

Quadratic Transformations of the Sixth Painlevé Equation with Application to Algebraic Solutions

Raimundas Vidūnas* and Alexander V. Kitaev†

Department of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 812-8581 Fukuoka, Japan*
Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg, 191023, Russia†

and

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney,
Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia*†

November 1, 2021

Abstract

In 1991, one of the authors showed the existence of quadratic transformations between the Painlevé VI equations with local monodromy differences $(1/2, a, b, \pm 1/2)$ and (a, a, b, b) . In the present paper we give concise forms of these transformations. They are related to the quadratic transformations obtained by Manin and Ramani-Grammaticos-Tamizhmani via Okamoto transformations. To avoid cumbersome expressions with differentiation, we use contiguous relations instead of the Okamoto transformations. The 1991 transformation is particularly important as it can be realized as a quadratic-pull back transformation of isomonodromic Fuchsian equations. The new formulas are illustrated by derivation of explicit expressions for several complicated algebraic Painlevé VI functions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34M55, 33E17.

Short title: Quadratic transformations of Painlevé VI

Key words: The sixth Painlevé equation, quadratic (or folding) transformation, algebraic function.

*Supported by the 21 Century COE Programme "Development of Dynamic Mathematics with High Functionality" of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan. E-mail: vidunas@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

†Supported by JSPS grant-in-aide no. 14204012. E-mail: kitaev@pdmi.ras.ru

1 Introduction

The sixth Painlevé equation is, canonically,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{y-1} + \frac{1}{y-t} \right) \left(\frac{dy}{dt} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t-1} + \frac{1}{y-t} \right) \frac{dy}{dt} \\ &+ \frac{y(y-1)(y-t)}{t^2(t-1)^2} \left(\alpha + \beta \frac{t}{y^2} + \gamma \frac{t-1}{(y-1)^2} + \delta \frac{t(t-1)}{(y-t)^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ are parameters. As well-known [11], its solutions define isomonodromic deformations (with respect to t) of the 2×2 matrix Fuchsian equation with 4 singular points ($\lambda = 0, 1, t$, and ∞):

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \Psi = \left(\frac{A_0}{\lambda} + \frac{A_1}{\lambda-1} + \frac{A_t}{\lambda-t} \right) \Psi, \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda} A_k = 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \{0, 1, t\}. \quad (1.2)$$

The standard correspondence is due to Jimbo and Miwa [11]. We choose the traceless normalization of (1.2), so we assume that the eigenvalues of A_0, A_1, A_t are, respectively, $\pm\theta_0/2, \pm\theta_1/2, \pm\theta_t/2$, and that the matrix $A_\infty := -A_1 - A_2 - A_3$ is diagonal with the diagonal entries $\pm\theta_\infty/2$. Then the corresponding Painlevé equation has the parameters

$$\alpha = \frac{(\theta_\infty - 1)^2}{2}, \quad \beta = -\frac{\theta_0^2}{2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\theta_1^2}{2}, \quad \delta = \frac{1 - \theta_t^2}{2}. \quad (1.3)$$

We refer to the numbers $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t$ and θ_∞ as *local monodromy differences*. They are invariants of the isomonodromic deformation.

For any numbers $\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty$, let us denote by $P_{VI}(\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t)$ the Painlevé VI equation for the local monodromy differences $\theta_i = \nu_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, t, \infty\}$, via (1.3). Note that changing the sign of ν_0, ν_1, ν_t or $1 - \nu_\infty$ does not change the Painlevé equation. Fractional-linear transformations for the Painlevé VI equation permute the 4 singular points of (1.2) and the numbers $\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, 1 - \nu_\infty$.

The subject of this paper is quadratic transformations for the sixth Painlevé equation. Their existence was discovered in [13], [14]. In particular [14], quadratic transformations were found between isomonodromic Fuchsian equations (1.2) with the local monodromy differences $(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t, \theta_\infty)$ related as follows:

$$(a, a, b, b) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (1.4)$$

These transformations act on the fundamental solution of (1.2) as $\Psi(\lambda) \mapsto S(\lambda)\Psi(R(\lambda))$, where $R(\lambda)$ is a scalar quadratic function and $S(\lambda)$ is a matrix-valued rational function. But corresponding transformation between Painlevé VI solutions is implied as cumbersome compositions of lengthy formulas. This paper presents compact expressions for quadratic transformation (1.4) of Painlevé VI functions, up to fractional-linear transformations.

Simpler quadratic transformations for Painlevé VI equations are obtained in [15] and [19]. Manin found that Landen's transformation for the elliptic form of the Painlevé VI equation changes the local monodromy differences as follows:

$$(B, 0, 0, C) \mapsto \left(\frac{B}{2}, \frac{C-1}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{C+1}{2} \right). \quad (1.5)$$

The transformation in [19] is the same, up to fractional-linear transformations, as the rational form of Manin's transformation. The local monodromy differences are changed as follows:

$$(0, A, B, 1) \mapsto \left(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1\right). \quad (1.6)$$

Explicit formulation of this transformation is very simple; we present it in Lemma 2.1 below. To relate the transformations in (1.4) and (1.6), set $C = A + 1$.

The Painlevé equations involved in (1.4)–(1.6), and corresponding Fuchsian equations (1.2) have the following properties:

- (i) As mentioned above, transformation (1.4) is realized in [14] as a quadratic pull-back transformation of corresponding Fuchsian equations (1.2). As a consequence, this transformation preserves finiteness (or infiniteness) of the monodromy group of corresponding Fuchsian equations.
- (ii) The Fuchsian equations corresponding to solutions of $P_{VI}(0, A, B, 1; t)$ have logarithmic singularities at $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \infty$, except for the degenerate solution $y(t) \equiv 0$. (Recall that the Painlevé solution $y(t)$ is a rational multiple of the lower-left element of A_0 by the Jimbo-Miwa correspondence. The point $\lambda = 0$ is non-singular only if $A_0 = 0$. The point $\lambda = \infty$ is logarithmic by the fractional-linear symmetry $\lambda \mapsto 1/\lambda$; the Painlevé solution is transformed as $y \mapsto 1/y$.)
- (iii) The Fuchsian solutions corresponding to solutions of $P_{VI}\left(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1; t\right)$ do not have logarithmic singularities in general. Note incidentally that the Painlevé equation has a simple solution $y(t) = \sqrt{t}$ for arbitrary A, B .

It is apparent that quadratic transformations (1.4) and (1.6) have different character on the level of Fuchsian equations (1.2). In particular, contrary to (i) above, transformation (1.6) cannot be realized as a quadratic transformation of the Fuchsian equations, because only one side necessarily has logarithmic points. It is even possible that the monodromy group of equation (1.2) on the $\left(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1\right)$ side of (1.6) is finite, whereas of course the monodromy group cannot be finite on the $(0, A, B, 1)$ side.

Example 1.1 Let us set $A = 1, B = 1$; then we have Hitchin's equation $P_{VI}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; t\right)$ on the $\left(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1\right)$ side. It is shown in [10] (and in [9] as well) that this equation has infinitely many algebraic solutions, and they correspond to Fuchsian equations (1.2) with finite dihedral monodromy groups. Here is a parametrization of one such solution:

$$y(t) = -s, \quad t = \frac{s^3(s+2)}{2s+1}. \quad (1.7)$$

This is a fractional-linear version of the solution given at the end of Section 9 in [10]. The monodromy group of the corresponding Fuchsian equation is the dihedral group with 6 elements. Using formulas for quadratic transformation (1.6) one computes that the corresponding solution of $P_{VI}(0, A, B, 1; \hat{t} = (\sqrt{t} - 1)^2/(\sqrt{t} + 1)^2)$ can be parametrized as

$$\hat{y}(\hat{t}) = \frac{u(2u+1)}{u+2}, \quad \hat{t} = \frac{u^3(u+2)}{2u+1}. \quad (1.8)$$

The parameters u, s are related by the equation $(u+1)^2(s+1)^2+2us=0$. Since $\widehat{y}(\widehat{t}) \neq 0$, the monodromy group of the corresponding Fuchsian system is not finite. (We continue to consider Hitchin's solutions in Section 3 and Example 6.1.)

Quadratic transformations (1.4) and (1.6) are related by Okamoto transformations; this was noticed in [3], [8]. An Okamoto transformation acts on the local monodromy differences of Painlevé VI equations as follows:

$$(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t, \theta_\infty) \mapsto (\theta_0 - \Theta, \theta_1 - \Theta, \theta_t - \Theta, \theta_\infty - \Theta), \quad (1.9)$$

where $\Theta = (\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \theta_t + \theta_\infty)/2$. In particular, Okamoto transformations directly relate

$$(a, a, -b, b) \mapsto (0, 0, a+b, b-a), \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}, a, -b, \frac{1}{2}\right) \mapsto \left(\frac{b-a-1}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2}, -\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{b-a+1}{2}\right). \quad (1.11)$$

Recall again that changing the sign of the local monodromy differences $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t$ and $1-\theta_\infty$ does not change the Painlevé VI equation, thus several Okamoto transformations can be performed on the same Painlevé VI equation; see Lemma 2.2 below. The right-hand sides in (1.10)–(1.11) are related by (1.5) and fractional-linear transformations.

Although quadratic transformation (1.4) is related to the simpler transformation (1.6) via a couple of Okamoto transformations, it is useful to have a direct formula for (1.4). Application of the quadratic transformations to algebraic Painlevé VI functions in Sections 6 and 7 illustrates this handily. The different nature of transformations (1.4) and (1.6) on the level of Fuchsian equations (1.2) becomes clear as well. In particular, Okamoto transformations can change the monodromy group of the corresponding Fuchsian equation (1.2). In our main examples, the monodromy group of Fuchsian equations on the (a, a, b, b) and $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, a, b)$ levels is finite — specifically, the icosahedral group. But the monodromy group on the $(0, A, B, 1)$ level is certainly not finite.

A practical advantage of our formulas is that we avoid cumbersome composition of algebraic and differential transformations, as it is the case with direct composition of (1.6) with Okamoto transformations. It appears that there is no direct algebraic relation between one solution of $P_{VI}(a, a, b, b; t)$ and one solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2})$. Therefore we present our new formulas as extended **contiguous relations**. Like usual contiguous relations for Painlevé VI or Gauss hypergeometric functions, the new formulas relate three functions: one function from the $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2})$ side and two functions from the (a, a, b, b) side, or vice versa. Contiguous relations are consequences of Okamoto transformations, but they do not involve differentiation.

Our original motivation for this work was to provide new examples of algebraic Painlevé VI functions. In particular, we were interested in the algebraic functions corresponding to Fuchsian systems (1.2) with the icosahedral monodromy group. These functions were classified by Boalch in [1]; there are 52 classes, reminiscent to the 15 Schwartz classes of algebraic hypergeometric functions. In the sixth electronic version of [1], ten Boalch classes were not exemplified yet¹. Eight of the missing examples can be obtained from

¹Currently, all 52 Boalch icosahedral classes of Painlevé VI functions are exemplified; see the final version of [1]. Of the mentioned 10 examples, we independently computed a type 42 example as well [21].

earlier known by quadratic transformations. The 8 examples were computed at the same time by Boalch [2] and us. Compared to [2], we derive the 8 examples most conveniently by employing our new direct formulas for quadratic transformation (1.4). We are able to present explicit expressions for Painlevé VI solutions on the most motivating $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, a, b)$ level².

The authors are thankful to Yousuke Ohyama for the invitation to 14th International Summer School on Functional Equations in Okayama (Japan), August 10–13 (2005), where we presented our results.

2 The old and new results

Here we review basic results on quadratic transformation (1.6), the Okamoto transformations and contiguous relations. Then we present our main results: compact formulas for Kitaev’s original transformation (1.4).

First we note that recently, in [20], a general notion of *folding transformations* for Painlevé equations is introduced. These transformations correspond to fixed points on the space of local monodromy differences of Bäcklund transformations induced by Cremona isometries. The quadratic transformations for the Painlevé VI equation are instances of folding transformations. (For readers familiar with [20], in Appendix Section 8 we briefly explain our approach and results in the notation of [20].)

Here is the explicit formulation [19] of quadratic transformation (1.6).

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that y_1 is a solution of $P_{VI}(0, A, B, 1; t_1)$. Let us denote*

$$\tau = \sqrt{t_1}, \quad \eta = \sqrt{y_1}, \quad T_1 = \frac{(\tau + 1)^2}{(\tau - 1)^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the function

$$Y_1(T_1) = \frac{(\tau + 1)(\eta + 1)}{(\tau - 1)(\eta - 1)} \quad (2.2)$$

is a solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1; T_1)$.

Proof. The claim can be checked by direct computations. □

To have a convenient notation for Okamoto transformations, we introduce the following operator on functions. For any $\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$K_{[\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t]} y(t) := y(t) + \frac{\nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_t + \nu_\infty}{Z(t)}, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$Z(t) = \frac{(t - 1) \frac{dy(t)}{dt} - \nu_0}{y(t)} - \frac{t \frac{dy(t)}{dt} + \nu_1}{y(t) - 1} + \frac{\frac{dy(t)}{dt} - 1 - \nu_t}{y(t) - t}. \quad (2.4)$$

Okamoto’s result in [17] can be formulated as follows.

²The formulas in [2] give algebraic Painlevé VI functions on the $(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1)$ level, but updated electronic version of [2] is supplemented by Maple code with examples on the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, a, b)$ level as well.

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose that $y(t)$ is a solution of $P_{VI}(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t, \theta_\infty; t)$, and that*

$$\nu_0 \in \{\theta_0, -\theta_0\}, \quad \nu_1 \in \{\theta_1, -\theta_1\}, \quad \nu_t \in \{\theta_t, -\theta_t\}, \quad \nu_\infty \in \{\theta_\infty, 2 - \theta_\infty\}.$$

Let

$$\Theta = \frac{\nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_t + \nu_\infty}{2}.$$

Then the function $K_{[\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t]} y(t)$ is a solution of $P_{VI}(\nu_0 - \Theta, \nu_1 - \Theta, \nu_t - \Theta, \nu_\infty - \Theta; t)$.

Proof. See [17]. The claim can be checked by direct computations. \square

From a single Painlevé VI equation one can get up to 16 different Painlevé VI equations by Okamoto transformations. As shown in [17], subsequent combinations of Okamoto transformations acting on the space of tuples $(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t, \theta_\infty)$ form a group isomorphic to the affine Weyl group of type D_4 . If all fractional-linear transformations are taken into account as well, the symmetry group is the affine Weyl group of type F_4 . Commutativity of Okamoto (and fractional-linear) transformations within the D_4 and F_4 lattices is recaped in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 below. In our paper, transformations of the θ -tuples leaving the Painlevé VI equation invariant are considered as trivial. But the symmetry group is still evidently related to D_4 . In particular, combinations of Okamoto transformations relate pairs of Painlevé VI functions whose respective local monodromy differences are shifted by (either all odd or all even) integers. For a precise statement, see Lemma 8.1 in the Appendix Section.

Generally, one can take any three Painlevé VI functions related to each other by chains of Okamoto transformations, and write down a nonlinear relation between them without the derivatives of those functions [11, 6, 4]. (For example, one may take two Okamoto transformations of one Painlevé VI function and eliminate the derivative of that function.) We refer to these relations as *contiguous relations*; they are analogous to contiguous relations for Gauss hypergeometric functions. Contiguous relations are usually more compact than differential expressions for subsequent Okamoto transformations.

We prove our main formulas by using contiguous relations between functions in the Okamoto orbits of (a, a, b, b) and of $(1/2, 1/2, a, b)$. Instead of composing quadratic transformation (1.6) with Okamoto transformations (1.10)–(1.11), we rather compose it with contiguous relations. Effectively, we merge the two contiguous orbits into one via quadratic relation (1.6). In this sense, our formulas are extended contiguous relations, as mentioned in Introduction.

Here below we present our main results. Theorem 2.3 presents the fractional-linear version of (1.4) where the points $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \infty$ are symmetric. With this symmetry, the proofs are least cumbersome, and the formulas are most elegant. Theorem 2.3 presents quadratic transformation (1.4) directly. There the points $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ are symmetric. Formulas with this symmetry are most convenient for applications to algebraic Painlevé VI functions. To see the connection between both theorems, note that $P_{VI}(a, a, b, b; t)$ and $P_{VI}(a, b - 1, b, a + 1; t/(t - 1))$ are related by a fractional-linear transformation.

Theorem 2.3 *Suppose that y_0 is a solution of $P_{VI}(a, b - 1, b, a + 1; t_1)$. Let us denote*

$$y_1 = K_{[-a, b-1, -b, 1-a; t_1]} y_0, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\tau = \sqrt{t_1}, \quad \eta = \sqrt{y_1}, \quad T_1 = \frac{(\tau + 1)^2}{(\tau - 1)^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the function

$$Y_0(T_1) = \frac{a(\tau + 1)(\eta + 1)(y_0 + \eta)}{(\tau - 1)(a\eta(y_0 - 1) - (b - 1)(y_0 - \eta^2))} \quad (2.7)$$

is a solution of $P_{VI}(a, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, b; T_1)$.

Theorem 2.4 Suppose that g_0 is a solution of $P_{VI}(a, a, b, b; t_2)$. Let us denote

$$g_1 = K_{[-a, -a, -b, b; t_2]} g_0 \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 - \frac{1}{2}}{2\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then the function

$$G_0(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 - g_1 + \sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1}}{2\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}} + \frac{(a - b + 1)(g_0 - g_1) \left(g_1 - \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1} \right)}{2 \left(a\sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1} - (b - 1)(g_0 - g_1) \right) \sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}} \quad (2.10)$$

is a solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, a, b; T_2)$.

The branches of the functions $\sqrt{t_1}$, $\sqrt{y_1}$, $\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}$, $\sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1}$ in these two theorems can be chosen arbitrary. We prove them in Section 4. In Section 5 we present some variations of our formulas. Also, in Appendix Section 8 we give the main results in an alternative notation.

The authors have prepared a Maple worksheet illustrating the formulas of this article. The worksheet accessed by contacting the first author, or consulting his current webpage.

3 Preliminaries

Here we present some simple results and observations, which are useful in our arguments. For the sake of complete picture, we also refer to Table 4 in Appendix Section 8 of all fractional-linear transformations for the Painlevé VI equation and its solutions.

It is useful to note that Okamoto transformations commute with the fractional-linear transformations, and that when they act on Painlevé functions they commute according to the D_4 (or F_4) lattice.

Lemma 3.1 Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is a permutation of $(0, 1, t, \infty)$, and let $L : (y, t) \mapsto (Y, T)$ with $T \in \{t, 1 - t, t/(t - 1), 1/t, 1/(1 - t), (t - 1)/t\}$ denote the corresponding fractional-linear transformation, as in Table 4. Then for any numbers $\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$L K_{[\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, 1 + \nu_\infty; t]} = K_{[\nu_\alpha, \nu_\beta, \nu_\gamma, 1 + \nu_\delta; T]} L. \quad (3.1)$$

$(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t, \theta_\infty)$	y	t
$(0, A, B, 1)$	η^2	τ^2
$(A, 0, B, 1)$	$1 - \eta^2$	$1 - \tau^2$
$(A, B, 0, 1)$	$(\eta^2 - 1)/(\tau^2 - 1)$	$1/(1 - \tau^2)$
$(B, 0, 0, A + 1)$	$(\eta^2 - \tau^2)/(\eta^2 - 1)$	τ^2
$(0, B, 0, A + 1)$	$(\tau^2 - 1)/(\eta^2 - 1)$	$1 - \tau^2$
$(0, 0, B, A + 1)$	$1/(1 - \eta^2)$	$1/(1 - \tau^2)$
.....
$(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1)$	$(\tau + 1)(\eta + 1)/(\tau - 1)(\eta - 1)$	$(\tau + 1)^2/(\tau - 1)^2$
$(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2} + 1)$	$(\tau + 1)(\eta + 1)/2(\eta + \tau)$	$(\tau + 1)^2/4\tau$
$(\frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1)$	$2(\eta + \tau)/(\tau + 1)(\eta + 1)$	$4\tau/(\tau + 1)^2$

Table 1: Simple quadratic transformations

Proof. It is enough to check the statement explicitly for a generating set of the permutations. One can take, for example, the three transpositions realized by the substitutions $\lambda \mapsto 1 - \lambda$, $\lambda \mapsto 1/\lambda$, $\lambda \mapsto t\lambda$ as in Table 4. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose that $y(t)$ is a solution of $P_{VI}(\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t)$, and let Θ denote $(\nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_t + \nu_\infty)/2$. Then*

$$K_{[\nu_0 - \Theta, \nu_1 - \Theta, \Theta - \nu_t, \nu_\infty - \Theta; t]} K_{[\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t]} y(t) = K_{[\nu_0, \nu_1, -\nu_t, \nu_\infty; t]} y(t) \quad (3.2)$$

Besides,

$$K_{[\nu_0 - \Theta, \nu_1 - \Theta, \nu_t - \Theta, \nu_\infty - \Theta; t]} K_{[\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t]} y(t) = y(t). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The statements can be checked by direct computations. (The latter claim is a convenient equivalent of the Painlevé VI equation.) \square

Fractional-linear versions of quadratic transformation (1.6) are concisely presented in Table 1. Extending the statement of Lemma 2.1, this table can be used to compute any fractional-linear version of quadratic transformation (1.6) as follows. One may start with a Painlevé VI solution $y(t)$ represented by one of the first six rows of the table, compute τ and η from the given expressions for y and t , and then pick up one of the bottom three rows, read off local monodromy differences and an expression in terms of τ and η of other Painlevé VI solution. Or one may go the other direction: start with one of the bottom three rows and get a transformed function for one of the six top rows. Table 1 may be extended to include entries with A interchanged with B ; in the extra entries η should be replaced by τ/η .

Within setting of Lemma 2.1, let us compare the function fields $\mathbb{C}(t_1, y_1)$ and $\mathbb{C}(T_1, Y_1)$. At first glance, the quadratic transformation requires to adjoin τ and η to $\mathbb{C}(t_1, y_1)$ in order to get $\mathbb{C}(T_1, Y_1)$. However, the automorphism $\tau \mapsto 1/\tau$, $\eta \mapsto 1/\eta$ fixes the field $\mathbb{C}(T_1, Y_1)$. We have the following diagram, where all immediate field extensions have degree 2 in

general:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}(t_1, y_1) & \subset & \mathbb{C}(\tau, y_1) & \subset & \mathbb{C}(\tau, \eta) \\ & & \cup & & \cup \\ & & \mathbb{C}(T_1, y_1) & \subset & \mathbb{C}(T_1, Y_1). \end{array} \quad (3.4)$$

As we see, $\mathbb{C}(T_1, Y_1)$ is generally an index 2 subfield of a degree 4 extension of $\mathbb{C}(t_1, y_1)$. In particular, if y_1 is an algebraic function, then the algebraic degree of the extension $\mathbb{C}(T_1, Y_1) \supset \mathbb{C}(T_1)$ is usually twice the degree of $\mathbb{C}(t_1, y_1) \supset \mathbb{C}(t_1)$. However, Example 1.1 gives an explicit situation when algebraic solutions on both sides have the same degree, four. In this case, $\mathbb{C}(\tau, y_1) = \mathbb{C}(\tau, \eta)$.

Algebraic geometrically, the quadratic transformation is a 4-to-2 correspondence in general: the projection onto the (τ, η) -plane relates 4 analytic branches on the $(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1)$ side with 2 branches on the $(0, A, B, 1)$ side. Permutation of the former branches is realized by $\tau \rightarrow -\tau$ and/or $\eta \rightarrow -\eta$, and also by fractional-linear permutations of the two $\frac{A}{2}$ points and (or) the two $\frac{B}{2}$ points. Permutation of the latter branches is realized by simultaneous $\tau \mapsto 1/\tau$, $\eta \mapsto 1/\eta$, and also by fractional-linear permutation of the 0/1-points.

4 Proof of the main results

An important intermediate question for us is when the property of being related by Okamoto transformations is preserved by the quadratic transformation. Notation throughout the paper is entirely consistent if we identify $A = a + b - 1$, $B = b - a$.

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose that y_1 is a solution of $P_{VI}(0, A, B, 1; t_1)$, and that y_2 is a solution of $P_{VI}(0, B - 1, A + 1, 1; t_1)$. Suppose that*

$$K_{[0, A, -B, 1; t_0]} y_1 = K_{[0, B-1, -A-1, 1; t_0]} y_2. \quad (4.1)$$

Let y_0 denote the evaluation of any side of this equality. Let τ, η, T_1 be defined as in (2.6). Then the functions

$$Y_1(T_1) = \frac{(\tau + 1)(\eta + 1)}{(\tau - 1)(\eta - 1)}, \quad Y_2(T_1) = \frac{(\tau + 1)(y_0 + \eta)}{(\tau - 1)(y_0 - \eta)} \quad (4.2)$$

are solutions of, respectively,

$$P_{VI}\left(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1; T_1\right) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{VI}\left(\frac{B-1}{2}, \frac{A+1}{2}, \frac{A+1}{2}, \frac{B+1}{2}; T_1\right), \quad (4.3)$$

and we have

$$Y_2 = K_{[\frac{A}{2}, -\frac{B}{2}, -\frac{B}{2}, \frac{A}{2} + 1; T_1]} Y_1. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. The contiguous relation between y_1, y_2, y_0 can be derived by expressing y_1, y_2 as Okamoto transformations of y_0 , and eliminating the derivative of y_0 from the two identities. The result is very simple:

$$y_1 y_2 = y_0^2. \quad (4.5)$$

By η we actually denote a branch of $\sqrt{y_1}$. We have two choices $\sqrt{y_2} = \pm y_0/\eta$; we choose $\sqrt{y_2} = y_0/\eta$. Now we apply Lemma 2.1 to y_1 and y_2 , and conclude that the functions Y_1 and Y_2 satisfy respective Painlevé VI equations in (4.3). Formula (4.4) depends on the right choice of $\sqrt{y_2}$ we did. To show that formula, we express dy_1/dt in terms of y_1, y_0 by using the definition of y_0 by the left-hand side of (4.1). Then we easily express $d\eta/d\tau$ in terms of η, y_0 :

$$\frac{d\eta}{d\tau} = \frac{\eta (A(\eta^2 - \tau^2)(y_0 - 1) + (1 - B)(\eta^2 - 1)(y_0 - \tau^2))}{\tau(\tau^2 - 1)(y_0 - \eta^2)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Expression (4.4) can be rewritten in terms of $d\eta/d\tau, \eta, y_0$ by using (4.2). After substituting (4.6) we check the identity. \square

In the above Lemma, note that y_0 satisfies $P_{VI}(\frac{B-A-1}{2}, \frac{A+B+1}{2}, \frac{A+B+1}{2}, \frac{B-A+1}{2}; t_1)$. If we would replace $y_0 \mapsto -y_0$ in (4.2), the function Y_2 would still be a solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{B-1}{2}, \frac{A+1}{2}, \frac{A+1}{2}, \frac{B+1}{2}; T_1)$, but identity(4.4) would not hold.

Now we are ready to prove the main results. As mentioned above, our strategy to relate the Painlevé VI equations with local monodromy differences (a, a, b, b) and $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2})$ is to combine the quadratic relation of Lemma 2.1 with contiguous relations of Painlevé VI equations on both sides. A contiguous relation on the (a, a, b, b) side is indirectly employed by a reference to Lemma 4.1; that contiguous relation is (4.5).

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We assumed that y_0 is a solution of $P_{VI}(a, b-1, b, a+1; t_1)$. We defined $y_1 = K_{[-a, b-1, -b, 1-a; t_1]} y_0$, hence y_1 is a solution of $P_{VI}(0, a+b-1, b-a, 1; t_1)$. The variables τ, η and T_1 are defined as in (2.6).

Let us denote $y_2 = K_{[a, b-1, -b, a+1; t_1]} y_0$. It is a solution of $P_{VI}(0, b-a-1, a+b, 1; t_1)$. Let Y_1 and Y_2 be defined as in formula (4.2). Lemma 4.1 tells us that Y_1 and Y_2 are quadratic transformations of y_1 and y_2 , respectively, and

$$Y_2 = K_{[\frac{a+b-1}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a+b+1}{2}; T_1]} Y_1. \quad (4.7)$$

Let us consider

$$Y_0 = K_{[\frac{1-a-b}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a+b+1}{2}; T_1]} Y_1. \quad (4.8)$$

This is a solution of $P_{VI}(a, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, b; T_1)$. The contiguous relation between Y_1, Y_2 and Y_0 can be computed similarly as (4.5). The result is

$$Y_0 = \frac{2aY_1Y_2}{(a-b+1)Y_1 + (a+b-1)Y_2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Rewriting Y_0 in terms of τ, η, y_0 gives (2.7). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. This is a fractional-linear version of Theorem 2.3. We identify:

$$t_2 = \frac{t_1}{t_1 - 1}, \quad g_0 = \frac{y_0}{y_0 - 1}, \quad g_1 = \frac{y_1}{y_1 - 1}, \quad T_2 = \frac{T_1}{T_1 - 1}, \quad G_0 = \frac{Y_0 - T_1}{1 - T_1}. \quad (4.10)$$

Consequently, we choose

$$\tau = \frac{\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}}{t_2 - 1}, \quad \eta = -\frac{\sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1}}{g_1 - 1}. \quad (4.11)$$

Then we substitute this to (2.7). □

Theorem 2.4 can be proved directly, without reference to Theorem 2.3, but using the same proof scheme. In particular, one may consider $g_2 = K_{[a,a,-b,b;t_2]} g_0$, and the following quadratic transformations of g_1 and g_2 , in the common terms of Table 1 and (4.10)–(4.11):

$$G_1 = \frac{(\tau + 1)(\eta - \tau)}{2\tau(\eta - 1)}, \quad G_2 = \frac{(\tau + 1)(y_0 - \tau\eta)}{2\tau(y_0 - \eta)}. \quad (4.12)$$

These are solutions of $P_{VI}(\frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{a+b-1}{2}, \frac{a+b+1}{2}; T_2)$ and $P_{VI}(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{b-a-1}{2}, \frac{b-a+1}{2}; T_2)$, respectively. We would have

$$G_2 = K_{[\frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a+b-1}{2}, \frac{a+b+1}{2}; T_2]} G_1 \quad \text{and} \quad G_0 = K_{[\frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{1-a-b}{2}, \frac{a+b+1}{2}; T_2]} G_1. \quad (4.13)$$

However, the contiguous relations between g_0, g_1, g_2 and G_0, G_1, G_2 are more messy than (4.5) and (4.9). The intermediate expressions are:

$$G_1(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 - g_1 + \sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1}}{2\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$G_2(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_2 - g_2 + \sqrt{g_2^2 - g_2}}{2\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}}, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\sqrt{g_2^2 - g_2} = -(g_0^2 - g_0)\sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1}/(g_0^2 - 2g_1g_0 + g_1)$. This relation between two square roots is a more complicated equivalent of Lemma 4.1.

The relation between the function fields $\mathbb{C}(y_0, t_1)$ and $\mathbb{C}(Y_0, T_1)$, or between $\mathbb{C}(g_0, t_2)$ and $\mathbb{C}(G_0, T_2)$, is the same as between the function fields $\mathbb{C}(y_1, t_1)$ and $\mathbb{C}(Y_1, T_1)$ in (3.4), because Okamoto and fractional-linear transformations do not change function fields. In particular, formula (3.3) shows “invertability” of Okamoto transformations. The permutation $\tau \mapsto 1/\tau, \eta \mapsto 1/\eta$ of analytic branches on the (a, a, b, b) side should be supplemented with $y_0 \mapsto 1/y_0$. We have the same branch permutations $\tau \mapsto -\tau$ and $\eta \mapsto -\eta$ on the other side. However, it appears that the permutation $\eta \mapsto -\eta$ is not realizable by fractional-linear transformations of Painlevé VI equations in the $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2})$ -orbit.

For the Painlevé VI equations $P_{VI}(a, a, b, b; t_2)$ and $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, a, b; T_2)$, the involution with $\tau \mapsto 1/\tau, \eta \mapsto 1/\eta$ acts as the fractional-linear transformation $\lambda \rightarrow 1 - \lambda$ (in terms of Table 4 below). In general, $\mathbb{C}(G_0, T_2)$ must be an index 2 subfield of $\mathbb{C}(g_0, g_1, t_2, \sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}, \sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1})$ fixed by this involution. The involution acts as multiplication of $t_2 - \frac{1}{2}, g_1 - \frac{1}{2}, g_0 - g_1, \sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}$ and $\sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1}$ by -1 . Accordingly, expression (2.10) for G_0 can be rewritten in the form

$$G_0(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \tilde{A} \frac{g_0 - g_1}{\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}} + \tilde{B} \frac{\sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1}}{\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2}}, \quad (4.16)$$

with \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} rational expressions in $(t_2 - \frac{1}{2})/(g_0 - g_1), (g_1 - \frac{1}{2})/(g_0 - g_1)$ and $(g_1^2 - g_1)/(g_0 - g_1)^2$. The form in (4.16) is often the most convenient to represent “quadratically” transformed algebraic Painlevé VI functions.

5 Variations of new formulas

We use the same functions y_0, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 as in the previous section. For shorthand convenience, let us denote by π_2 the fractional-linear transformation $y \mapsto t(y-1)/(y-t)$. This is consistent with Table 4 below.

Within the setting of Theorem 2.3, a solution of $P_{VI}(a, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, b+1; T_1)$ is

$$\tilde{Y}_0(T_1) = \frac{a(\tau+1)(\eta+\tau)(y_0+\eta\tau)}{(1-\tau)(a\eta(y_0-\tau^2)+b\tau(y_0-\eta^2))} \quad (5.1)$$

To obtain this formula, one may apply the same Theorem 2.3 to the solution t_1/y_0 of $P_{VI}(a, -b, 1-b, a+1; T_1)$, which is a fractional linear transformation of y_0 . In other words, we just have to substitute $b \mapsto 1-b$, $y_0 \mapsto \tau^2/y_0$, $\eta \mapsto \tau/\eta$ into (2.7). We checked explicit expressions for all fractional-linear versions of quadratic transformation (1.4), and the formulas (2.7), (5.1) appear to be most compact. The expressions would be terribly cumbersome if expressed in terms of y_0 and dy_0/dt rather than in terms of y_0 and η ; in particular, η would have to be replaced by the square root of (2.3) according to (2.5).

To get a solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2}; T_1)$, we consider the fractional-linear transformation $\pi_2 \tilde{Y}_0$ of (5.1). An explicit expression is

$$\frac{(\tau+1)(2a(\eta+\tau)(y_0+\eta)+(b-a)(\tau-1)(y_0-\eta^2))}{(\tau-1)(2a(\eta+\tau)(y_0-\eta)+(b-a)(\tau+1)(y_0-\eta^2))}. \quad (5.2)$$

Along with (1.4), transformation $(a, a, b, b) \mapsto (\frac{1}{2}, a, b, -\frac{1}{2})$ was considered in [14] as well. To compute a solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, -\frac{1}{2}; T_1)$, we consider

$$Y_3 = K_{[\frac{1-a-b}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{a+b+1}{2}; T_1]} Y_1, \quad (5.3)$$

$$Y_4 = K_{[\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{b-a+1}{2}, \frac{a-b+1}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2}; T_1]} Y_3. \quad (5.4)$$

These two functions can be computed by using contiguous relations, similarly as (4.5). The contiguous relations are:

$$Y_3 = \frac{(2aY_1Y_2 + (b-a)T_1Y_1 - (a+b)T_1Y_2)Y_1}{Y_1^2 + (2a-1)Y_1Y_2 + (b-a-1)T_1Y_1 - (a+b-1)T_1Y_2}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$Y_4 = \frac{((a-b)(T_1-1)(Y_3-Y_1)Y_3 - Y_1Y_3^2 + (T_1+1)Y_3^2 - 2T_1Y_3 + T_1Y_1)Y_3}{(Y_3-Y_1)((a+b)(Y_3^2+T_1) - 2(bT_1+a)Y_3 + Y_3^2) - Y_1Y_3^2 + (T_1+1)Y_1Y_3 - T_1Y_3}.$$

The function Y_4 is a solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, -\frac{1}{2}; T_1)$. However its expression in terms of τ, η, y_0 is lengthy. The corresponding expression for fractional-linear transformation $T_1(Y_4-1)/(T_1-1)Y_4$ is much shorter; this is a solution of $P_{VI}(a, b, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; T_1/(T_1-1))$:

$$\frac{a(\tau+1)}{\tau} \frac{2a\eta(\eta+1)(y_0-\tau^2) + (a+b-1)(\tau-1)(\eta-\tau)(y_0-\eta^2) - 2b\tau(\eta+1)(y_0-\eta^2)}{2a(\eta-\tau)(y_0+\eta) + (a-b)(\tau+1)(y_0-\eta^2)} \times$$

$$\frac{2a(\eta+1)(y_0^2-\tau^2\eta^2) - (a+b)(\tau+1)(y_0+\tau\eta)(y_0-\eta^2) - (\tau-1)(y_0-\tau\eta)(y_0-\eta^2)}{4a^2(\eta^2-\tau^2)(y_0+\eta)^2 + 4a(a-b)(\eta+\tau^2)(y_0+\eta)(y_0-\eta^2) - ((a-b)^2-1)(\tau^2-1)(y_0-\eta^2)^2}.$$

To compute other similar solutions, it is convenient to know explicitly how to shift the parameters a, b by integers. These formulas may spare cumbersome computations of contiguous relations.

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose that we have an expression in terms of τ, η, y_0 of a solution of a Painlevé VI equation in the orbit of $P_{VI}(a, a, b, b; t_0)$, $P_{VI}(0, a + b - 1, b - a, 1; t_0)$, $P_{VI}(\frac{a+b-1}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{a+b+1}{2}; T_0)$ or $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2}; T_0)$ under Okamoto and fractional-linear transformations. To get a similar expression for a solution of Painlevé VI equation with the parameters a, b shifted or interchanged, one may apply the following substitutions:*

$$\begin{aligned}
b \mapsto b + 1, \quad \eta &\mapsto \frac{\tau\eta(a(y_0 - \tau^2) - b(y_0 - \eta^2))}{a\eta^2(y_0 - \tau^2) - b\tau^2(y_0 - \eta^2)}, \\
y_0 &\mapsto \frac{\tau^2(a(y_0 - \tau^2) - b(y_0 - \eta^2))(a\eta^2(y_0 - \tau^2) - b\tau^2(y_0 - \eta^2))}{(a\eta^2(y_0 - \tau^2) - b\tau^2(y_0 - \eta^2))(ay_0(y_0 - \tau^2) - b\tau^2(y_0 - \eta^2))}, \\
b \mapsto b - 1, \quad \eta &\mapsto \frac{\tau\eta(a(y_0 - 1) + (b - 1)(y_0 - \eta^2))}{a\eta^2(y_0 - 1) + (b - 1)(y_0 - \eta^2)}, \\
y_0 &\mapsto \frac{\tau^2(a(y_0 - 1) + (b - 1)(y_0 - \eta^2))(a\eta^2(y_0 - 1) + (b - 1)y_0(y_0 - \eta^2))}{(ay_0(y_0 - 1) + (b - 1)(y_0 - \eta^2))(a\eta^2(y_0 - 1) + (b - 1)(y_0 - \eta^2))}, \\
a \leftrightarrow b, \quad y_0 &\mapsto \frac{a\eta^2(y_0 - \tau^2) - b\tau^2(y_0 - \eta^2)}{a(y_0 - \tau^2) - b(y_0 - \eta^2)}, \\
b \mapsto 1 - b, \quad \eta &\mapsto \frac{\tau}{\eta}, \quad y_0 \mapsto \frac{\tau^2}{y_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

To shift $a \mapsto a \pm 1$, one may consequently apply $a \leftrightarrow b$, $b \mapsto b \pm 1$, $a \leftrightarrow b$.

Proof. Let L denote the fractional-linear transformation $y \mapsto t_1/y$. It induces the transformation $b \mapsto 1 - b$, as we already noticed in the beginning of this section. Let

$$\tilde{y}_0 = K_{[-a, b-1, b, 1-a; t_0]} y_0. \quad (5.6)$$

The transformation $a \leftrightarrow b$ does not change η , and transforms y_0 to \tilde{y}_0 . The expression for \tilde{y}_0 can be obtained from the contiguous relation between y_0, y_1, \tilde{y}_0 , similarly as (4.5).

To compute $b \mapsto b + 1$, we need to compute solutions of $P_{VI}(0, a + b, b - a + 1, 1; t_0)$ and $P_{VI}(a, b, b + 1, a + 1; t_0)$. We consider, respectively,

$$L K_{[b, a-1, -a, b+1; t_0]} \tilde{y}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad L K_{[b, a-1, a, b+1; t_0]} \tilde{y}_0.$$

Again, contiguous relations between \tilde{y}_0, y_0 and each of these functions give the formulas.

To compute $b \mapsto b - 1$, we may compose $b \mapsto 1 - b$, $b \mapsto b + 1$, $b \mapsto 1 - b$. The statement about $a \mapsto a \pm 1$ is clear. \square

As an example, one may check the relation $b \mapsto b + 1$ between (2.7) and (5.1). The transformation $b \mapsto 1 - b$ effectively interchanges $A = a + b - 1$ and $B = b - a$ as local monodromy differences.

It is possible to derive relations between two functions in the $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2})$ -orbit and one functions from the (a, a, b, b) orbit. For example, one may eliminate η from expressions (2.7) and (5.1). However, the relations are too complicated for print. We only mention a relation between the functions $Y_1(T_1), Y_2(T_1)$ of the $(\frac{a+b-1}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{a+b+1}{2})$ level and the solution $y_0(t_1)$ of the (a, a, b, b) level:

$$y_0(t_1) = \frac{(Y_1 + \sqrt{T_1})(Y_2 + \sqrt{T_1})}{(Y_1 - \sqrt{T_1})(Y_2 - \sqrt{T_1})}, \quad (5.7)$$

where we should identify $\sqrt{T_1} = (\tau + 1)/(\tau - 1)$.

Boalch's cases	Local monodromy differences		Algebraic degree	Genus
	(a, a, b, b)	$(1/2, 1/2, a, b)$		
21 \Rightarrow 28	$(1/5, 1/5, 2/5, 2/5)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/5, 2/5)$	5 \Rightarrow 10	0 \Rightarrow 0
31 \Rightarrow 44	$(1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/5, 1/5)$	10 \Rightarrow 20	0 \Rightarrow 1
32 \Rightarrow 45	$(2/5, 2/5, 2/5, 2/5)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 2/5, 2/5)$	10 \Rightarrow 20	0 \Rightarrow 1
39 \Rightarrow 47	$(1/3, 1/3, 1/5, 1/5)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/5)$	15 \Rightarrow 30	1 \Rightarrow 2
40 \Rightarrow 48	$(1/3, 1/3, 2/5, 2/5)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 2/5)$	15 \Rightarrow 30	1 \Rightarrow 2
41 \Rightarrow 49	$(1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/3)$	18 \Rightarrow 36	1 \Rightarrow 3
44 \Rightarrow 50	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/5, 1/5)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/5)$	20 \Rightarrow 40	1 \Rightarrow 3
45 \Rightarrow 51	$(1/2, 1/2, 2/5, 2/5)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 2/5)$	20 \Rightarrow 40	1 \Rightarrow 3
49 \Rightarrow 52	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/3)$	$(1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/3)$	36 \Rightarrow 72	3 \Rightarrow 7

Table 2: Quadratic transformations of icosahedral Painlevé VI functions

6 Algebraic Painlevé VI functions

Here we demonstrate how our formulas for quadratic transformation (1.4) can be applied to compute new examples algebraic Painlevé VI functions. We mainly concentrate on icosahedral algebraic Painlevé VI functions, classified in [1]. But first we develop Example 1.1 further.

Example 6.1 Quadratic transformation (1.4) can be applied to Hitchin's solutions of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; t)$ iteratively. Our new formulas are directly suitable therefore. On the other hand, Hitchin's equation can be transformed to Picard's [18] equation $P_{VI}(0, 0, 0, 1; t)$ by an Okamoto transformation. Lemma 2.1 can be iteratively applied to Picard's equation. Algebraic Picard's solutions are described in [7] and [16, Section 2]. The algebraic solutions correspond to n -division points on a general elliptic curve, so they are related to the modular curves $X_1(n)$. This relation is noticed for Hitchin's case as well [9]. Within this correspondence, the mentioned iterative applications of (1.4) or Lemma 2.1 double n ; this can be easily seen from the elliptic form of (1.5) and the elliptic form [15, Theorem 1.4] of $P_{VI}(0, 0, 0, 1; t)$. More generally, there are not only quadratic but also algebraic transformations of arbitrary degree k for the Picard and Hitchin case of Painlevé VI equation. For the Picard equation in the elliptic form, these transformations correspond to the multiplication by k on a general elliptic curve.

Icosahedral algebraic Painlevé VI functions are associated to Fuchsian systems (1.2) with the icosahedral monodromy group. As shown in [1], up to Okamoto and fractional-linear transformations there are 52 classes of icosahedral Painlevé VI functions. Quadratic transformations relate some pairs of these classes, as recaped in Table 2. In the first column, we identify the icosahedral classes by the numbers in Boalch's classification [1, Table 1]. In the next two columns, we give representative tuples of local monodromy differences for the transformed classes. In the last two columns, we give the transformation of algebraic degree and genus of the algebraic Painlevé VI functions.

Quadratic transformations can be used to compute examples for the higher degree classes of icosahedral Painlevé VI functions. Examples for the classes 44–45, 47–52 are

difficult to compute by other means. Our formulas for quadratic transformation (1.4) provide a straightforward method to compute such examples. Here and in the following section we present compact formulas for the 8 examples obtained by using Theorem 2.4.

The same 8 examples (up to Okamoto and fractional-linear transformations) are presented in [2]. Boalch uses transformation (1.6), so an Okamoto transformation has to be consequently applied to them in order to get an algebraic function on the most motivating $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, a, b)$ level. We strive to provide a detailed and complementary account of application of quadratic transformations to icosahedral Painlevé VI functions. In particular, we explain our ways of representing algebraic Painlevé VI functions compactly.

Recall that a *Painlevé curve* is the normalization of an algebraic curve defined by the minimal equation for an algebraic Painlevé VI solution $y(t)$. The minimal equation is a polynomial in y and t . The indeterminant t defines an algebraic map from the Painlevé curve to \mathbb{P}^1 . As mentioned in [9], this map is a Belyi map. The reason is that the corresponding field extension $\mathbb{C}(t, y) \supset \mathbb{C}(t)$ ramifies only above $t = 0, 1, \infty$ due to the Painlevé property³.

In this section we consider the transformations $39, 40 \Rightarrow 47, 48$. More transformations are presented in Appendix Section 8. Recall that Boalch classes which differ by replacing the local monodromy differences $1/5$ with $2/5$ and vice versa are *siblings*. Such classes are very similar. In particular, they have isomorphic Painlevé curves and t -Belyi maps.

We start with the type-39 example in [1, Section 7], reparametrized with $s \mapsto s - 2$:

$$t_{39} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(2s^7 - 18s^6 + 48s^5 - 50s^4 + 105s^3 + 3s^2 - 7s - 3)u}{18(s^2 - 4s - 1)(4s^2 - s + 1)^2}, \quad (6.1)$$

$$y_{39} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{14s^5 - 79s^4 + 6s^3 + 80s^2 + 116s - 9}{6(s - 1)(s^2 - 4s - 1)u}. \quad (6.2)$$

Here $u = \sqrt{3(s + 3)(4s^2 - s + 1)}$, so the function y_{39} is defined on a genus 1 curve. It a solution for $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{5}; t_{39})$. Following Theorem 2.4, we compute

$$\tilde{y}_{39} = K_{[-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{5}; t_{39}]} y_{39} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(2s^2 - 5s - 1)u}{6(s - 1)(4s^2 - s + 1)}. \quad (6.3)$$

This is a solution of $P_{VI}(0, 0, \frac{7}{15}, \frac{13}{15}; t_{39})$. We compute:

$$\sqrt{t_{39}^2 - t_{39}} = \frac{s(s + 1)^2(s - 2)^2(s - 5)\sqrt{s(s + 1)(s - 2)(s + 3)}}{3(s^2 - 4s - 1)(4s^2 - s + 1)u}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$\sqrt{\tilde{y}_{39}^2 - \tilde{y}_{39}} = \frac{(s + 1)\sqrt{s(s - 2)(s + 3)(s - 5)}}{(s - 1)u}. \quad (6.5)$$

We keep the factor u in these expressions because we expect it will disappear after simplifications. Note that the elliptic involution $u \mapsto -u$ permutes the 2 singular points with zero local exponent differences. This is the permutation which defines the subfield $\mathbb{C}(T_1, Y_1) \subset \mathbb{C}(\tau, \eta)$ in terms of (3.4).

³Accordingly, in [2] an algebraic Painlevé VI solution is defined as a triple (Π, y, t) , where Π is a compact curve, y and t are rational functions on Π such that t is a Belyi function and $y(t)$ is a Painlevé VI solution. However, this definition allows non-minimal Painlevé curves, because Belyi maps can be appropriately composed to Belyi maps again.

The new square roots define the Painlevé curve for y_{47} . It appears to be the fiber product of two elliptic curves:

$$v^2 = s(s+1)(s-2)(s+3) \quad \text{and} \quad w^2 = s(s-2)(s+3)(s-5). \quad (6.6)$$

The fiber product is a hyperelliptic curve of genus 2. Its Weierstrass form can be obtained by introducing the parameter $q = \sqrt{(s-5)/(s+1)}$, so that $s = (q^2+5)/(1-q^2)$. Then the hyperelliptic curve is represented by the equation

$$V^2 = -(q^2+1)(q^2+5)(q^2-4). \quad (6.7)$$

We can identify $v = 6V/(q^2-1)^2$, $w = qv$. The connection with the hyperelliptic form in [2] is $q = (j-3)/(j+3)$.

Formulas in (2.9)–(2.10) gives us the following solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{5}; t_{47})$:

$$t_{47} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(2s^7 - 18s^6 + 48s^5 - 50s^4 + 105s^3 + 3s^2 - 7s - 3)v}{4s^2(s+1)^3(s-2)^3(s-5)}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$y_{47} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(3s^7 - 27s^6 + 107s^5 - 205s^4 + 105s^3 - 37s^2 - 7s - 3)v}{2s(s+1)(s-2)^2(s-1)(3s^4 - 12s^3 - 14s^2 - 12s + 3)} - \frac{(4s^2 - s + 1)(s^2 - 4s - 1)(7s^4 - 52s^3 + 34s^2 - 36s + 15)}{2s(s-2)^2(s-1)(3s^4 - 12s^3 - 14s^2 - 12s + 3)\sqrt{(s+1)^3(s-5)}}. \quad (6.9)$$

Here we rewrote y_{47} following the form (4.16). This solution can be written in the variables q and V of the hyperelliptic curve (6.7):

$$t_{47} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(q^{14} + 17q^{12} + 111q^{10} + 370q^8 + 815q^6 + 1077q^4 + 169q^2 + 32)V}{108q^2(q^2+1)^3(q^2+5)^2},$$

$$y_{47} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(q^2-1)^2(q^4+7q^2+16)(q^4+7q^2+1)(q^8+8q^6+28q^4+36q^2-10)}{6q(q^2+1)^2(q^2+2)(q^2+5)(q^8+8q^6+60q^4+176q^2-2)} - \frac{(5q^{14} + 85q^{12} + 555q^{10} + 1715q^8 + 2590q^6 + 1902q^4 + 1574q^2 + 322)V}{6(q^2+1)^2(q^2+2)(q^2+5)(q^8+8q^6+60q^4+176q^2-2)}.$$

Note that the fractional-linear transformation $\lambda \mapsto 1 - \lambda$ of the isomonodromy problem (1.2) acts on y_{47} as the automorphism $q \mapsto -q$, $V \mapsto -V$, which is not a hyperelliptic involution since it has too few fixed points. Previously known examples of (hyper)elliptic solutions of Painlevé VI have expressions on which the fractional-linear transformation $\lambda \mapsto 1 - \lambda$ acts as a (hyper)elliptic involution. However, there are type-47 solutions on which $\lambda \mapsto 1 - \lambda$ does act as a hyperelliptic involution. For example, if we apply Theorem 2.4 to the fractional-linear transformation $\pi_2 y_{39}$ and use the same variables q , V , then we get the following solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{3}; t_{47})$:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{(3q^{14} + 51q^{12} - 6q^{11} + 337q^{10} - 84q^9 + 1168q^8 - 366q^7 + 2767q^6 - 534q^5 + 3821q^4 - 276q^3 + 413q^2 - 30q + 80)V}{8q(q^2+1)(q^2+5)(q^{10}+10q^8-45q^7+25q^6-315q^5+35q^4-495q^3+80q^2-225q+11)}.$$

An example of type 48 can be computed similarly. With the same elliptic curve and t_{39} as just before, we have the following type-40 icosahedral function (obtained after applying $K_{[-\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}; t_{39}]}$ $\pi_2 K_{[-\frac{3}{5}, -\frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; t_{39}]}$ and reparametrization $s \mapsto s - 2$ to the corresponding example in [1]):

$$y_{40} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2s^6 - 14s^5 + 17s^4 + 16s^3 - 112s^2 - 2s - 3}{2u(3s-1)(s^2-4s-1)} \quad (6.10)$$




Figure 3: Quadratic transformations for the 47th Boalch class

This is a solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; t_{39})$. Similar application of Theorem 2.4 gives the following solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{3}; t_{47})$.

$$y_{48} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(19s^6 - 138s^5 + 195s^4 + 380s^3 + 195s^2 - 138s - 89)v}{2(s+1)^2(s-2)(19s^5 - 155s^4 + 390s^3 - 590s^2 - 5s - 3)} + \frac{9(4s^2 - s + 1)(s^2 - 4s - 1)(s^5 - 7s^4 + 13s^3 - 115s^2 - 2s - 10)}{2(s+1)^2(19s^5 - 155s^4 + 390s^3 - 590s^2 - 5s - 3)\sqrt{s(s-2)^3(s+3)(s-5)}}. \quad (6.11)$$

In terms of the variables q and V , we have:

$$y_{48} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q^{15} + 2q^{14} + 17q^{13} + 30q^{12} + 103q^{11} + 154q^{10} + 242q^9 + 276q^8 + K_0}{12q(q^2 + 1)(4q^5 + 7q^4 + 28q^3 + 34q^2 + 34q + 7)V},$$

where $K_0 = 63q^7 - 806q^6 - 1327q^5 - 3322q^4 - 2295q^3 - 926q^2 - 260q - 160$. On this solution, the fractional-linear transformation $\lambda \mapsto 1 - \lambda$ acts as a hyperelliptic involution. But some other solutions of type 48 do not have this “hyperelliptic” symmetry. As an example, one may the solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5})$ obtained after applying Theorem 2.4 to $K_{[-\frac{2}{5}, -\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}]} \pi_2 y_{40}$.

As mentioned above, the variables t_{39} and t_{47} define Belyi maps. Figure 3 depicts change of branching of these Belyi maps. By \mathbb{P}_{39}^1 , \mathbb{P}_{47}^1 and \mathbb{P}_τ^1 we denote the projective lines with the rational parameters t_{39} , t_{47} , $\sqrt{t_{39}}$, respectively. The curves C_{39} and C_{47}^* are the Painlevé curves for, respectively, type 39 and 47 solutions. The function fields of C_{47} and C_τ are, respectively, $\mathbb{C}(T, y_{39}) = \mathbb{C}(s, v)$ and $\mathbb{C}(t, T, y_{39}) = \mathbb{C}(s, u, v)$. The map t_{39} is from C_{39} to \mathbb{P}_{39}^1 . The map t_{47} is from C_{47}^* to \mathbb{P}_{47}^1 . Non-vertical arrows represent

degree 2 coverings. In boxes we represent the branching patterns of the morphisms. Each column gives branching orders of one fiber. In the middle box, the first two columns represent points with $s \in \{-1, 2, 0, 5\}$; the last two columns represent the points with $s = \infty$, $4s^2 = s + 1$ or $s^2 = 4s + 1$. The bold numbers represent branching points of the upper degree 2 coverings above the parallelograms. The stars mark the branching points of the upper-right degree 2 covering. Each parallelogram is a commutative fiber product diagram. The genus of C_τ is 4. The two composite coverings have the following branching pattern, respectively:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
10 & 10 & 5,5 & & 5,5 & 10 & 10 \\
10 & 10 & 3,3 & & 5,5 & 6 & 6 \\
6 & 6 & 3,3 & \text{and} & 3,3 & 6 & 6 \ . \\
2 & 2 & 1,1,1,1 & & 1,1 & 2,2 & 2,2 \\
2 & 2 & 1,1,1,1 & & 1,1 & 2,2 & 2,2
\end{array}$$

The quadratic covering $\mathbb{P}_\tau^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{39}^1$ branches above the two points represented by the first two columns of the first box. The covering $\mathbb{P}_\tau^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{47}^1$ branches above the two points represented by the last two columns of the third box.

7 More algebraic Painlevé VI functions

Here we present compact expressions for the remaining 6 difficult cases of icosahedral Painlevé VI functions. We have the following cascades of quadratic transformations:

$$31, 32 \Rightarrow 44, 45 \Rightarrow 50, 51; \quad 41 \Rightarrow 49 \Rightarrow 52. \quad (7.1)$$

They start with an icosahedral case Okamoto equivalent to a Dubrovin-Mazzocco example in [5], and end with an icosahedral case $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, b)$. This is not surprising since [5] classifies all algebraic solutions of the equations $P_{VI}(0, 0, 0, B; t)$, to which quadratic transformation (1.6) can be applied consequently twice.

First we recall expressions for the Dubrovin-Mazzocco examples transformed to the (a, a, b, b) level. A solution of type-31 equation $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}; t_{31})$ is

$$t_{31} = \frac{(s+1)^5(s-3)^3(s^2+4s-1)}{256s^3(s^2-5)}, \quad y_{31} = -\frac{(s+1)^4(s-3)^2}{4s(s^2+3)(s^2-5)}. \quad (7.2)$$

This is reparametrization $s \mapsto (s-3)/(s+1)$ of an expression in [12, Section 3.4]. A solution of type-32 equation $P_{VI}(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}; t_{32})$ is given by

$$t_{32} = t_{31}, \quad y_{32} = -\frac{(s+1)^2(s-3)^2(s^2+4s+7)}{48s(s^2-5)}. \quad (7.3)$$

This is reparametrization $s \mapsto (1-s)/(s+3)$ of $K_{[-\frac{2}{5}, -\frac{2}{5}, -\frac{2}{5}, -\frac{2}{5}]} K_{[0,0,0, -\frac{4}{5}]} H_3$, where H_3 is the Icosahedron solution presented in [5]. Finally, a solution of type-41 equation $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; t_{41})$ is parametrized in [1, Theorem C]:

$$t_{41} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s+1)(32s^8-320s^7+1112s^6-2420s^5+3167s^4-2420s^3+1112s^2-320s+32)}{54u^3s(s-1)}, \quad (7.4)$$

$$y_{41} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{8s^7-28s^6+75s^5+31s^4-269s^3+318s^2-166s+56}{18u(s-1)(3s^3-4s^2+4s+2)}, \quad (7.5)$$

where $u = \sqrt{s(8s^2 - 11s + 8)}$.

Application of Theorem 2.4 to the functions y_{31} and y_{32} gives algebraic expressions for icosahedral functions of types 44, 45 defined over the field

$$\mathbb{C} \left(s^2, \sqrt{(s^2 - 1)(s^2 - 9)}, \sqrt{s^4 - 18s^2 + 1} \right). \quad (7.6)$$

The invariance under $s \mapsto -s$ is explained by the fact that this is the involution $\tau \rightarrow 1/\tau$, $\eta \rightarrow 1/\eta$ in terms of the diagrams as (3.4); in particular, it realizes the fractional-linear transformation $\lambda \rightarrow 1 - \lambda$. Hence $s \mapsto -s$ must indeed fix the function fields $\mathbb{C}(t_{44}, y_{44})$, $\mathbb{C}(t_{45}, y_{45})$. With s^2 effectively being a rational parameter for the transformed functions, the square root $\sqrt{s^4 - 18s^2 + 1}$ defines a genus 0 curve. We can parametrize it by setting $s^2 \mapsto q(2q + 1)/(q - 2)$. Then we can identify:

$$\sqrt{s^4 - 18s^2 + 1} = \frac{2(q^2 - 4q - 1)}{q - 2}, \quad \sqrt{(s^2 - 1)(s^2 - 9)} = \frac{2\sqrt{(q^2 + 1)(q^2 - 4q + 9)}}{q - 2}.$$

Evidently, the transformed solutions of the types 44 and 45 are defined on the elliptic curve $u^2 = (q^2 + 1)(q^2 - 4q + 9)$. Compared with the respective parametrization in [2], we have $s \mapsto -1/s$ and $j \mapsto 1 - 4/q$. Eventually, the functions y_{31} or y_{32} are transformed to the following solutions of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}; t_{44})$ and $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}; t_{44})$:

$$t_{44} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q^{10} - 10q^9 + 45q^8 - 120q^7 + 190q^6 + 4q^5 - 410q^4 + 680q^3 + 25q^2 - 90q - 27}{2(q^2 - 4q - 1)(q^2 + 1)u^3}, \quad (7.7)$$

$$y_{44} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{7q^{10} - 70q^9 + 329q^8 - 908q^7 + 1494q^6 + 24q^5 - 3310q^4 + 5692q^3 + 211q^2 - 418q - 171}{2(q^2 - 4q - 1)(7q^6 - 28q^5 + 91q^4 - 88q^3 + 229q^2 - 76q + 57)u}, \quad (7.8)$$

$$y_{45} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3q^{10} - 24q^9 + 93q^8 - 216q^7 + 446q^6 + 320q^5 - 1342q^4 + 2824q^3 + 527q^2 - 312q - 207}{6(q^2 - 4q - 1)(q^4 - 2q^3 + 8q^2 + 10q + 23)(q^2 + 1)u}. \quad (7.9)$$

Surely, we may choose to parametrize the square root $\sqrt{(s^2 - 1)(s^2 - 9)}$ instead, say $s^2 \mapsto (q^2 - 9)/(q^2 - 1)$. But then the transformation $\lambda \rightarrow 1 - \lambda$ would not be acting on the obtained expressions for y_{44} and y_{45} as flipping the sign of the other root $\sqrt{s^4 - 18s^2 + 1}$, so the ‘‘elliptic’’ symmetry would be lost. However, if we would consider the quadratic transformation of $\pi_2 y_{31}$ or $\pi_2 y_{32}$, we would obtain a solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{4}{5}; t_{44})$ or $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}; t_{44})$, on which $\lambda \rightarrow 1 - \lambda$ acts by flipping the sign of $\sqrt{s^4 - 18s^2 + 1}$ but not of $\sqrt{(s^2 - 1)(s^2 - 9)}$; then we should use the latter parametrization of s^2 in order to obtain expressions with the ‘‘elliptic’’ symmetry.

Application of Theorem 2.4 to the functions y_{44} , y_{45} gives us expressions for icosahedral functions of types 50, 51 defined over the field

$$\mathbb{C} \left(q, \sqrt{q(q - 2)(2q + 1)}, \sqrt{-(2q + 1)(q^2 - 2q + 5)} \right). \quad (7.10)$$

For comparison with the previous case, notice that the square root u present in (7.7)–(7.9) is ‘‘killed’’ by the the involution $\tau \mapsto 1/\tau$, $\eta \mapsto 1/\eta$ of (3.4). The function field defines an algebraic curve of genus 3; the curve is not hyperelliptic. As found in [2], the Painlevé curve can be defined by the equation

$$5p^4 + 6p^2r^2 + 5r^4 + 6p^2 + 6r^2 + 1 = 0. \quad (7.11)$$

With reference to (7.7)–(7.9), we offer the following ‘‘parametrization’’:

$$p = \sqrt{-\frac{2q + 1}{q^2 - 2q + 5}}, \quad r = \sqrt{-\frac{q(q - 2)}{q^2 - 2q + 5}}. \quad (7.12)$$

The variable q can be expressed as

$$q = \frac{5p^2 + 5r^2 + 1}{2p^2} \quad \text{or} \quad q = -\frac{5p^2 + r^2 + 1}{2(r^2 + 1)}. \quad (7.13)$$

It appears that application of Theorem 2.4 to $\pi_2 y_{44}$ and $\pi_2 y_{45}$ gives simpler icosahedral functions of type 50, 51. Here are expressions for those solutions, of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{4}{5}; t_{50})$ and $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{5}; t_{50})$:

$$t_{50} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{32p^6 + 32p^4 r^2 + 56p^4 + 64p^2 r^2 - 4p^2 - 68r^2 + q^4 - 4q^3 - 6q^2 + 20q - 3}{16p^3 r q (q-2)^2}, \quad (7.14)$$

$$y_{50} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p(rK_3 - 40p^4 - 200p^2 r^2 + 355r^2 + 261p^2 + 2q^5 - 6q^4 - 15q^3 + 23q^2 - 33q + 52)}{q(q-2)(2q+1)(3p^2 + r^2 + 2r+1)(5r^3 + 7p^2 r - 3p^2 q + 11p^2 + 2r)}, \quad (7.15)$$

$$y_{51} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{120p^5 + 200p^3 r^2 - 120p^4 - 200p^2 r^2 + 132p^3 + 460pr^2 - 180p^2 - 100r^2 + K_4}{2r(2q+1)(24p^3 + 40pr^2 - 48p^2 - 80r^2 + 2pq^2 + 12pq + 2p - 7q^2 - 2q - 11)}, \quad (7.16)$$

where $K_3 = 440p^4 + 200p^2 r^2 + 952p^2 + 760r^2 + 4q^5 - 22q^4 + 15q^3 + 66q^2 + 89q + 174$, and $K_4 = p(q^5 - 6q^4 + 7q^3 + 12q^2 - 126q + 24) - q^5 + 6q^4 - 4q^3 - 24q^2 - 33q - 30$. To have most compact expressions for these functions, we use in (7.14)–(7.16) all three variables q, p, r . To find these expressions, we followed this strategy: first we factored over \mathbb{Q} the divisors on the Painlevé curve defined by the numerators and denominators of a rational expression under consideration; for each divisor irreducible over \mathbb{Q} we found a Gröbner basis of polynomial functions vanishing on it; then we tried to combine lowest degree polynomials from the Gröbner bases of divisor factors so to built a compact expression for the same rational function; and finally we tried to change a monomial basis for each chosen polynomial so to reduce the size of coefficients. To illustrate our approach, we present another expression for t_{50} , in p and r only:

$$t_{50} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{32r^6 p^4 - 36r^6 p^2 - 36r^2 p^6 + 32r^4 p^6 - 38r^6 - 30r^4 p^2 - 30r^2 p^4 - 38p^6 - 13r^4 + 6p^2 r^2 - 13p^4}{40pr(p^2+1)(r^2+1)(5p^2+5r^2+1)}. \quad (7.17)$$

Notice that the large numerators in (7.14) and (7.17) both contain 11 terms: it is typical that changing monomial basis does not change the number of terms in a polynomial expression. Even in the expression of t_{52} in q only, easily obtainable by substituting (7.12), the numerator has degree 10 in q , hence 11 terms. The numerator of (7.14) was obtained after elimination of the monomial q^5 from two polynomials in a Gröbner basis with respect to a total degree ordering. The monomial basis in the numerator of (7.17) was intentionally chosen symmetric. Incidentally, the whole expression in (7.17) is symmetric in p, r . For better illustration of intermediate steps of our strategy, recall that t_{50} must be a Belyi map, so it must be highly factorizable. The divisor of t_{50} as a rational function on the curve (7.11) can be found to be

$$5(p+r-1, 2r^2-2r+1)+5(p+r+1, 2r^2+2r+1)+3(p+r-1, 2r^2-2r+3)+3(p+r+1, 2r^2+2r+3) \\ +2(p-r, 16r^4+12r^2+1)-5(r, p^2+1)-5(p, r^2+1)-3(r, 5p^2+1)-3(p, 5r^2+1)-2(5p^4+6p^2r^2+5r^4, \infty). \quad (7.18)$$

Here we represented each irreducible divisor by a Gröbner basis of it, and we used the symbol ∞ to indicate the points at infinity. The multiplicity pattern is consistent with the respective entry in the last column of Table 1 in [1]. Eventually, by combining appropriate polynomial factors (and adjusting a scalar multiple) in the same manner as in [22, Section 4], we can arrive at the following compact expression:

$$t_{50} = \frac{5(p-r)^2(p+r-1)^3(p+r+1)^3(3p^2+3r^2-2pr+1)}{128pr(p^2+1)(r^2+1)(5p^2+5r^2+1)}. \quad (7.19)$$

In general, this procedure is tricky and hard to automatize in an effective way.

Application of Theorem 2.4 to the function y_{41} gives us an icosahedral function of type 49 defined over the field

$$\mathbb{C} \left(s, \sqrt{(s-2)(2s-1)(2s^2+s+2)}, \sqrt{s^2-7s+1} \right). \quad (7.20)$$

Since we can parametrize the latter square root, the Painlevé curve is hyperelliptic. We choose the following parametrization by q :

$$s \mapsto -\frac{(\tilde{q}-1)(\tilde{q}-5)}{(\tilde{q}+1)(\tilde{q}+5)}, \quad \tilde{q} \mapsto \sqrt{5}q. \quad (7.21)$$

The hyperelliptic curve (of genus 3) is $v^2 = 3(q^4 + 14q^2 + 1)(5q^4 + 6q^2 + 5)$. The relation with the parameter in [2] is $j = 2(\tilde{q} - 2)/(\tilde{q} + 1)$. We get the following solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; t_{49})$:

$$t_{49} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{q(1125q^{16} + 7000q^{14} + 26124q^{12} + 11112q^{10} - 25186q^8 + 11112q^6 + 26124q^4 + 7000q^2 + 1125)}{(q^2-1)(5q^4+6q^2+5)^3}, \quad (7.22)$$

$$y_{49} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{8qK_1 + \sqrt{5}(q^2-1)(q^2+1)(3q^2+1)(q^2+3)(q^4+14q^2+1)(5q^6+53q^4-9q^2+15)}{4v(q^2-1)(K_2 + 8\sqrt{5}q(q^2-1)(q^2+1)^2(3q^2+1)(q^2+3))}, \quad (7.23)$$

where $K_1 = 200q^{16} + 1367q^{14} + 4835q^{12} + 3643q^{10} - 1609q^8 + 2933q^6 + 4025q^4 + 825q^2 + 165$, and $K_2 = 35q^{12} + 450q^{10} + 1097q^8 + 1324q^6 + 805q^4 + 370q^2 + 15$.

Application of Theorem 2.4 to y_{49} or $\pi_2 y_{49}$ gives us icosahedral functions of type 52 defined over the field

$$\mathbb{C} \left(q, \sqrt{-2(q^2+1)(5q^2-1)(q^2+3)}, \sqrt{2(q^2+1)(q^2-5)(3q^2+1)} \right). \quad (7.24)$$

The function field defines an algebraic curve of genus 7; the curve is not hyperelliptic. As found in [2], the Painlevé curve can be defined by the equation

$$9p^6r^2 + 18p^4r^4 + 9p^2r^6 + 4p^6 + 26p^4r^2 + 26p^2r^4 + 4r^6 + 8p^4 + 57p^2r^2 + 8q^4 + 20p^2 + 20r^2 + 16 = 0. \quad (7.25)$$

With reference to (7.22)–(7.23), we offer the following “parametrization”

$$p = \sqrt{\frac{(q + \sqrt{5})(3q^2 + 1)}{2(q - \sqrt{5})(q^2 + 1)}}, \quad r = \sqrt{\frac{-(\sqrt{5}q - 1)(q^2 + 3)}{2(\sqrt{5}q + 1)(q^2 + 1)}}. \quad (7.26)$$

In the other direction, we have

$$q = \frac{5p^4 + 6p^2r^2 + r^4 + 11p^2 - r^2 + 8}{\sqrt{5}(p^2 - r^2)(p^2 + r^2 - 1)}. \quad (7.27)$$

We choose to present the quadratic transformation of $\pi_2 y_{49}$, since it looks simpler than quadratic transformation of y_{49} . Here is the solution of $P_{VI}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}; t_{52})$:

$$t_{52} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(p^2+r^2-1)(p^8-7p^6r^2-24p^4r^4-7p^2r^6+r^8+6p^4r^2+6p^2r^4+14p^4+p^2r^2+14r^4+16p^2+16r^2+17)}{96p^3r^3(p^2+r^2+1)},$$

$$y_{52} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{K_5 - 4800p^6 - 28160p^4 + 8704q^2r^2 - 6240q^4 - 60352p^2 - 9736q^2 - 7288 - 5r(966q^4 - 512r^2 + 608q^2 - 982)}{4\sqrt{5}p r^2 (q + \sqrt{5})(\sqrt{5}q + 1)^2 (K_6 - 60p^2 - 48q^2 + 22 - 20r(p^2q^2 + 3p^2 + 4))},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{5}qK_5 &= 96q^2r^6 - 17256p^4q^4 + 288r^6 - 4992p^4r^2 - 14400p^6 - 2175q^6 - 5504p^2r^2 - 1752p^4 \\ &\quad - 39859q^4 + 51136p^2 - 6805q^2 + 25703 - 5r(3360p^6q^2 + 5312p^4q^4 - 1024r^4q^4 + 6240p^6 \\ &\quad + 12768p^4q^2 - 285q^6 + 5600p^4 - 2088r^2q^2 + 8319q^4 - 504r^2 - 4819q^2 + 1), \\ \sqrt{5}qK_6 &= 228q^2r^4 - 16r^2q^2 - 228p^2r^2 - 148p^2 - 56r^2 - 369q^2 - 281 - 20r(3r^2q^2 + 12p^2 + 5r^2 + 11q^2 + 9).\end{aligned}$$

There might be shorter expressions for y_{52} with other monomial bases for the large polynomials in the numerator and denominator, but it is combinatorially hard to find such monomial bases.

8 Appendix

First we present Table 4 of the fractional-linear transformations for Painlevé VI functions. If one starts with a solution $y(t)$ of $P_{VI}(a, b, c, d + 1)$, in each row we give a solution of a Painlevé VI equation with permuted singular points (of the corresponding Fuchsian system) in terms of $y(t)$ and t . We also give expressions of the transformations in [20] notation, and fractional-linear transformations of λ for Fuchsian system (1.2). There might be non-trivial Schlesinger transformations of Ψ as well (if $\lambda = \infty$ is moved). The substitutions of λ compose as a direct group action — from right to left. The θ 's, y and t are functions on the singular points, so the substitutions for them compose from left to right. As mentioned, we use the notation π_2 in Sections 6 and 7. Note that non-trivial transformations which fix the argument t correspond to permutations of the conjugacy class $2+2$. We actually use only these transformations, or change the argument to $t/(t-1)$.

Further in this Appendix, we present:

- The relation of our notation to the notation in [20, Section 3]. (Compared with [1] and [2], the parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4$ there should be identified with our $\theta_0, \theta_t, \theta_1, \theta_\infty$, respectively.)
- Lemma 8.1 on the relation between Okamoto transformations and integer shifts in the local monodromy differences.
- Alternative notation to work with the quadratic transformations of Painlevé VI functions.

The notation in [20, Section 3] can be related to our notation as follows:

$$(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t, \theta_\infty) \longleftrightarrow (-\alpha_4, -\alpha_3, -\alpha_0, 1 - \alpha_1). \quad (8.1)$$

Then Okamoto transformation (1.9) corresponds to the transformation s_2 of [20]. The transformations s_0, s_1, s_3, s_4 change the sign of, respectively, $\theta_t, 1 - \theta_\infty, \theta_1, \theta_0$. In our paper, the action of these four transformations on the Painlevé VI equation is considered trivial. The quadratic transformation $\psi_{VI}^{[2]}$ of [20] converts $(\frac{C-1}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{C+1}{2})$ to $(0, 0, B, C)$, which is the opposite direction from the accustomed here. The quadratic transformation $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, \frac{1}{2}) \mapsto (a, a, b, b)$ can be realized by one of the following compositions:

$$s_0 s_2 \psi_{VI}^{[2]} s_3 s_2 s_0 s_4, \quad \text{or} \quad s_0 s_3 s_4 s_2 \psi_{VI}^{[2]} s_3 s_2 s_0 s_3 s_4. \quad (8.2)$$

[20] notation	λ	$(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_t, 1-\theta_\infty)$	y	t
id	λ	(a, b, c, d)	y	t
$\sigma_1\pi_2$	$t\lambda/(\lambda+t-1)$	(a, b, d, c)	$(1-t)y/(y-t)$	$1-t$
$\sigma_2\pi_1$	$t\lambda$	(a, c, b, d)	y/t	$1/t$
$\sigma_1\sigma_2\pi_2$	$t\lambda/(t\lambda+1-t)$	(a, c, d, b)	$(t-1)y/t(y-1)$	$(t-1)/t$
$\sigma_2\sigma_1\pi_1$	$t\lambda/(\lambda-1)$	(a, d, b, c)	$y/(y-t)$	$1/(1-t)$
$\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$	$\lambda/(\lambda-1)$	(a, d, c, b)	$y/(y-1)$	$t/(t-1)$
σ_1	$1-\lambda$	(b, a, c, d)	$1-y$	$1-t$
π_2	$t(\lambda-1)/(\lambda-t)$	(b, a, d, c)	$t(y-1)/(y-t)$	t
$\sigma_1\sigma_3$	$t\lambda-\lambda+1$	(b, c, a, d)	$(y-1)/(t-1)$	$1/(1-t)$
$\sigma_3\pi_1$	$t/(\lambda-t\lambda+t)$	(b, c, d, a)	$t(y-1)/(t-1)y$	$t/(t-1)$
$\pi_2\sigma_2$	$(t\lambda-1)/(\lambda-1)$	(b, d, a, c)	$(y-1)/(y-t)$	$1/t$
$\sigma_1\sigma_2$	$1/(1-\lambda)$	(b, d, c, a)	$(y-1)/y$	$(t-1)/t$
$\sigma_3\sigma_1$	$t(1-\lambda)$	(c, a, b, d)	$(t-y)/t$	$(t-1)/t$
$\sigma_2\pi_2$	$t(\lambda-1)/(t\lambda-1)$	(c, a, d, b)	$(y-t)/t(y-1)$	$1/t$
σ_3	$\lambda-t\lambda+t$	(c, b, a, d)	$(y-t)/(1-t)$	$t/(t-1)$
$\sigma_3\sigma_2$	$t/(t\lambda-\lambda+1)$	(c, b, d, a)	$(y-t)/(1-t)y$	$1/(1-t)$
$\pi_1\pi_2$	$(\lambda-t)/(\lambda-1)$	(c, d, a, b)	$(y-t)/(y-1)$	t
$\sigma_1\pi_1$	$t/(1-\lambda)$	(c, d, b, a)	$(y-t)/y$	$1-t$
$\sigma_3\pi_2$	$t(\lambda-1)/\lambda$	(d, a, b, c)	$t/(t-y)$	$t/(t-1)$
$\sigma_2\sigma_1$	$(\lambda-1)/\lambda$	(d, a, c, b)	$1/(1-y)$	$1/(1-t)$
$\sigma_2\sigma_3$	$(t\lambda-t+1)/\lambda$	(d, b, a, c)	$(1-t)/(y-t)$	$(t-1)/t$
σ_2	$1/\lambda$	(d, b, c, a)	$1/y$	$1/t$
$\pi_1\sigma_1$	$(\lambda+t-1)/\lambda$	(d, c, a, b)	$(t-1)/(y-1)$	$1-t$
π_1	t/λ	(d, c, b, a)	t/y	t

Table 4: Fractional-linear transformations

Transformations (1.5) and (1.6) can be realized as $(\psi_{VI}^{[2]} \sigma_1)^{-1}$ and $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \psi_{VI}^{[2]})^{-1}$, respectively. In the notation of [20], our main formulas can be viewed (up to fractional-linear transformations) as the result of eliminating differentiation from (8.2) and $\psi_{VI}^{[2]} s_3 s_2 s_0 s_4$. As mentioned in Introduction, we try to avoid composing differentiation and quadratic transformations in proofs of our results, which leads us to composing (1.6) with contiguous relations within two Okamoto orbits.

The following lemma is basically noticed in [17]. We present the observation in the most direct notation. Recall that pairs of Fuchsian systems (1.2) whose local monodromy differences differ by integers shifts as in this lemma, with $k_0 + k_1 + k_t + k_\infty$ even, are related by Schlesinger transformations [11].

Lemma 8.1 *Suppose that k_0, k_1, k_t, k_∞ are integers. Then two Painlevé VI equations $P_{VI}(\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t)$ and $P_{VI}(\nu_0 + k_0, \nu_1 + k_1, \nu_t + k_t, \nu_\infty + k_\infty; t)$ are related by a chain of Okamoto transformations if and only if either all four integers are even, or they are all odd. The two Painlevé VI equations are related by a combination of Okamoto and fractional-linear transformations if and only if the sum $k_0 + k_1 + k_t + k_\infty$ is even.*

Proof. Let us denote $\Theta = (\nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_t + \nu_\infty)/2$. The composition

$$K_{[\Theta - \nu_0, \Theta - \nu_1, \Theta - \nu_t, 2 + \Theta - \nu_\infty; t]} K_{[\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t]}$$

increases all four local monodromy differences by 1. If we change the sign of ν_0, ν_1 or ν_t (in the definition of Θ as well), we change the shift sign in the corresponding local monodromy difference. To have a negative shift at ∞ , one may consider the inverse transformations. The “if” part of the first statement follows. Suppose that $k'_0, k'_1, k'_t, k'_\infty$ are integers, either all even or all odd. Okamoto transformations of $P_{VI}(\nu_0 + k'_0, \nu_1 + k'_1, \nu_t + k'_t, \nu_\infty + k'_\infty; t)$ have the following forms, up to parameter permutations (but not fractional-linear permutations!) of the conjugacy class $2 + 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{VI}(\Theta - \nu_0 + k''_0, \Theta - \nu_1 + k''_1, \Theta - \nu_t + k''_t, \Theta - \nu_\infty + k''_\infty; t) \quad \text{or} \\ &P_{VI}(\Theta + k''_0, \Theta - \nu_0 - \nu_1 + k''_1, \Theta - \nu_0 - \nu_t + k''_t, \Theta - \nu_1 - \nu_t + k''_\infty; t), \end{aligned}$$

with the same restrictions on $k''_0, k''_1, k''_t, k''_\infty$. Okamoto transformations of these two equations keep the form of all three equations, up to parameter permutations of the conjugacy class $2 + 2$. The “only if” part follows as well.

The second statement follows from the first one, since a shift by two odd and two even integers can be obtained by composing a shift by all odd or all even integers with a fractional-linear transformation which moves ∞ . For example [8, Theorem 6], a simplest Schlesinger transformation $(\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty) \mapsto (\nu_0 + 1, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty + 1)$ can be realized as

$$L K_{[\nu_0 - \Theta, \Theta - \nu_1, \Theta - \nu_t, \nu_\infty - \Theta; t]} K_{[\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_t, \nu_\infty; t]},$$

where L is the fractional-linear transformation $\lambda \mapsto t/\lambda$. □

Now we consider the quadratic transformations in an alternative notation. First we concentrate on quadratic transformations within Table 1. Consider t_2, g_1, T_2 as in the context of Theorem 2.4; we relate to η, τ and other “global” notation via (4.10)–(4.11).

Let G_3 denote the solution $(\tau + 1)(\eta - 1)/2(\eta - \tau)$ of $P_{VI}(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2} + 1; T_2)$. We express the functions and variables as follows:

$$g_1 = \frac{1 + \psi}{2}, \quad t_2 = \frac{1 + \theta}{2}, \quad G_3 = \frac{1 + \xi}{2}, \quad T_2 = \frac{1 + \sigma}{2}. \quad (8.3)$$

Like we commented before Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, the points $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ are symmetric in this form, since the fractional-linear permutation of them merely changes the sign of ψ, θ or ξ, σ . Hence this form is widely used in [12], [1] and Sections 6 and 7 here. (The unindexed θ does not denote any local monodromy differences from here on.) In terms of τ and η , we have:

$$\theta = \frac{\tau^2 + 1}{\tau^2 - 1}, \quad \psi = \frac{\eta^2 + 1}{\eta^2 - 1}, \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{\tau} \right), \quad \xi = \frac{\tau\eta - 1}{\eta - \tau}. \quad (8.4)$$

We can identify

$$\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1} = \frac{2\tau}{\tau^2 - 1}, \quad \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1} = \frac{2\eta}{1 - \eta^2}, \quad \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau - \frac{1}{\tau} \right), \quad (8.5)$$

so that $\sqrt{t_2^2 - t_2} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}$ and $\sqrt{g_1^2 - g_1} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\psi^2 - 1}$ in the setting of (4.11). In particular, reminiscent to Corollary 3 in [2], we have

$$\sigma = \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}}, \quad \xi = \frac{\sqrt{\psi^2 - 1} - \sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}}{\psi - \theta}. \quad (8.6)$$

To transform from G_3 to g_1 , the formulas are:

$$\theta = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}, \quad \psi = \frac{\sigma\xi^2 - 2\xi + \sigma}{(\xi^2 - 1)\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}. \quad (8.7)$$

We also have the following relations:

$$\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}, \quad \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1} = \frac{\xi^2 - 2\sigma\xi + 1}{(1 - \xi^2)\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}, \quad (8.8)$$

$$\tau = \frac{\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}}{\theta - 1} = \sigma + \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}, \quad \eta = -\frac{\sqrt{\psi^2 - 1}}{\psi - 1} = \frac{\tau\xi - 1}{\xi - \tau}. \quad (8.9)$$

As mentioned in Section 3, the quadratic transformation identifies 4 branches on the $(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2} + 1)$ side with 2 branches on the $(0, 0, B, A + 1)$ side. The branch permutations on the $(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{A}{2}, \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2} + 1)$ side are realized by the following transformations:

- $\tau \mapsto -\tau, \quad \sigma \mapsto -\sigma, \quad \xi \mapsto \frac{\sigma\xi - 1}{\sigma - \xi}, \quad \text{conjugate } \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}, \sqrt{\theta^2 - 1};$
- $\eta \mapsto -\eta, \quad \xi \mapsto \frac{\sigma\xi - 1}{\xi - \sigma}, \quad \text{conjugate } \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1};$
- $\tau \mapsto -\tau, \quad \eta \mapsto -\eta, \quad \sigma \mapsto -\sigma, \quad \xi \mapsto -\xi, \quad \text{conjugate all square roots.}$

The branch permutation on the $(0, 0, B, A + 1)$ side is realized by

- $\tau \mapsto 1/\tau, \quad \eta \mapsto 1/\eta, \quad \theta \mapsto -\theta, \quad \psi \mapsto -\psi, \quad \text{conjugate all square roots.}$

Interchanging A and B is realized by:

$$\bullet \quad \eta \mapsto \frac{\tau}{\eta}, \quad \psi \mapsto \frac{\theta\psi - 1}{\psi - \theta}, \quad \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1} \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}\sqrt{\psi^2 - 1}}{\psi - \theta}, \quad \xi \mapsto \frac{2\sigma - \xi + 1}{\xi + 1}.$$

Now we assume the setting of Lemma 2.4. As usual, we identify $A = a+b-1$, $B = b-a$. The functions G_1, G_3 are related by the interchange of A and B . In particular:

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}}, \quad G_1(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta - \psi + \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1}}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}}. \quad (8.10)$$

Let us define φ by $g_0 = (1 + \varphi)/2$. Then

$$g_2(t_2) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\psi\varphi^2 - 2\varphi + \psi}{2(\varphi^2 - 2\psi\varphi + 1)}, \quad (8.11)$$

$$G_2(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta - \psi + \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1}}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}} + \frac{(\varphi - \psi)(\psi - \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1})}{(\varphi - \psi + \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1})\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}}. \quad (8.12)$$

Formula (2.10) can be rewritten as follows:

$$G_0(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta - \psi + \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1}}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}} + \frac{(a - b + 1)(\varphi - \psi)(\psi - \sqrt{\psi^2 - 1})}{2(a\sqrt{\psi^2 - 1} - (b - 1)(\varphi - \psi))\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}}. \quad (8.13)$$

Even more concisely, we can write

$$G_0(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}} + \frac{a(\psi\phi - 1 - \phi\sqrt{\psi^2 - 1})}{2(a\sqrt{\psi^2 - 1} - (b - 1)(\varphi - \psi))\sqrt{\theta^2 - 1}}. \quad (8.14)$$

References

- [1] P. Boalch, The fifty-two icosahedral solutions to Painlevé VI, to appear in *J. Reine Angew. Math.* Available at <http://xyz.lanl.gov>, math.AG/0406281.
- [2] P. Boalch, Higher genus icosahedral Painlevé curves, e-preprint <http://xyz.lanl.gov>, math.DG/0506407.
- [3] C. M. Cosgrove, *The Painlevé-Gambier class of differential equations*, unpublished manuscript. Sydney University, 1996.
- [4] R. Conte and M. Musette, New contiguity relation of the sixth Painlevé equation from a truncation, *Phys. D* **161** (2002), no. 3-4, 129–141.
- [5] B. Dubrovin and M. Mazzocco, Monodromy of Certain Painlevé–VI Transcendents and Reflection Groups, *Invent. Math.* **141** (2000), 55–147.
- [6] A. S. Fokas, B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani, From continuous to discrete Painlevé Equations. *J. Math. An. Appl.* **180** (1993), 342–360.

- [7] R. Fuchs, Über lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung mit drei im Endlichen gelegene wesentlich singulären Stellen, *Math. Ann.* **70** (1911), 525–549.
- [8] V. I. Gromak and G. Filipuk, On the transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **10** (2003), suppl. 2, 57–68.
- [9] N. J. Hitchin, Poncelet polygons and the Painlevé equations, *Geometry and Analysis* (Bombay, 1992), Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1995, pp. 151–185.
- [10] N. J. Hitchin, A lecture on the octahedron, *Bulletin of the London Math Soc.*, **35** (2003), pg. 577–600
- [11] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients II, *Physica* **2D** (1981), 407–448.
- [12] A. V. Kitaev, Grothendieck’s Dessins d’Enfants, Their Deformations and Algebraic Solutions of the Sixth Painlevé and Gauss Hypergeometric Equations, *Algebra i Analiz* **17**, no. 1 (2005), 224–273. Available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov/nlin.SI/0309078>.
- [13] A. V. Kitaev, On similarity reductions of the three-wave resonant system to the Painlevé equations, *J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen.* **23** (1990), 3543–3553.
- [14] A. V. Kitaev, Quadratic transformations for the sixth Painlevé equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **21** (1991), 105–111.
- [15] Yu. I. Manin, Sixth Painlevé equation, universal elliptic curve, and mirror of \mathbf{P}^2 . *Geometry of differential equations*, 131–151, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 186, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [16] M. Mazzocco, Piccard and Chazy solutions of the Painlevé VI equation, *Math. Ann.* **321** (2001), 157–195.
- [17] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painlevé Equations. I. Sixth Painlevé Equation P_{VI} , *Annali Mat. Pura Appl.* **146** (1987), 337–381.
- [18] E. Picard, Mémoire sur la Théorie des Fonctions Algébriques de deux Variables, *Journal de Liouville*, **5** (1889), 135–319.
- [19] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos, T. Tamizhmani, Quadratic relations in continuous and discrete Painlevé Equations. *J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen.* **33** (2000), 3033–3044.
- [20] T. Tsuda, K. Okamoto, H. Sakai, Folding Transformations of the Painlevé Equations. *Math. Ann.* **331** (2005), 713–738.
- [21] R. Vidunas, A. V. Kitaev, Computation of highly ramified coverings. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CA/>.
- [22] R. Vidunas, Darboux evaluations of algebraic Gauss hypergeometric functions. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CA/0504264>.