# The complexication of totally real cycle spaces and the Lawson Suspension Theorem

### Jyh-Haur Teh

National Center for Theoretical Sciences Mathematics Division Third General Building, Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, 30043, Taiwan

#### A bstract

We embed the space of totally real r-cycles of a totally real projective variety into the space of r-cycles of its complexication. We provide a proof of the holomorphic taly argument in the proof of the Lawson Suspension Theorem by using Chow forms and this proof gives us an analogue result for totally real cycle spaces. We use the Sturm Theorem to derive a criterion for a realpolynomial of degree d to have distinct real roots and use it to prove the openness of a subset of the real divisors. This enables us to prove that the suspension map induces a weak homotopy equivalence between two enlarged spaces of totally real cycle spaces.

#### 1 Introduction

C lassically, real algebraic geom etry is the study of complex varieties endowed with a real structure. For example, with the complex conjugation, the complex projective space  $CP^n$  is a real variety. But there is another candidate for the name real algebraic geometry which is the study of real zero loci of real polynomials. The development in this branch of geometry shows that it has many interesting problems and people start indicating it the real algebraic geometry, for example in [1,3]. Since we deal with both of these geometries in this paper, to distinguish them, we will keep the name real algebraic geometry for the classical involutional geometry and totally real algebraic geometry for the other one.

This new branch sits between di erential topology and algebraic geometry. By the apparatus of M orse theory, M ilnor (see [8]) and Thom (see [12]) gave an estimation of the total Betti number of a totally real algebraic variety. This estimation has been applied for instance in obtaining lower bounds on the complexity of algorithms (see [4]). A fascinating fundamental result in totally real algebraic geometry is the Nash-Tognoli Theorem which says that every compact smooth manifold without boundary is dieomorphic to some nonsingular algebraic varieties. Since complex projective manifolds are more restricted, there is no analogous result in complex algebraic geometry.

In general, totally real algebraic varieties are m ore irregular than real algebraic varieties. One of the most striking differences is that the real projective space  $RP^n$  is an annewariety. From here it is not differenced to see that it is different to study totally real algebraic varieties from the classical methods like intersection or projection. Nevertheless, many properties of a totally real projective variety behave well in  $Z_2$ -world. We believe that a result in complex world should have its analogue in the real world but with  $Z_2$ -nature. For example the classical Fundamental Theorem of Algebra is certainly not true in the real world, but we have a  $Z_2$ -version of it. Another example is the

E-m ail address: jyhhaur@ m ath.cts.nthu.edu.tw

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Tel: +886 3 5745248; Fax: +886 3 5728161

Law son hom ology theory and the morphic cohom ology theory for projective varieties founded by Law son and Friedlander. The parallel theories for real projective varieties are called the reduced real Law son hom ology and the reduced real morphic cohom ology developed by the author (see [10]). The cornerstone of all these theories is the Law son Suspension Theorem (see [5, 6]) which says that the suspension map induces a hom otopy equivalence between  $Z_r(X)$  and  $Z_{r+1} \not \in X$ ) for a projective variety X where  $Z_r(X)$  is the r-cycle group of X. As an application of the Law son Suspension Theorem , we have

$$Z_r(P^n) = K(Z;0) \quad K(Z;2) \quad K(Z;2(n r))$$

where K (Z;i) is the Eilenberg-M ac lane space. A version of the Lawson Suspension Theorem in reduced real Lawson homology is the reduced real Lawson Suspension Theorem which says that  $R_r(X)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $R_{r+1} \models X$ ) for a real projective variety X where  $R_r(X)$  is the reduced real cycle group of X . As an application, we have

$$R_r(P^n) = K(Z_2;0) \quad K(Z_2;1) \quad K_2/(2 \quad r)$$
:

We note that the real points of the complex projective space  $P^n$  is  $RP^n$  and the nonzero hom ology groups  $H_i(RP^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -coe cients of  $RP^n$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  for i=1; ...; n.

The reduced real Law son hom ology and the reduced real morphic cohom ology enable us to use real projective varieties to study totally real projective varieties. One reason is that there are natural transform ations from the reduced real Law son hom ology and the reduced real morphic cohom ology of a real projective variety to the singular hom ology groups and the singular cohom ology groups with  $Z_2$ —coe cients respectively of its real points. For instance, in [11], a generalization of the Hamack-Thom Theorem is proved which relates the rank of the Law son hom ology groups with  $Z_2$ —coe cients of a real projective variety X with the rank of its reduced real Law son hom ology groups. For zero-cycle group, we recover the classical Hamack-Thom Theorem which gives a bound of the total Betti number in  $Z_2$ —coe cients of a real projective variety.

In this paper, we study the space formed by totally real r-cycles on a totally real projective variety. A totally real r-cycle in our sense is a formal sum of some r dimensional totally real irreducible subvarieties on a totally real variety. We embed the space of totally real r-cycles of a totally real variety  $X_R$  into the space of r-cycles of its complexication  $X_C$ . Therefore it inherits the topology from the complex r-cycle group. Our goal is to prove a result analogous to the Law son Suspension Theorem. Since the space of totally real r-cycles is not closed in the holomorphic tay process, we have to enlarge the embedded space of totally real r-cycles  $RZ_r(X)$  on X to a space  $SZ_r(X)$  and enlarge the embedded space of totally (r+1)-cycles  $RZ_{r+1} \in X$ ) on  $RZ_{r+1} \in X$ . We show that the suspension map induces a weak homotopy equivalence between  $RZ_r(X)$  and  $RZ_{r+1} \in X$ . We use this suspension theorem to compute the homotopy type of  $RZ_1(RP^n)$ .

## 2 Complexication

In this paper, a totally real algebraic variety is the zero loci in R<sup>n</sup> of some real polynom ials and a totally real projective variety is the zero loci in RP<sup>n</sup> of some real homogeneous polynom ials. Throughout this paper, R<sup>n</sup> and RP<sup>n</sup> will be considered as subsets of C<sup>n</sup> and CP<sup>n</sup> respectively under the canonical embeddings. For the basic properties of totally real algebraic varieties see [1, 3].

Denition Suppose that X RP is a subset. The real cone RC (X) of X is  $^1$  (X) [f0g where is the quotient map  $:R^{n+1}$  0! RP . We denote the ideal in R [x0; :::; xn] generated by those real hom ogeneous polynom ials vanishing on RC (X) by  $I^R$  (X). Now consider RC (X) as a subset in  $C^{n+1}$  and we denote  $I^C$  (X) to be the ideal in C [Z0; :::; Zn] generated by those hom ogenous polynom ials vanishing on RC (X). For a hom ogeneous ideal J C [z0; :::; zn], we denote the complex projective variety in P dened by J by Z (J). If X is a totally real projective variety, the complex i cation X C of a totally real projective variety X is dened to be Z  $I^C$  (X).

De nition Forf 2 R  $[x_0; ...; x_n]$ , the complexication of f is dened to be the complex polynom ial  $f_C$  2 C  $[z_0; ...; z_n]$  where  $f_C$  is the extension of f to  $C^{n+1}$ . In other words, it is the image of f under the natural embedding R  $[x_0; ...; x_n]$ , L C  $[z_0; ...; z_n]$ . For an ideal J R  $[x_0; ...; x_n]$ , the complexication  $J_C$  of J is the ideal generated by  $f_C$  if 2 Jg in C  $[z_0; ...; z_n]$ .

P roposition 2.1. For a totally real projective variety  $X = RP^n$ ,  $I^C(X) = (I^R(X))_C$ :

Proof. By de nition, if f 2  $I^{C}$  (X), then f (RC (X)) = 0. Let g be the restriction of f to  $R^{n+1}$ . Denote Re(g) to be the real part of g and Im (g) to be the imaginary part of g thus we have g = Re(g) + iIm(g). Since g(RC(X)) = f(RC(X)) = 0, Re(g)(RC(X)) = Im(g)(RC(X)) = 0. So Re(g); Im(g) are in  $I^{R}$  (X) which implies that  $Re(g)_{C}$ , Im(g)<sub>C</sub> are in( $I^{R}$  (X))<sub>C</sub>. So  $f = Re(g)_{C} + iIm(g)_{C}$  2 ( $I^{R}$  (X))<sub>C</sub>. Another direction follows from the denition.

De nition Suppose that  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  is a totally real algebraic variety and I(V) is the ideal of polynom ials in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  vanishing on V. The dimension of V, dim V, is defined to be the maximal length of chain of prime ideals in  $\mathbb{R}[x_1; :::; x_n] = I(V)$ . The dimension of a totally real projective variety is the dimension of its real cone minus 1. We say that a point  $x \in V$  is nonsingular if and only if we can not a generator set  $\mathbb{R}[x_1; :::; p_k]$  of I(V) such that

$$\operatorname{rk}\left[\frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(x)\right] = n \quad \operatorname{dim} V$$
:

A point of a totally real projective variety is called nonsingular if it is the image of a nonsingular point in the real cone of that totally real projective variety.

The following is from [3], Proposition 3.3.8.

Proposition 2.2. If V  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a totally real algebraic variety of dimension d and x 2 V is nonsingular. Then there exist  $p_1$ ; ...;  $p_{n-d}$  2 I (V) and a Zariski open neighborhood U of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

i.  $Z^R(p_1; ...; p_{n-d}) \setminus U = V \setminus U$  where  $Z^R(p_1; ...; p_{n-d})$  is the totally real algebraic variety de ned by  $p_1; ...; p_{n-d}$ .

ii. for every y 2 U, rank  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{0}{2}p_i \\ \frac{1}{2}x_j \end{bmatrix}$  (y)] = n d:

The following is the key proposition which enables us to embed spaces of totally real cycles into complex cycle spaces. To simplify the notation, we use ZI(X) to designate ZI<sup>C</sup>(X). We note that for a totally real projective variety X  $RP^n$ , ZI(X) is the smallest complex projective variety in  $P^n$  which contains X.

P roposition 2.3. Suppose that  $X = \mathbb{RP}^n$  is a totally real projective variety.

1. If Y X is a totally real projective subvariety, then  $ZI(Y) \setminus X = Y$ .

- 2. If Y is an irreducible p-dim ensional totally real projective subvariety of X , then Z I (Y) is an irreducible complex p-dim ensional projective subvariety of Z I (X).
- 3. If V is a complex p-dimensional irreducible projective subvariety of Z I (X) and dim<sub>R</sub> (V \ X) = p, then V \ X is an irreducible totally real projective subvariety of X and Z I (V \ X) = V.
- Proof. 1. Let  $q 2 Z I (Y) \setminus X$ . For any  $f 2 I^R (Y)$ ,  $f_C 2 I^C (Y)$ , thus  $f_C (q) = 0$ . But q is a real point, so f(q) = 0. Therefore  $Z I (Y) \setminus X$  is contained in Y. A nother direction is trivial.
  - 2. Write ZI(Y) =  $V_1$  [ { åš a union of its irreducible components. Let  $Y_i = Y \setminus V_i$  for each i. Then we have  $[Y_i = Y \cdot But \ Y]$  is irreducible, so some  $Y_i$  equals to Y. This means that  $V_i$  contains Y. But ZI(Y) is the smallest complex projective variety contains Y, thus  $V_i = ZI(Y)$ . So ZI(Y) is irreducible. Now let us consider the dimension of ZI(Y). Let NS and Sing be the nonsingular part and singular part of a variety respectively. It is known that the singular part of a projective variety is again a projective variety. We claim that the nonsingular part of Y and the nonsingular part of the complexication of Y must intersect somewhere. If not, then NS(Y) Sing(ZI(Y)). Take W = ZI(NS(Y)) Sing(ZI(Y)). Since  $Y = (W \setminus Y)[Sing(Y)]$  and Y is irreducible, thus  $Y = W \setminus Y$ . But ZI(Y) is the smallest complex projective variety which contains Y, we must have ZI(Y) W Sing(ZI(Y)). A contradiction. Now take a point q which is a nonsingular point of Y and ZI(Y) and assume that  $I^R(Y)$  is generated by  $f_1$ ; ...;  $f_k$ . By Proposition 2.1, we know that  $I^C(Y)$  is the complexication of  $I^R(Y)$  and therefore  $I^C(Y)$  is generated by  $f_1$ ; ...;  $f_k$ . Since q is nonsingular, thus

n 
$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Y) = \operatorname{rk}\left[\frac{\theta f_{i}}{\theta x_{i}}\right](q) = \operatorname{rk}\left[\frac{\theta f_{iC}}{\theta z_{i}}\right](q) = n \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{Z}I(Y)$$
:

We have  $\dim_R (Y) = \dim_C (Z I (Y))$ .

3. Suppose that Y  $V \setminus X$  is an irreducible totally real projective subvariety of dimension p. Then ZI(Y) V. By (2), dim<sub>C</sub>ZI(Y) = p and V is irreducible, so ZI(Y) = V. By (1), Y = ZI(Y) \ X = V \ X. So V \ X is irreducible and of dimension p. By (2), ZI(V \ X) is of dimension p and ZI(V \ X) V. The irreducibility and the dimension of V imply that ZI(V \ X) = V.

De nition Suppose that X RPn is a totally real projective variety. A totally real r-cycle on X is a linear combination of irreducible totally real subvarieties of dimension rwith integral coecients. We say that a totally real cycle is elective if all of its coecients are positive. The complexication of a totally real cycle  $c = \sum_{i=0}^{n} n_i V_i$  is defined to be the complex cycle  $e = \sum_{i=0}^{n} n_i Z I(V_i)$  on  $X_C$  and the degree of a totally real cycle is the degree of its complexication.

R em ark The geom etric picture of an irreducible totally real subvariety is very dierent from the complex one. For instance, an irreducible totally real algebraic variety m ay not be connected which contrasts to the case of complex irreducible algebraic variety. A concrete example is the irreducible cubic curve in  $R^2$  given by the equation  $x^2 + y^2 - x^3 = 0$  which has two connected components and furtherm ore, two connected components have dierent dimensions.

De nition Suppose that  $X = RP^n$  is a totally real projective variety. Let  $RC_{p;d}(X)$  be the collection of all e ective totally real p-cycles of degree d on X.

We denote the Chow variety of elective p-cycles of degree d on X  $_{\text{C}}$  by  $C_{\text{p,d}}$  (X  $_{\text{C}}$ ) and denote the Chow monoid of p-cycles by  $C_{\text{p}}$  (X  $_{\text{C}}$ ) =  $C_{\text{p,d}}$  (X  $_{\text{C}}$ ). Let

$$K_{p;d}(X_C) = C_{p;d_1}(X_C) C_{p;d_2}(X_C) = C_{p;d_2}(X_C)$$

where the equivalence relation is de ned by (a;b) (c;d) if and only if a+d=b+c. We give each  $K_{p;d}(X_C)$  the quotient topology and since each C how variety is compact,  $K_{p;d}(X_C)$  is compact for all d. The group of p-cycles  $Z_p(X_C)$  is the G rothendieck group (naive group completion) of  $C_p(X_C)$  and from the ltration

$$K_{p;0}(X_C)$$
  $K_{p;1}(X_C)$   $\equiv (X_C);$ 

we give  $Z_p$  ( $X_C$ ) the weak topology de ned by this litration which means that a set W  $Z_p$  ( $X_C$ ) is closed if and only if W \  $X_{pxl}$  ( $X_C$ ) is closed for all d.

From Proposition 23, we see that  $RC_{p;d}(X)$  is mapped in jectively into  $C_{p;d}(X_C)$  by taking the complexication of totally real cycles. So from now on we identify  $RC_{p;d}(X)$  with its image in  $C_{p;d}(X_C)$ . Let

$$RC_p(X) = {a \atop RC_{p,d}(X)}$$

be the monoid formed by totally real p-cycles and denote its naive group completion by R Z  $_p$  (X). Endow R Z  $_p$  (X) with the subspace topology, then R Z  $_p$  (X) is a topological subgroup of Z  $_p$  (X  $_c$ ). We denote the closure of R Z  $_p$  (X) in Z  $_p$  (X  $_c$ ) by R Z  $_p$  (X).

# 3 A Proof of the Holom or phic Tay by Chow Forms

Suppose that  $V^r$   $P^n$  is a r-dimensional irreducible subvariety. For a hyperplane in  $P^n$ , it corresponds to a point \_ in  $P^n$  by taking its coecients. O by by by this correspondence is a bijection between the set of hyperplanes in  $P^n$  and  $P^n$ . Let C be the set consisting of (a; 0; ...; r) 2 V  $P^n$   $P^n$   $P^n$  here  $P^n$  are hyperplanes in  $P^n$  which meet  $P^n$  at a. The set  $P^n$  is an irreducible projective variety whose ideal is generated by

In (V); 
$$X^n$$
  $_{j=0}^{i}x_{j};8i=0;:::;r$ 

where  $\underline{a}=(a_0: :::: a_n)$  and  $\underline{i}=(\frac{i}{0}: :::: \frac{i}{n})$ . Project C to  $(P^n)^{r+1}$  we get a hypersurface. Thus there is an irreducible multihom ogenous form  $F_V(\underline{u}^0; :::: \underline{u}^r)$ , unique up to a constant, de nest hat hypersurface. The multihom ogeneous form  $F_V$  is called the C how form of V. From here we can see that:

Proposition 3.1. An irreducible multihom ogeneous polynom ial  $F(\underline{u}^0; :::; \underline{u}^r)$  of  $(\mathbb{P}^n)^{r+1}$  is the Chow form of an irreducible subvariety V  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if and only if it satis es the following property: for any (r+1) hyperplanes  $f(u)^n$ ;  $f(u)^n$ ;

Fix t2 Cnf0g. De ne' 
$$_{t}:P^{m+n+1}$$
!  $P^{m+n+1}$  by

$$'_{t}(y_{0}: ::: : y_{m} : x_{0}: ::: : x_{n}) = (ty_{0}: ::: : ty_{m} : x_{0}: ::: : x_{n}):$$

For V an irreducible subvariety of  $P^n$ , it is easy to see that ' $_t(V)$  is also an irreducible subvariety of  $P^n$ .

Let us de ne som e notation. For  $\underline{u}=(u_0: : : : u_{m+n+1})_p 2^{p^{m+n+1}}$ , denote  $\underline{t}\underline{u}=(tu_0: : : : tu_m: u_{m+1}: : : : pu_{m+n+1})$ . And for a hyperplane de ned by  $\lim_{i=0}^{m+n+1} t_i x_i = 0$ ,  $\lim_{i=0}^{m+n+1} t_i x_i = 0$ .

Lem m a 3.2. Suppose that  $F_V$  is the Chow form of a r-dim ensional irreducible subvariety V  $P^n$ . Then  ${}^tF_V$   $(\underline{u}^0; ...; \underline{u}^r) \models F_V$   $(\underline{u}^0; ...; \underline{u}^r)$  is the Chow form of  ${}'_t(V)$ .

Denition Suppose that Y  $P^m$ , W  $P^n$  are two projective varieties. The join of Y and W, denoted as Y # W, is dened to be (C (Y) C (W) 0) where  $:C^{m+n+2}$  fOg!  $P^{m+n+1}$  is the canonical quotient map. Thus Y # W is a projective subvariety of  $P^{m+n+1}$ . Dene Y W = f[y\_0:::::y\_m:w\_0:::::w\_n]j[y\_0:::::y\_m]2 Y; [w\_0:::::w\_n]2 W g = (C (Y) C (X)) where C (Y) = C (Y) 0. By abuse of notation, we will also use Y; W to denote Y 0; 0 W respectively as the images of the canonical embedding of Y and W in Y # W and then we have Y # W = Y [ W [ Y W . It is easy to see that the join of two irreducible projective varieties is again irreducible.

Lem m a 3.3. Let  $X = P^n$ ,  $Y = P^m$  be irreducible subvarieties and the dimension of Y is r. Suppose that V is a (k + r + 1)-dimensional irreducible subvariety of Y # X and V intersects X properly in X # Y. Then V = Y # W where  $W = X \setminus V$  if and only if

$${}^{t}F_{v} = t^{s}F_{v}$$

for somes 2 N.

Proof. Suppose that  ${}^tF_V = t^SF_V$  for some s 2 N. To show that V = Y # W where  $W = V \setminus X$ , we will rst claim that V = Y # W. Since  $V = V \setminus (Y - X) = (V \setminus Y) = (V \setminus X)$ ,  $V \setminus Y = Y \# W$  and  $V \setminus X = W$ , it su ces to show that  $V \setminus (Y - X)$  is in Y # W. For every point  $A = (Y_0 : :::: Y_m : X_0 : :::: : X_n) = (Y_0 : :::: : Y_m) = (Y_0 : :::: : X_n) =$ 

$$X^{m}$$
  $x^{m}$   $y^{m}$   $y^{m$ 

where i = 0; :::;k+r+1. This means that a  $2^{t-0} \setminus ::: \setminus^{t-k+r+1}$  which implies that  $F_V(^{t-0}; :::;^{t-k+r+1}) = 0$ . But

$$F_{V} \stackrel{\text{t}}{\_{}^{0}}; :::;^{\text{t}}_{\_}^{k+\,r+\,1}) = {}^{\text{t}}F_{V} \stackrel{\text{(}}{\_{}^{0}}; :::;^{\text{k}+\,r+\,1}) = {}^{\text{t}}F_{V} \stackrel{\text{(}}{\_{}^{0}}; :::;^{\text{k}+\,r+\,1})$$

therefore  $F_V$  ( $_0^0$ ; ::::;  $_n^{k+r+1}$ ) = 0. So b 2 V . Since those polynom ials de ning V vanish for in nitely many t, they must vanish for all t, so (ty $_0$ :::::ty $_m$ :x $_0$ ::::: $x_n$ ) 2 V for any t. Thus (0:::::0: $x_0$ ::::: $x_n$ ) 2 W , therefore b 2 Y # W . We then have V Y # W .

To show that they are the same, it is sulcient to show that W is irreducible, since V and Y # W have the same dimension and both of them are irreducible. Write W = W  $_1$  [  $_k$  What a union of irreducible components of W . Since Y # W = [ $_{i=1}^k$ Y # W  $_i$  and V Y # W , we have V Y # W  $_i$  for some i. But then 0 W  $_i$  V Y # W  $_i$  which implies that W  $_i$  W  $_i$  for all j. Thus W is irreducible.

Conversely, suppose that V=Y#W. Fix a nonzero t. We are going to show that  ${}^tF_V$  is also a Chow form of V. For a 2  $V\setminus {}^0\setminus {}^{k_1^+r+1}$ , since  ${}^{\frac{1}{t}}$  a 2  $V\setminus {}^tV^{k_1^+r+1}$ , therefore  $F_V({}^0;:::;^{k_1^+r+1})=0$  which means that  ${}^tF_V({}^0;:::;^{k_1^+r+1})=0$ .

Assume that for any (r+1) hyperplanes, if a is in the intersection of them implying  ${}^tF_V$  evaluating at the point dened by these (r+1) hyperplanes is 0. We need to show that a is in V.

Lem m a 3.4. Let X  $P^n$  be an irreducible subvariety and Y  $P^m$  be an irreducible r-dim ensional subvariety. Suppose that V is a (k+r+1)-dim ensional irreducible subvariety of Y # X and V intersects X properly in Y # X. If  ${}^tF_V = t^sF_V$  for some s, then s (r+1)d where d = degV.

Proof. By the dimension reason, we are able to take (r+1) hyperplanes p=1 by p

See [2] for the following lem ma:

Lem m a 3.5. For a (k+r+1)-cycle c with degree d in  $P^m$  ,  $F_c(Au) = (\det A)^d F_c(y)$  where  $A = [a_{ij}] 2 GL(k+r+2;C)$  and  $Au = (\underline{u}_0^0; \dots; \underline{u}_{k+r+1}^0)$  where  $u = (\underline{u}_0; \dots; \underline{u}_{k+r+1})$  and  $\underline{u}_i^0 = \underbrace{k+r+1}_{j=0}^{k+r+1} a_{ij}\underline{u}_j$ .

C orollary 3.6. Suppose that V is a (r + k + 1)-dim ensional irreducible subvariety of  $P^r \# X$  where  $X = P^n$ . Then as a polynom ial in t, the degree of  ${}^tF_V$  is less than or equal to (r + 1)d.

We can nd a matrix A 2 GL (r + k + 2;C) such that

$$Au = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & 1 \\ t & 0 & & & 0 & \\ 0 & & \ddots & & & C \\ & & 0 & & t & \\ & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

is in the reduced row-echelon form where  ${}^0_i$ 2 C for i=0; ...; r. Since  $F_V$  is a degree d hom ogeneous polynom ial in each group of variables,  $F_V$  (Au) as a polynom ial in that degree less than or equal to (r+1)d. The conclusion now follows from Lemma 3.5.

From this Corollary and Lemma 3.3, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.7. Suppose that V is an irreducible subvariety of  $P^r \# X$  of degree d and X is an irreducible subvariety of  $P^n$ . If V meets X properly in  $P^r \# X$  then  $V = P^r \# W$  for  $W = V \setminus X$  if and only if  ${}^tF_V = t^{(r+1)d}F_V$ .

De nition Let X be a projective variety. The suspension =X of X is de ned to be P  $^0\,\sharp\, X$  . The suspension induces a m ap = :Z\_k (X ) ! Z\_{k+1} (=X ) from the space of k-cycles on X to the space of (k+1)-cycles on =X . Let T\_{k+1;d}^+ (=X ) be the open subset of C\_{k+1;d} (=X ) where each V 2 T\_{k+1;d}^+ (=X ) intersects X , =X properly in =X . Let T\_{k+1} (=X ) be the naive group completion of the monoid  $T_{k+1}^+ (=X ) = \frac{1}{d} T_{k+1;d}^+ (=X )$  and Z\_k (X ) be the space of all k-cycles on X . Then  $T_{k+1} (=X )$  is a topological abelian subgroup of Z\_{k+1} (=X ).

Lem m a 3.8. Suppose that  $c = P_{i=1}^m n_i V_i 2 T_{k+1;d}^+ (=X)$ . Then c is in the image of = if and only if  ${}^tF_c = t^dF_c$ .

Proof. If c is in the image of = , then each  $V_i$  is in the image of = , thus by Theorem 3.7,  ${}^tF_{V_i}$  =  $t^{d_i}F_{V_i}$  where  $d_i$  is the degree of  $V_i$ . Then

$${}^{t}F_{c} = {}^{m}{}_{i=1} ({}^{t}F_{V_{i}}^{n_{i}}) = {}^{m}{}_{i=1} t^{d_{i}}F_{V_{i}}^{n_{i}} = t^{d}F_{c}$$
:

On the other hand, if  ${}^tF_c = t^dF_c$ , then  ${}^tF_{V_i} = t^{a_i}F_{V_i}$  for some  $a_i$ , thus  ${}^P_{i=1} n_i a_i = d = {}^P_{i=1} n_i d_i$ . Since  $V_i$  intersects X properly in =X , by Lemma 3.4,  $a_i$   $d_i$  for all i, so we have  $a_i = d_i$  for each i. Therefore by Theorem 3.7 c is in the image of = .

Let us note that if V 2  $T_{k+1;d}^+$  (=X ), we may write  ${}^tF_V = g_{d,V} t^d + g_$ 

Theorem 3.9. =  $C_{k,d}(X)$  is a strong deformation retract of  $T_{k+1,d}^+(=X)$ .

Proof. De ne

$$sd:T_{k+1;d} \in X$$
) ! =  $C_{k;d}(X)$ 

by  $sd(F_c) = g_{d;c}$  and from Lemma 3.8, we have

$$sdj_{C_{k-d}(X)} = id$$
:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Since}^{\frac{1}{t}}F_c = t^{-d}(g_{d;c} + g_{d-1;c}t + & \text{$f_{;c}$t}^d), \text{ thus } g_{d;c} + g_{d-1;c}t + & \text{$f_{;c}$t}^d \text{ is the Chow form } \\ \text{of } \prime_{\frac{1}{t}}(c) \text{ 2 } T_{k+1;d} \Leftarrow X \text{ ). De ne H}_{-d}: T_{k+1;d} \Leftarrow X \text{ )} & \text{C !} & T_{k+1;d} \Leftarrow X \text{ )} \text{ by} \end{array}$ 

$$H_d(c;t) = g_{d;c} + f_{;c} t^{d-1} + g_{0;c} t^{d}$$

then H is a regular mapping. Since H  $_{\rm d}$  (c;1) = id, H  $_{\rm d}$  (c;0) = sd, H  $_{\rm d}$  (c;t) = cforc2 = C  $_{\rm k;d}$  (X);8t2 C, thus = C  $_{\rm k;d}$  (X) is a strong deform ation retract of T  $_{\rm k+1;d}$  ( $\neq$ X).

Corollary 3.10. =  $Z_k(X)$  is a deformation retract of  $T_{k+1}(X)$ .

Proof. Let  $H: T_{k+1}^+ (= X)$   $T_{k+1}^+ (= X)$ !  $T_{k+1}^+ (= X)$   $T_{k+1}^+ (= X)$  be defined by  $H(x;y;t) = H_{d_1}(x;t)$   $H_{d_2}(y;t)$  where  $d_1;d_2$  are the degree of x;y respectively so H is continuous. We observe that since  $F_{x+z} = F_x$   $F_x$ , we have  $H_d(x+y;t) = H_{d_1}(x;t)H_{d_2}(y;t)$  where  $d = d_1 + d_2$ . If f(x;y) f(x;y), i.e. f(x;y) i.e. f(x;y) f(x;y

$$H : T_{k+1} = X$$
)  $C ! T_{k+1} = X$ )

with the properties: H (c;1) = c; H (c;0) 2 =  $Z_k$  (X ); H (c;t) = c for all c 2 =  $Z_k$  (X ). Thus =  $Z_k$  (X ) is a strong deform ation retract of  $T_{k+1} 
otin X$  ).

# 4 The Holomorphic Tay for totally real cycles

Suppose that X RPn is a totally real projective variety. Let  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ) =  $T_{p+1} 
otin X$  c) \  $RZ_{p+1} 
otin X$  ),  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ) be the closure of  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  c), and  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ). Let  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  define a totally real projective variety. Let  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ) be the collection of all elective cycles in  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ) and define a totally real projective variety. Let  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ) be the collection of all elective cycles in  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ) and define a totally real projective variety. Let  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ) be the collection of all elective cycles in  $RT_{p+1} 
otin X$  ) and define a totally real projective variety.

$$SC_{p,d}(X) = fc \quad P^n \dot{j}_C 2 \overline{RT}_{p+1,d}^+ (=X) g$$
:

Therefore  $RZ_p(X)$  is a subgroup of  $SZ_p(X)$ . Let  $SZ_p(X)$  be the naive group completion of the monoid  $SC_p(X) = {}_{d=0} SC_{pxl}(X)$ :

Let  $H_d: T_{p+1;d} \not \in X$  ) C!  $T_{p+1;d} \not \in X$  ) be the deform ation retraction de ned in Theorem 3.9. We have the following result:

Theorem 4.1. The restriction of H  $_{d}$  to  $\overline{RT}_{p+1;d}^{+} \leftarrow X$ ) R is a strong deformation retraction of  $\overline{RT}_{p+1;d}^{+} \leftarrow X$ ) onto =  $SC_{p;d}(X)$ .

Proof. For c 2 RT $_{p+1;d}^+$  ( $\neq$ X ), '  $_t$  (c) 2 RT $_{p+1;d}$  ( $\neq$ X ) for t 2 Rnf0g. So '  $_0$  (c) 2 RT $_{p+1;d}$  ( $\neq$ X ). Therefore '  $_t$  (c) 2 RT $_{p+1;d}$  ( $\neq$ X ) for c 2 RT $_{p+1;d}$  ( $\neq$ X ). We have H  $_d$  (c;0) = =(c P<sup>n</sup>) which by de nition is in = SC $_{p;d}$  (X ).

C orollary 4.2. =  $SZ_p(X)$  is a strong deform ation retract of  $\overline{RT}_{p+1} \leftarrow X$ ).

Proof. From the similar analysis as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, the restriction of the map H in C orollary 3.10 is a strong deformation retraction of  $\overline{RT}_{p+1}$  (=X) onto  $=SZ_p(X)$ .

# 5 Critical polynom ials

De nition Suppose that f 2 R [k] is a real polynom ial of degree n. We do not a sequence of real polynom ials  $(f_0; f_1; ...; f_k)$  as following: let  $f_0 = f$ ,  $f_1 = f^0$  be the derivative of f, then by using the Euclidean algorithm, we can not  $q_i; f_i \ge R$  [k] such that  $f_0 = q_1 f_1$   $f_2, f_1 = q_2 f_2$   $f_3$ , ...,  $f_{k-1} = q_k f_k$  0 and  $f_{k-1} \notin$  0 where  $degf_{i+1} < degf_i$  for all i = 1; ...; k 1. The sequence  $(f_0; f_1; ...; f_k)$  is called the Sturm sequence of f.

Given a sequence of real numbers  $s = (a_1; ...; a_n)$ , if each  $a_i$  is not zero, we do not the number of sign changes of s to be the number  $sgn(s) = jfa_i ja_i a_{i+1} < 0gj$ . If  $som e a_i$  are zeros, deleting all the zeros to get a new sequence  $s^0$  and we do no  $sgn(s) = sgn(s^0)$ .

The following Sturm Theorem can be found in [3], Corollary 1.2.10.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that  $f \in R[k]$  is a real polynomial of degree n and let  $s = (f_0; :::; f_k)$  be the Sturm sequence of f. For a 2 R, de ne  $sgnf_a = sgn(f_0(a); :::; f_k(a))$ . Then the number of distinct real roots of f in the interval (a;b) R is equal to  $sgnf_a = sgnf_b$ .

C orollary 5.2. The number of distinct real roots of a real polynomial is  $\lim_{a \to 1} (\operatorname{sgnf}_a \operatorname{sgnf}_a)$ .

De nition For a monom ial  $a_1^{i_1} ::: a_n^{i_n} \ge R [a_1; :::; a_n]$ , we de ne its substituted hom ogeneous degree (shd) to be

shd 
$$(a_1^{i_1} ::: a_n^{i_n}) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^n} j \quad ji \ge N$$
:

A polynom  $\operatorname{ialp}_i(a_1; :::; a_n) = P$   $c_{i_1}; ::::; a_n a_1^{i_1} ::: a_n^{i_n} \ 2 \ R \ [a_1; :::; a_n] \ is called a substitutable hom ogeneous polynom ial if all the term <math>s \ a_1^{i_1} ::: a_n^{i_n} \ have the same substituted hom ogeneous degree. For a rational function <math>\frac{p(a_1; ::::; a_n)}{q(a_1; ::::; a_n)}$ , we say that it is a substitutable hom ogeneous rational function if there exist two substitutable hom ogeneous polynom ials  $p_1$ ,  $q_1$  in  $R \ [a_1; ::::; a_n]$  such that  $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$ . We do not the substituted hom ogeneous polynom ial degree of  $\frac{p}{q}$  to be  $\operatorname{shd}(\frac{p}{q}) = \operatorname{shd}(p_1)$   $\operatorname{shd}(q_1)$ .

If  $\frac{p_1}{q_1} = \frac{p_2}{q_2}$  where  $p_1$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $q_2$  are all substitutable hom ogeneous polynom ials then  $shdp_1 + shdq_2 = shdp_2 + shdq_1$ . Therefore  $shdp_1 = shdp_2 - shdq_2$ . So we know that the shd for a substitutable hom ogenous rational functions is well-de ned.

The following is a straight forward calculation.

Proposition 5.3. Suppose that  $f(a_1; :::; a_n)$  is a substitutable hom ogenous polynomial, and  $g_i(x_1; ::; x_m)$  is a hom ogeneous polynomial of degree i for i = 1; :::; n, then

$$f(g_1(x_1; ...; x_m); ...; g_n(x_1; ...; x_m))$$

is a homogeneous polynomial in  $x_1; :::; x_m$  with degree shd(f).

De nition Let  $A(x) = p_0(a_1; ...; a_n)x^d + \frac{1}{d}(p_1; ...; a_n)$ ,  $B(x) = q_0(a_1; ...; a_n)x^{d-1} + q_{d-1}(a_1; ...; a_n)$  where  $p_i(a_1; ...; a_n)$ ,  $q_i(a_1; ...; a_n)$  are all substitutable hom ogeneous rational functions for i = 0; ...; d and j = 0; ...; d 1. If

- 1.  $shdq_i = c a constant, for i = 0; ...; d 1$
- 2.  $shdp_i = i + shdp_0$ , for i = 0; ...; d

then (A; B) is called a substitutable pair.

P roposition 5.4. Let A (x), B (x) as above and (A;B) a substitutable pair. By doing the Euclidean algorithm, we may express A (x) = B (x)D (x) + C (x) where degC (x) < degB (x). Then (B;C) is also a substitutable pair.

Proof. Let  $r_{i=2} = \frac{p_i q_0^2 - p_0 q_0 q_i - p_1 q_0 q_{i-1} + p_0 q_1 q_{i-1}}{q_0^2}$  for i=2; ...; d=1 and  $r_{d=2} = \frac{p_d q_0^2 - p_1 q_0 q_{i-1} + p_0 q_1 q_{i-1}}{q_0^2}$ . From the Euclidean algorithm, we get  $C(x) = r_0(a_1; ...; a_n) x^{d-2} + a_1 (a_1; ...; a_n)$ . And it is easy to check that  $r_i(a_1; ...; a_n)$  is a substitutable hom ogeneous rational function with  $shd(r_i) = shd(p_{i+2})$  for i=0; ...; d=2. Since  $shd(r_i) = shd(q_i) = shd(p_{i+2})$   $shd(q_i) = 2$  C, for all i=0; ...; d=2 and  $shd(q_i) = i+shd(q_0)$ , for all i=0; ...; d=1, thus (B;C) is a substitutable pair.

With all the work above, we can show that any consecutive term of the Sturm Sequence of a polynomial is a substitutable pair.

Proposition 5.5. Suppose that  $f(x) = x^d + a_1 x^{d-1} + a_1 x^{d-1} + a_2 x^{d-1} + a_3 x^{d-1} + a_4 x^{d-1} + a_4 x^{d-1} + a_5 x^{d-1} +$ 

Proof. Suppose that  $(f_0; f_1; \dots; f_d)$  is the Sturm sequence of f. By Proposition 5.5, the leading coe cient of  $f_i$  is a substitutable rational function which can be expressed as  $\frac{F_i(a_1; \dots; a_n)}{(w_i(a_1; \dots; a_n))^2}$  where  $F_i$  and  $w_i$  are substitutable hom ogeneous polynom ials. Considering the case for d being even and odd separately by taking x to be very negative, the Sturm Theorem says that f has d distinct real roots if and only if

8 
$$F_2(a_1; ...; a_n) > 0$$
  $F_d(a_1; ...; a_n) > 0$ 

We call  $F_2$ ; :::; $F_d$  the critical polynom ials for degree d polynom ials.

For a real polynom ial f(x) 2 R [x] of degree d with leading coe cient 1, we identify it with a point in  $R^d$  by taking its coe cients as coordinates.

C orollary 5.7. The set  $S_n = f(a_1; :::; a_n) 2 R^n j x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + :::+ a_n = 0$  has n distinct real roots g is an open sem i-algebraic set of  $R^n$ .

## 6 Magic Fan

De nition A homogeneous polynomial  $f(x_1; ...; x_n)$  is said to be positive if  $f(x_1; ...; x_n) > 0$  for all  $(x_1; ...; x_n) \ge R^n$  fog.

Let us designate the set of all e ective divisors of degree d in P  $^n$  de ned by real hom ogenous polynom ials by D  $iv_{n,d}$ . By using Veronese embedding, we can identify D  $iv_{n,d}$  with RP  $\binom{f+d}{d}$  and topologize it with the standard topology of RP  $\binom{f+d}{d}$  . We often abuse a hom ogenous polynom ial with the e ective divisor de ned by it in a projective space.

De nition Let Div $_{n;d}^0$  be the collection of e ective divisors of degree dof P  $^{n+1}$  de ned by homogeneous polynomials in the form

$$G (x_0; :::; x_n) = x_0^d + X_{i_0 + ::::+ i_n = d \atop i_0 < d} c_{i_0; :::;i_n} x_0^{i_0} \qquad {i_n \atop n} x_0^{i_0}$$

where  $c_{i_0;::::;i_n}$  2 R:Since D  $iv_{n;d}^0$  is the set of all divisors which do not contain [1:0: :0],  $l_{n;d}^0$  is an open subset of D  $iv_{n;d}$ .

De nition Let  $E_{n,d}$  be the subset of D  $iv_{n,d}^0$  consisting of all  $G(x_0; :::; x_n)$  such that for any  $(x_1; :::; x_n) \ge R^n$  fog, as a polynom ial in  $x_0$ ,  $G(x_0; :::; x_n)$  has d distinct real roots.

Example It is obvious that  $G(x_0; ...; x_n) = (x_0^2 (x_1^2 + ... + x_n^2))$   $\binom{2}{0} (x d(x_1^2 + ... + x_n^2))$  is in  $E_{n;2d}$  and  $H(x_0; ...; x_n) = x_0G(x_0; ...; x_n)$  is in  $E_{n;2d+1}$ . Thus  $E_{n;d}$  is not empty.

Our goal here is to show that E  $_{n \not : d}$  is an open subset of D  $iv_{n \not : d}$ . It su ces to show that E  $_{n \not : d}$  is an open subset of D  $iv_{n \not : d}^0$ . For any G in E  $_{n \not : d}$ , we need to nd an > 0 such that if  $\dot{j}_{i_0}^0$ ,...; $_{i_n}$   $c_{i_0}$ ,...; $_{i_n}$   $j < for any <math>i_0$ ; ...; $i_n$  where  $c_{i_0}^0$ ,...; $i_n$  are coe cients of the term  $x \stackrel{i_0}{0} = \stackrel{i_n}{n}$  wo f G  $^0$  and G respectively, then G  $^0$  is in E  $_{n \not : d}$ .

Proof. Consider H  $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{s^{n-1}}$ , the restriction of H to the unit sphere in R  $^n$ . Let be the minimum value of H  $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{s^{n-1}}$ , thus  $_{p} > 0$ . Let M  $= \frac{n+k-1}{k}$  and  $= \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{M}$ . Then for any  $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{i_1}^0$ , ...;  $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{i_1}$   $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{i_2}$ , ...;  $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{i_1}$   $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{i_2}$   $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{i_2}$   $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{i_1}$   $\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{i_2}$   $\dot{\mathbf{j}$ 

Lem m a 6.2.  $E_{n,d}$  is an open subset of D  $iv_{n,d}$ .

Proof. We are going to show that  $E_{n;d}$  is an open subset of D  $iv_{n;d}^0$ . Let  $F_j$ , j=2; ...; d be the critical polynom ials of degree d polynom ials and  $k_j$  be the substituted hom ogeneous degree of  $F_j$ . Suppose that

Then  $F_j(p_1(x_1;...;x_n);...;p_d(x_1;...;x_n)) = P_{r_1+\dots r_n \mapsto r_k_j} t_{r_1;...;r_n} x_1^{r_1} \dots r_n^{r_n} \text{ xis a positive hom ogeneous polynom ial.}$  By Lemma above, there is an > 0 such that if  $f_{r_1;...;r_n}^0 t_{r_1;...;r_n} t_{r_1;...;r_n$ 

$$\begin{split} G^{0}(x_{0}; ...; x_{n}) &= x_{0}^{d} + q_{1}(x_{1}; ...; x_{n})x_{0}^{d}^{1} + d(x_{1}; ...; x_{n}) \\ &= x_{0}^{d} + X \\ &= x_{0}^{d} + c_{10}^{0} + c_{10}^$$

Considering G  $^{0}$  as a polynom ial in  $\mathbf{x}_{0}$  and write the critical polynom ial

$$F_{j}\left(q_{1}\left(x_{1};...;x_{n}\right);...;q_{d}\left(x_{1};...;x_{n}\right)\right) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \\ \\ r_{1}+ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} t_{r_{1};...;r_{n}}^{0}x_{1}^{r_{1}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} r_{n} \\ \\ \end{array} x$$

Then  $t^0_{r_1,\ldots,r_n}$  is a polynom ial in variables  $fc^0_{i_0,\ldots,i_n}$  ji $_0$  +  $_n$ +=i  $k_j$ ;  $i_0$  < dg. Thus there is a > 0 such that if  $j^0_{i_0,\ldots,i_n}$   $c_{i_0,\ldots,i_n}$  j< , then  $j^0_{i_0,\ldots,i_n}$   $t_{i_0,\ldots,i_n}$  j< and by above assumption

$$F_i(q_1(x_1; ...; x_n); ...; q_i(x_1; ...; x_n)) > 0$$

for all  $(x_1; ...; x_n)$  2  $\mathbb{R}^n$  flog. Therefore by Theorem 5.6,  $\mathbb{G}^0(x_0; ...; x_n)$  has d distinct real roots for all  $(x_1; ...; x_n)$  2  $\mathbb{R}^n$  flog. Thus  $\mathbb{E}_{n, rd}$  is open in D  $\mathrm{iv}_{n, rd}^0$ .

For

$$f(x_0; :::; x_n) = x_0^d + X a_{i_0 + \dots + n^{i_0 + d} \atop i_0 < d} x_0^{i_0} \qquad a_{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} & x_0^{i_0} \\ & x_0^{i_0} & x_0$$

let

$$f_t(x_0; ...; x_n) = t^d f(\frac{1}{t}x_0; x_1; ...; x_n)$$

and denote tD to be the divisor in  $P^n$  determ ined by  $f_t$  where D is the divisor determ ined by f. We use 0D to denote deg (D)  $\mathring{P}^{-1}$ , the hyperplane which has the same degree as D's, and from the limiting process as tapproaches 0, we denote  $f_0 = x_0^d$ .

De nition Let D  $iv_{n;d}^{0}$  be the subset of  $E_{n;d}$  consisting all f such that  $f_t(1;1;0;:::;0) \in 0$  for all t2 (0;1].

P roposition 6.3. D  $iv_{n,d}^{0}$  is an open subset of D  $iv_{n,d}$ .

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \text{P mof. Let } f\left(x_{0}\text{; :::;}x_{n}\right) = x_{0}^{d} + \overset{P}{\underset{\substack{i_{0}+\dots+n^{i}=d\\i_{0}< d}}{P}} x_{0}^{i_{0}} + \overset{i_{n}}{\underset{\substack{i_{0}+\dots+n^{i}=d\\i_{0}< d}}{P}} x_{0}^{i_{0}} + \overset{i_{n}}{\underset{\substack{i_{0}+\dots+n^{i}=d}}{P}} x_{0}^{i_{0}} + \overset{i_{n}}{\underset{\substack{i$$

for t2 (0;1]. Therefore, if g is close enough to f, g is in D  $iv_{n,d}^{0}$ .

De nition Let  $O_{P^{n+2}}$  (d)<sub>R</sub> denote the projectization of the set of real hom ogeneous polynomials of degree d in (n+3) variables. Let c be a real r-cycle on  $P^{n+2}$  and let  $O_c$  (d)<sub>R</sub> be the set of polynomials in  $O_{P^{n+2}}$  (d)<sub>R</sub> restricted to the support of c. For example,  $O_{P^r}$  (d)<sub>R</sub> is the set of all real hom ogeneous polynomials over  $P^r$  with degree less than or equal to d. Therefore, dim  ${}_RO_{P^r}$  (d)<sub>R</sub> =  ${}^{r+d+1}_{d}$  1.

Let  $x_1 = (1:0: ::::0)$ ;  $x_{11} = (1:1:0: ::::0)$  be two points in  $P^{n+2}$ . For an elective real r-cycle c on X  $P^n$ , let  $_{n+2;d}$  (c) be the subset of  $O_{P^{n+2}}$  (d) $_R$  consisting of real divisors D such that  $x_{11} \# P^n$  contains an irreducible component of  $(x_1 \# C) \setminus D$ , or equivalently, D contains an irreducible component of  $(x_{11} \# P^n) \setminus (x_1 \# C)$ .

Lem m a 6.4. The real codim ension codim  $_R$  (  $_{n+2;d}$  (c)) of  $_{n+2;d}$  (c) is greater or equal to  $_{d}^{r+d+1}$  1.

Proof. Let V be a real irreducible component of c. Take a real linear subspace L of dimension n+1 r in  $P^{n+2}$  which does not intersect with V. We may assume that the projection dened by L is  $: P^{n+2}$  L !  $P^r$  and thus  $(V) = P^r$ . If f is a real homogenous polynom ial of degree d dened over  $P^{n+2}$  and  $f_{P^r}$  is not the zero polynom ial, then we may dene  $g(x_0; ...; x_{n+2}) = f(x_0; ...; x_r; 0; ...; 0)$  which is not a zero polynom ial on V. Therefore,  $codim_R(n+2;d(V)) = codim_R(n+2;d(V)) = codim_R(n)$  dim  $codim_R(n)$   $codim_R(n)$  codi

De nition Suppose that c is a real r-cycle on  $P^{n+2}$ . De ne  $\binom{0}{n+2}$  (c) =  $\binom{0}{n+2}$  (c) \ D  $iv_{n+2}^{0}$  (c)

Corollary 6.5. 
$$\operatorname{codim}_{R} \, _{n+2;d} \, \overset{r+d+1}{d} \, 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.3, D  $iv_{n+2;d}^{0}$  is an open subset of D  $iv_{n+2;d}$ , thus we have codim  $e^{0}_{n+2;d}$  (c)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (d)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (e)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (f)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (f)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (g)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (g)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (g)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (h)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (g)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{n+2;d}$  (h)  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}$ 

For a real projective variety  $V = P^n$ , we use  $Re(V) = RP^n$  to denote the set of real points of V.

Lem m a 6.6. Let  $f_j(x_0; :::; x_n) = P_{i=0}^n a_i x_i 2 R [x_0; :::; x_n]$ , for j=0; :::; m and de ne a projection  $:P^n L ! P^m$  by  $= (f_0; :::; f_m)$  where L is the linear subspace de ned by  $f_0; :::; f_m$ . If  $V P^n L$  is an irreducible projective variety of dimension p and dim  $_R R e(V) = p$ , then dim  $_R R e(V) = p$ . In other words, if V is the complexication of R e(V), then (V) is the complexication of R e(V).

Proof. Assume that  $\dim_R Re((V)) < p$ . Let W be the complexication of Re((V)) then W ((V). Each component of is a real linear function, thus (Re(V)) Re((V)) W. From here we have Re(V)  $^1(W) \setminus V$ . Since W is a proper subset of (V), thus  $^1(W) \setminus V$  (V. But  $^1(W) \setminus V$  is a proper subvariety of V and contains Re(V), so V is not the complexication of Re(V). This contradicts to the assumption.

Suppose that X  $P^n$  is a real projective variety. For t 2 C, c 2  $Z_{r+1} 
otin X$ ) and D 2 D iv  $_{n+2;d}^0$  (c), de ne  $_{tD}$  (c) =  $_1$  (c) =  $_1$  (c) tD) where  $_1:P^{n+2}$   $x_{11}$ !  $P^{n+2}$  is the projection with center  $x_{11}$  and = is the map induced by joining with  $x_1$ . Let  $_1:P^{n+2}$   $x_1$ !  $P^{n+1}$  be the projection with center  $x_1$ .

Lem m a 6.7. Suppose that X  $P^n$  is a real projective variety and c 2 R Z  $_{r+1;e} \leftarrow X$  ). For D 2 D iv $_{n+2;d}^{0}$  (c),  $_{tD}$  (c) 2 R Z  $_{r+1;de} \leftarrow X$  ) for t 2 [0;1].

Proof. Let V be an irreducible component of  $(=c) \setminus D$  where t2 [0;1] and Z = (V). So Z is an irreducible component of the support of c and therefore  $\dim_R R e(X) = r + 1$ . Since D 2 D  $iv_{n=2\chi l}^{(0)}$ , for any real point q 2 R e(Z),  $(x_1 \# q) \setminus V$  are some real points, thus  $(=c) \cdot R e(X) = R e(X)$ . But

$$r+1$$
  $\dim_R Re(V)$   $\dim_R 1$   $(Re(V)) = \dim_R Re(Z) = r+1$ 

thus V is the complexication of Re(V) and by the Lemma above,  $_1$  (V) is the complexication of Re( $_1$  (V)).

Proposition 6.8. Let X RP<sup>n</sup> be a totally real projective variety and K  $\overline{RZ}_{r+1;e} \Leftarrow X$ ) be a compact subset. De ne  $_{n+2;d}$  (K) =  $[_{Z\,2\,K} \quad _{n+2;d}$  (Z). If  $_{d}^{r+d+1} > dim_{R}$  (K) + 1, then there exists D 2 D  $iv_{n+2;d}^{0}$  and a continuous map

$$_{\text{tD}}$$
 :K !  $\overline{\text{RZ}}_{\text{r+1;de}} \leftarrow \text{X}$  ) for t2 [0;1];

de ned by

$$_{\text{tD}}$$
 (Z) =  $_{1}$  ( $\in$  Z)  $\oplus$  D)

with the following properties:

- 1.  $_{0D}$  (Z) = d Z
- 2.  $_{tD}$  (K)  $\overline{RT}_{r+1;de} (=X)$  for t2 (0;1]:

Proof. From Lemma 6.4 and the assumption, we have codim  $_R$   $_{n+2;d}^0$  (K) = maxfcodim  $_R$   $_{n+2;d}^0$  (Z) Z 2 K g dim  $_R$  (K) >  $_d^{r+d+1}$  1 dim  $_R$  (K) > 0. Therefore we can ndaD 2 Div  $_{n+2;d}^{00}$  which is not in  $_{n+2;d}^{00}$  (K). From Proposition 3.5 in [5], we know that the map

$$tD$$
 :K !  $Z_{r+1;de} \leftarrow X$  ) R;

de ned by

$$_{\text{tD}}$$
 (Z) =  $_{1}$  ( $\in$  Z  $_{\text{tD}}$ )

is continuous and  $_{tD}$  (Z) meets X properly in =X . Now let us show that the image of is actually contained in  $\overline{RZ}_{r+1;cle} \Leftarrow X$ ). By Lem m a 6.7, for any Z 2 K \ RZ  $_{r+1;cle} \Leftarrow X$ ),  $_{tD}$  (Z) 2 RT  $_{r+1;cle} \Leftarrow X$ ) for t2 (0;1]. Therefore, if Z 2 RZ  $_{r+1;ele} \Rightarrow X$ ),  $_{tD}$  (Z) 2 RT  $_{r+1;ele} \Rightarrow X$ ).

Recall that the topology of  $Z_{r+1} (= X_C)_R$  is de ned from a compactly litered litration (see [10], page 8)

$$K_{r+1;1} \leftarrow X_C)_R \qquad K_{r+1;2} \leftarrow X_C)_R \qquad =_{r+1;2} \leftarrow X_C)_R$$

by the weak topology. And by Lem m a 2.2 of [10], this litration is locally compact which means that for a compact set K  $Z_{r+1} \models X_C)_R$ , there is a number k such that K  $K_{r+1;k} \models X_C$ . Therefore the litration formed by RK  $_{r+1;d} \models X$ ) = K  $_{r+1;d} \models X_C)_R \setminus \overline{RZ}_{r+1} \models X$ ) of  $\overline{RZ}_{r+1} \models X$ ) is locally compact.

Theorem 6.9. The inclusion map  $i: \overline{RT}_{r+1} \not\in X$ ) !  $\overline{RZ}_{r+1} \not\in X$ ) is a weak hom otopy equivalence. Proof. For surjectivity, consider a continuous map  $f: S^m$ !  $\overline{RZ}_{r+1} \not\in X$ ), then  $f(S^m) = RK_{r+1;e} \not\in X$ ) for some e. By Proposition 6.8, for d large enough, there is a map

$$_{\text{tD}}$$
 :K !  $\overline{\text{RZ}}_{\text{r+1;de}} \leftarrow X$  ) fort2 [0;1]

which is a hom otopy between the map d f and  $g = {}_{1D}$  (f). Thus  $i(g_{d+1}] \underline{g}_{d}$ ) = [f]. For injectivity, consider a continuous map of pair  $f:(D^{m+1};S^m)$ !  $(RZ_{r+1}(=X);RT_{r+1}(=X))$  which is nullhom otopic. Take d large enough and then by Proposition 6.8, we have a map  ${}_{tD}:(f(D^{m+1});f(S^m))$ !  $(RZ_{r+1}(=X);RT_{r+1}(=X))$  which is a hom otopy between  ${}_{0D}(f)=d$  f and  $g_d={}_{1D}(f)$ . So  $g_d$  is nullhom otopic. Then  $i(g_d)=0$  and  $i(g_{d+1})=[g_d]$ 0 = [f]=0.

Combining this Theorem with Corollary 42, we get

Theorem 6.10. Suppose that  $X = RP^n$  is a totally real projective variety. The suspension map  $= :SZ_r(X) : \overline{RZ}_{r+1} \neq X$ ) induces a weak hom otopy equivalence.

Example

$$\overline{RZ}_1$$
 ( $RP^{n+1}$ ) is weak hom otopy equivalent to  $X^n$   $Y^i$   $X^j$   $X^j$   $X^j$   $Y^j$   $Y^j$ 

w here

$$S_{<0}$$
; if j is odd or j > i;  $S_{i;j} = S_{i;j} = S_$ 

and K (Ii; i+ j) is the Eilenberg-M ac Lane space.

Proof. For any real cycle c 2  $Z_0(\mathbb{P}^n)_R$ , we can nd a cycle  $c^0$  2  $RT_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$  such that  $c=c^0$   $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Therefore  $SZ_0(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n)=Z_0(\mathbb{P}^n)_R$ . W ith the Theorem above, the conclusion now follows from Theorem 3.3 in [7].

A cknow ledgem ents The author thanks Blaine Law son for his patience in listening to the proof and his guidance for the author's graduate study in Stony Brook.

## R eferences

- [1] S.Akbulut, H.King, The Topology of Real Algebraic Sets, L'Enseignement Math., 29 (1983), 221-261.
- [2] A. Andreotti, F. Norguet, La convexite holomorphe dans l'espace analytique des cycles d'une variete algebrique, Ann. Scuola Nom. Sup. Pisa, 21 (1967), 31-82.
- [3] J.Bochnak, M. Coste and M. F. Roy, Real Algebraic Geometry, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgeb. Folge 3, Vol. 36, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, Springer, 1998.
- [4] M.Ben-Or, Lower bounds for algebraic computation tress, Proc. 15th ACM Annual Symp.on Theory of Comput., 80-86 (1983).
- [5] E. Friedlander, A lgebraic cocycles, Chow varieties, and Lawson homology, Compositio Math. 77 (1991), 55-93.
- [6] H.B. Lawson, Algebraic cycles and hom otopy theory, Annals of Math. 129 (1989), 253-291.
- [7] H.B. Lawson, P. Lima-Filho, M. Michelsohn, Algebraic cycles and the classical groups I: real cycles, Topology, 42, (2003) 467–506.
- [8] J.M ilnor, On the Betti numbers of real varieties, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 275-280.
- [9] P. Samuel, Methodes d'algebre abstraite en geometrie algebrique, Ergebnisse der math., Springer-Verlag, 1955.
- [10] J.H. Teh, A homology and cohomology for real projective varieties, Preprint in arX iv.org, math AG/0508238.
- [11] J.H. Teh, Harmack-Thom Theorem for higher cycle groups, Preprint in arX iv.org, m ath AG /0509149.
- [12] R. Thom, Sur I'hom ologie des varietes algebriques reelles, Di erential and combinatorial topology (A symposium in honor of Marston Morse), University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965, 255-265.