

Schubert classes in the equivariant cohomology of the Lagrangian Grassmannian

TAKESHI IKEDA

February 20, 2019

Abstract

Let LG_n denote the Lagrangian Grassmannian parametrizing maximal isotropic (Lagrangian) subspaces of a fixed symplectic vector space of dimension $2n$. For each strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ with $\lambda_1 \leq n$ there is a Schubert variety $X(\lambda)$. Let T denote a maximal torus of the symplectic group acting on LG_n . Consider the T -equivariant cohomology of LG_n and the T -equivariant fundamental class $\sigma(\lambda)$ of $X(\lambda)$. The main result of the present paper is an explicit formula for the restriction of the class $\sigma(\lambda)$ to any torus fixed point. The formula is written in terms of factorial analogue of the Schur Q -function, introduced by Ivanov.

1 Introduction

Let LG_n denote the *Lagrangian Grassmannian* parametrizing n -dimensional isotropic subspaces of a fixed $2n$ -dimensional symplectic vector space. The *Schubert classes* give a linear basis for the integral cohomology ring of LG_n . These classes can be parametrized by sequences $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ of integers such that $n \geq \lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_k \geq 1$. The same set of sequences also parametrizes the T -fixed points in LG_n , where T denotes a maximal torus of the symplectic group acting on LG_n . Here we consider the T -equivariant integral cohomology ring $H_T^*(LG_n)$. We are interested in the T -equivariant *Schubert classes* $\sigma(\lambda)$ in $H_T^*(LG_n)$. The classes $\sigma(\lambda)$ form a free basis of $H_T^*(LG_n)$ over the ring \mathcal{S} of T -equivariant cohomology of a point. It is known that \mathcal{S} is naturally identified with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n]$ (for the definition of ε_i see §4.1). Let $e(\mu)$ be the T -fixed point corresponding to an index μ . The inclusion map $i_\mu : \{e(\mu)\} \hookrightarrow LG_n$ induces the *restriction* morphism $i_\mu^* : H_T^*(LG_n) \rightarrow H_T^*(\{e(\mu)\}) \cong \mathcal{S}$. The main result of the present paper (Theorem 6.1) is an explicit formula for $i_\mu^* \sigma(\lambda)$, the restriction of the equivariant Schubert class $\sigma(\lambda)$ to a T -fixed point $e(\mu)$, as a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n]$.

For the classical Grassmannian $G_{d,n}$ of d -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n , Knutson and Tao [KT] derived a formula for the restriction of the equivariant Schubert classes to a torus fixed point. This formula is written in terms of the *factorial analogue* of the Schur function introduced by Biedenharn and Louck [BL] and studied by other authors (see Section 5). Recently, Lakshmibai, Raghavan, and Sankaran [LRS] also proved the restriction

formula by a different method. They also derived *equivariant Giambelli formulas*, determinantal formulas in the global ring $H_T^*(G_{d,n})$ of equivariant cohomology, that express the equivariant Schubert classes.

As for the ordinary cohomology of the Lagrangian Grassmannian, Hiller and Boe [HB] proved Pieri-type formulas. Using the result in [HB], Pragacz [P] proved Giambelli-type formulas that express each Schubert class in a Schur-type Pfaffian form. The derivation of the Pfaffian formula is based on a comparison of the formula in [HB] and the Pieri formula for the Schur Q -function. Our formula (Theorem 6.1) is written in terms of the factorial analogue of the Schur Q -function, introduced by Ivanov [Iv1, Iv2]. This can be thought of as an equivariant analogue of Pragacz's result.

Here is a brief summary of the paper. In Section 2 we recall some results on the Weyl group of type C_n and fix some standard notation. The set of minimal coset representatives introduced in this section will be used as an index set that parametrize the main objects of this paper, the Schubert varieties, T -fixed points etc. We also present several combinatorial descriptions of this set. Section 3 is devoted to basic geometric settings, where we introduce the Schubert varieties. We proceed by studying the T -equivariant cohomology of LG_n in Section 4. A recurrence relation (4.7) arising from the equivariant Pieri-Chevalley formula (4.5) plays the central role. In Section 5 we give a definition of the factorial analogue of the Schur Q -function and present some properties. Finally, in Section 6 we prove our main theorem. The proof is performed by a comparison of Ivanov's Pieri-type formula (5.2) for factorial Q -function and the recurrence relation for the restricted Schubert class.

Acknowledgments: Firstly, I would like to thank H. Naruse for his keen interest in the present work and plenty of helpful comments. I also thank K. Takasaki, Y. Kodama, T. Tanisaki, and M. Kaneda for valuable discussions. During the preparation of this paper I also benefited from conversations with M. Ishikawa, S. Kakei, M. Katori, and T. Maeno. Lastly, but not leastly, I am grateful to H.-F. Yamada for showing me the importance of Schur's Q -functions. This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aids for Young Scientists (B) (No. 17740101) from Japan Society of the Promotion of Science.

2 Preliminaries

We first recall some basic notions about the Weyl group of type C_n , in order to fix our notation. The purpose of this section is to introduce an index set for the main ingredients of this paper, Schubert varieties, torus fixed points, etc. References for this section are [B], [H], and [HB].

2.1 Weyl group of type C_n

Let S_{2n} be the symmetric group of all permutations of $2n$ letters $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$. Set $\bar{i} = 2n - i + 1$. Let W be the subgroup of $w \in S_{2n}$ such that $w(i) = j \iff w(\bar{i}) = \bar{j}$. Then $w \in W$ can be determined by $w(1), \dots, w(n)$. A standard set of generators of W is given by $s_i = (i, i+1)(\bar{i}+1, \bar{i})$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) and $s_n = (n, \bar{n})$, where we denote by (i, j)

the transposition. The *length* $\ell(w)$ of an element w in W is the smallest number of the generators s_1, \dots, s_n (the simple reflections) whose product is w .

Let W_P denote the *parabolic subgroup* of W consisting of the element w such that $w(\{1, \dots, n\}) \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Clearly W_P is isomorphic to S_n . Let W^P denote the set of $w \in W$ such that $w(1) < \dots < w(n)$. Let $u \in W$. The coset uW_P contains a unique element w in W^P . Actually w is the unique element in the coset uW_P of minimal length. The longest element in W is denoted by w_0 . If the coset $uW_P \in W/W_P$ is represented by $w \in W^P$, then w_0uW_P is represented by $w^\vee = (\overline{w(n)}, \dots, \overline{w(1)}) \in W^P$.

2.2 Combinatorial description of W^P

By a *symmetric* Young diagram, we mean a sequence $D = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ with $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n \geq 0$ such that

$$d_i = \#\{j \mid d_j \geq i\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

By \mathcal{Y}_n^{sym} we denote the set of symmetric Young diagrams $D = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ contained in the square $n \times n$, where by the last condition we mean $d_1 \leq n$.

Let $w \in W^P$. Then the sequence

$$D(w) = (n+1-w(1), n+2-w(2), \dots, 2n-w(n)), \quad (2.1)$$

is an element of \mathcal{Y}_n^{sym} . Note that here we consider $w(i)$ simply as an element of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ without “bar”. For example if $n = 5$ and $w = (1, 3, 4, \bar{5}, \bar{2}) = (1, 3, 4, 6, 9)$, then the corresponding Young diagram is $D(w) = (5, 4, 4, 3, 1)$. See Fig. 1.




Fig.1




Fig.2

For any symmetric Young diagram $D = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ in \mathcal{Y}_n^{sym} , its *upper shifted diagram* $S(D)$ is obtained from D by discarding the boxes strictly lower than the diagonal, i.e.

$$S(D) = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d_i\},$$

which we regard as an array of boxes in the plane with matrix-style coordinates. For example if $D = (5, 4, 4, 3, 1)$, its upper shifted diagram $S(D)$ is depicted as Fig.2 above.

Let λ_i be the number of boxes in the i -th row of $S(D)$. Then the sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a *strict partition*. Namely there is k such that $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_k > 0$ and $\lambda_j = 0$ for $j > k$. Let \mathcal{SP}_n denote the set of strict partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ contained in the *staircase* $\rho(n) = (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$, namely $\lambda_1 \leq n$. For D in \mathcal{Y}_n^{sym} , its upper shifted diagram $S(D)$ is thus considered to be a strict partition in \mathcal{SP}_n . For example, the diagram of Fig. 2 is considered to be the strict partition $\lambda = (5, 3, 2)$.

We let \mathcal{M}_n denote the set $\{0, 1\}^n$. We use $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ to denote an element in \mathcal{M}_n . For $w \in W^P$, we set $\delta_i = 1$ if $i \in \{w(1), \dots, w(n)\}$ and $\delta_i = 0$ if $i \notin \{w(1), \dots, w(n)\}$. Then we associate $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n$ to $w \in W^P$.

Proposition 2.1 *By the above correspondences, we have bijections between the following sets:*

- (i) *The coset representatives W^P ;*
- (ii) *The set $\mathcal{Y}_n^{\text{sym}}$ of symmetric Young diagrams contained in the square $n \times n$;*
- (iii) *The set \mathcal{M}_n of sequences $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ with $\delta_i \in \{0, 1\}$;*
- (iv) *The set \mathcal{SP}_n of strict partitions λ contained in $\rho(n)$.*

Proof. It is clear that each correspondence is one to one. Also it is easy to see that the cardinality of each of the sets $W^P, \mathcal{Y}_n^{\text{sym}}, \mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{SP}_n$ is 2^n . Hence the claim follows. \square

The following result is well known (see e.g. [H]).

Lemma 2.2 *For $w \in W^P$, the length $\ell(w^\vee)$ is equal to $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$, where $\lambda \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ corresponds to $w \in W^P$.*

3 Lagrangian Grassmannians and Schubert varieties

This section is devoted to the set up of geometric objects.

3.1 Lagrangian Grassmannians

Let V be a vector space spanned by the basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{n}}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{1}}$. Introduce a symplectic form by $(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = (\mathbf{e}_{\bar{i}}, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{j}}) = 0$ and $(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{j}}) = -(\mathbf{e}_{\bar{j}}, \mathbf{e}_i) = \delta_{ij}$. Let V_i denote the subspace spanned by the first i vectors in $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{n}}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{1}}$. A subspace W in V is *isotropic* if $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in W$. Note that V_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are isotropic of dimension i and $V_{n+i} = (V_{n-i})^\perp$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Denote by LG_n the set of n -dimensional isotropic subspaces of V . Then LG_n is a closed subvariety of the Grassmannian of n -dimensional subspaces of V , and is called the *Lagrangian Grassmannian*.

The group $G = Sp(V)$ of linear automorphisms of V preserving (\cdot, \cdot) acts transitively on LG_n . So LG_n is identified with the quotient of G by the stabilizer of any point. We identify LG_n with the homogeneous space G/P , where P denotes the stabilizer of the point V_n , the span of $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$. The elements of G that are diagonal with respect to the basis we took form a maximal torus T of G . The elements of G that are upper triangular matrices form a Borel subgroup B of G .

3.2 Schubert varieties

The T -fixed points of LG_n are parametrized by W^P : for w in W^P , the corresponding T -fixed point, denoted by $e(w)$, is the span of $\mathbf{e}_{w(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{w(n)}$. Let $X(w)^\circ$ denote the B -orbit of $e(w)$. It is known that $X(w)^\circ$ is an affine space of dimension $\ell(w)$, called a *Schubert cell*. The Zariski closures $X(w) = \overline{X(w)^\circ}$ are called the *Schubert varieties*.

We have the following description:

$$X(w) = \{L \in LG_n \mid \dim(L \cap V_{w(i)}) \geq i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_k > 0) \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ be a strict partition corresponding to $w \in W^P$. Then we also denote the variety $X(w)$ by $X(\lambda)$. We have

$$X(\lambda) = \{L \in LG_n \mid \dim(L \cap V_{n+1-\lambda_i}) \geq i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\},$$

whose codimension is given as $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ by Lemma 2.2.

3.3 Bruhat-Chevalley order

For $w, v \in W^P$, we say $w \geq v$ if the torus fixed point $e(v)$ belongs to $X(w)$. This is a partial order called the *Chevalley-Bruhat order*. The condition $w \geq v$ is given by the following two equivalent forms: (1) $w(i) \geq v(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), (2) $\lambda \subset \mu$, namely $\lambda_i \leq \mu_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ correspond to w, v respectively. The Schubert variety $X(\lambda)$ admits a canonical partition into Schubert cells $X(\mu)^\circ$ with $\mu \leq \lambda$.

4 Equivariant cohomology

We are interested in the T -equivariant integral cohomology ring $H_T^*(LG_n)$. For general facts on the equivariant cohomology, we refer to Brion [B], Goresky, Kottwitz, and MacPherson [GKM] and references therein.

4.1 Equivariant Schubert classes

Let \mathcal{S} denote the T -equivariant integral cohomology ring of a point $\{pt\}$ (namely the ordinary integral cohomology ring of the classifying space of T). The natural map $LG_n \rightarrow \{pt\}$ induce an \mathcal{S} -algebra structure on $H_T^*(LG_n)$. Given $w \in W^P$, denote by $\sigma(w)$ the T -equivariant fundamental class of $X(w)$, called the *equivariant Schubert class*. We also denote $\sigma(w)$ by $\sigma(\lambda)$, where $\lambda \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ corresponds to $w \in W^P$. It is known that $H_T^*(LG_n)$ is a free \mathcal{S} -module with the basis $\sigma(w)$ ($w \in W^P$):

$$H_T^*(LG_n) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^P} \mathcal{S} \cdot \sigma(w).$$

For each T -fixed point $e(v)$, $v \in W^P$, we have an embedding $i_v : \{e(v)\} \hookrightarrow LG_n$. This yields a homomorphism $i_v^* : H_T^*(LG_n) \rightarrow H_T^*(\{e(v)\}) \cong \mathcal{S}$. The direct product of these is an injection of rings:

$$\prod_v i_v^* : H_T^*(LG_n) \longrightarrow \prod_v H_T^*(\{e(v)\}).$$

For $w, v \in W^P$, denote by $\sigma(w)|_v$ the image $i_v^* \sigma(w)$. The goal of this paper is to give an explicit formula for $\sigma(w)|_v \in \mathcal{S}$.

Remark. A remarkable characterization of the image of the morphism $\prod_v i_v^*$ has been obtained by [GKM]. However we shall not use the result in the present paper.

Let $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie}(T)$ be the Lie algebra of the torus T . An element of \mathfrak{t} takes the form $h = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n, -h_n, \dots, -h_1)$. Define linear functionals $\varepsilon_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ by $\varepsilon_i(h) = h_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let \widehat{T} be the free abelian group generated by $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$. Each element $\sum m_i \varepsilon_i$ in \widehat{T} determines a character of T via $T \ni \exp(h) \mapsto e^{\sum m_i \varepsilon_i(h)} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. By this correspondence we can identify \widehat{T} with the character group of T . There is a canonical map $\widehat{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ that extends to an isomorphism of the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}(\widehat{T})$ onto \mathcal{S} (see e.g. [Br], §1). Thus we identify \mathcal{S} with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n]$. We shall also use the variables $x_i = -\varepsilon_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. They are convenient for positivity reasons (cf. §4.6).

4.2 T -stable affine neighborhood of $e(v)$

Let $U(v)$ denote the set of points of LG_n whose matrix representatives $\xi = (\xi_{i,j})_{2n \times n}$ satisfy $\xi_{v(i),j} = \delta_{i,j}$. This is a T -stable affine space isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{n(n+1)/2}$ containing the point $e(v)$ as the origin. The coordinate function on $U(v)$ determined by the matrix entry $\xi_{i,j}$ with $i \notin \{v(1), \dots, v(n)\}$ is an eigenvector for T of the weight $-(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{v(j)})$, here we understand $\varepsilon_{\bar{i}} = -\varepsilon_i$. Let Ξ be the square matrix $(\xi_{v^\vee(i), v(j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. Not all the entries of Ξ are independent, since the column vectors of ξ should span an isotropic subspace. As a set of free parameters on the affine space $U(v)$, we can take the set of entries of weakly upper triangular part of Ξ with respect to the anti-diagonal. Thus the coordinate ring of $U(v)$ is $R(v) = \mathbb{C}[\xi_{v^\vee(i), v(j)} | v^\vee(i) \geq v(j)]$.

It is convenient to consider v^\vee and v as the (ordered) index sets corresponding to the rows and columns of Ξ respectively. In this notation we write $\Xi = (\xi_{r,c})_{r \in v^\vee, c \in v}$. Note that the weight of the coordinate function $\xi_{r,c}$ is given by $-(\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_c)$.

4.3 Product formulas

We shall prove a formula that expresses $\sigma(w)|_w$ as a product of negative roots. This is a special case of the main result (Theorem 6.1). In the subsequent of the paper, we need only the fact that $\sigma(w)|_w$ is a non-zero polynomial (see the Proof of Lemma 4.6).

Lemma 4.1 *We have the following formula:*

$$\sigma(w)|_w = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (x_{w(i)} - x_{\overline{w(j)}}), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ is the upper shifted diagram corresponding to $w \in W^P$.

Proof. The variety $U(w) \cap X(w)$ is just a “coordinate subspace” in $U(w)$ defined by $\xi_{r,c} = 0$ for $r \in w^\vee$, $c \in w$, and $r > c$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}(w)$ the set of such pairs. For each $(r,c) \in \mathcal{I}(w)$, we associate (i,j) by $w(i) = c$ and $\overline{w(j)} = r$. Then (i,j) is a box in the upper shifted diagram λ corresponding to w . This establishes a bijection from $\mathcal{I}(w)$ to

the set of boxes of λ . Recall that $\xi_{r,c}$ has the weight $-(\varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_c)$. Then by using Theorem 3 in [LRS] we obtain the formula. \square

For example, let $w = (1, 3, \bar{5}, \bar{4}, \bar{2})$. The corresponding strict partition is $\lambda = (5, 3)$. Then $\sigma(w)|_w = 2x_1(x_1 + x_3)(x_1 - x_5)(x_1 - x_4)(x_1 - x_2) \times 2x_3(x_3 - x_5)(x_3 - x_4)$.

	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{3}$	5	4	2
1	$2x_1$	x_1+x_3	x_1-x_5	x_1-x_4	x_1-x_2
3		$2x_3$	x_3-x_5	x_3-x_4	
$\bar{5}$					
$\bar{4}$					
$\bar{2}$					

4.4 The divisor class

Let $\text{div} = (1, 2, \dots, n-1, \bar{n})$. The corresponding $\sigma(\text{div})$ is the unique Schubert class of codimension one. So we call it the *divisor class*. We know the following explicit form of this class restricted to any T -fixed point $e(v)$.

Lemma 4.2 *The restriction of the divisor class $\sigma(\text{div})$ to a T -fixed point $e(v)$ is given by*

$$\sigma(\text{div})|_v = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i x_i \quad (v \in W^P), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n$ corresponds to $v \in W^P$.

Proof. Consider the closed subvariety $U(v) \cap X(\text{div})$ of $U(v) \cong \mathbb{A}^{n(n+1)/2}$. Let $\xi = [\xi_{v(1)}, \dots, \xi_{v(n)}]$ be a matrix representative of a point L in $U(v)$. The condition for L to be in $X(\text{div})$ is equivalent to $\dim(V_n + L) \leq 2n - 1$. If we define the $n \times n$ matrix X by

$$[e_1, \dots, e_n, \xi_{v(1)}, \dots, \xi_{v(n)}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & * \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix},$$

then the last condition says that $\det X$ should vanish. Let k be such a number that $v(k) \leq n$ and $v(k+1) > n$. Then by elementary manipulations of a determinant, we have $\det X = \pm \det Y$ where we denote by $Y = (\xi_{r,c})$ the $k \times k$ submatrix of X with $c \in \{v(1), \dots, v(k)\}$, and $r \in \{\overline{v(k)}, \dots, \overline{v(1)}\}$. Introducing a suitable monomial order on $R(v)$ such that the initial term of $\det Y$ is the product of anti-diagonal entries $\pm \prod_{i=1}^k \xi_{\overline{v(i)}, v(i)}$. Now applying Theorem 3 in [LRS] we have $\sigma(\text{div})|_v = -\sum_{i=1}^k 2\varepsilon_{v(i)}$. Hence the claim follows. \square

Remark. To the flag variety of the Kac-Moody groups, Kostant and Kumar derived the corresponding formula ([KK], Prop. 4.24 (c), see also [Ku] §11).

4.5 Chevalley's multiplicities

Let us recall the Chevalley multiplicities [Ch]. Let $w, w' \in W^P$, such that $X(w')$ is a *Schubert divisor* of $X(w)$, i.e., $X(w')$ is a codimension one subvariety in $X(w)$. Then there is a positive root β such that $w' = ws_\beta$ and $\ell(w') = \ell(w) + 1$, where s_β is the reflection corresponding to β . Let $(,)$ be the inner product on $\widehat{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}\varepsilon_i$ such that $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \delta_{ij}$, and β^\vee be $2\beta/(\beta, \beta)$. Then the Chevalley multiplicity $c(w, w')$ is defined

$$c(w, w') = (\varpi_n, \beta^\vee), \quad (4.3)$$


where $\varpi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i$, the n -th fundamental weight. We can describe $c(w, w')$ in a combinatorial way.

Lemma 4.3 ([HB]) *Let $X(w')$ be a Schubert divisor in $X(w)$. Let $D(w), D(w')$ be the corresponding self-dual diagrams. Exactly one of the following holds.*

(1) *$D(w')$ is obtained from $D(w)$ adding two boxes at the positions (i, j) and (j, i) ($i \neq j$). Then the corresponding positive root β is $\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$, and we have $c(w, w') = 2$.*

(2) *$D(w')$ is obtained from $D(w)$ adding a box at the diagonal position (i, i) , then the corresponding positive root β is $2\varepsilon_i$, and we have $c(w, w') = 1$.*

In the following figure, the numbers indicate the Chevalley multiplicities, where $n = 3$:



One can easily verify the following rule:

Lemma 4.4 *We assume $w' \rightarrow w$ ($w, w' \in W^P$). Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ be correspond to w, w' respectively. Let k, k' be the numbers of non-zero parts of λ, λ' respectively. Then $c(w, w') = 1$ if $k' = k + 1$, and $c(w, w') = 2$ if $k' = k$.*

4.6 Equivariant Pieri-Chevalley formula

Since $\{\sigma(w)\}_{w \in W^P}$ forms a basis of $H_T^*(LG_n)$ over the ring \mathcal{S} , we can define the structure constants $c_{w,v}^u \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $w, v, u \in W^P$ by the formula

$$\sigma(w) \cdot \sigma(v) = \sum_u c_{w,v}^u \sigma(u). \quad (4.4)$$

The structure constant $c_{w,v}^u$ has degree $\ell(w) + \ell(v) - \ell(u)$ and vanishes unless $u \geq w, v$ and $\ell(u) \leq \ell(w) + \ell(v)$. It should be remarked that $c_{w,v}^u$ has a remarkable positivity property conjectured by D. Peterson and proved by Graham [G]. Namely each $c_{w,v}^u$ can be written as a linear combination of monomials in the negative simple roots with nonnegative integer coefficients.

Lemma 4.5 (*The equivariant Pieri-Chevalley formula*) *Let $w \in W^P$. Then the following formula holds:*

$$\sigma(\text{div}) \cdot \sigma(w) = c_{\text{div},w}^w \sigma(w) + \sum_{w': w' \rightarrow w} c(w, w') \sigma(w'), \quad (4.5)$$

where $w' \rightarrow w$ means that $X(w')$ is a Schubert divisor of $X(w)$.

Proof. By the same argument of [KT], Prop. 2, the claim follows from the Pieri-Chevalley-type formulas for the ordinary integral cohomology, which were proved by Fulton and Woodward [FW], Lemma 8.1. \square

For the flag variety of an arbitrary Kac-Moody group, the corresponding formula of Lemma 4.5 has been appeared in the context of the nil-Hecke algebra by Kostant and Kumar [KK]. Later Arabia [A] established the fact that the equivariant cohomology is isomorphic to the dual of the nil-Hecke algebra. The parabolic analogue is also studied in [Ku], §11. See also Robinson [R], Andersen, Jantzen, and Sorgel [AJS], Appendix D.

4.7 Recurrence relation

In this section, we prove a Key lemma (Lemma 4.7) to the proof of our main result. First we need a simple lemma on structure constants.

Lemma 4.6 *The structure constant $c_{\text{div},w}^w$ is given by*

$$c_{\text{div},w}^w = \sigma(\text{div})|_w. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. If we restrict (4.5) to $e(w)$, we have

$$\sigma(\text{div})|_w \cdot \sigma(w)|_w = c_{\text{div},w}^w \sigma(w)|_w + \sum_{w': w' \rightarrow w} c(w, w') \sigma(w')|_w.$$

For w' such that $w' \rightarrow w$, we have $\sigma(w')|_w = 0$ since $w \not\leq w'$. Hence the sum in the right hand side vanishes. The claim follows since $\sigma(w)|_w$ is non-zero as we see from Lemma 4.1. \square

Now the equivariant Pieri-Chevalley formula (4.5) gives directly the following recurrence relation on the family of restricted classes $\sigma(w)|_v$ ($w \in W^P$) for any fixed $v \in W^P$.

Lemma 4.7 *Let $e(v)$ be any T -fixed point. The polynomials $\sigma(w)|_v$ ($w \in W^P$) satisfy the following recurrence relation:*

$$d(w, v) \cdot \sigma(w)|_v = \sum_{w': w' \rightarrow w} c(w, w') \sigma(w')|_v, \quad (4.7)$$

where $d(w, v) = \sigma(\text{div})|_v - \sigma(\text{div})|_w$.

Since $d(w, v)$ is non-zero if $w \geq v$ and $w \neq v$, the recurrence relation (4.7) and the initial condition $\sigma(\phi)|_v = 1$ determine the polynomials $\sigma(w)|_v$ ($w \in W^P$) uniquely. An analogous recurrence relation was used by Rosenthal and Zelevinsky [RZ] to prove a determinantal formula of the multiplicity of a T -fixed point in a Schubert variety in the Grassmannian.

Remark. The ordinary-cohomology version of Lemma 4.7 has been obtained by Lakshmibai and Weyman [LW], and Hiller [H].

5 The factorial Schur Q -functions

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a finite sequence of variables and let $a = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be any sequence such that $a_1 = 0$. Let

$$(x|a)^k = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - a_i)$$

for each $k \geq 1$ and $(x|a)^0 = 1$. The *factorial Schur Q -function* for a strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_k > 0)$ is defined as follows [Iv2].

Definition 5.1 *Let $A(x)$ denote the skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $((x_i - x_j)/(x_i + x_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and let $B_\lambda(x|a)$ denote the $n \times k$ matrix $((x_i|a)^{\lambda_k - j + 1})$. Let*

$$A_\lambda(x|a) = \begin{bmatrix} A(x) & B_\lambda(x|a) \\ -{}^t B_\lambda(x|a) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is a skew-symmetric $(n+k) \times (n+k)$ matrix. Put

$$\text{Pf}_\lambda(x|a) = \begin{cases} \text{Pf}(A_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n|a)) & \text{if } n+k \text{ is even;} \\ \text{Pf}(A_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0|a)) & \text{if } n+k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then put

$$P_\lambda(x|a) = \frac{\text{Pf}_\lambda(x|a)}{D_n(x)}, \quad Q_\lambda(x|a) = 2^k P_\lambda(x|a), \quad (5.1)$$

where $D_n(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)/(x_i + x_j)$.

Remark. The above definition is a factorial analogue of Nimmo's formula [N] (see also [M1], Ch. III, 8, Example 13) for the Schur Q -functions. The reader can find other expressions for $Q_\lambda(x|a)$ in [Iv2].

The functions $Q_\lambda(x|a)$ were introduced by Ivanov¹ [Iv1, Iv2]. He established some fundamental properties of the functions (combinatorial presentations, Schur-type Pfaffian formulas, vanishing and characterization properties etc.). In particular, a Pieri-type formula is available, which is crucial to our consideration. Note that $P_{(1)}(x|a)$ does not depend on the parameter $a = (a_i)$ and actually we have $P_{(1)}(x|a) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. So we simply denote $P_{(1)}(x|a)$ by $P_{(1)}(x)$.

Proposition 5.2 [Iv2] (*A Pieri-type formula*) For any strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_k > 0)$ we have

$$\left(P_{(1)}(x) - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{\lambda_j+1} \right) \cdot P_\lambda(x|a) = \sum_{\lambda': \lambda' \rightarrow \lambda} P_{\lambda'}(x|a), \quad (5.2)$$

where we write $\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda$ if $\lambda \subset \lambda'$ and $|\lambda'| = |\lambda| + 1$.

Factorial analogues of the Schur S -functions were introduced by Biedenharn and Louck [BL] and further studied by Chen and Louck [CL], Goulden and Greene [GG], Goulden and Hamel [GH], Macdonald [M2], and Molev and Sagan [MS] (see also Macdonald [M1], Ch. I, 3, Example 20-21). In these works it was shown that several important facts about the Schur S -functions (combinatorial presentations, Jacobi-Trudi identities, Pieri-type formulas, Littlewood-Richetson rules etc.) can be transferred to the factorial Schur S -functions. The factorial Schur S -functions also play a central role in the study of the center of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n (see Okounkov and Olshanski [OO], Okounkov [O] and references therein).

In a geometric context, the factorial Schur functions appeared in [KT], [LRS]. They present the restriction to torus fixed points of the Schubert classes in the equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian. Recently Mihalcea [Mi] obtained a presentation by generators and relations for the equivariant *quantum* cohomology ring of the Grassmannian. In this work the factorial Schur S -functions² appeared as the polynomial representatives of the equivariant quantum Schubert classes. A similar presentation for the quantum cohomology ring of the Lagrangian Grassmannian was given by Kresch and Tamvakis [KrT]. It will be an interesting problem to extend their result to the quantum equivariant cohomology ring.

¹According to Ivanov [Iv1, Iv2], A. Okounkov defined them for the special parameter a with $a_i = i - 1$.

²It has come to my knowledge via [Mi] (§5, Remark 2) that the factorial Schur S -functions coincide with the double Schubert polynomials by Lascoux and Schützenberger [LS] when indexed by a Grassmann permutation. However, the details of this connection seems to be missing from the literature.

6 Main result

Let us take the following particular parameters:

$$a_1 = 0, \quad a_i = x_{n-i+2} \quad (2 \leq i \leq n+1). \quad (6.1)$$

Let $w \in W^P$, and $\lambda \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ corresponds to w . If we take the parameter a as (6.1), then by using Lemma 4.2 we can directly verify that

$$\sigma(\text{div})|_w = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a_{\lambda_i+1}. \quad (6.2)$$

Theorem 6.1 *For strict partitions $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{SP}_n$, we have*

$$\sigma(\lambda)|_\mu = Q_\lambda(\delta_1 x_1, \dots, \delta_n x_n | a), \quad (6.3)$$

where a is given by (6.1) and $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n$ corresponds to μ .

Proof. First we check the initial condition. If we set $\lambda = \phi$, then each of the both hand sides of (6.3) is equal to 1. Hence the proof is completed by a direct comparison of (4.7) and (5.2). We consider the linear factor $d(w, v)$ in (4.7). By Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\sigma(\text{div})|_v = 2P_{(1)}(\delta_1 x_1, \dots, \delta_n x_n). \quad (6.4)$$

Combining (6.4) and (6.2), we obtain

$$d(w, v) = 2 \left(P_{(1)}(\delta_1 x_1, \dots, \delta_n x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^k a_{\lambda_i+1} \right).$$

Now we multiply the both hand sides of (5.2) by 2^{k+1} , where k is the number of non-zero parts of λ . Let $\lambda' \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ be such that $\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda$ and k' be the number of non-zero parts of λ' . From Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we can see that $2^{k+1}P_{\lambda'}(x|a) = c(w, w')Q_{\lambda'}(x|a)$. Note also $2^k P_\lambda(x|a) = Q_\lambda(x|a)$. Thus we established the coincidence of two formulas (4.7) and (5.2). \square

References

- [A] A. Arabia, Comomologie T -équivariante de G/B pour an groupe G de Kac-Moody, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I. Math. 302 (1986) 631-634.
- [AJS] H. H. Andersen, J. C. Jantzen, and W. Sorger, Representations of quantum groups at a p -th root of unity and semisimple groups in characteristic p , Astérisque 220 (1994) 1-321.
- [BL] L. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck, A new class of symmetric polynomials defined in terms of tableaux, Advances in Appl. Math. 10 (1989) 396-438.

- [B] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Ch. IV, V, VI, Hermann paris, 1968.
- [Br] M. Brion, Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 514, *Representation theories and algebraic geometry* (Montreal, PQ, 1997) 1-37, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [CL] W. Y. C. Chen and J. D. Louck, The factorial Schur functions, J. Math. Phys. 34 (1993) 4144-4160.
- [Ch] C. Chevalley, Sur les décompositions cellulaires des espaces G/B , in *Algebraic Groups and their generalizations: Classical methods*, W. Haboush, B. Parhall eds., Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 56 (1994) part 1, Amer. Math. Soc. 1-23.
- [FW] W. Fulton and C. Woodward, On the quantum product of Schubert classes, J. Algebraic Geom. 13 (4) (2004) 641-661.
- [GKM] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem, Invent. Math. 131 (1998) 25-83.
- [GH] I. P. Goulden and A. M. Hamel, Shift operators and factorial symmetric functions, J. Comb. Theor. A. 69 (1995) 51-60.
- [GG] I. Goulden and C. Greene, A new tableau representation for supersymmetric Schur functions, J. Alg. 170 (1994) 687-703.
- [G] W. Graham, Positivity in equivariant Schubert calculus, Duke Math. J. 109 (3) (2001) 599-614.
- [H] H. Hiller, Combinatorics and intersections of Schubert varieties, Comment. Math. Helvetici 57 (1982) 41-59.
- [HB] H. Hiller and B. Boe, Pieri formula for SO_{2n+1}/U_n and Sp_n/U_n , Adv. in Math. 62 (1986) 49-67.
- [Iv1] V. N. Ivanov, Dimensions of skew shifted Young diagrams and projective characters of the infinite symmetric group, Zap. Nauc. Sem. POMI 240 (1997), 116-136 (Russian). English translation in J. Math. Sci. 96 (1999), 3517-3530.
- [Iv2] V. N. Ivanov, Interpolation analogue of Schur Q -functions, Zap. Nauc. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. 307 (2004) 99-119. arXiv:math.CO/0305419.
- [KT] A. Knutson and T. Tao, Puzzles and (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians, Duke Math. J. 119 (2) (2003) 221-260.
- [KR] V. Kodyalam and K. N. Raghavan, Hilbert functions of points on Schubert varieties in Grassmannians, J. Algebra, 270 (2003) 28-54.
- [KK] B. Kostant and S. Kumar, The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G , Adv. in Math. 62 (1986) 187-237.

- [KrT] A. Kresch and H. Tamvakis, Quantum cohomology of the Lagrangian Grassmannians, *J. Alg. Geom.* 12 (2003) 777-810.
- [Ku] S. Kumar, *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, Progress in Math. 204, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [LRS] V. Lakshmibai, K.N. Raghavan, and P. Sankaran, On equivariant Schubert calculus, arXiv:math.AG/0506015.
- [LW] V. Lakshmibai and J. Weyman, Multiplicities of points on a Schubert variety in a minuscule G/P , *Adv. in Math.* 84 (1990) 179-208.
- [LS] A. Lascoux and M.-P. Schützenberger, Interpolation de Newton à plusieurs variables, in *Séminaire d'algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paule Malliavin, 36ème année (Paris, 1983-1984)*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1146 (1985) 161-175.
- [M1] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford 1995.
- [M2] I. G. Macdonald, Schur functions: theme and variations, in “Actes 28-e Séminaire Lotharingien,” Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg (1992) 5-39.
- [Mi] L. C. Mihalcea, Polynomial representatives for the Schubert classes in the equivariant quantum cohomology of the Grassmannian, arXiv:math.CO/0506335.
- [MS] A. I. Molev and B. E. Sagan, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for factorial Schur functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 351 (1990) 4429-4443.
- [N] J. J. C. Nimmo, Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions and the BKP equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 23 (1990) 751-760.
- [O] A. Yu. Okounkov, Quantum immanants and higher Capelli identities, *Transformation Groups* 1 (1996) 99-126.
- [OO] A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski, Shifted Schur functions, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 9 (1998) 239-300.
- [P] P. Pragacz, Algebro-geometric applications of Schur S - and Q -polynomials, in *Séminaire d'Algèbre Dubreil-Malliavin 1989-1990*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1478 (1991) 130-191.
- [R] S. Robinson, A Pieri-type formula for $H_T^*(SL_n(\mathbb{C})/B)$, *J. Algebra* 249 (2002) 38-58.
- [RZ] J. Rosenthal and A. Zelevinsky, Multiplicities of points on Schubert varieties in Grassmannians, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 13 (2001) 213-218.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, OKAYAMA 700-0005, JAPAN

E-mail address: ike@xmath.ous.ac.jp