

A homology and cohomology theory for real projective varieties

Jyh-Haur Teh

National Center for Theoretical Sciences Mathematics Division
Third General Building, Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, 30043, Taiwan

Abstract

In this paper we develop homology and cohomology theories which play the same role for real projective varieties that Lawson homology and morphic cohomology play for projective varieties respectively. They have nice properties such as the existence of long exact sequences, the homotopy invariance, the Lawson suspension property, the homotopy property for bundle projection, the splitting principle, the cup product, the slant product and the natural transformations to singular theories. The Friedlander-Lawson Moving Lemma is used to prove a duality theorem between these two theories.

MSC: Primary 14C25 Secondary 14P25

Keywords: Lawson homology; Morphic cohomology; Real projective variety; Real cycle group; Moving lemma.

1 Introduction

The study of solving polynomial equations dates back to the very beginning of mathematics. General algebraic solutions of a given equation was the original goal. This goal was achieved for equations of degree 2, 3 and 4. But it was proved by Abel and Galois that it was impossible for equations of degree 5. Galois theory was created several decades later to study some properties of the roots of equations. At the same time, people started to consider the more complicated problem of solving polynomial equations of more than one variable. The zero loci of polynomial equations, which are called algebraic varieties, are basic source of geometry and exemplify many important geometric phenomena. In this paper, we study the properties of projective varieties which are the zero loci of homogeneous polynomials in projective spaces.

Algebraic cycles are some finite formal sum of irreducible subvarieties with integral coefficients. The group $Z_p(X)$ of p -cycles of a projective variety X encodes many properties of

¹Tel: +886 3 5745248; Fax: +886 3 5728161
E-mail address: jyhaur@math.cts.nthu.edu.tw

X . For many decades, since $Z_p(X)$ is a very large group in general, quotients of $Z_p(X)$ were studied instead. For example, the quotient of $Z_p(X)$ by rational equivalence is the Chow group $CH_p(X)$ on which the intersection theory of algebraic varieties can be built [9].

For a complex projective variety X , the group $Z_p(X)$ has additional natural structure. According to the Chow Theorem, there is a canonical way, by means of Chow forms, to give $\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)$, the set of effective p -cycles of degree d , the structure of a projective variety. Thus $\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)$ has a canonical analytic topology. Since $Z_p(X)$ is the group completion of the monoid $\prod_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)$, it inherits a topology from this analytic structure which makes it a topological group. From this point of view, methods from topology, especially from homotopy theory, come into play. The title of the Hirzebruch's book, "Topological methods in algebraic geometry" [10], perfectly describes the way we study projective varieties via their cycle groups. The starting point of this approach is the Lawson Suspension Theorem [11], which says that there is a homotopy equivalence between $Z_p(X)$ and $Z_{p+1}(\mathbb{Z}X)$, where $\mathbb{Z}X$ is the projective cone over X (or more topologically the Thom space of the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ bundle over X). It is then natural to define the Lawson homology group $L_p H_n(X) = \pi_{n-2p} Z_p(X)$, the $(n - 2p)$ -th homotopy group of $Z_p(X)$. When $p = 0$, we have by the Dold-Thom Theorem that $L_0 H_n(X) = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ (the singular homology of X). Thus we view the Lawson homology as an extension of singular homology for projective varieties. This point of view will be strengthened after we develop a corresponding theory for real projective varieties and extend some classical theorems, for example the Harnack-Thom Theorem [20], from singular homology.

In the past 15 years, Lawson, Friedlander, Mazur, Gabber, Michelsohn, Lam, Lima-Filho, Walker and dos Santos have discovered many properties of Lawson homology and have related it to other theories like Chow group, higher Chow group, motivic cohomology and algebraic K -theory. Notably, the morphic cohomology was established by Friedlander and Lawson, and a duality theorem between morphic cohomology and Lawson homology was proved by using their Moving Lemma [6], [7]. It has been shown that Lawson homology and morphic cohomology groups admit limit mixed Hodge structure in [5], [8] and [19].

Algebraic topology also reaps the bounty of this harvest. Since

$$Z_0(A^n) = \frac{Z_0(\mathbb{P}^n)}{Z_0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})} = K(\mathbb{Z}, 2n)$$

where $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2n)$ is the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space, we are able to represent many Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces by more concrete algebraic cycle spaces. For example, consider the natural embedding $\mathcal{G}^q(\mathbb{P}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}^q(\mathbb{P}^n)$ of the Grassmannian of codimension- q planes into the limit space $\mathcal{C}^q(\mathbb{P}^n) = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}_d^q(\mathbb{P}^n)$ of codimension- q cycles of \mathbb{P}^n . Letting n go to infinity, we get a map

$$BU_q \xrightarrow{c} K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \times \cdots \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2q)$$

where $BU_q = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}^q(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is the classifying space for the unitary group U_q . A beautiful theorem by Lawson and Michelsohn [17] says that for each $q \geq 1$, this map induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_{2q}(BU) \xrightarrow{c_*} \pi_{2q}(K(\mathbb{Z}, ev)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

which is multiplication by $(q-1)!$ where $K(\mathbb{Z}, ev) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K(\mathbb{Z}, 2i)$. Our next example is from morphic cohomology.

- There is a join pairing in algebraic cocycle groups which induces the cup product in singular cohomology.
- The Chern classes in morphic cohomology map to the Chern classes in singular cohomology.
- The cocycle group $Z^m(X)$ of a m -dimensional smooth projective manifold is homotopy equivalent to the mapping space $Map(X, K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m))$.

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra says that a degree d polynomial in one variable over \mathbb{C} has d zeros in complex plane counting multiplicities. A polynomial f of degree d over \mathbb{R} need not have d real zeros, but if we take $Z(f)$ to be the set of d zeros of f , and $Z(f)^{av}$ to be the set of nonreal zeros of f counting multiplicities, we find that if we define $R(f) = Z(f) - Z(f)^{av}$, since nonreal zeros appear in conjugate pairs, the cardinality $|R(f)|$ of $R(f)$ and the degree d have the following relation:

$$|R(f)| \equiv d \pmod{2}$$

This is the Reduced Real Fundamental Theorem of Algebra.

The principle is that everything in the complex world has a counterpart in the real world with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients. Over \mathbb{C} , the utility of (co)homology theory with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients is related to the fact that the zeros of any polynomial can be counted. But over \mathbb{R} , we can only count the zeros modulo 2. The bridge from the complex world to the real world passes to a quotient of the set of elements invariant under conjugation. The reduced real cycle group is defined as

$$R_p(X) = \frac{Z_p(X)_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_p(X)^{av}}$$

where X is a real projective variety, $Z_p(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the set of p -cycles which are conjugate invariant and $Z_p(X)^{av}$ are cycles of the form $c + \bar{c}$. This group first appeared in the thesis of Lam where he proved the Lawson Suspension Theorem for reduced real cycle groups. We develop this idea further to get a homology-like theory called reduced real Lawson homology and a cohomology-like theory called reduced real morphic cohomology. By using the Moving Lemma of Friedlander and Lawson, we prove a duality between them. Under the natural transformations constructed in Section 6 and Section 7, this duality is compatible with the usual Poincaré Duality with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients. As with morphic cohomology, the join pairing of cycles induces a cup product in the reduced real morphic cohomology and after passing to singular cohomology with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients it becomes the usual cup product. We show that the reduced real morphic cohomology has many nice properties: the homotopy invariance, the splitting principle, the Lawson Suspension Theorem, and the homotopy property. We construct a long exact sequence in the reduced real Lawson homology and the reduced real morphic cohomology. The natural transformations and the s -maps in these two theories define a filtration in the singular homology and cohomology with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients respectively.

2 Topology Of Reduced Real Cycle And Cocycle Spaces

Let V be a projective variety in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$. An r -cycle on V is a linear combination of irreducible subvarieties of the same dimension r in V with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients. Two subvarieties of V meet properly in V if the codimension of each component of their intersection is the sum of the codimension in V of that two subvarieties. We say that a cycle c is in reduced form if $c = \sum n_i V_i$ where n_i are nonzero integers and $V_i \neq V_j$ if $i \neq j$. When we say that a cycle c_1 meets another cycle c_2 properly we mean that in their reduced forms, each component of c_1 and c_2 meets properly.

Throughout this paper, a real projective variety $V \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a complex projective variety which is invariant under the conjugation.

Let us define some notation:

Definition Let X, Y be two projective varieties and let the dimension of X be m . Denote the group of all r -cycles of X by $Z_r(X)$ and by $Z_r(Y)(X)$ the subgroup of $Z_{r+m}(X \times Y)$ consisting of all cycles which meet $x \times Y$ properly in $X \times Y$ for all $x \in X$. We call $Z_r(Y)(X)$ the dimension r cocycle group of X with values in Y .

Suppose now X and Y are real projective varieties. We will write the conjugate of a point $x \in X$ as \bar{x} . The conjugation induces a map on cycle groups. A subvariety $V \subset X \times Y$ is real if and only if $\bar{V} = V$ where $\bar{V} = \{(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) | (x, y) \in V\}$. For $f \in Z_r(Y)(X)$, we use $f(x)$ to denote the cycle-theoretical fiber of f under the projection $p : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ over x , that is, $f(x) = f \bullet p^{-1}(x)$ where \bullet is the intersection product of cycles. Define $\bar{f}(x) = \overline{f(x)}$. If f is real, then $\bar{f}(x) = \overline{f \bullet p^{-1}(x)} = \bar{f} \bullet p^{-1}(\bar{x}) = \bar{f} \bullet p^{-1}(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and if $\bar{f} = f$, for $(x, y) \in f$, $\bar{y} \in \bar{f}(x) = f(x)$. Thus f is real if and only if $\bar{f} = f$. This notation is convenient when we think of f as a map from X to the cycle space of Y .

Let $Z_r(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the subgroup of $Z_r(X)$ consisting of cycles invariant under the conjugation and let $Z_r(X)^{av} = \{V + \bar{V} | V \in Z_r(X)\}$ be the averaged cycle group. We define the reduced real cycle group to be

$$R_r(X) = \frac{Z_r(X)_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_r(X)^{av}},$$

and the reduced real Y -valued cocycle group to be

$$R_r(Y)(X) = \frac{Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_r(Y)(X)^{av}}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} &= Z_r(Y)(X) \cap Z_{r+m}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{R}}, \\ Z_r(Y)(X)^{av} &= Z_r(Y)(X) \cap Z_{r+m}(X \times Y)^{av}. \end{aligned}$$

A topological group G is a group which is also a Hausdorff space and for $(g, h) \in G \times G$, the product $(g, h) \rightarrow gh^{-1}$ is a continuous map. For a projective variety X , let $\mathcal{C}_{r,d}(X)$ be the set of degree d r -cycles of X with positive coefficients. By Chow Theorem, $\mathcal{C}_{r,d}(X)$ has a structure as a projective variety. Thus we may consider $\mathcal{C}_{r,d}(X)$ as a complex projective

variety with the analytic topology. Let $K_{r,d}(X) = \prod_{d_1+d_2 \leq d} (\mathcal{C}_{r,d_1}(X) \times \mathcal{C}_{r,d_2}(X)) / \sim$ where the equivalence relation \sim is given by $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$ if and only if $a_1 + b_2 = a_2 + b_1$. $K_{r,d}(X)$ inherits topology from this quotient which makes $K_{r,d}(X)$ a compact Hausdorff space. And from the filtration,

$$K_{r,1}(X) \subset K_{r,2}(X) \subset \cdots = Z_r(X),$$

we give $Z_r(X)$ the weak topology which means that a subset $C \subset Z_r(X)$ is closed if and only if $C \cap K_{r,d}(X)$ is closed for all d . This topology makes $Z_r(X)$ a topological abelian group. In general, let

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \cdots = A$$

be a chain of closed inclusions of topological spaces. We define the topology on A by declaring a subset $C \subset A$ to be closed if and only if its intersection $C \cap A_i$ is closed for all $i \geq 1$. This topology is called the weak topology with respect to the subspaces.

The homotopy types of $Z_r(\mathbb{P}^n)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Z_r(\mathbb{P}^n)^{av}$ were computed in [15] which are quite complicated but the homotopy type of $R_r(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is much simpler. In the following we will see that the reduced real cycle groups are closely related to the singular homology with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients of the real points. These are some of the reasons that we work on the reduced real cycle groups.

Definition The filtration

$$K_{r,1}(X) \subset K_{r,2}(X) \subset \cdots = Z_r(X)$$

is called the canonical r -filtration of X and if X is a real projective variety,

$$K_{r,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \subset K_{r,2}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \cdots = Z_r(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

is called the canonical real r -filtration of X where $K_{r,i}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the subset of real cycles in $K_{r,i}(X)$ and

$$K_{r,1}(X)^{av} \subset K_{r,2}(X)^{av} \subset \cdots = Z_r(X)^{av}$$

is called the canonical averaged r -filtration of X where $K_{r,i}(X)^{av}$ is the subset of averaged cycles in $K_{r,i}(X)$. If a filtration is defined by a sequence of compact sets, this filtration is called a compactly filtered filtration.

For $V \in Z_r(Y)(X)$, we may view V as a cycle in $X \times Y$ with equidimensional fibers over X or a continuous morphism from X to $Z_r(Y)$. By giving compact-open topology to the set of morphisms $\mathcal{M}(X, Z_r(Y))$, Friedlander and Lawson proved that it is homeomorphic to $Z_r(Y)(X)$ by using their graphing construction. This is their viewpoint in [6]. In the following, we will intertwine these two viewpoints very often.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that Y is a subvariety of a projective variety X . Then $Z_r(Y)$ is a closed subgroup of $Z_r(X)$.*

Proof. This is because $Z_r(Y) \cap K_{r,d}(X) = K_{r,d}(Y)$ which is closed in $K_{r,d}(X)$. □

A filtration of a topological space T by a sequence of subspaces

$$T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \cdots \subset T_j \cdots = T$$

is said to be locally compact if for any compact subset $K \subset T$, there exists some $e \geq 0$ such that $K \subset T_e$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that X is a Hausdorff space and the topology on X is given by the filtration*

$$T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \cdots \subset T_j \cdots = X$$

by the weak topology. Then this filtration is a locally compact filtration.

Proof. Let $K \subset X$ be a compact subset. Assume that K is not contained in any T_e , then we can find a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ where $x_i \in (T_i - T_{i-1}) \cap K$ and I is a sequence of distinct integers which goes to infinity. Let $U_k = X - \{x_i\}_{i \in I, i \neq k}$. Then $U_k \cap T_j = T_j - \{x_i | i \leq j, i \neq k\}$ which is open for all j . Thus U_k is an open subset of X and $K \subset \cup_{i \in I} U_k$ but we are unable to find a finite subcover which contradicts to the compactness of K . So K has to be contained in some T_e . \square

Proposition 2.3. *For real projective varieties X, Y , $Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Z_r(Y)(X)^{av}$ are closed subgroups of $Z_r(Y)(X)$. In particular, $Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Z_r(Y)^{av}$ are closed subgroups of $Z_r(Y)$.*

Proof. Define $\psi : Z_r(Y)(X) \rightarrow Z_r(Y)(X)$ by $\psi(f) = \bar{f} - f$. Since ψ is continuous and $Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the kernel of ψ , $Z_r(Y)(X)$ is closed. Suppose that $\{f_n + \bar{f}_n\}$ is a sequence in $Z_r(Y)(X)^{av}$ which converges to c . Since the canonical averaged r -filtration of X is locally compact, by Lemma 2.2, $A = \{f_n + \bar{f}_n\} \cup \{c\}$ is contained in $K_{r,d}(X)^{av}$ for some $d > 0$. Therefore there exist $g_n \in K_{r,d}(X)^{av}$ such that $g_n + \bar{g}_n = f_n + \bar{f}_n$ for all n . Let $\{g_{n_i}\}$ be a convergent subsequence of $\{g_n\}$ which converges to a cycle g . Then $\{\bar{g}_{n_i}\}$ converges to \bar{g} . Therefore $g_{n_i} + \bar{g}_{n_i}$ converges to $g + \bar{g}$ which implies $c = g + \bar{g}$. Thus $Z_r(Y)(X)^{av}$ is closed. Take X to be a point, it follows immediately that $Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Z_r(Y)^{av}$ are closed in $Z_r(Y)$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *For real projective varieties X, Y , $R_r(Y)(X)$ and $R_r(X)$ are topological abelian groups.*

Proof. This is from the general fact that the quotient of a topological group by any of its closed normal subgroups is a topological group. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that G is a topological group and*

$$K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots = G$$

is a filtration filtered by compact subsets of G which generates the topology of G as above. Let H be a closed normal subgroup of G and $q : G \rightarrow G/H$ be the quotient map. Denote the restriction of q to K_k by q_k and $M_k = q(K_k)$. We define a topology on M_k by making q_k a quotient map, for each k . Then

1. M_k is a subspace of M_{k+1} for all k .
2. the weak topology of G defined by the filtration

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots = G/H$$

coincides with the quotient topology of G/H .

Proof. 1. If $C \subset M_{k+1}$ is a closed subset, since M_{k+1} is compact, C is also compact. From the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_k & \xrightarrow{i} & K_{k+1} \\ \downarrow q_k & & \downarrow q_{k+1} \\ M_k & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & M_{k+1} \end{array}$$

we have $\bar{i}^{-1}(C) = q_k i^{-1} q_{k+1}^{-1}(C)$ which is compact thus closed. So \bar{i} is continuous. Since M_k is compact and \bar{i} is injective, it is an embedding.

2. Let C be a closed subset of G/H under the quotient topology. Then $q^{-1}(C)$ is closed which means $q^{-1}(C) \cap K_k$ is closed for all k . $q_k^{-1}(C \cap M_k) = q^{-1}(C) \cap q^{-1}(M_k) \cap K_k = q^{-1}(C) \cap K_k$ is closed, so $C \cap M_k$ is closed for all k thus C is closed under the weak topology. On the other hand, assume that C is a closed subset of G/H under the weak topology, that is, $C \cap M_k$ is closed for all k . $q^{-1}(C) \cap K_k = q^{-1}(C \cap M_k) \cap K_k = q_k^{-1}(C \cap M_k)$ which is closed for all k , thus C is a closed subset in the quotient topology. □

Suppose that X is a real projective variety and Y is a real subvariety of X . Denote

$$Z_r(X, Y)^{av} = \frac{Z_r(X)^{av}}{Z_r(Y)^{av}},$$

$$Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}} = \frac{Z_r(X)_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose that X is a real projective variety and Y is a real subvariety of X . Consider the canonical real and averaged filtrations of X :*

$$K_{r,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \subset K_{r,2}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \cdots = Z_r(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$K_{r,1}(X)^{av} \subset K_{r,2}(X)^{av} \subset \cdots = Z_r(X)^{av}$$

and the quotient maps $q_1 : Z_r(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow R_r(X)$, $q_2 : Z_r(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $q_3 : Z_r(X)^{av} \rightarrow Z_r(X, Y)^{av}$. Then

1. for all k , $q_1(K_{r,k}(X)_{\mathbb{R}})$, $q_2(K_{r,k}(X)_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $q_3(K_{r,k}(X)^{av})$ are subspaces of $q_1(K_{r,k+1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}})$, $q_2(K_{r,k+1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $q_3(K_{r,k+1}(X)^{av})$ respectively.

2. the weak topology of $R_r(X)$, $Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $Z_r(X, Y)^{av}$ induced from the filtrations above coincides with the quotient topology on them.

Proposition 2.7. For a real projective variety X , let ReX denote the set of real points of X and let $Z_0(ReX)$ denote the subgroup of $Z_0(X)$ generated by real points of X . Then

1. $Z_0(ReX)$ is a closed subgroup of $Z_0(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.
2. $R_0(X)$ is isomorphic as a topological group to $\frac{Z_0(ReX)}{2Z_0(ReX)}$.

Proof. 1. Since X is compact, the quotient map from $X \times \cdots \times X$ (k -times) to the symmetric product $SP^k(X)$ is a closed map. $ReX \times \cdots \times ReX$ is a closed subset of $X \times \cdots \times X$ thus $SP^k(ReX)$ is a closed subset of $SP^k(X)$. Consider the following filtrations:

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots = Z_0(ReX)$$

where $A_n = \coprod_{k=1}^n SP^k(ReX) \times SP^k(ReX) / \sim$ and

$$B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \cdots = Z_0(X)$$

where $B_n = \coprod_{k=1}^n SP^k(X) \times SP^k(X) / \sim$ and \sim is the equivalence relation coming from the group completion. The topology of $Z_0(ReX)$ and $Z_0(X)$ are defined by these two filtrations respectively. Since $SP^k(ReX)$ and $SP^k(X)$ are compact, A_n is closed in B_n . Observe that $Z_0(ReX) \cap B_n = A_n$, thus $Z_0(ReX)$ is a closed subgroup of $Z_0(X)$ and hence a closed subgroup of $Z_0(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

2. Let $q : Z_0(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow R_0(X)$ be the quotient map and $i : Z_0(ReX) \hookrightarrow Z_0(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the inclusion map. Then $q \circ i : Z_0(ReX) \rightarrow R_0(X)$ is a continuous map and $2Z_0(ReX)$ is in the kernel, thus we get a continuous map $\psi : \frac{Z_0(ReX)}{2Z_0(ReX)} \rightarrow R_0(X)$. Since each class in $R_0(X)$ can be represented uniquely by cycle of real points modulo 2, ψ is bijective. Let

$$K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots = Z_0(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

be the canonical real filtration and $T_i = K_i \cap Z_0(ReX)$. $Z_0(ReX)$ is a closed subset of $Z_0(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and K_i is compact, thus T_i is compact for all i . Since ψ is bijective, $\psi(T_i + 2Z_0(ReX)) = K_i + Z_0(X)^{av}$ for each $i > 0$. For a closed $C \subset \frac{Z_0(ReX)}{2Z_0(ReX)}$, $\psi(C) \cap (K_i + Z_0(X)^{av}) = \psi(C \cap T_i + 2Z_0(ReX))$ which is closed, thus ψ^{-1} is continuous. Then it is easy to see that ψ is a topological group isomorphism. □

Definition For any $f \in Z_p(X)$, let $f = \sum_{i \in I} n_i V_i$ be in the reduced form. Let

$$RP(f) = \sum_{i \in I, \bar{V}_i = V_i} n_i V_i$$

which is called the real part of f . Let

$$J = \{i \in I \mid V_i \text{ is not real and } \overline{V}_i \text{ is also a component of } f\}$$

and for $i \in J$, let m_i be the maximum value of the coefficients of V_i and \overline{V}_i . Define the averaged part to be

$$AP(f) = \sum_{i \in J} m_i (V_i + \overline{V}_i)$$

and the imaginary part to be

$$IP(f) = f - RP(f) - AP(f).$$

Then $f \in Z_p(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if $IP(f) = 0$.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that X, Y, Z are real projective varieties and Y is a subvariety of Z , then*

1. *the inclusion map $i : Z_r(Y)(X) \longrightarrow Z_r(Z)(X)$ induces a closed embedding $\bar{i} : R_r(Y)(X) \hookrightarrow R_r(Z)(X)$ for $r \geq 0$.*
2. *the inclusion map $i : Z_r(Z)^{av} \longrightarrow Z_r(Z)_{\mathbb{R}}$ induces a closed embedding $\bar{i} : Z_r(Z, Y)^{av} \hookrightarrow Z_r(Z, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $r \geq 0$.*

Proof. 1. Since the inclusion $Z_r(Y)(X) \xrightarrow{i} Z_r(Z)(X)$ is an embedding, the restriction

$$i : Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow Z_r(Z)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

is also an embedding. Since $Z_r(Y)(X)^{av} \subset Z_r(Z)(X)^{av}$, i induces a map

$$\bar{i} : R_r(Y)(X) \longrightarrow R_r(Z)(X).$$

If $f \in Z_r(Z)(X)^{av} \cap Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $RP(f) = 2g$ for some $g \in Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus $f = 2g + AP(f) \in Z_r(Y)(X)^{av}$ and therefore \bar{i} is injective.

Let $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots = Z_r(Y)(X)$, $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \cdots = Z_r(Z)(X)$ be the canonical r -filtrations. Let q_1, q_2 be the quotient maps from $Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}, Z_r(Z)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $R_r(Y)(X)$ and $R_r(Z)(X)$ respectively. Let $C_k = q_1(A_k), D_k = q_2(B_k)$ for all k . Since $i(A_k) \subset B_k$, $\bar{i}(C_k) \subset D_k$ and from the definition of canonical filtrations, $\bar{i}^{-1}(D_k) \subset C_k$. For any closed subset W of $R_r(Y)(X)$, $W \cap C_k$ is compact and by the injectivity of \bar{i} , $\bar{i}(W \cap C_k) = \bar{i}(W) \cap \bar{i}(C_k) = \bar{i}(W) \cap D_k$ which is compact and thus closed. By Corollary 2.6, \bar{i}^{-1} is continuous. Take $W = R_r(Y)(X)$, we see that $\bar{i}(R_r(Y)(X))$ is closed in $R_r(Z)(X)$.

2. Since the inclusion $i : Z_r(Z)^{av} \hookrightarrow Z_r(Z)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an embedding and $i(Z_r(Y)^{av}) \subset Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$, it induces a map $\bar{i} : Z_r(Z, Y)^{av} \hookrightarrow Z_r(Z, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $\bar{i}(f + Z_r(Y)^{av}) = f + Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} = Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $f \in Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$. This implies $RP(f) \in Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$. But f is also an averaged cycle, so that means $RP(f) = 2g$ for some $g \in Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and therefore $f = 2g + AP(f) \in Z_r(Y)^{av}$. Hence, \bar{i} is injective. For the rest, apply Corollary 2.6 and follow the argument above. \square

From this Proposition, when we need to take quotient, we will abuse of notation and write $\frac{R_r(Z)(X)}{R_r(Y)(X)}$ and $\frac{Z_r(Z, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_r(Z, Y)^{av}}$ for the quotient of $R_r(Z)(X), Z_r(Z, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$ by the images of \bar{i} in $R_r(Y)(X)$ and $Z_r(Z, Y)^{av}$ respectively.

3 Relative Theory And Long Exact Sequences

We will apply the Borel construction to define the reduced real Lawson homology and reduced real morphic cohomology for quasi projective varieties. For the construction of classifying spaces and some basic properties, we refer the reader to [3]. In the following, we assume that every topological group has the homotopy type of a CW-complex. We show that all our cycle groups are CW-complexes in the Appendix. Let us recall the definition of Borel construction and some properties that we are going to use later.

Definition Suppose that G is a topological group acting on a topological space X . The Borel construction is the orbit space $B(X, G) = (X \times EG)/G$ where EG is the universal bundle of G .

Proposition 3.1. 1. *The projection $p : B(X, G) \longrightarrow BG$ is a fibration with fibre X where BG is the classifying space of G .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & B(X, G) \\ & & \downarrow p \\ & & BG \end{array}$$

2. *Suppose that $\phi : H \longrightarrow G$ is a topological group homomorphism with closed image. Then the projection $p : B(G, H) \longrightarrow G/H$ is a fibration with fibre $B(Ker\phi) = EH/Ker\phi$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(Ker\phi) & \longrightarrow & B(G, H) \\ & & \downarrow p \\ & & G/H \end{array}$$

In particular, when ϕ is injective, $B(G, H)$ is homotopy equivalent to G/H .

3. Suppose that we have a commutative diagram of topological group homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & G_1 \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2 \\ H_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & G_2 \end{array}$$

Then ψ_1, ψ_2 induce a map $B(\psi_2, \psi_1) : B(G_1, H_1) \longrightarrow B(G_2, H_2)$. When ψ_1, ψ_2 are homotopy equivalences, $B(\psi_2, \psi_1)$ is also a homotopy equivalence.

4. Suppose that $F : I \times H_1 \longrightarrow H_2, F' : I \times G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ are homotopies between $F_0 = \psi_1, F_1 = \psi'_1$ and $F'_0 = \psi_2, F'_1 = \psi'_2$ respectively where each F_t, F'_t are group homomorphisms. If the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & G_1 \\ \downarrow F_t & & \downarrow F'_t \\ H_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & G_2 \end{array}$$

for each $t \in I$, then $B(\psi_2, \psi_1)$ is homotopic to $B(\psi'_2, \psi'_1)$.

Proof. (1) is a basic property of Borel construction. For (2), it is not difficult to see that the fibre is $EH/\ker\phi$. If $\ker\phi$ is a point, since EH is contractible, from the induced long exact sequence of that fibration and Whitehead Theorem, we get that $B(G, H)$ is homotopy equivalent to G/H . For (3), consider the long exact sequences on homotopy groups induced from the two fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(G_1) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(B(G_1, H_1)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(BH_1) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \psi_{2*} & & \downarrow B(\psi_2, \psi_1)_* & & \downarrow B\psi_{1*} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(G_2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(B(G_2, H_2)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(BH_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

and then use the Whitehead Theorem which implies $B(\psi_2, \psi_1)$ is a homotopy equivalence. For (4), by (3) F_t, F'_t induce a map $B(F'_t, F_t)$ and thus F and F' induce a map $B(F', F) : I \times B(G_1, H_1) \longrightarrow B(G_2, H_2)$ which is a homotopy between $B(F'_0, F_0) = B(\psi_2, \psi_1)$ and $B(F'_1, F_1) = B(\psi'_2, \psi'_1)$. \square

Definition Suppose that X, Y are real projective varieties and the dimension of Y is n . We define the codimension t real cocycle group on X with values in Y to be

$$R^t(Y)(X) = R_{n-t}(Y)(X),$$

the codimension t reduced real cocycle group of X to be

$$R^t(X) = \frac{R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)}{R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)}.$$

From Proposition 2.8, we know that $R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X) \hookrightarrow R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ as a closed subgroup, thus $R^t(X)$ is a topological group.

To define relative reduced real morphic cohomology, we need to show that $R^t(X)$ acts on $R^t(Y)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that X, Y are real projective varieties and Y is a subvariety of X . Then there is a topological group homomorphism*

$$\phi : R^t(X) \longrightarrow R^t(Y).$$

Proof. Consider the map

$$Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) \longrightarrow Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(Y)$$

defined by intersecting with $Y \times \mathbb{P}^t$ in $X \times \mathbb{P}^t$. Check the dimension we see that all cycles in $Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ intersect $Y \times \mathbb{P}^t$ properly and thus the map is continuous. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)^{av} & \longrightarrow & Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(Y)^{av} & \longrightarrow & Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(Y) \end{array}$$

where the maps in the middle row are restriction of the map defined by intersecting with $Y \times \mathbb{P}^t$. Thus it induces a map from $R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ to $R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(Y)$. And from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X) & \longrightarrow & R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) & \longrightarrow & R^t(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(Y) & \longrightarrow & R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(Y) & \longrightarrow & R^t(Y) \end{array}$$

we see that it induces a map from $R^t(X)$ to $R^t(Y)$. □

Definition Suppose now Y is a subvariety of X . Define the codimension t relative reduced real cocycle group to be

$$R^t(X|Y) = B(R^t(Y), R^t(X))$$

by the map from Proposition 3.2 and define the dimension p relative reduced real cycle group to be

$$R_p(X|Y) = \frac{R_p(X)}{R_p(Y)}.$$

We say that a quasi projective variety U is real if there exist real projective varieties X and Y , $Y \subset X$ such that $U = X - Y$. We define the p -th reduced real cycle group of U to be $R_p(U) = R_p(X|Y) = \frac{R_p(X)}{R_p(Y)}$. We will show later that for another pair of real projective varieties X', Y' where $Y' \subset X'$ and $U = X' - Y'$, $\frac{R_p(X)}{R_p(Y)}$ is homeomorphic to $\frac{R_p(X')}{R_p(Y')}$.

Proposition 3.3. 1. $R^t(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $B(R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X), R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X))$

2. $R_p(X|Y)$ is homotopy equivalent to $B(R_p(X), R_p(Y))$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, $R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X) \hookrightarrow R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ and $R_p(Y) \hookrightarrow R_p(X)$ are injective. Thus by Proposition 3.1, $R^t(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $B(R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X), R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X))$ and $R_p(X|Y)$ is homotopy equivalent to $B(R_p(X), R_p(Y))$. \square

Now we are ready to define reduced real Lawson homology groups and reduced real morphic cohomology groups.

Definition We define the p dimensional reduced real Lawson homology group of a real projective variety U to be

$$RL_p H_n(U) = \pi_{n-p}(R_p(U)) = \pi_{n-p+1}(BR_p(U)),$$

the codimension t reduced real morphic cohomology group of a real projective variety X to be

$$RL^t H^k(X) = \pi_{t-k}(R^t(X)) = \pi_{t-k}(B(R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X), R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1}(X)))),$$

the reduced real bivariant Y -valued morphic cohomology to be

$$RL^t H^k(X; Y) = \pi_{t-k} R^t(Y)(X).$$

Suppose now X, Y are real projective varieties and $Y \subset X$. We define the relative reduced real morphic cohomology group to be

$$RL^t H^k(X|Y) = \pi_{t-k}(R^t(X|Y)) = \pi_{t-k}(B(R^t(Y), R^t(X)))$$

and the relative reduced real Lawson homology group to be

$$RL_p H_n(X|Y) = \pi_{n-p}(R_p(X|Y)) = \pi_{n-p}(B(R_p(X), R_p(Y))).$$

Theorem 3.4. (Long exact sequences) Suppose that X, Y are real projective varieties and $Y \subset X$. Then we have long exact sequence in the reduced real Lawson homology:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(Y) \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(X) \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(X|Y) \longrightarrow RL_p H_{n-1}(Y) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and long exact sequence in the reduced real morphic cohomology:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow RL^t H^k(Y) \longrightarrow RL^t H^k(X|Y) \longrightarrow RL^t H^{k+1}(X) \longrightarrow RL^t H^{k+1}(Y) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. By Borel construction, we have two fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_p(X) & \longrightarrow & B(R_p(X), R_p(Y)) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & B(R_p(Y)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^t(Y) & \longrightarrow & B(R^t(Y), R^t(X)) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & B(R^t(X)) \end{array}$$

Thus they induce long exact sequences on homotopy groups and we recall that for a topological group G , $\pi_k(BG) = \pi_{k-1}(G)$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that $X_3 \subset X_2 \subset X_1$ are real projective varieties. There is a long exact sequence in reduced real Lawson homology:*

$$\cdots RL_p H_n(X_2|X_3) \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(X_1|X_3) \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(X_1|X_2) \longrightarrow RL_p H_{n-1}(X_2|X_3) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $R_p(X_2|X_3)$ acts on $R_p(X_1|X_3)$. By Borel construction, we have a fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_p(X_1|X_3) & \longrightarrow & B(R_p(X_1|X_3), R_p(X_2|X_3)) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & B(R_p(X_2|X_3)) \end{array}$$

which induces a long exact sequence on homotopy groups:

$$\cdots RL_p H_n(X_2|X_3) \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(X_1|X_3) \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(X_1|X_2) \longrightarrow RL_p H_{n-1}(X_2|X_3) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

\square

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that U, V are real projective varieties and $V \subset U$ is closed. Then there is a (localization) long exact sequence in reduced real Lawson homology:*

$$\cdots RL_p H_n(V) \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(U) \longrightarrow RL_p H_n(U - V) \longrightarrow RL_p H_{n-1}(V) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. Let $U = X_1 - X_3$ where X_1, X_3 are real projective varieties and take the closure \overline{V} of V in X_1 . Let $X_2 = \overline{V} \cup X_3$. Then $X_2 - X_3 = V$, $X_1 - X_2 = U - V$ and $X_3 \subset X_2 \subset X_1$. By the Proposition above, we get the long exact sequence. \square

We need to show that the definition of reduced real cycle groups for real quasi projective varieties is independent of the choice of its compactification.

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose that X, Y are real projective varieties and Y is a subvariety of X . Then $\frac{R_r(X)}{R_r(Y)}$ is homeomorphic to $\frac{Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_r(X, Y)^{av}}$.*

Proof. Let Q_1, Q_2, q_1, q_2 be the quotient maps and $\psi(x + Z_r(X)^{av} + R_r(Y)) = x + Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} + Z_r(X, Y)^{av}$ as following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & Z_r(X)_{\mathbb{R}} & \\
 q_1 \swarrow & & \searrow q_2 \\
 R_r(X) & & Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}} \\
 Q_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow Q_2 \\
 \frac{R_r(X)}{R_r(Y)} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \frac{Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_r(X, Y)^{av}}
 \end{array}$$

The diagram commutes and since those quotient maps are open maps, ψ is continuous. Easy to see that ψ is bijective and the inverse of ψ is also continuous. \square

Definition Suppose that X, Y, X', Y' are real projective varieties and $Y \subset X, Y' \subset X'$. (X, Y) is said to be relatively isomorphic to (X', Y') if there is a real regular map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ such that f induces an isomorphism as quasiprojective varieties between $X - Y$ and $X' - Y'$ and f is called a real relative isomorphism.

Following Lima-Filho's approach in [14], we can show that

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that $f : (X, Y) \rightarrow (X', Y')$ is a real relative isomorphism. Then f induces a topological group isomorphism between*

1. $Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Z_r(X', Y')_{\mathbb{R}}$
2. $Z_r(X, Y)^{av}$ and $Z_r(X', Y')^{av}$

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose that $f : (X, Y) \rightarrow (X', Y')$ is a real relative isomorphism, then f induces a homotopy equivalence between $\frac{R_r(X)}{R_r(Y)}$ and $\frac{R_r(X')}{R_r(Y')}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 3.1, $B(Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}, Z_r(X, Y)^{av})$ is homotopy equivalent to $B(Z_r(X', Y')_{\mathbb{R}}, Z_r(X', Y')^{av})$. Thus $\frac{Z_r(X, Y)_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_r(X, Y)^{av}}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\frac{Z_r(X', Y')_{\mathbb{R}}}{Z_r(X', Y')^{av}}$. From Proposition 3.7, we see that $\frac{R_r(X)}{R_r(Y)}$ and $\frac{R_r(X')}{R_r(Y')}$ are homotopy equivalent. \square

Thus the reduced real cycle groups of a real quasiprojective variety are well defined.

4 Functoriality

The following proposition is an analogue of the functoriality of morphic cohomology which is established in [5].

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that X, X', Y, Y' are real projective varieties and $f : X' \rightarrow X$, $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ are morphisms of real projective varieties.*

(a) *The “pullback of reduced real cocycles” determines a homomorphism*

$$f^* : RL^s H^q(X; Y) \rightarrow RL^s H^q(X'; Y).$$

(b) *The “pushforward of reduced real cycles” determines a homomorphism*

$$g_* : RL^s H^q(X; Y) \rightarrow RL^{s-c} H^{q-c}(X; Y')$$

where $c = \dim Y - \dim Y'$.

(c) *Given morphisms $f_2 : X' \rightarrow X$, $f_1 : X'' \rightarrow X'$ and $g_1 : Y \rightarrow Y'$, $g_2 : Y' \rightarrow Y''$ where X, X', X'', Y, Y', Y'' are all real projective varieties, then*

$$(f_2 \circ f_1)^* = (f_1)^* \circ (f_2)^* \text{ and } (g_2 \circ g_1)_* = (g_2)_* \circ (g_1)_*.$$

Proof. (a) $f^* : Z^s(Y)(X) \rightarrow Z^s(Y)(X')$ is a continuous map which is proved in [5, Proposition 2.4]. So we only need to verify that f^* maps real cycles to real cycles and averaged cycles to averaged cycles. Since f is real morphism, its graph $gr(f)$ in $X' \times X$ is a real subvariety. Consider $gr(f) \times Y$ in $X' \times X \times Y$ and for any $V \in Z^s(Y)(X)$, $X' \times V \subset X' \times X \times Y$. It is easy to check that $gr(f) \times Y$ intersects $X' \times V$ properly. Let $pr : X' \times X \times Y \rightarrow X' \times Y$ be the projection. Then $f^*V = pr_*((gr(f) \times Y) \bullet (X' \times V)) \in Z^s(Y)(X')$ where pr_* is the pushforward map of cycles induced from pr . Since intersection preserves reality of cycles, and pr is a real morphism, f^* sends real cycles to real cycles and averaged cycles to averaged cycles. So it induces a map from $R^s(Y)(X)$ to $R^s(Y)(X')$ and therefore a group homomorphism in homotopy groups.

(b) Similar to the approach in (a).

(c) Since $(f_2 \circ f_1)^* = f_1^* \circ f_2^*$ for Y -valued cocycle groups as proved in [5, Proposition 2.4], from (a), this relation passes to the quotient. Thus $(f_2 \circ f_1)^* = f_1^* \circ f_2^*$ in reduced Y -valued real cycle groups and reduced real cycle groups. A similar argument works for g_1, g_2 . \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$, $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms of real projective varieties.*

(a) *If f has equidimensional fibers, then there are Gysin homomorphisms*

$$f_! : RL^s H^q(X; Y) \rightarrow RL^{s-c} H^{q-c}(X'; Y)$$

for all s, q with $s \geq q \geq c$ where $c = \dim(X) - \dim(X')$.

(b) *If g is flat, then for all s, q with $s \geq q$, there are Gysin homomorphisms*

$$g^! : RL^s H^q(X; Y) \rightarrow RL^s H^q(X; Y').$$

(c). *If $f_1 : X \rightarrow X'$ and $f_2 : X' \rightarrow X''$ are as in part (a), or if $g_2 : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and $g_1 : Y'' \rightarrow Y'$ are as in part (b), then*

$$(f_2 \circ f_1)_! = (f_2)_! \circ (f_1)_! \text{ and } (g_2 \circ g_1)^! = (g_1)^! \circ (g_2)^!.$$

Proof. Since a similar result is established in [5, Proposition 2.5] for morphic cohomology, we only need to verify that the maps send real cycles to real cycles and averaged cycles to averaged cycles. \square

5 Fundamental Properties

5.1 Homotopy Invariance

Proposition 5.1. *Let X, X' and Y be real projective varieties. Suppose that $F : I \times X \rightarrow X'$ is a continuous map where I is the unit interval and for each $t \in I$, F_t is a real algebraic morphism. If $F_0(x) = f(x), F_1(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in X$, then $f^* = g^* : RL^*H^*(X'; Y) \rightarrow RL^*H^*(X; Y)$ and they induce the same map $f^* = g^* : RL^*H^*(X') \rightarrow RL^*H^*(X)$.*

Proof. Consider the map

$$F^* : Z_r(Y)(X') \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Z_r(Y)(X)$$

which sends (σ, t) to $f_t^* \sigma$. This map is continuous and is a homotopy between f^* and g^* . Since each F_t is a real morphism, by Proposition 4.1 F_t^* passes to the quotient and thus F induces a map $F^* : R_r(Y)(X') \times I \rightarrow R_r(Y)(X)$ which is a homotopy between $F_0^* = f^*$ and $F_1^* = g^*$ so they induce the same map from $RL^*H^*(X'; Y)$ to $RL^*H^*(X; Y)$. Furthermore, F^* induces a homotopy $R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X') \times I \rightarrow R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)$ and a homotopy $R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X') \times I \rightarrow R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ between the two maps induced by f and g . By Proposition 3.1, their induced maps from $R^t(X')$ to $R^t(X)$ are homotopic. \square

5.2 The Splitting Principle

Proposition 5.2. $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^d$ is homeomorphic to $SP^d(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ where $SP^d(\mathbb{P}^1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the subset of $SP^d(\mathbb{P}^1)$ consisting of zero cycles invariant under the conjugation.

Proof. A proof of $\mathbb{P}^d \cong SP^d(\mathbb{P}^1)$ can be found in [12]. The main idea is sketched in the following. For $p = \{p_1, \dots, p_d\} \in SP^d(\mathbb{P}^1)$, let $p_i = [-b_i : a_i] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and we associate p a homogeneous polynomial

$$P(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i x + b_i y) = \sum_{k=0}^d c_k x^k y^{d-k}$$

where

$$c_k = \sum_{|I|=k} a_I b_{I'}$$

and the sum is taken over all multi-indices $I = \{0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d\}$ of length $|I| = k$ and I' is the complementary multi-index with $|I'| = d - k$. The map $\psi : SP^d(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ which maps p to the point $[c_0 : \dots : c_d] \in \mathbb{P}^d$ is an isomorphism. To prove our statement, we observe that if p is conjugation invariant, i.e., $\bar{p} = \{\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_d\} = p$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^d \bar{c}_k x^k y^{d-k} = \prod_{i=1}^d (\bar{a}_i x + \bar{b}_i y) = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i x + b_i y) = \sum_{k=0}^d c_k x^k y^{d-k},$$

then $\bar{c}_k = c_k$ and therefore $[c_0 : \cdots : c_d] \in \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^d$. For the inverse, a point $[c_0 : \cdots : c_d] \in \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^d$ is sent to a point $p = \{p_1, \dots, p_d\}$ by taking the roots p_1, \dots, p_d of the homogeneous polynomial

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^d c_k x^k y^{d-k}.$$

Since c_k are real, a nonreal root and its conjugate are both roots of $P(x, y)$, thus p is conjugation invariant. \square

From section 2.10 of [5], for $1 \leq t \leq s$, there is a monoid homomorphism

$$SP(\mathbb{P}^s) \xrightarrow{p} SP(\mathbb{P}^t)$$

which is induced by the morphism

$$p : \mathbb{P}^s = SP^s(\mathbb{P}^1) \longrightarrow SP^{(s)}(\mathbb{P}^1)$$

defined by

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_s\} \longmapsto \sum_{|I|=t} \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}\}$$

where we identify \mathbb{P}^s with $SP^s(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and then extend by linearity and compose with the natural map $SP(SP^{(s)}(\mathbb{P}^t)) \longrightarrow SP(\mathbb{P}^t)$. From here we see that $p(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_s) = \overline{p(x_1, \dots, x_s)}$ which means p is real.

Extend the map p by linearity to a group homomorphism

$$\tilde{p} : Z_0(\mathbb{P}^s) \longrightarrow Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t).$$

For a projective variety X , we get a map,

$$p : Z_0(\mathbb{P}^s)(X) \longrightarrow Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$$

by considering elements in $Z_0(\mathbb{P}^s)(X), Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ as maps and composing with \tilde{p} . If X is a real projective variety, since p is real, p reduces to a map $p' : R_0(\mathbb{P}^s)(X) \longrightarrow R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ where $1 \leq t \leq s$.

The inclusion map $i : \mathbb{P}^t \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^s$ induces an inclusion map $i' : R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) \longrightarrow R_0(\mathbb{P}^s)(X)$ by Proposition 2.8.

Lemma 5.3. *The composition $\varphi' = p' \circ i' : R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) \longrightarrow R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ is of the form*

$$\varphi' = Id + \psi'$$

where image $\psi' \subset R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)$.

Proof. $p' \circ i'(f + Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)^{av}) = p \circ i(f) + Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)^{av}$. By Lemma 2.1 in [5], $p \circ i(f) = (Id + \psi)(f)$ where image of ψ is contained in $Z_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)$ and ψ is a real map, thus ψ maps $Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $Z_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and therefore $p' \circ i' = (Id + \psi')$ where the image of ψ' is contained in $R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)$. \square

It is easy to see that $\psi' : R^t(X) \longrightarrow R^t(X)$ is the zero map and by Proposition 2.13 in [5] with $M^t = R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$, we get the splitting principle for our reduced real theory.

Theorem 5.4. (*Splitting Principle*) *For a real projective variety X , we have a homotopy equivalence:*

$$R_0(\mathbb{P}^s)(X) \cong R^0(X) \times R^1(X) \times \cdots \times R^s(X).$$

5.3 The Lawson Suspension Theorem

Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ are projective varieties. Let $x_{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}^0$. The suspension of X , $\mathbb{Z}X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, is the complex cone over X , or equivalently, the Thom space of the hyperplane bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of \mathbb{P}^n restricted to X . A point in $\mathbb{Z}X$ can be written as $[t : x]$ or $[1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ where $t \in \mathbb{C}, x \in X$. We consider X as a subvariety of $\mathbb{Z}X$ by identifying X with the zero section of $\mathbb{Z}X$.

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a morphism of projective varieties where $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\varphi} & \mathbb{Z}Y \end{array}$$

where $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\varphi)([t : x]) = [t : \varphi(x)]$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}, x \in X$ and $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\varphi)([1 : 0 : \dots : 0]) = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$.

Proof. The maps in the vertical arrows are inclusions and it is easy to check that the diagram commutes. \square

The above commutativity induces commutativity in cycle groups.

Corollary 5.6. 1. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a morphism of projective varieties. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_r(X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Z_r(Y) \ . \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_r(\mathbb{Z}X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\varphi} & Z_r(\mathbb{Z}Y) \end{array}$$

2. Suppose that $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of real projective varieties. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_r(X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & R_r(Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_r(\mathbb{Z}X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\varphi} & R_r(\mathbb{Z}Y) \end{array}$$

For $f \in Z_r(Y)(X)$, we consider f as a map from X to $Z_r(Y)$. Define the suspension map $\mathbb{Z}_* : Z_r(Y)(X) \rightarrow Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$ by $(\mathbb{Z}_*f)(x) = \mathbb{Z}f(x)$, the point-wise suspension. The suspension map is continuous and in [5, Theorem 3.3], the Lawson Suspension Theorem for bivariant morphic cohomology is proved.

Theorem 5.7. $\mathbb{Z}_* : Z_r(Y)(X) \rightarrow Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Let us show that the analogue Lawson Suspension Theorem is also true for bivariant reduced real cycle groups and reduced real morphic cohomology groups. The Lawson Suspension Theorem for the real cycle groups and averaged groups of projective spaces can be found in [15]. The equivariant version of the Lawson Suspension Theorem can be found in [16].

Theorem 5.8. Suppose that X, Y are real projective varieties and $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Then

1. $\mathbb{Z}_* : Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a homotopy equivalence.
2. $\mathbb{Z}_* : Z_r(Y)(X)^{av} \rightarrow Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av}$ is a homotopy equivalence.
3. $\mathbb{Z}_* : R_r(Y)(X) \rightarrow R_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Let

$$T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X) = \{f \in Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X) \mid f(x) \text{ meets } x \times Y \text{ properly for all } x \in X\},$$

$$T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X) \cap Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$$T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av} = T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X) \cap Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av}.$$

Following Proposition 3.2 in [4], we define $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^{N+1} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ to be the graph of the rational map $\mathbb{P}^{N+1} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{P}^{N+1} \times t$ for $t \in \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ is the linear automorphism $\Theta_t : \mathbb{P}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ sending $[z_0 : \cdots : z_N : z_{N+1}]$ to $[z_0 : \cdots : z_N : \frac{1}{t}z_{N+1}]$. More explicitly, Λ is the closed subvariety given by the homogeneous equations:

$$\begin{cases} X_i Y_j - X_j Y_i = 0 \\ T X_{N+1} Y_j - S X_j Y_{N+1} = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq N. \end{cases}$$

and $[X_0 : \cdots : X_{N+1}] \in \mathbb{P}^{N+1}, [S : T] \in \mathbb{P}^1, [Y_0 : \cdots : Y_{N+1}] \in \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$.

For $f \in T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$, $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, define $\phi_t(f) = Pr_{1,4*}[(X \times \Lambda) \bullet (f \times t \times \mathbb{P}^{N+1})]$ where $Pr_{1,4} : X \times \mathbb{P}^{N+1} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{N+1} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ is the projection and as the proof in [4, Proposition 3.2], $\phi_t(f)(x)$ meets $x \times Y$ properly in $x \times \mathbb{Z}Y$ for all $x \in X$, and ϕ_t is a real

map hence $\phi_t(f) \in T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. When $t = 0$, check the equations defining Λ , we see that $\phi_0(f) = \mathbb{Z}(f \bullet (X \times Y)) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, since ϕ_t is real, ϕ_t maps $T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av}$ to $T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av}$. Therefore, $\phi_0 : T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\phi_0 : T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}Z_r(Y)(X)^{av}$ are strong deformation retractions.

For a cycle $c = \sum n_i V_i$ in its reduced form, we define the degree of c to be $\sum |n_i| \deg V_i$. Designate $Z_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X), T_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$ to be the subset of $Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X), T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$ respectively consisting of cycles of degree $\leq e$ and denote $Z_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = Z_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X) \cap Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $T_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap T_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$, $Z_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av} = Z_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X) \cap Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av}$ and $T_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av} = T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av} \cap T_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$.

The second step is to show that the inclusion map $T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the inclusion map $T_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av} \hookrightarrow Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av}$ induce homotopy equivalences. We follow the proof in [5, Theorem 3.3]. Take two real points $t_0 = [0 : \cdots : 1], t_1 = [0 : \cdots : 1 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^{N+2} - \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$. Consider \mathbb{P}^{N+2} as the algebraic suspension of \mathbb{P}^{N+1} with respect to the point t_0 and let $pr : \mathbb{P}^{N+2} - \{t_1\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ denote the linear projection away from t_1 . Then consider the partially defined function

$$\Psi_e : Z_{r+1,d}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X) \times Div_e \longrightarrow Z_{r+1,de}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$$

given by

$$\Psi_e(f, D) = (Id \times pr)((\mathbb{Z}f) \bullet (X \times D))$$

where Div_e is the set of effective real divisors of degree e on \mathbb{P}^{N+2} .

Let

$$\Delta(f(x)) = \{D \in Div_e : (\mathbb{Z}f(x) \bullet D) \text{ does not meet } \{x\} \times H \text{ properly}\}$$

where $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{N+2}$ is the hyperplane containing $\mathbb{P}^N \cup \{t_1\}$.

By [11, Lemma 5.11], one has

$$codim_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta(f(x))) \geq \binom{p+e+1}{e}$$

where $p = \dim f(x)$. In particular, $codim_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta(f(x))) \longrightarrow \infty$ as $e \longrightarrow \infty$.

We now choose $e(d)$ so that $codim_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta(f(x))) > \dim X + 1$ for all $e > e(d)$. Then setting

$$\Delta(f) = \cup_{x \in X} \Delta(f(x)),$$

we have $codim_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta(f)) > 1$ for all $e > e(d)$. Consequently, for each $e > e(d)$, there must exist a line $L_e \subset Div_e$ containing $e \cdot \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ such that

$$(L_e - e \cdot \mathbb{P}^{N+1}) \cap \Delta(f) = \emptyset.$$

It follows immediately that Ψ_e restricted to $Z_{r+1,e}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X) \times (L_e - e \cdot \mathbb{P}^{N+1})$ has image in $T_{r+1,de}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$ and this map is homotopic to the map given by multiplication by e . Since Ψ_e is a real map, by restricting Ψ_e , we get two maps:

$$\Psi_e : Z_{r+1,d}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times (L_e - e \cdot \mathbb{P}^{N+1}) \longrightarrow T_{r+1,de}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

$$\Psi_e : Z_{r+1,d}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av} \times (L_e - e \cdot \mathbb{P}^{N+1}) \longrightarrow T_{r+1,de}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av}$$

which are both homotopic to the map given by the multiplication by e . It then follows as in [4, Theorem 4.2] that the inclusions induce homotopy equivalences.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_r(Y)(X)^{av} & \longrightarrow & Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow \mathbb{Z} & & \downarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)^{av} & \longrightarrow & Z_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are homotopy equivalences induced by the suspension map. By Proposition 3.1, there is a homotopy equivalence induced by \mathbb{Z} from $R_r(Y)(X)$ to $R_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}Y)(X)$. \square

Take X to be a real point, we have the Lawson Suspension Theorem for reduced real Lawson homology.

Corollary 5.9. $R_t(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $R_{t+1}(\mathbb{Z}X)$ for $t \geq 0$.

Corollary 5.10. $R_t(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is homotopy equivalent to $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 0) \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) \times \cdots \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n-t)$.

Proof. By Lawson Suspension Theorem, we have $R_t(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong R_0(\mathbb{P}^{n-t})$. Since $R_0(\mathbb{P}^{n-t}) = \frac{Z_0(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-t})}{2Z_0(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-t})}$ where $Z_0(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-t})$ is the free abelian group generated by points of $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-t}$. By the Dold-Thom Theorem, $\frac{Z_0(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-t})}{2Z_0(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-t})}$ is homotopy equivalent to $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 0) \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) \times \cdots \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n-t)$. \square

The following is the Lawson Suspension Theorem for reduced real cocycle groups.

Corollary 5.11. $R^t(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\frac{R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})(X)}{R_1(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)}$.

Proof. We have a commutative diagram of homotopy equivalences from the Suspension Theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X) & \longrightarrow & R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_1(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) & \longrightarrow & R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})(X) \end{array}$$

and then by Proposition 3.1, they induce a homotopy equivalence between $R^t(X)$ and

$$B(R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})(X), R_1(\mathbb{P}^t)(X))$$

which is homotopy equivalent to $\frac{R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})(X)}{R_1(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)}$. \square

5.4 Homotopy Property

Theorem 5.12. *Let X be a real quasiprojective variety and E be a real algebraic vector bundle over X of rank k , i.e., E is a real quasiprojective variety and $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ is a complex algebraic vector bundle of rank k . Then $\pi^* : R_r(X) \rightarrow R_{r+k}(E)$ induces a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. If the dimension of X is 0, this is trivial. Assume that the Theorem is true for any real quasiprojective variety of dimension $\leq n$. Suppose that the dimension of X is $n + 1$. Take a real quasiprojective variety Y of dimension $\leq n$ which is closed in X such that E restricted to $U = X - Y$ is trivial. We have the following diagram of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_r(X) & \longrightarrow & R_r(U) & \longrightarrow & BR_r(Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{r+k}(E) & \longrightarrow & R_{r+k}(U \times \mathbb{C}^k) & \longrightarrow & BR_{r+k}(E|_Y) \end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\pi^* : BR_r(Y) \rightarrow BR_{r+k}(E|_Y)$ is a homotopy equivalence. Thus the Theorem follows if it is true for trivial bundles. Then it is easy to see that we only need to show that the Theorem is true for the case where E is a trivial line bundle. We use induction on the dimension of X . Assume that the Theorem is true for trivial line bundle over any real quasiprojective varieties of dimension $< n$. Let the dimension of X be n and \overline{X} be the closure of X . Take real projective varieties $Y, Y' \subset \overline{X}$ of dimension smaller than n such that $X = \overline{X} - Y$, $U = \overline{X} - Y'$ and the hyperplane line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(1)|_U = U \times \mathbb{C}$. We have the following diagram of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_r(\overline{X}) & \longrightarrow & R_r(X \cap U) & \longrightarrow & BR_r(Y \cup Y') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{r+1}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(1)) & \longrightarrow & R_{r+1}((X \cap U) \times \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & BR_{r+1}(\mathcal{O}_{Y \cup Y'}(1)) \end{array}$$

By the Lawson Suspension Theorem and the induction hypothesis, the left and right vertical arrows are homotopy equivalences, thus $\pi^* : R_r(X \cap U) \rightarrow R_{r+1}((X \cap U) \times \mathbb{C})$ is a homotopy equivalence. Since $X = (X \cap U) \cup (X \cap Y')$, we have the following diagram of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_r(X) & \longrightarrow & R_r(X \cap U) & \longrightarrow & BR_r(X \cap Y') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{r+1}(X \times \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & R_{r+1}((X \cap U) \times \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & BR_{r+1}((X \cap Y') \times \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the right vertical arrow is a homotopy equivalence. Therefore, $\pi^* : R_r(X) \rightarrow R_{r+1}(X \times \mathbb{C})$ is a homotopy equivalence. \square

5.5 Real FL-Moving Lemma

The main ingredient of the proof of the duality theorem between morphic cohomology and Lawson homology is the Moving Lemma proved in [6]. Here we observe that the FL-Moving

Lemma passes to reduced real morphic cohomology. Since we are not going to use the full power of FL-Moving Lemma, we state a simple form which fits our need.

Theorem 5.13. *Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a real projective variety of dimension m . Fix non-negative integers r, s, e where $r + s \geq m$. There exists a connected interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing 0 and a map*

$$\Psi : Z_r(X) \times I \longrightarrow Z_r(X)$$

such that

1. $\Psi_0 = \text{identity}$.
2. For $t \in I$, Ψ_t is a real map.
3. For all cycles Y, Z of dimensions r, s and degree $\leq e$ and all $t \neq 0$, any component of excess dimension (i.e., $> r + s - m$) of $Y \bullet \Psi_t(Z)$ lies in the singular locus of X for any $t \neq 0$.

Friedlander and Lawson construct the map Ψ from the map

$$\Psi_{\underline{N}}(Z, p) = (-1)^{m+1}(M+1) \cdot R_{F^*}(Z) + \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \pi_{F^i}^* \{ \Theta_{\underline{N}, p} \{ p_{F^i}^* (R_{F^{i-1}} \circ \cdots \circ R_{F^0}(Z)) \} \} \bullet X$$

for some \underline{N} large enough. We give a brief explanation of the definitions of functions involved in this map. Let $F = (f_0, \dots, f_m)$, a $(m+1)$ -tuples of real homogenous polynomials of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n where the zero locus of F is disjoint from X . Via the Veronese embedding, we embed \mathbb{P}^n into \mathbb{P}^M where $M = \binom{n+d}{d} - 1$. Let $\pi_F : \mathbb{P}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ be the linear projection determined by F and $L(F)$ the center of π_F . F determines a finite map $p_F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$, see for example [18]. For a cycle Z , $\pi_F^*(Z) = Z \# L(F)$, $C_F(Z) = \pi_F^*(p_{F^*}(Z))$, $R_F(Z) = C_F(Z) \bullet X - Z$ where $\#$ is the join. Since F is real, R_F, Θ are real maps, so $\Psi_{\underline{N}}$ is also a real map and thus Ψ is also a real map.

5.6 Duality Theorem

Now we are able to give a proof of a duality theorem between reduced real Lawson homology and reduced real morphic cohomology for nonsingular real projective varieties.

Theorem 5.14. *Suppose that X, Y are nonsingular real projective varieties and the dimension of X is m . Then*

1. $R_r(Y)(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $R_{r+m}(X \times Y)$
2. $R^t(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $R_m(X \times \mathbb{A}^t)$ for any $t \geq 0$
3. $R^t(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $R_{m-t}(X)$ for $0 \leq t \leq m$.

Therefore, we have a group isomorphism

$$RL^t H^k(X) \cong RL_{m-t} H_{m-k}(X)$$

for $0 \leq t, k \leq m$.

Proof. For each $e \geq 0$, let

$$K_e = \coprod_{d_1+d_2 \leq e} \mathcal{C}_{r,d_1}(X \times Y) \times \mathcal{C}_{r,d_2}(X \times Y) / \sim$$

and let

$$K'_e = \coprod_{d_1+d_2 \leq e} \mathcal{C}_{r,d_1}(Y)(X) \times \mathcal{C}_{r,d_2}(Y)(X) / \sim$$

where \sim is the naive group completion relation and $\mathcal{C}_{r,d}(Y)(X) = \mathcal{C}_{r+m,d}(X \times Y) \cap \mathcal{C}_r(Y)(X)$.

Let q be the quotient map from $Z_{r+m}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $R_{r+m}(X \times Y)$ and let q' be the quotient map from $Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $R_r(Y)(X)$. Let $\widetilde{K}_e = q(K_e \cap Z_{r+m}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{R}})$, $\widetilde{K}'_e = q'(K'_e \cap Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}})$.

By Real FL-Moving Lemma, we get two real maps

$$\Psi : Z_{r+m}(X \times Y) \times I \longrightarrow Z_{r+m}(X \times Y),$$

$$\Psi' : Z_r(Y)(X) \times I \longrightarrow Z_r(Y)(X)$$

where I is some connected interval containing 0. Since Ψ and Ψ' preserve $Z_r(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $Z_{r+m}(X \times Y)^{av}$ and $Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, $Z_r(Y)(X)^{av}$ respectively, they induce maps on $R_r(Y)(X) \times I$ and $R_{r+m}(X \times Y) \times I$. By abuse of notation, we will use the same notation Ψ, Ψ' to denote these two maps respectively. Restricting Ψ, Ψ' to $\widetilde{K}_e \times I$ and $\widetilde{K}'_e \times I$, we get two maps $R\phi_e = \widetilde{\Psi}|_{\widetilde{K}_e \times I}$, $R\phi'_e = \widetilde{\Psi}'|_{\widetilde{K}'_e \times I}$.

The inclusion map $\mathcal{D} : Z_r(Y)(X) \longrightarrow Z_{r+m}(X \times Y)$ induces an injective map

$$R\mathcal{D} : R_r(Y)(X) \longrightarrow R_{r+m}(X \times Y).$$

By Lemma 2.2, the filtrations

$$\widetilde{K}_0 \subset \widetilde{K}_1 \cdots = R_{r+m}(X \times Y)$$

and

$$\widetilde{K}'_0 \subset \widetilde{K}'_1 \cdots = R_r(Y)(X)$$

are locally compact and $R\mathcal{D}$ is filtration-preserving.

We have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{K}'_e \times I & \xrightarrow{R\phi'_e} & R_r(Y)(X) \\ R\mathcal{D} \times Id \downarrow & & \downarrow R\mathcal{D} \\ \widetilde{K}_e \times I & \xrightarrow{R\phi_e} & R_{r+m}(X \times Y) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{K}'_e \times \{1\} & \xrightarrow{R\phi'_e} & R_r(Y)(X) \\
\downarrow & \nearrow R\phi_e & \downarrow \\
\widetilde{K}_e \times \{1\} & \xrightarrow{R\phi_e} & R_{r+m}(X \times Y)
\end{array}$$

and there is a map $\widetilde{\lambda}_e = R\phi_e$ from $\widetilde{K}_e \times 1$ to $R_r(Y)(X)$. Thus by Lemma [5.2] in [7], $R_r(Y)(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $R_{r+m}(X \times Y)$.

Furthermore, we have a commutative diagram of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X) & \longrightarrow & R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) & \longrightarrow & R^t(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
R_m(X \times \mathbb{P}^{t-1}) & \longrightarrow & R_m(X \times \mathbb{P}^t) & \longrightarrow & R_m(X \times \mathbb{A}^t)
\end{array}$$

The first two columns are homotopy equivalences which implies the last one is also a homotopy equivalence. If $0 \leq t \leq m$, by the homotopy property of trivial bundle projection, $R^t(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $R_{m-t}(X)$. \square

Proposition 5.15. *Suppose that X is a nonsingular real projective variety. Then $R_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^t)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^{-t}R_0(X)$ where $\Omega^{-t}R_0(X)$ is the t -fold delooping of $R_0(X)$ given the infinite loop space structure induced by the structure as a topological abelian group of $R_0(X)$.*

Proof. For two Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces $K(G, i), K(H, j)$, denote

$$K(G, i) \otimes K(H, j) = K(G \otimes H, i + j)$$

and

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n (K(G_i, i)) \right) \otimes \left(\prod_{j=1}^m (K(H_j, j)) \right) = \prod_{r=1}^{n+m} \prod_{i+j=r} K(G_i \otimes H_j, i + j).$$

From Theorem A.5. in [15], there is a canonical homotopy equivalence between $R_0(X)$ and $\prod_{k \geq 0} K(H_k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2), k)$. We will always consider the homotopic splitting of $R_0(X)$ into Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces by this canonical homotopy equivalence. By the Künneth formula for \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients and the Dold-Thom Theorem, we have $R_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^{t-1}) = R_0(X) \otimes R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})$ and $R_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^t) = R_0(X) \otimes R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)$. Since the inclusion map $\mathbb{P}^{t-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^t$ induces an isomorphism $i_* : H_k(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{t-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \longrightarrow H_k(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^t; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for $0 \leq k < t$ in homology, $i_* : \pi_k(R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})) \longrightarrow \pi_k(R_0(\mathbb{P}^t))$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq k < t$ by the Dold-Thom Theorem. Therefore $i_* : \pi_l R_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^{t-1}) \longrightarrow \pi_l R_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^t)$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq l < t$ and an injection for $l \geq t$. From the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_l R_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^{t-1}) \longrightarrow \pi_l R_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^t) \longrightarrow \pi_l (R_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^t)) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

we see that $\pi_l(R_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^t)) = 0$ if $0 \leq l < t$ and $\pi_l(R_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^t)) = \frac{\pi_l R_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^t)}{\pi_l R_0(X \times \mathbb{P}^{t-1})}$ if $l \geq t$. Use the canonical homotopical splitting of $R_0(X)$ into a product of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces

and denote the i -th component of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space of $R_0(X)$ to be $R_{0,i}(X)$. Then for $l \geq t$, from above calculation, we have $R_{0,l}(X \times \mathbb{A}^t) = R_{0,l-t}(X) \otimes R_{0,t}(\mathbb{P}^t) = R_{0,l-t}(X) \otimes K(\mathbb{Z}_2, t) = \Omega^{-t}R_{0,l-t}(X)$. Thus $R_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^t) = \Omega^{-t}R_0(X)$. \square

By taking homotopy groups, we have the following result:

Corollary 5.16. *For a nonsingular real projective variety X ,*

$$RL_0H_k(X \times \mathbb{A}^t) = \begin{cases} RL_0H_{k-t}(X), & \text{if } k \geq t; \\ 0, & \text{if } k < t. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 5.17. *Suppose that X is a nonsingular real projective variety of dimension m and ReX is connected and of dimension m . Then*

$$RL^tH^k(X) = RL^mH^k(X) = H_{m-k}(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

for $t \geq m \geq k$ and $RL^tH^k(X) = 0$ for $t \geq k > m$.

Proof. $RL^tH^k(X) = \pi_{t-k}R^t(X) = \pi_{t-k}R_m(X \times \mathbb{A}^t) = \pi_{t-k}R_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^{t-m}) = \pi_{t-k}\Omega^{-(t-m)}R_0(X)$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k > m \\ \pi_{m-k}R_0(X) = H_{m-k}(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) = RL^mH^k(X) & \text{if } k \leq m \end{cases}$$

\square

Corollary 5.18. $R^t(\mathbb{P}^n) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^t K(\mathbb{Z}_2, i) & \text{if } t \leq n \\ \prod_{i=0}^n K(\mathbb{Z}_2, i+t-n) & \text{if } t > n \end{cases}$

Proof. If $t \leq n$, by the Duality Theorem, $R^t(\mathbb{P}^n) = R_n(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^t) = R_{n-t}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \prod_{i=0}^t K(\mathbb{Z}_2, i)$; if $t > n$, then $R^t(\mathbb{P}^n) = R_n(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^t) = R_0(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^{t-n}) = \Omega^{-(t-n)}R_0(\mathbb{P}^n) = \Omega^{-(t-n)}\prod_{i=0}^n K(\mathbb{Z}_2, i) = \prod_{i=0}^n K(\mathbb{Z}_2, i+t-n)$. \square

Corollary 5.19. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective variety of dimension m . Then $R^t(\mathbb{Z}X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $R^t(X)$ for $0 \leq t \leq m$.*

Proof. $R^t(\mathbb{Z}X) \cong R_{m+1-t}(\mathbb{Z}X) \cong R_{m-t}(X) \cong R^t(X)$. \square

6 From Reduced Real Morphic Cohomology To Singular Cohomology

6.1 Natural Transformations

Suppose that X, Y are real projective varieties. It is a general fact that for a topological group G and a locally compact Hausdorff space A , $Map(A, G)$, the space of all continuous functions from A to G with the compact-open topology, is a topological group under point-wise multiplication. By using the graphing construction of Friedlander and Lawson, $Z_r(Y)(X)$ can be identified as

a subspace of $Map(X, Z_r(Y))$. Thus the inclusion map $i : Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow Map(X, Z_r(Y))$ is an embedding. For $f \in Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, if we restrict f to $Re(X)$, the set of real points of X , since $f(x) = \overline{f(\bar{x})} = f(x)$, the image of f lies in $Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Composing the inclusion map with the restriction map, we have a continuous map

$$\Psi' : Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow Map(ReX, Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Composing again with the quotient map $q : Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow R_r(Y)$, we have a continuous map

$$\Psi'' : Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow Map(ReX, R_r(Y)).$$

If $f = g + \bar{g}$, $\Psi''(f)(x) = g(x) + \overline{g(\bar{x})} + Z_r(Y)^{av} = g(x) + \overline{g(x)} + Z_r(Y)^{av} = Z_r(Y)^{av}$, so $Z_r(Y)(X)^{av} \subset Ker\Psi''$ therefore Ψ'' induces a continuous map

$$\Psi : R_r(Y)(X) \longrightarrow Map(ReX, R_r(Y)).$$

We summarize the above construction in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_r(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{i} & Map(X, Z_r(Y)) \\ \downarrow & \searrow \Psi' & \downarrow \text{restriction} \\ & & Map(ReX, Z_r(Y)_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ & \searrow \Psi'' & \downarrow q \\ R_r(Y)(X) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & Map(ReX, R_r(Y)) \end{array}$$

Now we are going to construct a map from $R^t(X)$ to $Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t))$.

Let $q' : R_0(\mathbb{P}^t) \longrightarrow R_0(\mathbb{A}^t)$ be the quotient map. Then q' induces a map, also denoting as q' , from $Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{P}^t))$ to $Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t))$. Let $\Phi = q' \circ \Psi : R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) \longrightarrow Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t))$. For $f \in R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)$, $f \in ker(\Phi)$, so Φ induces a map $\Phi^t : R^t(X) \longrightarrow Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t))$. We summarize the construction of Φ^t as following:

$$\Phi^t(f + Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)^{av} + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)) = q' \circ q \circ (f|_{ReX}).$$

Since $R_0(\mathbb{A}^t)$ is the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, t)$, taking homotopy groups, Φ^t induces a group homomorphism:

$$\Phi^{t,k} : RL^t H^k(X) \longrightarrow H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Proposition 6.1. $\Phi^{t,k} : RL^t H^k(X) \longrightarrow H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a natural transformation for each k with $0 \leq k \leq t$.

Proof. Suppose that $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a morphism between two real projective varieties. f induces a map $f^* : R^t(Y) \longrightarrow R^t(X)$ by mapping $\phi + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(Y)$ to $\phi \circ f + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)$. Since f is a real map, it maps $Re(X)$ to $Re(Y)$, thus f induces a map $f^* : Map(ReY, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t)) \longrightarrow Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t))$. It is easy to check that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R^t(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & R^t(X) \\
\Phi^t \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi^t \\
\text{Map}(ReY, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t)) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t))
\end{array}$$

which then induces a commutative diagram of homotopy groups. \square

6.2 S -Map In Reduced Real Morphic Cohomology

Let us give a construction of the S -map in reduced real morphic cohomology: Fix $x_\infty \in \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R^t(X) \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & R^t(X) \times R_0(\mathbb{P}^1) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \# \\
R^t(X) \wedge \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+2})(X)}{R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})(X)} \\
& \searrow s & \downarrow \Sigma^{-1} \\
& & \frac{R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})(X)}{R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)} \\
& & \downarrow \parallel \\
& & R^{t+1}(X)
\end{array}$$

The top horizontal row sends $(f + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X), x) \in R^t(X) \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$ to $(f + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X), x - x_\infty)$ and the join on the right hand side sends $(f + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X), y)$ to $f \# y + R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})(X)$ where $f \# y \in R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+2})(X)$. Σ^{-1} is the homotopy inverse of the suspension map.

So we have a map from $R^t(X) \wedge S^1 \longrightarrow R^{t+1}(X)$ which induces a map in the reduced real morphic cohomology:

$$S : RL^t H^k(X) \longrightarrow RL^{t+1} H^k(X).$$

Proposition 6.2. *For a real projective variety X , the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
RL^t H^k(X) & \xrightarrow{S} & RL^{t+1} H^k(X) \\
& \searrow \Phi^{t,k} & \swarrow \Phi^{t+1,k} \\
& & H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)
\end{array}$$

Proof. Fix a point $x_\infty \in \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
R^t(X) \wedge \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+2})(X)}{R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})(X)} & \xleftarrow{\cong \Sigma} & R^{t+1}(X) \\
\downarrow \Phi^t \times Id & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi^{t+1} \\
\text{Map}(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t)) \wedge \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(ReX, \frac{R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+2})}{R_1(\mathbb{P}^{t+1})}) & \xleftarrow{\cong \Sigma} & \text{Map}(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^{t+1}))
\end{array}$$

The right arrow in the bottom row sends $f \wedge x$ to the map $(f \wedge x)(y) = f(y) \# (x - x_\infty)$. It is easy to see that these two squares commute and then take the homotopy groups. \square

6.3 Filtration

For a real projective variety X , define $\mathcal{F}^t = \Phi^{t,k}(RL^t H^k(X))$. By the above Proposition, we have a filtration:

$$\mathcal{F}^k \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{k+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

which is analogous to the ‘‘topological filtration’’ defined by Friedlander and Mazur in [8].

6.4 The H-Operator

From the construction of S -map, we have a map

$$H : R^t(X) \hookrightarrow R^t(X) \times R_0(\mathbb{P}^1) \longrightarrow R^{t+1}(X)$$

and then taking the homotopy group, we have

$$H : RL^t H^k(X) \longrightarrow RL^{t+1} H^{k+1}(X)$$

which is the H -operator in reduced real morphic cohomology.

7 From Reduced Real Lawson Homology To Singular Homology

7.1 Natural Transformations

In Lawson homology, there is a natural transformation

$$\Phi_{r,k} : L_r H_k(X) \longrightarrow H_k(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

defined by iterating the s -map in Lawson homology r times and then composite with the Dold-Thom isomorphism. We show that similar construction is valid in reduced real Lawson homology. Thus we have a natural transformation from reduced real Lawson homology to singular homology of the real points with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients.

Fix a point $x_\infty \in \mathbb{RP}^1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_t(X) \times \mathbb{RP}^1 & \longrightarrow & R_t(X) \times R_0(\mathbb{P}^1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \# \\ R_t(X) \wedge \mathbb{RP}^1 & \longrightarrow & R_{t+1}(X \# \mathbb{P}^1) \\ & \searrow s & \downarrow \cong^{-2} \\ & & R_{t-1}(X) \end{array}$$

The map on the top horizontal row sends $(V, x) \in R_t(X) \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$ to $V \# (x - x_\infty) \in R_t(X) \times R_0(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and since joining with a zero cycle is a zero cycle, it reduces to the smash product of $R_t(X)$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$. Finally, we take the homotopy inverse of the suspension map twice. So we get a map $s : S^1 \wedge R_t(X) \longrightarrow R_{t-1}(X)$ which induces a map in the reduced real Lawson homology

$$s : RL_t H_k(X) \longrightarrow RL_{t-1} H_k(X).$$

We iterate this map t times and then apply the Dold-Thom isomorphism $\tau : \pi_k R_0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_k(\text{Re}X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. This gives us a map

$$\Phi_{t,k} : RL_t H_k(X) \longrightarrow H_k(\text{Re}X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

where $\Phi_{t,k} = \tau \circ s^t$.

Proposition 7.1. *For a morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ between real projective varieties X, Y , the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RL_r H_k(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{r,k}} & H_k(\text{Re}X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ RL_r H_k(Y) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{r,k}} & H_k(\text{Re}Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

Thus Φ is a natural transformation from reduced real Lawson homology to singular homology of real points with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients.

Proof. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_r(X) \wedge \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & R_{r+1}(X \# \mathbb{P}^1) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Z}^2} & R_{r-1}(X) \\ \downarrow f_* \wedge Id & & \downarrow (f \# Id)_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ R_r(Y) \wedge \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & R_{r+1}(Y \# \mathbb{P}^1) & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Z}^2} & R_{r-1}(Y) \end{array}$$

Taking homotopy groups, we have the following commutative diagram of s -map :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RL_r H_k(X) & \xrightarrow{s} & RL_{r-1} H_k(X) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ RL_r H_k(Y) & \xrightarrow{s} & RL_{r-1} H_k(Y) \end{array}$$

And by the functoriality of Dold-Thom isomorphism, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RL_0 H_k(X) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & H_k(\text{Re}X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ RL_0 H_k(Y) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & H_k(\text{Re}Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

Applying the commutative diagram of s -map r times and applying the commutative diagram of Dold-Thom isomorphism, we get the required commutativity. \square

7.2 Filtrations

Definition (The geometric filtration) Let X be a real projective variety and denote by $RG_j H_n(X) \subset H_n(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ the subspace of $H_n(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ generated by the images of maps $H_n(ReY; \mathbb{Z}_2) \longrightarrow H_n(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ induced from all morphisms $Y \longrightarrow X$ of real projective variety Y of dimension $\leq 2n - j$. The subspaces $RG_j H_n(X)$ form a decreasing filtration:

$$\cdots \subset RG_j H_n(X) \subset RG_{j-1} H_n(X) \subset \cdots \subset RG_0 H_n(X) \subset H_n(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

which is called the geometric filtration.

The s -map in reduced real Lawson homology enables us to define a filtration which is analogous to the topological filtration in the Lawson homology.

Definition (The topological filtration) Suppose that X is a real projective variety. Let $RT_t H_n(X)$ denote the subspace of $H_n(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ given by the image of $\Phi_{t,n}$, i.e.,

$$RT_t H_n(X) = \Phi_{t,n}(RL_t H_n(X)).$$

The subspaces $RT_t H_n(X)$ form a decreasing filtration:

$$\cdots \subset RT_t H_n(X) \subset RT_{t-1} H_n(X) \subset \cdots \subset RT_0 H_n(X) = H_n(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

and $RT_t H_n(X)$ vanishes if $t > n$. This filtration is called the topological filtration.

It was conjectured by Friedlander and Mazur in [8] that the topological filtration and geometric filtration in Lawson homology coincide. We post the similar conjecture for reduced real Lawson homology.

Conjecture For a smooth real projective variety X , the topological filtration and the geometric filtration in reduced real Lawson homology coincide, i.e.,

$$RT_t H_n(X) = RG_t H_n(X).$$

7.3 The h -Operator

Let us now construct a map which is the analogue of the h -operator in Lawson homology.

$$h : R_r(X) \hookrightarrow R_r(X) \times R_0(\mathbb{P}^1) \xrightarrow{\#} R_{r+1}(X \# \mathbb{P}^1) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}^{-2}} R_{r-1}(X)$$

which induces a map, called the h -operator, in reduced real Lawson homology:

$$h : RL_r H_k(X) \longrightarrow RL_{r-1} H_{k-1}(X).$$

8 Cup Product

From Section 6 in [5], there is a continuous pairing induced by the join of varieties:

$$Z^s(Y)(X) \wedge Z^{s'}(Y')(X') \xrightarrow{\#} Z^{s+s'}(Y\#Y')(X \times X')$$

given by

$$(\varphi\#\varphi')(x, x') = (\varphi(x))\#(\varphi'(x'))$$

For a point $[x_0 : \dots : x_n : y_0 : \dots : y_m] \in V\#U$ in the join of two varieties V and U , $[\bar{x}_0 : \dots : \bar{x}_n : \bar{y}_0 : \dots : \bar{y}_m] = [x_0 : \dots : x_n : y_0 : \dots : y_m] \in \overline{V\#U}$, thus $\#$ is a real pairing. Therefore, for real projective varieties X, X', Y, Y' , $\#$ reduces to a continuous pairing

$$R^s(Y)(X) \wedge R^{s'}(Y')(X') \xrightarrow{\#} R^{s+s'}(Y\#Y')(X \times X').$$

Taking the homotopy groups, we have a pairing:

$$RL^s H^q(X; Y) \otimes RL^{s'} H^{q'}(X'; Y') \longrightarrow RL^{s+s'} H^{q+q'}(X \times X'; Y\#Y'),$$

and when restricted to the diagonal in $X \times X$, it determines a cup product:

$$RL^s H^q(X; Y) \otimes RL^{s'} H^{q'}(X; Y') \xrightarrow{\#} RL^{s+s'} H^{q+q'}(X; Y\#Y').$$

The pairing

$$R^s(\mathbb{P}^s)(X) \wedge R^{s'}(\mathbb{P}^{s'})(X') \longrightarrow R^{s+s'}(\mathbb{P}^{s+s'+1})(X \times X')$$

by the Lawson Suspension Theorem reduces to a pairing on the reduced real cocycle groups :

$$R^s(X) \wedge R^{s'}(X') \longrightarrow R^{s+s'}(X \times X')$$

and when restricted to the diagonal in $X \times X$, we get a pairing

$$R^s(X) \wedge R^{s'}(X) \longrightarrow R^{s+s'}(X)$$

which gives us a commutative cup product in reduced real morphic cohomology:

$$RL^s H^q(X) \otimes RL^{s'} H^{q'}(X) \longrightarrow RL^{s+s'} H^{q+q'}(X).$$

Proposition 8.1. *Suppose that $X, X', Y, Y', W, W', Z, Z'$ are all real projective varieties and $f : X \longrightarrow X', g : W \longrightarrow W'$ are real morphisms. Then we have the following commutative diagrams:*

1.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^s(Y)(X') \wedge R^{s'}(Z)(W') & \xrightarrow{\#} & R^{s+s'}(Y\#Z)(X' \times W') \\ f^* \wedge g^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (f \times g)^* \\ R^s(Y)(X) \wedge R^{s'}(Z)(W) & \xrightarrow{\#} & R^{s+s'}(Y\#Z)(X \times W) \end{array}$$

2.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^s(X') \wedge R^{s'}(X') & \xrightarrow{\#} & R^{s+s'}(X') \\ f^* \wedge f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ R^s(X) \wedge R^{s'}(X) & \xrightarrow{\#} & R^{s+s'}(X) \end{array}$$

3.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^s(X)(Y) \wedge R^{s'}(W)(Z) & \xrightarrow{\#} & R^{s+s'}(X \# W)(Y \times Z) \\ f_* \wedge g_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (f \times g)_* \\ R^{s-c}(X')(Y) \wedge R^{s'-c'}(W')(Z) & \xrightarrow{\#} & R^{(s+s')-(c+c')}(X' \# W')(Y \times Z) \end{array}$$

where $c = \dim X - \dim X'$, $c' = \dim W - \dim W'$.

In short, cup product in reduced real morphic cohomology is natural with respect to real morphisms.

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (f^* \varphi) \# (g^* \varphi') &= (\varphi \circ f) \# (\varphi' \circ g) = (\varphi \# \varphi') \circ (f \times g) = (f \times g)^*(\varphi \# \varphi'), \\ (f_* \alpha) \# (g_* \alpha') &= (f \times g)_*(\alpha \# \alpha') \end{aligned}$$

and then check that they pass to reduced real cocycles. \square

From Lawson Suspension Theorem, we obtain a canonical homotopy equivalence:

$$Z^q(\mathbb{P}^n) \longrightarrow \prod_{k=0}^q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{k=0}^q K(\mathbb{Z}, 2k)$$

for all $n \geq q$. In [17], Lawson and Michelsohn showed that the complex join $\#_{\mathbb{C}} : \prod_{k=0}^q \times \prod_{k=0}^{q'} \longrightarrow \prod_{k=0}^{q+q'}$ has the property that

$$\#_{\mathbb{C}}^*(\iota_{2k}) = \sum_{r+s=k} \iota_{2r} \otimes \iota_{2s}$$

in integral cohomology where ι_{2k} is the generator of

$$H^{2k}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2k); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

The cup product in cohomology is characterized by some axioms which can be found for example in [1]. From the above result, it is easy to check that the complex join induces the cup product in integral cohomology. Following a similar approach, Lam in [13] showed that the corresponding result holds for the pairing: $\# : \prod_{\mathbb{R}}^q \times \prod_{\mathbb{R}}^{q'} \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{R}}^{q+q'}$ where $\prod_{\mathbb{R}}^q = K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 0) \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) \times \cdots \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, q)$, thus $\#$ induces the cup product in \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology.

We now show that the natural transformation from reduced real morphic cohomology to \mathbb{Z}_2 -singular cohomology is a ring homomorphism.

Proposition 8.2. *Suppose that X and X' are real projective varieties. Then for all s, s' and q, q' , there are commutative diagrams:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RL^s H^q(X) \otimes RL^{s'} H^{q'}(X') & \xrightarrow{\#} & RL^{s+s'} H^{q+q'}(X \times X') \\ \downarrow \Phi^{s,q} \otimes \Phi^{s',q'} & & \downarrow \Phi^{s+s',q+q'} \\ H^q(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H^{q'}(ReX'; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \longrightarrow & H^{q+q'}(Re(X \times X'); \mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal arrow is the usual cup product in \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients. In particular, the map

$$\Phi^{*,*} : RL^* H^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is a graded-ring homomorphism.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^s(X) \wedge R^{s'}(X') & \xrightarrow{\#} & R^{s+s'}(X \times X') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^s)) \wedge Map(ReX', R_0(\mathbb{A}^{s'})) & \xrightarrow{\#} & Map(Re(X \times X'), R_0(\mathbb{A}^{s+s'})) \end{array}$$

Since the lower arrow in the diagram above is exactly the map classifying the cup product in \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology, taking homotopy, proves the first assertion. Let $X' = X$ and by the naturality of Φ in the case of the diagonal map $\delta : X \longrightarrow X \times X$, we see that it is a ring homomorphism. \square

9 Slant Product

Suppose that X, Y are projective varieties. Define a product

$$Z_r(Y)(X) \times Z_p(X) \longrightarrow Z_{r+p}(Y)$$

by sending (f, V) to $Pr_*(f \bullet (V \times Y))$ where $Pr : X \times Y \longrightarrow Y$ is the projection and we consider f as a cycle in $X \times Y$ which intersects $V \times Y$ properly. It is proved in [5, Proposition 7.1] this is a continuous pairing.

Now suppose that X, Y are real projective varieties. Since in the definition, each operation is real, the above pairing reduces to a pairing

$$R_r(Y)(X) \times R_p(X) \longrightarrow R_{r+p}(Y)$$

and it is easy to see that it reduces again to

$$R_r(Y)(X) \wedge R_p(X) \longrightarrow R_{r+p}(Y).$$

Therefore, we have a slant product:

$$RL^r H^k(X; Y) \otimes RL_p H_n(X) \longrightarrow RL_{r+p} H_{n-k+2r}(Y).$$

Fix $r = 0, p \leq t$. For $Y = \mathbb{P}^{t-1}$, the product from the above construction sends

$$R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X) \wedge R_p(X) \longrightarrow R_p(\mathbb{P}^{t-1}).$$

Therefore, the product

$$R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X) \wedge R_p(X) \longrightarrow R_p(\mathbb{P}^t)$$

reduces to

$$\frac{R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)}{R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)} \wedge R_p(X) \longrightarrow \frac{R_p(\mathbb{P}^t)}{R_p(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})}.$$

Since $\frac{R_p(\mathbb{P}^t)}{R_p(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})} = R_p(\mathbb{A}^t) \cong R_0(\mathbb{A}^{t-p})$, we have a pairing:

$$R^t(X) \wedge R_p(X) \longrightarrow R_0(\mathbb{A}^{t-p})$$

which induces a Kronecker pairing:

$$RL^t H^k(X) \otimes RL_p H_k(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

for $p \leq k \leq t$.

Proposition 9.1. *Let X be a real projective variety. Then for all $s \geq q$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RL^s H^q(X) \otimes RL_0 H_q(X) & & \\ \Psi^{s,q} \otimes \Psi_{0,q} \downarrow & \searrow \kappa & \\ H^q(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_q(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\kappa^{top}} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

commutes; i.e., under the natural transformation to \mathbb{Z}_2 -singular theory, the Kronecker pairing introduced above is carried to the topological one.

Proof. The canonical homeomorphism between $R_0(X)$ and $\frac{Z_0(ReX)}{2Z_0(ReX)}$ is given by sending an element $c \in R_0(X)$ to the cycle formed by real points of X that represent c which is unique modulo 2, i.e., there exist unique $x_1, \dots, x_k \in ReX$ such that $c = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + Z_0(X)^{av}$ and c is mapped to $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i + 2Z_0(ReX)$ as the proof in Proposition 2.7. In the following, we will assume that the representation of c is the real point representation.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^s(X) \wedge R_0(X) & & \\ \Phi^s \wedge Id \downarrow & \searrow & \\ Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^s)) \wedge R_0(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R_0(\mathbb{A}^s) \end{array}$$

The slant product on the top row sends $(f + Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)^{av} + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X), \sum_i x_i + Z_0(X)^{av})$ to $(\sum_i f(x_i) + Z_0(\mathbb{P}^t)^{av} + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1}))$ and the pairing in the bottom row sends $(\varphi, \sum_i x_i)$ to $\sum_i \varphi(x_i)$. Under the natural maps, it is not difficult to see that the diagram commutes.

Taking homotopy groups, from the bottom row, we get a pairing:

$$\kappa : H^q(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_q(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

Observe that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Map(ReX, (\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{2Z_0(S^t)})^0) \wedge \frac{Z_0(ReX)}{2Z_0(ReX)} & \longrightarrow & (\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{2Z_0(S^t)})^0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t)) \wedge R_0(X) & \longrightarrow & R_0(\mathbb{A}^t) \end{array}$$

where $(\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{2Z_0(S^t)})^0 = K(\mathbb{Z}_2, t)$ is the path-connected component of $\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{2Z_0(S^t)}$ which contains the identity and the canonical quotient map $S^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^t$ induces a map from $Map(ReX, (\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{2Z_0(S^t)})^0)$ to $Map(ReX, R_0(\mathbb{A}^t))$ and a map from $(\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{2Z_0(S^t)})^0$ to $R_0(\mathbb{A}^t)$. Each vertical arrow of the diagram above is a homotopy equivalence. Thus to prove this Proposition it will suffice to establish the following lemma which is a generalization of Lemma 8.3 in [5]. \square

We use the convention that $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{Z_0(Y)}{0Z_0(Y)} = Z_0(Y)$.

Lemma 9.2. *For any finite CW-complex Y and $p = 0$ or a prime number, the pairing*

$$Map\left(Y, \left(\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{pZ_0(S^t)}\right)^0\right) \wedge \frac{Z_0(Y)}{pZ_0(Y)} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{pZ_0(S^t)}\right)^0$$

sending $(f, \sum_i n_i y_i + pZ_0(Y))$ to $\sum_i n_i f(y_i) + pZ_0(S^t)$ induces a pairing

$$\kappa : H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes H_q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

which is the topological Kronecker pairing κ^{top} where $K = (\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{pZ_0(S^t)})^0 = K(\mathbb{Z}_p, t)$ is the component of $\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{pZ_0(S^t)}$ containing the identity.

Proof. For a continuous map $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Z$, from the definition, κ has following naturality property:

$$\kappa(\varphi^* \alpha, u) = \kappa(\alpha, \varphi_* u) \tag{1}$$

for all $\alpha \in H^q(Z; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $u \in H_q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Let $Y = S^q$, $K = (\frac{Z_0(S^t)}{pZ_0(S^t)})^0 = K(\mathbb{Z}_p, t)$. A generator of $H^q(S^q; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \pi_{t-q} Map(S^q, K)$ is given by the homotopy class of $f : S^{t-q} \rightarrow Map(S^q, K)$ where $f(x)(y) = x \wedge y + pZ_0(S^t)$ and

a generator of $H_q(S^q; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \pi_q(\frac{Z_0(S^q)}{pZ_0(S^q)})$ is given by the homotopy class of $g : S^q \rightarrow \frac{Z_0(S^q)}{pZ_0(S^q)}$ defined by $g(x) = x + pZ_0(S^q)$. Then $f \wedge g : S^{t-q} \wedge S^q \rightarrow K$ where

$$(f \wedge g)(x \wedge y) = f(x)(g(y)) = f(x)(y + pZ_0(S^t)) = x \wedge y + pZ_0(S^t)$$

is the generator of $H_t(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus $\kappa = \kappa^{top}$ when Y is a sphere.

Now let $Y = (\frac{Z_0(S^q)}{pZ_0(S^q)})^0 = K(\mathbb{Z}_p; q)$. Let $i : S^q \rightarrow Y$ denote the generator of π_q . Let $u \in H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\sigma \in H_q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be given. Since $i_* : H_q(S^q; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H_q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an isomorphism, there is an element $\tau \in H_q(S^q; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ with $i_*\tau = \sigma$. Then by equation 1 and the case of spheres, $\kappa^{top}(u, \sigma) = \kappa^{top}(i^*u, \tau) = \kappa(i^*u, \tau) = \kappa(u, \sigma)$.

For a general space Y , fix $u \in H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and let $f : Y \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}_p, q)$ be the map classifying u ; i.e., $u = f^*\iota$ where $\iota \in H^q(K(\mathbb{Z}_p, q); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the canonical generator. Then for any $\tau \in H_q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, we have

$$\kappa^{top}(u, \tau) = \kappa^{top}(\iota, f_*\tau) = \kappa(\iota, f_*\tau) = \kappa(u, \tau).$$

This complete the proofs. □

10 The Compatibility Of The Duality Theorem With The \mathbb{Z}_2 -Poincaré Duality

Let us recall that a projective variety X is said to have **full real points** if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} ReX = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$.

Theorem 10.1. *Suppose that X is a real projective manifold of dimension m with full real points and ReX is connected. Then the duality theorem 5.6 is compatible with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -Poincaré duality of its real points, i.e., the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RL^t H^k(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{D}} & RL_{m-t} H_{m-k}(X) \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} & H_{m-k}(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{P} is the Poincaré duality map sending $\alpha \in H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ to $\alpha \cap [ReX] \in H_{m-k}(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.2, we reduce the problem to the case $t = m$, i.e., we need to prove the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} RL^m H^k(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{D}} & RL_0 H_{m-k}(X) \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} & H_{m-k}(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

Since the evaluation and intersection products:

$$H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\langle, \rangle} H_0(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

$$H_{m-k}(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\odot} H_0(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

are perfect pairings, it suffices to prove that

$$\langle \Phi(\alpha), \gamma \rangle = \tau(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{D}(\alpha)) \odot \gamma$$

for all $\alpha \in RL^m H^k(X)$ and all $\gamma \in H_k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ where τ is the Dold-Thom isomorphism. To prove this equality, it suffices to prove the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} & H_0(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\
\uparrow \Phi \otimes \tau & & \uparrow \epsilon^{-1} \\
\pi_{m-k}(R^m(X)) \otimes \pi_k(R_0(X)) & \xrightarrow{\setminus} & \pi_m(R_0(\mathbb{A}^m)) \\
\downarrow \mathcal{R}\mathcal{D} \otimes pr_1^* & & \downarrow = \\
\pi_{m-k}(R_m(X \times \mathbb{A}^m)) \otimes \pi_k(R_m(X \times \mathbb{A}^m)) & \xrightarrow{pr_2 \circ \bullet} & \pi_m(R_0(\mathbb{A}^m)) \\
\uparrow pr_1^* \circ \tau^{-1} \otimes pr_1^* \circ \tau^{-1} & & \uparrow \epsilon \\
H_{m-k}(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\odot} & H_0(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2)
\end{array} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\epsilon = pr_{2*} \circ pr_1^* : \pi_0(R_0(X)) \longrightarrow \pi_m(R_0(X \times \mathbb{A}^m)) \longrightarrow \pi_m(R_0(\mathbb{A}^m))$$

and \setminus is the slant product. The commutativity of the top square follows from the construction of slant product 9 and the naturality of Kronecker pairing 9.1.

To verify the commutativity of the middle square of the diagram 2, it suffices to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R^m(X) \wedge R_0(X) & \xrightarrow{\setminus} & R_0(\mathbb{A}^m) \\
\downarrow \mathcal{R}\mathcal{D} \wedge pr_1^* & & \downarrow = \\
R_m(X \times \mathbb{A}^m) \wedge R_m(X \times \mathbb{A}^m) & \xrightarrow{pr_2 \circ \bullet} & R_0(\mathbb{A}^m)
\end{array}$$

For $f + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{m-1})(X) \in R^m(X)$, $\sum n_i x_i + Z_0(X)^{av} \in R_0(X)$, $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{D}(f + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{m-1})(X)) = f + R_m(X \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1})$, $pr_1^*(\sum n_i x_i + Z_0(X)^{av}) = \sum n_i(x_i \times \mathbb{P}^m) + R_m(X \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1})$, $pr_{2*}[(f + R_m(X \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1})) \bullet (\sum n_i(x_i \times \mathbb{P}^m) + R_m(X \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1}))] = pr_{2*}(f \bullet \sum n_i(x_i \times \mathbb{P}^m)) + R_0(\mathbb{P}^{m-1})$ which is the slant product between $f + R_m(X \times \mathbb{P}^{m-1})$ and $\sum n_i x_i + Z_0(X)^{av}$.

To prove the commutativity of the bottom square, it suffices to prove the commutativity

of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{m-k}(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_k(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{(pr_1^*)^{\otimes 2}} & H_{2m-k}^{BM}(ReX \times \mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H_{m+k}^{BM}(ReX \times \mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\
\downarrow \times & & \downarrow \times \\
H_m(ReX \times ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{(pr_1 \times pr_1)^*} & H_{3m}^{BM}((ReX \times \mathbb{R}^m)^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\
\downarrow \Delta! & & \downarrow \Delta! \\
H_0(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{pr_1^*} & H_m^{BM}(ReX \times \mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\
\downarrow = & & \downarrow pr_{2*} \\
H_0(ReX; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & H_m^{BM}(\mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{Z}_2)
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

The composition of the maps in the right column can be identified with the map $pr_{2*} \circ \bullet$ in diagram 2 using the naturality of τ and the homotopy property of trivial bundle projection of reduced real Lawson homology and the composition of the maps in the left column of diagram 3 is the intersection pairing. Thus the commutativity of diagram 3 implies the commutativity of the last square of 2.

The evident intertwining of the external product \times and the flat pull-back pr_1^* implies the commutativity of the top square. The Gysin maps and flat pull-backs commute, for a proof, for example in [FG; 3.4.d]. The commutativity of the bottom square comes from the definition of ϵ . \square

Acknowledgements The author thanks Blaine Lawson for his encouragement and guidance of his study, and for his remarks and corrections of this paper. He also thanks Christian Haesemeyer for his thorough proofreading and the National Center for Theoretical Sciences in Hsinchu, Taiwan for its warm hospitality.

A Appendix

Lemma A.1. *Suppose that C is a free abelian topological monoid with a filtration $C_0 \subset C_1 \subset \dots = C$ where $C_i \subset C_{i+1}$ is an embedding of CW-complexes, and the topology of C is the weak topology defined by this filtration. Then the naive group completion Z of C is also a CW-complex.*

Proof. Define $K_d = \coprod_{d_1+d_2 \leq d} C_{d_1} \times C_{d_2} / \sim$ where \sim is the equivalence relation coming from the naive group completion. For (a, b) where $a + b < d$ and if there exists $c \in N$ such that $(a + c, b + c) = (d_1, d_2)$, then $C_a \times C_b$ is identified as a subset of $C_{d_1} \times C_{d_2}$ by

$$C_a \times C_b \xrightarrow{+(z, z)} C_{d_1} \times C_{d_2}$$

for any $(z, z) \in \Delta_c$ where Δ_c is the intersection of the diagonal with $\coprod_{e+f \leq c} C_e \times C_f$. Therefore, $K_d = \coprod_{d-1 \leq d_1+d_2 \leq d} C_{d_1} \times C_{d_2}$. The embedding $K_d \subset K_{d+1}$ is given by identifying $C_{d_1} \times C_{d_2}$

where $d_1 + d_2 = d - 1$ in K_d with a subset of $C_{d_1+1} \times C_{d_2+1}$ in K_{d+1} and mapping $C_{d_1} \times C_{d_2}$ where $d_1 + d_2 = d$ identically into K_{d+1} . It follows that K_d is a subcomplex of K_{d+1} and thus Z is a CW-complex. \square

Theorem A.2. *For real projective varieties X, Y , each of the following topological abelian groups: $Z_p(X), Z_p(X)_{\mathbb{R}}, Z_p(X)^{av}, R_p(X), Z_p(Y)(X), Z_p(Y)(X)_{\mathbb{R}}, Z_p(Y)(X)^{av}, R_p(Y)(X), R^t(X)$ has the homotopy type of a CW-complex.*

Proof. Suppose that X is a subvariety of \mathbb{P}^n . From the canonical filtration of $Z_p(X)$, by Lemma, $Z_p(X)$ is a CW-complex. $\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the set of real points of $\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)$ which is a totally real projective variety (i.e., the zero loci of real homogeneous polynomials in real projective space) and thus $\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a CW-complex. The canonical real filtration and the Lemma imply that $Z_p(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a CW-complex.

Let $\phi : Z_p(X) \rightarrow Z_p(X)$ be the map defined by $\phi(c) = c + \bar{c}$. Define $\mathcal{C}_{p,2d}(X)^{av} = \phi(\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X))$. We want to show that $\mathcal{C}_{p,2d}(X)^{av}$ is a simplicial complex. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. The chow form corresponding to $c + \bar{c}$ is $F_c \cdot F_{\bar{c}}$. Write

$$F_c(\underline{u}^0, \dots, \underline{u}^p) = \sum_{|I_0| + \dots + |I_p| = d} a_{I_0, \dots, I_p} u^{I_0} u^{I_1} \dots u^{I_p}$$

where $\underline{u}^i = (u_{i,0}, \dots, u_{i,n})$, $u^{I_j} = u_{j,0}^{k_{j,0}} u_{j,1}^{k_{j,1}} \dots u_{j,n}^{k_{j,n}}$, $I_j = (k_{j,0}, \dots, k_{j,n})$ where each $k_{j,t}$ is a non-negative integer and $|I_j| = \sum_t k_{j,t}$. Then

$$F_c F_{\bar{c}} = \sum_{\sum |J_k| = 2d} b_{J_0, \dots, J_p} u^{J_0} \dots u^{J_p}$$

where $b_{J_0, \dots, J_p} = \sum_{(I_0, \dots, I_p) + (I'_0, \dots, I'_p) = (J_0, \dots, J_p)} a_{I_0, \dots, I_p} \bar{a}_{I'_0, \dots, I'_p}$. Write $a_{I_0, \dots, I_p} = x_{I_0, \dots, I_p} + iy_{I_0, \dots, I_p}$ where x_{I_0, \dots, I_p} and y_{I_0, \dots, I_p} are two real numbers. Fix an order of the index set $\{(I_0, \dots, I_p) \mid \sum |I_t| = d\}$ and let $\{a_{I_0, \dots, I_p}\}_c$ denote the chow coordinate of $c \in \mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)$ with respect to this order. Since $\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)$ is a real projective variety, we can find some real homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_l : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for some N defining it. Let $g_1, \dots, g_l, h_1, \dots, h_l : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be real homogeneous polynomials such that $f_k(z_1, \dots, z_N) = g_k(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_N, y_N) + ih_k(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_N, y_N)$ where $z_k = x_k + iy_k$. Then $g_1, \dots, g_l, h_1, \dots, h_l$ define a totally real projective variety in \mathbb{RP}^{2N-1} . Denote this totally real projective variety by $R\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{p,2d}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N'}$ for some N' and define a map $\psi : R\mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^{N'}$ by $\psi(x_{I_0, \dots, I_p}, y_{I_0, \dots, I_p}) = (x_{I_0, \dots, I_p} x_{I'_0, \dots, I'_p} - y_{I_0, \dots, I_p} y_{I'_0, \dots, I'_p} : x_{I_0, \dots, I_p} y_{I'_0, \dots, I'_p} + y_{I_0, \dots, I_p} x_{I'_0, \dots, I'_p})$ and therefore the image of ψ is equal to $\mathcal{C}_{p,2d}^{av}$. By Proposition 2.2.7 of [2], the image of ψ is a semi-algebraic set and since it is compact, by the triangulation theorem 9.2.1 of [2], it can be triangulated. Therefore $\mathcal{C}_{p,2d}(X)^{av}$ is a cell complex. Thus the monoid

$$\mathcal{C}_p(X)^{av} = \coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_{p,d}(X)^{av}$$

is a CW-complex and so is $Z_p(X)^{av}$. $Z_p(X)^{av}$ is a subcomplex of $Z_p(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ thus $R_p(X)$ is a CW-complex. Similar reason, $R_p(Y)(X)$ is a CW-complex. $R_0(\mathbb{P}^{t-1})(X)$ is a subcomplex of $R_0(\mathbb{P}^t)(X)$ which implies that $R^t(X)$ is a CW-complex. \square

References

- [1] Marcelo Aguilar, Samuel Gitler, Carlos Prieto, *Algebraic Topology from a Homotopical Viewpoint*, Universitext, Springer, 2002.
- [2] J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.-F. Roy, *Real Algebraic Geometry*, *Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgeb. Folge 3*, Vol. 36, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, Springer, 1998.
- [3] D. J. Benson, *Representations and cohomology II: Cohomology of groups and modules*, *Cambridge Studies in ad. math.* 31, 1998.
- [4] E. Friedlander, *Algebraic cocycles, Chow varieties, and Lawson homology*, *Compositio Math.* **77** (1991), 55-93.
- [5] E. Friedlander and H.B. Lawson, *A theory of algebraic cocycles*, *Annals of Math.* **136** (1992), 361-428.
- [6] E. Friedlander and H.B. Lawson, *Moving algebraic cycles of bounded degree*, *Invent. math.* **132** (1998), 91-119.
- [7] E. Friedlander and H.B. Lawson, *Duality relating spaces of algebraic cocycles and cycles*, *Topology* **36** (1997), 533–565.
- [8] E. Friedlander and B. Mazur, *Filtration on the homology of algebraic varieties*, *Memoir of the A.M.S.*, no. 529 (1994).
- [9] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [10] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [11] H.B. Lawson, *Algebraic cycles and homotopy theory*, *Annals of Math.* **129** (1989), 253-291.
- [12] H.B. Lawson, *Cycles and Spectra*, *Bull Braz Math. Soc.* **34**, no. 1, (2003), 1-28.
- [13] Lam, *Spaces of real algebraic cycles and homotopy theory*, 1990, Stony Brook Phd thesis.
- [14] P. Lima-Filho, *Lawson homology for quasi- projective varieties*, *Compositio Math.* **84** (1992), 1-23.
- [15] H.B. Lawson, P. Lima-Filho, M. Michelsohn, *Algebraic cycles and the classical groups I: real cycles*, *Topology*, **42**, (2003) 467-506.
- [16] H.B. Lawson, P. Lima-Filho, M. Michelsohn, *On Equivariant Algebraic Suspension*, *J. Alg. Geo.*, 7 (1998), 627-650.

- [17] Lawson, H.B. Jr and Michelsohn, M.-L., Algebraic cycles, Bott periodicity, and the Chern characteristic map, The Math. Heritage of Hermann Weyl, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1988, pp. 241-264.
- [18] Shafarevich, Basic Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag,1977.
- [19] Walker, Mark E., The morphic Abel-Jacobi map, Preprint
<http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0704/>
- [20] Teh, Jyh-Haur, The Harnack-Thom Theorem for higher cycle groups, in preparation.