

An intrinsic volume functional on almost complex 6-manifolds and nearly Kähler geometry

Misha Verbitsky¹

verbit@maths.gla.ac.uk, verbit@mccme.ru

Abstract

Let (M, I) be an almost complex 6-manifold. The obstruction to integrability of almost complex structure (so-called Nijenhuis tensor) $N : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2,0}(M)$ maps a 3-dimensional bundle to a 3-dimensional one. We say that Nijenhuis tensor is **non-degenerate** if it is an isomorphism. An almost complex manifold (M, I) is called **nearly Kähler** if it admits a Hermitian form ω such that $\nabla(\omega)$ is totally antisymmetric, ∇ being the Levi-Civita connection. We show that a nearly Kähler metric on a given almost complex 6-manifold with non-degenerate Nijenhuis tensor is unique (up to a constant). We interpret the nearly Kähler property in terms of G_2 -geometry and in terms of connections with totally antisymmetric torsion, obtaining a number of equivalent definitions.

We construct a natural diffeomorphism-invariant functional $I \rightarrow \int_M \text{Vol}_I$ on the space of almost complex structures on M , similar to the Hitchin functional, and compute its extrema in the following important case. Consider an almost complex structure I with non-degenerate Nijenhuis tensor, admitting a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion. We show that the Hitchin-like functional $I \rightarrow \int_M \text{Vol}_I$ has an extremum in I if and only if (M, I) is nearly Kähler.

Contents

1	Almost complex manifolds with non-degenerate Nijenhuis tensor	2
1.1	Nijenhuis tensor on 6-manifolds	2
1.2	Connections with totally antisymmetric torsion	3
1.3	Connections with antisymmetric torsion on almost complex manifolds	5

¹Misha Verbitsky is an EPSRC advanced fellow supported by CRDF grant RM1-2354-MO02 and EPSRC grant GR/R77773/01

2	Nearly Kähler manifolds: an introduction	6
2.1	Splitting theorems for nearly Kähler manifolds	6
2.2	Nearly Kähler manifolds in G_2 -geometry and physics	7
2.3	Examples of nearly Kähler manifolds	10
2.4	Nearly Kähler manifolds as extrema of intrinsic volume	11
3	Almost complex structures on 6-manifolds and connections with torsion	12
4	Nearly Kähler geometry and connections with torsion	13
4.1	Hermitian structure on $\Lambda^{3,0}(M)$ and nearly Kähler manifolds	13
4.2	Connections with totally antisymmetric torsion and Nijenhuis tensor	14
4.3	G_2 -structures on cones of Hermitian 6-manifolds	16
4.4	Near Kählerness obtained from G_2 -geometry	17
5	Almost complex structures and their variations	18
5.1	Hitchin functional and the volume functional	18
5.2	Variations of almost complex structures and the Nijenhuis tensor . .	19

1 Almost complex manifolds with non-degenerate Nijenhuis tensor

1.1 Nijenhuis tensor on 6-manifolds

Let (M, I) be an almost complex manifold. The Nijenhuis tensor maps two $(1, 0)$ -vector fields to the $(0, 1)$ -part of their commutator. This map is C^∞ -linear, and vanishes, as Newlander-Nirenberg theorem implies, precisely when I is integrable. We write the Nijenhuis tensor as

$$N : \Lambda^2 T^{1,0}(M) \longrightarrow T^{0,1}(M).$$

The dual map

$$N^* : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2,0}(M) \tag{1.1}$$

is also called the Nijenhuis tensor. Cartan's formula implies that N^* acts on $\Lambda^1(M)$ as a $(2, -1)$ -part of the de Rham differential.

When one studies the distributions, one is usually interested in integrable ones (such as $T^{1,0}(M) \subset TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ for complex or CR-manifolds) or ones where the obstruction to integrability is nowhere degenerate (such as a contact distribution).

For the Nijenhuis tensor in complex dimension > 3 , non-degeneracy does not make much sense, because the space $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^{0,1}(M), \Lambda^{2,0}(M))$ becomes quite complicated. However, for $n = 3$, both sides of (1.1) are 3-dimensional, and we can define the non-degeneracy as follows.

Definition 1.1: Let (M, I) be an almost complex manifold of real dimension 6, and $N : \Lambda^2 T^{1,0}(M) \longrightarrow T^{0,1}(M)$ the Nijenhuis tensor. We say that N is **non-degenerate** if N is an isomorphism everywhere. Then (M, I) is called **an almost complex 6-manifold with nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor**.

Remark 1.2: Such manifolds were investigated by R. Bryant. His results were presented at a conference [Br], but never published. The present author did not attend (unfortunately) and was not aware of his work.

The first thing one notices is that the determinant $\det N^*$ gives a section

$$\det N^* \in \Lambda^{3,0}(M)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Lambda^{3,0}(M)^*.$$

Taking

$$\det N^* \otimes \overline{\det N^*} \in \Lambda^{3,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{3,0}(M) = \Lambda^6(M) \quad (1.2)$$

we obtain a nowhere degenerate real volume form Vol_I on M . This form is called **the canonical volume form associated with the Nijenhuis tensor**. This gives a functional $I \xrightarrow{\Psi} \int_M \text{Vol}_I$ on the space of almost complex structures. One of the purposes of this paper is to investigate the critical points of the functional Ψ , in the spirit of Hitchin's work ([Hi1], [Hi2]).

1.2 Connections with totally antisymmetric torsion

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, $\nabla : TM \longrightarrow TM \otimes \Lambda^1 M$ a connection, and $T \subset \Lambda^2 M \otimes TM$ its torsion. Identifying TM and $\Lambda^1 M$ via g , we may consider T as an element in $\Lambda^2 M \otimes \Lambda^1 M$, that is, a 3-form on TM . If T is totally skew-symmetric as a 3-form on TM , we say that ∇ is a **connection with totally skew-symmetric** (or **totally antisymmetric**) torsion. If, in addition, M is Hermitian, and ∇ preserves the Hermitian structure, we say that ∇ is a **Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion**.

Connections with totally skew-symmetric torsion are extremely useful in physics and differential geometry. An important example of such a connection is provided by a theorem of Bismut ([Bi]).

Theorem 1.3: Let (M, I) be a complex manifold, and g a Hermitian metric. Then M admits a unique connection with totally skew-symmetric torsion preserving I and g .

■

Connections with totally skew-symmetric torsion were studied at great length by Friedrich, Ivanov and others (see e.g. [FI], [F], [AF]). Bismut theorem requires the base manifold to be complex. Motivated by string theory, Friedrich and Ivanov generalized Bismut theorem to non-integrable almost complex manifolds ([FI]). For completeness, we sketch a proof of their theorem below.

Theorem 1.4: Let (M, I, ω) be an almost complex Hermitian manifold, and

$$N : \Lambda^2 T^{1,0}(M) \longrightarrow T^{0,1}(M).$$

the Nijenhuis tensor. Consider the 3-linear form $\rho : T^{1,0}(M) \times T^{1,0}(M) \times T^{1,0}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\rho(x, y, z) := \omega(N(x, y), z) \quad (1.3)$$

Then M admits a connection ∇ with totally skew-symmetric torsion preserving (ω, I) if and only if ρ is skew-symmetric. Moreover, such a connection is unique.

Sketch of a proof: Theorem 1.4 is proven essentially in the same way as one proves Bismut theorem and existence and uniqueness of a Levi-Civita connection. Let (M, I, g) be a Hermitian manifold, and ∇_0 a Hermitian connection. Then all Hermitian connections can be obtained by taking $\nabla(A) := \nabla_0 + A$, where A is a 1-form with coefficients in the algebra $\mathfrak{u}(TM)$ of all skew-Hermitian endomorphisms. The torsion T_A of $\nabla(A)$ is written as

$$T_A = T_0 + \text{Alt}_{12}(A),$$

where T_0 is a torsion of ∇_0 , and Alt_{12} denotes the antisymmetrization of

$$\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM) \subset \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M) \otimes TM$$

over the first two indices. We identify $\mathfrak{u}(TM)$ with $\Lambda^{1,1}(M)$ in a standard way. Then Theorem 1.4 can be reinterpreted as a statement about linear-algebraic properties of the operator

$$\text{Alt}_{12} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \longrightarrow \left(\Lambda^2(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M) \right)^{(2,1)+(2,1)}. \quad (1.4)$$

(the superscript $(\dots)^{(2,1)+(2,1)}$ means taking $(2,1) + (2,1)$ -part with respect to the Hodge decomposition), as follows.

By definition, the Nijenhuis tensor N is a section of $\Lambda^{2,0} \otimes T^{0,1}$. Identifying $T^{0,1}$ with $\Lambda^{1,0}$ via g , we can consider N as an element of $\Lambda^{2,0} \otimes \Lambda^{1,0}$. By Cartan's formula, N is equal to the $(3,0)$ -part of the torsion. Therefore, existence of a connection with totally skew-symmetric torsion implies that (1.3) is skew-symmetric.

Conversely, assume that (1.3) is skew-symmetric. Since (1.4) maps $\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$ to $(2,1) \oplus (1,2)$ -tensors, the $(3,0)$ and $(0,3)$ -parts of torsion stay skew-symmetric if we modify the connection by adding $A \in \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{u}(TM)$. Denote by T_1 the $(2,1) \oplus (1,2)$ -part of the torsion T_0 . To prove Theorem 1.4, we need to find $A \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$ such that $T_1 - \text{Alt}_{12}(A)$ is totally skew-symmetric.

The map $\text{Alt}_{12} : \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^2(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^2(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M)$ is an isomorphism, as a dimension count implies (this map has no kernel, which is easy to see). Therefore, (1.4) is injective. Using dimension count again, we find that cokernel of (1.4) projects isomorphically into

$$\Lambda^{2,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{1,2}(M) \subset \Lambda^2(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M).$$

Therefore, for any T_1 in $(2,1) \oplus (1,2)$ -part of $\Lambda^2(M) \otimes \Lambda^1(M)$ there exists $A \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$ and $B \in \Lambda^{2,1}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{1,2}(M)$ such that $T_1 = \text{Alt}_{12}(A) + B$.

■

1.3 Connections with antisymmetric torsion on almost complex 6-manifolds

Let (M, I) be an almost complex manifold, N its Nijenhuis tensor. To obtain all Hermitian connections with totally skew-symmetric torsion on (M, I) , one needs to find all metrics g for which the tensor $g(N(x, y), z)$ is skew-symmetric. As Theorem 1.4 implies, these metrics are precisely those for which such a connection exists.

In Section 3, we prove the following proposition

Proposition 1.5: Let (M, I) be an almost complex 6-manifold with Nijenhuis tensor which is non-degenerate in a dense subset of M , and ω a Hermitian structure admitting a connection with totally antisymmetric torsion. Then ω is uniquely determined by I , up to conformal equivalence.

Proof: See Proposition 3.1 ■

2 Nearly Kähler manifolds: an introduction

2.1 Splitting theorems for nearly Kähler manifolds

Nearly Kähler manifolds (also known as K -spaces or almost Tachibana spaces) were defined and studied by Alfred Gray ([Gr1], [Gr2], [Gr3], [Gr4]) in a general context of intrinsic torsion of $U(n)$ -structures and weak holonomies. An almost complex Hermitian manifold (M, I) is called **nearly Kähler** if $\nabla_X(I)X = 0$, for any vector fields X (∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection). In other words, the tensor $\nabla\omega$ must be totally skew-symmetric, for ω the Hermitian form on M . If $\nabla_X(\omega) \neq 0$ for any non-zero vector field X , M is called **strictly nearly Kähler**.

As V. F. Kirichenko proved, these manifolds admit a connection with totally antisymmetric, parallel torsion ([K]). This observation was used to prove a splitting theorem for nearly Kähler manifolds: any nearly Kähler manifold is locally a Riemannian product of a Kähler manifold and a strictly nearly Kähler one ([Gr4], [N]).

A powerful classification and splitting theorem for Riemannian manifolds admitting an orthogonal connection with parallel torsion was obtained by R. Cleyton and A. Swann in [CS]. Such a manifold is called **reducible** if it is (as a manifold with connection and Riemannian structure) locally isomorphic to a product of manifolds of smaller dimension, and **irreducible** otherwise. Cleyton and Swann proved that any irreducible manifold with connection parallel totally antisymmetric torsion is either locally homogeneous, has vanishing torsion, or has weak holonomy G_2 (in dimension 7) or $SU(3)$ (in dimension 6).

Using Kirichenko theorem, this result can be interpreted as a splitting theorem for nearly Kähler manifolds. We obtain immediately that any strictly nearly Kähler manifold is locally a product of locally homogeneous manifolds and strictly nearly Kähler 6-manifolds.

These days the term “nearly Kähler” usually denotes strictly nearly Kähler 6-manifolds. In sequel we shall follow this usage, often omitting “strictly” and “6-dimensional”.

In dimension 6, a manifold is (strictly) nearly Kähler if and only if it admits a Killing spinor ([Gru]). Therefore, such a manifold is Einstein, with positive Einstein constant.

As one can easily show (see Theorem 4.2), strictly nearly Kähler 6-manifolds can be defined as 6-manifolds with structure group $SU(3)$ and fundamental forms $\omega \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1}(M)$, $\Omega \in \Lambda^{3,0}(M)$, satisfying $d\omega = 3\lambda \operatorname{Re} \Omega$, $d\operatorname{Im} \Omega = -2\lambda\omega^2$. An excellent introduction to nearly Kähler geometry is

found in [MNS].

The most puzzling aspect of nearly Kähler geometry is a complete lack of non-homogeneous examples. With the exception of 4 homogeneous cases described below (Subsection 2.3), no other compact examples of strictly nearly Kähler 6-manifolds are known to exist.

2.2 Nearly Kähler manifolds in G_2 -geometry and physics

Nearly Kähler manifolds have many uses in geometry and physics. Along with Calabi-Yau manifolds, nearly Kähler manifolds appear as target spaces for supersymmetric sigma-models, solving equations of type II string theory. These manifolds are the only 6-manifolds admitting a Killing spinor. This implies that a Riemannian cone $C(M)$ of a nearly Kähler manifold has a parallel spinor.

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Recall that the **Riemannian cone** of (M, g) is a product $M \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, with a metric $gt^2 \oplus \lambda \cdot dt^2$, where t is a unit parameter on $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$, and λ a constant. It is well known that M admits a real Killing spinor if and only if $C(M)$ admits a parallel spinor (for appropriate choice of λ). Then, $C(M)$ has restricted holonomy, for any nearly Kähler 6-manifold. It is easy to check that in fact $C(M)$ has holonomy G_2 . This explains a tremendous importance that nearly Kähler manifolds play in G_2 -geometry.

We give a brief introduction of G_2 -geometry, following [Hi1] and [J2]. Let V^7 be a 7-dimensional real vector space. The group $GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\Lambda^3(V^7)$ with two open orbits. For ν in one of these orbits, its stabilizer $St(\nu) \subset GL(7, \mathbb{R})$ is 14-dimensional, as a dimension count insures. It is easy to check that $St(\nu)$ is a real form of a Lie group G_2 . For one of these orbits, $St(\nu)$ is a compact form of G_2 , for another one it is non-compact. A 3-form $\nu \in \Lambda^3(V^7)$ is called **stable** if its stabilizer is a compact form of G_2 .

A 7-manifold X equipped with a 3-form ρ is called a **G_2 -manifold** if ρ is stable everywhere in X . In this case, the structure group of X is reduced to G_2 . Also, X is equipped with a natural Riemannian structure:

$$x, y \longrightarrow \int_X (\rho \lrcorner x) \wedge (\rho \lrcorner x) \wedge \rho \quad (x, y \in TM).$$

A G_2 -manifold is called **parallel** if $\nabla \rho = 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with this Riemannian structure.

Isolated singularities of G_2 -manifolds are of paramount importance in physics ([AG], [AW]). A simplest example of an isolated singular point is a conical singularity.

A metric space X with marked points $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is called a **space with isolated singularities**, if $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a Riemannian manifold. Consider a space (X, x) with a single singular point. The singularity $x \in X$ is called **conical** if X is equipped with a flow acting on X by homotheties and contracting X to x . In this case, $X \setminus x$ is isomorphic to a Riemannian cone of a Riemannian manifold M .

It is easy to check that the cone $C(M)$ of a nearly Kähler manifold is equipped with a parallel G_2 -structure, and, conversely, every conical singularity of a parallel G_2 -manifold is obtained as $C(M)$, for some nearly Kähler manifold M ([Hi2], [IPP]). For completeness sake, we give a sketch of a proof of this result in Proposition 4.5.

The idea of this correspondence is quite clear. Let $X = C(M)$ be a parallel G_2 -manifold, and ω_C its 3-form. Unless X is flat, we may assume that X has holonomy which is equal to G_2 and not its proper subgroup. Indeed, if holonomy of X is less than G_2 , by Berger's classification of irreducible holonomies X is represented (as a Riemannian manifold) as a product of manifolds of smaller dimension. However, the singular point of the metric completion $\overline{X}$ is isolated, and this precludes such a decomposition, unless $\overline{X}$ is smooth. In the latter case, X is flat.

Since holonomy of X is (strictly) G_2 , the 3-form can be reconstructed from the Riemannian structure uniquely. After rescaling, we may assume that the Riemannian structure on $X = C(M)$ is homogeneous of weight 2, with respect to the action of $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ on $C(M)$. Then ω_C is homogeneous of weight 3. Homogeneous G_2 -structures on $C(M)$ correspond naturally to $SU(3)$ -structures on M . We write ω_C as $t^2 \pi^* \omega \wedge dt + t^3 \pi^* \rho$, where ρ , ω are forms on M , and $\pi : C(M) \rightarrow M$ is the standard projection. From a local coordinate expression of a G_2 -form, we find that ω is a Hermitian form corresponding to an almost complex structure I , and $\rho = \operatorname{Re} \Omega$ for a nowhere degenerate $(3, 0)$ -form Ω on (M, I) .

The converse is proven by the same computation: given an $SU(3)$ -manifold (M, I, ω, Ω) , we write a 3-form

$$\omega_C := t^2 \pi^* \omega \wedge dt + t^3 \pi^* \rho, \quad (2.1)$$

on $C(M)$, and show that it is a G_2 -structure, using a coordinate expression for a G_2 -form.

As Fernandez and Gray proved in [FG], a G_2 -manifold (X, ω_C) is parallel if and only if ω_C is harmonic. For the form (2.1), $d\omega_C = 0$ is translated into

$$d\omega = 3\rho. \quad (2.2)$$

Since $*\rho = I\rho$ and $*\omega = \omega^2$, the condition $d^*\omega_C = 0$ becomes $dI\rho = -2\omega^2$. After an appropriate rescaling, we find that this is precisely the condition defining the nearly Kähler structure (Theorem 4.2). Therefore, $C(M)$ is a G_2 -manifold if and only if M is nearly Kähler (see Subsection 4.3 for a more detailed argument).

The correspondence between conical singularities of G_2 -manifolds and nearly Kähler geometry can be used further to study the locally conformally parallel G_2 -manifolds (see also [IPP]). **Locally conformally parallel G_2 -manifold** is a 7-manifold M with a covering $\tilde{M}$ equipped with a parallel G_2 -structure, with the deck transform acting on $\tilde{M}$ by homotheties. Since homotheties preserve the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\tilde{M}$, $\tilde{\nabla}$ descends to a torsion-free connection on M , which is no longer orthogonal, but preserves the conformal class of a metric. Such a connection is called a **Weyl connection**, and a conformal manifold of dimension > 2 equipped with a torsion-free connection preserving the conformal class a **Weyl manifold**. The Weyl manifolds are a subject of much study in conformal geometry (see e.g. [DO] and the reference therein).

The key theorem of Weyl geometry is proven by P. Gauduchon ([Ga1]). He has shown that any compact Weyl manifold is equipped with a privileged metric in its conformal class. This metric (called a **Gauduchon metric** now) is defined as follows.

Let $(M, [g], \nabla)$ be a compact Weyl manifold, where $[g]$ is a conformal class, and $g \in [g]$ any metric within this conformal class. Since $\nabla[g] = 0$, we have $\nabla(g) = g \otimes \theta$, where θ is a 1-form, called a **Lee form**. A metric g is called **Gauduchon** if θ satisfies $d^*\theta = 0$. A Gauduchon metric is unique (up to a complex multiplier).

Let now $(M, \nabla, [g])$ be a Weyl manifold with a Ricci-flat connection ∇ . In [Ga2], Gauduchon has shown that the Lee form θ of the Gauduchon metric on M is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection associated with this metric.

Applying this argument to a compact locally conformally parallel G_2 -manifold M , we obtain that the Lee form is parallel. From this one infers that the parallel G_2 -covering $\tilde{M}$ of M is a cone over some Riemannian manifold S (see e.g. [V], Proposition 11.1, also see [KO] and [GOP]). Using the argument stated above, we find that this manifold is in fact nearly Kähler. Therefore, S is Einstein, with positive Ricci curvature. Since M is compact, S is complete, and by Myers theorem, S is actually compact (see [V], Remark 10.7). Now, the argument which proves Theorem 12.1 of [V] can be used to show that $\dim H^1(M, \mathbb{Q}) = 1$, and $M = C(S)/\mathbb{Z}$. This gives the following structure theorem, which is proven independently in [IPP].

Theorem 2.1: Let M be a compact locally conformally parallel G_2 -manifold. Then $M = C(S)/\mathbb{Z}$, where S is a nearly Kähler manifold, and the $\mathbb{Z}$ -action on $C(S) \cong S \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is generated by a map $(x, t) \longrightarrow (\varphi(x), qt)$, where $|q| > 1$ is a real number, and $\varphi : S \longrightarrow S$ an automorphism of nearly Kähler structure.

■

2.3 Examples of nearly Kähler manifolds

Just as the conical singularities of parallel G_2 -manifolds correspond to nearly Kähler manifolds, the conical singularities of $Spin(7)$ -manifolds correspond to the so-called “nearly parallel” G_2 -manifolds (see [I]). A G_2 -manifold (M, ω) is called **nearly parallel** if $d\omega = c*\omega$, where c is some constant. The analogy between nearly Kähler 6-manifolds and nearly parallel G_2 -manifolds is almost perfect. These manifolds admit a connection with totally antisymmetric torsion and have weak holonomy $SU(3)$ and G_2 respectively. N. Hitchin realized nearly Kähler 6-manifolds and nearly parallel G_2 -manifolds as extrema of a certain functional, called **Hitchin functional** by physicists (see [Hi2]).

However, examples of nearly parallel G_2 -manifolds are found in profusion (every 3-Sasakian manifold is nearly parallel G_2), and compact nearly Kähler manifolds are rare.

Only 4 compact examples are known (see the list below); all of them homogeneous. In [Bu] it was shown that any homogeneous nearly Kähler 6-manifold belongs to this list.

1. The 6-dimensional sphere S^6 . Since the cone $C(S^6)$ is flat, S^6 is a nearly Kähler manifold, as shown in Subsection 2.2. The almost complex structure on S^6 is reconstructed from the octonion action, and the metric is standard.
2. $S^3 \times S^3$, with the complex structure mapping ξ_i to ξ'_i , ξ'_i to $-\xi_i$, where $\xi_i, \xi'_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ is a basis of left invariant 1-forms on the first and the second component.
3. Given a self-dual Einstein Riemannian 4-manifold M with positive Einstein constant, one defines its **twistor space** $\text{Tw}(M)$ as a total space of a bundle of unit spheres in $\Lambda_-^2(M)$ of anti-self-dual 2-forms. Then $\text{Tw}(M)$ has a natural Kähler-Einstein structure (I_+, g) , obtained by interpreting unit vectors in $\Lambda_-^2(M)$ as complex structure operators

on TM . Changing the sign of I_+ on TM , we obtain an almost complex structure I_- which is also compatible with the metric g ([ES]). A straightforward computation insures that $(\text{Tw}(M), I_-, g)$ is nearly Kähler ([M]).

As N.Hitchin proved, there are only two compact self-dual Einstein 4-manifolds: S^4 and $\mathbb{C}P^2$. The corresponding twistor spaces are $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and the flag space $F(1, 2)$. The almost complex structure operator I_- induces a nearly Kähler structure on these two symmetric spaces.

2.4 Nearly Kähler manifolds are extrema of volume on almost complex manifolds with nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor

Let (M, I, ω) be a nearly Kähler manifold, and $N^* : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2,0}(M)$ the Nijenhuis tensor. By Cartan's formula, N^* is the $(2, -1)$ -part of the de Rham differential (with respect to the Hodge decomposition). In Theorem 4.2 it is shown that $d\omega$ is a real part of a nowhere degenerate $(3, 0)$ -form Ω . Therefore, the 3-form

$$\omega(N(x, y), z) = d\omega(x, y, z) = \Omega(x, y, z)$$

is nowhere degenerate on $T^{1,0}(M)$. We obtain that the Nijenhuis tensor N is nowhere degenerate.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem, which is analogous to [Hi2].

Theorem 2.2: Let (M, I) be a compact almost complex 6-manifold with nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor admitting a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion. Consider the functional

$$I \longrightarrow \int_M \text{Vol}_I \tag{2.3}$$

on the space of such manifolds constructed in Subsection 1.1. Then (2.3) has a critical point at I if and only if (M, I) admits nearly Kähler metric.

Proof: Follows from Proposition 5.6 and Theorem 4.2. ■

Remark 2.3: As follows from Corollary 3.3, the nearly Kähler metric on (M, I) is uniquely determined by the almost complex structure.

3 Almost complex structures and connections with totally antisymmetric torsion

Let (M, I) be a 6-dimensional almost complex manifold, and

$$N^* : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2,0}(M)$$

its Nijenhuis tensor. Given a point $x \in M$, the operator $N^* \Big|_{\Lambda_x^{0,1}(M)}$ can a priori take any value within $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^{0,1}(M), \Lambda^{2,0}(M))$. For $N^* \Big|_{\Lambda_x^{0,1}(M)}$ generic, the stabilizer $St(N_x^*)$ of N_x^* within $GL(T_x M)$ is trivial. The eigenspaces of N_x^* (taken in appropriate sense) define a frame in TM . Thus, a geometry of a “very generic” 6-dimensional almost complex manifold is rather trivial.

However, for a N_x^* inside a 10-dimensional subspace

$$W_0 \subset \text{Hom}(\Lambda^{0,1}(M), \Lambda^{2,0}(M)),$$

(Remark 3.2), the stabilizer $St(N_x^*)$ contains $SU(3)$, and the geometry of (M, I) becomes more interesting.

Proposition 3.1: Let (M, I) be an almost complex 6-manifold with Nijenhuis tensor which is non-degenerate in a dense set. Assume that (M, I) admits a Hermitian structure ω and a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion. Then ω is uniquely determined by I , up to conformal equivalence.

Proof: Consider the map

$$C := \text{Id} \otimes N^* : \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{2,0}(M) \quad (3.1)$$

obtained by acting with the Nijenhuis tensor $N^* : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2,0}(M)$ on the second tensor multiplier of $\Lambda^{1,1}(M) \cong \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M)$. Then C maps ω to a 3-form

$$x, y, z \longrightarrow \omega(N(x, y), z).$$

As Theorem 1.4 implies, (M, I, ω) admits a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion if and only if $C(\omega)$ lies inside a 1-dimensional space

$$\Lambda^{3,0}(M) \subset \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{2,0}(M).$$

However, C is an isomorphism in a dense subset of M , hence, all ω which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.4 are proportional. ■

Remark 3.2: The same argument proves that an almost complex manifold admits a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion if and only if $C^{-1}(\Lambda^{3,0}(M))$ contains a Hermitian form. This is the space W_0 alluded to in the beginning of this section.

Proposition 3.1 leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3: Let (M, I) be an almost complex 6-manifold. Then (M, I) admits at most one strictly nearly Kähler metric, up to a constant multiplier.

Proof: Let ω_1 and ω_2 be nearly Kähler metrics on (M, I) . Since (M, I, ω_i) is strictly nearly Kähler, the 3-form $C(\omega_i) \in \Lambda^{3,0}(M)$ is nowhere degenerate (see (3.1)). Therefore, (M, I) has nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor. Then, by Proposition 3.1, ω_i are proportional: $\omega_1 = f\omega_2$. However, $d\omega_i^2 = 0$ on any nearly Kähler 3-manifold (see e.g. Theorem 4.2 (ii)). Then $2f df \wedge \omega_2^2 = 0$. This implies $df = 0$, because the map $\eta \rightarrow \eta \wedge \omega_2^2$ is an isomorphism on $\Lambda^1(M)$. ■

4 Nearly Kähler geometry and Hermitian connections with totally antisymmetric torsion

4.1 Hermitian structure on $\Lambda^{3,0}(M)$ and nearly Kähler manifolds

Let (M, I) be an almost complex 6-manifold, and $\Omega \in \Lambda^{3,0}(M)$ a $(3,0)$ -form. Then $\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}$ is a positive volume form on M . This gives a $\text{Vol}(M)$ -valued Hermitian structure on $\Lambda^{3,0}(M)$. If M is in addition Hermitian, then M is equipped with a natural volume form Vol_h associated with the metric, and the map

$$\Omega \longrightarrow \frac{\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}}{\text{Vol}_h}$$

can be considered as a Hermitian metric on $\Lambda^{3,0}(M)$. This metric agrees with the usual Riemann-Hodge pairing known from algebraic geometry. The following definition is a restatement of the classical one, see Subsection 2.

Definition 4.1: Let (M, I, ω) be an almost complex Hermitian manifold, and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection. Then (M, I, ω) is called **nearly Kähler**

if the 3-form $\nabla\omega$ is totally antisymmetric:

$$\nabla\omega \subset \Lambda^3(M).$$

The following theorem is a main result of this section.

Theorem 4.2: Let (M, I, ω) be an almost complex Hermitian 6-manifold. Assume that (M, I) is equipped with a $(3, 0)$ -form Ω which satisfies $\operatorname{Re} \Omega = 3\lambda d\omega$, and $|\Omega|_\omega = 1$, where λ is a constant, and $|\cdot|_\omega$ is the Hermitian metric on $\Lambda^{3,0}(M)$ constructed above. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) M admits a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion.
- (ii) $d\Omega = -2\sqrt{-1} \lambda \omega^2$
- (iii) (M, I, ω) is nearly Kähler, and $d\omega = \nabla\omega$.

The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is known (see e.g. [Hi2], the second part of the proof of Theorem 6).

The existence of Hermitian connections with totally antisymmetric torsion on nearly Kähler manifolds is also well known (see Section 2). This connection is written as $\nabla_{NK} = \nabla + T$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on M , and T the operator obtained from the 3-form $3\lambda \operatorname{Im} \Omega$ by raising one of the indices. The torsion of ∇_{NK} is totally antisymmetric by construction (it is equal T). Also by construction, we find that $T(\omega) = -3\lambda \operatorname{Re} \Omega$, hence $\nabla_{NK}(\omega) = 0$. Therefore, ∇_{NK} is a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion. This takes care of the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

To prove Theorem 4.2, it remains to prove that (i) implies (ii); we do that in Subsection 4.2. For completeness' sake, we sketch the proof of the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) in Subsection 4.4.

Remark 4.3: As Corollary 3.3 shows, a non-Kähler nearly Kähler metric on M is uniquely determined by the almost complex structure I .

4.2 Connections with totally antisymmetric torsion and Nijenhuis tensor

Lemma 4.4: In assumptions of Theorem 4.2, (i) implies (ii).

Proof.

Step 1: We show that $d\Omega \in \Lambda^{2,2}(M)$.

Were (M, I) integrable, the differential d would have only $(0,1)$ - and $(1,0)$ -part with respect to the Hodge decomposition: $d = d^{1,0} + d^{0,1}$. For a general almost complex manifold, d splits onto 4 parts:

$$d = d^{2,-1} + d^{1,0} + d^{0,1} + d^{-1,2}.$$

This follows immediately from the Leibniz rule. However,

$$0 = d^2\omega = d(\Omega + \overline{\Omega}) = d\Omega + d\overline{\Omega}. \quad (4.1)$$

Since $\Lambda^{p,q}(M)$ vanishes for p or $q > 3$, we also have

$$d\Omega + d\overline{\Omega} = d^{0,1}\Omega + d^{-1,2}\Omega + d^{2,-1}\overline{\Omega} + d^{1,0}\overline{\Omega} \quad (4.2)$$

The four terms on the right hand side of (4.2) have Hodge types $(3,1)$, $(2,2)$, $(2,2)$ and $(1,3)$. Since their sum vanishes by (4.1), we obtain

$$d^{0,1}\Omega = 0, \quad d^{1,0}\overline{\Omega} = 0, \quad d^{2,-1}\overline{\Omega} = -d^{-1,2}\Omega.$$

Then (4.2) gives

$$d\Omega = d^{2,-1}\overline{\Omega} = -d^{-1,2}\Omega. \quad (4.3)$$

Step 2:

$$d^{-1,2}\Big|_{\Lambda^{1,1}(M)} = \text{Id} \otimes N^* \circ \bigwedge, \quad (4.4)$$

where $N^* : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2,0}(M)$ is the Nijenhuis tensor,

$$\text{Id} \otimes N^* : \Lambda^{1,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{1,0}(M)$$

acts as N^* on the second multiplier of $\Lambda^{1,1}(M) \cong \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M)$, and $\bigwedge$ denotes the exterior product. (4.4) is immediately implied by the Cartan's formula for the de Rham differential.

Step 3: From the existence of Hermitian connection with totally anti-symmetric torsion we obtain that the form

$$\omega(N(x, y), z) : T^{1,0}M \times T^{1,0}M \times T^{1,0}M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is totally antisymmetric (see Theorem 1.4). From (4.4) it follows that

$$\omega(N(x, y), z) = d\omega = 3\lambda \text{Re } \Omega. \quad (4.5)$$

Consider an orthonormal frame dz_1, dz_2, dz_3 in $\Lambda^{1,0}(M)$, satisfying $\Omega = dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3$ (such a frame exists because $|\Omega|_\omega = 1$). Then (4.5) gives

$$N^*(d\bar{z}_i) = \lambda d\bar{z}_i, \quad (4.6)$$

where $dz_1 = dz_2 \wedge dz_3$, $dz_2 = -dz_1 \wedge dz_3$, $dz_3 = dz_1 \wedge dz_2$.

Step 4: Using Cartan's formula as in Step 2, we express $d^{-1,2}\Omega$ through the Nijenhuis tensor. Then (4.3) can be used to write $d\Omega = d^{-1,2}\Omega$ in terms of N^* . Finally, (4.6) allows to write $d^{-1,2}\Omega$ in coordinates, obtaining $d\Omega = -2\sqrt{-1}\lambda\omega^2$. ■

4.3 G_2 -structures on cones of Hermitian 6-manifolds

Proposition 4.5: Let (M, I, ω) be an almost complex Hermitian manifold, $\Omega \in \Lambda^{3,0}(M)$ a $(3, 0)$ -form which satisfies $d\omega = 3\lambda \operatorname{Re} \Omega$, for some real constant, and $|\Omega|_\omega = 1$. Assume, in addition, that $d\Omega = -2\sqrt{-1}\lambda\omega^2$. Consider the cone $C(M) = M \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, equipped with a 3-form $\rho = 3t^2\omega \wedge dt + t^3d\omega$, where t is the unit parameter on the $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ -component. Then $(C(M), \rho)$ is a parallel, G_2 -manifold (see Subsection 2.2). Moreover, any parallel G_2 -structure ρ' on $C(M)$ is obtained this way, assuming that ρ' is homogeneous of weight 3 with respect to the natural action of $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ on $C(M)$.

Proof: As Fernandez and Gray has shown ([FG]), to show that a G_2 -structure ρ is parallel it suffices to prove that $d\rho = d^*\rho = 0$. Clearly, $d\rho = 0$, because

$$d\rho = 3t^2d\omega \wedge dt + 3t^2dt \wedge \omega = 0.$$

On the other hand, $*(\omega \wedge dt) = \frac{1}{2}t^2\omega^2$, and $*d\omega = -dt \wedge I(d\omega)$, because (ω, Ω) defines an $SU(3)$ -structure on M , and $d\omega = \lambda \operatorname{Re} \Omega$. Then

$$*\rho = \frac{3}{2}t^4\omega^2 - 3t^3dt \wedge I(d\omega). \quad (4.7)$$

Since $d\Omega = -2\sqrt{-1}\lambda\omega^2$ and $d\operatorname{Re} \Omega = 3\lambda d^2\omega = 0$, we obtain $d\operatorname{Im} \Omega = -2\lambda\omega^2$. This gives $dI(d\omega) = -2\omega^2$, because $I(d\omega) = \operatorname{Im} \Omega$. Then (4.7) implies

$$d(*\rho) = 6t^3dt \wedge \omega^2 + 3t^3dt \wedge dI(d\omega) = 6t^3dt \wedge \omega^2 - 6t^3dt \wedge \omega^2 = 0.$$

We proved that $C(M)$ is a parallel G_2 -manifold. The converse statement is straightforward. ■

4.4 Near Kählerness obtained from G_2 -geometry

Now we can conclude the proof of Theorem 4.2, implying Theorem 4.2 (iii) from Theorem 4.2 (ii). Let M be a 6-manifold satisfying assumptions of Theorem 4.2 (ii). Consider the cone $C(M)$ equipped with a parallel G_2 -structure ρ as in Proposition 4.5. Let g_0 be a cone metric on $C(M)$. It is easy to see that g_0 is induced by ρ . Consider the map $C(M) \xrightarrow{\tau} M \times \mathbb{R}$ induced by $(m, t) \rightarrow (m, \log t)$, and let $g_1 = \tau^* g_\pi$ be induced by the product metric g_π on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Denote by ∇_0, ∇_1 the corresponding Levi-Civita connections. We know that $\nabla_0(\rho) = 0$, and we need to show that

$$\nabla_1(\omega) = d\omega. \quad (4.8)$$

The metrics g_0, g_1 are proportional: $g_1 = g_0 e^{-t}$. This allows one to relate the Levi-Civita connections ∇_1 and ∇_0 (see e.g. [Or]):

$$\nabla_1 = \nabla_0 + \frac{1}{2}A,$$

where $A : TM \rightarrow \text{End}(\Lambda^1(M))$ is an $\text{End}(\Lambda^1(M))$ -valued 1-form mapping $X \in TM$ to

$$(\theta, X) \text{Id} - X \otimes \theta + X^\sharp \otimes \theta^\sharp \quad (4.9)$$

and θ the 1-form defined by $\nabla_0(g_1) = g_1 \otimes \theta$, $X \otimes \theta$ the tensor product of X and θ considered as an endomorphism of $\Lambda^1(M)$, and $X^\sharp \otimes \theta^\sharp$ the dual endomorphism.

From (4.9) and $\nabla_0(\rho) = 0$ we obtain

$$(\nabla_1)_X(\rho) = (X, \theta)\rho - \rho \lrcorner X \wedge \theta + (\rho \lrcorner \theta^\sharp) \wedge X^\sharp. \quad (4.10)$$

Since $\theta = \frac{dt}{t}$, we have $\nabla_1(\theta) = 0$, and ∇_1 preserves the decomposition $\Lambda^*(C(M)) \cong \Lambda^*(M) \oplus dt \wedge \Lambda^*(M)$. Restricting ourselves to the $dt \wedge \Lambda^*(M)$ -summand of this decomposition and applying (4.10), we find

$$(\nabla_1)_X(t^3\omega \wedge \theta) = t^3(d\omega \lrcorner X) \wedge \theta.$$

for any X orthogonal to dt . Since g_1 is a product metric on $C(M) \cong M \times \mathbb{R}$, this leads to $\nabla\omega = d\omega$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on M . This implies (4.8). We deduced Theorem 4.2 (iii) from Theorem 4.2 (ii). The proof of Theorem 4.2 is finished. ■

5 Almost complex structures on 6-manifolds and their infinitesimal variations

5.1 Hitchin functional and the volume functional

Let (M, I) be an almost complex 6-manifold with nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor N , and $\text{Vol}_I = \det N^* \otimes \overline{\det N^*}$ the corresponding volume form (see (1.2)). In this section we study the extrema of the functional $I \xrightarrow{\Psi} \int_M \text{Vol}_I$.

A similar functional was studied by N. Hitchin for 6- and 7-manifolds equipped with a stable 3-form (see [Hi2]). Since then, this functional acquired a pivotal role in string theory and M-theory, under the name “Hitchin functional”.

Our first step is to describe the variation of Ψ . We denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the space of all almost complex structures with nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor on M .

Let (M, I, ω) be an almost complex manifold admitting a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion and a nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor

$$N \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 T^{1,0}(M), T^{0,1}(M)),$$

$\delta \in T_I \mathfrak{M}$ an infinitesimal variation of I , and

$$N_\delta \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 T^{1,0}(M), T^{0,1}(M))$$

the corresponding variation of the Nijenhuis tensor. Consider the $(3,0)$ -form $\rho := \omega(N(x, y), z)$ associated with the Hermitian structure on M as in Theorem 1.4. After rescaling ω , we assume that

$$|\rho|_\omega = 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Since the Nijenhuis tensor is nowhere degenerate, ρ is also nowhere degenerate. Therefore, ρ can be used to identify $T^{0,1}(M)$ and $\Lambda^2 T^{1,0}(M)$, and we may consider N_δ as an endomorphism of $\Lambda^{0,1}(M)$. Notice that this identification maps N to the identity automorphism of $\Lambda^{0,1}(M)$.

Claim 5.1: In these assumptions,

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dI}(\delta) = 2 \text{Re} \int_M \text{Tr } N_\delta \text{Vol}_I. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof: It is well known that

$$\frac{d(\det A)}{dA}(A_0) = \frac{\text{Tr}(A_0)}{A}$$

for any matrix A . Applying that to the map

$$N^* \otimes \overline{N}^* : \Lambda^{1,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,2}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{2,0}(M),$$

we obtain that

$$\frac{d(\det(N^* \otimes \overline{N}^*))}{dI}(\delta) = \frac{\text{Tr}(N_\delta^* \otimes \overline{N}^* + N^* \otimes \overline{N}_\delta^*)}{(N^* \otimes \overline{N}^*)^{-1}} \quad (5.3)$$

However, after we identify $\Lambda^{1,0}(M)$ and $\Lambda^{0,2}(M)$ as above, N becomes an identity, and (5.3) gives

$$\frac{d(\det(N^* \otimes \overline{N}^*))}{dI}(\delta) = 2 \text{Re Tr } N_\delta \quad (5.4)$$

■

Remark 5.2: We find that the extrema of the functional $\Psi(M, I) = \int_M \text{Vol}_I$ are precisely those almost complex structures for which $\text{Re Tr } N_\delta = 0$ for any infinitesimal variation δ of I .

5.2 Variations of almost complex structures and the Nijenhuis tensor

It is convenient, following Kodaira and Spencer, to consider infinitesimal variations of almost complex structures as tensors $\delta \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M)$. Indeed, a complex structure on a vector space V , $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2d$, can be considered as a point of the Grassmanian of d -dimensional planes in $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The tangent space to a Grassmanian at a point $W \subset V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\text{Hom}(W, V \otimes \mathbb{C}/W)$.

Consider the $(0,1)$ -part $\nabla^{0,1}$ of the Levi-Civita connection

$$\nabla^{0,1}\delta \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M),$$

and let $\overline{\partial} : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,2}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M)$ denote the composition of $\nabla^{0,1}$ with the exterior multiplication map

$$\Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,2}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M).$$

The following claim is well known.

Claim 5.3: Let (M, I) be an almost complex manifold, and

$$\delta \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M)$$

an infinitesimal variation of almost complex structure. Denote by $N_\delta \subset \Lambda^{2,0}(M) \otimes T^{0,1}(M)$ the corresponding infinitesimal variation of the Nijenhuis tensor (see Subsection 5.1). Then $\overline{N}_\delta = \overline{\partial}(\delta)$, where

$$\overline{\partial} : \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,2}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M)$$

is the differential operator defined above.

Proof: The proof of Claim 5.3 follows from a direct computation (see e.g. [KS]). ■

Claim 5.3 can be used to study the deformational properties of the functional $I \xrightarrow{\Psi} \int_M \text{Vol}_I$ constructed above (see Subsection 5.1). Indeed, from Remark 5.2 it follows that Ψ has an extremum at I if and only if $\text{Tr } N_\delta = 0$ for any $\delta \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M)$. Using the identification $T^{1,0}(M) \cong \Lambda^{2,0}(M)$, provided by the non-degenerate $(3, 0)$ -form as above, we can consider δ as a $(2, 1)$ -form on M . Then

$$\overline{\partial}\delta \in \Lambda^{0,2}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{2,0}(M) = \Lambda^{2,2}(M)$$

is a $(2, 2)$ -part of $d\delta$. Under these identifications, and using $|\rho|_\omega = 1$ (5.1) we can express $\text{Tr } N_\delta$ as

$$\text{Tr } N_\delta = \frac{\overline{\partial}\delta \wedge \omega}{\text{Vol}_I}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\overline{\partial}$ is a $(0, 1)$ -part of the de Rham differential. This gives the following claim.

Claim 5.4: Let (M, I, ω) be an almost complex Hermitian 6-manifold with nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor. Assume that M admits a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion, and the corresponding 3-form ρ satisfies $|\rho|_\omega = 1$ (see (5.1)). Consider the functional $\Psi(I) = \int_M \text{Vol}_I$ on the space of such almost complex structures. Then

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dI}(\delta) = 2 \int_M \overline{\partial}\delta \wedge \omega, \quad (5.6)$$

where $\delta \in \Lambda^{0,1}(M) \otimes T^{1,0}(M)$ is an infinitesimal deformation of an almost complex structure I , considered as a $(2,1)$ -form on M .

Proof: Claim 5.4 is implied immediately by (5.5) and Claim 5.1. ■

Comparing Claim 5.4 with Remark 5.2, we find the following

Corollary 5.5: In assumptions of Claim 5.4, I is an extremum of Ψ if and only if

$$\int_M \bar{\partial}\delta \wedge \omega = 0 \quad (5.7)$$

for any $\delta \in \Lambda^{2,1}(M)$.

■

Integrating by parts, we find that (5.7) is equivalent to

$$\int_M \delta \wedge \bar{\partial}\omega = 0$$

and to $\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$. This gives the following proposition

Proposition 5.6: Let (M, I, ω) be an almost complex Hermitian 6-manifold with nowhere degenerate Nijenhuis tensor admitting a Hermitian connection with totally antisymmetric torsion. Consider the functional $\Psi(I) = \int_M \text{Vol}_I$ on the space of such almost complex structures on M . Then I is an extremum of Ψ if and only if $d\omega$ lies in $\Lambda^{3,0}(M) \oplus \Lambda^{0,3}(M)$.

■

Now, Proposition 5.6 together with Theorem 4.2 implies Theorem 2.2. Notice that by Corollary 3.3, the nearly Kähler Hermitian structure on (M, I) is (up to a constant multiplier) uniquely determined by I .

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to Robert Bryant, Nigel Hitchin and Uwe Semmelmann for valuable advice and consultations.

References

- [AG] Bobby S. Acharya, Sergei Gukov, *M-theory and Singularities of Exceptional Holonomy Manifolds*, hep-th/0409191, 94 pages, also in Phys. Rept. **392** (2004) 121-189.

- [AF] Agricola, Ilka; Friedrich, Thomas; *On the holonomy of connections with skew-symmetric torsion*, math.DG/0305069, also in Math. Ann. 328 (2004), no. 4, 711–748.
- [AW] Michael Atiyah, Edward Witten, *M-Theory Dynamics On A Manifold Of G_2 Holonomy*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6** (2003) 1-106, also hep-th/0107177.
- [Bi] Bismut, J. M., *A local index theorem for non-Kählerian manifolds*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989), 681–699.
- [Bu] J.B. Butruille, *Classification des variétés approximativement kähleriennes homogènes*, math.DG/0401152.
- [Br] Bryant, R., *Pseudoholomorphic Bundles over Almost Hermitian 6-Manifolds*, a talk at International Congress on Differential Geometry in Memory of Alfred Gray, September 18-23, 2000, Bilbao.
- [CS] Cleyton, R., Swann, A., *Einstein Metrics via Intrinsic or Parallel Torsion*, math.DG/0211446, also in: Math. Z. **247** (2004), no. 3, 513–528.
- [DO] Dragomir, S., Ornea, L., *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*, Progress in Mathematics, 155, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [ES] J. Eells and S. Salamon, *Twistorial construction of harmonic maps of surfaces into four-manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **12** (1985), 589-640.
- [FG] Fernandez, M.; Gray, A. *Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2* , Ann. Mat. Pur. Appl. (4) **132** (1982), 19–45 (1983).
- [F] Friedrich, Th., *On types of non-integrable geometries*, math.DG/0205149, also in “Proceedings of the 22nd Winter School ”Geometry and Physics” (Srni, 2002), Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 71 (2003), 99–113.
- [FI] Friedrich, Thomas; Ivanov, Stefan, *Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory*, math.DG/0102142, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), no. 2, 303–335.
- [Ga1] Gauduchon, P. *La 1-forme de torsion d’une variété hermitienne compacte*, Math. Ann., 267 (1984), 495-518.
- [Ga2] Gauduchon, P., *Structures de Weyl-Einstein, espaces de twisteurs et variétés de type $S^1 \times S^3$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **469**, (1995), 1-50.
- [GOP] Gini, R., Ornea, L., Parton, M., *Locally conformal Kähler reduction*, math.DG/0208208, 25 pages.
- [Gr1] Gray, Alfred, *Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifolds*, Michigan Math. J. **12** (1965), 273–287.
- [Gr2] Gray, Alfred, *Nearly Kähler manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **4** (1970), 283–309.
- [Gr3] Gray, Alfred, *Weak holonomy groups*, Math. Z. **123** (1971), 290–300.
- [Gr4] Gray, Alfred, *The structure of nearly Kahler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), 233-248
- [Gru] R. Grunewald, *Six-Dimensional Riemannian manifolds with real Killing spinors*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **8** (1990), 43-59.
- [Hi1] Hitchin, N., *The geometry of three-forms in six and seven dimensions*, math.DG/0010054, also in J. Diff. Geom. **55** (2000), no. 3, 547–576.

- [Hi2] Hitchin, N., *Stable forms and special metrics*, Global differential geometry: the mathematical legacy of Alfred Gray (Bilbao, 2000), 70–89, Contemp. Math., 288, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, also in math.DG/0107101.
- [I] Ivanov, Stefan. *Connection with torsion, parallel spinors and geometry of $Spin(7)$ manifolds*, math.DG/0111216, also in Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), no. 2-3, 171–186.
- [IPP] Stefan Ivanov, Paolo Piccinni, Maurizio Parton, *Locally conformally parallel G_2 - and $Spin(7)$ -manifolds* (to appear).
- [J1] Joyce, D., *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 . I, II*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), no. 2, 291–328, 329–375.
- [J2] Joyce, D., *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [KO] Kamishima, Y., Ornea, L., *Geometric flow on compact locally conformally Kahler manifolds*, math.DG/0105040, 21 pages.
- [K] V. F. Kirichenko, *K-spaces of maximal rank*, Mat. Zametki **22** (1977), 465–476
- [KS] Kodaira K., Spencer D. C. *On deformations of complex structures II*, Kodaira K., Collected Works vol. II, Princeton Univ Press (1975).
- [MNS] Moroianu, Andrei; Nagy, Paul-Andi; Semmelmann, Uwe, *Unit Killing vector fields on nearly Kähler manifolds*, math.DG/0406492, also in Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), no. 3, 281–301.
- [M] Muškarov, O., *Structure presque hermitiennes sur espaces twistoriels et leur types*, C.R.Acad.Sci. Paris Sér.I Math. **305** (1987), 307–309.
- [N] Nagy, P.-A. *On nearly-Kähler geometry*, math.DG/0203038, also in Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **22** (2002), no. 2, 167–178.
- [Or] Ornea, L., *Weyl structures on quaternionic manifolds. A state of the art*, math.DG/0105041, also in: Selected Topics in Geomety and Mathematical Physics, vol. 1, 2002, 43–80, E. Barletta ed., Univ. della Basilicata (Potenza).
- [V] M. Verbitsky, *Vanishing theorems for locally conformal hyperkähler manifolds*, 2003, math.DG/0302219, also in Proc. of Steklov Institute, **246** (2004), 54–79.

MISHA VERBITSKY

UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
15 UNIVERSITY GARDENS, GLASGOW G12 8QW, SCOTLAND.

INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS
B. CHEREMUSHKINSKAYA, 25, MOSCOW, 117259, RUSSIA

verbit@maths.gla.ac.uk, verbit@mccme.ru