

A sufficient condition for a set of calibrated surfaces to be area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms

Doan The Hieu *

Department of Mathematics
College of Education, Hue University
32 Le Loi, Hue, Viet Nam

dthehieu@yahoo.com; deltic@dng.vnn.vn

November 24, 2018

Abstract

We extend Choe's idea in [Ch] to nonpolyhedral calibrated surfaces and give some examples of polyhedral sets over right prisms and nonpolyhedral calibrated surfaces.

1 Introduction

In [Ch], Choe proved “*Every stationary polyhedral set is area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving the boundary fixed*”. In his proof, a system of differential forms and orientations (of faces) was chosen at each singular edge. In fact, the differential forms are calibrations that calibrate the faces at each singular edge and have the vanishing sum. We observe that, the suitable orientations of faces at each singular edge determine the same orientation on it whenever it lies on the boundary of faces.

By the above observation, we extend Choe's idea by proving a sufficient condition for certain sets of calibrated surfaces (including polyhedral sets) to be area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving the boundary fixed. This sufficient condition, when applies to polyhedral sets, is also necessary.

We give some more examples of polyhedral sets over right prisms and first examples of nonpolyhedral calibrated surfaces (2-dimensional ones with singular sets of dimension 1 in \mathbb{R}^4).

*This work was completed at SNU, with the support of Korean Foundation for Advanced Studies. The author was also supported in part by the National Basic Research Program in Natural Sciences, Vietnam.

The author would like to thank the gracious host scholar, Professor Hong-Jong Kim, for his thoughtfulness and assistance. Many thanks to Professor Jaigyoung Choe for his help, suggestions and the invitation talking this result at his seminar. This paper was written while the author was visiting RIM-GARC, Department of Mathematics of the Seoul National University, Korea. We would like to thank that institution for its hospitality and generous support.

2 The theorem

We refer the readers to [Ch] for the definition of polyhedral sets.

Let $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of calibrated surfaces of dimension m in \mathbb{R}^n ($m < n$) and $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of correspondent calibrations. That means for each $i \in I$, ω_i calibrates C_i with a suitable orientation. Note that if ω_i calibrates C_i , then $-\omega_i$ calibrates C_i with opposite orientation. Depending on a chosen orientation on C_i we have the correspondent calibration to be ω_i or $-\omega_i$.

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\Sigma \subset \cup_{i \in I} C_i$,

(ii) the set $E = \Sigma \cap (C_i \cap C_j)$ is of dimension $m - 1$ for every $i, j \in I, i \neq j$.

We call each $F_i = \Sigma \cap C_i$ a face, each E a singular edge, the union of all singular edges E the singular set S , the closure of $\partial F_i \sim S$ the boundary edge of Σ in F_i , the union $\cup_{i \in I} (\partial F_i \sim S)$ the boundary $\partial \Sigma$ of Σ .

Σ is said to be area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving the boundary fixed if

$$Vol(\Sigma) \leq Vol(\varphi(\Sigma)),$$

for any diffeomorphism φ of \mathbb{R}^n leaving the boundary of Σ fixed.

Suppose $\{E_j\}_{j \in J}$ is the set of all singular edges and $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the set of all faces of Σ . Denote

$$I_{E_j} = \{i : F_i \supset E_j\} \subset I,$$

$$J_{F_i} = \{j : E_j \subset F_i\} \subset J.$$

Theorem 2.1 *Let Σ be a set defined as above. Suppose that every singular edge E_j lies on the boundary $\partial F_i, \forall i \in I_{E_j}$ and for each E_j we can choose suitable orientations on $F_i, \forall i \in I_{E_j}$, such that:*

(i) *the orientations on $F_i, \forall i \in I_{E_j}$ determine the same orientation on E_j ,*

(ii) *the correspondent calibrations have vanishing sum.*

Then Σ is area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving $\partial \Sigma$ fixed.

Proof. The reasonings of the proof are very similar as that of the main theorem in [Ch] with some little changes.

Let φ be a diffeomorphism leaving $\partial \Sigma$ fixed and φ_t be the homotopy from the identity to φ . Suppose G_j is the m -dimensional smooth surface swept out by $\varphi_t(E_j)$ and D_i is $(m + 1)$ -dimensional surface swept out by $\varphi_t(F_i)$. We have

$$\partial D_i = F_i \cup \varphi(F_i) \cup_{j \in J_{F_i}} G_j,$$

and hence

$$\int_{\partial D_i} w_i = \int_{F_i} w_i + \int_{\varphi(F_i)} w_i + \sum_{j \in J_{F_i}} \int_{G_j} w_i.$$

Since w_i is a calibration that calibrates F_i , we get the following inequality:

$$\text{Vol}(F_i) \leq \text{Vol}(\varphi(F_i)) - \sum_{j \in J_{F_i}} \int_{G_j} w_i,$$

and finally

$$\text{Vol}(\Sigma) \leq \text{Vol}(\varphi(\Sigma)) - \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_{E_j}} \int_{G_j} w_i.$$

By virtue of the assumptions of the theorem, we can assume the orientations on $F_i, \forall i \in I_{E_j}$, determine the same orientation on G_j and since $\sum_{i \in I_{E_j}} w_i = 0$, the last term equals zero. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 2.2 *Let Σ be a polyhedral set. Then Σ is area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving $\partial\Sigma$ fixed if and only if Σ satisfies the assumptions in the Theorem 2.1.*

Proof. The sufficiency follows from the above theorem and the necessity follows from the proof of the main theorem in [Ch].

3 Examples

1. At Ken Brakke's homepage, <http://www.susqu.edu/facstaff/b/brakke/>, we can see eight nice polyhedral cones, that are made of flat sheets meeting along triple lines with an equal angle 120^0 . All of them are area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving the boundary fixed by virtue of Theorem 2.1. Figures 1 provide more three polyhedral sets over right prisms.

2. Below are examples of nonpolyhedral calibrated surfaces that is area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving the boundary fixed.

Let $\mathbb{C}^2 \equiv \mathbb{R}^4$ be complex plane with the standard complex structure J_1 , $J_1 e_1 = e_3; J_1 e_2 = e_4$.

Let R_2, R_3, \dots, R_n be the rotations of angles $\alpha, 2\alpha, \dots, (n-1)\alpha$ about the plane $\{x_3 = x_4 = 0\}$, respectively, where α satisfies the condition $n\alpha = 2\pi, n \in \mathbb{N}$. And let J_2, J_3, \dots, J_n be $(n-1)$ complex structures on \mathbb{R}^4 induced by R_2, R_3, \dots, R_n ;

$$J_i(e_1) = R_i(e_3), \quad J_i(e_2) = R_i(e_4); \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Denote w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n the Kähler forms correspondent to J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n . We can easily to see that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 0.$$




Figure 1: Polyhedral sets over right prisms.

Consider the complex curves:

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z = w^2\} \\ &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) : x_2 = x_1^2 - x_3^2; x_4 = 2x_1x_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let D be the intersection of C and $\{\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^2 = 1; x_1 \geq 0; x_3 \geq 0\}$. Note that D contains two planar curves $\{x_2 = x_1^2; x_3 = x_4 = 0; x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1; x_1 \geq 0\}$, and $\{x_2 = -x_3^2; x_1 = x_4 = 0; x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq 1; x_3 \geq 0\}$. By using the rotations of angles $k\alpha$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ about the plane $\{x_3 = x_4 = 0\}$ we get the images D_i ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$) of D . Obviously, w_1 calibrates D and w_i calibrates D_i , $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

The set $\Sigma = D \cup D_i$ contains one singular edge and is area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving the boundary fixed by virtue of Theorem 2.1.

Similarly, by using the rotations of angles $k\beta$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1; m\beta = 2\pi$ about the plane $\{x_1 = x_4 = 0\}$, we get the images D'_j ($j = 2, 3, \dots, m$) of D and the images Σ_j ($j = 2, 3, \dots, m$) of Σ .

The set $D \cup D_i \cup D'_j$ contains two singular edges. The set $\Sigma' = \Sigma \cup \Sigma_j$ contains many singular edges. By the same reasoning as above, they are also area-minimizing under diffeomorphisms leaving the boundary fixed.

References

- [Ch] J. Choe, *Every stationary polyhedral set in \mathbb{R}^n is area minimizing under diffeomorphisms*, Pacific J. Math. 175 (1996), 439-446.

[HL] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math., 104 (1982), 47-157.