Local Homology, Cohen-Macaulayness and Cohen-Macaulayfications

Abstract: let (R,\mathfrak{m}) be a local, complete ring, X an artinian R-module of Noetherian dimension d;let $x_1,\ldots,x_d\in\mathfrak{m}$ be such that $0:_X(x_1,\ldots,x_d)R$ has finite length. Then $\operatorname{H}^x_{\overline{d}}(X)$ is a finite R-module, providing a positive answer to a question posed by Tang. As a first application of this result corollary 1 contains a necessary condition for a finite module to be Cohen-Macaulay; secondly we propose a notion of Cohen-Macaulayfication and prove its uniqueness (theorem 3); finally we show that this new notion of Cohen-Macaulayfication is a direct generalization of a notion of Cohen-Macaulayfication introduced by Goto (theorem 4).

For the sake of completeness we repeat the relevant notions: Throughout this paper (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a noetherian, local, complete ring. If M is an R-module and I an ideal of R, we denote the i-th local cohomology module of M with support in I by $H_I^i(M)$. It is well-known that $H_I^{\dim(M)}(M)$ is artinian for any proper ideal I of R provided M is finitely generated as R-module (cf. Melkersson [13]).

There is a theory of local homology modules (cf. Tang [18] and [19]): If X is an artinian R-module and $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$ is a sequence of elements in \mathfrak{m} , the *i*-th local homology module $H_i^{\underline{x}}(X)$ of X with respect to \underline{x} is defined by

$$\underset{n\in\mathbb{N}}{\varprojlim} H_i(K_{\bullet}(x_1^n,\ldots,x_r^n;X)) ,$$

where $K_{\bullet}(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n; X)$ is the Koszul complex of X with respect to x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n ; then $H_i^{\underline{x}}()$ is an R-linear, covariant functor from artinian R-modules to R-modules.

We repeat the notions of Noetherian dimension $\operatorname{N.dim}(X)$ and width of X, width(X): For X=0 one puts $\operatorname{N.dim}(X)=-1$, for $X\neq 0$ $\operatorname{N.dim}(X)$ denotes the least integer r such that $0:_X(x_1,\ldots,x_r)R$ has finite length for some $x_1,\ldots,x_r\in\mathfrak{m}$. Now let $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in\mathfrak{m}$. x_1,\ldots,x_n is an X-coregular sequence if

$$0:_X (x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1})R \stackrel{x_i}{\to} 0: X(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1})R$$

is surjective for i = 1, ..., n. width(X) is defined as the length of a (in fact any) maximal X-coregular sequence in \mathfrak{m} . Details on N.dim(X) and width(X) can be found in Ooishi [14] and Roberts [15], here we cite one general fact: For any artinian R-module X

$$\operatorname{width}(X) \leq \operatorname{N.dim}(X) < \infty$$

holds and X is co-Cohen-Macaulay if and only if width (X) = N.dim(X) holds. Tang has shown ([18], Propostion 2.6) that $\mathcal{H}^{\dim(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay if M is Cohen-Macaulay and ([18], Theorem 3.1) that $\mathcal{H}^{x_1,\ldots,x_d}_{\dim(M)}(\mathcal{H}^{\dim(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = M$ holds (here x_1,\ldots,x_d is a s. o. p. of M). Tang asks ([18], Remark 3.5) if $\mathcal{H}^{\underline{x}}_{\overline{d}}(X)$ is finitely generated if X is an Artinian R-module of N.dimension d and $\underline{x} = x_1,\ldots,x_d$ is such that $0:_X \underline{x}$ has finite length.

We give a positive answer to this question (theorem 1) and draw some consequences establishing various duality results (theorem 2). As an application we present a necessary condition for a given finite R-module M to be Cohen-Macaulay (corollary 2).

For a given R-module M we denote by D(M) the Matlis dual of M; for details on Matlis duality see [3], [4], [5] and [11]. \underline{x} will always stand for a sequence x_1, \ldots, x_d in \mathfrak{m} .

Theorem 1

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian, local, complete ring, X an artinian R-module of N.dimension d; let $x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m}$ be such that $0:_X (x_1, \ldots, x_d)R$ has finite length. Then $H^{\underline{x}}_{\overline{d}}(X)$ is a finitely generated R-module.

Proof:

 x_1, \ldots, x_d form a system of parameters for D(X), because $D(X)/(x_1, \ldots, x_d)D(X) = D(0:_X (x_1, \ldots, x_d)R)$ has finite length and $\dim(D(X)) = N.\dim(X) = d$. Using Matlis-duality we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}^{\underline{x}}_{\overline{d}}(X) &= \mathbf{H}^{\underline{x}}_{\overline{d}}(D(D(X))) \\ &= \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbf{N}} H_d(K_{\bullet}(x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n; D(D(X)))) \\ &= \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbf{N}} D(H^d(K^{\bullet}(x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n; D(X)))) \\ &= D(\varinjlim_{n \in \mathbf{N}} H^d(K^{\bullet}(x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n; D(X)))) \\ &= D(\mathbf{H}^d_{(x_1, \dots, x_d)R}(D(X))) \quad , \end{split}$$

and the last module is finitely generated because $\mathrm{H}^d_{(x_1,\ldots,x_d)R}(D(X))$ is artinian.

Corollary 1

If X is co-Cohen-Macaulay, then $\mathrm{H}^{x_1,\dots,x_d}_d(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module. In particular if $d=\dim(R)$, $\mathrm{H}^{x_1,\dots,x_d}_d(X)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

Proof:

The statements follow from theorem 1 and Tang [18], Remark 3.5.

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian, local, complete ring. Let \mathcal{N} (resp. \mathcal{A}) denote the set of isomorphism classes of noetherian (resp. of artinian) R-modules. We have maps F_1 and F_2 from \mathcal{N} to \mathcal{A} induced by

 $M \stackrel{F_1}{\mapsto} \text{Matlis dual of } M$

and

$$M \stackrel{F_2}{\mapsto} \mathrm{H}^{\dim(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$$

For F_2 it doesnt make any difference if we take $H_{(x_1,\ldots,x_{\dim(M)})R}^{\dim(M)}(M)$ instead of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim(M)}(M)$ (for any system of parameters $x_1,\ldots,x_{\dim(M)}$ of M). Similarly we have maps G_1 and G_2 from A to N induced by

$$X \overset{G_1}{\mapsto} \text{Matlis-dual of } X$$

and

$$X \stackrel{G_2}{\mapsto} \operatorname{H}^{x_1, \dots, x_{\operatorname{N.dim}(X)}}_{\operatorname{N.dim}(X)}(X)$$

(here $x_1, \ldots, x_{\text{N.dim}(X)}$ are such that $0:_X (x_1, \ldots, x_{\text{N.dim}(X)})R$ has finite length). By Matlis-duality we have

$$F_1 \circ G_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}, G_1 \circ F_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}}$$
.

From the proof of theorem 1 one sees

$$F_1 \circ G_2 = F_2 \circ G_1 =: T$$

and hence

$$G_1 \circ F_2 = G_2 \circ F_1 =: T' \ ,$$

$$G_2 = G_1 \circ F_2 \circ G_1 = G_1 \circ T, F_2 = F_1 \circ G_2 \circ F_1 = F_1 \circ T' \ .$$

Theorem 2

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian, local, complete ring. Let M be a noetherian and X an artinian R-module. Then (i) If M is Cohen-Macaulay, then $F_2(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay.

- (ii) If M is Cohen-Macaulay, then $F_1(M)$ is co-Cohen-Macaulay.
- (iii) If X is co-Cohen-Macaulay, then $G_2(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

- (iv) If X is co-Cohen-Macaulay, then $G_1(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Proof:
- (ii) and (iv) are easily proved using Matlis-duality theory. (i) is proved by Tang ([18], Propostion 2.6) and now (iii) follows from $G_2 = G_1 \circ F_2 \circ G_1$.

Let \mathcal{N}_0 (resp. \mathcal{A}_0) denote the set of isomorphism classes of noetherian Cohen-Macaulay modules (resp. of artinian co-Cohen-Macaulay modules). Then, by theorem 2, F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 induce maps between \mathcal{N}_0 and \mathcal{A}_0 in an obvious way. Tang's theorems 3.1 and 3.4 from [18] imply $F_2 \circ G_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ and $G_2 \circ F_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}_0}$. We deduce $G_1 = G_2 \circ F_1 \circ G_2$, $F_1 = F_2 \circ G_1 \circ F_2$, $T^2 = \mathrm{id}$, $T'^2 = \mathrm{id}$ on \mathcal{N}_0 and \mathcal{A}_0 .

As an application there is a necessary condition for a finite module to be Cohen-Macaulay:

Corollary 2

- (i) Let ω_R be a dualizing module for R (it exists uniquely up to isomorphism since R is complete). Assume that M is Cohen-Macaulay. Then $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{\dim(R)-\dim(M)}(M,\omega_R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) In particular if there exists an ideal I of R such that $I \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_R(M)$, $\dim(R/I) = \dim(M)$ and R/I is Gorenstein, Cohen-Macaulayness of M implies Cohen-Macaulayness of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(M,\overline{R})$ (here $\overline{R} := R/I$). Such an ideal I exists for example if R itself is Gorenstein.

The statements follow from local duality and theorem 2.

In a remark following theorem 2 we have seen $G_2 \circ F_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}_0}$ and $F_2 \circ G_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}_0}$. Now we turn our interest to the question: What can be said about $G_2 \circ F_2$ in general, that is, on \mathcal{N} ?

Definition Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian, local, complete ring and M a noetherian (i. e. finitely generated) R-module. Let \tilde{M} be a finitely generated R-module containing M as a submodule. We say \tilde{M} is a Cohen-Macaulayfication of M if the following three conditions hold:

- (i) M is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) $\dim(M) = \dim(M)$.
- (iii) $\operatorname{H}^{\dim(M)-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{M}/M) = \operatorname{H}^{\dim(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{M}/M) = 0$ (this condition holds for example if $\dim(\tilde{M}/M) \leq \dim M 2$).

In the sequel we won't always strictly distinguish between a module M and its isomorphism class, for reasons of simplicity.

Theorem 3

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian, local, complete ring and M a noetherian R-module. If M has a Cohen-Macaulayfication, it has (up to an M-isomorphism) only one Cohen-Macaulayfication, namely $(G_2 \circ F_2)(M)$. Proof:

Let \tilde{M} be a Cohen-Macaulay fication of M. We consider the short exact sequence $0 \to M \to \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}/M \to 0$ and its long exact cohomology sequence induced be applying $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}$: Because of condition (iii) of the definition

of a Cohen-Macaulay fication we get a canonical isomorphism $\operatorname{H}^{\dim(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{H}^{\dim(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{M}) \stackrel{\text{(ii)}}{=} \operatorname{H}^{\dim(\tilde{M})}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{M})$ and therefore $\tilde{M} = (G_2 \circ F_2)(\tilde{M}) = (G_2 \circ F_2)(M)$.

Remark

Goto (cf. [6]) has shown: If (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a noetherian, local, d-dimensional ring with total quotient ring Q(A), the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) There is a Cohen-Macaulay ring B between A and Q(A) such that B is finitely generated as an A-module, $\dim(B_{\mathfrak{n}})=d$ for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of B and $\mathfrak{m}\cdot B\subseteq A$.
- (ii) A is a Buchsbaum ring (for details on Buchsbaum rings see [17]) and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(A) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, d$. In this case, if $d \geq 2$, B is uniquely determined and Goto ([6]) calls it the Cohen-Macaulay fication of A.

Remark

Cohen-Macaulayfication in our sense is a generalization of Goto's concept of Cohen-Macaulayfication:

Theorem 4

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian, local, complete ring, and assume that the Cohen-Macaulayfication B of R (in the sense of Goto) exists. Then B is also a Cohen-Macaulayfication in our sense.

Proof:

Because of $\mathfrak{m} \cdot B \subseteq R$ we have $\mathfrak{m} \cdot (B/R) = 0$, which implies that B/R is a finite-dimensional R/\mathfrak{m} -vector space. Because of $d = \dim(R) \ge 2$ we must have $\operatorname{H}^{d-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B/R) = \operatorname{H}^{d}_{\mathfrak{m}}(B/R) = 0$.

Remark

In particular if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a noetherian, local, complete Buchsbaum-ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ such that $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, d$, the R-module R has a Cohen-Macaulay fication.

Example

An easy example is given by $R = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]]/(x_1, x_2) \cap (x_3, x_4)$. In the sense of Goto as well as in our sense R has a Cohen-Macaulayfication given by $(k[[x_1, \ldots, x_4]]/(x_1, x_2)) \oplus (k[[x_1, \ldots, x_4]]/(x_3, x_4))$; this can be seen either directly or by remarking that R is a 2-dimensional Buchsbaum ring with $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, 2$.

Open question

Let M be a given noetherian R-module. Under what conditions is $G_2(F_2(M))$ a Cohen-Macaulayfication of M? Or, equivalently (by theorem 3), when does M have a Cohen-Macaulayfication?

References

- 1. Bass, H. On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, Math. Z. 82, (1963) 8-28.
- 2. Brodmann, M. and Hellus, M. Cohomological patterns of coherent sheaves over projective schemes, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **172**, (2002) 165-182.
- 3. Brodmann, M. P. and Sharp, R. J. Local Cohomology, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 60, (1998).
- 4. Bruns, W. and Herzog, J. Cohen-Macaulay Rings, Cambridge University Press, (1993).
- 5. Eisenbud, D. Commutative Algebra with A View Toward Algebraic Geometry, Springer Verlag, (1995).
- 6. Goto, S. On the Cohen-Macaulayfication of certain Buchsbaum rings, Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 80, (1980) 107-116.
- 7. Grothendieck, A. Local Cohomology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, (1967).
- 8. Hellus, M. On the set of associated primes of a local cohomology module, *J. Algebra* **237**, (2001) 406-419.
- 9. Hellus, M. On the associated primes of Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules, to appear in *Communications in Algebra*.
- 10. Huneke, C. Problems on Local Cohomology, Res. Notes Math. 2, (1992).
- 11. Matlis, E. Injective modules over Noetherian rings, Pacific J. Math. 8, (1958) 511-528.
- 12. Matsumura, H. Commutative ring theory, Cambridge University Press, (1986).
- Melkersson, L. Some applications of a criterion for artinianness of a module, J. Pure and Appl. Alg. 101, (1995) 293-303.
- 14. Ooishi, A. Matlis duality and width of a module, Hiroshima Math. J. 6, (1976) 573-587.
- 15. Roberts R. N. Krull dimension for Artinian modules over quasi local commutative rings, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)(3)* **26**, (1975) 269-273.
- 16. Scheja, G. and Storch, U. Regular Sequences and Resultants, AK Peters, (2001).

- 17. Stückrad, J. and Vogel, W. Buchsbaum Rings and Applications. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, Germany.
- 18. Tang Z. M. Local Homology and Local Cohomology, Algebra Colloquium 11:4, (2004) 467-476.
- 19. Tang Z. M. Local homology theory for Artinian modules, Comm. Alg. 22, (1994) 2173-2204.