Then $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ is its valuation ring by definition. It follows from Lemma 4.1 (2) that any Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ converges to an element in $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$. Thus $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ is complete. The last assertion is clear. Q.E.D.

Example. Let $q \in \mathcal{K}^*$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(q) > 0$. The typical example of elements of $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ is the theta function $\theta(u,q) = (1-u) \prod_{n \geq 1} (1-q^n u)(1-q^n u^{-1})$. On the other hand, let $f = a_0 + a_1 u + a_2 u^2 + \cdots \in \mathcal{R}[[u]]$ such that $f \mod(\pi_{\mathcal{K}}) \notin k(u)$. Then f is contained in $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle$ but not in $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$.

4.1.2 $\langle \ , \ \rangle_n$ and $\langle \ , \ \rangle$

For $\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ let τ_{α} denote the tame symbol at $u = \alpha$:

$$\tau_{\alpha}: K_2^M(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(u)) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{K}}^*, \quad \{f, g\} \longmapsto (-1)^{\operatorname{ord}_{\alpha}(f)\operatorname{ord}_{\alpha}(g)} \left(\frac{f^{\operatorname{ord}_{\alpha}(g)}}{g^{\operatorname{ord}_{\alpha}(f)}}\right)|_{u=\alpha}.$$

Define a pairing

$$(\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle^{\flat})^* \times (\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle^{\flat})^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^*/U_n, \quad (f,g) \longmapsto \langle f,g\rangle_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{f_n,g_n\}$$
(4.4)

where $f = f_n(1 + \phi_n)$ and $g = g_n(1 + \psi_n)$ are as in Lemma 4.1 (1) and α runs over all $\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha) > 0$ (including $\alpha = 0$). Since the choices of f_n or g_n are not unique, we need to show that the above pairing does not depend on them:

Lemma 4.4 The pairing (4.4) is well-defined.

Proof. We want to show that if $h \in \mathcal{K}(u)^* \cap (1 + \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle)$ and $h' \in \mathcal{K}(u)^*$ then we have

$$\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{h, h'\} \in U_n.$$
(4.5)

More generally, we show

$$\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{h,a\} \in \overline{U}_n := 1 + \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \overline{\mathcal{R}}$$

$$(4.6)$$

$$\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{h, b-u\} \in \overline{U}_n \tag{4.7}$$

for $a, b \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ where $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes the integer ring of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$.

For any $h \in \mathcal{K}(u)^*$ there is a decomposition

$$h = cu^{n} \prod_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_{i})>0} (1 - \alpha_{i}u^{-1})^{r_{i}} \prod_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\beta_{j})\geq0} (1 - \beta_{j}u)^{s_{j}}$$
(4.8)

with $n, r_i, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c, \alpha_i, \beta_j \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}^*$. Since $h \in \mathcal{K}(u)^*$ and \mathcal{K} is complete, both of

$$\prod_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_i)>0} (1-\alpha_i u^{-1})^{r_i}, \quad \prod_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\beta_j)\geq 0} (1-\beta_j u)^{s_j}$$

are in $\mathcal{K}(u)^*$ and hence $c \in \mathcal{K}^*$. Assume $h \in 1 + \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle$. Then reduction mod $\pi_{\mathcal{K}}$ shows n = 0. Moreover since

$$c \prod_{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_i)>0} (1 - \alpha_i u^{-1})^{r_i} = c(1 + a_1 u^{-1} + a_2 u^{-2} + a_3 u^{-3} + \cdots)$$
$$\equiv \prod_{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\beta_j)\ge0} (1 - \beta_j u)^{-s_j} \mod \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle$$
$$= 1 + b_1 u + b_2 u^2 + b_3 u^3 + \cdots,$$

we have $c - 1 \equiv a_i \equiv b_j \equiv 0 \mod \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n$. Therefore it is enough to show (4.6) and (4.7) in the following cases:

Case (i) h = c where $c \equiv 1 \mod \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n$,

Case (ii) $h = \prod_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_i)>0} (1 - \alpha_i u^{-1})^{r_i} = 1 + a_1 u^{-1} + a_2 u^{-2} + \cdots$ with $\forall a_i \equiv 0 \mod \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n$, Case (iii) $h = \prod_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\beta_j)\geq 0} (1 - \beta_j u)^{s_j} = 1 + b_1 u + b_2 u^2 + \cdots$ with $\forall b_i \equiv 0 \mod \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n$. Proof : Case (i). Easy.

Proof : Case (ii). (4.6) is clear. We show (4.7). If $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(b) \leq 0$, then

$$\sum_{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{h, b-u\} = \prod_{i} \left(\frac{b}{b-\alpha_{i}}\right)^{r_{i}} = (1+a_{1}b^{-1}+a_{2}b^{-2}+\cdots)^{-1} \equiv 1 \mod \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^{n}$$

If $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(b) > 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then

$$\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{h, b-u\} = \sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}(\{\prod_{\alpha_{i}\neq b} (1-\alpha_{i}u^{-1})^{r_{i}}, b-u\} + \{(1-bu^{-1})^{r}, b-u\})$$
$$= \prod_{\alpha_{i}\neq b} \left(\frac{b}{b-\alpha_{i}}\right)^{r_{i}} \left(1-\alpha_{i}b^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}} \cdot \frac{b^{r}}{b^{r}}$$
$$= 1.$$

If b = 0, then

$$\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{h, -u\} = \sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{\prod_{\alpha_{i}\neq0} (1-\alpha_{i}u^{-1})^{r_{i}}, -u\}$$
$$= \prod_{\alpha_{i}\neq0} \left(\frac{-\alpha_{i}}{-\alpha_{i}}\right)^{r_{i}}$$
$$= 1.$$

Proof : Case (iii). (4.6) is clear. (4.7) is also clear if $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(b) \leq 0$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(b) > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha)>0} \tau_{\alpha}\{h, b-u\} = \prod_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\beta_j)\geq 0} (1-\beta_j b)^{s_j} = 1+b_1b+b_2b^2+\cdots \equiv 1 \mod \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n.$$

This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.5 (1) \langle , \rangle_n is biadditive.

(2) Let $f, g \in (\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat})^*$ and $f \in 1 + \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle$. Then $\langle f, g \rangle_n = 1$.

(3) For $m \ge n$ the pairings \langle , \rangle_m and \langle , \rangle_n are compatible:

(4)
$$\langle f, 1-f \rangle_n = 1$$
 for any $f \in (\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat})^*$ such that $f \neq 1$.

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) are obvious. To show (4), let $f = f_m(1 + \phi_m)$ $(m \ge n)$ be the decomposition as in Lemma 4.1 (1). Put $N = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(f) = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(f_m)$ and $M = \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle}(1-f)$. Then for m > M - N the order of $1 - f_m = 1 - f + f_m \phi_m$ is equal to M. Therefore we have

$$1 - f = 1 - f_m - f_m \phi_m = (1 - f_m) \left(1 - \frac{f_m \phi_m}{1 - f_m} \right) = (1 - f_m)(1 - \psi_m)$$

with $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle}(\psi_m) \ge m + N - M$. Take $m \ge \max(n, n + M - N)$. Thus we have

$$\langle f, 1-f \rangle_n = \sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha) > 0} \tau_{\alpha} \{ f_m, 1-f_m \} = 1.$$

This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Due to Lemma 4.5 the pairing \langle , \rangle_n induces a map on Milnor's K_2 :

$$\langle , \rangle_n : K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^\flat) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^*/U_n, \quad \{f,g\} \longmapsto \langle f,g \rangle_n.$$
 (4.9)

Due to Lemma 4.5(3) it forms the projective system. We define

$$\langle , \rangle = \varprojlim_{n} \langle , \rangle_{n} : K_{2}^{M}(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{n} \mathcal{K}^{*}/U_{n} = \mathcal{K}^{*}.$$
 (4.10)

Lemma 4.6 (Explicit description of the pairing) Let $f, g \in \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ be expressed as

$$f = a_0 u^n \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - a_{-i} u^{-i})(1 - a_i u^i), \quad g = b_0 u^m \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - b_{-i} u^{-i})(1 - b_i u^i),$$

where a_i and b_i are as in (4.3). Then we have

$$\langle f,g\rangle = (-1)^{nm} \frac{a_0^m}{b_0^n} \prod_{i,j\ge 1} \frac{(1-a_i^{j/(i,j)}b_{-j}^{i/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}}{(1-a_{-i}^{j/(i,j)}b_j^{i/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}}$$
(4.11)

where (i, j) denotes the greatest common divisor of i and j.

Proof. Note that \langle , \rangle_n annihilates the subgroup $\{1 + \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R} \langle u \rangle \cap \mathcal{K} \langle u \rangle^{\flat}, -\}$ by Lemma 4.5 (2). Now (4.11) is straightforward from the definition. Q.E.D.

Let $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle_n^{\flat} = \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat} / \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle / \pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle = \mathcal{R}_n((u))$ be the subring. Then due to Lemma 4.5 (2) (and Lemma 4.6), our \langle , \rangle also induces the following map

$$\langle , \rangle : K_2^M(\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle_n^\flat) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_n^*.$$
 (4.12)

Remark 4.7 We will see that the pairing (4.12) can be extended on $K_2^M(\mathcal{R}_n((u)))$ and the same formula as (4.11) holds (§4.2.2).

Remark 4.8 (Contou-Carrère symbols) The formula (4.11) can be seen in the definition of the symbols of Contou-Carrère [5]. Although it is a straightforward definition, it seems difficult to prove that it has the descend on $K_2^M(\mathcal{R}_n((u)))$ (at least I don't know).

4.1.3 Definition of $\tau_{\infty}: K_2(E_{q,\mathcal{K}}) \to \mathcal{K}^*$

Let $E_q = E_{q,\mathcal{K}}$ be the Tate curve over \mathcal{K} . The uniformization (3.5) induces a natural inclusion $\mathcal{K}(E_q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ as $\theta(u) \in \mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$. We define $\tau_{\infty} : K_2(E_{q,\mathcal{K}}) \to \mathcal{K}^*$ as the composition of the maps

$$K_2(E_{q,\mathcal{K}}) \longrightarrow K_2^M(\mathcal{K}(E_q)) \longrightarrow K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}) \xrightarrow{\langle , \rangle} \mathcal{K}^*.$$

This gives the definition of (4.2) when A is a complete discrete valuation ring.

Remark 4.9 (Beilinson's regulator) Suppose $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((q))$ and $E_q = \mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $|q| \ll 1$. Then one can easily see that our τ_{∞} is equal to Beilinson's regulator:

$$\tau_{\infty}(\xi) = \exp\left(\sum \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta} \log f \frac{dg}{g} - \log g(o) \frac{df}{f}\right), \quad \xi = \sum\{f, g\} \in K_2(E_q)$$

where o is an initial point and $\delta \in \pi_1(E_q, o)$ is the vanishing cycle.

4.2 Construction of τ_{∞} for arbitrary A

Let A be a regular local ring which is complete with respect to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let $q \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $q \neq 0$. We assume that $\mathcal{O} := A/\sqrt{qA}$ is also regular. Since A is a UFD, the prime ideal \sqrt{qA} is generated by a prime element π . Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer such that $\pi^r A = qA$. Let \hat{A} be the completion of $A_{(\pi)}$ with respect to π . Then \hat{A} is a complete discrete valuation ring with the uniformizer π . We have already constructed the map

$$\tau_{\infty}: K_2(E_{q,\hat{A}[q^{-1}]}) \longrightarrow \hat{A}[q^{-1}]^*$$

$$(4.13)$$

in §4.1.3. There is the natural map $K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}) \to K_2(E_{q,\hat{A}[q^{-1}]})$. In this section we show $\tau_{\infty}(K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]) \subset A[q^{-1}]^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]$, which allows us to define

$$\tau_{\infty}: K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}] \longrightarrow A[q^{-1}]^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}].$$

$$(4.14)$$

(Unfortunately I could not remove " $\otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]$ ". However it does not matter for our purpose because we only handle $K_2(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and the prime number p is supposed to be prime to r.)

4.2.1 Proper model $\mathcal{E}_{q,A}$

Let X be the proper scheme over A defined by the equation (3.1). Then $X \times_A \operatorname{Spec} A[q^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}$, and X is regular except at the locus $x = y = \pi = 0$. Taking (r-1)times blowing ups along the locus, we get a regular scheme $\mathcal{E}_{q,A}$ over A. It is proper over $A, \mathcal{E}_{q,A} \times_A \operatorname{Spec} A[q^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}$ and the special fiber $Y_{\mathcal{O}} := \mathcal{E}_{q,A} \times_A \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ is the rational curves over \mathcal{O} arranged in the shape of a r-gon.

Quillen's localization exact sequence (cf. [23] Prop.(5.15)) yields an exact sequence

$$K_2(\mathcal{E}_{q,A}) \longrightarrow K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}) \longrightarrow K'_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \xrightarrow{i_*} K_1(\mathcal{E}_{q,A})$$
 (4.15)

where $i: Y_{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,A}$. We first calculate $K'_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}})$. Let $Y_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{reg}}$ be the regular locus of $Y_{\mathcal{O}}$. It is the disjoint union of *r*-copies of $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$K_2(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}})^{\oplus r} \xrightarrow{\delta} K_1(\mathcal{O})^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow K'_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}})^{\oplus r} \xrightarrow{\delta'} \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus r}.$$
 (4.16)

Note $K_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}^*$ and $K_2(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}}) \cong K_2(\mathcal{O}) \oplus \mathcal{O}^*$ (loc.cit. Cor.(5.5)). Then $\delta(K_2(\mathcal{O})^{\oplus r}) = 0$ and the induced map

$$(K_2(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}})/K_2(\mathcal{O}))^{\oplus r} \cong (\mathcal{O}^*)^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow K_1(\mathcal{O})^{\oplus r} \cong (\mathcal{O}^*)^{\oplus r}$$

is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.17)

Therefore the cokernel of δ is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}^* . Similarly, $\delta'(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}) = 0$ and the induced map $K_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}})/K_1(\mathcal{O})^{\oplus r} = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus r}$ is also given by (4.17). Thus we have ker $\delta' \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{*\oplus r}$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \longrightarrow K'_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{* \oplus r} \longrightarrow 0.$$
(4.18)

Since the first map in (4.18) has the splitting by the map $K'_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \to K_1(\mathcal{O})$ induced from the structure morphism, we have

$$K_1'(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{* \oplus r} \oplus \mathcal{O}^*.$$
(4.19)

This also implies

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}' K_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}^{(k)}) \longrightarrow K_1'(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$
(4.20)

where $Y_{\mathcal{O}}^{(k)}$ are the irreducible components of $Y_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Next we study the kernel of i_* . Let us consider the composition of the following 4 maps

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} K_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}^{(k)}) \longrightarrow K_1'(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \xrightarrow{i_*} K_1(\mathcal{E}_{q,A}) \xrightarrow{i^*} K_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} K_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}^{(k)}).$$
(4.21)

Note $Y_{\mathcal{O}}^{(k)} \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^1$ and $K_1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}}^1) \cong \mathcal{O}^{*\oplus 2}$. The composition (4.21) is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & & & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.22)

Therefore we have

kernel of (4.21) = {
$$(\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_r) \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^r K_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}^{(k)}) \mid r\eta_1 = \cdots = r\eta_r$$
 }.

This shows

ker
$$i_* \subset \mathbb{Z} \oplus M \oplus \mathcal{O}^*$$
, $M := \{(c_1, \cdots, c_r) \in \mathcal{O}^{* \oplus r} \mid c_1^r = \cdots = c_r^r\}.$ (4.23)

under the identification (4.19).

We see that the inclusion in (4.23) is equal if we invert r. Let us see the last component \mathcal{O}^* . Let $p: \operatorname{Spec} A[q^{-1}] \to E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}$ be a rational point. Then $p_*K_2(A[q^{-1}]) \subset K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]})$ is onto the component \mathcal{O}^* . Next, we see the second component. Let $f_E: E_{q,A[q^{-1}]} \to \operatorname{Spec} A[q^{-1}]$ be the structure morphism. Then $f_E^*K_2(A[q^{-1}]) \subset K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]})$ is onto the diagonal component of $\mathcal{O}^{*\oplus r}$, which is equal to M if we invert r.

Finally we see the first component \mathbb{Z} . Note that the composition $K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}) \to K'_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the boundary map ∂ in §2.4. We show that the image of ∂ contains $r\mathbb{Z}$. Since A is complete with respect to q, there is the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[[q]] \to A$ and it gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q))}) & \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}) & \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z}. \end{array}$$

Therefore it is enough to show that the top arrow is surjective. Let 0 < a < b < c be integers. Put $q_0 = q^{1/c}$ and consider the embedding $\mathbb{Z}((q)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}((q_0))$. Let

$$f(u) := \frac{\theta(q_0^a u)^c}{\theta(u)^{c-a}\theta(qu)^a} = (-u)^a \left(\frac{\theta(q_0^a u)}{\theta(u)}\right)^c$$

and

$$g(u) := \frac{\theta(q_0^b u)^c}{\theta(u)^{c-b}\theta(qu)^b} = (-u)^b \left(\frac{\theta(q_0^b u)}{\theta(u)}\right)^c$$

the rational functions on $E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_0))}$. More precisely, let Q_i be the $\mathbb{Z}((q_0))$ -rational point of $E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_0))}$ corresponding to $u = q_0^{-i}$. Then f (resp. g) has a zero at Q_a (resp. Q_b) and a pole at Q_0 (resp. Q_0). Put

$$\xi_{(a,b,c)}^{M} := \left\{ \frac{f(u)}{f(q_{0}^{-b})}, \frac{g(u)}{g(q_{0}^{-a})} \right\} \in K_{2}^{M}(\mathcal{O}(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_{0}))} - Q_{*})),$$
(4.24)

where $Q_* = Q_a + Q_b + Q_0$. The Milnor K_2 -symbol $\xi^M_{(a,b,c)}$ defines a Quillen K_2 -symbol $\xi^Q_{(a,b,c)} \in K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_0))} - Q_*)$. Recall the localization exact sequence

$$K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_0))}) \longrightarrow K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_0))} - Q_*) \xrightarrow{\tau} K_1(Q_*) = K_1(\mathbb{Z}((q_0)))^{\oplus 3}$$

where τ is the tame symbol. It is easy to see $\tau(\xi^Q_{(a,b,c)}) = 0$. Therefore there is a lifting $\xi'_{(a,b,c)} \in K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_0))})$. We put

$$\xi_{(a,b,c)} := N(\xi'_{(a,b,c)}) \in K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q))})$$
(4.25)

where $N: K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_0))}) \to K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q))})$ denotes the transfer map (norm map) for $\mathbb{Z}((q_0))/\mathbb{Z}((q))$. A direct calculation yields that $\partial(\xi'_{(a,b,c)}) = a(b-a)(b-c)$ (cf. [1] Rem.5.5). Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q_0))}) & \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q))}) & \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

is commutative, we have $\partial(\xi_{(a,b,c)}) = a(b-a)(b-c)$. Choose (a,b,c) = (1,2,3). Thus we have the surjectivity of $\partial : K_2(E_{q,\mathbb{Z}((q))}) \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 4.10 Under the isomorphism $K'_1(Y_{\mathcal{O}}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{* \oplus r} \oplus \mathcal{O}^*$

$$\ker i_* \subset \mathbb{Z} \oplus M \oplus \mathcal{O}^*$$

where $M := \{(c_1, \cdots, c_r) \in \mathcal{O}^{* \oplus r} \mid c_1^r = \cdots = c_r^r\}$. The inclusion is equal if we tensor $\mathbb{Z}[1/r]$.

More precisely, we can see from the above that $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus M \oplus \mathcal{O}^*)/\ker i_*$ is a subquotient of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus r+1}$.

Corollary 4.11 Let $p: \operatorname{Spec} A[q^{-1}] \to E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}$ be a rational point and $f_E: E_{q,A[q^{-1}]} \to \operatorname{Spec} A[q^{-1}]$ the structure morphism. Let $\xi_{(a,b,c)}$ be as in (4.25) and also think of it being a K_2 -symbol of $E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}$ by the natural homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[[q]] \to A$. Then

$$K_2(\mathcal{E}_{q,A}) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \xi_{(1,2,3)} \oplus p_* K_2(A[q^{-1}]) \oplus f_E^* K_2(A[q^{-1}]) \longrightarrow K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]})$$
(4.26)

is surjective if we tensor $\mathbb{Z}[1/r]$.

4.2.2 Boundary map in algebraic *K*-theory

Let S be a noetherian ring. We recall the boundary map

$$\partial: K_{i+1}(S((u))) \longrightarrow K_i(S) \tag{4.27}$$

from K. Kato [13] §2. Let

 \mathcal{P}_S : the category of projective S-modules of finite rank

 \mathcal{M}_S : the category of finite S-modules

 \mathcal{M}_{S}^{f} : the category of finite S-modules which have finite projective resolutions.

Moreover let $\mathcal{H}_{S[[u]]}$ be the category of finite S[[u]]-modules M such that $M \otimes_{S[[u]]} S((u)) = 0$ and there is a resolution

 $0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.28}$

with $E_i \in \mathcal{P}_{S[[u]]}$.

By Quillen's resolution theorem ([23] Theorem (4.6)) $K_i(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_i(\mathcal{P}_S) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} K_i(\mathcal{M}_S^f)$. By Quillen's localization theorem ([9], [23] Theorem (9.1)) we have the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_{i+1}(S[[u]]) \longrightarrow K_{i+1}(S((u))) \xrightarrow{\partial'} K_i(\mathcal{H}_{S[[u]]}) \longrightarrow K_i(S[[u]]) \longrightarrow \cdots$$
 (4.29)

Let $M \in \mathcal{H}_{S[[u]]}$. Then we can think of M being a finite S-module. Since S[[u]] is a flat S-module, (4.28) gives a flat resolution of S-module. Therefore M has a finite projective resolution of S-modules. Thus we can think of M being an object of \mathcal{M}_S^f . Let

$$\iota: \mathcal{H}_{S[[u]]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^f \tag{4.30}$$

be the functor which sends M to M (as S-module). It gives rise to a map $\iota_* : K_i(\mathcal{H}_{S[[u]]}) \to K_i(S)$. Then we define $\partial = \iota_* \partial'$.

Let us consider the Tate curve $E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{q,A}$ be as in §4.2.1. Put $A_n = A/q^n A$ for $n \ge 1$. Then there are the morphisms of schemes

$$\mathbb{G}_{m,A_n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,A} \times_A \operatorname{Spec} A_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,A} \longleftarrow E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}.$$

This give rise to

$$K_2(\mathcal{E}_{q,A}) \longrightarrow K_2(A_n[u, u^{-1}]) \longrightarrow K_2(A_n((u))) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_1(A_n) = A_n^*.$$

Passing to the projective limit we have

$$\tau_{\infty}^{\dagger}: K_2(\mathcal{E}_{q,A}) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n A_n^* = A^*.$$
(4.31)

Proposition 4.12 Suppose $A = \mathcal{R}$ a complete discrete valuation ring. Then the following diagram

is commutative. Here the bottom map τ_{∞} is as in §4.1.3.

Corollary 4.13 $\tau_{\infty}(K_2(\mathcal{E}_{q,A})) \subset A^*$.

Proof. Apply Proposition 4.12 for $\mathcal{R} = \hat{A}$. Q.E.D.

We prove Proposition 4.12. Note that the map $K_2(\mathcal{R}_n[u, u^{-1}]) \to K_2(\mathcal{R}_n((u)))$ factors through $K_2(\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle_n^{\flat})$ where $\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle_n^{\flat} = \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}/\pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n \mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$. By a theorem of van der Kallen $K_2(\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle_n^{\flat})$ is isomorphic to Milnor's $K_2^M(\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle_n^{\flat})$ as the residue field is infinite ([11], [12]). Therefore, to show Proposition 4.12 it is enough to show that the following diagram

is commutative.

Lemma 4.14 Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_n[[u]]^*$. Then $\partial \{f, u\} = f(0)$ where ∂ is as in (4.27).

Proof. There are commutative diagrams

$$K_{2}(\mathcal{R}_{n}((u))) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{R}_{n}^{*}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$K_{2}(\mathcal{R}((u))) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{*}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow$$

$$K_{2}(\mathcal{K}((u))) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{K}^{*}.$$

Since f comes from $\mathcal{R}[[u]]^*$ it is enough to show $\partial\{g, u\} = g(0)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{K}[[u]]^*$. This is well-known (e.g. [23] Lemma (9.12)). Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.15 Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_n[[u]]^*$. Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_n$ be a nilpotent element (i.e. $a \in \pi_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{R}_n$). Then $\partial \{f, u - a\} = f(a)$.

Proof. Since a is nilpotent we have an isomorphism

$$h: \mathcal{R}_n[[u]] \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_n[[v]], \quad u \longmapsto v + a \tag{4.34}$$

of \mathcal{R}_n -algebras. The functor $\Phi_h : M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_n[[u]]} \mathcal{R}_n[[v]]$ induces a commutative diagram



where ι is as in (4.30). Thus we get a commutative diagram

and hence we have $\partial h^* = \partial$. Now we show $\partial \{f, u - a\} = f(a)$. Due to the commutative diagram (4.35) we have $\partial \{f, u - a\} = \partial \{f(v + a), v\}$. By Lemma 4.14 we have $\partial \{f(v + a), v\} = f(a)$. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.16 Let $a \in \mathcal{R}_n$ be a nilpotent element. Then we have $\partial \{a - u, u\} = 1$.

Proof. Let $k = \mathcal{R}/\pi_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the residue field. It follows from a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} K_2(\mathcal{R}_n((u))) & \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{R}_n^* \\ & & & & \downarrow \mod \\ & & & & \downarrow \mod \\ & K_2(k((u))) & \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & k^* \end{array}$$

that we have $\partial \{a - u, u\} \equiv \partial \{-u, u\} = 1 \mod q$. This means $\partial \{a - u, u\} = 1 + \pi_{\mathcal{K}} f$ for some $f \in \mathcal{R}_n$. Therefore it is enough to show that there is $N \ge 1$ which is prime to $\operatorname{char}(k)$ such that $\partial \{a - u, u\}^N = 1$.

Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer which is prime to char(k) and such that $a^N = 0$. Let ζ_N be a primitive N-th root of unity. We may suppose that \mathcal{R} contains ζ_N by replacing \mathcal{R} with $\mathcal{R}[\zeta_N]$. In the same way as the diagram (4.35), we can see that the isomorphism

$$v: \mathcal{R}_n[[u]] \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_n[[u]], \quad u \longmapsto \zeta_N u$$

induces a commutative diagram



q

Thus we have

$$\partial \{a - u, u\}^{N^2} = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \partial \{a - \zeta_N^i u, \zeta_N^i u\}^N$$
$$= \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \partial \{a - \zeta_N^i u, u\}^N$$
$$= \partial \{a^N - u^N, u^N\}$$
$$= \partial \{-u^N, u^N\}$$
$$= 1.$$

This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Now we show that the diagram (4.33) is commutative. Due to Lemma 4.6, it is enough to show i/(i,i) = i/(

$$\partial\{f,g\} = (-1)^{nm} \frac{a_0^m}{b_0^n} \prod_{i,j\ge 1} \frac{(1-a_i^{j/(i,j)}b_{-j}^{i/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}}{(1-a_{-i}^{j/(i,j)}b_j^{i/(i,j)})^{(i,j)}}$$
(4.37)

for

$$f = a_0 u^n \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - a_{-i} u^{-i})(1 - a_i u^i), \quad g = b_0 u^m \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - b_{-i} u^{-i})(1 - b_i u^i),$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{R}_n^* & i \neq 0\\ a_{-i}, b_{-i} \in \pi_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{R}_n & i > 0\\ a_{-i} = b_{-i} = 0 & i \gg 0. \end{cases}$$

We can reduce it to check (4.37) in the following cases:

$$(f,g) = \begin{cases} (u,h) & h \in \mathcal{R}_n[[u]]^* \\ (u,1-au^{-i}) & a \in \pi_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{R}_n, \ i > 0 \\ (1-au^{-i},h) & a \in \pi_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{R}_n, \ i > 0, \ h \in \mathcal{R}_n[[u]]^* \\ (1-au^{-i},1-bu^{-j}) & a,b \in \pi_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{R}_n, \ i,j > 0. \end{cases}$$
(4.38)

The first case immediately follows from Lemma 4.14. To show the rest, we may replace \mathcal{R}_n with $\mathcal{R}[a^{1/m}]/(\pi_{\mathcal{K}}^n)$. Thus we can assume that $a^{1/i}$, $b^{1/j} \in \mathcal{R}_n$ and hence may assume i = j = 1. Then the assertion follows from Lemmas 4.14, 4.15 and 4.16. This completes the proof of the commutativity of (4.33) and hence Proposition 4.12.

4.2.3 Proof of $\tau_{\infty}(K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1}]}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]) \subset A[q^{-1}]^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r].$

Due to Corollary 4.11, it is enough to show the assertion for each component in (4.26). Corollary 4.13 asserts $\tau_{\infty}(K_2(\mathcal{E}_{q,A})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r] \subset A^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]$. Moreover it is easy to see $\tau_{\infty}(p_*K_2(A[q^{-1}])) = 0$ and $\tau_{\infty}(f_E^*K_2(A[q^{-1}])) = 0$. Therefore the rest of the proof is to show $\tau_{\infty}(\xi_{(1,2,3)}) \in A[q^{-1}]^*$. However it follows from Lemma 4.6 that we have

$$\tau_{\infty}(\xi_{(a,b,c)}) = N_{A'/A}\tau_{\infty}(\xi_{(a,b,c)}'') = N_{A'/A}\left((-q_0)^{a(b-a)(b-c)}\left(\frac{M_b}{M_{b-a}M_a}\right)^c\right)$$
(4.39)

where

$$M_i := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q_0^{nc - c + i})^{nc - c + i}}{(1 - q_0^{nc - i})^{nc - i}}, \quad (1 \le i < c)$$

and $N_{A'/A}$ is the norm map (cf. [1] Cor.5.3). Thus it is clearly contained in $A[q^{-1}]^*$. This completes the proof.

4.2.4 Functoriality of τ_{∞}

Let (A_i, q_i, π_i) (i = 1, 2) be as in the beginning of §4.2. Let $\phi : A_1 \to A_2$ be a homomorphism of local rings such that $\phi(q_1) = q_2$. Let $q_i A_i = \pi_i^{r_i} A_i$ (then $r_1 | r_2$).

Proposition 4.17 The following diagram

is commutative.

Proof. Due to Corollary 4.11, it is enough to show the assertion for $\xi_{(a,b,c)}$ and $K_2(\mathcal{E}_{q_1,A_1})$. As for $\xi_{(a,b,c)}$, it directly follows from (4.39). As for $K_2(\mathcal{E}_{q_1,A_1})$, it follows from the functoriality of τ_{∞}^{\dagger} . Q.E.D.

Remark 4.18 Since \langle , \rangle is clearly functorial, Proposition 4.17 is trivial when A_i are complete discrete valuation rings. However the homomorphism ϕ does not necessarily induce $\hat{A}_1 \rightarrow \hat{A}_2$ in general. Hence we can not reduce the proof to the functoriality of \langle , \rangle .

4.3 Compatibility with dlog map

Let \mathcal{O} be a regular local ring and $A = \mathcal{O}[[t]]$ the ring of formal power series with coefficients in \mathcal{O} . Let $r \ge 1$ and $a \in A^*$ and put $q = at^r$. We have constructed the map

$$\tau_{\infty}: K_2(E_q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}((t))^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]$$

for the Tate curve $E_q = E_{q,\mathcal{O}((t))}$ over $\mathcal{O}((t))$.

Proposition 4.19 Suppose that r is invertible in the quotient field of \mathcal{O} . Then the map dlog factors through τ_{∞} :

$$\mathcal{O}((t))^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]$$

$$\downarrow^{\tau_{\infty}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\iota}$$

$$K_2(E_q) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r] \xrightarrow{\tau_{\infty}} \mathcal{O}((t)) \frac{dt}{t} \frac{du}{u} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]$$

where the map ι is given as follows

$$\iota: \mathcal{O}((t))^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}((t))\frac{dt}{t}\frac{du}{u}, \quad h \longmapsto \frac{dh}{h}\frac{du}{u}.$$

Proof. We may replace \mathcal{O} with its quotient field F. Then $\mathcal{K} = F((t))$ is a complete discrete valuation field with the valuation ring $\mathcal{R} = F[[t]]$. It is enough to show that the following diagram

$$F((t))^{*}$$

$$(4.40)$$

$$K_{2}^{M}(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}) \xrightarrow{\text{dlog}} F((t)) \frac{dt}{t} \frac{du}{u}$$

is commutative where the right vertical arrow is given by

$$h \longmapsto \frac{dh}{h} \frac{du}{u}.$$

Put

$$\hat{\Omega}^{1}_{\mathcal{K}/F} := \left(\varprojlim_{\nu} \Omega^{1}_{\mathcal{R}/F} / t^{\nu} \Omega^{1}_{\mathcal{R}/F} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{K}, \quad \hat{\Omega}^{1}_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}/\mathcal{K}} := \left(\varprojlim_{\nu} \Omega^{1}_{\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}/\mathcal{R}} / t^{\nu} \Omega^{1}_{\mathcal{R}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}/\mathcal{R}} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{K}.$$

Note

$$\frac{dx}{2y+x} = \frac{du}{u} \quad \text{in } \hat{\Omega}^1_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^\flat/\mathcal{K}}.$$

Let

$$\operatorname{Res}: \hat{\Omega}^1_{\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}/\mathcal{K}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

be the residue map at u = 0, namely if we express $\omega = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n u^n du$ in the unique way then $\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = a_{-1}$. The residue gives rise to a map

$$\Omega^2_{\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle^{\flat}/F} \longrightarrow \hat{\Omega}^1_{\mathcal{K}/F} \otimes \hat{\Omega}^1_{\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle^{\flat}/\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{Res}} \hat{\Omega}^1_{\mathcal{K}/F}.$$
(4.41)

Then the commutativity of (4.40) is equivalent to that the following diagram

is commutative. However this follows from Lemma 4.6 and the fact that

$$(u-\alpha)^{-1} = \begin{cases} u^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha u^{-1})^n & \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha) > 0\\ -\alpha^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha^{-1} u)^n & \operatorname{ord}_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha) \le 0 \end{cases}$$

in \mathcal{K} . This completes the proof of Proposition 4.19. Q.E.D.

4.4 Compatibility with étale regulator

Let A be a complete regular local ring and $q \in A$ a nonzero and not invertible element. Let n be a nonzero integer. Let $f_E : E_{q,A[q^{-1},n^{-1}]} \to A[q^{-1},n^{-1}]$ be the Tate curve. Then there is the weight exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n(j) \longrightarrow R^1 f_{E*} \mathbb{Z}/n(j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n(j-1) \longrightarrow 0$$
(4.43)

of etale sheaves on $\text{Spec}A[q^{-1}, n^{-1}]$ (cf. [7]). Recall the etale regulator map (also called the Chern class map)

$$c_{2,2}^{\text{\acute{e}t}}: K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1},n^{-1}]}) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(E_{q,A[q^{-1},n^{-1}]}, \mathbb{Z}/n(2))$$
(4.44)

to the étale cohomology group ([8], [21]). From (4.44) together with the Leray spectral sequence we have a map

$$\rho: K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1},n^{-1}]}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{\'et}}(A[q^{-1},n^{-1}], R^1 f_{E*}\mathbb{Z}/n(2)).$$
(4.45)

(cf. [1] Lem.2.1). We have from (4.43) and (4.45)

$$\tau_{\infty}^{\text{\acute{e}t}}: K_2(E_{q,A[q^{-1},n^{-1}]}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(A[q^{-1},n^{-1}],\mathbb{Z}/n(1)) \cong A[q^{-1},n^{-1}]^*/n,$$
(4.46)

where " \cong " follows from the fact that $A[q^{-1}, n^{-1}]$ is a UFD.

Proposition 4.20 Let (A, q, π, r) be as in the beginning of §4.2. Suppose that n is prime to r. Then the following diagram

is commutative in the following cases.

- (i). A is a complete discrete valuation ring.
- (ii). $A = R_0[[t]]$ where R_0 is an integer ring of a finite extension K_0 of \mathbb{Q}_p and $q = at^r$ with $a \in A^*$.

4.4.1 **Proof of (i)**

Let $A = \mathcal{R}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring. In this case we can remove " $\otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/r]$ ":

where n is supposed to be invertible in \mathcal{K} .

To prove the commutativity of the diagram (4.47) we extend $\tau_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}$ to a pairing $\langle , \rangle^{\text{ét}}$, which is the analogue of \langle , \rangle in (4.10). Let

$$K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle, \mathbb{Z}/n(2))$$
 (4.48)

be the Galois symbol map. Let $(K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle))'$ be defined as

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle) \right)' \longrightarrow K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \overline{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/n(2)).$$

Then the Leray spectral sequence yields

$$\rho: \left(K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle)\right)' \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{K}, H^1_{\text{\'et}}(\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \overline{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/n(2))).$$
(4.49)

We define the natural map

$$H^{1}_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \overline{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \cong (\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \overline{\mathcal{K}})^{*}/n \to \mathbb{Z}/n(j-1)$$
(4.50)

$$f \longmapsto \operatorname{Res} \frac{df}{f}$$

where Res denotes the residue map at u = 0, namely if we express $\omega = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n u^n du$ in the unique way then $\operatorname{Res}(\omega) = a_{-1}$. The maps (4.49) and (4.50) give rise to a pairing

$$\langle , \rangle^{\text{\acute{e}t}} : \left(K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle) \right)' \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^*/n.$$
 (4.51)

(In [1], the pairing $\langle , \rangle^{\text{\'et}}$ is written as $\hat{\tau}_{\infty}^{\text{\'et}}$.) By definition of $\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}$ there is a natural map $K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}) \rightarrow (K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle))'$. We also write the composition $K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^{\flat}) \rightarrow (K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle))' \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*/n$ by $\langle , \rangle^{\text{\'et}}$.

To show the commutativity of (4.47) it is enough to show the following:

Lemma 4.21 The following diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle^\flat) & \xrightarrow{\langle \ , \ \rangle} & \mathcal{K}^* \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u\rangle^\flat)/n & \xrightarrow{\langle \ , \ \rangle^{\acute{e}t}} & \mathcal{K}^*/n \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. The pairing \langle , \rangle is characterized by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} K_2^M(\mathcal{K}(u)) & \xrightarrow{\sum_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}} & \mathcal{K}^* \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ K_2^M(\mathcal{K}\langle u \rangle^\flat) & \xrightarrow{\langle , , \rangle} & \mathcal{K}^*. \end{array}$$

The same commutative diagram holds for $\langle , \rangle^{\text{\'et}}$ ([1] Thm.4.4). Thus the assertion follows. Q.E.D.

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.20 (i).

4.4.2 **Proof of (ii)**

We can reduce the proof of (ii) to the commutativity of (4.47). In fact, we may assume that $n = \ell^{\nu}$ with ℓ a prime number (possibly $\ell = p$). Let π_0 be a uniformizer of R_0 and $s_m : R_0[[t]] \to R_0$ the homomorphism given by $t \mapsto \pi^m$. Then the map

$$\prod_{m} s_{m} : \varprojlim_{\nu} A[q^{-1}]^{*} / \ell^{\nu} \longrightarrow \prod_{m} \varprojlim_{\nu} K_{0}^{*} / \ell^{\nu}$$

is injective where m runs over all positive integers which are prime to ℓ . Due to the functoriality of τ_{∞} which follows from Proposition 4.17 and the functoriality of $\tau_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}$ which follows from the functoriality of etale regulator, we can reduce the proof to the case $A = R_0$.

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.20 (ii).

5 Proof of Theorem A

We are now in a position to prove Theorem A.

Let $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$, R_0 , $d = [K_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and $R_0((q_0))$ with $q = q_0^r$ be as in §3.2. Let $E_q = E_{q,R_0((q_0))}$ be the Tate curve and

dlog:
$$K_2(E_q) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow R_0((q_0)) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{dx}{2y+x}$$
 (5.1)

the dlog map. Since p is prime to r, it follows from Proposition 4.19 that it factors through τ_{∞} :

$$R_{0}((q_{0}))^{*} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$$

$$\downarrow^{\tau_{\infty}} \qquad \downarrow^{\iota}$$

$$K_{2}(E_{q}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \xrightarrow[\text{dlog}]{} R_{0}((q_{0})) \frac{dt}{t} \frac{dx}{2y+x}$$

$$(5.2)$$

where

$$\iota: R_0((q_0))^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow R_0((q_0)) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{dx}{2y+x}, \quad h \otimes a \longmapsto a \frac{dh}{h} \frac{dx}{2y+x}$$

Let $\xi \in K_2(E_q)$ be an arbitrary element. Express $h(q_0) := \tau_{\infty}(\xi)$ in the following way.

$$h(q_0) = c(-q_0)^m \prod_{k \ge 1} (1 - q_0^k)^{a_k} (1 - \zeta q_0^k)^{b_k} \cdots (1 - \zeta^{d-1} q_0^k)^{l_k}$$
(5.3)

with $c \in R_0^*$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_k, \cdots, l_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then

$$d\log(\xi) = q_0 \frac{d\log h(q_0)}{dq_0} \cdot \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{dx}{2y+x}$$
$$= \left(m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{-ka_k q_0^k}{1-q_0^k} + \dots + \frac{-kl_k \zeta^{d-1} q_0^k}{1-\zeta^{d-1} q_0^k}\right) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} \frac{dx}{2y+x}$$

due to the commutativity of (5.2). Therefore Theorem A is equivalent to say $a_k, \dots, l_k \in k\mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $k \geq 1$. We will show it in the following steps:

(a0) $c^r = 1$.

(a1) Let $R_0\langle q_0\rangle$ be the *p*-adic completion of $R_0((q_0))$. Then

$$\{h(q_0), q_0\} = 0$$
 in $K_2^M(R_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu}, \quad \nu \ge 1.$

(a2) Apply Kato's explicit reciprocity law for $K_2^M(R_0\langle q_0\rangle)/p^{\nu}$.

5.1 Proof of (a0)

Embed $R_0((q_0)) \hookrightarrow K_0((q_0))$. Then $\mathcal{K} = K_0((q_0))$ is a complete discrete valuation field with the valuation ring $\mathcal{R} = K_0[[q_0]]$. We constructed the map $\tau_{\infty} : K_2(E_{q,\mathcal{K}}) \to \mathcal{K}^*$ in $\S4.1.3$, which is compatible with the étale regulator (see (4.47)). We thus have a commutative diagram

for $n \geq 1$ where the bottom is the exact sequence arising from the weight exact sequence (4.43) and the isomorphisms $H^1_{\text{\'et}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Z}/n(1)) \cong \mathcal{K}^*/n$ and $H^2_{\text{\'et}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Z}/n(2)) \cong K_2^M(\mathcal{K})/n$ ([15]). s_q is the map given by $x \mapsto \{x, q\}$ as the extension datum of (4.43) is q. Therefore we have

$$\{h(q_0), q\} = r\{h(q_0), q_0\} = 0$$
 in $K_2^M(\mathcal{K})/n$.

Applying the tame symbol $K_2^M(\mathcal{K})/n \to K_0^*/n$ we have $c^r = 1$ in K_0^*/n . Since $n \ge 1$ is arbitrary, we have $c^r = 1$ in K_0 . This completes the proof.

5.2 Proof of (a1)

Let $K_0\langle q_0\rangle = R_0\langle q_0\rangle[p^{-1}]$ be the quotient field. In the same way as (5.4) we have a commutative diagram

and hence

$$\{h(q_0), q\} = r\{h(q_0), q_0\} = 0 \quad \text{in } K_2^M(K_0\langle q_0 \rangle) / p^{\nu}.$$
(5.6)

Claim 5.1 The map $K_2^M(R_0\langle q_0\rangle)/p^{\nu} \to K_2^M(K_0\langle q_0\rangle)/p^{\nu}$ is injective.

Proof. By a theorem of van der Kallen $K_2^M(R_0\langle q_0\rangle) = K_2(R_0\langle q_0\rangle)$ as the residue field is infinite ([11], [12]). Recall the localization exact sequence

$$K_2(\mathbb{F}_q((q_0))) \longrightarrow K_2(R_0\langle q_0 \rangle) \longrightarrow K_2(K_0\langle q_0 \rangle) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbb{F}_q((q_0))) = \mathbb{F}_q((q_0))^*.$$
(5.7)

The right arrow is surjective as $R_0\langle q_0\rangle^* \to \mathbb{F}_q((q_0))^*$ is surjective. Split (5.7) into

$$K_2(\mathbb{F}_q((q_0))) \longrightarrow K_2(R_0\langle q_0 \rangle) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow K_2(K_0\langle q_0 \rangle) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q((q_0))^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the multiplication by p^{ν} on $\mathbb{F}_q((q_0))^*$ is injective, we have $M/p^{\nu} \hookrightarrow K_2(K_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu}$. On the other hand, since $K_2(\mathbb{F}_q((q_0)))/p^{\nu} = K_2^M(\mathbb{F}_q((q_0)))/p^{\nu} = 0$ ([14] Lemma 5.6), we have $K_2(R_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu} \hookrightarrow M/p^{\nu}$. Thus we get $K_2^M(R_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu} = K_2(R_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu} \hookrightarrow K_2(K_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu} = K_2^M(K_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu}$ as required. Q.E.D.

Now (a1) follows from (5.6) together with Claim 5.1

5.3 Proof of (a2) : Kato's explicit reciprocity law

Since we work on $R_0((q_0)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, we may neglect c due to (a0).

Let $\varphi : R_0 \langle q_0 \rangle \to R_0 \langle q_0 \rangle$ be the Frobenius such that $\varphi(a\zeta^i q_0^j) = a\zeta^{ip} q_0^{jp}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $l_{\varphi}(f) := p^{-1} \log(\varphi(f)/f^p)$. Then Kato's explicit reciprocity law describes the syntomic regulator

$$\theta_{\varphi}: K_2^M(R_0\langle q_0 \rangle)/p^{\nu} \longrightarrow \Omega^1_{R_0\langle q_0 \rangle}/dR_0\langle q_0 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}, \quad \nu \ge 1$$

for $p \ge 3$ explicitly ([14] Cor. 2.9) as follows:

$$\theta_{\varphi}(\{a,b\}) = l_{\varphi}(a)\frac{1}{p}\varphi\left(\frac{db}{b}\right) - l_{\varphi}(b)\frac{da}{a}$$

Due to (a1), we have

$$\theta_{\varphi}(\{h, q_0\}) = l_{\varphi}(h) \frac{dq_0}{q_0} = 0.$$
(5.8)

Noting

$$l_{\varphi}(1-\zeta^{i}q_{0}^{j}) = \sum_{m \ge 1, (m,p)=1} \frac{(\zeta^{i}q_{0}^{j})^{m}}{m} \quad j \ge 1$$

in

$$\hat{\Omega}^1_{R_0\langle q_0\rangle} := \left(\underbrace{\lim_{\nu}}_{\nu} \Omega^1_{R_0[[q_0]]} / q_0^{\nu} \Omega^1_{R_0[[q_0]]} \right) \otimes_{R_0[[q_0]]} R_0\langle q_0\rangle,$$

we have from (5.8) that there is some $g = \sum c_k q_0^k \in R_0 \langle q_0 \rangle$ such that

$$l_{\varphi}(h) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \ge 1, (m,p)=1} a_k \frac{(q_0^k)^m}{m} + \dots + l_k \frac{(\zeta^{d-1} q_0^k)^m}{m}$$
$$= q_0 \frac{dg}{dq_0}$$
$$= \sum k c_k q_0^k$$

in $R_0\langle q_0\rangle/p^{\nu} = R_0((q_0))/p^{\nu}$, $\nu \ge 1$. Then we can easily show that $a_k, \dots, l_k \in k\mathbb{Z}_p$ by the induction on k. This is the desired assertion.

Remark 5.2 Let $\phi = \phi_{\zeta}$ be the map (3.11). We can show that ker ϕ does not depend on the choice of ζ in the following way. Let $f(q_0) \in R_0[[q_0]]$ be expressed as in (3.13). Then

$$a_k, \cdots, l_k \in k\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for } \forall k \ge 1 \iff f(q_0) = q_0 \frac{d}{dq_0} \log h(q_0), \quad \exists h(q_0) \in R_0((q_0))^*.$$

Such $h(q_0)$ is uniquely determined up to constant. As we have seen in the above,

$$a_k, \cdots, l_k \in k^2 \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for } \forall k \ge 1 \Longleftrightarrow \theta_{\varphi}(\{h(q_0), q_0\}) = 0$$

In [14] Cor. 2.9, Kato also proved that θ_{φ} does not depend on the choice of the Frobenius φ . Therefore the condition " $a_k, \dots, l_k \in k^2 \mathbb{Z}_p$ " can be written without fixing ζ .

6 Theorem B and $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$

We use the notations and conventions in $\S2.3$ and $\S2.4$.

Theorem B.

(1) Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and R the integer ring. Let $\pi_R : X_R \to C_R$ be an elliptic surface over R as in §2.3 satisfying (Rat). Let $X_K = X_R \times_R K$, $X_{\overline{K}} = X_R \times_R \overline{K}$ etc. Then

$$\partial(\Gamma(U_R,\mathcal{K}_2)\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p)=\partial(\Gamma(U_K,\mathcal{K}_2)\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p)=\partial(\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}},\mathcal{K}_2)\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p)\subset\mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus s}$$

if p is prime to $6r_1 \cdots r_s$ and $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is torsion free.

(2) Let F be a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} and $\pi_F : X_F \to C_F$ an elliptic surface over F as in §2.3 satisfying (**Rat**). Let $\pi'_F : V_F \to C_F$ be the minimal elliptic surface associated to X_F . Let $V_{\overline{F}} = V_F \times_F \overline{F}$ etc. Then

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus s}/\partial\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}},\mathcal{K}_2)\right)\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p$$

is torsion free if p is prime to $6r_1 \cdots r_s$, $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) (\cong H^3_{\acute{e}t}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_p))$ is torsion free and

$$H^2_{\acute{e}t}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(2))^{G_F} = 0$$

where G_F denotes the absolute Galois group of F.

Note that there is the natural isomorphism $H^3_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong H^3_B(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ for a fixed embedding $\overline{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ due to a theorem of M. Artin and the universal coefficient theorem.

The proof of Theorem B will be given in §7 where Suslin's exact sequence (Theorem 2.2) plays an essential role (for example Claim 7.9 below is one of the key step).

6.1 $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_n}$: an upper bound of the rank of the dlog image

Admitting Theorems A and B, we give an upper bound of the rank of the dlog image of K_2 of elliptic surface minus singular fibers over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Let F be a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} and $\pi_F : X_F \to C_F$ an elliptic surface over F as in §2.3 satisfying **(Rat)** and **(NCD)**. Let $\pi'_F : V_F \to C_F$ be the associated minimal elliptic surface. We choose a prime number $p \geq 5$, a finite unramified extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p with the integer ring R and an embedding $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow K$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (U1) $p \not| r_1 \cdots r_s$. Moreover $H^3_{\text{ét}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is torsion free where $X_{\overline{F}} := X_F \times_F \overline{F}$.
- (U2) $H^2_{\text{ét}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(2))^{G_F} = 0$ where G_F denotes the absolute Galois group of F.
- (U3) Let $X_K := X_F \times_{\sigma} K$ etc. Then there is a model $\pi_R : X_R \to C_R$ of $\pi_K : X_K \to C_K$ such that it is an elliptic surface over R in the sense of §2.3 and satisfies (**Rat**) and (**NCD**). We put $U_R = X_R - \bigcup_i D_{i,R}$ and $S_R = C_R - \Sigma_R$.

We give an upper bound of the rank of the dlog image of $\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2)$. By Lemma 2.3, the rank of $\operatorname{dlog}\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2)$ is equal to the rank of $\partial\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2) = \partial\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathcal{K}_2)$. Due to Theorem B (1), it is equal to $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\partial(\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and hence

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{dlog}\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}},\mathcal{K}_2) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\partial(\Gamma(U_R,\mathcal{K}_2)\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p).$$
(6.1)

On the other hand, due to Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \operatorname{dlog}(\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \le \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$
(6.2)

Applying ∂_{dR} on the both hand sides, we have

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \partial(\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \partial_{\mathrm{dR}}(\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}).$$
(6.3)

Definition 6.1 Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus s} \to \mathbb{F}_p^{\oplus s}$ be the natural surjection. Then we put

$$\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p} := f(\partial_{\mathrm{dR}}(\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p})) \subset \mathbb{F}_p^{\oplus s}.$$

Applying f to (6.3), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} f \partial(\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \le \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p}.$$
(6.4)

Due to Theorem B (2), $\partial(\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a direct summand of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus s}$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \partial(\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} f \partial(\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p).$$
(6.5)

Thus we have from (6.1), (6.4) and (6.5)

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{dlog}\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}},\mathcal{K}_2) \le \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p}.$$
(6.6)

This is the desired bound. It is more computable than the \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of $\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. We will compute it in examples in §8.

Conjecture 6.2

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{dlog}\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}},\mathcal{K}_2) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p}.$$

This is a consequence of Conjecture 3.5. In fact, Conjecture 3.5 together with (6.1) implies rank_{\mathbb{Z}_p} $\partial \Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \partial_{\mathrm{dR}} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. In particular $\partial_{\mathrm{dR}} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} / \partial \Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is finite. However due to Theorem B (2), it must be zero. Thus we have $\partial_{\mathrm{dR}} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \partial \Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and hence Conjecture 6.2.

7 Proof of Theorem B

7.1 Some Computations of cohomology groups

Let $\pi: V \to C$ be a minimal elliptic surface over \mathbb{C} with a section $e: C \to X$. We omit to write the subscription " \mathbb{C} " for the simplicity of the notations. Let $Y_i := \pi^{-1}(P_i)$ be the singular fibers. We use the notations in §2.3 and assume $s \ge 1$. $H^{\bullet}(V)$ (resp. $H_{\bullet}(V)$) denotes the Betti (singular) cohomology group (resp. homology group). Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. There is the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^2_Y(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \to H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \to H^2(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \to \cdots$$
(7.1)

where $Y := \sum Y_i$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n(j)$ is the Tate twist. Let $Y_i = \bigcup_k Y_i^{(k)}$ be the irreducible decomposition. There is the isomorphism $H_Y^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(1)) \cong \bigoplus_{i,k} \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot y_i^{(k)}$ in which a base $y_i^{(k)}$ corresponds to the component $Y_i^{(k)}$. Denote by [-] the cycle class in the cohomology group of V. Then the map $H_Y^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(1)) \to H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(1))$ is given by $y_i^{(k)} \mapsto [Y_i^{(k)}]$. Let $H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(1)) \to \bigoplus_{i,k} H^2(Y_i^{(k)}, \mathbb{Z}/n(1))$ and $H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(1)) \to H^2(s(C), \mathbb{Z}/n(1))$ be the pull-back maps. Then the composition

$$\bigoplus_{i,k} \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot y_i^{(k)} = H_Y^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(1)) \longrightarrow H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(1))$$

$$\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i,k} H^2(Y_i^{(k)}, \mathbb{Z}/n(1)) \oplus H^2(e(C), \mathbb{Z}/n(1))$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i,k} \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot y_i^{(k)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot e \quad (7.2)$$

is given as follows

$$y_i^{(k)} \longmapsto \sum_{i',k'} (Y_i^{(k)}, Y_{i'}^{(k')}) \cdot y_{i'}^{(k')} + (Y_i^{(k)}, e(C)) \cdot e$$
(7.3)

where (-, -) denotes the intersection numbers.

Lemma 7.1 Let
$$Y_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i) = \sum_{k \ge 1} m_i^{(k)} \cdot Y_i^{(k)}$$
 be the scheme theoretic fiber. We put $[Y_i] := \sum_k m_i^{(k)} \cdot y_i^{(k)}.$

Suppose that n is prime to $6r_1 \cdots r_s$. Then the composition (7.2) induces an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i,k \ge 1} \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot y_i^{(k)}/\langle [Y_i] - [Y_j]; i < j \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{i \ge 1,k \ge 2} \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot y_i^{(k)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot e^{i(k)}$$

where $\langle [Y_i] - [Y_j]; i < j \rangle$ is the subgroup generated by $[Y_i] - [Y_j]$ for all i < j.

Proof. Since the cardinality of the both sides is the same, it is enough to show the surjectivity. To do this, it is enough to see that the map

$$\bigoplus_{k\geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot y_i^{(k)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k\geq 2} \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot y_i^{(k)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot e$$
(7.4)

is surjective (and hence bijective) for each *i*. We can check it as the case may be. For example, if Y_i is of type I_{r_i} , then the matrix of (7.4) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & -2 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & & & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant of it is equal to $(-1)^{r_i-1}r_i$. It is prime to n. Therefore (7.4) is bijective. The proofs for the other types are similar. Q.E.D.

Lemma 7.2 Let $V_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ be a smooth fiber. Then $H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Q})$ is zero.

Proof. Recall the assumption that $s \ge 1$. Let T be the local monodromy around P_1 . The action of T on $H^1(V_t, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the image of $H^1(V, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^1(V_t, \mathbb{Q})$ has dimension ≤ 1 . On the other hand it constitutes a sub Hodge structure of $H^1(V_t, \mathbb{Q})$ ([6]). Thus it is zero. Q.E.D.

Proposition 7.3 Suppose that n is prime to $6r_1 \cdots r_s$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) *n* is prime to $\sharp H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})_{tor}$.
- (2) $H^1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n)^{\pi_1(S,t)} = 0.$
- (3) $H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/n)$
- (4) $H^1(C, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H^1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$
- (5) $H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ is zero.

Proof. Note that $H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n) = H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})/n$ for any CW complex V. By the universal coefficient theorem and the fact that $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ are torsion free, we have

(3)
$$\iff H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H_1(U, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

(4) $\iff H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n).$

 $(2) \iff (3)$. Let

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(S, R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z}/n) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(U, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

be the Leray spectral sequence. Due to the section e, the maps $E_2^{p0} \to E^p$ are injective. Therefore we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H^1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n)^{\pi_1(S,t)} \longrightarrow 0$$

and hence the desired equivalence. $(3) \iff (4)$. In the following commutative diagram

the rows are split exact sequences. We want to show that f_3 is bijective. To do this, it is enough to show that Coker $f_1 \rightarrow$ Coker f_2 is surjective as f_1 and f_2 are injective. Let the notations be as in Lemma 7.1. There are the isomorphisms

Coker
$$f_1 \cong \ker(\bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot p_i \longrightarrow H^2(C, \mathbb{Z}/n)),$$

Coker $f_2 \cong \ker(\bigoplus_{i,k} \mathbb{Z}/n \cdot y_i^{(k)} \longrightarrow H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n))$

where p_i corresponds to P_i . The map Coker $f_1 \to \text{Coker } f_2$ is given by

$$p_i \longmapsto [Y_i] := \sum_k m_i^{(k)} \cdot y_i^{(k)}.$$

By Lemma 7.1, Coker f_2 is generated by $[Y_i] - [Y_j]$ (i < j). Therefore Coker $f_1 \rightarrow$ Coker f_2 is surjective.

 $(1) \iff (4)$. Due to Lemma 7.2 we have a split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}} \longrightarrow H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the universal coefficients theorem we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H^1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})_{\operatorname{tor}}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow 0$$

and hence the desired equivalence.

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (5)$. It follows from (1) that we have

$$H_1(V,\mathbb{Z}/n) = H_1(V,\mathbb{Z})/n = (H_1(V,\mathbb{Z})/H_1(V,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n.$$

Therefore it is enough to show that the map

$$H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})/H_1(V, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}} \hookrightarrow H_1(V, \mathbb{Q})$$

is zero. This follows from Lemma 7.2.

 $(5) \Longrightarrow (4)$. We want to show that the map $e_* : H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ is surjective. To do this, it is enough to show that $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ is surjective. There is the split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(V_t) \longrightarrow \pi_1(U) \longrightarrow \pi_1(S) \longrightarrow 1.$$

In particular we have that $\pi_1(V_t) \oplus \pi_1(S)^{ab} \to \pi_1(U)^{ab}$ is surjective and hence so is $H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(U, \mathbb{Z}/n)$. On the other hand since $H_1(U, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ is surjective, we have the surjectivity of $H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$. However by (5), $H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ is zero. Thus we have the surjectivity of $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$.

Lemma 7.4 If there is a singular fiber which is not a multiplicative one, then the equivalent conditions in Proposition 7.3 are satisfied for all n such that $(n, 6r_1 \cdots r_s) = 1$.

Proof. We see that (5) is satisfied. Let Y_j be the singular fiber which is not multiplicative. Then it is simply connected. Since $H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ factors through $H_1(Y_j, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ it is zero. Q.E.D. **Lemma 7.5** Assume that n is prime to $6r_1 \cdots r_s$ and satisfies the equivalent conditions in Proposition 7.3. Then n is prime to the cardinality of the torsion part of $H^2(V, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. This follows from a commutative diagram

and (4). Q.E.D.

We put

$$C_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(j) := \operatorname{Coker}(H_Y^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \longrightarrow H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j))),$$

$$I_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(j) := \operatorname{Image}(H_Y^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \longrightarrow H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j))).$$

From (7.1) we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow I_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(j) \longrightarrow H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \longrightarrow C_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(j) \longrightarrow 0,$$
(7.5)

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(j) \longrightarrow H^2(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} H^3_Y(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \longrightarrow H^3(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)).$$
(7.6)

Note that δ in (7.6) gives rise to the boundary map (cf. §2.4)

$$\partial_B : H^2(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \longrightarrow H^3_Y(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/n(j-2)^{\oplus s}.$$
(7.7)

Proposition 7.6 Assume that n is prime to $6r_1 \cdots r_s$ and satisfies the equivalent conditions in Proposition 7.3.

- (1) The exact sequence (7.5) has a splitting induced from (7.3). In particular $C_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(j)$ is a direct summand of $H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j))$. Moreover we have $I_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(j) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n(j-1)^{\oplus}$.
- (2) The boundary map ∂_B is surjective. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(j) \longrightarrow H^2(U, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \xrightarrow{\partial_B} \mathbb{Z}/n(j-2)^{\oplus s} \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (7.8)

(3)
$$H^2(V, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong (H^2(V, \mathbb{Z})/H^2(V, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n.$$

Proof. (1). This follows from Lemma 7.1.

(2). It is enough to show that the map $H^3_Y(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \to H^3(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j))$ in (7.6) is zero. Due to the Poincare-Lefschetz duality we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^3_Y(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) & \longrightarrow & H^3(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \\ \cong & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H_1(Y_i, \mathbb{Z}/n(j-2)) & \longrightarrow & H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n(j-2)). \end{array}$$

We see that $H_1(Y_i, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ is zero for each *i*. Since there is a surjective map $H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(Y_i, \mathbb{Z}/n)$, it is enough to see that $H_1(V_t, \mathbb{Z}/n) \to H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ is zero. However this follows from Proposition 7.3 (5).

(3). This follows from Proposition 7.3 (1), Lemma 7.5 and the exact sequence

Q.E.D.

7.2 Proof of Theorem B (1)

Let $\pi_R : X_R \to C_R$ be an elliptic surface as in Theorem B (1). Let $\pi'_K : V_K \to C_K$ be the minimal elliptic surface associated to $X_K := X_R \times_R K$. We put

$$C^{\text{\'et}}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}(j) := \operatorname{Coker}(H^{2}_{\text{\'et},Y}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(j)) \longrightarrow H^{2}_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(j))),$$
$$I^{\text{\'et}}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}(j) := \operatorname{Image}(H^{2}_{\text{\'et},Y}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(j)) \longrightarrow H^{2}_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(j)))$$

and $C_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{\acute{e}t}} := \varprojlim C_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}^{\text{\acute{e}t}}, I_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{\acute{e}t}} := \varprojlim I_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}^{\text{\acute{e}t}}$. Note $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n^{\oplus}$ and $H^i_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H^i_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ for $i \neq 2$. On the other hand, thanks to a theorem of M. Artin, there are the natural isomorphisms

$$H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(W_F, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \cong H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(W_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}/n(j)) \cong H^{\bullet}_B(W_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}/n(j))$$

for any separated scheme W of finite type over a field $F = \overline{F} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Note that $H^{\bullet}_{\acute{e}t}(W_F, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is torsion free if and only if p is prime to the cardinality of $H^{\bullet}_B(W_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})_{tor}$. Therefore we can apply Proposition 7.6 for the étale cohomology groups of $V_{\overline{K}}$. Thus we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^{\text{\'et}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(j) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \xrightarrow{\partial_{\text{\'et}}} \mathbb{Z}_p(j-2)^{\oplus s} \longrightarrow 0$$
(7.9)

of G_K -modules from Proposition 7.6 (2). $C_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{\acute{e}t}}(j)$ is a direct summand of $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ by Proposition 7.6 (1). Moreover $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ is torsion free and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \xrightarrow{p^{\nu}} H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(j)) \longrightarrow 0$$
(7.10)

by Proposition 7.6 (3).

7.2.1 Proof of $\partial(\Gamma(U_K, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) = \partial(\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$

For a scheme U' with $U_{\overline{K}} \to U'$ we write

$$\Gamma(U')_{\text{\'et}} := \text{Image}(\Gamma(U', \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))).$$

Note that $\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}})_{\text{\acute{e}t}} \cap C_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{\acute{e}t}}(2)$ is torsion so that the rank of $\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}})_{\text{\acute{e}t}}$ is equal to the rank of $\partial_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}})_{\text{\acute{e}t}}) = \partial(\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$. It is enough to show

$$\Gamma(U_K)_{\text{\'et}} = \Gamma(U_{\overline{K}})_{\text{\'et}}.$$
(7.11)

Claim 7.7 $\Gamma(U_K)_{\acute{e}t} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \Gamma(U_{\overline{K}})_{\acute{e}t} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$

In fact, there is a finite extension L/K such that $\Gamma(U_L)_{\acute{e}t} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \Gamma(U_{\overline{K}})_{\acute{e}t} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. We want to show $\dim \Gamma(U_K)_{\acute{e}t} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \dim \Gamma(U_L)_{\acute{e}t} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. To do this, it is enough to show $\dim \partial_{\acute{e}t}(\Gamma(U_K)_{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \dim \partial_{\acute{e}t}(\Gamma(U_L)_{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Since the multiplicative fibers of X_K are K-rational in the sense of §2.3 (**Rat**), we have a commutative diagram

Thus Claim 7.7 follows from a diagram chase.

Claim 7.8 $\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}})_{\acute{e}t} \subset H^2_{\acute{e}t}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))^{G_K}.$

In fact, it follows from Claim 7.7 that we have $\Gamma(U_{\overline{K}})_{\text{\acute{e}t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \Gamma(U_K)_{\text{\acute{e}t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \subset H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(2))^{G_K}$. Then the assertion follows from the fact that $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))$ is torsion free (see the beginning of §7.2).

Claim 7.9 $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(U_K, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\Gamma(U_K)_{\acute{e}t}$ is torsion free.

Put $M := H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_K, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) / \Gamma(U_K)_{\text{\acute{e}t}}$. Since M is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z}_p , it is enough to show that

$$M/p^{\nu} \longrightarrow M/p^{\nu+1}, \quad x \longmapsto p \cdot x$$

is injective. Due to Suslin's exact sequence (Theorem 2.2) we have an injective map $M/p^{\nu} \hookrightarrow H^1_{\text{Zar}}(U_K, \mathcal{K}_2)[p^{\nu}]$ and a commutative diagram

Thus Claim 7.9 follows.

Claim 7.10 There is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2_{\acute{e}t}(S_K, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) \longrightarrow H^2_{\acute{e}t}(U_K, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) \longrightarrow H^2_{\acute{e}t}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))^{G_K} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This is split by the section e.

Consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences

$$E_{2,U}^{pq} = H^p(K, H^q_{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}_{\text{\'et}}(U_K, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))$$
$$E_{2,S}^{pq} = H^p(K, H^q_{\text{\'et}}(S_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}_{\text{\'et}}(S_K, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2)).$$

Since $H^p(K, -) = 0$ for $p \ge 3$, we have $E_2^{1q} = E_{\infty}^{1q}$ and $E_2^{01} \to E_2^{20} \to E_{\infty}^{20} \to 0$ are exact. By Proposition 7.3 (3), we have $E_{2,S}^{p1} \cong E_{2,U}^{p1}$. Thus we have $E_{\infty,S}^{11} \cong E_{\infty,U}^{11}$ and $E_{\infty,S}^{20} \cong E_{\infty,U}^{20}$, and hence an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(S_K, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2)) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(U_K, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2)) \xrightarrow{v} H^2_{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))^{G_K}.$$

The rest of the proof is to show that the right arrow v is surjective, which is equivalent to $E_{2,U}^{02} = E_{\infty,U}^{02}$. To do this it is enough to show that the map $E_{2,U}^{02} \to E_{2,U}^{21}$ is zero. There is a commutative diagram

$$E_{2,U}^{02} \xrightarrow{a} E_{2,U}^{21} \xrightarrow{b} E_{U}^{3}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \cong \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \cup$$

$$E_{2,S}^{02} \xrightarrow{c} E_{2,S}^{21} \xrightarrow{d} E_{S}^{3}$$

with exact rows. Therefore $a = 0 \iff b$ is injective $\iff d$ is injective $\iff c = 0$. However this is clear because of $E_{2,S}^{p^2} = 0$.

Now we show (7.11). By Claim 7.10 we have a commutative diagram

with split exact rows by the section e_K . Together with Claim 7.9 we have that

$$H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))^{G_K} / \Gamma(U_K)_{\text{\acute{e}t}}$$

$$(7.12)$$

is torsion free. Therefore, in the following commutative diagram

Coker a is torsion free. On the other hand Coker a is torsion by Claim 7.7. This means that a is surjective and hence bijective. This completes the proof.

7.2.2 **Proof of** $\partial(\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) = \partial(\Gamma(U_K, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$

It is enough to show

$$\Gamma(U_R)_{\text{\'et}} = \Gamma(U_K)_{\text{\'et}}.$$
(7.13)

Let k be the residue field of K and U_k and S_k the special fibers over Speck. There is an exact sequence

$$\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U_K, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U_k, \mathcal{K}_1).$$
(7.14)

In fact it follows from a commutative diagram

and the Gersten conjecture (Theorem 2.1). Since (7.14) is compatible with the pull-back maps, we have a commutative diagram

with exact rows. Then it follows from a diagram chase that the map

$$\Gamma(U_R, \mathcal{K}_2) \oplus \pi_K^* \Gamma(S_K, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U_K, \mathcal{K}_2)$$

is surjective. The rest is to show that the composition

$$\Gamma(S_K, \mathcal{K}_2) \xrightarrow{\pi_K^*} \Gamma(U_K, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))$$

is zero. However since it factors through

$$\Gamma(S_K, \mathcal{K}_2) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(S_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) = 0$$

it is clear. This completes the proof and hence Theorem B (1).

7.3 Proof of Theorem B (2)

Let $\pi_F : X_F \to C_F$ and $\pi'_F : V_F \to C_F$ be as in Theorem B (2). Put $\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}})_{\text{\acute{e}t}} :=$ Image $(\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))).$

Claim 7.11 $\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}})_{\acute{e}t} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \subset H^2_{\acute{e}t}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(2))^{G_F}.$

In fact there is a finite extension L/F such that $\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}})_{\text{\acute{e}t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \subset H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(2))^{G_L}$. Therefore it is enough to show that $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(2))^{G_F} = H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(2))^{G_L}$. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}} \longrightarrow H^2(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2)) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})^{\oplus s} \longrightarrow 0$$

gives rise to

where $\operatorname{Res}_{L/F}$ denotes the restriction map. Thanks to the Weil conjecture, $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))^{G_L}$ is finite whose order has an upper bound which does not depend on ν . Thus there is a $N \geq 1$ which does not depend on ν such that

$$N \cdot C_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}^{G_F} = N \cdot C_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}^{G_L} = 0.$$
 (7.16)

Let $\operatorname{Cor}_{L/F} : H^1(L, C_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}) \to H^1(F, C_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}})$ be the corestriction map. We have

$$\operatorname{Cor}_{L/F} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{L/F} = [L:F] = d.$$
(7.17)

Then a diagram chase of (7.15) together with (7.16) and (7.17) yields

$$dN \cdot H^2_{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))^{G_L} \subset H^2_{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))^{G_F}$$

for all $\nu \geq 1$. This completes the proof of Claim 7.11.

Now we prove Theorem B (2). Since $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))$ is torsion free, Claim 7.11 immediately implies $\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}})_{\text{\acute{e}t}} \subset H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))^{G_F}$. Therefore we have a diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))^{G_F} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})^{\oplus s} \longrightarrow H^1(G_F, C^{\text{\'et}}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}(2))$$

$$\uparrow \\ \Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2)/p^{\nu}$$

with an exact row. Passing to the projective limit, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{2}_{\text{ét}}(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}(2))^{G_{F}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\text{ét}}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\oplus s} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\nu} H^{1}(G_{F}, C^{\text{ét}}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}(2))$$
$$\underset{d\log \uparrow}{\stackrel{}{\uparrow}} \qquad \qquad \uparrow = \Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_{2}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\oplus s}.$$

We want to show that the cokernel of ∂ is torsion free. In the same way as the proof of Claim 7.9 we can show that the cokernel of dlog is torsion free. Since $C_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}}^{\text{ét}}(2)$ is a direct summand of $H^2_{\text{ét}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))$, it is enough to show that

$$\varprojlim_{\nu} H^1(G_F, H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2)))$$
(7.18)

is torsion free. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu-1}(2)) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2)) \longrightarrow H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(2)) \longrightarrow 0$$

from (7.10). Applying $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(G_F, -)$ to the above, we see that the following map

$$H^1(G_F, H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu-1}(2))) \longrightarrow H^1(G_F, H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2)))$$

is injective for all $\nu \geq 1$ due to the vanishing $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(2))^{G_F} = 0$. Passing to the projective limit, we have the injectivity of

$$\lim_{\nu} H^1(G_F, H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))) \longrightarrow \lim_{\nu} H^1(G_F, H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(2))), \quad x \mapsto p \cdot x.$$

This means that (7.18) is torsion free. This completes the proof of Theorem B (2).

8 Example : elliptic surfaces $Y^2 = X^3 + X^2 + t^n$

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt[n]{-4/27}, \zeta_n)$. Let $\pi'_F : V_F \to C_F$ be the minimal elliptic surface over F such that the general fiber $\pi'^{-1}(t)$ is the elliptic curve defined by

$$Y^{2} = X^{3} + X^{2} + t^{n}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(8.1)

The functional *j*-invariant is $-64/(t^n(1+27t^n/4))$. Put n = 6k + l where $k \ge 0$ and $1 \le l \le 6$. Then the canonical bundle K_{V_F} is $\pi'_F \mathcal{O}(k-1)$ and the Hodge numbers are as follows:

In particular V_F is rational if $1 \le n \le 6$, a K3 surface if $7 \le n \le 12$ and $\kappa(V_F) = 1$ if $n \ge 13$. There are (n+2) singular fibers, $Y_{0,F} = \pi'^{-1}(0)$, $Y_{i,F} = \pi'^{-1}(\sqrt[n]{-4/27}\zeta_n^i)$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ and $Y_{\infty,F} = \pi'^{-1}(\infty)$. $Y_{0,F}$ is of type I_n , $Y_{i,F}$ is of type I_1 for $1 \le i \le n$ and $Y_{\infty,F}$ is as follows:

The number of multiplicative fibers is s = n + 1.

8.1 Rank of dlog image

Theorem 8.1 Suppose that n is a prime number with $2 \le n \le 29$. Put $U_{\overline{F}} := U_F \times_F \overline{F}$. Then we have

rank
$$\operatorname{dlog}\Gamma(U_{\overline{F}},\mathcal{K}_2)=2.$$

The dlog image is generated by

dlog
$$\left\{\frac{Y-X}{Y+X}, -\frac{t^n}{X^3}\right\}$$
, dlog $\left\{\frac{iY-(X+2/3)}{iY+(X+2/3)}, \frac{t^n+4/27}{(X+2/3)^3}\right\}$

Let $\partial : \Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 1+n}$ be the boundary map where the base $(0, \dots, \overset{b}{1}, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 1+n}$ corresponds to the fiber Y_b for $0 \leq b \leq n$. We want to show that the rank of $\partial \Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2)$ is 2. A direct calculation yields

$$\partial \left\{ \frac{Y - X}{Y + X}, -\frac{t^n}{X^3} \right\} = (n, 0, \cdots, 0),$$
$$\partial \left\{ \frac{iY - (X + 2/3)}{iY + (X + 2/3)}, -\frac{t^n + 4/27}{(X + 2/3)^3} \right\} = (0, 1, \cdots, 1).$$

Therefore we have rank $\partial \Gamma(U_{\overline{F}}, \mathcal{K}_2) \geq 2$. We will show $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \leq 2$ along the procedure in §6.1.

8.1.1 Step 1 : Choice of $p \ge 5$, $F \hookrightarrow K$ and U_R

Taking the embedded resolution with respect to $Y_{\infty,F}$, we get $\pi_F : X_F \to C_F$. It is an elliptic surface as in §2.3 which satisfies (**Rat**) and (**NCD**). Let

$$K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt[n]{-4/27}, \zeta_n), \quad p \not| 6n.$$

Then K is unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p . Let us check the conditions (U1) and (U3) in §6.1. We can easily check that (U3) is satisfied. Namely let $\pi_R : X_R \to C_R$ be the flat model obtained from the defining equation $Y^2 = X^3 + X^2 + t^n$ in a natural way. Then it is an elliptic surface in the sense of §2.3 and satisfies (**Rat**) and (**NCD**). If 6 n, there is a singular fiber which is not a multiplicative type. By Lemma 7.4 and Proposition 7.6 (3), $H^3_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is torsion free. Therefore the condition (U1) is satisfied.

Let us see the condition (U2):

$$H^2(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(2))^{G_F} = 0.$$

To do this, we see the Frobenius action. Suppose that V_F has a good reduction at a prime \mathfrak{l} of F which is prime to p. Let ℓ be a rational prime such that $\mathfrak{l}|\ell$. Let κ be the residue field at \mathfrak{l} and put $t = [\kappa : \mathbb{F}_{\ell}]$. Let $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\kappa}/\kappa)$ be the Frobenius automorphism. By the proper smooth base change theorem we have

$$H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(2)) \cong H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(2)).$$

By Proposition 7.6(3) we have

$$H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(2)) \cong H^2_{\text{\'et}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

and $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))$ is torsion free. Denote by $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\text{arith}}$ the action of the Frobenius on $H^2_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(j))$. If p satisfies

$$p \not| \det(1 - \operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{arith}} : H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))), \tag{8.2}$$

then **(U2)** holds. There is the geometric Frobenius endomorphism on $V_{\overline{\kappa}}$. Denote by $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\text{geo}}$ the action of the geometric Frobenius on $H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(j))$. Then $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{geo}}$. $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{arith}} = \operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{arith}} \cdot \operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ is the identity. By the Poincare duality theorem, the dual of $H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))$ is $H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Thus we have

$$\det(1 - \operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{arith}} : H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))) = \det(1 - \operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{geo}} : H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)).$$
(8.3)

Note that V_F is defined over \mathbb{Q} and V_{κ} is defined over the prime field \mathbb{F}_{ℓ} , which we denote by $V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$. Let $\operatorname{Frob}_{\ell}^{\operatorname{geo}}$ be the geometric Frobenius action on $H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{geo}} = (\operatorname{Frob}_{\ell}^{\operatorname{geo}})^t$ and hence

$$\det(1 - \operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\operatorname{geo}} : H^{2}_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_{p})) = \prod_{i=1}^{10+12k} (1 - \alpha_{i}^{t})$$
(8.4)

where α_i are the eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Frob}_{\ell}^{\operatorname{geo}}$. The eigenvalues α_i are determined by the zeta function of $V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$. Namely letting $\nu_m(V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}})$ be the cardinality of the \mathbb{F}_{ℓ^m} -rational points of $V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$, the zeta function $Z(V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}, T) \in \mathbb{Z}[[T]]$ is defined as follows:

$$Z(V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}, T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_m(V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}})}{m} T^m$$

Due to the Lefschetz trace formula we have

$$Z(V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}},T) = \frac{1}{(1-T)(1-\ell^2 T)\det(1-\operatorname{Frob}_{\ell}^{\operatorname{geo}}T:H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(V_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}},\mathbb{Z}_p))}$$

Hence we have

$$\nu_m(V_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = 1 + \ell^{2m} + \sum_{i=1}^{10+12k} \alpha_i^m.$$
(8.5)

Moreover it follows from the Poincare duality that we have

1

$$\prod_{i=1}^{0+12k} \alpha_i = \pm \ell^{10+12k}.$$
(8.6)

Let us compute $\nu_n(V_{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$. Put

$$V^o := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}[V, Y, t] / (Y^2 - X^3 - X^2 - t^n) \hookrightarrow V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}.$$

Since $V_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} = (V^o - \{t = 0\}) \cup Y_0 \cup Y_\infty \cup e(\mathbb{P}^1)$, we have

$$\nu_m(V_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) = 1 + (12k - n + 11)\ell^m + \nu_m(V^o) \quad \text{unless } 6|n.$$
(8.7)

Recall that n is a prime number. Choose ℓ such that $(\ell \mod n)$ is a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^m} \to \mathbb{F}_{\ell^m} x \mapsto x^n$ is bijective unless n-1|m we have

$$\nu_m(V^o) = \ell^{2m} \quad \text{unless } n - 1|m. \tag{8.8}$$

By (8.5), (8.7) and (8.8) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10+12k} \alpha_i^m = (12k - n + 11)\ell^m \quad \text{unless } n - 1|m.$$
(8.9)

Claim 8.2 Suppose $n \ge 11$. Then (8.6) and (8.9) yield

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10+12k} \alpha_i^{n-1} = \begin{cases} (10+12k)\ell^{n-1} & \text{if } \prod_i \alpha_i = -\ell^{10+12k} \\ 10 & \text{if } \prod_i \alpha_i = \ell^{10+12k} \end{cases}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{10+12k} \alpha_i^{2n-2} = (10+12k)\ell^{n-1} & \text{if } \prod_i \alpha_i = \ell^{10+12k}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Exercise on symmetric polynomials. Q.E.D.

By the Weil-Riemann conjecture we have

$$|\alpha_i^{\sigma}| = \ell \quad \text{for any } \sigma : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{C}.$$
(8.10)

Therefore Claim 8.2 implies $\alpha_i^{2n-2} = \ell^{2n-2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 10 + 12k$. It is easy to see 2n-2 is divided by $t = [\kappa : \mathbb{F}_{\ell}]$. Therefore if $p-1 \not/2n-2$, there exists $\ell \not/6np$ such that $p \not/(1-\ell^{2n-2})$. Thus (8.2) (and hence **(U2)**) holds. In the cases n = 2, 3, 5, 7, we check (8.2) as the case may be.

<u>Case n=2</u>. We put $\ell = 13$. Then $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{13}$ (t = 1). By a direct calculation we have

$$\nu_1(V_{\mathbb{F}_{13}}) = 13^2 + 10 \cdot 13 + 1 \quad (\Longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{10} \alpha_i = 10 \cdot 13).$$

Then it follows from the Weil-Riemann conjecture (8.10) that we have $\alpha_i = 13$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 10$. Thus if $p \not| 13 - 1$ (i.e. $p \geq 5$) then (8.2) and hence **(U2)** hold. <u>Case n=3</u>. We put $\ell = 7$. Then $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{7^6}$ (t = 6).

$$\nu_1(V_{\mathbb{F}_7}) = 7^2 + 10 \cdot 7 + 1.$$

Therefore we have $\alpha_i = 7$ for all $1 \le i \le 10$. Thus if $p \not/ 7^6 - 1 \iff p \ne 2, 3, 19, 43$ then (8.2) holds. On the other hand let $\ell = 13$. Then $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{13^3}$ (t = 3) and

$$\nu_1(V_{\mathbb{F}_{13}}) = 13^2 + 10 \cdot 13 + 1.$$

Therefore we have $\alpha_i = 13$ for all $1 \le i \le 10$ and if $p \not| 13^3 - 1 \iff p \ne 2, 3, 61$ then (8.2) holds. As a result, if $p \ge 5$ then (U2) holds. Case n=5. We put $\ell = 19$. Then $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{19^2}$ (t = 2).

$$\nu_m(V_{\mathbb{F}_5}) = \begin{cases} 19^{2m} + 6 \cdot 19^m + 1 & 2 \not m \\ 19^4 + 10 \cdot 19^2 + 1 & m = 2 \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have $\alpha_i^2 = 19^2$ for all $1 \le i \le 10$. Thus if $p \ge 7$ then (8.2) holds. <u>Case n=7</u>. We put $\ell = 13$. Then $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{13^2}$ (t = 2).

$$\nu_m(V_{\mathbb{F}_{13}}) = \begin{cases} 13^{2m} + 16 \cdot 13^m + 1 & 2 \not | m \\ 13^4 + 22 \cdot 13^2 + 1 & m = 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have $\alpha_i^2 = 13^2$ for all $1 \le i \le 22$. Thus if $p \not| 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ then (8.2) holds.

Summarizing the above, (U1), (U2) and (U3) are satisfied in the following cases.

$$K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt[n]{-4/27}, \zeta_n),$$

$$\frac{n}{p} | p \ge 5 | p \ge 5 | p \ge 7, p \ne 19 | p/2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 | p - 1/2(n-1)$$

8.1.2 Step 2 : Computation of $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$

Let $p, K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt[n]{-4/27}, \zeta_n)$ and U_R etc. be as above. Put

$$Y' = \sqrt{-1}Y, \quad X' = -X - \frac{2}{3}, \quad t' = \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{-4}{27}}\right)^{-1}t, \quad t_i = \zeta_n^{-i}t' - 1 \quad (1 \le i \le n).$$

Then

$$Y^{\prime 2} = X^{\prime 3} + X^{\prime 2} + \frac{4}{27}(t^{\prime n} - 1) = X^{\prime 3} + X^{\prime 2} + \frac{4}{27}((t_i + 1)^n - 1).$$
(8.11)

Let $q_i \in t_i R[[t_i]]$ be the formal power series such that

$$j = \frac{1}{q_i} + 744 + 196884q_i + \dots = \frac{-432}{(t_i + 1)^n ((t_i + 1)^n - 1)}.$$
(8.12)

 q_i is the period of the Tate curve in the neighborhood of the singular fiber Y_i . Conversely the q_i -expansion of t_i is as follows:

$$t_i = -\frac{432}{n}q_i + \frac{41472n + 93312}{n^2}q_i^2 + \cdots$$
 (8.13)

Then $R((q_i)) = R((t_i))$ and the isomorphism between the Tate curve $E_{q_i,R((q_i))}$ (3.1) and $U_R \times_{S_R} \text{Spec}R((q_i))$ is given by

$$X' = 4\frac{x + (1 - E_4^{1/4})/12}{E_4^{1/2}}, \quad Y' = 4\frac{2y + x}{E_4^{3/4}}$$

where E_4 is the Eisenstein series:

$$E_4 = 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^3 q_i^n}{1 - q_i^n}, \quad E_4^{1/4} = 1 + 60q_i - 4860q_i^2 + \cdots$$

In particular we have

$$\frac{dX'}{Y'} = E_4^{1/4} \frac{du}{u} = E_4^{1/4} \frac{dx}{2y+x}.$$
(8.14)

 $\Gamma(V_R, \Omega^2_{V_R/R}(\log(D_R)))$ is generated by

$$t'^{a}dt'\frac{dX'}{Y'}, \quad \frac{dt'}{t'}\frac{dX'}{Y'}, \quad \frac{dt'}{t'-\zeta_{n}^{b}}\frac{dX'}{Y'}, \quad (0 \le a \le k-1, \ 1 \le b \le n)$$

where n = 6k + l with $k \ge 0$ and $1 \le l \le 6$. Since

$$\partial_{\mathrm{DR}}\left(t'^{a}dt'\frac{dX'}{Y'}\right) = (0, \cdots, 0), \quad \partial_{\mathrm{DR}}\left(\frac{dt'}{t'}\frac{dX'}{Y'}\right) = (\sqrt{-1}, 0, \cdots, 0),$$
$$\partial_{\mathrm{DR}}\left(\frac{dt'}{t' - \zeta_{n}^{b}}\frac{dX'}{Y'}\right) = (0, \cdots, \overset{b}{1}, \cdots, 0),$$

we have

$$\Gamma(V_R, \Omega^2_{V_R/R}(\log(D_R)))_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} Rt'^a dt' \frac{dX'}{Y'} + \mathbb{Z}_p \sqrt{-1} \frac{dt'}{t'} \frac{dX'}{Y'} + \sum_{b=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_p \frac{dt'}{t' - \zeta_n^b} \frac{dX'}{Y'}$$
$$= \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} Rt_i^a dt_i \frac{dX'}{Y'} + \mathbb{Z}_p \sqrt{-1} \frac{dt_i}{t_i + 1} \frac{dX'}{Y'} + \sum_{b=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_p \frac{dt_i}{t_i + 1 - \zeta_n^{b-i}} \frac{dX'}{Y'}.$$

We show $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \leq 2$ as the case may be. We check it only in case n = 7. The other cases are similar (left to the reader).

Let n = 7. We choose p = 11. Then $K = \mathbb{Q}_{11}(\sqrt{-1}, \zeta_7) = \mathbb{Q}_{11}(\zeta)$ $(\zeta := \sqrt{-1}\zeta_7)$, $R = \mathbb{Z}_{11}[\zeta]$ and $[K : \mathbb{Q}_{11}] = 6$. Put

$$a = \zeta_7 + \zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^4 = 4 + 11 + 11^2 + 7 \cdot 11^3 + \cdots$$

$$b = \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^5 + \zeta_7^6 = 6 + 9 \cdot 11 + 9 \cdot 11^2 + 3 \cdot 11^3 + \cdots$$

.

The minimal polynomial of ζ_7 over \mathbb{Q}_{11} is $x^3 - ax^2 + bx - 1$. $\Gamma(V_R, \Omega^2_{V_R/R}(\log D_R))_{\mathbb{Z}_{11}}$ is generated by

$$Rdt'\frac{dX'}{Y'}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{11}\sqrt{-1}\frac{dt'}{t'}\frac{dX'}{Y'}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{11}\frac{dt'}{t'-\zeta_7^b}\frac{dX'}{Y'} \quad (1 \le b \le 7).$$

Let us compute

$$\phi_i: \Gamma(V_R, \Omega^2_{V_R/R}(\log D_R))_{\mathbb{Z}_{11}} \longrightarrow \prod_{k \ge 1} (\mathbb{Z}_{11}/k^2 \mathbb{Z}_{11})^{\oplus 6}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since

$$\operatorname{dlog}\left\{\frac{Y-X}{Y+X}, -\frac{t^7}{X^3}\right\} = 7\sqrt{-1}\frac{dt'}{t'}\frac{dX'}{Y'}, \quad \operatorname{dlog}\left\{\frac{iY-(X+2/3)}{iY+(X+2/3)}, -\frac{t^7+4/27}{(X+2/3)^3}\right\} = \frac{d(t'^7)}{t'^7-1}\frac{dX'}{Y'}$$

we have

$$\phi_i\left(\sqrt{-1}\frac{dt'}{t'}\frac{dX'}{Y'}\right) = 0, \quad \phi_i\left(\frac{d(t'^7)}{t'^7 - 1}\frac{dX'}{Y'}\right) = 0.$$

Let

$$\frac{dt'}{t'-\zeta_7^b}\frac{dX'}{Y'} = f_b(q_i)\frac{dq_i}{q_i}\frac{du}{u}.$$

Express

$$f_b(q_i) = E_4^{1/4} \frac{1}{t_i + 1 - \zeta_7^{b-i}} q_i \frac{dt_i}{dq_i}$$

= $E_4^{1/4} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_7^{b-i}} \sum_{m \ge 0} \left(\frac{-t_i}{1 - \zeta_7^{b-i}}\right)^m \cdot q_i \frac{dt_i}{dq_i}$
= $\sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{a_k q_i^k}{1 - q_i^k} + \frac{b_k \zeta q_i^k}{1 - \zeta q_i^k} + \dots + \frac{f_k \zeta^5 q_i^k}{1 - \zeta^5 q_i^k}$

for $b \not\equiv i \mod 7$ and

$$f_b(q_i) = E_4^{1/4} \frac{1}{t_i} q_i \frac{dt_i}{dq_i} \\ = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{a_k q_i^k}{1 - q_i^k}$$

for b = i. By definition, $\phi_i(\frac{dt'}{t'-\zeta_7^b}\frac{dX'}{Y'}) = (\bar{a}_k, \cdots, \bar{f}_k)_{k\geq 1}$ where $\bar{a}_k = (a_k \mod k^2 \mathbb{Z}_{11})$. The following is the table for k = 11, 22, 33, 44 (don't check it by hand).

b-i	\bar{a}_{11}	\bar{b}_{11}	\bar{c}_{11}	\bar{d}_{11}	\bar{e}_{11}	\bar{f}_{11}	\bar{a}_{22}	\overline{b}_{22}	\bar{c}_{22}	\bar{d}_{22}	\bar{e}_{22}	\bar{f}_{22}
1	99	0	99	0	55	0	11	0	99	0	99	0
2	77	0	55	0	88	0	110	0	55	0	99	0
3	11	0	55	0	33	0	99	0	88	0	11	0
4	66	0	88	0	99	0	99	0	88	0	44	0
5	33	0	33	0	55	0	110	0	33	0	22	0
6	22	0	33	0	33	0	99	0	0	0	88	0
0	55	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	0
, ·	I –	ī	_	7	_	\overline{c}	I —	ī	_	7	_	\overline{c}
b-i	\bar{a}_{33}	\bar{b}_{33}	\bar{c}_{33}	\bar{d}_{33}	\bar{e}_{33}	\bar{f}_{33}	\bar{a}_{44}	\bar{b}_{44}	\bar{c}_{44}	\bar{d}_{44}	\bar{e}_{44}	\bar{f}_{44}
$\frac{b-i}{1}$	\bar{a}_{33} 99	\overline{b}_{33}	\overline{c}_{33} 88	$\frac{\bar{d}_{33}}{0}$	\overline{e}_{33} 99	$\frac{\bar{f}_{33}}{0}$	\bar{a}_{44} 33	\overline{b}_{44}	\overline{c}_{44} 55	\overline{d}_{44}	\overline{e}_{44} 55	$\frac{\bar{f}_{44}}{0}$
$\begin{array}{c} b-i \\ \hline 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	\bar{a}_{33} 99 0		\bar{c}_{33} 88 99	$ar{d_{33}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0$	\overline{e}_{33} 99 55	$\begin{array}{c} \bar{f}_{33} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	\bar{a}_{44} 33 77	\overline{b}_{44} 0 0		$ar{d}_{44}$ 0 0	\overline{e}_{44} 55 66	$\begin{array}{c} \bar{f}_{44} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} b-i\\ \hline 1\\ 2\\ 3 \end{array}$	$ar{a}_{33}$ 99 0 88	$ar{b}_{33} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	\bar{c}_{33} 88 99 11	$ar{d_{33}} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \$	\overline{e}_{33} 99 55 44	$ar{f_{33}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}$	$egin{array}{c} ar{a}_{44} \\ 33 \\ 77 \\ 11 \end{array}$	$ar{b}_{44}$ $egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$ar{c}_{44} \ 55 \ 11 \ 0$	$ar{d}_{44}$ 0 0 0 0 0	$ar{e}_{44}$ 55 66 11	$\begin{array}{c} \bar{f}_{44} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} b-i\\ \hline 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4 \end{array}$	$ar{a}_{33}$ 99 0 88 33	$ar{b}_{33} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	\overline{c}_{33} 88 99 11 55	$ar{d_{33}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0$	$ar{e}_{33}$ 99 55 44 88	$ar{f_{33}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0$	$egin{array}{c} ar{a}_{44} \\ 33 \\ 77 \\ 11 \\ 55 \end{array}$	$ar{b}_{44} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$ar{c}_{44}$ $ar{55}$ 11 0 55	$ar{d_{44}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0$	$ar{e}_{44}$ 55 66 11 0	$egin{array}{c} ar{f}_{44} & & \\ 0 & & \\ 0 & & \\ 0 & & \\ 0 & & \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} b-i\\ \hline 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5 \end{array}$	$ar{a}_{33}$ 99 0 88 33 55	$ar{b}_{33}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ar{c}_{33}$ 88 99 11 55 66	$ar{d_{33}} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \$	$ar{e}_{33}$ 99 55 44 88 11	$ar{f_{33}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0$	$\begin{array}{c} \bar{a}_{44} \\ 33 \\ 77 \\ 11 \\ 55 \\ 11 \end{array}$	$ar{b}_{44} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$ar{c}_{44}$ 55 11 0 55 55	$ar{d}_{44} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ $	$ar{e}_{44}$ 55 66 11 0 55	$ar{f_{44}}{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$
$\begin{array}{c} b-i\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\end{array}$	$ar{a}_{33}$ 99 0 88 33 55 88	$ar{b}_{33}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\overline{c}_{33} 88 99 11 55 66 44	$ar{d_{33}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0$	\overline{e}_{33} 99 55 44 88 11 66	$ar{f_{33}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0$		$ar{b}_{44} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	$ar{c}_{44}$ $ar{55}$ 11 0 $ar{55}$ 55 $ar{66}$	$ar{d}_{44} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ $	$ar{e}_{44}$ 55 66 11 0 55 55	$ar{f_{44}}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0$

Similarly, we put

$$\begin{split} \zeta^{b} dt' \frac{dX'}{Y'} &= \zeta^{b+8i} dt_i \frac{dX'}{Y'} = g_b(q_i) \frac{dq_i}{q_i} \frac{du}{u} \\ g_b(q_i) &= \zeta^{b+8i} E_4^{1/4} q_i \frac{dt_i}{dq_i} = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{a_k q_i^k}{1-q_i^k} + \frac{b_k \zeta q_i^k}{1-\zeta q_i^k} + \dots + \frac{f_k \zeta^5 q_i^k}{1-\zeta^5 q_i^k}. \\ \hline \frac{b+8i}{0} \frac{\bar{a}_{11}}{2} \frac{\bar{b}_{11}}{\bar{c}_{11}} \frac{\bar{d}_{11}}{\bar{d}_{11}} \frac{\bar{a}_{11}}{\bar{c}_{11}} \frac{\bar{f}_{11}}{\bar{d}_{11}} \frac{\bar{a}_{22}}{\bar{c}_{22}} \frac{\bar{b}_{22}}{\bar{c}_{22}} \frac{\bar{c}_{22}}{\bar{c}_{22}} \frac{\bar{c}_{22}}{\bar{c}_{22}} \frac{\bar{f}_{22}}{\bar{c}_{22}} \frac{\bar{f}_{22}}{\bar{c}_{2}} \frac{\bar{f}$$

7

The above tables yields that the kernel of ϕ_1 is contained in

$$\mathbb{Z}_{11}\sqrt{-1}\frac{dt'}{t'}\frac{dX'}{Y'} + \mathbb{Z}_{11}\frac{dt'^7}{t'^7 - 1}\frac{dX'}{Y'} + 11 \cdot \Gamma(V_R, \Omega^2_{V_R/R}(\log D_R))_{\mathbb{Z}_{11}}.$$

Hence we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{11}} \Phi(U_R)_{\mathbb{F}_{11}} \leq 2$. This completes the proof.

8.2 Indecomposable parts of $K_1(X)$ with higher rank

Let X be a nonsingular variety over \mathbb{C} . Let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subscheme. The Adams operations on $K_i^Y(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_i^Y(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong K_i'(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ give rise to the decomposition $\bigoplus_j K_i^Y(X)^{(j)}$ ([22]). In case i = 1 we have the decomposition $K_1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\dim X+1} K_1(X)^{(j)}$. Of particular interest to us here is $K_1(X)^{(2)}$, which is isomorphic to Bloch's higher Chow group $\operatorname{CH}^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\operatorname{NS}(X)$ be the Néron-Severi group of X. Then there is the natural map $\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to K_1(X)^{(2)}$. We denote its quotient by $K_1^{\operatorname{ind}}(X)^{(2)}$ and call the *indecomposable* K_1 of X. An element $x \in K_1(X)^{(2)}$ is called *indecomposable* if it is non trivial in $K_1^{\operatorname{ind}}(X)^{(2)}$.

Lemma 8.3 Let $\pi: V \to C$ be a minimal elliptic surface over \mathbb{C} and U the complement of the singular fibers. Let $NS(V)' \subset NS(V)$ be the subgroup generated by the irreducible components of singular fibers and the section e(C). Then there is an exact sequence

$$K_2(U)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus s} \longrightarrow K_1(V)^{(2)} / (\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \mathrm{NS}(V)').$$
 (8.15)

Proof. Let $Y_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i)$ be singular fibers and $Y_i = \bigcup_k Y_i^{(k)}$ the irreducible decompositions. Quillen's localization exact sequence ([23] Prop.(5.15)) yields an exact sequence

$$K_2(U)^{(2)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s K_1'(Y_i)^{(1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{j>s} K_1'(Y_j)^{(1)} \longrightarrow K_1(V)^{(2)} \longrightarrow K_2(U)^{(1)}.$$
 (8.16)

On the other hand, there are exact sequences

$$\bigoplus_{k} K_1(Y_i^{(k)}) \longrightarrow K_1'(Y_i) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0, \quad 1 \le i \le s$$
(8.17)

$$\bigoplus_{k} K_1(Y_j^{(k)}) \longrightarrow K_1'(Y_j) \longrightarrow 0, \quad j > s$$
(8.18)

$$\bigoplus_{k} \mathbb{C}^* \cdot [Y_i^{(k)}] \longrightarrow K_1'(Y_i)^{(1)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0, \quad 1 \le i \le s$$
(8.19)

$$\bigoplus_{k} \mathbb{C}^* \cdot [Y_j^{(k)}] \longrightarrow K_1'(Y_j)^{(1)} \longrightarrow 0, \quad j > s.$$
(8.20)

(cf. §4.2.1). Let $NS(V)'' \subset NS(V)'$ be the subgroup generated by all irreducible components of the singular fibers. Then $NS(V)' = NS(V)'' \oplus \mathbb{Z}[e(C)]$. We claim that the map

$$\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \mathrm{NS}(V)'_{\mathbb{O}} \longrightarrow K_1(V)^{(2)}$$

is injective. In fact let $K_1(V)^{(2)} \to \bigoplus_{i,k} K_1(Y_i^{(k)})^{(2)} \cong \bigoplus_{i,k} \mathbb{C}^*$ be the pull-back. Then the composition $\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \mathrm{NS}(V)' \longrightarrow K_1(V)^{(2)} \to \bigoplus_{i,k} \mathbb{C}^*$ is given by the intersection matrix on NS(V)' and it is bijective due to the fact that the intersection pairing on NS(V)' is non-degenerate (cf. proof of Lemma 7.1). Now a commutative diagram



with $\partial = \partial_1 \partial_2$ yields an exact sequence

$$K_2(U)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus s} \longrightarrow K_1(V)^{(2)} / \mathbb{C}^* \otimes \mathrm{NS}(V)''.$$
 (8.21)

The rest of the proof is to show

Image
$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} K_{1}'(Y_{i})_{\mathbb{Q}} \to K_{1}(V)^{(2)}\right) \bigcap (\mathbb{C}^{*} \otimes [e(C)]) = 0.$$
 (8.22)

Let V_t be a smooth fiber. Let $K_1(V)^{(2)} \to K_1(V_t)^{(2)}$ be the pull-back and $K_1(V_t)^{(2)} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ the norm map (also called the transfer map) for $V \to \text{Spec}\mathbb{C}$. Then the composition $\mathbb{C}^* \otimes [e(C)] \to K_1(V)^{(2)} \to K_1(V_t)^{(2)} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is bijective. On the other hand the composition $K'_1(Y_i) \to K_1(V)^{(2)} \to K_1(V_t)^{(2)}$ is clearly zero. This shows that the image of $\bigoplus_i K'_1(Y_i)$ does not intersect with $\mathbb{C}^* \otimes [e(C)]$. Thus we have (8.22). Q.E.D.

Corollary 8.4 Let $\pi: V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the minimal elliptic surface over \mathbb{C} defined by $Y^2 = X^3 + X^2 + t^n$. Suppose that n is prime to 30. Then there is an exact sequence

$$K_2(U)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus n+1} \longrightarrow K_1^{\mathrm{ind}}(V)^{(2)}.$$
 (8.23)

Proof. In this case $NS(V)'_{\mathbb{Q}} = NS(V)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ([24] p.185 Example 7, [25] Example 4). Q.E.D.

By Theorem 8.1 and Corollary 8.4 we have

Theorem 8.5 Let $\pi : V \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the minimal elliptic surface over \mathbb{C} defined by $Y^2 = X^3 + X^2 + t^n$. Suppose that n is a prime number with $7 \le n \le 29$. Then we have

dim Image
$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} K'_{1}(Y_{i})_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow K^{\mathrm{ind}}_{1}(V)^{(2)}\right) = n-1.$$

References

- [1] M. Asakura: Surjectivity of *p*-adic regulators on K_2 of Tate curves. to appear in Invent. Math.
- [2] A. Beilinson: Higher regulators and values of L-functions. J. Soviet Math. 30 (1985), 2036–2070
- [3] _____: Higher regulators of modular curves. In Applications of Algebraic K-theory to Algebraic Geometry and Number theory. Contemp. Math. 55 (1986), 1–34.
- [4] S. Bloch: A note on Gersten's conjecture in the mixed characteristic case. In Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory. Contemp. Math. 55-1. (1986), 75–78.
- [5] Contou-Carrère: Jacobian locale, groupe de bivecteurs de Witt universel, et symbole modéré. C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris Sér. I Math. **318** (1994), no. 8, 743–746.
- [6] P. Deligne: Théorie de Hodge II. Publ. Math. IHES, 40 (1972), 5–57.
- [7] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport: Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques. Modular functions of one variable, II, pp. 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, Springer, 1973.
- [8] H. Gillet: Riemann-Roch theorem for higher Algebraic K-theory. Adv. Math. 40 (1981), 203–289.
- [9] D. Grayson: Higher algebraic K-theory. II (after D.Quillen). pp. 217–240. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 551, Springer, 1976.
- [10] U.Jannsen: Mixed Motives and Algebraic K-theory. Lecture note in Math. 1400, Springer, 1990.
- [11] van der Kallen: Generators and relations in algebraic K-theory. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978), pp. 305–310, Acad. Sci. Fennica, Helsinki, 1980.
- [12] ____: The K_2 of rings with many units. Ann. Sci. ÉcoleNorm. Sup. (4), 10 (1977) No.4, 473–515.
- [13] K. Kato: A generalization of local class field theory by using K-groups II. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 27 (1980), no. 3, 603–683.
- [14] _____: The explicit reciprocity law and the cohomology of Fontaine-Messing. Bull. Soc. Math. France 119 (1991), no. 4, 397–441.
- [15] A.S. Merkur'ev and A.A. Suslin: K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism. Math. USSR Izv. 21 No.2 (1983), 307–340.
- [16] J.Milnor: Introduction to Algebraic K-theory. Ann. of Math Studies 72, Princeton 1970.

- [17] D.Quillen: Higher algebraic K-theory. I. pp. 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 341, Springer, Berlin 1973.
- [18] P. Schneider: Introduction to the Beilinson conjectures. In Beilinson's Conjectures on Special Values of *L*-Functions (M. Rapoport, N. Schappacher and P. Schneider, ed), Perspectives in Math. Vol.4, 1–35, 1988.
- [19] N. Schappacher and A. J. Scholl: The boundary of the Eisenstein symbol. Math. Ann. 290 (1991), 419–430.
- [20] J. Silverman: Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic curves. GTM 151, Springer, 1994.
- [21] C. Soulé: K-théorie des anneaux d'entiers de corps de nombres et cohomologie étale. Invent. Math. 55 (1979) pp. 251–295.
- [22] _____: Opération en K-théorie algébrique. Canad. J. Math. 37 (1985) no.3 488–550.
- [23] V.Srinivas: Algebraic K-theory. Progress in Math. Vol 90 (1991), Birkhäuser.
- [24] P. Stiller: Automorphic forms and the Picard number of an elliptic surface. Aspects of Mathematics, E5. (1984), Vieweg.
- [25] ____: The Picard numbers of elliptic surfaces with many symmetries. Pacific J. Math. 128 (1987) no.1 157–189.
- [26] A. Suslin: Algebraic K-theory and the norm residue homomorphism. J. Soviet Math. 30 (1985), 2556–2611.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, JAPAN

E-mail address : asakura@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp