

DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS ON LEIBNIZ ALGEBROIDS

Gheorghe IVAN and Dumitru OPRIȘ

Abstract. In this paper we study the differential systems on Leibniz algebroids. We introduce a class of almost metriplectic manifolds as a special case of Leibniz manifolds. Also, the notion of almost metriplectic algebroid is introduced.

These types of algebroids are used in the presentation of associated differential systems. We give some interesting examples of differential systems on algebroids and the orbits of the solutions of corresponding systems are established. ¹

1 Introduction

Lie algebroids have been introduced repeatedly into differential geometry since the early 1950's, and also into physics and algebra, under a wide variety of names.

It is well known that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebroid structures on a vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ and linear Poisson structures on the dual vector bundle $\pi^* : E^* \rightarrow M$. This correspondence can be extended to much wider class of binary operations (brackets) on sections of π on one side, and linear 2 - contravariant tensor field on E^* on the other side. It is not necessary for these operations to be antisymmetric or to satisfy the Jacoby identity. The vector bundle π together with a bracket operation, or the equivalent 2 - contravariant tensor field, will be called an algebroid. We mention the concept of Loday algebras, i.e. Leibniz algebras in the sense of Loday which are " non antisymmetric Lie algebras ".

Weinstein 's paper on Lagrangian mechanics and groupoids (see [6]) roused new interest into the field of algebroids and groupoids. Weinstein introduces " Lagrangian systems " on a Lie algebroid by means of a Legendre-type map from E to E^* associated to a given function L on E . The local coordinate expression of such equations reads

$$\dot{x}^i = \rho_a^i(x)y^a$$

¹2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B66, 53C15, 58F05.

Key words and phrases: almost Leibniz manifold, almost metriplectic manifold, Leibniz algebroid, almost metriplectic algebroid

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^a}\right) = \rho_a^i \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i} - C_{ab}^d y^b \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^d}$$

where the (x^i) are coordinates on M , (y^a) are fibre coordinates on E and the C_{ab}^d are structure functions coming from the Lie algebroid structure. Note that, more generally, the equations of the form

$$\dot{x}^i = \rho_a^i(x) y^a$$

$$\dot{y}^a = f^a(x, y)$$

were called "second - order equations on a Lie algebroid" by Weinstein.

This paper shows that various relevant dynamical systems can be described as vector fields associated to smooth functions via a bracket that defines a Leibniz structure, defined of Leibniz algebroid.

In this paper we go all the way in this direction and we work with a bracket, first introduced in (Grabowski and Urbanski, [2]), that is just required to be linear and a derivation on each its entres. The derivation property, also known as the Leibniz rule, justifies why we refer to this structure as Leibniz bracket.

This construction should not be mistaken with the Leibniz structures (also called Loday algebras) introduced by Loday ([4]) in the algebraic context.

The notion of Leibniz algebra is a certain noncommutative version of a Lie algebra. Leibniz algebroids are bundles with a local Leibniz algebra structure on its sections and a bundle map to the tangent bundle (called , anchor), which maps the bracket into the usual Lie bracket of vector fields.

2 Leibniz systems. Almost Leibniz systems

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n and let $C^\infty(M)$ be the ring of smooth functions on it. A *Leibniz bracket* on M is a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ such that it is a derivation on each entry, that is, for all $f, g, h \in C^\infty(M)$ the following relations hold:

$$[fg, h] = [f, h]g + f[g, h] \quad \text{and} \quad [f, gh] = g[f, h] + [f, g]h. \quad (1)$$

We will say that the pair $(M, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a *Leibniz manifold*. If the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is antisymmetric, that is $[f, g] = -[g, f]$, $(\forall) f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, then we say that $(M, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is an *almost Poisson manifold*.

Let $(M, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Leibniz manifold and let h be a smooth function of M . From the fact that a Leibniz structure is a derivation there exists the vector field X_h on M uniquely characterized by the relation :

$$X_h(f) = [f, h] \quad \text{for any } f \in C^\infty(M). \quad (2)$$

We will call X_h the *Leibniz vector field* associated to the *Hamiltonian function* $h \in C^\infty(M)$. Note that since $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a derivation on each their arguments, they only depend on the first derivatives of the functions and thus, we can define the 2- contravariant tensor field B on M given by:

$$B(df, dg) = [f, g], \quad \text{for all } f, g \in C^\infty(M). \quad (3)$$

We call *dynamical Leibniz system*, the dynamical system associated to the vector field X_h .

If $(x^i), i = \overline{1, n}$ is a system of local coordinates on M , in a local chart the dynamical Leibniz system is given by:

$$\dot{x}^i = [x^i, h], \quad \text{where } [x^i, h] = X_h^i = B(dx^i, dh) = B^{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j}. \quad (4)$$

Note that the symplectic and Poisson manifolds are particular cases of Leibniz manifolds. We now briefly present other non trivial examples.

Example 1. (i) Pseudometric bracket and gradient dynamical systems. Let \mathbf{g} be a 2 - contravariant non degenerate symmetric tensor field on M . Let $[\cdot, \cdot] : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ be the Leibniz bracket defined by:

$$[f, h] = \mathbf{g}(df, dh), \quad \text{for all } f, h \in C^\infty(M). \quad (5)$$

The bracket given by (5) is called the *pseudometric bracket associated to \mathbf{g}* . This bracket is clearly symmetric and non degenerate and the Leibniz vector field X_h associated to any function $h \in C^\infty(M)$ is such that $X_h f = \mathbf{g}(df, dh)$. These brackets are also called *Beltrami brackets*.

Let on \mathbf{R}^3 the constant 2 - contravariant tensor field $\mathbf{g} = (g^{ij})$ given by:

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \gamma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 \gamma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_3 \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where the parameters $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are real numbers satisfying the relation $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0$. This system happens to be a particular of pseudometric bracket and the differential system for $h = x^1 x^2 x^3$ is given by:

$$\dot{x}^1 = s_1 \gamma_1 x^2 x^3, \quad \dot{x}^2 = s_2 \gamma_2 x^1 x^3, \quad \dot{x}^3 = s_3 \gamma_3 x^1 x^2. \quad (7)$$

(ii) **The almost metriplectic systems** . Let P be a 2 - contravariant antisymmetric tensor field on M and \mathbf{g} be a 2 - contravariant non degenerate symmetric tensor field on M . We define the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ by:

$$[f, h] = P(df, dh) + \mathbf{g}(df, dh), \quad \text{for all } f, h \in C^\infty(M). \quad (8)$$

This bracket is clearly a Leibniz bracket. We will say that $(M, P, \mathbf{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is an *almost metriplectic manifold*. If the tensor field P is Poisson, then $(M, P, \mathbf{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a *metriplectic manifold*, see [1].

The differential system associated to the bracket (8) is given by:

$$\dot{x}^i = [x^i, h], \quad \text{where } [x^i, h] = P(dx^i, dh) + \mathbf{g}(dx^i, dh) = P^{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j} + g^{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j}. \quad (9)$$

Let be the 2 - contravariant tensors fields $P = (P^{ij})$, $\mathbf{g} = (g^{ij})$ on \mathbf{R}^3 and the function $h \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$ given by:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^3 & -x^2 \\ -x^3 & 0 & x^1 \\ x^2 & -x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -a_2^2(x^2)^2 - a_3^2(x^3)^2 & a_1 a_2 x^1 x^2 & a_1 a_3 x^1 x^3 \\ a_1 a_2 x^1 x^2 & -a_1^2(x^1)^2 - a_3^2(x^3)^2 & a_2 a_3 x^2 x^3 \\ a_1 a_3 x^1 x^3 & a_2 a_3 x^2 x^3 & -a_1^2(x^1)^2 - a_2^2(x^2)^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$h = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + 1)(x^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a_2 + 1)(x^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a_3 + 1)(x^3)^2. \quad (12)$$

The differential system associated to the bracket (9) is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}^1 = (a_3 - a_2)x^2 x^3 + a_2(a_1 - a_2)x^1(x^2)^2 + a_3(a_1 - a_3)x^1(x^3)^2 \\ \dot{x}^2 = (a_1 - a_3)x^1 x^3 + a_3(a_2 - a_3)x^2(x^3)^2 + a_1(a_2 - a_1)x^2(x^1)^2 \\ \dot{x}^3 = (a_2 - a_1)x^1 x^2 + a_1(a_3 - a_1)x^3(x^1)^2 + a_2(a_3 - a_2)x^3(x^2)^2 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

The differential system (13) is the *revised system* of the rigid body , see [1]. \square

Let M be a smooth manifold and P and \mathbf{g} be two 2 - contravariant tensors fields on M . We define the bracket $[\cdot, (\cdot, \cdot)] : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ by:

$$[f, (h_1, h_2)] = P(df, dh_1) + \mathbf{g}(df, dh_2), \quad \text{for all } f, h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(M). \quad (14)$$

Proposition 1. *The bracket (14) satisfy the following relations:*

$$[f_1 f, (h_1, h_2)] = f_1 [f, (h_1, h_2)] + f [f_1, (h_1, h_2)] \quad (15)$$

$$[[f, l(h_1, h_2)] = l[f, (h_1, h_2)] + h_1 P(df, dl) + h_2 \mathbf{g}(df, dl_2) \quad (16)$$

for all $f, f_1, h_1, h_2, l \in C^\infty(M)$. \square

The bracket given by (14) is called the *almost Leibniz bracket*, and we say that $(M, P, \mathbf{g}, [\cdot, (\cdot, \cdot)])$ is an *almost Leibniz manifold*.

By direct calculation we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2. *If the tensor field P is antisymmetric and the tensor field \mathbf{g} is symmetric and there exist $h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $P(dh_2, df) = 0$, $\mathbf{g}(dh_1, df) = 0$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then the bracket (14) satisfies the relation:*

$$[f, (h_1, h_2)] = [f, (h, h)], \quad \text{for } h = h_1 + h_2. \quad (17)$$

\square

From the fact that the almost Leibniz structure (14) satisfies the relation (15), there exists the vector field $X_{h_1 h_2}$ on M uniquely characterized by the relation :

$$X_{h_1 h_2}(f) = [f, (h_1, h_2)] \quad \text{for any } f \in C^\infty(M). \quad (18)$$

We will call an *almost Leibniz dynamical system*, the dynamical system associated of the vector field $X_{h_1 h_2}$ given by (18).

In system of local coordinates $(x^i), i = \overline{1, n}$ on M , the almost Leibniz dynamical system is given by:

$$\dot{x}^i = [x^i, (h_1, h_2)] \quad (19)$$

where

$$[x^i, (h_1, h_2)] = X_{h_1 h_2}^i = P^{ij} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x^j} + g^{ij} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x^j}. \quad (20)$$

Example 2. Let be the 2 - contravariant tensors fields $P = (P^{ij}), \mathbf{g} = (g^{ij})$ on \mathbf{R}^3 and the functions $h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$ given by:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & x^1 \\ 0 & -x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(x^3)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(x^2)^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x^3)^2, \quad h_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2 + x^3. \quad (22)$$

The almost Leibniz dynamical system associated to structure $(\mathbf{R}^3, P, \mathbf{g}, [\cdot, (\cdot, \cdot)])$ for the above tensors fields and functions is given by:

$$\dot{x}^1 = x^2, \quad \dot{x}^2 = x^1 x^3, \quad \dot{x}^3 = -x^1 x^2 - (x^2)^2. \quad (23)$$

□

Example 3. If we take the tensors fields $P = (P^{ij})$ and $\mathbf{g} = (g^{ij})$ on \mathbf{R}^3 and the functions $h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$ given by:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x^3 & x^2 \\ x^3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} -x^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x^1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2 + x^3, \quad h_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x^3)^2 \quad (25)$$

then the almost Leibniz dynamical system associated to $(\mathbf{R}^3, P, \mathbf{g}, [\cdot, (\cdot, \cdot)])$ for the above tensors fields and functions is given by:

$$\dot{x}^1 = x^2, \quad \dot{x}^2 = x^1 x^3, \quad \dot{x}^3 = -x^1 x^2 - x^1 x^3. \quad (26)$$

□

3 Leibniz algebroids

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n , let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle and $\pi^* : E^* \rightarrow M$ the dual vector bundle. By $\Sigma = \Gamma(M, E)$ we denote the sections of π .

A *Leibniz algebroid structure* (*pseudo - Lie algebroid structure*) on a vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is given by a bracket (bilinear operation) $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on the space of sections Σ and two vector bundle morphisms $\rho_1, \rho_2 : E \rightarrow TM$ (called the *left* and the *right anchor*, respectively) such that

$$[f\sigma_1, g\sigma_2] = f\rho_1(\sigma_1)(g)\sigma_2 - g\rho_2(\sigma_2)(f)\sigma_1 + fg[\sigma_1, \sigma_2] \quad (27)$$

for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$ and $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.

A vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ endowed with a Leibniz algebroid structure $([\cdot, \cdot], \rho_1, \rho_2)$ on E , is called *Leibniz algebroid* over M and denoted by $(E, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho_1, \rho_2)$.

A Leibniz algebroid with an antisymmetric bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ (in this case we have $\rho_1 = \rho_2$) is called *pre - Lie algebroid*. A Leibniz algebroid with a

symmetric bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ (in this case we have $\rho_2 = -\rho_1$) is called *symmetric algebroid*.

In the following, we establish a correspondence between Leibniz algebroid structures on the vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ and the 2- contravariant tensor fields on bundle manifold E^* of the dual vector bundle $\pi^* : E^* \rightarrow M$.

For a given section $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we define a function $i_{E^*}\sigma$ on E^* by the relation:

$$i_{E^*}\sigma(a) = \langle \sigma(\pi^*(a)), a \rangle, \quad \text{for } a \in E^*, \quad (28)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the canonical pairing between E and E^* .

Let Λ be a 2 - contravariant tensor field on E^* and the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\Lambda$ of functions given by the relation :

$$[f, g]_\Lambda = \Lambda(df, dg) \quad (29)$$

for all $f, g \in C^\infty(E^*)$.

For a given 2 - contravariant tensor field Λ on E^* , we say that Λ is *linear*, if for each pair (μ_1, μ_2) of sections of π^* , the function $\Lambda(di_{E^*}\mu_1, di_{E^*}\mu_2)$ defined on E^* is linear.

Theorem 1. (Grabowski and Urbanski, 1997, [2]) *For every Leibniz algebroid structure on $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ with the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and the anchors ρ_1, ρ_2 , there exists an unique 2 - contravariant tensor field Λ on E^* such that the following relations hold:*

$$i_{E^*}[\sigma_1, \sigma_2] = [i_{E^*}\sigma_1, i_{E^*}\sigma_2]_\Lambda \quad (30)$$

$$\pi^*(\rho_1(\sigma)(f)) = [i_{E^*}\sigma, \pi^*f]_\Lambda, \quad \pi^*(\rho_2(\sigma)(f)) = [\pi^*f, i_{E^*}\sigma]_\Lambda \quad (31)$$

for all $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Conversely, every 2 - contravariant linear tensor field Λ on E^* defines a Leibniz algebroid on E by the relations (30) and (31). \square

Let $(x^i), i = \overline{1, n}$ be a local coordinate system on M and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be a basis of local sections of E ($\dim M = n, \dim E = m$). We denote by $\{e^1, \dots, e^m\}$ the dual basis of local sections of E^* and (x^i, y^a) (resp., (x^i, ξ_a)) the corresponding coordinate system on E (resp., E^*).

It is easy to see that every linear 2 - contravariant tensor field Λ on E^* has the form:

$$\Lambda = C_{ab}^d \xi_a \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_a} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_b} + \rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_a} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_a}, \quad (32)$$

where $C_{ab}^d, \rho_{1a}^i, \rho_{2a}^i \in C^\infty(M)$ are functions of (x^i) .

The correspondence between Λ and a Leibniz algebroid structure is given by the following relations:

$$[e_a, e_b] = C_{ab}^d e_d, \quad \rho_1(e_a) = \rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad \rho_2(e_a) = \rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}. \quad (33)$$

We call a *dynamical system on Leibniz algebroid* $\pi : E \rightarrow M$, the dynamical system associated to vector field X_h with $h \in C^\infty(M)$ given by:

$$X_h(f) = \Lambda(df, dh), \quad \text{for all } f \in C^\infty(M). \quad (34)$$

In a system of local coordinates, the dynamical system (34) is given by:

$$\dot{\xi}_a = [\xi_a, h]_\Lambda, \quad \dot{x}^i = [x^i, h]_\Lambda \quad (35)$$

where

$$[\xi_a, h]_\Lambda = C_{ab}^d \xi_d \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi_b} + \rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i}, \quad [x^i, h]_\Lambda = -\rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi_a}. \quad (36)$$

If the Leibniz algebroid is a pre - Lie algebroid, then the dynamical system (35) and (36) is given by:

$$\dot{\xi}_a = C_{ab}^d \xi_d \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi_b} + \rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^i = -\rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi_a}, \quad C_{ab}^d = -C_{ba}^d. \quad (37)$$

If the Leibniz algebroid is a symmetric algebroid, then the dynamical system (35) and (36) is given by:

$$\dot{\xi}_a = C_{ab}^d \xi_d \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi_b} + \rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i}, \quad \dot{x}^i = \rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial h}{\partial \xi_a}, \quad C_{ab}^d = C_{ba}^d. \quad (38)$$

Example 4. Let the vector bundle $\pi : E = \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ and $\pi^* : E^* = \mathbf{R}^3 \times (\mathbf{R}^3)^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ the dual vector bundle. We consider on E^* the 2 - contravariant linear tensor field Λ , the anchors ρ_1, ρ_2 and the function h given by:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\xi_3 x^3 & \xi_2 x^2 \\ \xi_3 x^3 & 0 & -\xi_1 x^1 \\ -\xi_2 x^2 & \xi_1 x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x^3 & x^2 \\ x^3 & 0 & 0 \\ -x^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

$$\rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -x^1 \\ 0 & x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } h(x, \xi) = x^2 \xi_2 + x^3 \xi_3. \quad (40)$$

The dynamical system (35) and (36) associated to Leibniz algebroid $(\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3, P, \mathbf{g}, \rho_1, \rho_2)$ for $h = x^2\xi_2 + x^3\xi_3$ is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_1 = x^3(x^2 - 1)\xi_2 - x^2x^3\xi_3 \\ \dot{\xi}_2 = -x^3x^1\xi_1 \\ \dot{\xi}_3 = x^1x^2\xi_1 \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}^1 = x^2 \\ \dot{x}^2 = x^1x^3 \\ \dot{x}^3 = -x^1x^2 \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

The dynamical system (41) is the *dynamical system associated to the Maxwell-Bloch system*. The orbits of the system (41) represented in the coordinate systems $Ox^1x^2x^3$ and $O\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$ are given in the figures Fig.1 and Fig. 2.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

4 Almost metriplectic algebroids

Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle, an antisymmetric bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_1$ on E and $[\cdot, \cdot]_2$ a symmetric bracket on E . A Leibniz algebroid endowed with the brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]_1$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_2$ is called *almost metriplectic algebroid*.

Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 the 2 - contravariant tensors fields on the bundle manifold E^* associated with brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]_1$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_2$.

We consider the bracket $[\cdot, (\cdot, \cdot)] : C^\infty(E^*) \times (C^\infty(E^*) \times C^\infty(E^*)) \rightarrow C^\infty(E^*)$ given by:

$$[f, (h_1, h_2)] = \Lambda_1(df, dh_1) + \Lambda_2(df, dh_2), \quad \text{for all } f, h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(E^*). \quad (42)$$

It is not hard to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *The bracket given by (42) satisfy the relations:*

$$[f_1f, (h_1, h_2)] = f_1[f, (h_1, h_2)] + f[f_1, (h_1, h_2)] \quad (43)$$

$$[f, l(h_1, h_2)] = l[f, (h_1, h_2)] + h_1 \Lambda_1(df, dl) + h_2 \Lambda_2(df, dl) \quad (44)$$

for all $f, f_1, h_1, h_2, l \in C^\infty(E^*)$. \square

From (43) it follows that $X_{h_1 h_2} : C^\infty(E^*) \times C^\infty(E^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(E^*)$ given by:

$$X_{h_1 h_2}(f) = [f, (h_1, h_2)], \quad \text{for all } f \in C^\infty(E^*) \quad (45)$$

is a vector field on E^* associated to the functions $h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(E^*)$.

We will call an *almost metriplectic dynamical system*, the dynamical system associated to the vector field $X_{h_1 h_2}$ given by (45) with the bracket defined by (42).

In a system of local coordinates on E^* , the almost metriplectic dynamical system (45) is given by:

$$\dot{\xi}_a = X_{h_1 h_2}(\xi_a), \quad \dot{x}^i = X_{h_1 h_2}(x^i). \quad (46)$$

where

$$X_{h_1 h_2}(\xi_a) = \Lambda_1(d\xi_a, dh_1) + \Lambda_2(d\xi_a, dh_2), \quad X_{h_1 h_2}(x^i) = \Lambda_1(dx^i, dh_1) + \Lambda_2(dx^i, dh_2) \quad (47)$$

Then the almost metriplectic dynamical system (46) and (47) is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_a = C_{1ab}^d \xi_d \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_b} + C_{2ab}^d \xi_d \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \xi_b} + \rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x^i} + \rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x^i} \\ \dot{x}^i = -\rho_{1a}^i \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_a} + \rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \xi_a}. \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

Proposition 4. *Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two 2 - contravariant tensors fields on E^* for an almost metriplectic algebroid.*

(i) *If there exist $h_1, h_2 \in C^\infty(E^*)$ such that $\Lambda_1(dh_2, df) = 0$ and $\Lambda_2(dh_1, df) = 0$ for all $f \in C^\infty(E^*)$, then for $h = h_1 + h_2$ we have $[f, (h, h)] = [f, (h_1, h_2)]$.*

(ii) *If the function h_1 is linear on the fibre of the vector bundle $\pi^* : E^* \rightarrow M$, then there exists Λ_2 with property that it is determined by h_1 .*

Proof. (i) From hypothesis $\Lambda_1(dh_2, df) = 0$ and $\Lambda_2(dh_1, df) = 0$ for all $f \in C^\infty(E^*)$ and from (42) it follows that

$$[f, (h, h)] = \Lambda_1(df, dh) + \Lambda_2(df, dh) = \Lambda_1(df, dh_1) + \Lambda_2(df, dh_2) = [f, (h_1, h_2)].$$

(ii) From $\Lambda_2(df, dh_1) = 0$ for all $f \in C^\infty(E^*)$, we obtain:

$$C_{2ab}^d \xi_d \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_b} + \rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x^i} = 0, \quad \rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi_a} = 0. \quad (49)$$

If $h_1(x, \xi) = \xi_a h_1^a(x)$ from (49) follows

$$C_{2ab}^d h_1^b + \rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial h_1^d}{\partial x^i} = 0 \quad , \quad \rho_{2a}^i h_1^a = 0. \quad (50)$$

Then, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{2a}^i = \frac{\partial h_1^a}{\partial x^i} & \text{if } a \neq i, \quad \rho_{2i}^a = -\frac{1}{h_1^i} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^n \left(\frac{\partial h_1^a}{\partial x^k} \right)^2, & \text{if } a = i \\ C_{2ab}^d = 0 & \text{if } a \neq b, \quad C_{2ab}^d = -\frac{1}{h_1^b} \rho_{2a}^i \frac{\partial h_1^d}{\partial x^i}, & \text{if } a = b \end{cases}$$

satisfy the relations (50). \square

Example 5. *The dynamical system of the rigid body on the Leibniz algebroid $\pi : \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$.*

The dynamical system of the rigid body on \mathbf{R}^3 is given by:

$$\dot{x}^i = p^{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

where $h = \frac{1}{2}(a_1(x^1)^2 + a_2(x^2)^2 + a_3(x^3)^2)$, $a_1 > a_2 > a_3 > 0$ and

$$p = (p^{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^3 & -x^2 \\ -x^3 & 0 & x^1 \\ x^2 & -x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\pi^* : E^* = \mathbf{R}^3 \times (\mathbf{R}^3)^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ the dual vector bundle of $\pi : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ and (x^i, ξ_i) be a coordinate system on E^* . Let the 2-contravariant tensor field Λ_1 on E^* given by $(C_1, -\rho_1, \rho_1)$ where $\rho_1 = p$ and

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_3 x^3 & -\xi_2 x^2 \\ -\xi_3 x^3 & 0 & \xi_1 x^1 \\ \xi_2 x^2 & -\xi_1 x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The dynamical system for Λ_1 and $h_1(x, \xi) = a_1 x^1 \xi_1 + a_2 x^2 \xi_2 + a_3 x^3 \xi_3$ is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_1 & = a_2 \xi_3 x^2 x^3 - a_3 \xi_2 x^2 x^3 - a_2 \xi_2 x^3 + a_3 \xi_3 x^2 \\ \dot{\xi}_2 & = a_3 \xi_1 x^1 x^3 - a_1 \xi_3 x^1 x^3 - a_3 \xi_3 x^1 + a_1 \xi_1 x^3 \\ \dot{\xi}_3 & = a_1 \xi_2 x^1 x^2 - a_2 \xi_1 x^1 x^2 - a_1 \xi_1 x^2 + a_2 \xi_2 x^1 \\ \dot{x}^1 & = (a_3 - a_2) x^2 x^3 \\ \dot{x}^2 & = (a_1 - a_3) x^1 x^3 \\ \dot{x}^3 & = (a_2 - a_1) x^1 x^2 \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

The orbits of the dynamical system (51) represented in the coordinate systems $Ox^1 x^2 x^3$ and $O\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3$ are given in the figures Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.




Fig. 3




Fig. 4

The tensor field Λ_1 satisfies the relation $\Lambda_1(dh_2, df) = 0$ for all $f \in C^\infty(E^*)$ and $h_2(x, \xi) = x^1\xi_1 + x^2\xi_2 + x^3\xi_3$.

Applying the Proposition 4, it follows that there exists the 2 - contravariant tensor field Λ_2 with property $\Lambda_2(dh_1, df) = 0$, for all $f \in C^\infty(E^*)$.

The 2 - contravariant tensor field Λ_2 on E^* is given by: (C_2, ρ_2, ρ_2) , where

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{V_1}{x^1}\xi_1 - W_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{V_2}{x^2}\xi_2 - W_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{V_3}{x^3}\xi_3 - W_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -V_1 & a_1a_2x^1x^2 & a_1a_3x^1x^3 \\ a_1a_2x^1x^2 & -V_2 & a_2a_3x^2x^3 \\ a_1a_3x^1x^3 & a_2a_3x^2x^3 & -V_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= (a_2)^2(x^2)^2 + (a_3)^2(x^3)^2, & W_1 &= (a_2)^2x^2\xi_2 + (a_3)^2x^3\xi_3, \\ V_2 &= (a_1)^2(x^1)^2 + (a_3)^2(x^3)^2, & W_2 &= (a_1)^2x^1\xi_1 + (a_3)^2x^3\xi_3, \\ V_3 &= (a_1)^2(x^1)^2 + (a_2)^2(x^2)^2, & W_3 &= (a_1)^2x^1\xi_1 + (a_2)^2x^2\xi_2. \end{aligned}$$

The dynamical system (46) and (47) is the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \dot{\xi}_1 &= (a_2(a_1 - a_2)x^1x^2 - a_3x^2x^3 - a_2x^3)\xi_2 + (a_3(a_1 - a_3)x^1x^3 + a_2x^2x^3 + a_3x^2)\xi_3 \\ \dot{\xi}_2 &= (a_1(a_2 - a_1)x^1x^2 + a_3x^1x^3 + a_1x^3)\xi_1 + (a_3(a_2 - a_3)x^2x^3 - a_1x^1x^3 - a_3x^1)\xi_3 \\ \dot{\xi}_3 &= (a_2(a_3 - a_2)x^2x^3 + a_1x^1x^2 + a_2x^1)\xi_2 + (a_1(a_3 - a_1)x^1x^3 - a_2x^1x^2 - a_1x^2)\xi_1 \\ \dot{x}^1 &= (a_3 - a_2)x^2x^3 + a_2(a_1 - a_2)x^1(x^2)^2 + a_3(a_1 - a_3)x^1(x^3)^2 \\ \dot{x}^2 &= (a_1 - a_3)x^1x^3 + a_3(a_2 - a_3)x^2(x^3)^2 + a_1(a_2 - a_1)x^2(x^1)^2 \\ \dot{x}^3 &= (a_2 - a_1)x^1x^2 + a_1(a_3 - a_1)x^3(x^1)^2 + a_2(a_3 - a_2)x^3(x^2)^2 \end{aligned} \right. \quad (52)$$

The orbits of the dynamical system (52) represented in the coordinate systems $Ox^1x^2x^3$ and $O\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3$ for $a_1 = 0.6, a_2 = 0.4, a_3 = 0.2$ are given in the figures Fig.5 and Fig. 6.




Fig. 5




Fig. 6

Conclusion. In this paper are presented differential systems on Leibniz algebroids. The analysis of these systems realizes by studying the behavior of its solutions in the neighborhood of equilibria points. This analysis will be enterprised in the future papers.

References

- [1]. **D. Fish**, *Metriplectic systems*. Dissertation - Portland State University, 2005.
- [2]. **J. Grabowski, P. Urbanski**, *Lie algebroids and Poisson - Nijenhuis structures*. Rep. Math. Phys., **40**(1997), 195 - 208.
- [3]. **J. Grabowski, P. Urbanski**, *Tangent and cotangent lifts and graded Lie algebras associated with Lie algebroids*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom.,**15**(1997), no.5, 447 -486.
- [4] **J.L. Loday**, *Une version non-commutative des algèbres de Lie*. L'Enseignement Mathématique, **39** (1993), 269-293.
- [5]. **J. - P. Ortega, V. Planas -Bielsa**, *Dynamics on Leibniz manifolds*. Preprint arXiv:math. DS/0309263,2003.
- [6]. **A. Weinstein** In : Mechanics day (Waterloo, ON,1992) Fields Institute Communications 7, American Mathematical Society, 1996, 207.

Author's address:

West University of Timișoara
Department of Mathematics
4, Bd. V. Pârvan, 300223, Timișoara, Romania
E-mail : ivan@hilbert.math.uvt.ro

West University of Timișoara
Department of Mathematics
4, Bd. V. Pârvan, 300223, Timișoara, Romania
E-mail : opris@math.uvt.ro