

## ASYMPTOTICS OF THE COLORED JONES FUNCTION OF A KNOT

STAVROS GAROUFALIDIS AND THANG TQ LÊ

*Dedicated to Louis Kauffman on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

ABSTRACT. Given a knot in 3-space, one can associate a sequence of Laurent polynomials, whose  $n$ th term is the  $n$ th colored Jones polynomial. The paper is concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the value of the  $n$ th colored Jones polynomial at  $e^{\alpha/n}$ , when  $\alpha$  is a fixed complex number, and  $n$  tends to infinity. We analyze this asymptotic behavior to all orders in  $1/n$  when  $\alpha$  is a sufficiently small complex number. In addition, we give upper bounds for the coefficients and degree of the  $n$ th colored Jones polynomial, with applications to upper bounds in the Generalized Volume Conjecture. Work of Agol-Dunfield-Storm-W.Thurston implies that our bounds are asymptotically optimal. Moreover, we give results for the Generalized Volume Conjecture when  $\alpha$  is near  $2\pi i$ . Our proofs use crucially the cyclotomic expansion of the colored Jones function, due to Habiro.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Asymptotics of the colored Jones function of a knot	2
1.2. The generalized volume conjecture	3
1.3. The Generalized Volume Conjecture near $2\pi i$	5
1.4. Upper bounds for the generalized volume conjecture	5
1.5. Relation with hyperbolic geometry, and optimal bounds	5
1.6. The main ideas	7
1.7. Acknowledgement	7
2. Weak versus strong convergence	7
2.1. A lemma from complex analysis	7
2.2. A main difficulty for uniform bounds	8
3. Three expansions of the Jones polynomial	8
3.1. Finite type invariants and the Jones polynomial	8
3.2. The loop expansion of the Jones polynomial	9
3.3. The cyclotomic expansion of the Jones polynomial	9
3.4. Comparing the cyclotomic and the loop expansion	10
4. Uniform bounds follow from estimates of the cyclotomic function	11
5. A reduction of Theorem 4 to estimates of the cyclotomic function	13
6. Bounds for the degree and coefficients of the colored Jones function	14
7. Bounds for the degree and coefficients of the cyclotomic function	16
8. Estimates of the Lobachevsky function	18
8.1. The Lobachevsky function	18
8.2. Asymptotics of the $R$ -matrix using ideal octahedra	19

*Date:* August 1, 2005      *First edition:* August 1, 2005.  
The authors were supported in part by National Science Foundation.

1991 *Mathematics Classification.* Primary 57N10. Secondary 57M25.

*Key words and phrases:* hyperbolic volume conjecture, colored Jones function, Jones polynomial,  $R$ -matrices, regular ideal octahedron, weave, hyperbolic geometry, Catalan's constant, Borromean rings, cyclotomic expansion, loop expansion, asymptotic expansion, WKB,  $q$ -difference equations, asymptotics, perturbation theory, Kontsevich integral.

8.3. Proof of Theorem 9	21
9. The generalized volume conjecture near $\alpha = 2\pi i$	21
10. The $q$ -holonomic point of view	22
10.1. Bounds on $l^1$ -norm and Mahler measure of $q$ -holonomic functions	22
10.2. Bounds for higher rank groups	23
11. Some physics	23
11.1. A small dose of physics	23
11.2. WKB	24
Appendix A. The volume conjecture for the Borromean rings	24
Appendix B. The volume conjecture for torus knots	26
References	26

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Asymptotics of the colored Jones function of a knot.** To a knot  $K$  in 3-space, one can associate a sequence of Laurent polynomials

$$J_{K,n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .  $J_{K,2}(q)$  is the famous *Jones polynomial* of  $K$  introduced by Jones in [J], and  $J_{K,n}(q)$  are roughly speaking the Jones polynomials of  $(n-1)$ -parallels of the knot. More precisely,  $J_{K,n}(q)$  is the *quantum group* invariant of  $K$  using the  $n$ -dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  representation, normalized by  $J_{\text{unknot},n}(q) = 1$  for all  $n$ ; see for example, [Tu]. The sequence  $\{J_{K,n}(q)\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is often called the *colored Jones function* of the knot  $K$ .

The paper is concerned with the asymptotic growth of the colored Jones function. More precisely, fix a knot  $K$  and consider the sequence of holomorphic functions:

$$(1) \quad f_{K,n} : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f_{K,n}(z) = J_{K,n}(e^{z/n})$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In other words, we are evaluating the  $n$ -th polynomial  $J_{K,n}(q)$  at a complex  $n$ -th root of  $e^z$ . Among other things, we will be concerned with strong and weak convergence of the sequence  $f_{K,n}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us explain what we mean by that. Fix an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** (a) We say that a sequence of holomorphic functions  $f_n : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *strongly converges* to a holomorphic function  $f : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (and write  $\text{slim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = f(z)$ ) if for every  $z \in U$  we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = f(z),$$

where the convergence with respect to  $z$  is uniform on compact subsets of  $U$ .

(b) We say that a sequence of holomorphic functions  $f_n : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  *weakly converges* to a holomorphic function  $f : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (and write  $\text{wlim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = f(z)$ ) if the Taylor series of  $f_n(z)$  at  $z = 0$  coefficient-wise converges to the Taylor series of  $f(z)$ . In other words, for every  $k \geq 0$ , we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \Big|_{z=0} f_n(z) = \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \Big|_{z=0} f(z).$$

It is easy to see that strong convergence of holomorphic functions implies weak convergence. The converse is not true (see however, Lemma 2.1 below).

The Melvin-Morton-Rozansky (MMR, in short) Conjecture, which was settled by Bar-Natan and the first author in [B-NG], compares the colored Jones function  $f_{K,n}$  of a knot  $K$  with the *Alexander polynomial*  $\Delta_K$  of  $K$ , symmetrized by  $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ , and normalized by  $\Delta_K(1) = 1$ , and  $\Delta_{\text{unknot}}(t) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** [B-NG] *For every knot  $K$  we have*

$$(2) \quad \text{wlim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{K,n}(z) = \frac{1}{\Delta_K(e^z)}.$$

Our sample result is the following.

**Theorem 2.** *For every knot  $K$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U_K$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that for all complex numbers  $z$  restricted to  $U_K$ , we have*

$$(3) \quad \text{slim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{K,n}(z) = \frac{1}{\Delta_K(e^z)}.$$

Thus, Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1. In that sense, we may say that Theorem 2 is an analytic form of the MMR Conjecture. Later, in Section 1.2, we will relate Theorem 2 to the Generalized Volume Conjecture.

Given Theorem 2 one may ask for a full asymptotic expansion of the left hand side of (3) in terms of powers of  $1/n$ . In order to formulate our results, let us introduce the notion of strong and weak asymptotic expansions.

**Definition 1.2.** Fix an open set  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and holomorphic functions  $f_n : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $R_n : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We will say that the sequence  $f_n$  is *strongly asymptotic* to the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_k(z) \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k$ , and write

$$(4) \quad f_n(z) \sim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_k(z) \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k$$

if for every  $N \geq 0$  we have:

$$(5) \quad \text{slim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{z}\right)^N \left( f_n(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_k(z) \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k \right) = R_{N+1}(z).$$

Likewise, we can talk about weak asymptotic series, when we replace strong by weak convergence.

It is easy to see that a strongly asymptotic series is weakly asymptotic. Typically, sequences of holomorphic functions  $f_n(z)$  do not have asymptotic expansions (or even a limit, as  $n$  approaches infinity). However, sequences that appear in perturbative expansions of *Quantum Field Theory* are generally expected to have asymptotic expansions. In fact asymptotic expansions are generally easier to define (via *Feynman diagram* techniques) than the partition functions  $f_{K,n}(z)$  themselves. Even when the partition functions can be defined, the asymptotic expansions is a numerically useful way to approximate them.

In [Ro], Rozansky discovered that the sequence  $f_{K,n}(z)$  has a weak asymptotic expansion, where the terms are rational functions in the variable  $e^z$ . More precisely, Rozansky proved the following result.

**Theorem 3.** [Ro] *For every knot  $K$  there exists a sequence  $P_{K,k}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm}]$  of Laurent polynomials with  $P_{K,0}(q) = 1$  such that*

$$(6) \quad f_{K,n}(z) \sim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^w \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{K,k}(e^z)}{\Delta_K(e^z)^{2k+1}} \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k.$$

A different proof, valid for all simple Lie groups, was given in [Ga1], using work of [GK].

Our result is the following.

**Theorem 4.** *For every knot  $K$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U_K$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that for all complex numbers  $z$  restricted to  $U_K$ , we have*

$$(7) \quad f_{K,n}(z) \sim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{K,k}(e^z)}{\Delta_K(e^z)^{2k+1}} \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k.$$

**1.2. The generalized volume conjecture.** In this section we state some new information about the volume conjecture. The *Volume Conjecture* connects two very different approaches to knot theory, namely Topological Quantum Field Theory and Riemannian (mostly Hyperbolic) Geometry.

The Volume Conjecture, due to Kashaev (see [K]) and reinterpreted by H. and J. Murakami [MM], states the following. For every hyperbolic knot  $K$  in  $S^3$  we have:

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_{K,n}(2\pi i)|}{n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{vol}(\rho_{2\pi i}),$$

where  $\text{vol}(\rho_{2\pi i})$  is the *volume* of the discrete faithful representation the knot complement  $S^3 - K$ :

$$\rho_{2\pi i} : \pi_1(S^3 - K) \longrightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

which defines the complete hyperbolic metric; [Th].

In other words, the sequence  $f_{K,n}(2\pi i)$  of complex numbers grows exponentially with respect to  $n$ , and the exponential growth-rate is proportional to the volume of a hyperbolic knot.

It is natural to ask what happens when we replace  $2\pi i$  by another complex number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . The idea of the Generalized Volume Conjecture (formulated in part by Gukov in [Gu]) is that we should use other representations of the knot complement in  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . For  $\alpha$  nearby  $2\pi i$ , there is a unique (up to conjugation) representation

$$\rho_\alpha : \pi_1(S^3 - K) \longrightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

which satisfies

$$(9) \quad \rho_\alpha(\text{meridian}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^\alpha & \star \\ 0 & e^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Alas, there is an additional difficulty. Namely, we should distinguish two cases for  $\alpha$  near  $2\pi i$ : either  $\alpha/(2\pi i)$  is a rational number *not* equal 1, or otherwise. The Generalized Volume Conjecture for  $\alpha$  sufficiently close to  $2\pi i$  may now be stated as follows. If  $\alpha/(2\pi i) \in (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \cup 1$ , then

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_{K,n}(\alpha)|}{n} = c_\alpha \text{vol}(\rho_\alpha),$$

and if  $\alpha/(2\pi i) \in \mathbb{Q} - 1$ , then

$$(11) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_{K,n}(\alpha)|}{n} = c_\alpha \text{vol}(\rho_\alpha),$$

$$(12) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_{K,n}(\alpha)|}{n} = 0,$$

where  $c_\alpha \neq 0$  are some nonzero constant.

The distinction of  $\alpha/(2\pi i)$  being rational or not is a bit with odds with hyperbolic Dehn surgery, developed by Thurston. When  $\alpha/(2\pi i)$  is a rational number, the hyperbolic Dehn surgery theorem associates an orbifold filling to the knot complement whose volume is  $\text{vol}(\rho_\alpha)$ . Orbifolds are mild generalizations of manifolds. On the other hand, when  $\alpha/(2\pi i)$  is irrational, hyperbolic Dehn surgery associates a space which is topologically a 1-point compactification of the knot complement, with volume  $\text{vol}(\rho_\alpha)$ .

There are two rather independent parts in the Volume Conjecture:

- (a) To show that the limit exists in (10),
- (b) To identify the limit with the volume of the corresponding Dehn filling.

At the moment, the Generalized Volume Conjecture is known only for the  $4_1$  knot and certain values of  $\alpha$ ; see Murakami, [M1].

In the following, we will refer to the parameter  $\alpha$  in the Generalized Volume Conjecture as *the angle*, making contact with standard terminology from hyperbolic geometry.

One may further ask what happens to the Generalized Volume Conjecture when the angle  $\alpha$  is small. For  $\alpha = 0$ , let us define  $\rho_0 = I$  to be the *trivial* representation. Then for  $\alpha$  small enough, there is a unique (up to conjugation) *abelian*  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  representation  $\rho_\alpha$  that satisfies (9).

Abelian representations have 0 volume (see eg, [CCGLS]). On the other hand, for small enough  $\alpha$ , we have  $\Delta_K(e^\alpha) \sim \Delta_K(1) = 1$ . Thus Theorem 2 implies that

**Theorem 5.** *For every knot  $K$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U_K$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that for  $\alpha \in U_K$ , we have:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_{K,n}(\alpha)|}{n} = 0 = \text{vol}(\rho_\alpha).$$

In other words, Theorem 2 settles the Generalized Volume Conjecture for small complex angles.

So far, we have formulated a Generalized Volume Conjecture for  $\alpha$  near 0 and  $\alpha$  near  $2\pi i$ , using representations near the trivial one and a discrete faithful, respectively. How can we connect these choices for other complex angles  $\alpha$ ? A natural answer to this question requires analyzing asymptotics of solutions of difference equations with a parameter. This is a different subject that we will not discuss here; instead we will refer the curious reader to [GG], and forthcoming work of the first author. For a further discussion, see also Section 11.

**1.3. The Generalized Volume Conjecture near  $2\pi i$ .** Our next result states that the volume conjecture can only be barely true.

**Theorem 6.** *For every knot  $K$  and every fixed  $m \neq 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |J_{K, n+m}(2\pi i/n)| = 0.$$

In other words, the *double-sided limit*

$$\lim_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |J_{K, n}(2\pi i/k)|$$

when  $n, k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n/k \rightarrow 1$  does not exist, or equals to 0—the latter case contradicts the Volume Conjecture.

Our next result confirms the strange behavior in the Generalized Volume Conjecture when  $\alpha/(2\pi i)$  is rational, not equal to 1.

**Theorem 7.** *For every knot  $K$  there exist a neighborhood  $V_K$  of  $1 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that when  $\alpha/(2\pi i) \in V_K$  is rational and not equal to 1, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{K, n}(\alpha) \leq 0.$$

Moreover, there exists a subsequence  $n_k$  (that depends on  $\alpha$ ) such that

$$\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} f_{K, n_k}(\alpha) \leq 0.$$

**1.4. Upper bounds for the generalized volume conjecture.** In this section we give upper bounds for the Generalized Volume Conjecture.

**Theorem 8.** *For every knot  $K$  with  $c + 2$  crossings and every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_{K, n}(\alpha)|}{n} \leq c(\log 4 + \frac{1}{4} |\Re(\alpha)|).$$

**1.5. Relation with hyperbolic geometry, and optimal bounds.** When  $\alpha = 2\pi i$ , the upper bound in Theorem 4 is not optimal, and does not reveal any relationship between the lim sup and hyperbolic geometry. Our next theorem fills this gap.

**Theorem 9.** *For every knot  $K$  with  $c + 2$  crossings we have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_{K, n}(2\pi i)|}{n} \leq \frac{v_8}{2\pi} c,$$

where  $ev_n = ev_{1, n}$ ,  $v_8 = 8\Lambda(\pi/4) \approx 3.66386$  is the volume of the regular ideal octahedron.


Using an ideal decomposition of a knot complement by placing one octahedron per crossing, it follows that for every knot  $K$  with  $c + 2$  crossings, we have

$$(13) \quad \text{vol}(S^3 - K) \leq v_8 c,$$


where  $\text{vol}(S^3 - K)$  is the hyperbolic volume of the knot complement. On the other hand, if the volume conjecture holds for  $\alpha = 2\pi i$ , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_{K, n}(2\pi i)|}{n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{vol}(S^3 - K) \leq \frac{v_8}{2\pi} c.$$

One may ask whether (13) (and therefore, whether the bound in Theorem 9) is optimal. Optimality is at first sight surprising, since it involves all knots (and not just alternating ones) and their number of crossings (which carries little known geometric information). In conversations with I.Agol and D.Thurston, it was communicated to us that the upper bound in (13) is indeed optimal. Moreover a class of knots that achieves (in the limit) the optimal ratio of volume by number of crossings is obtained by taking a large chunk of the following *weave*, and closing it up to a knot:



The complement of the weave has a complete hyperbolic structure associated with the *square tessellation* of the Euclidean plane:



Optimality follows along similar lines as the Appendix of [La], using a stronger estimate for the lower bound of the volume of Haken manifolds, cut along an incompressible surface. The stronger statement is the following result of Agol-Dunfield-Storm-W.Thurston, [ADST]. Its proof uses, among other things, work of Perelman.

**Theorem 10.** ([ADST]) *If  $M$  is a hyperbolic finite volume 3-manifold containing a properly imbedded orientable, boundary incompressible, incompressible surface  $S$ , then*

$$\text{vol}(M) \geq \text{vol}(\text{Guts}(M - \text{int}(\text{nbd}(S))),$$

where  $\text{vol}$  stands for volume, and the Guts terminology are defined in [Ag].

The reader may compare (13) with the following result of Agol-Lackenby-D.Thurston [La]:

**Theorem 11.** *If  $K$  is an alternating knot with a planar projection with  $t$  twists, then*

$$v_3(t-1)/2 < \text{vol}(S^3 - K) < 10v_3(t-1),$$

where  $v_3 = 2\Lambda(\pi/3) \approx 1.01494$  is the volume of the regular ideal tetrahedron. Moreover, the class of knots obtained by Dehn filling on the chain link has asymptotic ratio of volume by twist number equal to  $10v_3$ . The corresponding tessellation of the Euclidean plane is given by the star of David.

**1.6. The main ideas.** Theorem 2 is a statement about derivatives of the colored Jones function. These derivatives are well-understood using the technology of finite-type invariants of knots.

The main idea for Theorem 2 is to improve the weak convergence of Theorem 1 to a strong convergence. A key Lemma 2.1 from complex analysis says that weak convergence and uniform bounds imply strong convergence. Uniform bounds for the colored Jones function require large cancellations. In order to control these cancellations, we use the cyclotomic expansion of the colored Jones function of a knot. An important point about this expansion is that its kernel can absorb the exponential bounds of the coefficients of the cyclotomic functions; see Section 4.

The same logic improves Theorem 3 to Theorem 4.

It remains to prove the existence of quadratic bounds for the degree and exponential bounds for the coefficients of the  $n$ -cyclotomic function of a knot.

Using a state-sum formula for the colored Jones function, we give in Section 6 bounds for the degrees and coefficients of the  $n$ -th colored Jones polynomial. The important point is that the local weights in the state-sum formula (i.e., the entries of the  $R$ -matrix) are Laurent polynomials, given by some ratio of  $q$ -factorials. A priori, the bounds of the  $n$ -colored Jones function are not good enough to deduce the bounds for the  $n$ -th cyclotomic function. However, in Section 7, we use a lemma on the growth-rate of the number of partitions of an integer, in order to deduce the desired bounds for the cyclotomic function.

As a corollary, we can deduce the upper bound of Theorem 8.

In the independent Section 8, we give a better bound for the growth-rate of the entries of the  $R$ -matrix. The important point is that these entries are ratio of 5  $q$ -factorials, and each  $q$ -factorial grows exponentially with rate given by the Lobachevsky function. The  $q$ -factorials are arranged in such a way to deduce that the exponential growth-rate of the entries of the  $R$ -matrix is given by the volume of an ideal octahedron. Together with our state-sum formulas for the  $n$ -th colored Jones polynomial, it results in the upper bound of Theorem 9.

As another application of the cyclotomic expansion, we discuss in Section 9 the proof of Theorems 6 and 7.

In Section 10 we discuss bounds on the degrees and coefficients of  $q$ -holonomic functions. Earlier work of the authors implies that the colored Jones and the cyclotomic functions of a knot are  $q$ -holonomic.

In Section 11 we discuss some physics ideas related to the various expansions of the colored Jones function.

Finally, in two appendices we discuss the Volume Conjecture for the Borromean rings, and the Generalized Volume Conjecture for torus knots.

**1.7. Acknowledgement.** The authors wish to thank I. Agol, D. Boyd, N. Dunfield, D. Thurston and D. Zeilberger for many enlightening conversations.

## 2. WEAK VERSUS STRONG CONVERGENCE

**2.1. A lemma from complex analysis.** To prove Theorem 2, we need to improve the weak convergence of Theorem 1 to a strong convergence.

The improvement will use the following lemma on normal families that is sometimes referred to by the name of Vitali and Montel's theorem. For a reference, see [Hi, Sch]. The lemma exhibits the power of holomorphy, coupled with uniform boundedness.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If*

$$f_n : \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\} \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq M\}$$

*is a sequence of holomorphic functions such that for every  $m \geq 0$ , we have:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(m)}(0) = a_m.$$

*Then,*

- *The limit  $f(z) = \lim_n f_n(z)$  exists pointwise for all  $z$  with  $|z| < r$ .*
- *$f$  is holomorphic,*
- *The convergence is uniform on compact subsets, and*
- *For every  $m$ ,  $f^{(m)}(0) = a_m$ .*

In other words, weak convergence and uniform boundedness imply strong convergence. Although we will not need, the converse is true, as well.

*Proof.*  $\{f_n\}_n$  is uniformly bounded, so it is a normal family, and contains a convergent subsequence  $f_j \rightarrow f$ . Convergence is uniform on compact sets, and  $f$  is holomorphic, and for every  $m \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_j f_j^{(m)}(0) = f^{(m)}(0) = a_m$ .

If  $\{f_n\}_n$  is not convergent, since it is a normal family, then there exist two subsequences that converge to  $f$  and  $g$  respectively, with  $f \neq g$ . Applying the above discussion, it follows that  $f$  and  $g$  are holomorphic functions with equal derivatives of all orders at 0. Thus,  $f = g$ , giving a contradiction. Thus,  $\{f_n\}_n$  is convergent and the result follows from the above discussion.  $\square$

Thus, Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 2.1 and the following result, whose proof will be given in Section 4.

**Theorem 12.** *For every knot  $K$  there exist an open neighborhood  $U_K$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and a positive number  $M$  such that for  $\alpha \in U_K$ , and all  $n \geq 1$ , we have:*

$$|f_{K,n}(\alpha)| < M.$$

**2.2. A main difficulty for uniform bounds.** Before we proceed with the proof of Theorem 12, let us point out the main difficulty. As we will see later,  $J_{K,n}(q)$  is a Laurent polynomial in  $q$  whose span (i.e., powers of its monomials) are  $O(n^2)$  and whose coefficients are  $e^{O(n)}$ . In addition, due to our normalization,  $J_{K,n}(1) = 1$ . In other words, the  $O(n^2)$  many exponentially growing coefficients of  $J_{K,n}(q)$  add up to 1. When we evaluate  $J_{K,n}(e^{\alpha/n})$ , we want to bound the result independent of  $n$ . This will happen only if amazing cancellations occur. How can we control these cancellations? The answer to this is a key cyclotomic expansion of the colored Jones function, which we review next.

### 3. THREE EXPANSIONS OF THE JONES POLYNOMIAL

**3.1. Finite type invariants and the Jones polynomial.** The colored Jones function of a knot is a 2-parameter invariant, that depends on the color  $n$  and the formal parameter

$$h = \log q.$$

*Perturbative quantum field theory* (formalized mathematically by the *Kontsevich integral* of a knot, and its image under the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  *weight system*, described for example in [B-N]) gives the following *Taylor series* expansion of the colored Jones function:

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad J_{K,n}(e^h) &= \sum_{0 \leq i, 0 \leq j \leq i} c_{K,i,j} n^j h^i \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i, 0 \leq j \leq i} c_{K,i,j} (nh)^j h^{i-j} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j, k} c_{K,j+k,j} (nh)^j h^k. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $K \rightarrow c_{K,i,j}$  are *finite type knot invariants* of type  $i$ ; see [B-N]. The important property is that  $c_{K,i,j} = 0$  in the  $(i, j)$  plane and above the diagonal  $i = j$ . Thus, one can resum the formal power series as follows:

$$(15) \quad J_{K,n}(e^h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_{K,k}(nh) h^k,$$

where

$$R_{K,k}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j} c_{K,j+k,j} x^j \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]],$$

and  $\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$  denotes the formal power series ring with rational coefficients.

Let us now explain why Theorem 1 is a reformulation of the MMR Conjecture.

Equation (15) implies that for every  $m \geq 0$ ,  $\frac{d^m}{dh^m}|_{m=0} J_{K,n}(e^h)$  is a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $m$ . It follows that the Taylor series expansion of  $f_{K,n}(z) = J_{K,n}(e^{z/n})$  at  $z = 0$  is given by:

$$f_{K,n}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_{K,k}(z) \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k.$$

Thus, for every  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{d^m}{dz^m}|_{z=0} f_{K,n}(z) = m! \left( \text{coeff}(R_{K,0}(\alpha), \alpha^m) + \frac{1}{n} \text{coeff}(R_{K,1}(\alpha), \alpha^{m-1}) + \dots + \frac{1}{n^m} \text{coeff}(R_{K,m}(\alpha), \alpha^0) \right).$$

For each fixed  $m \geq 0$ , the right hand side of the above equation is a polynomial in  $1/n$  of degree  $m$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^m}{dz^m}|_{z=0} f_n(z) = m! \text{coeff}(R_{K,0}(z), z^m)$$

The MMR Conjecture implies that

$$\begin{aligned} m! \text{coeff}(R_{K,0}(z), z^m) &= m! \text{coeff}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_K(e^z)}, z^m\right) \\ &= \frac{d^m}{dz^m}|_{z=0} \frac{1}{\Delta_K(e^z)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{d^m}{dz^m}|_{z=0} f_{K,n}(z) = \frac{d^m}{dz^m}|_{z=0} \frac{1}{\Delta_K(e^z)},$$

which explains why Theorem 1 is a reformulation of the MMR Conjecture.

**3.2. The loop expansion of the Jones polynomial.** The Melvin-Morton-Rozansky Conjecture states that

$$R_{K,0}(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta_K(e^x)}.$$

More generally, in [Ro], Rozansky proves that

$$R_{K,k}(x) = \frac{P_{K,k}(e^x)}{\Delta_K(e^x)^{2k+1}}$$

for Laurent polynomials  $P_{K,k}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm}]$ .

Although the polynomials  $P_{K,k}(q)$  are not finite type invariants (with respect to the usual crossing change of knots), they are indeed finite type invariants with respect to a loop move described in [GR]. We will not use this fact in our paper.

Rozansky conjectured that the resummation given by the above equations could be preformed on the level of a universal perturbative invariant (the Kontsevich integral of a knot; see [B-N]), and this was proven to be the case in [GK]. As a result, one obtains a proof of this resummation property valid for all simple Lie algebras, see [Gal].

**3.3. The cyclotomic expansion of the Jones polynomial.** In [H1], Habiro introduced an alternative expansion of the colored Jones function  $J_{K,n}$ ; using the so-called *cyclotomic function*  $C_{K,n}$ . The latter is related to the former by the following

$$(16) \quad J_{K,n}(q) = \sum_{k=1}^n C_{n,k}(q) C_{K,k}(q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n,k}(q) &:= \frac{1}{q^{n/2} - q^{-n/2}} \prod_{j=n-k+1}^{n+k-1} (q^{j/2} - q^{-j/2}) \\
&= \{n-k+1\} \dots \{n-1\} \{n+1\} \dots \{n+k-1\} \\
&= q^{-(k-1)n} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (q^n - q^j)(q^n - q^{-j}) \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} ((q^{n/2} - q^{-n/2})^2 - (q^{j/2} - q^{-j/2})^2) \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} ((q^{n/2} + q^{-n/2})^2 - (q^{j/2} + q^{-j/2})^2).
\end{aligned}$$

The above identities follow from the following elementary calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{n-j\}\{n+j\} &= (q^{(n-j)/2} - q^{(n-j)/2})(q^{(n+j)/2} - q^{(n+j)/2}) \\
&= q^n + q^{-n} - q^j - q^{-j} \\
&= q^{-n}(q^n - q^j)(q^n - q^{-j}) \\
&= (q^{n/2} - q^{-n/2})^2 - (q^{j/2} - q^{-j/2})^2 \\
&= (q^{n/2} + q^{-n/2})^2 - (q^{j/2} + q^{-j/2})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in a sense  $J_{K,n}$  and  $C_{K,n}$  are related by a lower-diagonal invertible matrix; see also [GL, Sec.4].

**3.4. Comparing the cyclotomic and the loop expansion.** So far, we have three expansions: the finite type expansion, the loop expansion and the cyclotomic expansion. Now, we'll compare the last two. In other words, we'll compare Equations (15) and (16).

Let

$$q = e^h, \quad x = nh.$$

For a function  $f(q)$ , let us denote by  $\langle f \rangle_k$  the  $k$ -th coefficient in the Taylor expansion of  $f(e^h)$  around  $h = 0$ . Of course,

$$\langle f \rangle_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dh^k} \Big|_{h=0} f(e^h).$$

In other words, we have:

$$f(e^h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f \rangle_k h^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[h]].$$

**Lemma 3.1.** (a) For every knot  $K$ , we have the following equality in  $\mathbb{Q}[[x, h]]$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_{K,k}(x) h^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{K,k}(e^h) \prod_{j=1}^k (e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2})^2 - (e^{jh/2} - e^{-jh/2})^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[[x, h]].$$

(b) It follows that for every  $k$ ,

$$R_{K,k}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \langle C_{K,l} \rangle_j z^{2l - [j/2]} p_{l,j,k}(z)$$

where

$$z = e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2},$$

and  $p_{l,j,k}(z)$  is an even polynomial of  $z$  of degree  $[j/2]$ , with coefficients polynomials of  $l$  of degree  $k+1$ .  
(c) In particular, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{K,0}(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle C_{K,l} \rangle_0 z^{2l} \\ R_{K,1}(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle C_{K,l} \rangle_1 z^{2l} \\ R_{K,2}(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle C_{K,l} \rangle_2 z^{2l} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle C_{K,l} \rangle_0 \frac{l(l+1)(2l+1)}{6} z^{2l-2} \\ R_{K,3}(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle C_{K,l} \rangle_3 z^{2l} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle C_{K,l} \rangle_1 \frac{l(l+1)(2l+1)}{6} z^{2l-2} \end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ .

*Proof.* It follows easily, working in the ring  $\mathbb{Q}[[x, h]]$ , and using the fact that the map:

$$\mathbb{Q}(e^x)[[h]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[x, h]]$$

given by  $e^x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k/k!$  is 1-1. □

#### 4. UNIFORM BOUNDS FOLLOW FROM ESTIMATES OF THE CYCLOTOMIC FUNCTION

In this section we will deduce Theorem 12 from estimates of the degree and the coefficients of the cyclotomic expansion of the knot. These estimates will be established in a later chapter. Before we proceed with the details, let us point out how the cyclotomic expansion helps us solve the main difficulty mentioned in Section 2.2.

Equation (16) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad f_{K,n}(\alpha) &= J_{K,n}(e^{\alpha/n}) \\ (18) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^n C_{n,k}(e^{\alpha/n}) C_{K,k}(e^{\alpha/n}). \end{aligned}$$

The bounds on the degree and coefficients of  $C_{K,n}(q)$  are of the same shape as for  $J_{K,n}(q)$ . By an easy estimate (given below) it follows that  $C_{K,k}(e^{\alpha/n})$  is bounded by  $e^{C|\Re(\alpha)|^k}$ , plus error terms. On the other hand, the “kernel”  $C_{n,k}(e^{\alpha/n})$  is bounded by  $|\alpha|^{C'k}$ , and for  $\alpha$  small enough, the bound on the kernel absorbs the bound of the cyclotomic function. This erases the main difficulty and proves Theorem 12.

Let us now give the details. A key result of Habiro is an *integrality property* of the cyclotomic function  $n \rightarrow C_{K,n}$  of a knot. Namely,

$$C_{K,n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$$

for all knots  $K$  and all  $n$ ; see [H1].

Given a Laurent polynomial  $f(q) = \sum_k a_k q^k$ , we define its  $l^1$  norm by

$$(19) \quad \|f\|_1 = \sum_k |a_k|.$$

The proof of the following Theorem will be given in Chapter 7.

**Theorem 13.** (a) For every knot  $K$  we have:

$$(20) \quad \|C_{K,n}\|_1 \leq e^{Cn+C' \log n}$$

(b) Moreover,

$$\max \deg(C_{K,n}) = O(n^2), \quad \min \deg(C_{K,n}) = O(n^2).$$

Here, and below, the  $O(f(n))$  notation means that a quantity bounded by a constant times  $f(n)$ .

**Theorem 14.** (a) For every knot  $K$ , there exist constants  $C, C', C''$  and  $C'''$  (that depend on  $K$ ) such that for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 0$  we have:

$$(21) \quad |C_{K,n}^{(k)}(e^\alpha)| \leq e^{Cn+C'(k+1)\log n+\Re(\alpha)C''n^2+C'''},$$

where  $C_{K,n}^{(k)}$  denotes the  $k$ -th derivative of  $C_{K,n}(e^h)$  with respect to  $h$ , evaluated at  $h = 0$ .

(b) For every  $n \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $0 \leq l \leq n$ , we have:

$$|C_{K,k}^{(l)}(e^{\alpha/n})| \leq e^{Ck+C'(l+1)\log k+|\Re(\alpha)|C''k+C'''}$$

*Proof.* Let us write

$$C_{K,n}(q) = \sum_{j=-C_1n^2}^{C_1n^2} a_{j,n}q^j.$$

Then,

$$C_{K,n}^{(k)}(e^h) = \sum_{j=-C_1n^2}^{C_1n^2} a_{j,n}j^k e^{jh}.$$

We will estimate each coefficient and each monomial by:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{j,n}| &\leq \|C_{K,n}\|_1 \leq e^{Cn+C'\log n} \\ |j|^k &\leq (C_1n^2)^k \\ |e^{\alpha j}| &\leq e^{|\Re(\alpha)|C_1n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The result (a) follows. (b) follows easily from (a).  $\square$

The next is an elementary estimate.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For every complex number  $\alpha$  and every natural number  $n$  and  $k$  with  $k \leq n$  we have:*

$$|C_{n,k}(e^{\alpha/n})| \leq 2^{k-1} e^{|\Re(\alpha)|(k-1)} |\alpha|^{k-1}.$$

*Proof.* Equation (17) gives that:

$$C_{n,k}(e^{\alpha/n}) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (e^\alpha - e^{j\alpha/n} + e^{-\alpha} - e^{-j\alpha/n}).$$

The mean value theorem applied to the function  $z \rightarrow e^z + e^{-z}$  and the points  $z_0 = \alpha$  and  $z_1 = j\alpha/n$  implies that for every  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ , we have:

$$|e^\alpha - e^{j\alpha/n} + e^{-\alpha} - e^{-j\alpha/n}| \leq |e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}| |\alpha| \leq 2e^{|\Re(\alpha)|} |\alpha|.$$

The result follows.  $\square$

*Proof.* (of Theorem 12) Combining Theorem 14 and Lemma 4.1, it follows that for all  $n$  and all  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{n,k}(e^{\alpha/n})C_{K,k}(e^{\alpha/n})| &\leq e^{Ck+C'\log k+|\Re(\alpha)|C''k+C''' + C_1k\log|\alpha| + C_2\log k + C_3} \\ &= c_\alpha^k k^{C_2} e^{C_3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_\alpha = C + C''|\Re(\alpha)| + C_1\log|\alpha|.$$

Let us choose  $\alpha \in U_K$ , where

$$(22) \quad U_K = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid c_\alpha < 0\}.$$

Then, equation (17) and the above estimate imply that for all  $n$  and all  $\alpha \in U_K$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{K,n}(\alpha)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n |C_{n,k}(e^{\alpha/n})C_{K,k}(e^{\alpha/n})| \\ &\leq e^{C_3} \sum_{k=1}^n c_{\alpha}^k k^{C_2} \\ &\leq e^{C_3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{\alpha}^k k^{C_2} \\ &\leq M. \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 12.  $\square$

## 5. A REDUCTION OF THEOREM 4 TO ESTIMATES OF THE CYCLOTOMIC FUNCTION

In Section 2, we reduced Theorem 2 to the Uniform Bound Theorem 12. Moreover, in Section 4, we reduced Theorem 12 to Theorem 13 which bounds the degree and the coefficients of the cyclotomic function.

In this Section, we will reduce Theorem 4 to Theorem 13.

Let us fix a knot  $K$ . To simplify notation, let us define:

$$(23) \quad f_{K,n}^{(N)}(z) = J_{K,n}(e^{z/n}) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{P_{K,k}(e^z)}{\Delta_K(e^z)^{2k+1}} \left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^k.$$

Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 3, Lemma 2.1 and the following uniform bound.

**Theorem 15.** *For every knot  $K$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U_K$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that for every  $N \geq 0$  there exists a positive number  $M_N$  such that for  $\alpha \in U_K$ , and all  $n \geq 0$ , we have:*

$$\left| \left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)^N f_{K,n}^{(N)}(\alpha) \right| < M_N.$$

In the remaining of this section, we will prove that Theorem 13 implies Theorem 15.

*Proof.* (of Theorem 15, assuming Theorem 13) We will prove this by induction on  $N$ . For  $N = 0$ , this is Theorem 12 proven in Section 4. Let us assume that it is true for  $N - 1$ .

Let us define for every  $k \geq 0$ , two auxiliary biholomorphic functions

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(x, \epsilon) &= \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2})^2 - (e^{jh/2} - e^{-jh/2})^2, \\ g_{K,k}(x, \epsilon) &= c_k(x, \epsilon) C_{K,k}(e^{\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the definition of  $C_{n,k}(q)$  and Equation (16), it follows that:

$$(24) \quad C_{n,k}(e^{\alpha/n}) = c_k(\alpha, \alpha/n), \quad f_{K,n}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{K,k}(\alpha, \alpha/n).$$

For a function  $h = h(x)$ , let us define the  $N$ -th Taylor approximation by:

$$\text{Taylor}^N(h, x) = \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{h^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^j.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 to the function  $\epsilon \rightarrow g_{K,k}(\alpha, \epsilon)$ , and evaluating at  $\epsilon = \alpha/n$ , it follows that:

$$(25) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{P_{K,k}(e^\alpha)}{\Delta_K(e^\alpha)^{2k+1}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{Taylor}^{N-1}(g_{K,k}(\alpha, \cdot), \frac{\alpha}{n})$$

$$(26) \quad = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Taylor}^{N-1}(g_{K,k}(\alpha, \cdot), \frac{\alpha}{n}) + \text{err}_n(\alpha).$$

Equations (23), (24) and (26) and Taylor's theorem imply that:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{K,n}^{(N)}(e^{\alpha/n}) &= J_{K,n}(e^{\alpha/n}) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{P_{K,k}(e^\alpha)}{\Delta_K(e^\alpha)^{2k+1}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n g_{K,k}(\alpha, \alpha/n) - \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Taylor}^{N-1}(g_{K,k}(\alpha, \cdot), \frac{\alpha}{n}) - \text{err}_n(\alpha) \\ &\approx \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^N \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{N!} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial \epsilon^N} \Big|_{\epsilon \approx \alpha/n} g_{K,k}(\alpha, \epsilon) - \text{err}_n. \end{aligned}$$

The analyticity of  $g_{K,k}$  and Theorem 14 implies that there exists a positive  $M'_N$  such that for all  $\alpha \in U_K$  (as in (22)), we have:

$$|\text{err}_n(\alpha)| < M'_N.$$

Theorem 14 (which follows from Theorem 13) and Equation (24) imply that there exists a positive  $M_N$  such that

$$\left| \left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)^N J_{K,n}^{(N)}(e^{\alpha/n}) \right| < M_N$$

for all  $n \geq 0$  and for all  $\alpha \in U_K$ . This proves Theorem 15, assuming Theorem 13.  $\square$

## 6. BOUNDS FOR THE DEGREE AND COEFFICIENTS OF THE COLORED JONES FUNCTION

Let us summarize our efforts so far. We saw in Section 2 that strong convergence follows from weak convergence together with uniform boundedness. In Section 4, we saw that uniform bounds follow from the bounds of the cyclotomic function stated in Theorem 13. The goal of this section is to give bounds for the degree and coefficients of the colored Jones function.

Recall the definition of the  $l^1$ -norm of a Laurent polynomial from (19). For a Laurent polynomial  $f(q) = \sum_{k=-m}^M a_k q^k$ , with  $a_m a_{-m} \neq 0$ , let us define  $\text{maxdeg}(f) = M$  and  $\text{mindeg}(f) = m$ .

For a planar projection of a knot with  $c + 2$  crossings, let  $s_{\pm}$  denote the number of  $\pm$ -oriented circles, as in [Le, Prop.2.1].

**Theorem 16.** *For every knot  $K$  of  $c + 2$  crossings and every  $n$  we have:*

$$(27) \quad \text{maxdeg}(J_{K,n}) \leq \frac{1}{4}((c+2)n^2 + 2ns_+ - 2), \quad \text{mindeg}(J_{K,n}) \geq -\frac{1}{4}((c+2)n^2 - 2ns_- + 2),$$

and


$$(28) \quad \|J_{K,n}\|_1 \leq n^c 4^{cn}.$$

*Proof.* (a) follows from [Le, Prop.2.1] of the second author, using the Kauffman bracket definition of the colored Jones function of a knot. Alternatively, it follows from the state-sum definition given below.

To prove (b), we will make use of an  $R$ -matrix state sum definition of the colored Jones polynomial  $J_{K,n}(q)$ , discussed, for example, in [GL, Sec.3]. Consider a long knot  $K'$  whose closure is  $K$ , and fix a positive integer  $n$ . To compute  $J_{K,n}(q)$ , we follow the following algorithm:

- Assign angle variables  $k$  at each crossing, and let  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots)$ .

- Color each part-arc of the knot projection such that around each crossing the color of the part-arcs is given by:




There is a unique coloring of the part-arcs such that the two broken part-arcs have color 0.

- Assign local weights  $f(n; a, b, k) \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1/2}]$  at each crossing, and for a fixed coloring  $\mathbf{k}$ , let  $F(n, \mathbf{k})$  denote the product over all crossings of the corresponding local weights, times  $v$  raised to a linear form on  $n, \mathbf{k}$ .
- Form the sum

$$(29) \quad J_{K,n}(q) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} F(n, \mathbf{k}).$$

Strictly speaking, in [GL] we discussed the above algorithm for knots which are closures of braids, but a similar algorithm works for planar projections of knots as well. This follows from the following figure:



which moves crossings (positive or negative) into standard upright position, by an isotopy that creates local minima/maxima and no further crossings. The local minima/maxima give rise to an additional multiplicative factor (a monomial in  $v$  raised to a linear form in  $n, \mathbf{k}$ ) in  $F(n, \mathbf{k})$ , and does not affect the estimates below.

In [GL] we used the fact that the local weights  $R_{\pm} : \mathbb{Z}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1/2}]$ , are  $q$ -holonomic functions, in order to deduce from first principles that  $J_K$  is  $q$ -holonomic, and thus satisfies a linear recursion relation.

In our case, we will make use of the specific integral form of the local weights in order to deduce our result. Let us recall the specific form of the local weights, from [GL, Sec.3].

$$\begin{aligned} R_+(n; a, b, k) &:= (-1)^k v^{-((n-1-2a)(n-1-2b)+k(k-1))/2} \begin{bmatrix} b+k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \{n-1+k-a\}_k, \\ R_-(n; a, b, k) &:= v^{((n-1-2a-2k)(n-1-2b+2k)+k(k-1))/2} \begin{bmatrix} a+k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \{n-1+k-b\}_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v = q^{1/2}$ , and for  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the  $q$ -integers,  $q$ -factorial and  $q$ -binomial coefficients by:

$$(30) \quad \{a\} := v^a - v^{-a}, \quad \{a\}! := \prod_{i=1}^a \{a\}, \quad \{a\}_b := \frac{\{a\}!}{\{a-b\}!}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} := \frac{\{a\}!}{\{b\}! \{a-b\}!}$$

For a fixed coloring  $\mathbf{k}$ , the colors at the part-arcs of  $K'$  are linear forms on  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $n$ , and the contribution is nonzero only when all these linear forms are nonnegative and less than  $n$ . In particular, each of the angle variables  $k$  has to be  $0 \leq k < n$ . Observe that the  $l^1$  norm satisfies the inequalities

$$(31) \quad \|f + g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1, \quad \|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1,$$

Moreover,  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{N}[v^{1/2}]$  and

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \binom{m}{k} \leq 2^m \leq 2^n$$

if  $m \leq n$ . Moreover,

$$\|\{n-1+k-a\}_k\|_1 \leq 2^k \leq 2^n$$

for  $k \leq n$ . Combining with the above formulas for  $R_{\pm}$ , it follows that  $\|R_{\pm}(n; a, b, k)\|_1 \leq 4^n$ . Since

$$R_{\pm}(n; 0, 0, k) = \delta_{k,0},$$

and since we can always choose a breaking of a knot so that the broken arc ends under the first crossing and out of the last crossing, it follows that we can ignore at least two angle variables corresponding to the broken part-arcs. Thus,  $\|F(n, \mathbf{k})\|_1 \leq 4^{cn}$ , where  $c + 2$  is the number of crossings of  $K$ . Since  $k$  has to be  $0 \leq k < n$ , (29) implies that we have at most  $n^c$  choices for the angle variables. The result follows.  $\square$

As an application of our bounds, we can prove Theorem 8.

*Proof.* (of Theorem 8) Fix a knot with  $c$  crossings. Theorem 16 allows us to write

$$J_{K,n}(q) = \sum_j a_{n,j} q^j$$

where  $-c/4n^2 + O(n) \leq j \leq c/4n^2 + O(n)$ . Theorem 16 implies that

$$|a_{n,j}| \leq \|J_{K,n}(q)\|_1 \leq n^c 4^{cn},$$

for all  $j$  as above. Moreover, for such  $j$ , we have:

$$|e^{j\alpha/n}| = e^{\Re(j\alpha)/n} \leq e^{c/4|\Re(\alpha)|n}.$$

Combining the above inequalities, we get

$$|J_{K,n}(e^{\alpha/n})| \leq (cn^2/4 + O(n))n^c 4^{cn} e^{c/4|\Re(\alpha)|n}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{n} \log |f_{K,n}(\alpha)| \leq c(\log 4 + \frac{1}{4}|\Re(\alpha)|) + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right).$$

The result follows.  $\square$

## 7. BOUNDS FOR THE DEGREE AND COEFFICIENTS OF THE CYCLOTOMIC FUNCTION

The goal of this Section is to prove Theorem 13.

*Proof.* (of Theorem 13) We begin by expressing the cyclotomic function in terms of the colored Jones function. This is possible, since the two functions are related by an upper triangular ‘‘change of basis’’ formula; see [GL, Sec.4]. The formula is:

$$(32) \quad \{2n+1\}![2n]C_{K,n}(q) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \{2k\} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n-k \end{bmatrix} J_{K,k}(q).$$

Theorem 16 and Equation (32) gives:

$$(33) \quad \text{span} C_{K,n}(q) \leq C''' n^2.$$

Since  $\|\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n-k \end{bmatrix}\|_1 = \binom{2n}{n-k} \leq 2^{2n}$ , Equation (32) and Theorem 16 imply that

$$(34) \quad \|\{2n+1\}![2n]C_{K,n}(q)\|_1 \leq n^c 4^{(c+1)n}.$$

A priori, this estimate is weak and implies an exponential upper bound on the Mahler measure of  $C_{K,n}(q)$ , and a doubly exponential upper bound on the  $l^1$  norm of  $C_{K,n}(q)$ . Let us digress a bit and discuss this in detail.

Recall that the  $l^2$ -norm

$$\|f\|_2 := \left( \sum_k |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left( \int_0^1 |f(e^{2\pi it})|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

of a polynomial  $f = \sum_k a_k q^k$  also satisfies the inequalities of (31). However, neither the  $l^1$  nor the  $l^2$  norm are multiplicative. Mahler introduced a *measure*

$$M(f) = \exp \int_0^1 \log |f(e^{2\pi it})| dt$$

which although it is not a norm, it is by definition multiplicative:

$$M(fg) = M(f)M(g).$$

The next proposition summarizes how the Mahler measure compares with the  $l^1$  and  $l^2$  norms:

**Proposition 7.1.** *If  $f$  is a Laurent polynomial of degree  $d$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} M(f) &\leq \|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_1 \\ \|f\|_1 &\leq 2^d M(f) \\ M(f) &= 1 \quad \text{if } f \text{ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 13 follows from the above discussion and the following result, communicated to us by D. Boyd.  $\square$

**Theorem 17.** *(Boyd) If  $f(q)$  is a polynomial that satisfies*

- $\deg(f(q)) = Cn^2 + O(n)$  and
- $\|(1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^n)f(q)\|_1 \leq e^{C'n+O(\log n)}$  then

$$\|f\|_1 \leq e^{C''n+O(\log n)}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the polynomial  $g(q) = f(q)(1-q)\dots(1-q^n)$  of degree at most  $Cn^2 + n(n+1)/2 + O(n)$ . Thus, we may write

$$g(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{(C+1/2)n^2+O(n)} a_k q^k.$$

Let us expand

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1-q^k)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k q^k$$

where  $c_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can obtain an upper bound for the growth of  $c_k$  as follows. Consider

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-q^k)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k q^k$$

where  $p_n$  is the *number of partitions* of  $n$ . Using growth rate of  $p_n$  (see [An]), it follows that

$$(35) \quad 0 \leq c_k \leq p_k = e^{\pi\sqrt{2/3}\sqrt{k}+O(1)}.$$

The important thing is that the growth rate of  $p_k$  involves  $\sqrt{k}$ . Moreover, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(q) &= \frac{g(q)}{(1-q)\dots(1-q^n)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{Cn^2+O(n)} d_k q^k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i c_{k-i}.$$

Since  $|a_i| \leq \|g(q)\|_1 \leq e^{C'n+O(\log n)}$  for all  $i$ , Equation (35) and the above implies that for all  $0 \leq k \leq Cn^2 + O(n)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |d_k| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^k |a_i| c_{k-i} \\ &\leq e^{C'n+O(\log n)} \sum_{i=0}^k p_{k-i} \\ &\leq e^{C'n+O(\log n)} k p_k \\ &\leq e^{C'n+O(\log n)} p_{Cn^2+O(n)} \\ &\leq e^{C'n+O(\log n)} e^{\pi\sqrt{2C/3}n+O(\log n)} \\ &\leq e^{C''n+O(\log n)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C'' = C' + \pi\sqrt{2C/3}$ . Since  $\|f\|_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{Cn^2+O(n)} |d_k|$ , the result follows.  $\square$


## 8. ESTIMATES OF THE LOBACHEVSKY FUNCTION

**8.1. The Lobachevsky function.** In Section 6 we estimated the  $l^1$ -norm of the  $R$ -matrices. The  $R$ -matrices are given by a ratio of five quantum factorials. In this largely independent section we will prove refined (and optimal) estimates for the growth rate of the  $R$ -matrices. These estimates reveal the close relationship between hyperbolic geometry and the asymptotics of the quantum factorials. The main result in this section is a judicious application of the Euler-MacLaurin summation formula.

As a warm-up, let us consider the *Lobachevsky function*

$$\Lambda(z) = - \int_0^z \log |2 \sin x| dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2nz)}{n^2}$$

The Lobachevsky function is odd, with period  $\pi$ . Its graph for  $z \in [0, \pi]$  is given by:



Notice also that  $v_8 = 8\Lambda(\pi/4) \approx 3.66386$  is the volume of the regular ideal octahedron.

Let us introduce some convenient notation. If  $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$ , let us denote by  $ev_n(f)$  the *evaluation* of  $f$  at  $e^{2\pi i/n}$ .

Recall the  $q$ -factorial  $\{a\}!$  from Equation (30). The next lemma discusses the asymptotics of the evaluation of  $q$ -factorials. The notation  $O(\log n)$  below is a term which is bounded by  $C \log n$  for some constant  $C$  independent of  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *For every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we have:*

$$ev_n(\{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor\}!) = \exp\left(-\frac{n}{\pi}\Lambda(\pi\alpha) + O(\log n)\right).$$

*Remark 8.2.* The proof reveals an asymptotic expansion of the form:

$$ev_n(\{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor\}!) \sim n^\theta \exp\left(-\frac{n}{\pi}\Lambda(\pi\alpha)\right) \left(C_0 + \frac{C_1}{n} + \frac{C_2}{n^2} + \dots\right)$$

for explicitly computable constants  $C_i$ .

*Proof.* Recall the *Euler-MacLaurin summation formula*, with error term (see for example, [O, Chapt.8]):

$$\sum_{k=a}^b f(k) = \int_a^b f(x)dx + \frac{1}{2}f(a) + \frac{1}{2}f(b) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} (f^{(2k-1)}(b) - f^{(2k-1)}(a)) + R_m(a, b, f)$$

where

$$|R_m(a, b, f)| \leq (2 - 2^{1-2m}) \frac{|B_{2m}|}{(2m)!} \int_a^b |f^{(2m)}(x)| dx,$$

and  $B_k$  is the  $k$ th *Bernoulli number* given by the generating series:

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

Applying the above formula to for  $m = 1$  to  $f(x) = \log |e^{2\pi ix/n} - 1|$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor} |e^{2\pi ik/n} - 1|\right) &= \frac{1}{2}(f(1) + f(\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor)) + \int_0^{\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor} \log |e^{2\pi it/n} - 1| dt + R_1(1, \alpha n, f) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(f(1) + f(\alpha n)) + \int_0^{\alpha n} \log |e^{2\pi it/n} - 1| dt + R_1(1, \alpha n, f) + \epsilon(\alpha, n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(f(1) + f(\alpha n)) + \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi\alpha} \log |2 \sin(u)| u + R_1(1, \alpha n, f) + \epsilon(\alpha, n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(f(1) + f(\alpha n)) + \frac{n}{\pi} \left( -\Lambda(\pi\alpha) + \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \right) + R_1(1, \alpha n, f) + \epsilon(\alpha, n). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\frac{1}{2}|f(1) + f(\alpha n)| \leq C \log n, \quad \text{and} \quad |\epsilon(\alpha, n)| \leq C''.$$

Moreover,  $f'(x) = -\frac{\pi}{n} \cot(\pi x/n)$  and  $f''(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{n^2} (\csc(\pi x/n))^2$ , and  $(\csc x)^2 = 1/x^2 + 1/3 + x^2/15 + O(x^3)$ . Thus  $\int_{\pi/n}^{\alpha n} |\csc x| dx \leq Cn^2$ , and

$$|R_1(1, \alpha n, f)| \leq C'.$$

Furthermore, using the asymptotic expansion of  $\Lambda(z)$  for  $z \in (0, \pi)$ :

$$\Lambda(z) = z - z \log(2z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{2k} \frac{(2z)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!},$$

it follows that

$$\frac{n}{\pi} |\Lambda(\frac{\pi}{n})| \leq C'' \log n.$$

The result follows.  $\square$

**8.2. Asymptotics of the  $R$ -matrix using ideal octahedra.** In this section we prove that the  $R$ -matrix  $R(n; a, b, k)$ , evaluated at  $q = e^{2\pi i/n}$ , grows exponentially with rate proportional to the volume of an ideal octahedron.

Consider the  $R$ -matrix evaluated at  $q = e^{2\pi i/n}$ ,  $\text{ev}_n(R(n; a, b, k))$ . Recall from (30) that  $R$  is a ratio of five quantum factorials. Let us assume that  $a = \lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$ ,  $b = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$ ,  $k = \lfloor \kappa n \rfloor$ , where

$$(36) \quad \alpha, \beta, \kappa \in [0, 1] \quad 0 \leq \beta + \kappa \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha - \kappa \leq 1.$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} r(n; \alpha, \beta, \kappa) &:= \text{ev}_n(\log |R(n; \alpha n, \beta n, \kappa n)|) \\ &= \text{ev}_n(\{b+k\}!) - \text{ev}_n(\{b\}!) - \text{ev}_n(\{k\}!) + \text{ev}_n(\{a\}!) - \text{ev}_n(\{a-k\}!) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\max_{a,b,k} \text{ev}_n(\log |R(n; a, b, k)|) = \max_{\alpha,\beta,\kappa} r(n; \alpha, \beta, \kappa).$$

with the understanding that  $\alpha, \beta, \kappa$  satisfy (36).


The next result gives the asymptotics of the  $R$ -matrix.

**Theorem 18.**

$$\max_{\alpha, \beta, \kappa} r(n; \alpha, \beta, \kappa) = r(n; 3/4, 1/4, 1/2) = \frac{v_8}{2\pi} n + O(\log n)$$

where  $v_8 = 8\Lambda(\pi/4) \approx 3.66386$  is the volume of the regular hyperbolic ideal octahedron.

Thus, asymptotically, the winning configuration is given by:



*Proof.* Lemma 8.1 and the definition of  $r$  imply that

$$r(n; \alpha, \beta, \kappa) = \frac{n}{\pi} f(\alpha, \beta, \kappa) + O(\log n)$$

where

$$f(\alpha, \beta, \kappa) = -\Lambda(\pi(\beta + \kappa)) + \Lambda(\pi\beta) + \Lambda(\pi\kappa) - \Lambda(\pi\alpha) + \Lambda(\pi(\alpha - \kappa))$$

The domain of  $f$  is a compact set, thus the maximum of  $f$  exists. Moreover,  $f$  vanishes on the boundary, thus the maximum is one of the critical points in the interior. To find the critical points in the interior, let us set

$$z_\alpha = e^{2\pi i\alpha}, \quad z_\beta = e^{2\pi i\beta}, \quad z_\kappa = e^{2\pi i\kappa}.$$

Using the derivate of the Lobachevsky function

$$\Lambda'(x) = \log |e^{2ix} - 1|,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} &= \log |z_\alpha - 1| - \log |z_\alpha z_\kappa^{-1} - 1| = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} &= \log |z_\beta z_\kappa - 1| - \log |z_\beta - 1| = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \kappa} &= \log |z_\beta z_\kappa - 1| - \log |z_\kappa - 1| - \log |z_\alpha z_\kappa^{-1} - 1| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the critical points in the interior are given by the solutions of:

$$\begin{aligned} |z_\alpha - 1| &= |z_\alpha z_\kappa^{-1} - 1| \\ |z_\beta z_\kappa - 1| &= |z_\beta - 1| \\ |z_\beta z_\kappa - 1| &= |z_\kappa - 1| |z_\alpha z_\kappa^{-1} - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 8.4 below, and the fact that  $z_\alpha, z_\beta, z_\kappa \neq 1$ , the first two equations imply that

$$z_\alpha = z_\alpha^{-1} z_\kappa \quad z_\beta z_\kappa = z_\beta^{-1}$$

Thus,  $z_\kappa = z_\alpha^2 = z_\beta^2$  and  $z_\alpha = \pm z_\beta$ . Plugging in the third equation gives  $(z_\alpha, z_\beta, z_\kappa) = (-i, i, -1)$ , i.e.,  $(\alpha, \beta, \kappa) = (3/4, 1/4, 1/2)$ . Since

$$\Lambda(\pi/2) = 0, \quad \Lambda(3\pi/4) = -\Lambda(\pi/4),$$

it follows that

$$f(3/4, 1/4, 1/2) = 4\Lambda(\pi/4) = v_8/2.$$

The result follows.  $\square$

*Remark 8.3.* The proof also reveals that  $r(n; \alpha, \beta, \kappa) = \frac{\text{vol}(\alpha, \beta, \kappa)}{2\pi} n + O(\log n)$ , where  $\text{vol}(\alpha, \beta, \kappa)$  is the volume of an ideal octahedron with vertices  $0, 1, \infty, z_\alpha, z_\beta, z_\kappa$ . We thank D. Thurston for pointing this out to us.

**Lemma 8.4.** *If  $z, w$  are complex numbers that satisfy  $|z| = |w| = 1$  and  $|1 - z| = |1 - w|$ , then  $z = w^\pm$ .*

*Proof.* Let us define

$$C_{u_0, r} := \{u \in \mathbb{C} \mid |u - u_0| = r > 0\}.$$

Then  $C_{u_0, r}$  is a circle with center  $u_0$  and radius  $r$ . Fixing  $w$ , it follows that  $z \in C_{0,1} \cap C_{1,|1-w|}$ . The intersection of two circles is two points, and since  $w$  and  $w^{-1} = \bar{w}$  both lie in the intersection, the result follows.  $\square$

*Remark 8.5.* The same bound in Theorem 18 holds for the  $R_-$  matrix of Equation (30).

**8.3. Proof of Theorem 9.** Recall that the colored Jones function is given by the state-sum of Equation (29), where the summand  $F(n, \mathbf{k})$  is a product of local  $R$ -matrices, one for each crossing of  $K$ . Theorem 18 implies that

$$|\text{ev}_n(F(n, \mathbf{k}))| \leq e^{vs/(2\pi)nc + O(\log n)}$$

for each  $\mathbf{k}$ , where the error term is bounded independent of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Since  $\mathbf{k}$  takes  $O(n^4)$  values, Theorem 9 follows.

## 9. THE GENERALIZED VOLUME CONJECTURE NEAR $\alpha = 2\pi i$

In this section we will prove Theorems 6 and 7 which are concerned with the Generalized Volume Conjecture near  $2\pi i$ . Our proofs use crucially the cyclotomic expansion of the colored Jones function, which implies two well-known *symmetry principles*.

Let us fix natural numbers  $m, n$  and  $n'$  with  $n, n' \geq 2$  and  $n \equiv n' \pmod{m}$ .

Equation (16) implies the symmetry principle:

$$(37) \quad J_{K, n}(e^{2\pi i/m}) = J_{K, n'}(e^{2\pi i/m}).$$

*Proof.* (of Theorem 6) The symmetry principle implies that for all  $m > 0$ , we have:

$$J_{K, n+m}(e^{2\pi/n}) = J_{K, m}(e^{2\pi/n})$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{K, n+m}(e^{2\pi/n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{K, m}(e^{2\pi/n}) = J_{K, m}(1) = 1.$$

Thus, Theorem 6 follows for  $m > 0$ . When  $m < 0$ , use the mirror knot  $K'$  and the fact that  $J_{K', n}(q) = J_{K, n}(q^{-1})$ , and reduce it to the case of  $m > 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* (of Theorem 7). Let us fix a knot  $K$  and consider the neighborhood  $U_K$  of 0 as in Theorem 2. Let us define  $V_K = 1 + U_K$ .

Let us suppose that  $\alpha/(2\pi i) \in V_K$  is a rational number not equal to 1. In other words,  $\alpha = 2\pi ip/q$  with  $p, q$  unequal coprime integers with  $q > 0$ . Let us suppose first that  $p > q$ . Let  $N = np$ . Then, the symmetry principle implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{K, N}(\alpha) &= J_{K, N}(e^{\alpha/N}) \\ &= J_{K, np}(e^{2\pi i/(nq)}) \\ &= J_{K, n(p-q)}(e^{2\pi i/(nq)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n(p-q)/(nq) = 1 - p/q \in U_K$ , Theorem 2 implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{K, n(p-q)}(e^{2\pi i/(nq)}) = \frac{1}{\Delta(e^{2\pi i(1-p/q)})}.$$

In other words,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{K, np}(\alpha) = 0.$$

The result follows. The case of  $p < q$  can be dealt by replacing the knot by its mirror image.  $\square$

10. THE  $q$ -HOLONOMIC POINT OF VIEW

10.1. **Bounds on  $l^1$ -norm and Mahler measure of  $q$ -holonomic functions.** The main result of [GL] is that for every knot  $K$ , the functions  $J_K$  and  $C_K$  are  $q$ -holonomic. Recall that a sequence  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$  is  $q$ -holonomic if satisfies a  $q$ -linear difference equation. In other words, there exists a natural number  $d$  and rational functions  $a_j(u, v) \in \mathbb{Q}(u, v)$  for  $j = 0, \dots, d$  with  $a_d \neq 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have:

$$\sum_{j=0}^d a_j(q^n, q) f_{n+j}(q) = 0.$$

In this section we observe that  $q$ -holonomic functions satisfy *a priori* upper bounds on their degrees and (under an integrality assumption) on their  $l^1$ -norm. As a simple corollary, we obtain an independent proof of Theorems 4 and 16.

**Definition 10.1.** We say that a sequence  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]$  is  $q$ -integral holonomic if it satisfies an *integral  $q$ -difference equation* as above with  $a_d = 1$  and  $a_j(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}[u, v]$ .

**Question 1.** Is it true that  $J_K$  and  $C_K$  are  $q$ -integral holonomic for every knot  $K$ ?

For a partial answer, see [GS].

**Theorem 19.** (a) *If  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]$  is  $q$ -holonomic, then for all  $n$  we have:*

$$\maxdeg(f_n) = O(n^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mindeg(f_n) = O(n^2).$$

(a) *If  $f$  is  $q$ -integral holonomic, then for all  $n$  we have:*

$$\|f_n\|_1 \leq C^n$$

for some constant  $C$ . In particular,

$$M(f_n) \leq C^n$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f_n(e^{\alpha/n})|}{n} \leq C_\alpha$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In other words, integral  $q$ -holonomic functions grow at most exponentially and satisfy Theorems 8 and 16.

*Proof.* For the first claim in (a), let us assume without loss of generality that

$$a_d(q^n, q) f_{n+d}(q) = -a_{d-1}(q^n, q) f_{n+d-1}(q) - \dots - a_0(q^n, q) f_n(q)$$

where  $a_j(Q, q) \in \mathbb{Q}[Q, q]$  are polynomials in  $Q, q$ . Choose  $C'$  so that

- $\maxdeg a_j(q^n, q) \leq 2C'(n+d)$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, d-1$ , and
- $\maxdeg f(n) \leq C'(n+1)^2$  for  $n = 0, \dots, d-1$ .

We will prove by induction on  $n$  that  $\maxdeg f(n) \leq C'(n+1)^2$ . By assumption, it is true for  $n = 0, \dots, d-1$ . Then, by induction we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \maxdeg f_{n+d}(q) &\leq \maxdeg a_d(q^n, q) + \maxdeg f_{n+d}(q) \\ &= \maxdeg(a_d(q^n, q) f_{n+d}(q)) \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq j < d} \maxdeg(a_j(q^n, q) f_{n+j}(q)) \\ &= \max_{0 \leq j < d} 2C'(n+j+1) + C'(n+j+1)^2 \\ &= 2C'(n+d) + C'(n+d)^2 \\ &< C'(n+d+1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The second claim in (a) follows similarly.

For (b), let  $c_j = \|a_j(Q, q)\|_1$  for  $j = 0, \dots, d-1$ , and choose  $C$  so that

- $C^d \leq c_{d-1}C^{d-1} + \dots + c_0C^0$ , and
- $\|f_n(q)\|_1 \leq C^n$  for  $n = 0, \dots, d-1$ .

Then, it is easy to see by induction that (b) holds for all  $n$ . □

*Remark 10.2.* It is easy to see that the bounds of Theorem 19 are sharp. For example, consider the sequence  $f_n(q) = (1+q)(1+q^2)\dots(1+q^n)$ .

As advertised above, Theorem 19 gives an alternative proof of Theorem 4 and 16, under the assumption that Question 1 has a positive answer. However, the explicit upper bounds in terms of the number of crossings cannot be obtained from Theorem 19, unless we know something more about the  $q$ -difference equation of the colored Jones function. Moreover, Theorem 4 cannot be obtained from general theory of asymptotics of solutions of  $q$ -difference equations, since a typical solution would be growing exponentially, even for small positive  $\alpha$ . With additional assumptions on the shape of the  $q$ -difference equations, the first author can show Theorem 4. The proof was replaced with the one of the present paper.

**10.2. Bounds for higher rank groups.** In [GL], we considered the colored Jones function

$$J_{\mathfrak{g}, K} : \Lambda_w \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$$

of a knot  $K$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a *simple Lie algebra* with *weight lattice*  $\Lambda_w$ . In the above reference, the authors proved that  $J_{\mathfrak{g}, K}$  is a  $q$ -holonomic function, at least when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not  $G_2$ . For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ ,  $J_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, K}$  is the colored Jones function  $J_K$  discussed earlier.

In [GL], the authors gave state-sum formulas for  $J_{\mathfrak{g}, K}$  similar to (29) where the summand takes values in  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/D}]$ , where  $D$  is the size of the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The methods of the present paper give an upper bound for the growth-rate of the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -colored Jones function. More precisely, we have:

**Theorem 20.** *For every simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (other than  $G_2$ ) and every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , and every  $\lambda \in \Lambda_w$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\mathfrak{g}, \alpha, \lambda}$  such that for every knot with  $c+2$  crossings, we have:*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |J_{\mathfrak{g}, K, n\lambda}(\alpha)|}{n} \leq C_{\mathfrak{g}, \alpha, \lambda} c.$$

The details of the above theorem will be explained in a subsequent publication.

## 11. SOME PHYSICS

**11.1. A small dose of physics.** One does not need to know the relation of the colored Jones function and quantum field theory in order to understand the statement and proof of Theorem 4. Nevertheless, we want to add some philosophical comments, for the benefit of the willing reader. According to Witten (see [Wi]), the Jones polynomial  $J_{K, n}$  can be expressed by a partition function of a topological quantum field theory in 3 dimensions—a gauge theory with Chern-Simons Lagrangian. The stationary points of the Lagrangian correspond to  $SU(2)$ -flat connections on an ambient manifold, and the observables are knots, colored by the  $n$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $SU(2)$ . In case of a knot in  $S^3$ , there is only one ambient flat connection, and the corresponding perturbation theory is a formal power series in  $h = \log q$ .

Rozansky exploited a cut-and-paste property of the Chern-Simons path integral and considered perturbation theory of the knot complement, along an abelian flat connection with monodromy given by (9). In fact, Rozansky calls such an expansion the  *$U(1)$ -RCC connection contribution* to the Chern-Simons path integral, where RCC stands for *reducible connection contribution*, and  $U(1)$  stands for the fact that the flat  $SU(2)$  connections are actually  $U(1)$ -valued abelian connections. Formal properties of such a perturbative expansion, enabled Rozansky to deduce (in physics terms) the loop expansion of the colored Jones function. In a later publication, Rozansky proved the existence of the loop expansion using an explicit state-sum description of the colored Jones function.

Of course, perturbation theory means studying formal power series that rarely converge. Perturbation theory at the trivial flat connection in a knot complement converges, as it resums to a Laurent polynomial

in  $e^h$ ; namely the  $n$ th colored Jones polynomial. The volume conjecture for small complex angles is precisely the statement that perturbation theory for abelian flat connections (near the trivial one) does converge.

At the moment, there is no physics (or otherwise) formulation of perturbation theory of the Chern-Simons path integral along a discrete and faithful  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  representation. Nor is there an adequate explanation of the relation between  $SU(2)$  gauge theory (valid near  $\alpha = 0$ ) and a complexified  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  gauge theory, valid near  $\alpha = 2\pi i$ . These are important and tantalizing questions, with no answers at present.

**11.2. WKB.** Since we are discussing physics interpretations of Theorem 4 let us make some more comments. Obviously, when the angle  $\alpha$  is sufficiently big, the asymptotic expansion of Equation (7) may break down. For example, when  $e^\alpha$  is a complex root of the Alexander polynomial, then the right hand side of (7) does not make sense, even to leading order. In fact, when  $\alpha$  is near  $2\pi i$ , then the solutions are expected to grow exponentially, and not polynomially, according to the Volume Conjecture.

The breakdown and change of rate of asymptotics is a well-documented phenomenon well-known in physics, associated with WKB analysis, after Wentzel-Krammer-Brillouin; see for example [O]. In fact, one may obtain an independent proof of Theorem 4 using *WKB analysis*, that is, the study of asymptotics of solutions of difference equations with a small parameter. The key idea is that the sequence of colored Jones functions is a solution of a linear  $q$ -difference equation, as was established in [GL]. A discussion on WKB analysis of  $q$ -difference equations was given by Geronimo and the first author in [GG].

The WKB analysis can, in particular, determine *small exponential corrections* of the form  $e^{-c_\alpha n}$  to the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 4, where  $c_\alpha$  depends on  $\alpha$ , with  $\text{Re}(c_\alpha) < 0$  for  $\alpha$  sufficiently small. These exciting small exponential corrections cannot be captured by classical asymptotic analysis (since they vanish to all orders in  $n$ ), but they are important and dominant (i.e.,  $\text{Re}(c_\alpha) > 0$ ) when  $\alpha$  is near  $2\pi i$ , according to the volume conjecture. Understanding the change of sign of  $\text{Re}(c_\alpha)$  past certain so-called Stokes directions is an important question that WKB addresses.

We will not elaborate or use the WKB analysis in the present paper. Let us only mention that the loop expansion of the colored Jones function can be interpreted as WKB asymptotics on a  $q$ -difference equation satisfied by the colored Jones function.

#### APPENDIX A. THE VOLUME CONJECTURE FOR THE BORROMEAN RINGS

It is well-known that the complement of the Borromean rings  $B$  can be geometrically identified by gluing two regular ideal octahedra; [Th]. As a result, the volume  $\text{vol}(S^3 - B)$  of  $S^3 - B$  is given by  $2v_8$ .

If  $L$  is a link with a distinguished component (to be broken), then one may define the colored Jones function  $J_{L,n}(q)$  to be the invariant of the  $(1, 1)$ -tangle obtained by breaking the distinguished component of  $L$  and coloring all components of the tangle by the  $n$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . In general,  $J_{L,n}(q)$  depends on the link and its distinguished component. In the case of the Borromean rings  $B$  though, due to symmetry, we may choose any component as the distinguished one. Habiro uses the notation  $\tilde{J}_{L,n}(q)$  for  $J_{L,n}(q)$ .

The next theorem confirms the volume conjecture for the Borromean rings.

**Theorem 21.** *If  $J_{B,n}(q)$  denotes the colored Jones function of the Borromean rings  $B$ , then*

$$(38) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |J_{B,n}(e^{2\pi i/n})|}{n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{vol}(S^3 - B).$$

*Proof.* Using Habiro's formula for  $\tilde{J}_L$  of the Borromean ring [H2], one has

$$J_{B,n}(e^{2\pi i/n}) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (-1)^l \frac{\{n\}^2 \left( \prod_{j=1}^l \{n+j\} \{n-j\} \right)^3}{\left( \prod_{j=l+1}^{2l+1} \{j\} \right)^2}.$$

When  $v = e^{i\pi/n}$ , on has  $\{j\} = 2i \sin \frac{j\pi}{n}$ , which is 0 exactly when  $j$  is divisible by  $n$ . Hence if  $2l+1 < n$ , then the denominator of the term in the above sum is never 0, while the numerator is 0, since it has 2 factors  $\{n\}$ . On the other hand, if  $2l+1 > n$ , then the denominator has 2 factors  $\{n\}$ , which would cancel with the

2 same factors of the numerator. Hence when evaluating at  $v = e^{i\pi/n}$  one can assume that  $2l + 1 \geq n$ , or  $l > n/2 - 1$ :

$$J_{B,n}(e^{2\pi i/n}) = \sum_{n > l > n/2 - 1} (-1)^l \frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^l \{n+j\} \{n-j\}\right)^3}{\left(\prod_{j=l+1}^{n-1} \{j\} \prod_{j=n+1}^{2l+1} \{j\}\right)^2}.$$

Note that when  $v = e^{i\pi/n}$ , one has  $\{n+j\} = -\{j\} = -2i \sin(j\pi/n)$ . Hence a simple calculation shows that

$$J_{B,n}(e^{2\pi i/n}) = \sum_{n > l > n/2 - 1} \frac{(\tau_{1,l})^3}{\tau_{l+1,n-1} \tau_{n+1,2l+1}},$$

where

$$\tau_{p,l} := \prod_{j=p}^l 4 \sin^2(j\pi/n).$$

The following properties of  $\tau$  are easy to verify

**Lemma A.1.** *We have:*

$$(39) \quad \tau_{1,m} = \tau_{n-m,n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < m < n$$

$$(40) \quad \tau_{n+1,m} = \tau_{2nn-m,n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad n < m < 2n$$

$$(41) \quad \tau_{1,n-1} = n^2$$

$$(42) \quad \tau_{1,m} \tau_{1,n-m-1} = n^2 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < m < n.$$

From the Lemma it follows that  $\tau_{l+1,n-1} = n^2/\tau_{1,l}$  and  $\tau_{n+1,2l+1} = \tau_{2n-l-1,n-1} = n^2/\tau_{1,2n-l-2}$ . Hence

$$J_{B,n}(e^{2\pi i/n}) = n^4 \sum_{n > l > n/2 - 1} (\tau_{1,l})^4 \tau_{1,2n-2l-2}.$$

Let  $k = 2l + 1 - n$ . Then  $n > k \geq 0$ ,  $k + n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , and  $l = (n + k - 1)/2$ . From the above Lemma one has that

$$\tau_{1,2n-2l-2} = \frac{n^2}{\tau_{1,k}},$$

$$\tau_{1,l} = \frac{n^2}{\tau_{n-l-1}}.$$

Thus,

$$(\tau_{1,l})^4 = (\tau_{1,l})^2 \left( \frac{n^2}{\tau_{n-l-1}} \right)^2,$$

and

$$(43) \quad \text{ev}_n(J_{B,n}(e^{2\pi i/n})) = n^{10} \sum_{n > k \geq 0, k+n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}} \frac{(\tau_{1,(n+k-1)/2})^2}{\tau_{1,(n-k-1)/2} \tau_{1,k}}.$$

Using the notation of Section 8, we have:

$$\frac{(\tau_{1,(n+k-1)/2})^2}{\tau_{1,(n-k-1)/2} \tau_{1,k}} = |\text{ev}_n(R(n; a, b, k))|^2$$

where  $a = \lfloor (n+k-1)/2 \rfloor$  and  $b = \lfloor (n-k-1)/2 \rfloor$ . There are less than  $n$  terms, hence using Theorem 18 one has that

$$J_{B,n}(e^{2\pi i/n}) < n^{11} e^{2r(n; 3/4, 1/4, 1/2)}.$$

Therefore the limsup of the left hand side of (38) is  $2v_8/(2\pi)$ .

On the other hand, all the terms in the sum of (43) are positive, hence the sum is at least the biggest term, which is when  $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . The limit when we retain only this term is easily seen to be equal to  $(2v_8)/(2\pi)$ , which is the same as the limsup.  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. THE VOLUME CONJECTURE FOR TORUS KNOTS

Let  $T(a, b)$  denote the  $(a, b)$ -torus knot for co-prime integers  $a, b$  ( $a, b > 1$ ). Although  $T(a, b)$  is not a hyperbolic knot, the *volume function*  $V(l, m)$  on the deformation variety can be defined; see [CCGLS]. Let us discuss this first.

**Lemma B.1.** *The restriction of the volume form on the deformation variety, i.e., the zero set of the A-polynomial is equal to 0.*

*Proof.* For a torus knots the A-polynomial is either of the form  $l \pm m^c$  for some integer  $c$ , or the product of 2 factors of that forms. It is easy to check that the restriction of the volume form on any such factor is equal to 0.  $\square$

Thus the volume function  $V(l, m)$  on the deformation variety must be constant. Because the Gromov norm of the knot complement is 0, one should define  $V(l, m) = 0$  for every  $l, m$ . Then the generalized volume conjecture can be proved easily in this case:

**Proposition B.2.** *For the torus knot  $K = T(a, b)$  and for any real number  $\alpha$ , one has*

$$(44) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |J_{K,n}(e^{2\pi i \alpha/n})|}{n} = 0.$$

*Proof.* There are two cases:  $\alpha$  is an integer, or  $\alpha$  is not. The first case is actually much more difficult, this is the usual volume conjecture and it has been proved by Kashaev and Tirkkonen in [KT]. Let us consider the easier case, when  $\alpha$  is not an integer.

Note that  $v = \exp(\pi i \alpha/n)$ , and if  $\alpha$  is not an integer one has  $v^n - v^{-n} = 2i \sin \pi \alpha/n \neq 0$ .

The colored Jones polynomial was calculated by Morton in [Mo]:

$$(45) \quad J_{K,n}(q) = \frac{v^{-ab(n^2-1)/2}}{v^n - v^{-n}} \sum_{\substack{k=1-n, k+n \equiv 1 \\ (\text{mod } 2)}}^{n-1} (v^{2abk^2+2ka+2kb+1} - v^{2abk^2+2ka-2kb-1}).$$

The sum contains  $n + 1$  terms, each by absolute value is less than or equal to 2. Hence

$$|J_{K,n}(e^{2\pi i \alpha/n})| < \frac{2(n+1)}{\sin(\pi \alpha/n)}.$$

Thus, the limsup is less than or equal to 0. The argument in Section 4 shows that the lim inf is greater than or equal to 0. The result follows.  $\square$

*Remark B.3.* In [M2], H. Murakami discusses the Generalized Volume Conjecture for the torus knots and angles  $\alpha$  with nonzero imaginary part.

## REFERENCES

- [Ag] I. Agol, *Lower bounds on volumes of hyperbolic Haken 3-manifolds*, preprint 1999 [math.GT/9906182](#).
- [ADST] ———, N. Dunfield, P. Storm and W. Thurston, *Lower bounds on volumes of hyperbolic Haken 3-manifolds*, preprint 2005, [math.DG/0506338](#).
- [An] G.E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [B-N] D. Bar-Natan, *On the Vassiliev knot invariants*, *Topology* **34** (1995) 423–472.
- [B-NG] ——— and S. Garoufalidis, *On the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky conjecture*, *Inventiones*, **125** (1996) 103–133.
- [CCGLS] D. Cooper, D. M. Culler, H. Gillet, D. Long and P. Shalen, *Plane curves associated to character varieties of 3-manifolds*, *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994) 47–84.
- [Ga1] S. Garoufalidis, *Beads: from Lie algebras to Lie groups*, preprint [math.GT/0201056](#).
- [GR] ——— and L. Rozansky, *The loop expansion of the Kontsevich integral, the null-move and S-equivalence*, *Topology*, **43** (2004) 1183–1210.
- [GL] ——— and TTQ. Le, *The colored Jones function is q-holonomic* *Geom. and Topology*, **9** (2005) 1253–1293.
- [GG] ——— and J. Geronimo, *Asymptotics of q-difference equations*, preprint 2004, [math.QA/0405331](#).
- [GK] ——— and A. Kriker, *A rational noncommutative invariant of boundary links*, *Geom. and Topology* **8** (2004) 115–204.
- [GS] ——— and X. Sun, to appear.

- [Gu] S. Gukov, *Three-Dimensional Quantum Gravity, Chern-Simons Theory, and the A-Polynomial*, preprint 2003 [hep-th/0306165](#).
- [HI] K. Habiro, *On the quantum  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  invariants of knots and integral homology spheres*, *Geom. Topol. Monogr.* **4** (2002) 55–68.
- [H2] ———, *On the colored Jones polynomials of some simple links*, Recent progress towards the volume conjecture (Japanese) (Kyoto, 2000) 34–43.
- [Hi] E. Hille, *Analytic function theory*, vols I, II, Boston, Mass.-New York-Toronto 1962.
- [J] V. Jones, *Hecke algebra representation of braid groups and link polynomials*, *Annals Math.* **126** (1987) p. 335–388.
- [K] R. Kashaev, *The hyperbolic volume of knots from the quantum dilogarithm*, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **39** (1997) 269–275.
- [KT] ——— and O. Tirkkonen, *A proof of the volume conjecture on torus knots*, *J. Math. Sci.* **115** (2003) 2033–2036.
- [La] M. Lackenby, *The volume of hyperbolic alternating link complements*, With an appendix by Ian Agol and Dylan Thurston. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **88** (2004) 204–224.
- [Le] T. Le, *The Colored Jones Polynomial and the A-Polynomial of Two-Bridge Knots*, preprint 2004 [math.GT/0407521](#).
- [Mo] H. Morton, *The coloured Jones function and Alexander polynomial for torus knots*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **117** (1995) 129–135.
- [MM] H. Murakami and J. Murakami, *The colored Jones polynomials and the simplicial volume of a knot*, *Acta Math.* **186** (2001) 85–104.
- [M1] ———, *Some limits of the colored Jones polynomials of the figure-eight knot*, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **44** (2004) 369–383.
- [M2] ———, *Asymptotic behaviors of the colored Jones polynomials of a torus knot*, *Internat. J. Math.* **15** (2004) 547–555.
- [O] F. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, Reprint. AKP Classics. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1997.
- [Ro] L. Rozansky, *The universal R-matrix, Burau representation, and the Melvin-Morton expansion of the colored Jones polynomial*, *Adv. Math.* **134** (1998) 1–31.
- [Sch] J. Schiff, *Normal families*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [Th] W. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, 1979 notes, available from MSRI.
- [Tu] V. Turaev, *The Yang-Baxter equation and invariants of links*, *Inventiones Math.* **92** (1988) 527–553.
- [Wi] E. Witten, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, *Commun. Math. Physics.* **121** (1989) 360–376.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160, USA, <http://www.math.gatech.edu/~stavros>

*E-mail address:* [stavros@math.gatech.edu](mailto:stavros@math.gatech.edu)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GA 30332-0160, USA

*E-mail address:* [letu@math.gatech.edu](mailto:letu@math.gatech.edu)